

NOTICE: This is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Applied Catalysis B: Environmental. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, Volume 146, March 2014, Pages 162–168. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2013.03.027>

**A comparative study of reduced graphene oxide modified TiO<sub>2</sub>, ZnO and Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>  
in visible light photocatalytic/photochemical oxidation of methylene blue**

Hongqi Sun\*, Shizhen Liu, Shaomin Liu, Shaobin Wang\*

*Department of Chemical Engineering, Curtin University, GPO Box U1987, Perth, WA 6845, Australia*

(\* Corresponding author: shaobin.wang@curtin.edu.au (S.W); h.sun@curtin.edu.au (H.S)

Highlights

Photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue was conducted on G/TiO<sub>2</sub>, G/ZnO and G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> composites.

Visible light photocatalytic activity was enhanced on G/TiO<sub>2</sub>.

Peroxydisulfate, peroxymonosulfate and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> showed different visible-light photoactivity.

H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> promoted visible-light degradation of methylene blue.

**Abstract**

Reduced graphene oxide (rGO) was applied to prepare various composites of rGO/photocatalyst of G/TiO<sub>2</sub>, G/ZnO and G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, using titanium (IV) isopropoxide, Zn powder and commercial Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> powder as photocatalyst precursors, respectively. X-ray diffraction (XRD), field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), thermogravimetric-differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA) and UV-vis diffuse reflectance (UV-vis DRS) were employed to investigate the crystal structure, morphology, surface groups, rGO loading, and optical properties of the produced composites. The photocatalytic activities of the composites under UV-vis and visible light were studied in degradation of methylene blue (MB). G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> showed an enhanced efficiency under UV-vis irradiation. G/TiO<sub>2</sub> demonstrated an effective degradation of MB under visible light. The effects of various oxidants, peroxymonosulfate (PMS), peroxydisulfate (PDS) and hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) on MB degradation were thoroughly

investigated.  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  was a promising oxidant for promoting MB degradation under visible light. The mechanism of the enhanced efficiency in the system of G/TiO<sub>2</sub>+vis+H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was discussed.

**KEY WORDS:** Reduced graphene oxide, TiO<sub>2</sub>, photocatalysis, photochemical, methylene blue

## 1. Introduction

Light-assisted degradation of organic pollutants has been widely employed as a promising strategy for environmental remediation. Currently, direct ultraviolet (UV) light or sunlight photodegradation of organic pollutants has a very low efficiency, and highly depends on the photoreactivity of the specific substance [1]. The free radicals, such as hydroxyl [2], super oxygen [3], and sulfate radicals [4] produced from oxidants, however, are able to attack organic compounds almost non-selectively, with a high rate. Such advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) have been applied in photo-Fenton (UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) [5], photocatalysis [6, 7], and photochemical reactions [8-10]. Most photochemical oxidations involve homogeneous metal ions, such as Fe(II), Fe(III), Co(II) or Ag(I), etc. [5, 11, 12], however, the discharge of such metal ions into water bodies would lead to serious secondary contamination. It was found that heavy metal leaching could also occur in heterogeneous catalytic reactions [13-15].

The efficiency of light-assisted AOPs would be directly controlled by the production of free radicals, which significantly depend on the activation of photocatalysts and oxidants upon light irradiation. Conventional TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysis has barriers in practical remediation of organic pollutants due to the low efficiency in sunlight absorption [16]. Increasing the photoinduced carriers' separation rate and extending the absorption threshold of TiO<sub>2</sub> were proposed to overcome the barriers in TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysis. To this end, a variety of methods, e.g., metal doping, noble metal deposition, semiconductor coupling, dye sensitizing, and non-metal modification, have been attempted [6, 7, 16]. In general, visible light photocatalysis on TiO<sub>2</sub> can be facilitated by introducing additional electronic states and higher activity can be realized by creating electronic interactions between TiO<sub>2</sub> and modifying materials, such as dye, noble metal, narrow band semiconductor, etc [17].

ZnO and Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> are also popular photocatalysts, which can only work in UV region [18, 19]. The strategies for making ZnO responsive to visible light are very similar to those of TiO<sub>2</sub>, including doping [20], dye sensitizing[21], and semiconductor coupling [22], etc. On the other hand, the modification of Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> for visible light photocatalysis has been less successful, and only a few investigations have been reported [23-25].

