

25

suggests that the very small (less than a few per cent) residual portion of the

magma ocean continued to solidify during the following 300-500 m.y. 26

27 28 29 30 31 32 Fractional crystallisation of the Lunar Magma Ocean (LMO) involved the early density-driven separation of mafic cumulates and flotation of a plagioclase-rich lunar crust represented by ferroan-anorthosite¹. Subsequent crystallisation of ilmenite from the remaining portion of the $LMO¹$ left a residual liquid enriched in highly incompatible elements. This liquid formed the enriched reservoir referred to as urKREEP (from high concentrations of K, REE, and P)².

33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 A precise determination of the timing of fractional crystallisation of the LMO has been inhibited by the susceptibility of Sm-Nd and other systems to the partial resetting during the later thermal pulses associated with the meteorite impacts. As a result, the Sm-Nd mineral isochrons constrained for the ferroan-anorthosite samples show wide spread of ages between 4.56 ± 0.07 Byr (Ref. 3) and 4.29 ± 0.06 Byr (Ref. 4). The best estimate for the age of ferroan anorthosites determined as 4456±40 Myr from the combination of mafic minerals in all analysed samples but excluding plagioclase data that are partially disturbed⁵ has another inherited problem as it assumes that all samples have been formed at the same time.

42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 Another way that has been used to constrain the timing of the LMO differentiation is via model ages of rocks derived from different reservoirs in the lunar mantle. In particular, a KREEP-rich source is recognised as an essential part of late stage crystallisation of the LMO, and model ages of urKREEP formation have been estimated as \sim 4.6 Byr by Rb-Sr analysis of lunar soils⁶, \sim 4.42 Byr from U-Pb systematics of highlands rocks and a basalt sample⁷ and \sim 4.36 Byr from the Sm-Nd model ages of KREEP samples⁸. An average of model age for KREEP was estimated as 4.42 ± 0.07 Byr (1 σ uncertainty)⁹. Recent W isotope data on metals from low and

73 74 Forty one SIMS U-Pb analyses were made on this grain (Tab. 1, Fig. 2a). The results indicate a complex pattern of isotope resetting that systematically varies with

100 101 intermediate ages are from areas of moderately-strained parts of the grain, and likely reflect a partial resetting of U-Pb system.

102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 Our results indicate that the urKREEP source formed by 4417±6 Myr and it follows that crystallisation of the LMO was almost completed by this time. The zircon age is almost 100 Ma older than the age calculated from combined 142 Nd- 143 Nd systematics of lunar basalts and highland rocks^{11, 12}. These later estimates, however, are based on the assumption that the separate mantle reservoirs have been formed at the same time and had similar initial isotopic compositions of Nd. This may not be the case, even for KREEP magmas and the source of high-Ti basalts. Both formed last in the LMO crystallisation sequence and largely define the slope of combined 142Nd-¹⁴³Nd isochrones. Nevertheless, the formation of urKREEP source at 4417 ± 6 Myr is in agreement with the age of 4456±40 Myr determined for the ferroan anorthosite samples⁵, even though the ages are not completely resolved within the errors. A combination of the urKREEP minimum formation age of 4417±6 Myr and other data reflecting different stages of LMO evolution allows us to model the history of magma ocean differentiation and crystallisation on the Moon, and two endmembers are presented (Fig. 3). Both models are constrained by the new 4417±6 Myr zircon age, defining a minimum age for formation of Lunar urKREEP at a late stage in the crystallisation of the LMO. Both are also based on the assumption that the LMO formed as a result of fast accretion following the giant impact¹ and, therefore, the age of LMO formation is similar to the age of the Moon. The best current estimate of the age of the giant impact based on the Hf-W data is 62_{-10}^{+90} m.y. after the formation of the Solar System¹⁰. These data place an older limit of LMO formation of − 50 m.y. after the first condensation in the Solar Nebula (i.e. 4517 Myr). A simple model of LMO evolution (Fig.3, solid line) suggests a sequential fractionation of

