



## Condom and oral contraceptive use and risk of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia in Australian women

Hui Jun Chih<sup>1</sup>, Andy H. Lee<sup>1</sup>, Linda Colville<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Xu<sup>2</sup>, Colin W. Binns<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Public Health, <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Education, Faculty of Health Sciences, Curtin University, Perth, Australia

**Objective:** To assess the association between condom use and oral contraceptive consumption and the risk of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN).

**Methods:** A cross-sectional study was conducted in Perth clinics. A total of 348 women responded to the structured questionnaire. Information sought included demographic and lifestyle characteristics such as the use of condom for contraception, consumption of oral contraceptive, and duration of oral contraceptive usage. Crude and adjusted odds ratio (OR) and associated 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated using unconditional logistic regression models and reported as estimates of the relative risk.

**Results:** The prevalence of CIN was found to be 15.8%. The duration of oral contraceptive consumption among women with abnormal Papanicolaou (Pap) smear result indicating CIN was significantly shorter than those without abnormal Pap smear result (mean±SD, 5.6±5.2 years vs. 8.2±7.6 years;  $p=0.002$ ). Comparing to  $\leq 3$  years usage, prolonged consumption of oral contraceptive for  $\geq 10$  years reduced the risk of CIN ( $p=0.012$ ). However, use of condom for contraception might not be associated with a reduced risk of CIN after accounting for the effects of confounding factors (adjusted OR, 0.52; 95% CI, 0.05 to 5.11;  $p=0.577$ ).

**Conclusion:** Use of oral contraceptives, but not condoms, for contraception appeared to be inversely associated with CIN. Prolonged use of oral contraceptive demonstrated its benefits of reducing the risk of CIN.

**Keywords:** Australia, Cervical intraepithelial neoplasia, Comparative study, Condom, Oral contraceptive

### INTRODUCTION

Cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) is initiated by human papillomavirus (HPV) infection [1]. Sexual and reproductive behaviours, as well as hormone levels and hormone receptors, are known to affect the development of CIN [1]. Besides vaccination, use of condoms is an effective barrier against HPV infection [2], and may reduce the risk of CIN among women highly susceptible to HPV infection [3]. Its protective effect

needs to be ascertained on other women.

The transforming zone of the cervix, where HPV initiates CIN, is sex-hormone dependent [1]. Steroid hormones including progesterone, influence HPV actions and indirectly contribute to HPV-related CIN [1]. Despite the widespread use of oral contraceptives and its protective effect against cancers affecting female, such as endometrial cancer [4,5], there is no consensus on the use of oral contraceptives and the health of the cervix [6-8]. While a joint report by the World Cancer Research Fund and the American Institute for Cancer Research suggested a possible increased risk of cervical cancer [9], the World Health Organization did not recommend discontinuing the use of oral contraceptives as its use outweighed its risk [10]. Indeed, evidence is lacking on the relationship between oral

Received Jul 26, 2013, Revised Aug 19, 2013, Accepted Aug 27, 2013

**Correspondence to** Hui Jun Chih  
School of Public Health, Curtin University, GPO Box U1987, Perth, WA 6845, Australia. E-mail: h.chih@curtin.edu.au

Copyright © 2014. Asian Society of Gynecologic Oncology, Korean Society of Gynecologic Oncology

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

contraceptive consumption and the risk of CIN [11,12]. Therefore, the present study aims to investigate whether the use of non-clinical contraception, particularly condoms and oral contraceptives, is associated with any risk of CIN in Australian women.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Community-dwelling adult women within metropolitan Perth, Western Australia who had a Papanicolaou (Pap) smear test at five medical centres and clinics (Parkwood Medical Centre; Murdoch Health and Counselling Service; Fremantle Women's Health; Women's Health Services, Northbridge; Women's Health Service Incorporation, Gosnells) were approached by their general practitioners. Temporary residents, women below 18 years of age, women who had a history of breast, ovarian or endometrial cancer, and those with a chronic debilitating disease, were excluded from this study. Following consecutive referrals from the general practitioners, and further screening and subsequent withdrawals, a total of 348 women were eventually recruited and signed the informed consent form. An appointment for interview was made with each participant by the third author. These face-to-face interviews were held at either the clinic of recruitment or the participants' residences. All participants were assured of confidentiality but blinded to the research hypothesis. The study protocol was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee at Curtin University (approval number HR 118/2006).