As a new carbonaceous material, graphene has attracted tremendous attention in the past years, due to its unique electronic property, excellent mobility of charge carriers (200,000 cm<sup>2</sup>V<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>), and extremely high theoretical specific surface area (~ 2,600 m<sup>2</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>) [26]. It was reported that these salient features can be employed to improve electronic, optoelectronic, electrocatalytic, and photocatalytic performance of semiconductor materials [27]. Zhang et al. [28] reported a facile one-step hydrothermal method for preparation of a chemically bonded TiO<sub>2</sub>(P25)-graphene nanocomposite, which had great adsorptivity of dyes, extended light absorption, and efficient charge separation. Stengl et al. [29] prepared a nonstoichiometric TiO<sub>2</sub>-graphene nanocomposite by thermal hydrolysis of suspension with graphene nanosheets and titania-peroxo complex. The direct interaction between TiO<sub>2</sub> and graphene prevented the reaggregation of the graphene sheets, resulting in a higher photocatalytic activity. Lee et al. [30] reported that graphene-wrapped anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles presented a high photocatalytic activity under visible light irradiation. Zhao et al. [31] suggested that a “dyade”-like structure would form on graphene@TiO<sub>2</sub> to produce more OH radicals than pure TiO<sub>2</sub> under UV and visible-light irradiation. Some investigations in graphene/ZnO were also attempted [32-34]. However, no investigation in graphene/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> has been reported.

On the other hand, graphene itself can significantly contribute to chemical oxidation of organic pollutants. In a pioneering study, we discovered that chemically reduced graphene oxide was able to effectively activate peroxymonosulfate (PMS) to produce active sulfate radicals. Graphene demonstrated a higher activity than several other carbon allotropes, such as activated carbon, graphite powder, graphene oxide, and multiwall carbon nanotube, as well as a cobalt oxide, in oxidation of phenol solutions [35]. In addition, previous studies demonstrated that effective remediation of organic pollutants can be conducted over photocatalyst/oxidant [8], supported

photocatalyst/oxidant [9], and photocatalyst supported cobalt/oxidant [10].

Therefore, it would be of great interest to investigate the effect of reduced graphene oxide (rGO) on the photocatalytic and photochemical performance of different photocatalysts. In this paper, we reported the preparation of several photocatalysts, graphene/TiO<sub>2</sub>, graphene/ZnO, and graphene-Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and compared their photocatalytic performances under UV and visible irradiations. Moreover, the photochemical activity of graphene/TiO<sub>2</sub> was further investigated in the presence of several oxidants, peroxymonosulfate (PMS), peroxydisulfate (PDS) and hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) under visible light irradiations.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Sample preparation

Graphene oxide (GO) was prepared by a modified Hummers method [36, 37] and the reduction of exfoliated GO was obtained by a hydrothermal reaction using hydrazine hydrate. Typically, GO (100 mg) was loaded in a 250 mL round bottom flask with 100 mL of deionized water and subjected to ultrasonic treatment for 2 h, yielding a homogeneous yellow-brown dispersion. Hydrazine hydrate (1.00 mL) was then added in and the solution was heated at 100 °C for 24 h. The reduced GO (rGO) was gradually precipitated as a black solid. This product was separated by filtration and washed with ethanol and water several times and then dried at 80 °C.

For synthesis of G/TiO<sub>2</sub>, rGO powder, cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB, 0.5 g) and 30 mL of ethanol were placed in a 100 mL of beaker with stirring. After 30 min, titanium (IV) isopropoxide was dropwised into the reactor. Then 20 mL of deionized water was added into the mixed solution. The suspension was stirred for 8 h and dried at 80 °C. The solid was annealed in a muffle furnace at 500 °C for 5 min. The loading of graphene was kept at 3 wt%.

G(3wt%)/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> was synthesized by the similar method to G(3wt%)/TiO<sub>2</sub> sample, except that commercial Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> powders were used as a precursor of photocatalyst.

For synthesis of G(3wt%)/ZnO, 0.64 g of zinc powders and 0.04 g of GO were mixed in a beaker,

then CTAB was added at a concentration of 0.1 M. The mixture was magnetically stirred for 2 h, and then transferred into a 120 mL of a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave. Hydrothermal reaction was carried out at 195 °C for 24 h. A gray-black precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with ethanol/water. The resulted G(3wt%)/ZnO photocatalyst was dried at 60 °C in air.

## 2.2. Characterization

The crystalline structure of samples was analyzed by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Bruker D8-Advance X-Ray diffractometer with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5418 \text{ \AA}$ ) operated at 40 kV and 30 mA, respectively. FTIR analysis was performed on a Perkin-Elmer Model FTIR-100 with a MIR detector. UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) of samples were recorded on a JASCO V670 spectrophotometer with an  $\varnothing$  60 mm integrating sphere and BaSO<sub>4</sub> as a reference material. Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM), performed on a Zeiss Neon 40EsB, was used to evaluate the morphology, size and texture information of the samples. Thermogravimetric-differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA) was carried out on a TGA/DSC 1 instrument of Mettler-Toledo in air flow at a heating rate of 10 °C/min.