olivine \rightarrow orthopyroxene \pm olivine \rightarrow olivine + clinopyroxene \pm plagioclase \rightarrow clinopyroxene + plagioclase \rightarrow clinopyroxene + plagioclase + ilmenite assemblages. However, the assumption of sequential fractionation of mineral phases throughout the whole LMO is probably an oversimplification because it is likely that: (i) a significant temperature difference would exist between the lower and upper parts of the LMO; (ii) the appearance of different minerals on the liquidus is unlikely to be contemporaneous in different parts of the magma ocean; (iii) convection can prevent effective removal of minerals from the liquid; and (iv) the formation of an insulation lid can change cooling regime of the LMO. A more complex models of LMO crystallisation (Fig.3, dashed line) involves rapid initial cooling of the magma ocean as a result of vigorous turbulent convection¹⁸, which results in solidification of 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 substantial proportion of LMO without significant fractionation. This was followed by fractionation limited to the relatively thin top layer of the LMO due to much slower cooling resulting from a less vigorous convection regime, and possibly formation of a thermally insulating surface lid. Nevertheless, both models combined with the available chronological data suggest that ilmenite bearing cumulates precipitated after about 90% of LMO crystallisation, leaving a few percent of residual KREEP melt by 4417±6 Myr. These data suggest that the main volume of the LMO solidified within about 100 m.y. The age distribution patterns obtained for numerous zircon grains from Apollo 17 and 14 b reccias¹⁴ suggest that the residual small volume fraction of the LMO liquid could have cooled slowly over the subsequent 400 to 500 m.y., probably sustained by the internal heating related to radioactive decay. These patterns indicate gradual shrinking of a semi-molten KREEP reservoir towards the centre of Procellarum KREEP

149 terrane¹⁴, and that by about 4.25 Byr the KREEP reservoir solidified under the area

170 **(1995 words)**

171

172 **Methods summary**

173 The sample is a polished thin section of breccia 72215 prepared by NASA. The

174 microstructure of the zircon was characterized by SEM-based cathodoluminescence

imaging and electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) mapping using the facilities at Curtin University of Technology, Perth, Western Australia. Collection of EBSD data was processed using the procedures optimised for zircon $2¹$. Slip systems were 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 resolved from crystallographic orientation data using simple geometric models of low-angle boundaries 17 . U-Pb data were obtained using Sensitive High Resolution Ion Microprobe (SHRIMP) at the John de Laeter Centre of Mass Spectrometry, Curtin University of Technology following the standard analytical procedure described elsewhere¹³. Pb-U ratios were normalised to the 564 Ma Sri-Lankan zircon CZ3 analysed in a separate mount. Common Pb was corrected using modern Stacey and Kramers lead, following the conclusion that substantial proportion of common Pb in the lunar thin sections results

186 from the surface contamination¹⁴. Regardless, of the selection of common Pb for the

187 correction, very low proportion of $204Pb$ in the thin section 72215,195 makes the

188 calculated ages insensitive to the uncertainty in the common Pb.

189 (**176 words)**

190

191 **References**

- 192 1. Shearer, C.K., Hess, P.C., Wieczorek, M.A., Pritchard, M.E., Parmentier, E.M.,
- 193 Borg, L.E., Longhi, J., Elkins-Tanton, L.T., Neal, C.R., Antonenko, I., Canup, R.M.,
- 194 Halliday, A.N., Grove, T.L., Hager, B.H., Lee, D-C., & Wiechert, U. Thermal and
- 195 Magmatic Evolution of the Moon*, In: New Views of the Moon; Rev. Mineral.*
- 196 *Geochem., Mineralogica Society of America* **60**, 365-518, 2006.

- 198 2. Warren, P.H. & Wasson, J.T. The origin of KREEP. *Rev Geophys Space Phys* **17**,
- 199 73-88, 1979.

