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ABSTRACT

The thesis assessed the potential impact of ethnicity on subjective sensations of hunger and food intake. Eighteen Asian and twenty six Caucasian origin resided in Australia who were overweight/obese with or without weight fluctuation in the last 6 months had their hunger/satiety sensations tracked before and for 2h after an oral glucose load, which then followed by recorded food intake during lunch buffet meal and over 24h. There were no differences in hunger/satiety sensations and food intake between ethnic groups. Weight stability interacted with ethnicity to influence hunger/satiety sensations and food intake during buffet lunch.
# TABLES OF CONTENT

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT ........................................................................................................ i  
ABSTRACT ........................................................................................................................ ii  
TABLES OF CONTENT ...................................................................................................... iii  
LIST OF TABLES ................................................................................................................ v  
LIST OF FIGURES ............................................................................................................... vi  

CHAPTER ONE ................................................................................................................... 1  
1.1 Statement of problem ............................................................................................... 1  
1.2 Aim and objectives of the study ............................................................................. 2  
1.3 Relevance of the study ............................................................................................ 3  
1.4 Definition of terms ................................................................................................... 3  
1.5 Research overview .................................................................................................. 4  

CHAPTER TWO .................................................................................................................. 5  
2.1 Regulation of food intake ....................................................................................... 5  
2.2 Biomarker of satiation ............................................................................................ 7  
2.3 Ethnicity ................................................................................................................... 11  
2.4 Metabolic and food preference disruption in overweight and obese subjects ........ 14  

CHAPTER THREE .............................................................................................................. 20  
3.1 Study Protocol ......................................................................................................... 20  
3.2 Sample Size ............................................................................................................ 21  
3.3 Study Instruments .................................................................................................. 22  
3.4 Ethics Approval ....................................................................................................... 27  
3.5 Data Analysis ........................................................................................................... 27  
3.6 Summary .................................................................................................................. 29  

CHAPTER FOUR ............................................................................................................... 30  
4.1 Demographics and metabolic health characteristics ............................................. 30  
4.2 Correlation between glycemia/ glucose response and subjective sensations ...... 35  
4.4 Correlation on subjective sensations and macronutrient intake during subsequent lunch ......................................................................................................................... 37  
4.5 Oral Glucose Tolerance Test ................................................................................. 39  
4.6 Subjective sensations of hunger, satiety, desire for food and amount of prospective food to be consume ......................................................................................... 44  
4.7 Amount of food consumed during lunch by ethnicity adjusted by metabolic profiles .................................................................................................................. 52
4.8 Palatability of food consumed during lunch by ethnicity ........................................53
4.9 Amount of food consumed during 24-h post OGTT adjusted by model of metabolic profiles ................................................................. 56

CHAPTER FIVE ........................................................................................................... 60

6.1 Conclusion ........................................................................................................... 70
6.2 Recommendation for future research ................................................................. 71

Appendix 1-Additional Tables .................................................................................. 72
Appendix 2- Informed Consent .................................................................................. 76
Appendix 3- Information Sheet .................................................................................. 77
Appendix 4- Screening Questionnaire ...................................................................... 84
Appendix 5- Visual Analog Scale .............................................................................. 91
Appendix 6- Palatability Questionnaire ................................................................... 93
Appendix 7- Food intake form during lunch .............................................................. 95
Appendix 8- 24 hour Food Record Diary .................................................................. 96
Appendix 9- Total Food Emotional Questionnaire (Short version) ....................... 104
Appendix 10- Physical Activity Diary ..................................................................... 112

REFERENCES ......................................................................................................... 117
LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Nutrition composition of ready-to-eat meals ................................................. 24
Table 2. Demographic characteristics of the study participants ................................. 31
Table 3. Metabolic health characteristics of the study participants ............................... 33
Table 4. Physical activity and restraint eating score of the study participants .............. 35
Table 5. Pearson's correlation coefficient between glucose response and subjective sensations ........................................................................................................................................ 36
Table 6. Pearson's correlation on glucose response and macronutrient intake during subsequent lunch ..................................................................................................................................... 38
Table 7. Pearson's correlation between subjective sensations and macronutrient intake during subsequent lunch ..................................................................................................................................... 40
Table 8. Blood glucose level by ethnicity and weight stability ...................................... 43
Table 9. Subjective sensation score by ethnicity and weight stability ............................ 46
Table 10. AUC subjective sensations by ethnicity and weight stability adjusted for model of metabolic profiles ....................................................................................................................................... 50
Table 11. Energy and macronutrient intake during buffet lunch by ethnicity and weight stability adjusted to metabolic profiles ..................................................................................................................................... 54
Table 12. Energy and macronutrient intake during 24-h food record by ethnicity and weight stability adjusted to metabolic profiles ..................................................................................................................................... 58
Table 13. Absolute palatability score of buffet lunch by ethnicity and weight stability ....... 72
Table 14. Nutrients intake within 24-h after OGTT by ethnicity and weight stability ........ 73
Table 15. Pearson's correlation between body composition and AUC subjective sensations by ethnicity and weight stability .................................................................................................................................... 75
LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Example of subsequent lunch after OGTT .................................................. 25
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-MESH</td>
<td>alpha melanocyte-stimulating hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANCOVA</td>
<td>Analysis of covariance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARC</td>
<td>Arcuate nucleus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Body Mass Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCK</td>
<td>Cholecystokinin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>Central Nervous System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEXA</td>
<td>Dual Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIP</td>
<td>Glucose dependent insulino tropic polypeptide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLP-1</td>
<td>Glucagon Peptide-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLUT</td>
<td>Glucose transporter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-h</td>
<td>hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>Homeostatic Model Assessment Insulin Resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iAUC</td>
<td>incremental Area Under the Curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGT</td>
<td>Impaired Glucose Tolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFG</td>
<td>Impaired Fasting Glycemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>Inter Quartile Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kg</td>
<td>kilogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mg</td>
<td>milligram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONW</td>
<td>metabolic obese with normo-weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGT</td>
<td>Normal glucose tolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGIS</td>
<td>Oral glucose insulin sensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGTT</td>
<td>Oral Glucose Tolerance Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PYY</td>
<td>Peptide tyrosine-tyrosine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Standard Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEFQ</td>
<td>Total Emotional Factor Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tAUC</td>
<td>total Area Under the Curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>Visual Analog scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>Waist-circumference ratio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Statement of problem

The Australian Bureau of Statistics showed that prevalence of overweight and obesity among adults in Australia had increased from 56.3% in 1995 to 61.2% in 2007/8 and to 62.8% in 2012 (ABS, 2013). The latter year comprised of 35.4% overweight and 27.4% obese. In parallel, prevalence of diabetes in Australia had doubled since 1981 to 2000 and is predicted to reach around 1.8 million in 2030 (Whiting et al., 2011, Shaw et al., 2010).

Body weight and body energy content remains quite stable in most adults for long periods of time despite daily fluctuations in energy intake and energy expenditure. However, this requires the presence of regulatory processes able to match fuel supply to energy requirements to ensure stability of weight (Galgani and Ravussin, 2008, Martinez, 2000). The maintenance of a physiological set point for body weight is complex and includes amino-static and glucose-static controls of feeding, metabolic or nutrient partitioning, input from the sympathetic nervous system, signals from adipose tissue as well as additional behavioral influences (Martinez, 2000). The interaction to maintain body weight focuses on an axis in which three different self-regulated components, namely food intake, nutrient turnover, thermogenesis and body fat store, interacts. Genetics, the environment and psychosocial factors also play a role in influencing these regulatory processes (Hill, 2006, Martinez, 2000).
Overweight and obesity has been viewed as secondary to appetite dysfunction (Speechly and Buffenstein, 2000). Increased food intake is closely related to increased calorie intake (Ello-Martin et al., 2005, Blundell and Gillett, 2001) which is then stored in the body as fat and be accumulated over certain period of time (Ello-Martin et al., 2005). Fat mass in the body was correlated with the release of hunger stimulating hormones, such as Ghrelin (Benedict et al., 2014). This leads to a vicious cycle in overweight and obese subjects.

Asian ethnicities, such as Indian and Chinese origin had a higher risk of accumulating body adipose tissue which could lead to obesity and other metabolic diseases in later life as compared to Caucasians (Schmiegelow et al., 2015, Al Rifai et al., 2015, Lu et al., 2014, Rasmussen-Torvik et al., 2012, Borai et al., 2009, Yajnik et al., 2003, Lubree et al., 2002, Mayer-Davis et al., 1997, Chiu et al., 2000). The above-mentioned ethnic groups have also been studied to have increased risk of developing insulin resistance at a lower BMI (Yajnik et al., 2015, Bavdekar et al., 1999). However, studies to investigate the role of ethnicity in influencing subjective hunger and satiety sensations as proxy indicator for food intake are lacking. We therefore assessed subjective hunger/satiety sensations after Oral Glucose Tolerance (OGTT) in relation to an acute and subsequent 24-h food intake among overweight and obese Asians and Caucasians. The advantages of using OGTT as a pre-treatment condition are it provides a comparable amount of normal breakfast which is around 300 kcal and at the same time also serves as an indicator of Impaired Fasting Glucose or Impaired Glucose Tolerance. As glucose is one of the main drivers of hunger, an impaired response to glucose could play a role in influencing the subjective hunger /sensations and subsequent food intake, in addition to the potential role of ethnicity.

1.2 Aim and objectives of the study

The primary objective is:

to assess subjective appetite sensations on hunger, satiety and prospective food intake among Asian and Caucasian overweight/obese individuals residing in Australia.
The secondary objectives are:

1. to understand the influence of age, body composition, weight stability and impaired glycemia in modulating subjective hunger and food intake.
2. to understand the relation between subjective hunger, satiety and prospective and 24-h food intake.
3. To understand the interaction between ethnicity and weight stability in modulating subjective hunger and food intake.

1.3 Relevance of the study

The prevalence of overweight/obesity in Australian adults has increased significantly during the last decade (ABS, 2013). Regulation of appetite which is influenced by insulin-glucose physiology is an important factor in the energy balance equation (Batterham et al., 2007). Recent studies showed that insulin sensitivity was determined by ethnicity (Borai et al., 2009, Rasmussen-Torvik et al., 2012). The emerging ethnic mix resulted into a multicultural Australia with around 27% of the population now born outside the country from China, India, Malaysia and the Philippines (ABS, 2013). A greater understanding of the role of ethnicity in subjective sensations and food intake has important ramifications for weight control of the population.

1.4 Definition of terms

Ethnicity is defined by people who come from similar origin. In this study, we include study volunteers whose parents have similar ethnicity (Bhopal, 2006). Asian ethnicity in this study includes all ethnic groups in Asia starting from Northern Asia (China, Taiwan, Korea), South east Asia (Malaysia, Indonesia, Singapore) and South Asia (Indian, Sri Lanka and Pakistan). Caucasian ethnicity in this study includes all ethnic groups which originated in Europe which includes the United Kingdom and the rest of Europe.
Impaired glucose response is defined in accordance with the American Diabetes Association which classified study participants into Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM), Impaired Glucose Tolerance (IGT) and Impaired Fasting Glucose (IFG) based on fasting and 2-h glucose level (Expert Committee on the and Classification of Diabetes, 2003).

1.5 Research overview

This research investigated the influence of ethnicity on subjective hunger and fullness sensations and its relation to prospective food intake. Chapter 2 comprises a literature review to discuss food intake regulation, food intake indicators, influencing factors of food intake which includes glucose-insulin metabolism, body composition, ethnicity & other environmental factors. The study methodology is outlined in Chapter 3, the results are presented in Chapter 4 and discussion and interpretation of these results is outlined in Chapter 5. Conclusions and recommendations for further research are presented in Chapter 6.
CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature review comprises the following sections: regulation of food intake, biomarkers for satiation, factors influencing food intake, appetite disruption and food preference in overweight and obese subjects, the role of ethnicity. A literature search for each section was conducted to follow some aspects of systematic review. Relevant English publication from 2005-2015 using PubMed, Scopus and Google scholar database were retrieved. For regulation of food intake, following key words were included in the search: “eating” AND “food” AND “intake” OR ”food intake” AND “social control” OR “social” AND “control” AND “formal” OR “formal social control” OR ”regulation” AND” humans” or “human”. Manual selection was conducted to exclude: anti-obesity drugs, pharmaceutical preparations, disorders, metabolic disturbance, childhood, overweight and obesity, anorexia nervosa, uremic anorexia, breastmilk, hyperthyroidism, hyperaldosteronism, nutrition intervention, cancer, neoplasms. Around 9491 publications were retrieved and 62 publications were reviewed.

2.1 Regulation of food intake

The pattern of eating in humans is episodic and includes main meals, snacks and drinks (Bisogni et al., 2007, de Graaf et al., 2004, Gibney and Wolever, 1997). People will generally eat until they are comfortably full which is termed satiation, and this is interspersed with periods of time with no eating, i.e. satiety (de Graaf et al., 2004). The drive to eat is usually low after a meal and builds up until the moment of the next eating episode (de Graaf et al., 2004, Gibney and Wolever, 1997). Episodes of hunger and satiety are closely related with the amount of glucose, presence of satiety-related hormones such as Ghrelin, Leptin, CCK and environmental factors such as cognition, emotion and rewards (de
Graaf et al., 2004, Austin and Marks, 2009, Peneau et al., 2009, Schwartz et al., 2000, Batterham et al., 2007).

The internal driving force for the search, choice and ingestion of food is defined as appetite (de Graaf et al., 2004) which is controlled by short and long term chemical and mechanical processes (Schwartz, 2006). The mechanical process starts in the mouth and also, at the same time, activates the chemical process by releasing several enzymes and hormones related to digestion and satiety. Several hormones are related to the chemical part of food digestion and satiety (Schwartz, 2006) such as insulin which acts as a short term signal of satiety (Verdich et al., 2001, VanderWeele, 1994, de Graaf et al., 2004). Insulin could directly work through the insulin receptors in the hypothalamus (Verdich et al., 2001) or indirectly through satiety-inducing peptides, such as CCK, GLP-1 and PYY (Riedy et al., 1995, Schmid et al., 1989, Fernandez-Garcia et al., 2013, Vrang et al., 2006). A reduction in post prandial glucose and an increase in post-prandial insulin promote satiety and suppressed hunger, thereby changing food intake (Tamam et al., 2012, Friedman and Tordoff, 1986, Tordoff and Friedman, 1986).