## 2.3. Photocatalytic and photochemical tests

Photocatalytic performances of various catalysts were evaluated in the photodegradation of methylene blue (MB) under either artificial solar light or visible light. In a typical process, aqueous solution of MB (10 mg/L, 200 mL) and photocatalysts (100 mg) were put into a 1-L double-jacket cylindrical reactor with cycled cooling water (25 °C) and stirring. The photoreaction vessel was positioned 30 cm away from the radiation source with a cut-off filter. Two light sources were used, one is UV-vis light with intensities at 2.31  $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$  (220-280 nm), 6.94  $\text{mW}/\text{cm}^2$  (315-400 nm), and 129.3  $\text{mW}/\text{cm}^2$  (400-1050 nm) and the other is visible light with an intensity of 84  $\text{mW}/\text{cm}^2$  at 400-1050 nm. The reaction solution was firstly stirred for 30 min in dark to achieve adsorption equilibrium. The photocatalytic reaction was then started by turning on a halogen lamp. In a photochemical reaction, oxidants (PMS or PDS at 2 g/L, or H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at varying amounts) were added into the reaction solution just before switching on the light. At given time intervals, reacting

solution was centrifuged and analyzed for MB concentration on a JASCO UV-vis spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 664 nm.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Characterization of graphene/photocatalyst composites

Fig. 1 shows XRD patterns of three rGO/photocatalyst composites. Fig. 1(A) shows that G(3wt%)/TiO<sub>2</sub> was of anatase crystalline phase, owing to the calcination at 500 °C for 5 min. The crystal faces of [103], [112], [105] and [211] did not grow very well, due to the short-time calcination. Brookite [121] phase was found at 2θ of 30.7 °, due to the short period of calcination of 5 min in the preparation of G(3 wt%)/TiO<sub>2</sub> [38]. It has been reported that the brookite phase will disappear once the duration is prolonged to several hours [39, 40]. The average crystallite size of anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> was estimated by the Scherrer's equation:  $D = K\lambda/\beta\cos\theta$  to be 9.3 nm. In general, the characteristic reflection of GO is close to 2θ of 10°, with other two weak peaks at about 26° and 43° [35, 41]. In this study, neither diffraction of GO nor rGO was observed in XRD pattern, possibly due to the low content (< 3wt%) of rGO loading. Fig. 1(B) indicates that ZnO crystallites were grown very well via the hydrothermal process. The peaks of 2θ = 31.9, 34.6, 36.4, 47.7, 56.8, 63.0 and 68.1° can be indexed to the crystal faces of [100], [002], [101], [102], [110], [103] and [112] of ZnO, respectively [9, 42]. Similar to G/TiO<sub>2</sub>, no GO or rGO peaks were observed. The crystallite size of ZnO was estimated by the Scherrer's equation to be 31.8 nm. Fig. 1(C) shows that graphene modification of Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> did not significantly change the crystal structure of the commercial Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> powders. Major peaks were found at 2θ = 22.9, 28.5, 36.7, 46.7, 49.9, 51.0, and 55.8°, which are assigned to the faces of [001], [1 11 0], [1 11 1], [002], [0 22 0], [2 15 1] and [1 11 2] of orthorhombic Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, respectively [43]. The crystallite size of Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> was 63.9 nm.

#### [Insert Fig.1]

**Fig. 1** XRD patterns of G/TiO<sub>2</sub> (A), G/ZnO (B), and G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (C).

Fig. 2 shows SEM images of three rGO/photocatalyst composites to illustrate their morphological information. TiO<sub>2</sub> and Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> presented as spherical particles with significant aggregation, while ZnO showed rod-like morphology at a length of 1-5 μm. The morphology of rGO in G/ZnO was better shaped than those in G/TiO<sub>2</sub> or G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, and the better framework of G/ZnO was also

observed. Such a structure was formed due to hydrothermal process without calcination, while both G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> went through a calcination, which might cause structural destruction in graphene.

**[Insert Fig.2]**

**Fig. 2** SEM images of G/TiO<sub>2</sub> (A), G/ZnO (B), and G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (C).

TG-DSC was conducted to evaluate the thermal properties of rGO in the composites. TGA curve of rGO (not shown here) shows that organic functional groups will be decomposed before 400 °C, and the carbon framework will be completely oxidized at 638.2 °C. Fig. 3(A) shows the weight loss vs temperature of the three samples in air. G/TiO<sub>2</sub> gradually lost 3.3% of weight till 507.3 °C and had a rapid weight loss from 600 to 734 °C. Afterwards the sample weight stabilized at 92.8%. The weight loss before 500 °C was attributed to desorption and/or combustion of hydroxyl groups and organic substances, which were from organic Ti-precursor [44]. The weight ratio of rGO was estimated to be 3.9 wt%. G/ZnO and G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> showed similarly thermal stability. Rapid weight loss of 3.7% from rGO combustion in G/ZnO was occurring in the range of 492.7 to 598.6 °C. For the sample of G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 1.1 wt% of weight loss was observed in the range of 489.0 to 600.6 °C. Fig. 3(B) confirms the higher stability of rGO in TiO<sub>2</sub> than G/ZnO or G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. The exothermic peak from rGO combustion in G/TiO<sub>2</sub> was at 662.9 °C, while that of G/ZnO appeared at 554.6 °C. No significant exothermic peak was found in G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> profile, possibly due to lower weight ratio of rGO. The low loading level of rGO on Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> was attributed to the calcination process in the preparation, in which rGO was partially decomposed at 500 °C in 5 min.