Cosmochim. Acta **56**, 2213-2234, 1992. 226

227

- 229 prolonged differentiation of the Moon inferred from W isotopes in lunar metals.
- 230 *Nature* **450**, 1206-1209, 2007.

231

- 232 11. Nyquist, L.E., Wiesmann, H., Shih, C.-Y., Keith, J.E. & Harper, C.L. ¹⁴⁶Sm-¹⁴²Nd
- 233 formation interval in the lunar mantle. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* **59**,2817-2837,

234 1995.

235

236 237 12. Rankenburg, K., Brandon, A.D. & Neal, C. Neodymium isotope evidence for the chondritic composition of the Moon, *Science* **312**, 1369-1372, 2006.

238

239 13. Compston, W., Williams, I.S. & Meyer, C. U-Pb geochronology of zircons from

240 Lunar Breccia 73217 using a sensitive high mass-resolution ion microprobe, *Proc.*

241 *Lunar Planet. Sci. Conf. 14th, J. Geophys. Res.* **89**, B525–534, 1984.

242

244 SIMS U-Pb sstudy of zircon from Apollo 14 and 17 breccias: Implications for the

245 evolution of lunar KREEP, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.* **72**, 668-689, 2008.

246

247 248 15. Dickinson, J.E. & Hess, P.C. Zircon saturation in lunar basalts and granites, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett,* **57**, 336-344, 1982.

Thorium linked to crystal plasticity in zircon. *Geochem. Trans.*, **7**, 10, 2006. 251

252

- 253 17. Reddy, S.M., Timms, N.E., Trimby, P., Kinny, P.D., Buchan, C., & Blake, K.
- 254 Crystal-plastic deformation of zircon: A defect in the assumption of chemical
- 255 robustness. *Geology*, **34**, 257–260, 2006.

256

- 257 18. Solomatov, V. S. Fluid dynamics of a terrestrial magma ocean, *In: Origin of the*
- 258 *Earth and Moon,* edited by R. Canup and K. Righter, University of Arizona Press,
- 259 Tucson, Arizona, pp. 323-338, 2000.

260

- 261 19. Snyder, G.A., Taylor, L.A. & Neil, C.R. A chemical model for generating the
- 262 sources of mare basalts: Combined equilibrium and fractional crystallization of the

263 lunar magmasphere. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* **56**, 3809-3823, 1992.

264

265 266 20. Longhi J. A model of early lunar differentiation. *Proc Lunar Planet Sci Conf*. **11**, 289-315, 1980.

267

268 269 270 21. Reddy, S.M., Timms, N.E., Kinny, P.D., Buchan, C., & Trimby, P. Natural plastic deformation of zircon and its geological significance. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, **153**, 625–645, 2007.

271

272 **Acknowledgements**

273 All correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A. Nemchin. In

274 particular we would like to thank the astronauts of Apollo 17 for risking their lives to

- collect the sample. The project was supported by the office of R&D department at 275
- Curtin University of Technology. Imaging was supported by the Australian Research 276
- Council Discovery Grant DP0664078 to S. Reddy and N.Timms 277
- 278

279 **Figure captions**

280 **Figure 1. Microstructure of the zircon grain from lunar breccia 72215,195.** (a)

- 281 Optical photomicrograph, cross polarised light showing sector zones and faint
- 282 compositional growth zones (inset i); (b) panchromatic CL image with superimposed
- 283 mean U-Pb ages for individual SHRIMP analyses; (c) Map showing variations in
- 284 EBSD pattern quality (band contrast) from poor (black) to good (white); (d) Map
- 285 derived from EBSD data showing variations in crystallographic orientation relative to
- 286 the mean reference orientation (red cross).
- 287

288 **Figure 2. U-Pb SHRIMP data for the zircon from the breccia thin section**

289 **72215,195.** a, Tera-Wasserburg concordia diagram. Data are not corrected for the