The role of glucose in food intake regulation/energy metabolism is divided into Central Nervous System (CNS) related and non–CNS related (de Graaf et al., 2004, Schwartz, 2010). The CNS mechanism evolved from the glucostatic theory postulated by Mayer et al in 1950s (Mayer, 1955) followed by several studies showing different glucose concentrations in influencing hunger through glucoreceptors in the brain. These receptors detected changes in the rate of glucose utilization and thus regulated short-term appetite (Gielkens et al., 1998, Chapman et al., 1998, Andrews et al., 1998a, Andrews et al., 1998b, Welle et al., 1980). The non-CNS mechanism involved stimulation of glucoreceptor or osmoreceptor in the small intestine which further influenced the release of insulin, incretin peptides or both (Schwartz et al., 2000).
In summary, glucose modulates short-term food intake. A decrease in glucose utilization and blood glucose concentration leads to transient and dynamic declines of blood glucose to which activate meal requests (Melanson et al., 1999).

Gut hormones such as Ghrelin and Cholecystokinin (CCK) influence the long-term regulation of food intake by involving the central nervous system regulation (Schwartz, 2006). Ghrelin is secreted by the stomach and is indirectly influenced by its stretch-receptor (de Graaf et al., 2004). It is known as orixegenic (appetite stimulant) hormone and actively participates in the control of food intake, meal size and meal termination (Woods, 2004, de Graaf et al., 2004). In contrast to Ghrelin, Cholecystokinin (CCK) is an anorexigenic hormone and is secreted by enteroendocrine cells into the intestinal lumen of the stomach and the small intestine. CCK reaches its circulating peak around 25 minutes after food ingestion and stays elevated for three hours (Woods, 2004, de Graaf et al., 2004).

Other anorexigenic hormones are Glucagon-Peptide 1 (GLP-1), Peptide tyrosine-tyrosine (PYY) and Glucose dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP). GLP-1 is secreted in a biphasic pattern once the nutrients enter the ileum and colon (de Graaf et al., 2004, Woods, 2004). The first phase starts 10-15 min after ingestion followed by subsequent sustained release around 30-60 min post-ingestion. PYY is secreted at the distal segment of small intestine and prolongs inter-meal interval (de Graaf et al., 2004). GIP is synthesized in the mucosa of duodenum and jejunum and also induces insulin secretion (Woods, 2004, de Graaf et al., 2004).

### 2.2 Biomarker of satiation

#### 2.2.1 Visual Analog Scale for subjective hunger/satiation sensations

Appetite in humans could be assessed by two common methods, namely subjective ratings and measurement of actual food intake (Horner et al., 2014, de Graaf et al., 2004, Blundell et al., 1996). As satiation and satiety involves different functions of the brain and
different hormones release, a combination of both methods could provide improved sensitivity and specificity to assess satiation (de Graaf et al., 2004).

Visual analogue scale (VAS) of hunger and satiety is a validated measure to assess subjective states of motivation to eat before and in response to food in normal and obese subjects (Horner et al., 2014, Stubbs et al., 2000, de Graaf, 1993). The VAS measurement is a 100 mm in length, anchored at the most negative rating at one end and the most positive at the other end. It consists of 4 different questions which were designed to record subjective sensations of hunger, satiety, fullness, prospective food consumption and desire to eat.” Hunger” is a proxy marker for appetite for a meal, while “Fullness” refers to fullness sensation in the stomach. “Hunger” could be considered as the opposite of “Fullness”, while “Prospective food to be consumed” could refer to preoccupied thought of food and “desire to eat” may refer to a feeling for eating (Blundell et al., 1996).

VAS can also be used to track evolution of these sensations over a certain period of time or to assess pre- and post-effects of certain meal intervention (Flint et al., 2000, Gregersen et al., 2008). Reproducibility of VAS to measure hunger/satiety sensations has been shown with sample sizes of 17 subjects, provided there was standardization of diet. Such samples were capable of detecting a difference of 500 kJ in energy intake (Gregersen et al., 2008). Horner et al in 2014 also showed reproducibility of VAS among obese and overweight subjects with similar sample size to measure appetite sensations (Horner et al., 2014).

### 2.2.2 Food intake

#### 2.2.2.1 Dietary methods for food intake assessment

There are several validated methods to assess food intake depending on the objectives of the study (Block, 1982). This review will discuss dietary assessment method used in the study. Food Weighing is one of the most accurate techniques which considered as “golden standards” in assessing food intake which involved weighing food before and after the study.
intervention (Lassale et al., 2009). This validated approach will allow actual measurement of food intake at one time point by subtracting the left-over food with the food prepared before consumption. The advantage of food weighing is it ensures the amount of food consumed by the study participants in a controlled environment, is accurately measured without the influence of memory bias and possibility of omission for certain foods (Lassale et al., 2009, Murakami et al., 2005). However, some of the disadvantages are food weighing requires extensive work for both the researchers and study participants and thus is not a preferred method to assess dietary intake in a large study population (Illner et al., 2010). Food weighing still poses possibility for under- and over-reporting despite ample time it required to weigh the food (Myers et al., 1988).

Prospective dietary food record is another technique to assess nutrient intake in which the study participants record all the food and its portions during a certain period of time (Biro et al., 2002). This technique involve adequate training prior to the dietary recording on how to fill in the food record in each meal time and to record the portion size using household items i.e plates, spoon or food models (Biro et al., 2002). The advantages of this method are minimal memory bias and a higher compliance rate by the study participants provided if they don’t have to record their food intake over a long duration (Kelemen, 2007). The disadvantage of food record is a potential of under- and over-reporting of nutrient intake due to incorrect estimation of the portion size, its inability to capture the food pattern over longer period of time and the compliance of study participants to record every meal consumed (Knudsen et al., 2011). In order to improve its accuracy in assessing total nutrient intake, food record usually involves 3 days of reporting which should include both weekdays and weekend to cover seasonal and daily variation as most people had a quite different food preference/intake during the weekend as compared to weekdays (Cheng et al., 2013, Kobayashi et al., 2011, Biro et al., 2002, Gersovitz et al., 1978).
2.2.2.2 The socio-culture influence in measuring actual food intake

Measuring the relationship between appetite and actual food intake should take into account several internal and external factors as food is usually being consumed within certain context (de Graaf et al., 2004). The external factors which influence food intake could vary from socio-cultural to age, gender, food availability and hedonic properties of food (de Graaf et al., 2004).

Studies among young children showed that family culture determines food choices and feeding style (de Wit et al., 2014, Santiago-Torres et al., 2014). There was an inverse relationship between hunger and fullness present among young adults as compared to those present among elderly (Wurtman, 1988, Rolls, 1994, Clarkston et al., 1997). Rolls et al in 1991 showed that men consumed more calories than women as the latter experienced more inhibitions for food intake such as body dissatisfaction and other socio-cultural pressure (Rolls et al., 1991a). In addition, there was a different environmental influence between men and women. Changes in income and food price influenced the food intake of men but not women (Buttet and Dolar, 2014).

Cognitive function is one of the key internal drivers which influence food intake (de Graaf et al., 2004, Batterham et al., 2007). Individuals who consciously restrained her or himself towards certain foods would have different food habit which would influence the total consumption of nutrients (Stunkard and Wadden, 1990). Stunkard and Messick et al in 1985 has developed the Three Factors Eating Questionnaire to measure the total elements of restraint eating and its components, namely Cognitive, Uncontrolled and Emotional eating (Stunkard and Messick, 1985). This questionnaire has been validated across different ethnic populations and for normo-weight and overweight/obese populations (Karlsson et al., 2000). Restraint eaters were closely related with having weight fluctuation/cycling which poses a higher risk to cardio-vascular diseases, T2DM and psychological disturbances (Brownell and Rodin, 1994, Montani et al., 2015).
2.3 Ethnicity

Relevant English publication from 2005-2015 using PubMed, Scopus and Google scholar data base were retrieved. For the role of ethnicity in influencing body composition, food intake and appetite regulation and metabolic health, following key words were included in the search: “ethnicity” AND “body composition”. Manual exclusion was conducted using following criteria: puberty, exercise, supplementation, disease, diagnosis, treatment, child*, hospital*, disorder*. Around 2333 publication are retrieved and 241 publications were reviewed.

2.3.1 Influence of ethnicity on body composition and metabolic health

Several studies showed ethnicity plays a role in determining the relationship between central obesity and insulin resistance (Kruger et al., 2015, Marinou et al., 2014, Ntuk et al., 2014, Nazare et al., 2012, Ross et al., 2002). Asians are known to have higher body fat mass as compared to their Caucasian counterparts with similar Body Mass Index (BMI) (Jowitt et al., 2014, Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2013, Tan et al., 2004, Flowers et al., 2013). In addition, ethnicity also plays an important role in influencing the fat deposition in the body. Chinese, Puerto-Rican and Mexican men had higher abdominal fat as compared to the Non-Hispanic White men (Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2013). Chinese and Hispanic descent had higher percentage of android fat than gynoid fat as compared to those of African American and Non-Hispanic men (Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2013).

Total abdominal fat and total visceral fat among Indian men were progressively increased from those with normal glucose tolerance (NGT), impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), although there was no correlation found between subcutaneous fat and glucose tolerance (Indulekha et al., 2011). Visceral fat has been closely related with production of different adipokines which causes an increased risk on metabolic health risk among different ethnic groups in Asia (Indulekha et al., 2011, Yuan et al., 2010, Wasim et al., 2006). Increased abdominal adipose tissue as indicated by larger
waist circumference had more metabolic consequence among Asians, as compared to total fat mass (Shah et al., 2012, Shen et al., 2006). World Health Organization (WHO) recommends lower cut-off of waist circumference to determine risk of metabolic disease among Asians as compared to Caucasians (Health, 2008). Asian men have a higher risk of metabolic disease with a waist circumference of more than 90 cm, while for Caucasian men it is more than 102 cm. Asian women had a higher risk of this disease with waist circumference of more than 80 cm, while Caucasian women with more than 88 cm.

Although BMI is not a sensitive measure of body composition, it is still a good indicator to measure fat mass and risk of metabolic diseases in the general population. Studies in adults and children have consistently reported that at similar BMI, Asians had more body fat mass as compared to Caucasians (Thomas et al., 2012, Lakshmi et al., 2012, Wulan et al., 2010). Among Asians, South Asians (Indians) had the highest risk, followed Southeast (Malays) and East (Chinese) Asians (Wulan et al., 2010, Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2013). Data from 27 cohort studies in Asia, Australia and New Zealand which contributed around 1,244,793 person-years of follow-up showed that each reduction of 2 kg/m² among Asians contributed to 37% lower risk of developing T2DM, while the same amount of reduction among Australians only contributed to 25% lower risk (Ni Mhurchu et al., 2006).

There was a different association between BMI and insulin resistance among African, Asian, Hispanic American and Non-Hispanic white, which could be due to different levels of adiponectin and leptin (Rasmussen-Torvik et al., 2012).

2.3.2 Influence of ethnicity on food intake and appetite regulation

Ethnicity influenced insulin and GLP-1 release after an OGGT as shown by studies among healthy, young South Asian men who had a higher insulin response, higher Matsuda Index and higher AUC for GLP-1 after 6-h OGGT as compared to their Caucasian counterparts (Sleddering et al., 2013) and a study among a group of lean, non-diabetic young
adults of Indian and Chinese origins (Dickinson et al., 2002). Those of Indian descent had 100% and those of Chinese descent in this study had 50% higher iAUC for capillary glucose following carbohydrate load as compared to their Caucasian counterparts (Dickinson et al., 2002). Asians also had higher post-prandial glycemic response as compared to Caucasians when challenged with food of similar amount of carbohydrate (Venn et al., 2010, Henry et al., 2008).

2.3.3 The influence of ethnicity and migration on BMI in Australia

Australia is a melting pot of people from different ethnicities from all over the world, being a culturally diverse country with around 27% of the population born overseas (ABS, 2013). Several studies conducted in Europe and USA showed influence of assimilation to the culture of the new country on BMI (Hauck et al., 2011, Lindstrom and Sundquist, 2005, Sundquist and Winkleby, 2000). Astell-Burt et al in 2013 showed in a cross sectional in New South Wales that overseas-born groups had lower BMI especially the Chinese born in China as compared to native Australian, and so does English and Scottish. However, this was not the case for Italian and Greeks (Astell-Burt et al., 2013b). Further study from Victoria Health Survey from 2003-2005 involving around 15,000 by Hauck et al conducted in 2011 showed that the BMI between 1st and 2nd generation immigrants of Asian and those of native Australian was different. The 1st generation migrants had lower BMI as compared to native Australians, however the 2nd generation migrants had a comparable BMI compared to the latter. There was less impact of assimilation on the BMI of East, North-West Europe migrants. This study concluded that the changes were more related to the change of environment rather than the intrinsic factors of genetics or other ethnic-related factors among Asians. As for those of Caucasians descent, the less impact of assimilation on BMI could be due to similar heritage, culture and genetic traits between Australians and Europeans as the earlier generation of native Australians emigrated from Europe (Hauck et al., 2011). Study from the US showed that duration of stay was significantly correlated with increased BMI.
These study results clearly showed the interplay between genetic variation and environmental determinants in influencing adipose tissue and muscularity deposition even in similar ethnic groups.

2.4 Metabolic and food preference disruption in overweight and obese subjects

2.4.1 Metabolic disruption in overweight and obese subjects

Adipose tissue is an active endocrine organ which is closely related to risk for metabolic diseases (Kershaw and Flier, 2004). In early 1980, Krotkiewski et al elucidated that overweight men were more vulnerable to metabolic disarrangement than women due to different fat deposition (Krotkiewski et al., 1983). Men and women with men-type abdominal adiposity in this study were more susceptible to the effect of excess body fat on lipid and carbohydrate metabolism. In parallel with increasing body fat, there was an increased level of triglycerides, fasting insulin and glucose in both men and women (Krotkiewski et al., 1983).

A study among 1000 healthy White and African American men and women showed that waist circumference had the strongest correlation to metabolic syndrome, followed by BMI, as compared to the percentage of body fat (Shen et al., 2006). Women had more peripheral fat as compared to visceral fat, thus waist circumference became a more sensitive marker among women as compared to men (Shen et al., 2006). Obese women with different regional body fat had different plasma glucose at baseline and throughout the different time line after the OGTT. Those with high percentage of deep abdominal fat have consistently higher plasma glucose as compared to those with lower percentage (Sparrow et al., 1986, Ross et al., 1996). Despite abundance of data showing increased abdominal or visceral fat related with insulin resistance, the pathophysiology of this regional fat in influencing insulin resistant is still inconclusive. It was postulated that greater lipolytic activity of centrally located adipocytes contributed significantly to milieu-favouring fatty acid substrate
competition. The elevation of fatty acids in portal venous decreased hepatic insulin extraction and promoted production of Apo lipoprotein B lipoproteins (Conus et al., 2007).

Waist-hip ratio (WHR) has been established as a crude indicator of upper-body fat mass and also correlated with impaired glucose tolerance (Sekikawa et al., 1999, Unwin et al., 1997, Oslund et al., 1990), which could be commonly used using Oral Glucose Tolerance Test (OGTT) (ADA, 2010). People having an elevated blood glucose but still below the given threshold for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) in accordance to American Diabetes Association (ADA) classification could be categorized as either having Impaired Fasting Glucose (IFG) and or Impaired Glucose Tolerance (IGT) (ADA, 2010).