**[Insert Fig.3]**

**Fig. 3** TG/DSC diagram of the three composites of G/TiO<sub>2</sub>, G/ZnO and G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>

Fig. 4 displays FTIR spectra of GO, G/TiO<sub>2</sub>, G/ZnO, and G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. GO usually has various functional groups, such as aromatic C-H bonds, epoxy, organic carbonate, alcoholic OH, aromatic C=C bonds, C=O, and C-OH, etc [45]. For the synthesized GO in this investigation, O-H stretching at 3000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, C-O stretching at 1030 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and C-OH stretching at 1165 cm<sup>-1</sup>, were clearly observed. After formation of composites, most of the above peaks disappeared, indicating the transformation of GO to rGO. In G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, the absorption bands at 600 - 800 cm<sup>-1</sup> correspond to Ta-O-Ta and Ta-O



bands, and  $1070\text{ cm}^{-1}$  refers to Ta-O terminal group. The bands in  $800 - 1000\text{ cm}^{-1}$  are from the presence of several suboxides [39]. It was also observed that there were several peaks centered at  $1350$  and  $3000 - 2800\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , which were attributed to feature distinctive stretching, vibration modes of C-C and the stretching vibration mode of C-H, respectively. The peaks from organic groups might be from CTAB in the preparation of samples.

**[Insert Fig.4]**

**Fig. 4** FTIR spectra of GO and rGO/photocatalyst composites

Fig. 5 shows UV-vis DRS of rGO/photocatalyst composites. It is well known that three pure photocatalysts ( $\text{TiO}_2$ ,  $\text{ZnO}$  and  $\text{Ta}_2\text{O}_5$ ) can only be activated by UV, due to the wide band gap energies of  $\text{TiO}_2$  (3.20 eV) [6, 38, 44],  $\text{ZnO}$  (3.20 eV)[9], and  $\text{Ta}_2\text{O}_5$  (4.00 eV) [46, 47]. In this study, the band gap energies of  $\text{TiO}_2$ ,  $\text{ZnO}$  and  $\text{Ta}_2\text{O}_5$  were estimated to be 3.12, 3.19 and 3.90 eV, respectively. After formation of a composite with rGO, the absorption thresholds of  $\text{TiO}_2$ ,  $\text{ZnO}$  and  $\text{Ta}_2\text{O}_5$  were extended to 454.2, 447.0 and 338.1 nm, respectively. The band gap energies were estimated to be 2.73, 2.77 and 3.67 eV, respectively, using the Kubelka-Munk theory [16, 38]. DRS results show that, after hybridization with rGO, the absorption edges of  $\text{TiO}_2$  and  $\text{ZnO}$  were shifted to visible light region, while that of  $\text{Ta}_2\text{O}_5$  remained within UV region.

**[Insert Fig.5]**

**Fig. 5** UV-vis DRS of photocatalysts and their composites

*3.2. Degradation of methylene blue using rGO/photocatalyst composites*

*3.2.1. Photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue*

Fig. 6 shows the photocatalytic performances of rGO/photocatalyst composites in degradation of MB under UV-visible and visible light irradiations. Fig. 6(A) shows that photolysis by visible light without a catalyst was unable to degrade MB. Unmodified  $\text{TiO}_2$  showed about 10% MB removal, which was due to adsorption. UV-visible light could significantly degrade MB, providing 65.1% of MB degradation at 150 min. The hybridization of rGO to  $\text{TiO}_2$  made G/ $\text{TiO}_2$  effectively decompose MB under visible light. About 94.1% of MB was decomposed by G/ $\text{TiO}_2$  after 120 min under visible light. Under UV-vis irradiations, the efficiency of MB degradation was increased both on  $\text{TiO}_2$  and G/ $\text{TiO}_2$ , and 100% MB removal was achieved on both photocatalysts at 110 min. The

photocatalytic degradation of MB under visible light was described by first order reaction kinetics and the reaction rate constant of G/TiO<sub>2</sub>+vis was 0.01595 min<sup>-1</sup> (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.979). Under UV-vis irradiations, the reactions would be zeroth order kinetics. The rate constants for G/TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV-vis and TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV-vis were calculated to be 0.1169 (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.980) and 0.1324 (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.981) ppm/min, respectively. Mohamed et al. [48] reported that the photocatalytic degradation of MB by a modified TiO<sub>2</sub> under UV followed first order rate kinetics. Nolan et al. [49] reported that degradation of MB by N-TiO<sub>2</sub> under visible light also followed first order kinetics. Zeroth order kinetics was observed in photobleaching MB on mesoporous titania films [50].