290 initial Pb. Blue ellipses represent the four oldest analyses; red ellipses represent the

- 291 five youngest analyses; yellow ellipses represent analyses with intermediate U-Pb
- 292 ages. b, Age vs. 'local misorientation' value determined at each SHRIMP spot from
- 293 EBSD map data by calculating the mean misorientation between a central point and
- 294 its nearest neighbours on an $11x11$ pixel grid (i.e., $13.2x13.2 \text{ µm}$ area)¹⁶. Local
- 295 misorientation data were normalised to alpha dose to account for the radiation
- 296 damage. The resultant local misorientation values are interpreted to reflect lattice
- 297 distortions associated with crystal-plastic deformation.
- 298

Figure 3. LMO crystallisation paths based on the available chronological data. 299

- Solid line projected through the points representing 1) initial formation (100% melt 300
- 301 ¹⁸²W age¹⁰), 2) mean time of lunar crust formation (30% melt – ¹⁴³Nd age⁵) 3) KREEP
- 302 formation (5-7% melt – age from this study), 4) time of cessation of magmatic activity
- 303 in the Serenitatis region (2.5-3.5% melt – age estimate from zircon distribution
- 304 patterns¹⁴); dotted line based on 1) and 2) and the assumption of a turbulent
- 305 convection in the LMO resulting in the fast initial cooling, yellow circle represents
- 306 predicted formation of the lunar crust compatible with such fast cooling of the LMO.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Methods

Cathodoluminescence

The panchromatic cathodoluminescence (CL) image was collected using a KE Developments CL system attached to a Philips XL30 SEM at the Microstructural Analysis Facility, Curtin University of Technology, Perth, Western Australia. Operating conditions were 12kV accelerating voltage and working distance of 15mm. The detector sensitivity is in the 330-600nm spectral range.

Electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD)

Prior to EBSD analysis, the sample was given an additional polish with 0.06µm colloidal silica NaOH (pH 9.8) suspension using a Buehler Vibromet II polisher for 4 hours to remove the surface damage from previous mechanical polishing, and given a thin $(\sim 1$ nm) carbon coat to reduced the effects of charging in the SEM chamber. Quantitative crystallographic orientation data was collected using EBSD via a Nordlys I detector attached to the Phillips XL30 SEM (20kV accelerating voltage, 20mm working distance, 70° tilt) at Curtin University, and processed using Oxford Instruments Channel 5 (SP9) software following the procedures described in detail for zircon²². Electron backscatter patterns (EBSPs) were collected (60 ms per frame, 4 frames noise reduction) on a user defined grid (464 x 487 pixels, 1.2µm spacing) and indexed using 8 detected bands; Hough resolution of 65, and match units derived from zircon crystal parameters obtained at 1 atm²³ (Mincryst record: Zircon [2])²⁴ following detailed assessment of these parameters²². Some domains of the grain yielded poor quality EBSPs and were unable to be indexed. The average "mean angular deviation" for indexed points is 0.72°. Band contrast is a measure of the EBSP pattern quality (i.e., EBSPs with faint Kikuchi bands yield low band contrast values), and values were obtained from the contrast between the 8 detected bands and the background in a Hough transformation of the $EBSPs^{22}$.

Slip systems were resolved from EBSD data using a simple geometric approach that relates the geometry of low-angle tilt and twist boundaries and the dislocations responsible for their formation^{21, 25-27}. The map trace of the boundary and the crystallographic dispersion axis were used to reconstruct the 3D boundary orientation, and in turn relate the boundary and dispersion axis orientation to dislocation slip plane and slip direction by assuming end-member tilt boundary models.

Sensitive high-resolution ion microprobe (SHRIMP).