Impaired glucose tolerance based on OGTT could also be a surrogate index for insulin sensitivity (George et al., 2011). Other surrogate indexes includes HOMA-IR (Matthews et al., 1985), Matsuda-de Fronzo Insulin Sensitivity Index Composite (Matsuda and DeFronzo, 1999), Strumvoll Index; OGIS Index (Oral Glucose Insulin Sensivity) (Mari et al., 2001). Another method to measure insulin resistance is through euglycemic insulin clamp. As it requires glucose utilization by the muscle the insulin clamp is a good parameter for skeletal muscle insulin sensitivity (Pratt-Phillips et al., 2015).

The data from 725 Japanese adult further showed that elevated 2-h postprandial glucose post 75 gram glucose load was related to metabolic syndrome regardless of the normal fasting glucose value (Kanauchi et al., 2006). Henriksson et al in 1994 showed that insulin resistant was also found in normo-glycemic borderline overweight subjects with first degree relatives of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) as measured by Insulin Sensitivity Index (Henriksen et al., 1994). In addition, not every overweight and obese subject was insulin resistant. (Straznicky et al., 2009).

It was estimated that the worldwide prevalence of impaired glucose response was around 8% in 2007, (Gerstein et al., 2007a, Jagannathan et al., 2014). People with impaired glucose
response were predicted to have an average of 5-10 times higher risk in developing Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) as compared to those with normo-glycemia. In contrast, they had 30 lower relative risks to develop normoglycemia within one year (Gerstein et al., 2007b).

Insulin resistance influences the GIP hormones in which T2DM and obese subjects were not responsive to and had lower levels of GIP secretion after meals as compared to healthy subjects (Skrha et al., 2010, Verdich et al., 2001). This irresponsiveness and inadequate GIP secretion could lead to overeating in overweight and obese person.

2.5.1 Appetite and food intake disruption among overweight and obese subjects

A meta-analysis by Flint et al in 2000 showed that an increase in post-prandial insulin, and not glucose, was associated with short-term appetite regulation in normo-weight adults (Flint et al., 2000). However, this mechanism maybe disrupted in obese and overweight subjects due to several mechanisms including a decrease in central and peripheral insulin sensitivity and in satiety-related hormone release (Fernandez-Garcia et al., 2013, Viardot et al., 2008).

Adipose tissues secrete Leptin which has been known to influence the neuroendocrine regulation of obesity and is closely related to glucose metabolism. In an animal model, injection of leptin has been shown to reduce food intake and promote weight loss (Seeley et al., 1996, Schwartz et al., 1996). Schwartz et al in 2000 argued there were several mechanisms of leptin in influencing food intake. The mechanisms involved :1) leptin deficiency which led to hyperphagia that persisted even with high insulin level (Schwartz et al., 2000). A high level of leptin occurred only in obese and not normo-weight subjects (Considine et al., 1996, Abdullah et al., 2009) Leptin release is in parallel with the amount of total fat mass and superficial subcutaneous fat (Bougoulia et al., 1999). Leptin is a hunger suppressant mediator and although insulin does not influence the secretion of leptin (Dagogo-Jack et al., 1996), studies showed that insulin-leptin response may be disrupted in
overweight and obese subjects (Bougoulia et al., 1999, Considine et al., 1996, Dagogo-Jack et al., 1996). 2) secretion of α- melanocyte-stimulating hormones (α-MSH) which leads to excessive food intake such as (Schwartz, 2006) and several dipocytokines such as adiponectin and visfatin which are related to low-grade inflammation, insulin sensitivity, glucose metabolism (Cancello and Clement, 2006).

Insulin had a negative correlation with subsequent food intake in lean men but not in obese men (Speechly and Buffenstein, 2000, Verdich et al., 2001). Basal concentration of insulin and leptin were significantly higher in obese subjects as compared to their normo-weight counterparts. There was no correlation between insulin and leptin at basal concentration in obese subjects, in contrary to a strong correlation in normo-weight subjects. At 30- and 60- minutes after OGTT, there was an increase of not only insulin, but also leptin concentration among obese subjects. However, the leptin level in normo-weight subjects was constant over the same time duration (Bougoulia et al., 1999, Cakir et al., 2005). These results were in contrast to previous studies which showed that there was no increment of leptin concentration in both normal and obese subjects after different meal regimens of standardized breakfast and lunch (Considine et al., 1996) or standardized lunch only (Dagogo-Jack et al., 1996). The absence of this acute surge of leptin in normo-weight subjects was then postulated to be related to leptin resistance/insensitivity which also has been associated with insulin resistance in obese individuals (Cakir et al., 2005).

Disruption of appetite regulation has been shown among overweight and obese individuals through provision of pre-load meals (Speakman et al., 2002, Brennan et al., 2012, Chapman et al., 1998). Unfortunately, regardless of the calories given in the different pre-load meals, these subjects were not able to detect these differences. Overweight and obese subjects who received high calorie pre-load meals, still consumed more calories in the subsequent meal, thus the pre-load did not adequately compensate. After having high –fat
preload meals overweight and obese men consumed ~ 56% more energy than their lean counterparts in the subsequent meals (Speechly and Buffenstein, 2000).

Overweight and obesity are also related to incentive reward systems which involved dopaminergic rewards system in the brain. Individuals with high food–cue responsiveness tended to be vulnerable to over-eating thus more prone to obesity (Nijs et al., 2010). Among food-deprived obese adults, it is shown that they gave longer attention to food cues as compared to the normal-weight counterparts (Castellanos et al., 2009).

Nijs et al in 2010 also attempted to show the association of VAS score and external food cues, bogus task and amount of food consumed during this task. In the study involving 40 normo-weight and 26 overweight obese female participants, the study found that hungry overweight/obese subjects were more prone to food-related stimuli as compared to normal weight counterparts. During the experiment these hungry individuals were also more prone to select more snacks (Nijs et al., 2010). This study also showed that although normo-weight subjects had higher hunger scores as compared to obese subjects when they had better control of their appetite. The findings seems in line with earlier findings by Stunkard et al in 1990 which showed that physiological signals of hunger corresponded with subjective hunger in normo-weight subject but not in obese who were less sensitive to recognize this internal hunger and satiety cues (Stunkard and Wadden, 1990).

2.5.2 Food preference among overweight and obese subject

An earlier study by Strain GW et al in 1992 showed that overweight and obese subjects had total calorie intake in proportion with their body weight. Obese subjects maintained their excessive calorie intake by consuming food with high-energy density in combination with binge eating.

This finding is in accordance to several other studies comparing obese and normo-weight women showed that obese women preferred high-fat food (Strain et al., 1992) and they were
not able to compensate the energy intake in the subsequent meal which may be caused by the fact that obese subjects were relatively insensitive to the satiety effect of fat (Rolls et al., 1991b, Rolls et al., 1992, Rolls et al., 1994). High-fat foods were believed to cause highest satiety effect, however, it has been shown that fat increased hedonic preference and thus increased total food intake rather than directly causing satiety (Blundell et al., 1996).

Taking into account the above-mentioned understanding, assessment on the influence of ethnicity and weight fluctuation on appetite sensations and food intake were further explored with a study methodology as described in Chapter 3.
CHAPTER THREE

METHODOLOGY

3.1. Study Protocol

This study is a cross-sectional study involving overweight and obese Asian and
Caucasians-Australian participants of who fulfil the following inclusion criteria: Age older
than 35 years of age, BMI ≥ 25 kg/m², non-smokers, consumption of less than 2 standard
alcoholic drinks per day, no GI tract problems, not on medication affecting metabolic rate,
body composition or taste/ appetite sensations (i.e. anti-depressant) and non-shift workers.
The cut-off age of 35 years is determined from an earlier study by Dunstan et al in 2002
which showed that the incidence of T2DM among Australians started to increase at 35 years
old and above (Dunstan et al., 2002). The inclusion criteria in this study only allow
minimum intake of alcohol to less than 2 standards drinks per day, thus excluded excessive
alcohol drinkers because of a dose-dependent relationship between alcohol intake and
appetite (Caton et al., 2004) and potential alcohol in influencing appetite and food intake
through an opioid, serotonergic, and GABAergic pathways (Schrieks et al., 2015).

Personal history of chronic disease, weight stability/fluctuation for the last 6 months,
blood pressure measurement and medication use was obtained. Study participants were
recruited by advertisement in the local media or by personal approach until November 2014.

One day prior to the study day, all study participants received ready-to-eat meals for
dinner and were requested to arrive at the School of Public Health, Curtin University after a
minimum of 10-12 hours of overnight fasting and an 8- hour sleep, with minimum physical
active. They were then accommodated in a dedicated room where they could relax and
conduct activities with minimal physical effort (reading, knitting, solving cross words,
listening to music) for the subsequent 2 hours between OGTT and buffet lunch. Participants
were requested not to read any materials related to food or cooking i.e food books and cooking magazines.

All study participants underwent an OGTT with 75 g glucose in 300 ml (GLUCAID™). OGTT has commonly being used as a screening tool for impaired glucose response/insulin resistance (ADA, 2010). Glucose via insulin may directly affect hunger/ satiety in short duration. Having single nutrients creates less issue with gastric emptying and palatability than mixed breakfast test meals. In effect, the study will have more output using the OGTT as test meal. OGTT has also commonly being used as a screening tool for impaired glucose response/insulin resistance (ADA, 2010).

Capillary blood glucose samples were taken four times during the study at the following: baseline, 30-, 60-, 90- and 120-minutes after OGTT. Questionnaires on habitual food habit, habitual physical activities and restrained eating behaviours were administered during the study to further understand the characteristics of the study participants. They were also allowed small sips of water during the study time until lunch time.

3.2 Sample Size

The main objective is to detect an ethnic difference in appetite sensations among overweight and obese subjects. A total sample size of 52 completed subjects (n=26 subjects per group) was required to detect a moderate effect of 0.40 according to Cohen’s effect conventions for the main effect of ethnic group and weight stability and possible interaction between the ethnicity and weight stability, with a power of 80% at 5% significance level.

At the time of the study design, there was no publication analysing the difference between subjective sensations of hunger and satiety in response to glucose. Therefore a moderate effect size of 0.40 was selected. Gregersen et al in 2008 showed that a sample size of 25 is sufficient to detect a difference of 100 mm in VAS of subjective hunger sensations with a difference in energy intake of 500 kJ (Gregersen et al., 2008).
3.3 Study Instruments

**Ratings of subjective feelings of hunger, appetite and fullness**

The validated visual analogue scale (VAS) was used as it is a reliable method of measuring subjective states of motivation to eat before and in response to meals (Flint et al., 2000, Gregersen et al., 2008, Stubbs et al., 2000).

Drapeau et al in 2005 further showed that VAS on appetite sensations administered before standardized test meal, immediately after and every 10 minute after the meal was a marker of overall Energy Intake (EI) (Drapeau et al., 2005), while Doucet et al in 2003 showed AUC fullness using VAS was correlated with post-prandial energy intake among overweight and obese subjects participating in long-term weight loss program (Doucet et al., 2003). In addition, there were no earlier publications which assessed subjective hunger/satiety sensations using VAS between two different ethnic groups which is the novelty of this study. The VAS used in this study was shown in Appendix 5.

The study participants were informed on how to use VAS on four different subjective parameters at baseline, 30-, 60-, 90- and 120-min after OGTT. The VAS were filled before finger pricked for blood glucose was taken. The VAS questionnaires consist of following questionnaires: “How hungry do you feel?” (Not hungry at all = 0 mm to extremely hungry = 100 mm), “How full do you feel?” (Not full at all = 0 mm to extremely full = 100 mm), “How strong is your desire to eat?” (None = 0 mm to very strong = 100 mm) and “How much food do you think you can eat” (nothing at all = 0 mm to an extremely large quantity = 100 mm). They were also requested to place an “I” at any point along the scale, and scores were then converted to continuous variables from 0 to 100 mm (Stubbs et al., 2000). VAS score was reported up to 1 decimal in mm from the left to the place where an “I” was located. The higher the VAS score in hunger / desire to eat / amount of food to be consumed means that the participants had more intense sensation of hunger / desire to eat / amount of
food to be consumed. The higher the VAS score for satiety means that the participants had more intense sensation of fullness which could translate in less food intake.

The palatability of the test meal was also measured with a VAS using 100-millimetre line scales with following questions “How does the meal taste?” (not pleasant = 0 mm to very pleasant = 100 mm) as shown in Appendix 6. The questionnaire was administered right after the participants consumed the glucose solution as a breakfast meal and after the subsequent lunch. Palatability score was reported up to 1 decimal in mm from the left to the place where an “I” was located.

**Food Restrained Questionnaire**

The validated shortened version of Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ) was administered (Karlsson et al., 2000). The original TFEQ was developed by Stunkard and Messick in 1985 which consists of 51 questionnaires (Stunkard and Messick, 1985). These questionnaires was categorized into three scales namely the Cognitive restraint (21 items), Disinhibition (16 items) and Emotional eating (14 items). In the shortened version, the questionnaire consists of 18 questions which were then categorized into cognitive restraint (6 items), uncontrolled eating (9 items) and emotional eating (3 items) as shown in Appendix 10. There were no clear cut-off points for the score of each category. Studies showed that those with higher score of cognitive restraint had the lower energy intake, in contrast with those with higher score of emotional eating (de Lauzon et al., 2004, Karlsson et al., 2000).

**Physical Activity Diary**

Physical activity questionnaires developed and validated by Baecke et al in 1982 was administered as it had been validated among an adult population and was able to provide information of physical during different time of the day as shown in Appendix 9 (Baecke et al., 1982). The questionnaire was filled in between the administration of VAS and finger blood pricks.
Lunch meal

A simple lunch was served approximately 2.5 hours after OGTT. The buffet lunch consisted of a pre-selected hot meal with following choices ready-to-eat meals from Coles supermarket: Indian butter chicken & rice, Honey beef, Beef stroganoff, Rice and black pepper beef and two vegetarian options which were Tuscan Tomato Fusili and Neopolitan Penne. The nutrition information of these meals is described in Table 1 below. In addition a variety of other food such as slices of bread, vegetables (tomatoes or cucumber), apples, oranges, potato chips, fruit juice and chocolate bars were offered for consumption ad libitum. These foods were selected based on high acceptance from a previous study at the same centre (Ping-Delfos and Soares, 2011).

Every food item was weighed using food weighing scales up to 0.1 gram prior to being served. The difference between the weighed and left-over food was calculated as actual food intake for lunch which was then recorded for the study (Lassale et al., 2009) as shown in Appendix 7- Food intake form during lunch. The nutrients intake was calculated from the manufacturers’ product information and the Food Works R nutrient analysis version 7 (Xyris Software, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia).