Fig. 6(B) shows that MB adsorption occurred on G/ZnO and that 11.0% of MB was removed after 30 min, similar to G/TiO<sub>2</sub>. Under visible light, however, G/ZnO was not effective in degradation of MB and only 6% of MB was degraded by 120 min photocatalytic reaction. ZnO and G/ZnO showed much higher activity under UV than TiO<sub>2</sub> and G/TiO<sub>2</sub>. 100% of MB decomposition was achieved after 30 min on ZnO, and 60 min on G/ZnO. Xu et al. [40] demonstrated that the hybridization with graphene on the surface of commercial ZnO could significantly increase the photocatalytic activity of ZnO under UV lights. Visible-light-driven photocatalytic activity of ZnO/rGO nanocomposite via a microwave-assisted route was also reported [32]. Neither significant enhancement under UV-vis nor visible light photocatalytic activity was observed in this study. High adsorption of G/ZnO in visible light region might be attributed to little amount of metallic zinc, which promotes both light absorption and carriers combination.

Fig. 6(C) shows that G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> was not effective to degrade MB under visible light, due to the wide band gap of 3.67 eV. Under UV-vis, Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> could only degrade 50.2% of MB after 120 min. An enhanced efficiency was found on G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> for degradation of MB under UV-vis, and 72.1% of MB was decomposed after 120 min. However, the overall activity of Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> or its composite was not as good as ZnO or TiO<sub>2</sub> and their composites. In the preparation, commercial Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> powders were used for G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, unlike G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and G/ZnO. It was recalling that the enhancement of photocatalytic activity from rGO was mostly observed in the studies using available photocatalysts (P25 TiO<sub>2</sub>, ZnO, SnO<sub>2</sub>, etc) as precursors [28-30, 40, 51].

The interface structure, optical and morphological properties controlled by the preparation protocol, would be a critic step for the enhanced photocatalytic activity from rGO hybridization [52]. The enhanced photocatalytic activity can be attributed to (i) improved adsorption ability by

incorporation of graphene, (ii) extended absorption edge, (iii) unique interface structure for higher charge separation, and (iv) reduced charges combination rate [27, 28, 30].

**[Insert Fig.6]**

**Fig. 6** Photocatalytic oxidation of methylene blue at various conditions. (A) TiO<sub>2</sub> and G/TiO<sub>2</sub>: (a) visible light only, (b) TiO<sub>2</sub> and visible light, (c) UV-visible light only, (d) G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and visible light, (e) G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and UV-visible light, and (f) TiO<sub>2</sub> and UV-visible light. (B) ZnO and G/ZnO: (a) G/ZnO and visible light, (b) G/ZnO and UV-visible light, and (c) ZnO and UV-visible light; (C) Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: (a) G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and visible light, (b) Commercial Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and UV-visible light, and (c) G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and UV-visible light.

### 3.2.2. Photochemical oxidation of methylene blue

From the above results, G/TiO<sub>2</sub> exhibited photocatalytic activity in visible light while other two only demonstrated activity under UV-vis light. Thus, G/TiO<sub>2</sub> was further investigated for degradation of MB under visible light in photochemical reaction with different oxidants. The effect of oxidant on the efficiency of MB degradation is shown in Fig. 7(A). The oxidants, e.g. PMS, PDS and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> behaved differently in the photochemical degradation of MB. 100% of MB removal was achieved with the addition of PDS at 100 min. The reaction time for complete removal of MB was significantly reduced to 70 min by the addition of PMS. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> showed the best performance for promoting the photocatalytic oxidation of MB with G/TiO<sub>2</sub> under visible light, providing complete MB removal in 20 min. The above results suggest that PDS showed slightly negative effect on MB degradation, while both PMS and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> were able to increase MB removal rate under visible light.

**[Insert Fig.7]**

**Fig. 7** Photochemical oxidation of methylene blue at various conditions. (A) Effect of oxidants, (B) Effect of amount of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: (a) 0.338 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> only, (b) 0.338 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and visible light, (c) G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and vis and 0.050 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, (d) G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and vis and 0.147 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, (e) G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and vis and 0.250 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and (f) G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and vis and 0.338 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

We previously reported the varying behaviour of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, PDS and PMS for promoting degradation of organic pollutants using UV/ZnO [8]. It was found that homogeneous photochemical oxidation of phenol using PMS, PDS or H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> exhibited much better performance than heterogeneous photocatalytic oxidation using UV/ZnO. The study of combination of photocatalytic/photochemical reactions of UV/ZnO/oxidant suggested that PDS and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> imposed a negative effect on UV/ZnO, while PMS was able to increase the efficiency of phenol degradation. Under UV irradiation, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>


will be activated to produce hydroxyl radicals [1].



PDS and PMS can produce sulfate radicals by UV activation as follows [8].