Isotopic data were collected using the Sensitive High Resolution Ion Microprobe (SHRIMP II) based in the John de Laeter Centre of Mass Spectrometry, Perth, Western Australia. The SHRIMP methodology follows analytical procedure described elsewhere¹³. The filtered (O_2) beam with intensity between 2 and 3 nA was focused on the surface of samples into \sim 20 μ m spot. Secondary ions were passed to the mass spectrometer operating at a mass resolution ($M/\Delta M$) of ~5000. Each analysis was preceded by a 2 minute raster to remove the Au coating. The peak-hopping data collection routine consisted of five scans through the mass stations, with signals measured by an ion counting electron multiplier. Pb-U ratios were calibrated using an empirical correlation between Pb^+ -U⁺ and UO⁺-U⁺ ratios, normalised to the 564 Myr Sri-Lankan zircon CZ3 (Ref. 28). The 0.4 to 1.4% error obtained from the multiple analyses of Pb-U ratio on the standard during individual SHRIMP sessions was added in quadrature to the errors observed in the unknowns. The initial data reduction was done using the SQUID add-in for Microsoft Excel²⁹, and Isoplot³⁰ was applied for further age calculations.

The initial Pb correction of lunar samples is complicated by the highly radiogenic Pb compositions of many lunar rocks^{31, 320}, which suggest a substantial early loss of Pb from the Moon. A systematic change of $206Pb/204Pb$ during SHRIMP analyses of lunar zircon was used to suggest surface contamination as a result of smearing of Pb from the surrounding sample over the zircon surface

during polishing³³. However, recent study of 14 thin sections representing different breccia samples from the Apollo 14 and 17 landing sites suggests that although most of the common Pb is a surface contamination, its composition is most similar to the terrestrial Pb (Ref. 14). Therefore, U-Pb analyses obtained for the zircon from the thin section 72215,195 were corrected using modern Stacey and Kramers Pb (Ref. 34). Regardless, of the selection of common Pb for the correction, very low proportion of 204Pb in the thin section 72215,195 makes the calculated ages insensitive to the uncertainty in the common Pb.

Internal features of zircon from the breccia thin section 72215,195

The grain contains several domains, evident from differences in birefringence in cross polarized light (Fig. 1a). These domains have significantly different concentrations of U and Th, which has led to a different degree of self-irradiation damage across the grain. The most U- and Thrich domain, with U and Th concentrations of \sim 150 and \sim 100 ppm respectively and highest Th/U of 0.64 to 0.67 (Tab. 1), also shows very low cathodoluminescence (CL) emission and poor electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) pattern quality (Fig. 1). Several discrete domains that occur along the edge of the grain, are moderately luminescent and have good EBSD pattern quality (Fig. 1b and c), indicating that the lattice is crystalline. These domains are characterized by low U and Th concentration (\sim 30 to 50 ppm and \sim 10 to 20 ppm) and the lowest Th/U (0.34 to 0.42, with only one analysis at 0.57). The rest of the grain is dominated by two domains with intermediate U and Th content (\sim 100 to 70 ppm and \sim 70 to 40 ppm), Th/U (0.56 to 0.60), CL intensity and EBSD pattern quality (Fig. 1b and c). One of these domains records fine scale variations in birefringence (Fig. 1a, insert), interpreted to reflect primary (magmatic) growth zoning with associated minor chemical variation.

Crystallographic orientation analysis reveals that the zircon contains several deformation bands that transect primary zoning and predate brittle fractures (Fig. 1d). Two orthogonal sets of straight discrete and gradational low-angle boundaries accommodate $\sim 12^{\circ}$ misorientation across the

grain. The deformation bands are parallel to the crystallographic *a*-planes {010} of the zircon, have misorientation axes parallel to the *c*-axis, and are geometrically consistent with formation by dislocation creep associated with $\langle 100 \rangle$ {010} slip²¹. The deformation bands are geometrically similar to dislocation microstructures reported in experimentally shocked zircon³⁵. We interpret these crystal-plastic deformation microstructures to have resulted from a significant impact, either directly from impact shock, or during ductile flow directly following the impact. The deformation bands appear to continue undeflected through the non-indexed, radiation-damaged areas of the grain, which indicates that the orientation variation predates any significant mechanical weakening from radiation damage in the grain, and therefore occurred early in its history. Crosscutting relationships between the deformation bands and the major chemical domains, identified within the grain, also demonstrate that the observed variation in U concentration and Th/U predate deformation and is the primary growth feature of this zircon.