Table 1. Nutrition composition of ready-to-eat meals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serving size (g)</th>
<th>Indian Butter Chicken</th>
<th>Beef stroganoff</th>
<th>Honey beef rice</th>
<th>Beef black pepper and rice</th>
<th>Tuscan Tomato Fusili (vegetarian)</th>
<th>Neopolitan Pasta (vegetarian)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kJ)</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>1490</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>1817</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g)</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat (g)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total saturated fat (g)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate g</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar (g)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mg)</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An example of a meal was shown in Figure 1.

*Figure 1. Example of subsequent lunch after OGTT*

![Image of a meal set on a table with various foods including bread, a bowl, a cup with a spoon and fork, and a juice box.](image)

**Food record**

Study participants were requested to record all the foods that they consumed after leaving the study site for 24 hour after the buffet lunch. The food record was derived from the food record booklet developed by the Fred Hutchinson National Cancer Research and contained information on portion sizes. Study participants were given thorough information on how to record their food intake including portion sizes via face-to-face discussion. No scales were given to the study participants as the information printed in the 24-h food record booklet had adequately included the portion sizes.

This 24-h food recorded will be able to provide participants’ acute food intake (Block et al., 1990). The Food Works nutrient analysis version 7 (Xyris Software, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia) and the manufacturers’ product information were used to compute the nutrients intake from the food record as shown in Appendix 8.
**Anthropometrics assessment**

Height was measured barefoot by a portable stadiometer (Holtain, Crymych, United Kingdom) to within 0.5 cm (Ogle et al., 1995, Dobbelsteyn et al., 2001). Weight was measured by digital scale to within 100 g, without heavy clothing (Ogle et al., 1995, Dobbelsteyn et al., 2001). Mid-Upper-Arm –Circumference (MUAC) was measured on the right arm at the midpoint between the tip of the acromion and the tip of olecranon, elbow bent at 90 using flexible tape (Lean et al., 1996).

Waist circumference (WC) was measured mid-way between the lowest rib and the iliac crest with the subject standing at the end of gentle expiration (Dobbelsteyn et al., 2001). Hip circumference was measured at the greater trochanters (Dobbelsteyn et al., 2001). All circumferences were measured to within 1 mm with plastic tapes. BMI was calculated as the ratio of weight to height squared (kg/m$^2$). WHR was calculated as WC (cm) divided by hip circumference (cm) (Dobbelsteyn et al., 2001).

**DEXA measurement**

Fat mass, fat-free mass and bone mineral content was determined using the Lunar Prodigy DEXA instrument (GE Medical System, Madison, WI, USA) together with Encore software. All scans were performed when subjects were wearing a light gown and had removed all metal objects. The scans were performed by one trained operator. Scan time period was around 5 minutes depending on the body size of the study participants. Fat mass, fat-free mass and bone mineral content were reported in term of percentage to body weight (Ogle et al., 1995, Van Der Ploeg et al., 2003).

**Blood pressure measurement**

Upon arrival at the School of Public Health, Curtin University, study participants were requested to lie down on a bed for 10 minutes. Blood pressure was measured by auscultation of brachial artery using digital sphygmomanometer (Omron®) (Tolonen et al., 2015).
Readings of systolic and diastolic blood pressure were taken twice. An average value was recorded in the data.

3.4 Ethics Approval

The study received Ethics Approval from the School of Public Health, Curtin University, Perth, Western Australia number HR 109/2010 under a study title “Endothelial Function and Food Intake (EFFI). As the focus of the study is addressing the food intake of EFFI, both studies had similar selection criteria.

All subjects were given a thorough explanation in the Patient Information Sheet on the course of the study and signed informed consent prior to study enrolment. They had been informed that they had the right to withdraw from the study at any time. Each subject was assigned to an identification code which was used for all data collection thus de-identifying all the subject-specific information. Subjects’ names and ID codes were stored separately. Information regarding the study participants was kept confidential. All data are stored in the locked cabinet with the supervisor for 15 years. All e-data were accessible only by the researcher and supervisors. All data had been aggregated and no subject was identifiable in any publication.

3.5 Data Analysis

Dependent variables for this study are the four subjective sensations, namely hunger, fullness, desire to eat and amount of food able to consume and food intake. These main research outcome variables were further presented as the total area under the curve (tAUC) calculated using a trapezoidal rule based on the five repeated measurements collected at baseline, 30, 60, 90 and 120 minutes following the glucose load. The analysis using tAUC, is preferred over a conventional mixed design repeated measures ANOVA due to tAUC ability detect total/ cumulative changes/response towards an intervention as compared to differences at individual time point (Jacobsen et al., 2005, Sibbald et al., 2008, Pruessner et
al., 2003, Wolever, 2004). The Independent variables for this study are ethnicity, age, glucose response, fat mass and fat-free mass.

As weight fluctuation affects glucose homeostasis (Kajioka et al., 2002), we hypothesize it could also affect the hunger/satiety sensations and food intake in this study. Analyses using two-way ANOVA were further conducted with a focus on the interactive effect of weight stability status and ethnicity. An independent two-samples T-test was conducted to further compare the difference between Asians and Caucasians within both weight stable and non-weight stable groups.

Descriptive statistics were obtained for variables of interest. Continuous variables were presented as mean ± standard error. Categorical variables were presented as frequency (%) for defined categories. Chi-square and Pearson’s correlation coefficient were used to assess the association between variables of interest. An independent samples t-test was conducted to assess possible difference in the tAUC of subjective hunger/satiety between the two different ethnic groups. Furthermore different analyses of covariates (ANCOVA) models, implemented with a general linear model, were developed to explore the effect of ethnicity and weight fluctuation on the main research outcomes (the four subjective sensations, namely hunger, fullness, desire to eat and amount of prospective food to be consumed) after controlling for fasting (baseline) values or other confounders and independent variables. If the weight fluctuation was found to be a significant effect modifier, the difference in the mean AUC between the two ethnic groups were further compared within each level of the weight fluctuation status, namely weight stable group and weight unstable group. All data analyses were carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0 (IBM Corp. Released 2010 Armonk, NY). All tests were two-sided and a p value of less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.
3.6 Summary

This chapter provides an overview of the methodology used in the study. A validated visual analogue scale was administered to assess subjective hunger, fullness, desire to eat and amount of prospective food. Standard statistical tests were conducted to examine the potential difference between the two ethnic groups in each variable of interest and to further explore the interplay between ethnicity and weight fluctuation in influencing these subjective sensations and subsequent food intake. These study results are discussed in Chapter 4.
CHAPTER FOUR

RESULTS

4.1 Demographics and metabolic health characteristics

This cross-sectional study involved 44 participants. About 40% (n=18) were Asians comprised of South-east Asians (Malaysia and Singapore) and South Asians (Bangladesh, Sri Lanka and India). The Caucasians were of European descent. Sixty four percent (n=28) of the study participants were women and 81% (n=22) of them were post- menopausal. Further demographic characteristics of the study participants are described in Table 2.

Weight stability was defined as not having weight fluctuation more than 2 kg in the last 6 months in this study (Osborn et al., 2011, Venditti et al., 1996). There was no statistical difference on number of participants who reported to be weight stable weight and those who reported to be non-weight stable in each ethnic group ($\chi^2$ test,p=0.802). As weight instability could affect the glucose homeostasis (Kajioka et al., 2002), and we hypothesize that it could also affect the hunger/satiety sensations and food intake. Analyses using two-way ANOVA were further conducted with a focus on the interactive effect of weight stability status and ethnicity. An independent two-sample T-test was further used to compare the difference between Asians and Caucasians within both weight stable and non-weight stable groups. Due to some missing data, the number of participants varied for each analysis.

There was a significant correlation between ethnicity and age, but no interaction on main effect of weight stability or on interaction between ethnicity and weight stability as shown in Table 2. Asians on average were statistically younger than the Caucasians (mean (SEM)= 43
(2.4) vs 54 (11.9) years, p=0.002) regardless of the weight stability status. The Independent two-way samples T-Test found that non-weight stable Asians, on average, were younger than non-weight stable Caucasians (mean (SEM) = 43 (2.0) vs 57 (3.0) years, p<0.005). However, there was no significant difference in mean age between Asians and Caucasians within the weight stable group.

Table 2. Demographic characteristics of the study participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asians (n= 18)</th>
<th>Caucasians (n= 26)</th>
<th>p values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight-stable</td>
<td>Non-weight stable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=9)</td>
<td>(n=9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>43 (4.6)</td>
<td>43 (2.0)</td>
<td>51 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration living in</td>
<td>17 (5.8)</td>
<td>14 (4.0)</td>
<td>49 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia (y) #</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living in Australia</td>
<td>4 (44)</td>
<td>4 (44)</td>
<td>12 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for more than 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>years (%)</td>
<td>2 (22)</td>
<td>6 (66)</td>
<td>4 (33)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (SEM), # Two-way ANOVA, *p< 0.05 Independent two samples T-Test: significant different from Caucasians within weight stable group.

A significant effect of ethnicity on duration of living was found based on the two-way ANOVA, indicating Asians on average had a shorter (p<0.001) residence in Australia as compared to Caucasians (overall mean (SEM)= 15 (3.4) vs 47 (13.6) years, p<0.001) regardless of the weight stability status.

Overall Asians, on average, were lighter (mean (SEM) = mean (SEM) = 80 (3.4) vs 90 (17.3) kg; p =0.044) regardless of their weight stability condition. Asians who were weight stable, on average, tended to be lighter than their Caucasian counterparts (mean (SEM) = 74 (2.6) vs 89 (6.4) kg; p= 0.062), however the difference was not statistically significant. The
difference in average body weight between Asians and Caucasians within the non-weight stable group was also not statistically significant as shown in Table 3.

Asians were also significantly shorter (overall mean (SEM) = 1.6 (0.10) vs 1.7 (0.10) m; p=0.018). Weight-stable Asians (mean (SEM) = 1.6 (0.10) m) on average were found to be shorter as compared to weight-stable Caucasians (mean (SEM) = 1.7 (0.06) m). There was no significant difference in mean height between non-weight stable Asians and Caucasians.

There was no significant difference in mean hip circumference between Asians and Caucasians within the weight stable group. However, non-weight stable Asians (overall mean (SEM) = 108 (2.0) cm) on average had smaller hip circumference as compared to non-weight stable Caucasians (overall mean (SEM) = 114 (8.8) cm). Overall, Asians, on average, had a smaller hip circumference (mean (SEM) = 108 (2.0) vs 114 (8.8) cm; p=0.021).

Those who were weight stable on average had smaller waist circumference as compared to those who were non-weight stable, regardless of the ethnicity, (overall mean (SEM) = 95 (2.4) vs 104 (2.4) cm). The same phenomenon was also found for mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC). Those who were weight stable (overall mean (SEM) = 31 (3.3) cm) on average had smaller MUAC (p=0.036) as compared to those who were non-weight stable (overall mean (SEM) = 34 (3.9) cm).
Table 3. Metabolic health characteristics of the study participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asians (n= 18)</th>
<th>Caucasians (n= 26 )</th>
<th>p values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>weight-stable (n=9)</td>
<td>non weight-stable (n=9)</td>
<td>weight-stable (n=12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>74 (2.6)</td>
<td>86 (5.6)</td>
<td>89 (6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.10)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.10)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m2)</td>
<td>28 (0.6)</td>
<td>32 (1.4)</td>
<td>32 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference(cm)</td>
<td>90 (2.6)</td>
<td>102 (3.9)</td>
<td>98 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip circumference (cm)</td>
<td>100 (1.6)</td>
<td>108 (2.0)</td>
<td>110 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.9 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-upper arm</td>
<td>31 (0.5)</td>
<td>35 (1.1)</td>
<td>31 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>circumference(cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat Mass- DXA (kg)</td>
<td>27 (2.4)</td>
<td>33 (7.2)</td>
<td>36 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone Mass - DXA (kg)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.16)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.11)</td>
<td>2.9 (0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean Mass- DXA (kg)</td>
<td>42 (2.9)</td>
<td>48 (3.6)</td>
<td>50 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat Free Mass- DXA (kg)</td>
<td>45 (3.0)</td>
<td>51 (3.6)</td>
<td>53 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Body Fat %</td>
<td>38 (3.0)</td>
<td>41 (2.0)</td>
<td>41 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>113 (5.7)</td>
<td>129 (4.4)</td>
<td>124 (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>71 (2.9)</td>
<td>75 (1.3)</td>
<td>76 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (SEM), # Two-way ANOVA, ^p< 0.05 Independent two samples T-Test: significant different from Caucasians within weight stable group. NS: not significant at 5% significance level.
The main effect of ethnicity, weight stability and interaction between ethnicity and weight stability on lean mass, fat-free mass and total percentage of body fat were found insignificant as shown in Table 3 indicating Asians, on average had a comparable lean mass, fat-free mass and total percentage of body fat as Caucasians. Asians (overall mean (SEM) = 30 (1.8) kg) on average had less fat mass as compared to Caucasians (overall mean (SEM) = 36 (1.9) kg) regardless of the weight stability condition as shown in Table 3. Overall, Asians also, on average, had significantly less bone mass (overall mean (SEM) = 2.5 (0.09) vs 2.9 (0.08) kg; p=0.016) regardless of their weight stability condition.

There were no significant main effects of ethnicity, weight stability and interaction between ethnicity and weight stability on systolic blood pressure indicating Asians, on average, also had a comparable systolic blood pressure as Caucasians. A different phenomenon was found for diastolic blood pressure. Asians, on average, had significantly lower diastolic blood pressure (overall mean (SEM) = 73 (1.6) vs 80 (2.3) mmHg; p=0.033) regardless of the weight stability condition.

Asians, on average, were found to have a comparable cognitive restraint, emotional eating and disinhibiting score as Caucasians. A main effect of ethnicity, weight stability and interaction between ethnicity and weight stability on cognitive restraint score, emotional eating score and disinhibiting score were found insignificant as shown in Table 4.

Overall, those who were weight stable on average had longer duration of daily walking as compared to those who were non-weight stable (overall mean (SEM)= 53(14.2) vs 23 (3.5) minutes, p=0.041) regardless of their ethnicity.
Table 4. Physical activity and restraint eating score of the study participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asians (n= 18)</th>
<th>Caucasians (n= 26 )</th>
<th>p values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethnic (ETH)</td>
<td>Weight stability(WS)</td>
<td>ETH x WS interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weight-stable (n=9)</td>
<td>weight-stable (n=12)</td>
<td>non weight-stable (n=9)</td>
<td>non weight-stable (n=14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive restraint score</td>
<td>12 (0.9)</td>
<td>13 (0.4)</td>
<td>13 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of participants with high cognitive restraint (%) b</td>
<td>6 (66.7)</td>
<td>9 (100)</td>
<td>12 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disinhibition score</td>
<td>26 (1.7)</td>
<td>25 (0.9)</td>
<td>27 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional eating</td>
<td>8 (1.2)</td>
<td>8 (0.7)</td>
<td>8 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of daily walking (min)</td>
<td>44 (14.1)a</td>
<td>23.4 (5)</td>
<td>60 (22.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (SEM), a p< 0.05 Independent two samples T-Test: significant different from Caucasians within weight stable group, b Data are presented in n (%), c $\chi^2$ test, NS: not significant at 5% significance level.