In photocatalytic reactions, either  $\text{TiO}_2$  or  $\text{ZnO}$ , would produce oxidative radicals under radiations. Therefore, the competitive reaction of free radicals between pollutant molecules and other chemical species would determine the effect of the oxidant. For example, in the system of UV/ $\text{ZnO}$ /PMS or UV/ $\text{ZnO}$ / $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  [8, 53], the following reactions also occur.



The produced  $\text{SO}_5^{\cdot-}$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}^{\cdot}$  have less oxidative potential than  $\text{SO}_4^{\cdot-}$  and  $\cdot\text{OH}$ , resulting in a lower efficiency in oxidation of organics.

It was also found that the light source would influence the effect of oxidant on the efficiency of pollutant degradation. For example, PDS could provide a positive enhancement to  $\text{ZnO}$  for oxidation of phenol under artificial sunlight [54]. A recent study further discovered that even for the same oxidant and reaction condition, the oxidant behaved differently onto varying photocatalysts.[10]

In this study, visible light was used and it was not surprised to find the negative effect of PDS, positive effect of PMS and significant enhancement of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  to the system of  $\text{G}/\text{TiO}_2 + \text{vis}$ . Moreover, PDS and PMS can be activated by visible light for oxidation [10], indicating sulfate radicals are produced. However, visible light could hardly activate  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  [55]. Therefore, the quenching reactions between radicals would be minimized on  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ . The enhancement of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  was possibly due to the activation by electrons from  $\text{G}/\text{TiO}_2$  [56].



Therefore, enhancement of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  was ascribed to the produced hydroxyl radicals from effective trapping of photoinduced carriers.

Fig. 7(B) further shows the effect of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  amount on the degradation rate of MB. Without a catalyst and light,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  exhibited little MB degradation. Under visible light,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  itself showed a moderate

decomposition of MB. Li et al. [56] experimentally demonstrated that  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  could not be decomposed under visible light irradiation without  $\text{TiO}_2$  or in the dark in the presence of  $\text{TiO}_2$ . Similar results were obtained in the visible-light-degradation of malachite green [55]. In this study, the electrons for the excited states of MB under visible light might be transferred to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ , leading to the formation of hydroxyl radicals for oxidation. The degradation rate increased with increasing  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  amount, implying that reaction of Eq. 5 hardly occurred and that all  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  contributed to trapping electrons for producing hydroxyl radicals.

#### 4. Conclusions

Various composites of  $\text{G/TiO}_2$ ,  $\text{G/ZnO}$ , and  $\text{G/Ta}_2\text{O}_5$ , were successfully prepared and their properties were characterized. The absorption edges of  $\text{TiO}_2$  and  $\text{ZnO}$  were extended to visible light region by rGO, however, only  $\text{G/TiO}_2$  showed visible light photocatalytic activity. The effect of oxidants on MB degradation under visible light irradiations was investigated. PDS showed a weakly negative effect, while PMS and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  were able to increase MB degradation rate. The enhancement of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  to the system of  $\text{G/TiO}_2+\text{vis}$  was ascribed to the minimized quenching reactions and the promoted ability in trapping photoinduced carriers.

#### References

- [1] M.I. Stefan, A.R. Hoy, J.R. Bolton, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 30 (1996) 2382-2390.
- [2] Y. Lee, U. von Gunten, *Wat. Res.* 44 (2010) 555-566.
- [3] D. Dvoranova, V. Brezova, M. Mazur, M.A. Malati, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.* 37 (2002) 91-105.
- [4] Q.J. Yang, H. Choi, Y.J. Chen, D.D. Dionysiou, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.* 77 (2008) 300-307.
- [5] G.P. Anipsitakis, D.D. Dionysiou, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.* 54 (2004) 155-163.
- [6] H.Q. Sun, Y. Bai, H.J. Liu, W.Q. Jin, N.P. Xu, G.J. Chen, B.Q. Xu, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 112 (2008) 13304-13309.
- [7] H.Q. Sun, R. Ullah, S.H. Chong, H.M. Ang, M.O. Tade, S.B. Wang, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.* 108 (2011) 127-133.
- [8] P. Shukla, I. Fatimah, S.B. Wang, H.M. Ang, M.O. Tade, *Catal. Tod.* 157 (2010) 410-414.
- [9] H.Q. Sun, X.H. Feng, S.B. Wang, H.M. Ang, M.O. Tade, *Chem. Engin. J.* 170 (2011) 270-277.
- [10] G.L. Zhou, H.Q. Sun, S.B. Wang, H.M. Ang, M.O. Tade, *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 80 (2011) 626-634.
- [11] J. Fernandez, P. Maruthamuthu, J. Kiwi, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A-Chem.* 161 (2004) 185-192.
- [12] J. Fernandez, P. Maruthamuthu, A. Renken, J. Kiwi, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.* 49 (2004) 207-215.