A structured questionnaire was used to collect information on demographic and lifestyle characteristics. In relation to contraception, information sought included the use of condom for contraception (no, yes), consumption of oral contraceptive (never, ever), and duration of oral contraceptive usage (years). Specifically, the participants were asked "What form of contraception, if any, do you use?" The choices were: "I use condoms (categorized as 'yes')," "I use another method of contraception (categorized as 'no')," and "None, I don't use contraception (categorized as 'no')." For the 'consumption of oral contraceptive,' the participants were asked "Are you currently using, or have used, the oral contraceptive pill?" In the context of 'duration of oral contraceptive usage,' the participants were asked "How many years in total have you ever taken the oral contraceptive pill?" Information about other sexual history was not asked as it did not contribute directly to CIN [13-15] and may cause embarrassment and burden to the participants. The Pap smear test outcome was classified as "normal" or "CIN" based on the result reported by

the accredited St John of God Pathology, Murdoch, Western Australia, Australia. The Pap smear status was defined according to the Australian Modified Bethesda System 2004 [16].

Descriptive statistics were first used to summarize the characteristics of the participants. Chi-square and independent samples t-tests were then applied to compare the variables between women with normal Pap smear status and with abnormal Pap smear result indicating CIN. To assess the effects of condom and oral contraceptive use on CIN risk, including duration of oral contraceptive consumption, the Pap smear test outcome was analysed by three separate unconditional logistic regression models. Duration of cumulative oral contraceptive consumption was categorized based on the distribution of women with normal Pap smear status into three increasing levels of exposure ( $\leq 3$  years, 3.1 to 9.9 years, and  $\geq 10$  years). Each fitted multivariable model included terms for age (years), age of first pregnancy (years), smoking duration (years), annual family income (<AUD \$15,000, AUD \$15,000 to 60,000, >AUD \$60,000), hormone replacement therapy (never use, ever use), and number of pregnancies. These confounding variables were plausible risk factors identified from the literature or from our univariate analyses. Crude and adjusted odds ratio (OR) and associated 95% confidence interval (CI) were reported as estimates of the relative risk. All statistical analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS ver. 20 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA).

## RESULTS

**Table 1** presents characteristics of the 348 participants by CIN status. The prevalence of CIN was found to be 15.8% (55/348) in the present study. Most of the participants were married (99%) and never smoked (62%). Women with CIN (n=55) tended to be younger ( $p < 0.001$ ) and earned less ( $p = 0.019$ ) than those without CIN (n=293). Body mass index, lifestyle and reproductive characteristics were similar between the two groups ( $p > 0.05$ ).

The duration of cumulative oral contraceptive consumption among women without CIN was significantly longer than those with CIN (mean  $\pm$  SD,  $8.2 \pm 7.6$  years vs.  $5.6 \pm 5.2$  years;  $p = 0.002$ ). Logistic regression results in **Table 2** further showed that long term consumption of oral contraceptive for at least 10 years was associated with a reduced risk; the adjusted OR was 0.17 (95% CI, 0.04 to 0.69) when compared to 3 years or less usage. However, use of condom for contraception might not be associated with a reduced risk of CIN after accounting for the effects of confounding factors (adjusted OR, 0.52; 95% CI, 0.05 to 5.11).