4.2 Correlation between glycemia/ glucose response and subjective sensations

A correlation analysis between blood glucose and subjective sensations; and between subjective sensations and food intake during lunch was conducted by calculating Pearson’s correlation coefficient. Among Asians who were weight stable, there was a significant negative strong association between glucose at 2-hour post OGTT and AUC hunger, satiety, desire for food and amount of prospective food to be consumed ($r=-0.869$, $p=0.005$; $r=-0.875$, $p=0.004$; $r=-0.915$, $p=0.001$; $r=-0.878$, $p=0.004$ respectively ). However, there was no significant association observed among other pairs listed in Table 5.
**Table 5. Pearson's correlation coefficient between glucose response and subjective sensations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>weight stable</th>
<th>non-weight stability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asians (n=9)</td>
<td>Caucasians (n=12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting Glucose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tAUC of hunger</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tAUC of satiety</td>
<td>-0.209</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tAUC desire for food</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tAUC of amount of food</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose at 120 min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tAUC of hunger</td>
<td>-0.869</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tAUC of satiety</td>
<td>-0.875</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tAUC desire for food</td>
<td>-0.915</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tAUC of amount of food</td>
<td>-0.878</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC glucose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tAUC of hunger</td>
<td>-0.559</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tAUC of satiety</td>
<td>-0.553</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tAUC desire for food</td>
<td>-0.458</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tAUC of amount of food</td>
<td>-0.454</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05 Pearson’s correlation coefficient, NS: not significant at 5% significance level: tAUC: total AUC.
4.3 Correlation on glycemia/glucose response and macronutrient intake during subsequent lunch.

Among Asians who were weight stable, glucose at 2-hour post OGTT was found to have significant negative association with fat intake during lunch (r= - 0.808, p=0.015) as shown in Table 6. There was no significant association observed between glucose at 2-hour post OGTT and fat intake observed among Asians who were non-weight stable. Among Caucasians who were non-weight stable, there was a significant negative moderate association between AUC glucose and protein intake(r= - 0.545, p=0.044); AUC glucose and fat intake during lunch (r=-0.652, p=0.012) as shown in Table 6. However, there was no similar correlation observed among Caucasians who were weight stable, nor among Asians.

4.4 Correlation on subjective sensations and macronutrient intake during subsequent lunch.

Among Asians who were weight stable, AUC hunger was found to be positively associated with fat intake during lunch (r= 0.832, p=0.005) as shown in Table 7. There were a significant negative strong association found between AUC satiety and calorie(r=-0.820,p=0.007), AUC satiety and carbohydrate intake (r=-0.672,p=0.047), AUC satiety and protein intake, AUC satiety and fat intake (r=-0.805,p=0.009); and AUC satiety and fiber intake during lunch (r=-0.739,p=-0.023).
Table 6. Pearson's correlation on glucose response and macronutrient intake during subsequent lunch.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>weight stable</th>
<th>non- weight stability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asians (n=9)</td>
<td>Caucasians (n=12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r    p value</td>
<td>r    p value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting Glucose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (Kcal)</td>
<td>0.35 NS</td>
<td>0.346 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (g)</td>
<td>0.379 NS</td>
<td>0.270 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g)</td>
<td>0.224 NS</td>
<td>-0.223 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g)</td>
<td>-0.036 NS</td>
<td>0.342 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber (g)</td>
<td>0.275 NS</td>
<td>0.494 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose at 120 min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (Kcal)</td>
<td>-0.642 0.086</td>
<td>0.184 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (g)</td>
<td>-0.464 NS</td>
<td>0.355 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g)</td>
<td>-0.564 NS</td>
<td>0.437 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g)</td>
<td>-0.808 0.015</td>
<td>-0.340 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber (g)</td>
<td>-0.665 0.072</td>
<td>-0.091 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC glucose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (Kcal)</td>
<td>-0.522 NS</td>
<td>-0.241 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (g)</td>
<td>-0.411 NS</td>
<td>0.218 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g)</td>
<td>-0.517 NS</td>
<td>0.229 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g)</td>
<td>-0.502 NS</td>
<td>-0.588 0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber (g)</td>
<td>-0.628 0.095</td>
<td>-0.368 NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pearson’s correlation coefficient; NS: not significant at 5% significance level.
There was positive association between AUC desire to eat and fat intake ($r=0.859, p=0.003$) and between AUC amount of prospective food to be consumed and protein intake ($r=0.259, p=0.005$). There was no significant associations among Asians who were non-weight stable.

Among Caucasians, this study found that AUC satiety and carbohydrate intake during lunch was positively associated ($r=0.707, p=0.010$) in those who were weight stable and a positive moderate association was also observed between AUC prospective amount of food to be consumed and protein intake during lunch ($r=0.558, p=0.038$) among those who were non-weight stable as shown in Table 7.

### 4.5 Oral Glucose Tolerance Test

Three statistical models (analysis of covariance (ANCOVA)) were developed to compare the mean glucose response before- and after-OGTT between the two ethnic groups, with adjustment of baseline value (Model 1); of age, fat mass and far-free mass (Model 2), and of age, fat mass and far-free mass, baseline value (Model 3) as shown in Table 8. In all models, the interaction between ethnicity and weight stability status was considered. For fasting glucose level, only the unadjusted and Model 2 were further analyzed.

No significant main effect of ethnicity, weight stability and interactive effect between ethnicity and weight stability were found in fasting blood glucose, 120-min after OGTT blood glucose and AUC blood glucose even after controlling for confounders.
Table 7. Pearson's correlation between subjective sensations and macronutrient intake during subsequent lunch.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AUC of hunger</th>
<th>AUC of satiety</th>
<th>AUC of desire to eat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>weight stable</td>
<td>non- weight stability</td>
<td>weight stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asians (n=9)</td>
<td>Caucasians (n=12)</td>
<td>Asians (n=9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (Kcal)</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (g)</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g)</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g)</td>
<td>0.832</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber (g)</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (Kcal)</td>
<td>-0.820</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (g)</td>
<td>-0.672</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g)</td>
<td>-0.805</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g)</td>
<td>-0.734</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber (g)</td>
<td>-0.739</td>
<td>0.617</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (Kcal)</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (g)</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>-0.004</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g)</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g)</td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC of amount of prospective food to be consumed</td>
<td>Fiber (g)</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (Kcal)</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (g)</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g)</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g)</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber (g)</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pearson’s correlation coefficient; NS: not significant at 5% significance level.*
Using the American Diabetes Association 2010 criteria (ADA, 2010), there were around 42% of the Asian participant and 53% of Caucasian participant who had Impaired Glucose Response (either Impaired Fasting Glucose, with glucose value ≥5.6 and Impaired Glucose Tolerance with 2-h glucose value > 7.8). However, this difference was not statistically significant ($\chi^2$ test, p=0.111).
Table 8. Blood glucose level by ethnicity and weight stability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asians (n=17)</th>
<th>Caucasians (n=25)</th>
<th>p values#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight stable (n=9)</td>
<td>Non-weight stable (n=9)</td>
<td>Weight stable (n=10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fasting Glucose</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted†</td>
<td>5.7 (0.11)</td>
<td>5.2 (0.17)</td>
<td>5.8 (0.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>5.7 (0.20)</td>
<td>5.2 (0.16)</td>
<td>5.9 (0.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>120-min after OGTT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted†</td>
<td>7.1 (1.40)</td>
<td>7.8 (2.50)</td>
<td>6.5 (0.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>7.1 (0.94)</td>
<td>7.8 (1.02)</td>
<td>6.5 (0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>7.2 (1.00)</td>
<td>8.4 (0.88)</td>
<td>6.2 (0.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>7.3 (1.03)</td>
<td>8.3 (0.95)</td>
<td>6.3 (0.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AUC Glucose</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted†</td>
<td>740 (58.4)</td>
<td>766 (55.0)</td>
<td>736 (52.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>740 (58.9)</td>
<td>740 (53.1)</td>
<td>753 (59.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>793 (67.2)</td>
<td>803 (56.1)</td>
<td>717 (51.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>806 (67.4)</td>
<td>780 (58.2)</td>
<td>724 (51.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data is mean (SEM). #ANCOVA, †Two-way ANOVA, NS: not significant at 5% significance level.
Model 1: adjusted for respective baseline value
Model 2: adjusted for age, fat mass and fat free mass
Model 3: Model 1+ Model 2.
4.6. Subjective sensations of hunger, satiety, desire for food and amount of prospective food to be consume.

A Two way ANOVA was conducted to assess the main effect of ethnicity, weight stability and interactive effect between ethnicity and weight stability on the average sensation scores of hunger, satiety, desire to eat and prospective amount of food to be consumed as shown in Table 9.

At baseline, main effect of ethnicity, weight stability and interaction between ethnicity and weight stability on average score for hunger, satiety, desire to eat and amount of food to be consumed were found insignificant. There were no significant differences found in mean values for all these four main outcomes between two ethnic groups for both weight stable and unstable groups.

For Hunger, there were also no main effects of ethnicity, weight stability and interaction effect on the average score for hunger sensation found at 30-, 90- and 120- min after OGTT, indicating that Asians and Caucasians have similar hunger status after 30-, 90- and 120- min OGTT. However at 60- min after OGTT, an significant interaction between ethnic and weight stability (p = 0.027) was found. Asians who reported a stable weight during the last 6 months had a lower hunger score than Caucasians (mean (SEM) = 27 (8.4) vs 44 (8.7) mm). In contrast, Asians who reported a unstable weight during the last 6 months had a higher hunger score than Caucasians (mean (SEM) = 56 (8.3) vs 36 (6.6) mm) on average.

There were also no main effects of ethnicity, weight stability and interaction effect on the average score for satiety sensation found at 30-, 90- and 120- min after OGTT, indicating that Asians and Caucasians have similar satiety status after 30-, 90- and 120- min OGTT regardless of the weight stability status.
Asians and Caucasians had similar desire to eat status after 30-, 60- and 120- min OGTT as there were no significant main effects of ethnicity, weight stability and interaction effect were found on the average score for desire to eat sensation at 30-, 60- and 120- min after OGTT. At 90- min after OGTT, however, a significant interaction between ethnic and weight stability (p =0.004) was found. The two ethnic groups had almost similar desire to eat mean score (mean (SEM) = 39 (8.0) vs 40 (7.2) mm ) in the weight stable group. While in the non-weight stable group, Caucasians had a lower desire to eat score than Asians (mean (SEM) = 41 (5.7) vs 70 (7.5) mm) on average.

For Amount of food to be consumed, there were no main effects of ethnicity, weight stability and interaction effect on the average score for amount of food to be consumed found at 30- and 120- min after OGTT. Asians and Caucasians have similar score for amount of food to be consumed after 30- and 120- min OGTT. However at 60- min and 90- minutes after OGTT, significant interactions between ethnic and weight stability was found (p =0.023, p=0.031 respectively), suggesting the difference in the amount of food to be consumed sensations between Asians and Caucasians for weight stable and non-weight stable groups. More specific, among those who reported weight stable, Asians had a lower amount of food to be consumed sensation at 60- minutes after OGTT) than Caucasians at 60 minutes: mean (SEM) = 31 (7.2) vs 41 (7.0) mm, at 90 minutes : 37(8.0) mm vs 39 (6.9) mm, respectively. However among those who reported non-weight stable, Caucasians had a lower average score of amount of food to be consumed than Asians(at 60-minutes: mean (SEM) = 61 (7.7) mm vs 37 (6.8) mm ; at 90-minutes: mean (SEM) = 70 (8.0) mm vs 40 (5.6) mm; respectively).
Table 9. Subjective sensation score by ethnicity and weight stability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asians (n=17)</th>
<th>Caucasians (n=25)</th>
<th>p values #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight stable (n=9)</td>
<td>Non-weight stable (n=9)</td>
<td>Weight stable (n=12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunger</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at baseline</td>
<td>45 (9.1)</td>
<td>49 (8.5)</td>
<td>36 (6.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30- min after OGTT</td>
<td>28 (6.5)</td>
<td>42 (9.3)</td>
<td>37 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-min after OGTT</td>
<td>27 (8.4)</td>
<td>56 (8.3)</td>
<td>44 (8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-min after OGTT</td>
<td>43 (9.3)</td>
<td>72 (7.8)</td>
<td>43 (8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-min after OGTT</td>
<td>49 (14.7)</td>
<td>70 (6.8)</td>
<td>43 (10.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satiety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at baseline</td>
<td>22 (5.7)</td>
<td>42 (8.8)</td>
<td>38 (9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30- min after OGTT</td>
<td>63 (8.2)</td>
<td>45 (9.2)</td>
<td>57 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-min after OGTT</td>
<td>54 (8.7)</td>
<td>44 (10.1)</td>
<td>52 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-min after OGTT</td>
<td>43 (10.5)</td>
<td>38 (8.7)</td>
<td>46 (7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-min after OGTT</td>
<td>36 (12.2)</td>
<td>46 (10.7)</td>
<td>47 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desire to eat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at baseline</td>
<td>41 (9.0)</td>
<td>39 (9.1)</td>
<td>39 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30- min after OGTT</td>
<td>27 (7.2)</td>
<td>49 (9.1)</td>
<td>34 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-min after OGTT</td>
<td>31 (6.9)</td>
<td>58 (8.8)</td>
<td>39 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of prospective food to be consumed</th>
<th>90-min after OGTT</th>
<th>120-min after OGTT</th>
<th>30-min after OGTT</th>
<th>60-min after OGTT</th>
<th>90-min after OGTT</th>
<th>120-min after OGTT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39 (8.0)</td>
<td>49 (12.6)</td>
<td>26 (6.0)</td>
<td>31 (7.2)</td>
<td>37 (8.0)</td>
<td>44 (10.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70 (7.5)</td>
<td>73 (6.8)</td>
<td>47 (7.6)</td>
<td>61 (7.7)</td>
<td>70 (8.0)</td>
<td>70 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40 (7.2)</td>
<td>43 (9.7)</td>
<td>33 (7.8)</td>
<td>41 (7.0)</td>
<td>39 (6.9)</td>
<td>40 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41 (5.7)</td>
<td>46 (6.7)</td>
<td>29 (5.4)</td>
<td>37 (6.8)</td>
<td>40 (5.6)</td>
<td>47 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.004*</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.031*</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (sem), # ANCOVA, NS: not significant at 5% significance level; AUC: total
Three statistical analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) models were developed to compare the AUC subjective sensations between the two ethnic groups, controlling for baseline value (Model 1); for age, fat mass and fat-free mass (Model 2) and for age, fat mass, fat-free mass and glucose (Model 3) as shown Table 10. In all models, the interaction between ethnicity and weight stability status was considered.