- [13] P.R. Shukla, S.B. Wang, H.Q. Sun, H.M. Ang, M. Tade, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.* 100 (2010) 529-534.
- [14] P. Shukla, H.Q. Sun, S.B. Wang, H.M. Ang, M.O. Tade, *Catal. Tod.* 175 (2011) 380-385.
- [15] H.Q. Sun, H.Y. Tian, Y. Hardjono, C.E. Buckley, S.B. Wang, *Catal. Tod.* 186 (2012) 63-68.
- [16] H.Q. Sun, S.B. Wang, H.M. Ang, M.O. Tade, Q. Li, *Chem. Engin. J.* 162 (2010) 437-447.
- [17] L.W. Zhang, H.B. Fu, Y.F. Zhu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 18 (2008) 2180-2189.
- [18] S. Sakthivel, B. Neppolian, M.V. Shankar, B. Arabindoo, M. Palanichamy, V. Murugesan, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* 77 (2003) 65-82.
- [19] Z.F. Zhu, F. Yu, Y. Man, Q.Y. Tian, Y. He, N.Z. Wu, *J. Sol. State Chem.* 178 (2005) 224-229.
- [20] D. Li, H. Haneda, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A-Chem.* 155 (2003) 171-178.
- [21] G.C.C. Yang, S.W. Chan, *J. Nanopart. Res.* 11 (2009) 221-230.
- [22] Y.F. Huang, Y.L. Wei, J.H. Wu, C.S. Guo, M. Wang, S. Yin, T. Sato, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.* 123 (2012) 9-17.
- [23] T. Murase, H. Irie, K. Hashimoto, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 108 (2004) 15803-15807.
- [24] Z.H. Li, J.W. Liu, J.Y. Li, J. Shen, *Nanoscale* 4 (2012) 3867-3870.
- [25] L.L. Xu, W.D. Shi, J.G. Guan, *Catal. Commun.* 25 (2012) 54-58.
- [26] M.J. Allen, V.C. Tung, R.B. Kaner, *Chem. Rev.* 110 (2010) 132-145.
- [27] W.G. Wang, J.G. Yu, Q.J. Xiang, B. Cheng, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.* 119 (2012) 109-116.
- [28] H. Zhang, X.J. Lv, Y.M. Li, Y. Wang, J.H. Li, *ACS Nano* 4 (2010) 380-386.
- [29] V. Stengl, D. Popelkova, P. Vlacil, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 115 (2011) 25209-25218.
- [30] J.S. Lee, K.H. You, C.B. Park, *Adv. Mater.* 24 (2012) 1084-1088.
- [31] D.L. Zhao, G.D. Sheng, C.L. Chen, X.K. Wang, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.* 111 (2012) 303-308.
- [32] Y. Liu, Y. Hu, M.J. Zhou, H.S. Qian, X. Hu, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.* 125 (2012) 425-431.
- [33] T.G. Xu, L.W. Zhang, H.Y. Cheng, Y.F. Zhu, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.* 101 (2011) 382-387.
- [34] J.F. Wang, T. Tsuzuki, B. Tang, X.L. Hou, L. Sun, X.G. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interf.* 4 (2012) 3084-3090.
- [35] H. Sun, S. Liu, G. Zhou, H.M. Ang, M.O. Tade, S. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interf.* (2012).
- [36] W.S. Hummers Jr, Hummers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 80 (1958) 1339.
- [37] J.Y. Jang, M.S. Kim, H.M. Jeong, C.M. Shin, *Comp. Sci. Technol.* 69 (2009) 186-191.
- [38] H.Q. Sun, Y. Bai, W.Q. Jin, N.P. Xu, *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells* 92 (2008) 76-83.
- [39] T.C. An, J.K. Liu, G.Y. Li, S.Q. Zhang, H.J. Zhao, X.Y. Zeng, G.Y. Sheng, J.M. Fu, *Appl. Catal. A-Gen.* 350 (2008) 237-243.
- [40] J.K. Liu, T.C. An, G.Y. Li, N.Z. Bao, G.Y. Sheng, J.M. Fu, *Micropor. Mesopor. Mater.* 124 (2009) 197-203.
- [41] S. Wakeland, R. Martinez, J.K. Grey, C.C. Luhrs, *Carbon* 48 (2010) 3463-3470.
- [42] R.Y. Hong, T.T. Pan, J.Z. Qian, H.Z. Li, *Chem. Engin. J.* 119 (2006) 71-81.
- [43] H.C. Huang, T.E. Hsieh, *J. Appl. Poly. Sci.* 117 (2010) 1252-1259.
- [44] H.Q. Sun, Y. Bai, Y.P. Cheng, W.Q. Jin, N.P. Xu, *Ind. Engin. Chem. Res.* 45 (2006) 4971-4976.
- [45] X.B. Fan, W.C. Peng, Y. Li, X.Y. Li, S.L. Wang, G.L. Zhang, F.B. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.* 20 (2008) 4490-4493.
- [46] T. Sreethawong, S. Ngamsinlapasathian, Y. Suzuki, S. Yoshikawa, *J. Mol. Catal. A-Chem.* 235 (2005) 1-11.
- [47] M. Stodolny, M. Laniecki, *Catal. Tod.* 142 (2009) 314-319.
- [48] M.M. Mohamed, M.M. Al-Esaimi, *J. Mol. Catal. A-Chem.* 255 (2006) 53-61.
- [49] N.T. Nolan, D.W. Synnott, M.K. Seery, S.J. Hinder, A. Van Wassenhoven, S.C. Pillai, *J. Hazard.*