**Table 1.** Characteristics of participants by CIN status

Variable	Without CIN (n=293)	With CIN (n=55)	p-value*
Age (yr)	46.8±13.7	38.8±15.2	<0.001
Age of first menarche (yr)	13.0±1.5	13.2±1.6	0.300
Age of first pregnancy (yr)	25.2±5.3	24.5±5.5	0.435
No. of pregnancies	2.1±1.6	1.9±1.9	0.361
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>†</sup>	26.1±5.42	25.1±5.0	0.223
Smoking duration (yr) <sup>†</sup>	8.5±10.05	10.7±6.6	0.111
Smoking status			0.143
Never smoked	187 (63.8)	29 (52.7)	
Current smoker	29 (9.9)	10 (18.2)	
Ex-smoker	77 (26.3)	16 (29.1)	
Marital status			0.119
Never married/ <i>de facto</i>	2 (0.7)	2 (3.6)	
Married	291 (99.3)	53 (96.4)	
Nationality			0.149
Australia/New Zealand	189 (64.5)	41 (74.5)	
Others	104 (35.5)	14 (25.5)	
Annual family income (AUD \$) <sup>†</sup>			0.019
<15,000	14 (4.8)	7 (12.7)	
15,000–60,000	135 (46.6)	30 (54.5)	
>60,000	141 (48.6)	18 (32.7)	
Hormone replacement therapy <sup>†</sup>			0.290
Never use	213 (73.2)	44 (80.0)	
Ever use	78 (26.8)	11 (20.0)	

Values are presented as mean±SD or number (%).  
 AUD, Australian dollar; CIN, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia.  
 \*Chi-square or t-test for difference between two groups. †Missing data present.

**Table 2.** Association between contraception use and risk of CIN in Australian women

Variable	Without CIN (n=293)	With CIN (n=55)	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted* OR (95% CI)	p-value
Duration of cumulative oral contraceptive consumption (yr)					0.012
≤3	99 (33.8)	25 (45.5)	1.00	1.00	
>3 and <10	83 (28.3)	18 (32.7)	0.859 (0.438–1.682)	0.339 (0.093–1.235)	
≥10	111 (37.9)	12 (21.8)	0.428 (0.204–0.897)	0.169 (0.042–0.689)	
Consumption of oral contraceptive					0.221
Never use	243 (82.9)	43 (78.2)	1.00	1.00	
Ever use	50 (17.1)	12 (21.8)	1.356 (0.668–2.755)	0.256 (0.029–2.267)	
Use of condom for contraception					0.577
No	261 (89.1)	50 (90.9)	1.00	1.00	
Yes	32 (10.9)	5 (9.1)	0.816 (0.303–2.195)	0.523 (0.054–5.108)	

Values are presented as number (%).  
 AUD, Australian dollar; CI, confidence interval; CIN, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia; OR, odds ratio.  
 \*Adjusted for age (yr), age of first pregnancy (yr), smoking duration (yr), annual family income (<AUD \$15,000, AUD \$15,000–60,000, >AUD \$60,000), hormone replacement therapy (never use, ever use), and number of pregnancies.

## DISCUSSION

In this study, cumulative use of oral contraceptive was longer amongst women with CIN. After controlling for plausible confounding factors, prolonged oral contraceptive consumption was found to be inversely associated with the risk of CIN in Australian women, consistent with a previous report of a decreased risk of CIN with use over 5 years [17]. The potentially protective mechanism may be attributable to the hormonal effect of oral contraceptive on HPV DNA expression and the viscosity of the cervical mucus [18,19]. Estrogen can protect the mucosal immune system against early HPV infection [17], while the viscosity of the mucus affects the penetration of foreign bodies including HPV [19]. The inverse association of the risk of CIN with long term oral contraceptive consumption could also be due to the relatively stable sexual relationships among middle-aged women, who tended to prefer oral contraception to the use of condoms [20]. Previous studies have found the use of oral contraceptive to be not associated with the risk of CIN [21-26], while some studies reported that an increased risk was plausible for high grade CIN [27,28].

The association between use of condom for contraception and risk of CIN was not significant. Nevertheless, the potential beneficial effect of condom use against CIN has been demonstrated in other studies [19,29]. Evidence showed that barrier methods of contraception such as condom could increase the clearance of HPV infection [30,31], thereby reducing the risk of CIN [3]. The relatively small sample size of this study might explain the apparent lack of association observed.