On the amount of prospective food to be consumed sensation, when no adjustment for confounders was considered, a significant interactive effect between ethnic and weight stability was found. Asians who reported a stable weight during the last 6 months had a lower AUC sensation for amount of prospective food to be consumed than Caucasians (mean (SEM) = 4010 (720.5) vs 4366 (737.5); p =0.034 respectively). In contrast, Asians who reported a unstable weight during the last 6 months had a higher AUC sensation for amount of prospective food to be consumed than Caucasians (mean (SEM) = 7145(880.0) vs 4510 (694.9) p= 0.034 respectively) on average.

After controlling for confounders, a significant interactive effect of ethnicity and weight stability was found on all AUC of subjective sensations, except the AUC of satiety, in all the three models.

For the total response to hunger, this study found that Asians reported less hunger sensation (lower value of AUC of hunger) compared to Caucasians (Model 1: Asians 4168 vs Caucasians 4697, Model 2: Asians 4347 vs Caucasians 4510, Model 3: Asians 4342 vs Caucasians 6461) if the participants had a stable weight during last 6 months. However among those were non-weight stable, Caucasians were found to be less hungry sensations (Model 1: Asians 6752 vs Caucasians 4553, Model 2: Asians 6457 vs Caucasians 4714, Model 3: Asians 6461 vs Caucasians 4700).
A significant interaction on ethnicity and weight stability was also found for total response to desire to eat (Model 1: \( p=0.044 \); Model 2: \( p=0.019 \), Model 3: \( p=0.017 \)), suggesting among participants who reported to be weight stable during the last 6 months, Asians had a lower sensation for desire to eat (Model 1: Asians 4293 vs Caucasians 4350, Model 2: Asians 4347 vs Caucasians 4510, Model 3: Asians 4517 vs Caucasians 4630). Among those who reported their weight has been changed more than 2 kg during the last 6 months, Asians had a higher desire to eat as compared to Caucasians (Model 1: Asians 6862 vs Caucasians 4525, Model 2: Asians 6457 vs Caucasians, Model 3: Asians 6741 than Caucasians 4460).

This study also found that among those who reported weight stability in the last 6 months, Asians had a less sensation on the amount of prospective food to be consumed compared to Caucasians (Model 1: Asians 4552 vs Caucasians 4448, Model 2: Asians 4507 vs Caucasians 4332 Model 3: Asians 4500 vs Caucasians 4636).

Among those who reported to have weight changes more than 2 kg in the last 6 months, again Asians were found to have higher sensations for amount of food to be consumed as compared to Caucasians (Model 1: Asians 6488 vs Caucasians 4492, Model 2: Asians 6711 vs Caucasians 4592, Model 3: Asians 6178 vs Caucasians 4466).
Table 10. AUC subjective sensations by ethnicity and weight stability adjusted for model of metabolic profiles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>tAUC of hunger</th>
<th>Asians (n=17)</th>
<th>Caucasians (n=25)</th>
<th>p values#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight stable (n=9)</td>
<td>Non-weight stable (n=9)</td>
<td>Weight stable (n=10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unadjusted</strong></td>
<td>4041 (839.8)</td>
<td>6878 (884.9)</td>
<td>4658 (846.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model 1</strong></td>
<td>4168 (601.4)</td>
<td>6752 (601.4)</td>
<td>4697 (623.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model 2</strong></td>
<td>4347 (604.3)</td>
<td>6457 (561.9)</td>
<td>4510 (539.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model 3</strong></td>
<td>4342 (638.8)</td>
<td>6461 (589.4)</td>
<td>4737 (589.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>tAUC of satiety</th>
<th>Asians (n=17)</th>
<th>Caucasians (n=25)</th>
<th>p values#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unadjusted</strong></td>
<td>5402 (891.9)</td>
<td>5700 (748.7)</td>
<td>5142 (880.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model 1</strong></td>
<td>5920 (859.1)</td>
<td>4623 (859.2)</td>
<td>6079 (514.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model 2</strong></td>
<td>5885 (569.7)</td>
<td>5065 (531.1)</td>
<td>6002 (498.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model 3</strong></td>
<td>5885 (599.5)</td>
<td>5062 (558.6)</td>
<td>6556 (492.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>Model 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3808 (781)</td>
<td>4293 (476.0)</td>
<td>4491 (567.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7231 (881.2)</td>
<td>6862 (476.0)</td>
<td>6736 (528.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4403 (723)</td>
<td>4350 (627.6)</td>
<td>4245 (572.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4532 (616.7)</td>
<td>4525 (581.0)</td>
<td>4614 (528.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.026*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.044*</td>
<td>0.019*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tAUC of prospective amount of food</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4010 (720.5)</td>
<td>4552 (260.6)</td>
<td>4507 (273.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7145 (880)</td>
<td>6488 (260.6)</td>
<td>6711 (254.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4366 (737.5)</td>
<td>4448 (561.8)</td>
<td>4332 (563.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4510 (694.9)</td>
<td>4492 (520.1)</td>
<td>4592 (519.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.041*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.034*</td>
<td>0.042*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (sem), # ANCOVA†Two-way ANOVA. tAUC: total AUC, NS: not significant at 5% significance level. Model 1: adjusted for respective baseline value; Model 2: Model 1 + adjusted for age, fat mass and fat free mass; Model 3: Model 2 + adjusted for AUC glucose
4.7 Amount of food consumed during lunch by ethnicity adjusted by metabolic profiles

Again, three ANCOVA models were developed to compare the mean energy and macronutrient intake during subsequent lunch between the two ethnic groups, adjusting for baseline value (Model 1); for age, fat mass and fat-free mass (Model 2) and for age, fat mass, fat-free mass and glucose (Model 3) as shown in Table 11. In all models, the interaction between ethnicity and weight stability status was considered.

Before the afore-mentioned three models, a two-way ANOVA analysis was carried out to compare the unadjusted difference in the mean energy and macronutrient intake between Asians and Caucasians. There was a significant interaction between ethnic and weight stability found on total calorie intake and also on total carbohydrate intake during subsequent lunch. The interactions suggested that in the weight stable group Asians, on average, were found to have a significantly higher total calorie intake (730 vs 498 kcal, \( p = 0.039 \) respectively) and total carbohydrate intake (133 vs 81 gram, \( p = 0.046 \) respectively) than Caucasians. However in adjusted model, the non-stable weight group Caucasians had a higher total calorie intake (615 vs 529 kcal, \( p = 0.039 \) respectively) and total carbohydrate intake (95 vs 87 gram; \( p = 0.046 \) respectively) than Asians.

For total calorie intake, an interaction of ethnicity and weight stability was also found after controlling for age, fat mass, fat free mass and AUC glucose with a p value just on the boundary of significance (Model 2: \( p = 0.050 \)). It suggested that after adjustment of age, body composition and glucose, Asians who reported a stable weight during the last 6 months tended to have a higher calorie intake (mean (SEM) = 698 (97.8) kcal) than Caucasians (mean (SEM) = 439 (75.3) kcal) while Asians who reported a unstable weight during the last 6 months tended to have a lower calorie intake (mean (SEM) = 553 (82.2) kcal) than Caucasians (mean (SEM) = 599 (71.1) kcal) on average.
There was no significant effect of ethnicity on protein intake found after adjustment of age, fat mass, fat-free mass (Model 1) and age, fat mass, fat-free mass, AUC glucose (Model 2). However after controlling for one more variation (lunch energy intake), a significant main effect of ethnicity (p=0.019) on protein intake was observed (Model 3). This finding suggested overall Asians consumed less protein (mean (SEM)= 16 (1.8) gram) as compared to Caucasians (mean (SEM) =19 (1.3) gram) on average regardless of their weight stability status.

The study failed to find any significant difference in the mean of fat intake between ethnic groups, based on the unadjusted model and all three adjusted models.

For total fibre intake, no significant interactions were found based on all models considered as shown in Table 10. However there was a significant main effect of weight stability on fibre intake (Model 1: p=0.025, Model 2: p<0.001, Model 3: p<0.001). After adjustment of confounders, overall those who reported weight stable consumed less fibre on average (Model 1: mean (SEM) = 5.7 (2.4) gram, Model 2: mean (SEM) = 2.7 (2.3) gram, Model 3: mean (SEM) = 4.9 (2.1) gram) as compared to those who were non-weight stable (Model 1: mean(SEM)=11 (2.2) gram, Model 2: mean (SEM) = 12 (1.9) gram, Model 3: mean (SEM) = 10 (1.8) gram) regardless of their ethnicity.

4.8 Palatability of food consumed during lunch by ethnicity

Participants were asked in a 100 mm Visual Analog Scale (0 equals to not pleasant and 10 equals to very pleasant) their feedback on the amount, taste and smell of the buffet lunch. There was no difference on average palatability score of the buffet lunch between the Asian and Caucasian as shown in Table 12 in Appendix 1.
Table 11. Energy and macronutrient intake during buffet lunch by ethnicity and weight stability adjusted to metabolic profiles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asians (n=17)</th>
<th>Caucasians (n=25)</th>
<th>p values #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ETH x WS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total calorie intake (kcal)</strong></td>
<td>Weight stable (n=9)</td>
<td>Non-weight stable (n=9)</td>
<td>Weight stable (n=10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>730 (81.0)</td>
<td>529 (81.0)</td>
<td>498 (70.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>704 (97.7)</td>
<td>529 (82.5)</td>
<td>489 (52.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>698 (97.8)</td>
<td>553 (82.2)</td>
<td>439 (75.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total carbohydrate intake (gram)</td>
<td>Unadjusted†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>132 (18.1)</td>
<td>91 (15.3)</td>
<td>77.9 (12.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>130 (18.5)</td>
<td>93 (15.5)</td>
<td>67.6 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>108 (9.1)</td>
<td>97 (7.5)</td>
<td>89 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total protein intake (gram)</td>
<td>Unadjusted†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>19 (2.3)</td>
<td>14 (2.3)</td>
<td>18 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>19 (2.9)</td>
<td>14 (2.5)</td>
<td>17 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>19 (3.1)</td>
<td>15 (2.6)</td>
<td>17 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>16 (2.0)</td>
<td>16 (2.0)</td>
<td>20 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat intake (gram)</td>
<td>Unadjusted†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>14 (3.8)</td>
<td>14 (3.8)</td>
<td>11 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>11 (4.8)</td>
<td>12 (4.0)</td>
<td>12 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>12 (4.6)</td>
<td>13 (3.9)</td>
<td>11 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>8 (4.0)</td>
<td>14 (3.3)</td>
<td>15 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total fiber intake (gram)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted†</td>
<td>10 (2.8)</td>
<td>14 (2.8)</td>
<td>7 (2.4)</td>
<td>10 (2.2)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1: adjusted for age, fat mass and fat free mass.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2: Model 1 + adjusted for AUC glucose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3: Model 2 + lunch energy intake.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data is mean (se), † ANCOVA, ‡ Two-way ANOVA, NS: not significant at 5% significance level
Model 1: adjusted for age, fat mass and fat free mass.
Model 2: Model 1 + adjusted for AUC glucose
Model 3: Model 2 + lunch energy intake.
4.9 Amount of food consumed during 24-h post OGTT adjusted by model of metabolic profiles.

The study again used the three ANCOVA model to compare the energy and macronutrient intake during 24-h post OGTT between the two ethnic groups, with a adjustment of age, fat mass and fat-free mass (Model 1); of age, fat mass and fat-free mass and glucose (Model 2) and of age, fat mass, fat- free mass, glucose and calorie during dinner (Model 3) as shown in Table 12. In all models, the interaction between ethnicity and weight stability status was considered.

The study failed to find any statistically significant main effects and interactive effects on all four energy and macronutrient intake during 24-h food intake based on the unadjusted two-way ANOVA and all three ANCOVA models.

There were also no main effects of ethnicity, weight stability and interaction effect on most of nutrient intake within 24-h after OGTT indicating that Asians and Caucasians had similar consumption of these nutrients within 24-h after OGTT as shown in Table 13 in the Appendix 1. However a significant effect of weight stability on saturated fat intake (p= 0.017) was found suggesting those who reported a stable weight during the last 6 months had a lower saturated fat intake (overall mean (SEM) = 19 (2.9) gram) than those who were non-weight stable (overall mean (SEM) = 34 (4.3) gram). Asians who reported a stable weight during the last 6 months had a less saturated fat consumption (mean (SEM) = 12 (7.9) gram) than Caucasians (mean (SEM) = 23 (5.9) gram) on average. However, there was no significant difference on saturated fat consumption among those who were non weight stable regardless of their ethnicity.
There was also a significant effect of ethnicity on MUFA intake (p = 0.034) was found suggesting Asians, on average, had a lower MUFA intake (overall mean (SEM) = 18 (3.5) gram) than the Caucasians (overall mean (SEM) = 29 (3.5) gram).
Table 12. Energy and macronutrient intake during 24-h food record by ethnicity and weight stability adjusted to metabolic profiles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asians (n=14)</th>
<th>Caucasians (n=22)</th>
<th>p values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight stable (n=5)</td>
<td>Non-weight stable (n=9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total calorie intake (kcal)</td>
<td>1372 (295.1)</td>
<td>1988 (220.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted†</td>
<td>1793 (220.0)</td>
<td>2060 (183.1)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>1636 (366.6)</td>
<td>2082 (237.2)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>1569 (386.3)</td>
<td>2057 (243.1)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total carbohydrate intake (gram)</td>
<td>188 (41.3)</td>
<td>220 (30.8)</td>
<td>197 (30.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted†</td>
<td></td>
<td>205 (22.2)</td>
<td>181 (22.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>196 (32.3)</td>
<td>187 (32.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>208 (54.2)</td>
<td>196 (32.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>240 (37.6)</td>
<td>207 (23.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total protein intake (gram)</td>
<td>78 (19.4)</td>
<td>88 (14.6)</td>
<td>97 (14.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted†</td>
<td></td>
<td>95 (15.2)</td>
<td>88 (14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>102 (23.6)</td>
<td>95 (15.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>96 (24.7)</td>
<td>97 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>111 (16.5)</td>
<td>84 (10.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total fat intake (gram)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unadjusted†</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32 (16.5)</td>
<td>76 (12.3)</td>
<td>61 (12.3)</td>
<td>89 (10.2)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>36 (20.1)</td>
<td>78 (13.0)</td>
<td>61 (12.5)</td>
<td>86 (12.1)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>32 (21.2)</td>
<td>76 (13.4)</td>
<td>62 (12.7)</td>
<td>88 (12.6)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>45 (14.9)</td>
<td>67 (9.3)</td>
<td>69 (9.3)</td>
<td>86 (8.8)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total fiber intake (gram)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unadjusted†</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27 (6.2)</td>
<td>35 (4.6)</td>
<td>30 (4.6)</td>
<td>23 (3.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>31 (7.4)</td>
<td>38 (4.8)</td>
<td>29 (4.8)</td>
<td>20 (4.4)</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>29 (7.8)</td>
<td>29 (4.6)</td>
<td>37 (4.8)</td>
<td>21 (4.6)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>31 (7.7)</td>
<td>36 (4.8)</td>
<td>30 (4.5)</td>
<td>21 (4.5)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data is mean (sem), #ANCOVA, †two-way ANOVA, NS: not significant at 5% significance level.