- Mater. 211 (2012) 88-94.
- [50] A. Mills, M. Sheik, C. O'Rourke, M. McFarlane, Appl. Catal. B-Environ. 89 (2009) 189-195.
- [51] P. Shukla, H.Q. Sun, S.B. Wang, H.M. Ang, M.O. Tade, Sep. Purif. Technol. 77 (2011) 230-236.
- [52] J.Y. Chen, G.Y. Li, Y. Huang, H.M. Zhang, H.J. Zhao, T.C. An, Appl. Catal. B-Environ. 123 (2012) 69-77.
- [53] P. Shukla, S.B. Wang, H.Q. Sun, H.M. Ang, M. Tade, Chem. Engin. J. 164 (2010) 255-260.
- [54] P.R. Shukla, S.B. Wang, H.M. Ang, M.O. Tade, Sep. Purif. Technol. 70 (2010) 338-344.
- [55] M.M. Cheng, W.H. Ma, J. Li, Y.P. Huang, J.C. Zhao, Environ. Sci. Technol. 38 (2004) 1569-1575.
- [56] X.Z. Li, C.C. Chen, J.C. Zhao, Langmuir 17 (2001) 4118-4122.

### List of Figures

**Figure 1** XRD patterns of G/TiO<sub>2</sub> (A), G/ZnO (B), and G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (C).

**Figure 2** SEM images of G/TiO<sub>2</sub> (A), G/ZnO (B), and G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (C).

**Figure 3** TG/DSC diagram of the three composites of G/TiO<sub>2</sub>, G/ZnO and G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>



**Figure 4** FTIR spectra of GO and rGO/photocatalyst composites

**Figure 5** UV-vis DRS of photocatalysts and their composites

**Figure 6** Photocatalytic oxidation of methylene blue at various conditions. (A) TiO<sub>2</sub> and G/TiO<sub>2</sub>: (a) visible light only, (b) TiO<sub>2</sub> and visible light, (c) UV-visible light only, (d) G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and visible light, (e) G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and UV-visible light, and (f) TiO<sub>2</sub> and UV-visible light. (B) ZnO and G/ZnO: (a) G/ZnO and visible light, (b) G/ZnO and UV-visible light, and (c) ZnO and UV-visible light; (C) Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: (a) G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and visible light, (b) Commercial Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and UV-visible light, and (c) G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and UV-visible light.

**Figure 7** Photochemical oxidation of methylene blue at various conditions. (A) Effect of oxidants, (B) Effect of amount of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: (a) 0.338 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> only, (b) 0.338 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and visible light, (c) G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and vis and 0.050 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, (d) G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and vis and 0.147 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, (e) G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and

vis and 0.250 mol/L  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ , and (f) G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and vis and 0.338 mol/L  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ .










Fig. 1 XRD patterns of G/TiO<sub>2</sub> (A), G/ZnO (B), and G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (C).





**Fig. 2** SEM images of G/TiO<sub>2</sub> (A), G/ZnO (B), and G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (C).




Fig. 3 TG/DSC diagram of the three composites of G/TiO<sub>2</sub>, G/ZnO and G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>




Fig. 4 FTIR spectra of GO and rGO/photocatalyst composites




Fig. 5 UV-vis DRS of photocatalyst and their composites




Fig. 6 Photocatalytic oxidation of methylene blue at various conditions. (A) TiO<sub>2</sub> and G/TiO<sub>2</sub>: (a) visible light only, (b) TiO<sub>2</sub> and visible light, (c) UV-visible light only, (d) G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and visible light, (e) G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and UV-visible light, and (f) TiO<sub>2</sub> and UV-visible light. (B) ZnO and G/ZnO: (a) G/ZnO and visible light, (b) G/ZnO and UV-visible light, and (c) ZnO and UV-visible light; (C) Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: (a) G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and visible light, (b) Commercial Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and UV-visible light, and (c) G/Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and UV-visible light.




Fig. 7 Photochemical oxidation of methylene blue at various conditions. (A) Effect of oxidants, (B) Effect of amount of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: (a) 0.338 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> only, (b) 0.338 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and visible light, (c) G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and vis and 0.050 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, (d) G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and vis and 0.147 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, (e) G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and vis and 0.250 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and (f) G/TiO<sub>2</sub> and vis and 0.338 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

## Graphical abstract