The strength of this study includes using standardized questionnaire, classification of the Australian Modified Bethesda System and the accredited pathology. The face-to-face interviews by a single investigator (third author) also eliminated inter-interviewer bias. A major limitation is the small sample cross-sectional retrospective design so that cause-effect relationship cannot be established. Another limitation is the one-off assessment of Pap smear status as HPV infection can be transient and CIN may regress [32]. In addition, the types of oral contraceptive used by the participants were not recorded. Interaction between the estrogen and progesterone receptors and the oral contraceptive can affect the physiology of the cervical epithelium [33]. Similarly, ethnicity may play a role in the disease etiology. Large-scale multiethnic longitudinal age-matched studies including detailed information on sexual history and behavior, together with periodical assessments of Pap smear status, are recommended to confirm the association of risk of CIN with various use of contraception for women from various backgrounds. Both developed and developing countries should be targeted for consideration. Despite these

limitations, the present study found that prolonged oral contraceptive use was associated with a decreased risk of CIN. As the protective benefits of oral contraceptives, and possibly that of condom, outweighed the adverse effect, their use should not be discontinued without consultation with general practitioners.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful for the in-kind support provided by Curtin University and the participants for their voluntary participation in this study.

## REFERENCES

1. Monsonego J, Magdelenat H, Catalan F, Coscas Y, Zerat L, Sastre X. Estrogen and progesterone receptors in cervical human papillomavirus related lesions. *Int J Cancer* 1991;48:533-9.
2. Parazzini F, Negri E, La Vecchia C, Fedele L. Barrier methods of contraception and the risk of cervical neoplasia. *Contraception* 1989;40:519-30.
3. Coker AL, Sanders LC, Bond SM, Gerasimova T, Pirisi L. Hormonal and barrier methods of contraception, oncogenic human papillomaviruses, and cervical squamous intraepithelial lesion development. *J Womens Health Gen Based Med* 2001;10:441-9.
4. Grimbizis GF, Tarlatzis BC. The use of hormonal contraception and its protective role against endometrial and ovarian cancer. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* 2010;24:29-38.
5. Pasalich M, Su D, Binns CW, Lee AH. Reproductive factors for ovarian cancer in southern Chinese women. *J Gynecol Oncol* 2013;24:135-40.
6. Schneider HP, Mueck AO, Kuhl H. IARC monographs program on carcinogenicity of combined hormonal contraceptives and menopausal therapy. *Climacteric* 2005;8:311-6.
7. Rieck G, Fiander A. The effect of lifestyle factors on gynaecological cancer. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* 2006;20:227-51.
8. Webberley H, Mann M. Oral contraception. *Curr Obstet Gynaecol* 2003;13:21-9.
9. World Cancer Research Fund, American Institute for Cancer Research. Food, nutrition, physical activity, and the prevention of cancer: a global perspective. Washington DC: American Institute for Cancer Research; 2007.
10. Gadducci A, Barsotti C, Cosio S, Domenici L, Riccardo Genazzani A.