Model 1: adjusted for age, fat mass and fat free mass.
Model 2: Model 1 + adjusted for AUC glucose
Model 3: Model 1 + dinner energy intake
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DISCUSSION

This study was designed to observe subjective appetite sensations on hunger, satiety and prospective food intake among Asian and Caucasian overweight/obese individuals residing in Australia as primary objectives. As several participants provided a history of weight instability, we choose to assess this factor as secondary objective and so statistical analyses were conducted with a focus on the interactive effect of weight stability status and ethnicity. Due to difficulties in recruiting study participants of Asians origins thus the study was not able to reach the required sample size and had only 73% power to detect differences in the primary objectives. Therefore this study could serve as a pilot for further study in the future.

5.1. Influence of ethnicity and weight stability on subjective hunger/satiety sensations

There was significant main interactive effect between ethnic group and weight stability found on three of the subjective appetite sensations namely: hunger sensation, desire to eat sensation and amount of prospective food to be consumed sensation in this study. Results indicated that after controlling for age, fat mass, fat-free mass and total glucose response, non-weight stable Asians had more sensations of hunger and desire to eat as compared to non-weight stable Caucasian, weight stable Asians and weight stable Caucasians (Table 10).

Weight-stable Asians had less sensation for amount of prospective food to be consumed as compared to the weight-stable Caucasians and that non-weight stable Asians had a more sensation for amount of prospective food to be consumed as compared to the non-weight
stable Caucasians. These effects persisted despite adjustment for a variety of confounders including, age, fat mass, fat-free mass and baseline value and glucose response through the use of different models for analysis (Table 10). This result indicates that weight instability per se among Asians could be an important modifier of subjective hunger/satiety sensations.

5.2 The influence of ethnicity and weight fluctuation on oral glucose response

Although the impact of weight fluctuation defined as weight gain and weigh loss over short period of time in affecting resting energy expenditure and body composition still inconclusive (Wadden et al., 1996), the impact of weight fluctuation over longer time periods on insulin levels had been shown by a study among Japanese adults (Yatsuya et al., 2003). Those with larger weight fluctuation had a higher fasting insulin concentration as compared to those who were weight stable (Yatsuya et al., 2003).

In this study, Asians, on average, tended to have a lower fasting glucose level as compared to the other Caucasians despite similar instructions to all participants to fast between 10-12 hours prior to the study day and the similar pre-OGTT condition.

It is a well-accepted technique to provide pre-load meal in order to assess satiety (Rolls, 1995). In this study, all participants were requested to consume ready-to-eat meals during dinner prior to OGTT and then received OGTT as pre-load meal. The use of OGTT as pre-load meals in assessing satiety has several advantages and limitations. Studies have shown that macronutrient composition of pre-load meal affected hunger and satiety sensations (Zhu et al., 2013, Heden et al., 2013, Rolls, 1995, Kissileff, 1984). Thus by having OGTT, the study participants were only exposed to glucose as source of carbohydrate and not to other type of macro-nutrients such as protein or fat. In addition, OGTT serves as a good indicator for glucose response. OGTT can also be used as a good indicator for meal stimulation (Belfiore et al., 1998, Matsuda and DeFronzo, 1999, Reaven, 1988). Despites all of these advantages, there are several limitations to use OGTT as compared to standards breakfast
such as: 1) a liquid meal has been known to provide less satiety as compared to solid meal which could lead to compensation in the subsequent meal (Jones et al., 2013, Almiron-Roig et al., 2003, Heden et al., 2013) and 2) high palatability of sweeten food could trigger overeating (Bellisle et al., 2012, Anderson and Woodend, 2003).

In this study the blood glucose levels after OGTT were also comparable between Asians and Caucasians regardless of their weight stability condition. This is in contrast with study conducted by Venn et al in 2010 who showed that 2-h incremental AUC for glucose among Asians was higher as compared to Caucasians after consuming similar amount of glucose beverage and cereals, suggesting different insulin-glucose mechanism between the two ethnic groups (Venn et al., 2010).

Absolute glucose concentration does not have a straightforward relationship with satiety (de Graaf et al., 2004) which is further explained by study among healthy normo-weight Caucasians in which glycemic response to a standardized meal was not related to appetite sensation, while insulin response was associated (Flint et al., 2006). There were negative correlations between glucose at 2-h after OGTT and subjective sensations for hunger, satiety, desire to eat and amount of food to be consumed in this study. This could be due the influence of dynamic and transient declines of blood glucose as postulated by de Graaf et al in 2004 (de Graaf et al., 2004). However these dynamic and transient change need to be confirmed by frequently monitoring of blood glucose such as 8-10 times in one minute, which was quite difficult to achieve with current blood glucose methodology (de Graaf et al., 2004).

5.3 Association of subjective sensations and energy/macronutrient intake by ethnicity and weight stability

Subjective sensations of hunger, fullness, desire to eat and amount of prospective food to be consumed had been commonly assessed by a validated visual analogue score (Flint et al.,
Asians in this study, on average, had higher subjective hunger sensations as compared to Caucasians. In addition to glucose, age might be another possible explanation for this phenomenon as Asians in this study were significantly younger the Caucasians. Several studies have shown that after an overnight fast, older subjects were less hungry than younger adults (Donini et al., 2003, Rolls et al., 1995, Shide et al., 1995). A negative association between subjective sensations and food intake was commonly present among those older than 65 years of age which lead to reduction of appetite and energy intake (Parker et al., 2004, Donini et al., 2013).

There were different associations between subjective sensations of hunger, fullness, desire to eat and amount of prospective food to be consumed with subsequent macronutrient intake during lunch among weight stable Asians, non-weight stable Asians and non-weight stable Caucasians in this study as shown in Table 7. There was no association between any of subjective sensations with subsequent food intake during lunch among weight-stable Caucasians.

Among weight-stable Asians there was a significant positive association between hunger sensation and fat intake during lunch; negative association between satiety sensation and calorie, carbohydrate, protein, fat and fiber intake during lunch. There were also significant positive associations between desire to eat sensation and fat intake; and between the amount of prospective food to be consumed sensation and protein intake. However, there were no significant associations among non-weight stable Asians. In contrast, there were significant positive associations between amount of prospective food to be consumed and protein intake among non-weight stable Caucasians and between satiety sensation and carbohydrate intake among weight-stable Caucasians. These findings further indicates that weight stability could have a stronger influence on subjective sensation and food intake among Asians but not
among Caucasians.

The associations between subjective sensations and food intake were also in accordance with a study conducted by Flint et al in 2007 which found that subjective appetite cues in overweight and obese subjects were associated with subsequent food intake (Flint et al., 2007). However to date, we are not aware of any other study to assess the relationship between appetite cues in overweight/obese subjects from different ethnic groups or from those with different weight stability condition.

The served lunch consisted of pre-selected main ready-to-eat warm meals in addition to other food items such as slices of vegetables, fruits, biscuits, chocolate bar and fruit juice. This buffet meal concept has been used by earlier study (Ping-Delfos and Soares, 2011) and allowed study participants to select and consume the meal to their liking and in accordance to their hunger/satiety sensations. Although the study was conducted in a laboratory setting in which each individual consumed their individual meal, and not in accordance to the normal socio-cultural setting where individual could choose their own meal and consume it within their social context (de Graaf et al., 2004, Woods, 1991), both ethnic groups, on average, consumed comparable amount of calorie during lunch. In addition, most of the study participants found that the meal served was acceptable. The palatability of the food is quite an important factor as it drives satiation and thus influences food intake (De Graaf et al., 1999).

The amount of macro-nutrients intake based on 24-h food record in this study was quite similar with those reported by Conway et al in 2003 (Conway et al., 2003) which assessed the accuracy of two different dietary methods; 24-h food recall and 5 multiple-steps approach, among overweight and obese women in the US. It is interesting to note that the total energy intake for both Asians and Caucasians in this study were still within the recommended daily allowance. Thus the possibility of under-reporting among overweight
and obese men or women which have been frequently reported previously could not be eliminated (Pietilainen et al., 2010, Lichtman et al., 1992, Weber et al., 2001, Lindroos et al., 1999, Bandini et al., 1990).

5.4 The influence of ethnic and weight stability on energy and macronutrient intake

There were different preferences of macronutrient intakes between Asians and Caucasians in relation to weight stability in this study. During the subsequent lunch, Asians, on average, tended to consume more carbohydrate, while Caucasians tended to consume fat as contributor to the total energy intake. Those who were weight stable had a lower fiber intake regardless of the ethnicity. This was in contrast from earlier study comparing native vs migrant Australians from different ethnic groups which found that there higher percentage among migrants Asians who consumed more than five servings of vegetable per day as compared to migrants Caucasians (Astell-Burt et al., 2013a).

During the 24-h food intake, after adjusting to confounders, non-weight stable Asians, on average, tended to consume more calorie and carbohydrate, while weight stable Asians, tended to consume less protein and less fat as compared to those who were non-weight stable. However the differences were not significant. This effect of weight stability condition on fat intake was more prominent during 24-h food intake. Those who were non-weight stable tended to consume more total fat as compared to those who were weight stable, regardless their ethnicity. This could further indicate weight stability as a driver for fat consumption. Interestingly, during lunch, Asians who were weight stable, on average, had a higher calorie intake compared to the other three groups. This phenomenon were reversed during 24-h after OGTT as Asians who were weight stable then tended to consume lower calorie intake. This suggests that short term changes in food intake are counter balanced by 24h intake.
5.5. The influence of ethnicity on body composition and food intake

Asian participants in this study had smaller body build as characterized by having less body weight, less body height and smaller waist-circumference than Caucasians. This is similar with findings from study by Stults-Kolehmaine et al in 2013 which showed Asian men had the smallest build in term of height, weight and BMI as compared to other ethnicities. This study involved 800 participants from four different ethnic groups in the US namely Asian, African-American, Hispanics and non-white Hispanics (Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2013). The Asians in the study had smaller built, a comparable BMI and percentage of fat mass to the Caucasians. This was in contrast with findings from Hauck et al in 2011 which showed that the 1st generation migrants had lower BMI as compared to native Australians (Hauck et al., 2011).

All of the Asians participants in this study were born outside Australia with average duration of living in Australia about 1/3 of their age indicating that there were 1st generation of migrants. While, many of the Caucasians participants in this study were born in Australia as their average duration of living about 4/5 of their age indicating that most of them were either native or 2nd generation of migrants. The importance of duration of living in influencing the prevalence of obesity and overweight among migrants was shown by Roshania et al in 2008 which analyzed the data from around 6,000 adult immigrants in the United States (Roshania et al., 2008). This study found that immigrants who were younger than 20 years of age at the time of arrival and had lived in the USA for more than 15 years had 11 times higher risk of developing obesity as compared to those who arrived at the same age and had only lived in the USA for about 1 year (Roshania et al., 2008). Although, the particular information on the age of arrival was not collected in this study, we estimated that the Asian participants in this study could possibly arrived in Australia around their early 20s and had lived in the country, on average, around 15 years. This could allow sufficient time to assimilate to the new country environment and culture.
In this study, different body compartments were assessed using DEXA. Earlier study showed that this technique allowed accurate assessment on fat mass and fat-free mass (Williams et al., 2006). There was a negative association between Fat Free Mass and satiety among weight-stable Asians. There was a positive association between Fat Mass and satiety among non-weight-stable Caucasians. The associations between body composition with subjective hunger/satiety sensations were similar to findings from early studies which showed that fat mass and fat-free mass influenced appetite regulation, although these studies did not further analyze the influence of ethnicity and weight stability condition (Benedict et al., 2014, Verdich et al., 2001, Fernandez-Garcia et al., 2013).

5.6 Study limitations

There were difficulties in recruiting study participants of Asians origins thus the study was not able to reach the required sample size and power to test the hypothesis. Difficulties in recruitment for study participation in medical research among certain ethnic groups, especially the minority, had been widely documented (Mohiuddin and Hilleman, 1993, Roberson, 1994, Hussain-Gambles, 2004). Hussain-Gambles in 2004 postulated that participant’s culture, social status and gender were key influencing factors for participating (Hussain-Gambles, 2004).

With the current number of participants, the study had only 73% power to detect differences in subjective appetite sensations including hunger and satiety sensations as compared to the initial sample size calculation which would provide 80% power to detect these differences. This would explain why we found several marginal differences such as the differences on unadjusted fasting glucose level, hunger sensations, desire to eat and amount of prospective food to be consumed between Asians and Caucasians regardless of their weight stability status (Table 10). However there were some significant differences too which indicates a much greater effect size than the moderate (0.40) we had envisaged for our
sample size calculations. Clearly with the required sample size, we would have been better positioned to confirm the role of ethnicity in influencing subjective sensations and prospective food intake.

No association between impaired glucose response to OGTT and disruption of subjective hunger/satiety sensations was found in this study. Insulin was not measured in this study due to limited resources which had hindered further exploration on the influence of insulin resistance, in addition to impaired glucose tolerance, on subjective sensations and subsequent food intake. An earlier study showed that insulin concentrations increase hunger ratings independent of changes in blood glucose (Woods and Porte, 1983). However, further studies found that insulin does not directly affect appetite when studies were conducted at euglycemic or hyperglycemic condition (Woo et al., 1984, Holt and Miller, 1995).

The magnitude of weight fluctuation was also not further determined. As weight fluctuation has been shown to influence glucose homeostasis (Lagerpusch et al., 2012), more in-depth information on insulin and weight fluctuation could allow further confirmation of their influence on appetite and food intake.

In this study, despite the differences in characteristic, we managed to have the study conducted in highly controlled situation with minimal impact from external surrounding. Rolls et al in 1995 reviewed that as studies related with food intake were easily influenced by different factors; several conditions must be fulfilled to be able to detect subtle differences in food intake which includes careful selection of pre-load and a thorough screening of study participants in order to ensure homogeneity of their characteristics (age, sex, body weight), (Rolls and Hammer, 1995)

There were no normal/lean subjects in either ethnic group. This could have served as a control group in this study. Having this information would have allowed the study to confirm
the influence of body size as well since studies have shown a disrupted appetite sensation among overweight/obese (Speakman et al., 2002, Brennan et al., 2012). Different ethnicities across Asia were combined together in one group of interest as Asians in this study. Thus the differences in each individual ethnic group were not able to be further distinguished. A further complexity is that several Asian countries have a cultural mix in them as well. For example Singapore and Malaysia have Indians, Malays, Chinese, and Indigenous groups. Such a sample selection was beyond the scope of our study.
6.1 Conclusion

The present study could serve to highlight the possible influence of ethnicity and weight stability on subjective hunger/satiety sensations and subsequent food intake. We found Asians who were weight stable planned to eat less food and had a lower subjective hunger rating, when compared to Caucasians who were weight stable. In contrast, Asians who were non-weight stable planned to eat more food and showed a greater hunger score than their Caucasians’ counterpart.