References

22. Reddy, S.M., Timms, N.E. & Eglington, B., Electron backscatter diffraction analysis of zircon: A systematic assessment of match unit characteristics and pattern indexing optimization, *Am. Mineral.*, **93**, 197-197, 2008.

23. Hazen R.M. & Finger L.W. Crystal structure and compressibility of zircon at high pressure. *Am. Mineral.* **64**:196-201, 1979.

24. Chichagov, A.V., Varlamov, D.A., Dilanyan, R.A., Dokina, T.N., Drozhzhina, N.A., Samokhvalova, O.L., Ushakovskaya, T.V. MINCRYST: a Crystallographic Database for Minerals, Local and Network (WWW) Versions. *Crystallography Reports* **46**:876-879, 2001.

25. Lloyd, G.E., Farmer, A.B. & Mainprice, D. Misorientation analysis and the formation and orientation of subgrain and grain boundaries. *Tectonophysics* **279**:55-78, 1997.

26. Boyle, A.P., Prior, D.J., Banham, M.H. & Timms, N.E. Plastic deformation of metamorphic pyrite: new evidence from electron backscatter diffraction and forescatter orientation-contrast imaging. *Mineral. Depos.* **34:**71-81, 1998.

27. Prior, D.J., Wheeler, J., Peruzzo, L., Spiess, R. & Storey C. Some garnet microstructures: an illustration of the potential of orientation maps and misorientation analysis in microstructural studies. *J. Struct. Geol*. **24**:999-1011, 2002.

28. Pidgeon, R.T., Furfaro, D., Kennedy, A.K., Nemchin, A.A., & van Bronswjk, W. Calibration of zircon standards for the Curtin SHRIMP, *In: 8th Int. Conf. on Geochronology, Cosmochronology and Isotope Geology, Berkeley, U.S. Geol. Surv. Circ.* 1107, p. 251, 1994.

29. Ludwig, K. Users manual for Squid1.02, *Berkeley Geochronology Center, Special Publication* **1a**, 19pp, 2001a.

30. Ludwig, K. Users manual for Isoplot/Ex rev. 2.49, *Berkeley Geochronology Center, Special Publication* **2**, 55pp., 2001a.

31. Tera, F. & Wasserburg, G. J., U-Th-Pb systematics in three Apollo 14 basalts and the problem of initial Pb in lunar rocks, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett,* **14**, 281-304, 1972.

32. Gale, N. H. Uranium-lead systematics in lunar basalts*, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett,* **17**, 65-78, 1972.

33. Meyer, C., Williams, I.S. & Compston, W. Uranium-lead ages for lunar zircons: Evidence for a prolonged period of granophyre formation from 4.32 to 3.88 Ga. *Meteoritics Planet. Sci.* **31**, 370- 387, 1996.

34. Stacey, J.S. & Kramers, J.D. Approximation of terrestrial lead isotope evolution by a two-stage model, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett,* **26**, 207-221, 1975.

35. Leroux, H., Reimold, W.U., Koeberl, C., Hornemann, U. & Doukhan, J.C. Experimental shock deformation in zircon: a transmission electron microscopic study. *Earth Planet Sci Lett* **169**:291– 301, 1999.

 $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{L}$

Table1. U-Pb SHRIMP data for the lunar zircon grain from the breccia thin section 72215,195

Table1. (continued)

__

^a all errors are % 1 sigma

^{b 206}Pb^{*} is radiogenic ²⁰⁶Pb

^c % discordance