- Smoking habit, immune suppression, oral contraceptive use, and hormone replacement therapy use and cervical carcinogenesis: a review of the literature. *Gynecol Endocrinol* 2011;27:597-604.
11. Folger SG, Curtis KM, Tepper NK, Gaffield ME, Marchbanks PA. Guidance on medical eligibility criteria for contraceptive use: identification of research gaps. *Contraception* 2010;82:113-8.
  12. Miller K, Blumenthal P, Blanchard K. Oral contraceptives and cervical cancer: critique of a recent review. *Contraception* 2004;69:347-51.
  13. Kjellberg L, Hallmans G, Ahren AM, Johansson R, Bergman F, Wadell G, et al. Smoking, diet, pregnancy and oral contraceptive use as risk factors for cervical intra-epithelial neoplasia in relation to human papillomavirus infection. *Br J Cancer* 2000;82:1332-8.
  14. Kim J, Kim BK, Lee CH, Seo SS, Park SY, Roh JW. Human papillomavirus genotypes and cofactors causing cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and cervical cancer in Korean women. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2012;22:1570-6.
  15. Ma LT, Campbell GA, Richardson G, Schnadig VJ. Should high-risk adolescents have Papanicolaou tests? *Cancer Cytopathol* 2013;121:432-9.
  16. National Health and Medical Research Council. Screening to prevent cervical cancer: guidelines for the management of asymptomatic women with screen detected abnormalities. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia; 2005.
  17. Schiff M, Miller J, Masuk M, van Asselt King L, Altobelli KK, Wheeler CM, et al. Contraceptive and reproductive risk factors for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia in American Indian women. *Int J Epidemiol* 2000;29:983-90.
  18. Guven S, Kart C, Guvendag Guven ES, Gunalp GS. The underlying cause of cervical cancer in oral contraceptive users may be related to cervical mucus changes. *Med Hypotheses* 2007;69:550-2.
  19. Schmeink CE, Massuger LF, Lenselink CH, Quint WG, Melchers WJ, Bekkers RL. Effect of the menstrual cycle and hormonal contraceptives on human papillomavirus detection in young, unscreened women. *Obstet Gynecol* 2010;116:67-75.
  20. Read C, Bateson D, Weisberg E, Estoesta J. Contraception and pregnancy then and now: examining the experiences of a cohort of mid-age Australian women. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol* 2009;49:429-33.
  21. Kazerooni T, Mosalae A. Does contraceptive method change the Pap smear finding? *Contraception* 2002;66:243-6.
  22. Moscicki AB, Hills N, Shiboski S, Powell K, Jay N, Hanson E, et al. Risks for incident human papillomavirus infection and low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion development in young females. *JAMA* 2001;285:2995-3002.
  23. Syrjanen K, Shabalova I, Petrovichev N, Kozachenko V, Zakharova T, Pajanidi J, et al. Oral contraceptives are not an independent risk factor for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia or high-risk human papillomavirus infections. *Anticancer Res* 2006;26(6C):4729-40.
  24. Harris TG, Miller L, Kulasingam SL, Feng Q, Kiviat NB, Schwartz SM, et al. Depot-medroxyprogesterone acetate and combined oral contraceptive use and cervical neoplasia among women with oncogenic human papillomavirus infection. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2009;200:489.e1-8.
  25. Frega A, Scardamaglia P, Piazze J, Cerekja A, Pacchiarotti A, Verrico M, et al. Oral contraceptives and clinical recurrence of human papillomavirus lesions and cervical intraepithelial neoplasia following treatment. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2008;100:175-8.
  26. Longatto-Filho A, Hammes LS, Sarian LO, Roteli-Martins C, Derchain SF, Erzen M, et al. Hormonal contraceptives and the length of their use are not independent risk factors for high-risk HPV infections or high-grade CIN. *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 2011;71:93-103.
  27. Moscicki AB, Ma Y, Wibbelsman C, Powers A, Darragh TM, Farhat S, et al. Risks for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 3 among adolescents and young women with abnormal cytology. *Obstet Gynecol* 2008;112:1335-42.
  28. Samir R, Asplund A, Tot T, Pekar G, Hellberg D. Oral contraceptive and progestin-only use correlates to tissue tumor marker expression in women with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. *Contraception* 2012;85:288-93.
  29. Gavric-Lovrec V, Takac I. Use of various contraceptives and human papillomavirus 16 and 18 infections in women with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. *Int J STD AIDS* 2010;21:424-7.
  30. Richardson H, Abrahamowicz M, Tellier PP, Kelsall G, du Berger R, Ferenczy A, et al. Modifiable risk factors associated with clearance of type-specific cervical human papillomavirus infections in a cohort of university students. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2005;14:1149-56.
  31. Munk AC, Gudlaugsson E, Ovestad IT, Lovslett K, Fiane B, Hidle Bv, et al. Interaction of epithelial biomarkers, local immune response and condom use in cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 2-3 regression. *Gynecol Oncol* 2012;127:489-94.
  32. Smith JS, Green J, Berrington de Gonzalez A, Appleby P, Peto J, Plummer M, et al. Cervical cancer and use of hormonal contraceptives: a systematic review. *Lancet* 2003;361:1159-67.
  33. Green J, Berrington de Gonzalez A, Smith JS, Franceschi S, Appleby P, Plummer M, et al. Human papillomavirus infection and use of oral contraceptives. *Br J Cancer* 2003;88:1713-20.