Asians who weight-stable tended to have lower calorie consumption during buffet lunch as compared to the other three groups (weight-stable Caucasian, non-weight stable Asians and non-weight stable Caucasians). However, this phenomenon were reversed during 24-h after OGTT as Asians who were non-weight stable then tended to consume more calorie although the difference was not significant. Asians, on average, tended to consume more carbohydrate, while Caucasians tended to consume fat as contributor to the total energy intake.

Among Asians who were weight-stable, there was significant positive association between hunger sensation and fat intake during lunch so after a high fat lunch these Asians were hungrier as time progressed. When carbohydrate, protein and fiber were considered in addition to fat intake during lunch for weight-stable Asians, a negative association with satiety sensations was found and so if the lunch included carbohydrate, protein, fat and fiber, the feelings of fullness were greater over time.

There was an inverse association between blood glucose response and subjective sensations between the two ethnic groups. Blood glucose response was associated with
subjective sensations only among Asians who were weight stable and Caucasians who were non-weight stable as shown by following findings: 2-h after OGTT was significantly correlated with subjective hunger/satiety sensations among weight-stable Asians but not among the other. Blood glucose response at 2-h after OGTT was negatively correlated with the amount of fat and fibre intake during lunch among Asians who were weight stable, while AUC glucose response had a significant negative correlation with protein and fat intake among Caucasians who were non-weight stable.

6.2 Recommendation for future research

Future studies on the role of ethnicity in influencing subjective sensations for hunger and satiety should include normal/lean subjects in both ethnic groups to be able to confirm whether there is a disruption of appetite signals in obesity. These studies should also include other potential influencing factors such as insulin, lipid profiles, and other satiety related hormones such as CCK, PYY and Ghrelin, in addition to weight fluctuation. The inclusion of these biomarkers could bring a more holistic overview on the indirect or direct influencing factors on food intake. The impact of migration on weight fluctuation, subjective sensations and food intake should also be considered. This could be achieved through epidemiological studies between Asians in Asia and those who have migrated to other countries including Australia, or in recently-migrated Asians and those who have migrated for a longer period of time.

Finally based on our findings of weight stability, a more detailed report of how amount of weight lost or gained influenced hunger/satiety and prospective food intake would be very useful to the world literature. This will especially valuable if the ethnicity was confirmed as an effect modifier of such outcomes.
**Appendix 1-Additional Tables**

Table 13. Absolute palatability score of buffet lunch by ethnicity and weight stability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asians (n=14)</th>
<th>Caucasians (n=22)</th>
<th>p values#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Non-weight</td>
<td>Weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>stable (n=5)</td>
<td>stable (n=9)</td>
<td>stable (n=9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of meal</td>
<td>75 (7.0)</td>
<td>70 (7.0)</td>
<td>65 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taste (mm)</td>
<td>68 (8.3)</td>
<td>60 (8.3)</td>
<td>73 (7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smell (mm)</td>
<td>64 (8.9)</td>
<td>56 (8.9)</td>
<td>74 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (SEM), # Two-way ANOVA, NS: not significant at 5% significance level.
Table 14. Nutrients intake within 24-h after OGTT by ethnicity and weight stability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asians (n=14)</th>
<th>Caucasians (n=22)</th>
<th>p values#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight stable (n=5)</td>
<td>Non-weight stable (n=9)</td>
<td>Weight stable (n=9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated fat (g)</td>
<td>12 (7.9)</td>
<td>34 (5.9)</td>
<td>23 (5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUFA (g)</td>
<td>5 (4.3)</td>
<td>12 (3.2)</td>
<td>12 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUFA (g)</td>
<td>12 (6.6)</td>
<td>21 (4.9)</td>
<td>23 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg)</td>
<td>263 (70.6)</td>
<td>206 (52.6)</td>
<td>275 (52.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar (g)</td>
<td>54 (19.3)</td>
<td>73 (14.4)</td>
<td>86 (14.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamin (mg)</td>
<td>0.8 (1.23)</td>
<td>1.86 (0.91)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riboflavin (mg)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.33)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.99)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C (mg)</td>
<td>313 (120.6)</td>
<td>98 (89.0)</td>
<td>84 (90.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A (IU)</td>
<td>2202 (584.6)</td>
<td>1160 (435.7)</td>
<td>561 (435.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D (IU)</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>3 (1.3)</td>
<td>2 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E (units)</td>
<td>16 (4.3)</td>
<td>7 (3.2)</td>
<td>8 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrient</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folate (ng)</td>
<td>346 (133.4)</td>
<td>495 (99.4)</td>
<td>320 (99.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (mg)</td>
<td>2509 (568.0)</td>
<td>3052 (423.4)</td>
<td>3136 (423.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mg)</td>
<td>648 (169.1)</td>
<td>631 (126.0)</td>
<td>522 (126.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium (mg)</td>
<td>340 (62.8)</td>
<td>400 (46.8)</td>
<td>340 (46.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (mg)</td>
<td>9 (3.2)</td>
<td>15 (2.4)</td>
<td>12 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (mg)</td>
<td>8 (3.0)</td>
<td>11 (2.3)</td>
<td>12 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodine (mg)</td>
<td>111 (54.2)</td>
<td>111 (40.4)</td>
<td>83 (40.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeine (mg)</td>
<td>139 (61.6)</td>
<td>135 (45.9)</td>
<td>97 (45.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (SEM) *p<0.05, # Two-way ANOVA, NS: not significant at 5% significance level
### Table 15. Pearson's correlation between body composition and AUC subjective sensations by ethnicity and weight stability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asians (n=9)</th>
<th>Caucasians (n=12)</th>
<th>Asians (n=9)</th>
<th>Caucasians (n=14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r*</td>
<td>p value</td>
<td>r*</td>
<td>p value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat Mass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC of hunger</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-0.163</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC of satiety</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-0.195</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC for desire to eat</td>
<td>-0.167</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-0.040</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC for amount of food to be consumed</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-0.193</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat Free Mass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC of hunger</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC of satiety</td>
<td>-0.865</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC for desire to eat</td>
<td>0.676</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC for amount of food to be consumed</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pearson’s correlation coefficient, NS: not significant at 5% significance level.
Appendix 2- Informed Consent

Endothelial Function and Food Intake (EFFI)

Informed Consent Form

I, ______________________________________________________

Hereby consent to be a volunteer for the above-mentioned study. I understand that as part of the study, I will allow myself to be screened for suitability as a volunteer.

I have read the Participant Information Sheet and,

I consent to the following:

✓ To attend the medical check up
✓ To be fasting for 8 hours prior to the appointment time and to only take glucose solution that consists of 75 ml glucose as my breakfast.
✓ To have my finger pricked for 4 times on 30 minutes, 1, 2 and 3 hour after I taken the solution at the appointment time.
✓ To have my body composition measured using the DEXA machine. I understand that this method involves a very small dose of radiation and that I will have to undergo 1 scan at the beginning of the appointment time.
✓ To complete physical activity questionnaire at the time of the study.
✓ To complete a 3-day food and drink diary after the study Day.
✓ To consume the lunch that is provided at the specified time.
✓ To attend all appointments as outlined in the protocol attached.

I fully understand all of the potential risks of these procedures as explained to me. I also understand that my participation is purely voluntary and that signing this form DOES NOT prevent me from withdrawing from the study at any time. I have been assured that confidentiality will be maintained at all stages throughout the study.

Signature: _________________________ Date: ________________

Witness: _________________________ Date: ________________

This study has been approved by the Curtin University Human Research Ethics Committee (Approval number HR 109/2010). If needed, verification of this approval can be obtained either in writing to the Curtin University Human Research Ethics Committee c/- Office of Research Development, Curtin University of Technology, GPO Box U1987, Perth 6845, or by telephoning 9266-2784.
Appendix 3- Information Sheet

Endothelial Function and Food Intake (EFFI)

Volunteer information Sheet

Synopsis:

As part of this program, participants will need:

1. To come to Curtin University on two occasions.
2. To attend a medical check-up.
3. To fast for at least 10 hours prior to the Study Day time and to undergo the glucose tolerance test.
4. To allow finger prick to be done on 4 occasions before and after drinking the glucose solution.
5. To have body composition measured using the DEXA machine.
6. To complete a Food Frequency Questionnaire prior to the trial day and to note physical activity diary.
7. To complete the hunger and satiety questionnaire and to record their subsequent 24-h food intake.

The participation to this program is on voluntary basis in which participants may opt out of this study at any time, without any fear of recrimination.

Personal and medical information collected for this study by the study doctor and the study team will be kept confidential.
Volunteer information Sheet

Information to Volunteers

Thank you for agreeing to participate in the above mentioned study. This document serves to assist you in understanding what is involved in this project. For this study to be a success, we need volunteers who are able to adhere to all parts of the study. Please read this document carefully before you agree to join the study. There is a consent form attached which you will be asked to sign on your first visit. Please be sure that you fully understand the nature of the study and feel free to query us on any aspect, anytime.

Background information

Insulin resistance is a common state among people who are overweight all around the world. Moreover people who have excess body weight are prone to eat more than required due to an inability to feel full after a meal. According to several studies, insulin resistance over the long term leads to endothelial dysfunction, abnormal lipid levels, and hypertension amongst other conditions. Along with factors such as aging, genetics, also sedentary lifestyle may also contribute to insulin resistance.
**Study aim**

The aim is to study whether people who have excess body weight are at risk for diabetes by having abnormal blood glucose level status. Furthermore, we also would like to study hunger and satiety cues among the study population. The information is crucial in order to be able to plan nutritional advice for the betterment of health of Australians.

**Study design**

*Visit 1- Experiment day (3-4 hours, Curtin School of Public Health and Building 404)*

The *day prior to this visit* you will need to consume your last meal by 10:30 pm at the latest as you need to be fasting at least for 10-12 hours. You may drink as much of the provided water as you want; however after this time **please do not eat ANYTHING else**. This will ensure that you are in a truly fasting state for our measurements. To ensure accuracy of our measurements, it is *vital* to fulfil the following conditions:

1. A minimum of 8hours sleep.
2. No strenuous exercise for at least 1½days (36hours) prior to the visit.
3. Try to avoid too much activity on the morning of the test.

You will need to come to Curtin University by 8 am. All measurements on this day will be conducted at the Health Services Centre of Curtin University. At all times while you are at the health service, health care staff will be available for care and support at all times.

During this visit, we will be determining as your hunger and satiety level throughout the 2 hours of testing. It is important that you come to the laboratory as relaxed as possible and remain relaxed till the end of the testing. Please make sure that you wear comfortable clothing, with minimal metal objects, to facilitate the taking of blood samples, blood pressure measurements.

We will conduct the assessment at School of Public Health, at Building 400 room 209, Curtin University. We will measure your weight, height, waist and hip circumferences. You will then rest for ~30 minutes on a comfortable bed, before the commencement of any
measurement. We will do 4 times finger pricks: before the glucose tolerance test, 30 minutes, 1 hour and 2-hour after the given glucose drink.

You will be served water with 75 g glucose load (sugar) as breakfast. We will ask you to fill in the hunger and satiety questionnaire at 30 minutes, 1 hour, 1.5 hour and 2 hour after the glucose drink. Approximately 2.5 hours after drinking the glucose solution, you will be served an early lunch meal that you chosen previously. You also need to fill in a palatability questionnaire right after you finish your lunch.

We will also do your body scan (Dual X-ray Absorptiometry, DEXA). The DEXA will give us more detailed information about your body composition. The machine uses a small dose of X-rays to get an image of the body. The dose is much less than a chest x-ray and this technique is used on babies and adults alike. You will be required to lie on the scan table of the machine and to stay as motionless as possible while being scanned.

**Your responsibility in this study:**

If you agree to participate in this study, you should follow the advice given to you by the study team. The attendance day will be according to the agreement between you and us. We request you to return the 24-h food and drink diary by posting the reply paid envelope by the end of the following day.

**Possible benefits from participating in the study:**

At the end of the study, we will provide a complete report on blood glucose level and your response towards hunger /satiety. You will be given a copy of your body composition measured with the DEXA instrument. You will be informed of your personal results and when all the data have been analysed, a brief final outcome will be sent to your mailing address. If we detect any irregular results that need medical attention, we will share the result with you so that you can consult further with your GP.

**Possible risks and side effects:**

There are a very few risks and side effects that we foresee. The risk involved in blood collection process includes the possibility of having minor bruises and temporary pain around the area where the blood will be taken. This usually subsides within 24-48 hours.
Also, during the DEXA measurement, you will be exposed to a tiny amount of X-rays which is not deemed harmful to human health. However, we do not scan pregnant women or women who may possibly be pregnant. It is your duty to inform us if you are unsure. Should you have any issues after the trial please contact the Principal Investigator (A/Prof Mario Soares) as soon as possible, on +61-8-92663220 or by email on m.soares@curtin.edu.au.

**Important Information for Women Subjects:**

X-Ray radiation is prohibited for pregnant women. Please make us aware if you are unsure about the possibility of a pregnancy, as this excludes you from our study.

**Costs and payments of participating in the study:**

There will be no charge to you for participating in this study. Assuming you come by your own vehicle, an appropriate parking place will be provided for you to facilitate your access to the related buildings. At the end of the study, you will be given a small token of appreciation for your attendance.

**Voluntary participation:**

You may opt out of this study at any time, without any fear of recrimination. Your decision to quit will not affect your relationship with us or your existing relationship with Curtin University, nor will it impinge on the level of any medical care you may be receiving outside of Curtin University. If you choose to stop taking part in this study, please inform the Principal Investigator or research scientist, as a courtesy.

Your participation in this study may be stopped by the Principal Investigator for any of the following reasons:

- If you do not follow the study instructions
- The study doctor decides it is in the best interest of your health and welfare to stop participating.

Also, you will be informed of new information that might affect your willingness to continue this study.
Confidentiality of study and medical records:

Personal and medical information collected for this study by the study doctor and the study team will be kept confidential. Data will be de-identified by assigning each subject a code. All data will be stored in a locked cabinet for a period of 5 years, in the supervisor’s office. Any e-data will be password protected. Your records, to the extent of the applicable laws and regulations, will not be made publicly available. However, Curtin University of Technology Institutional Review Board for the study will be granted direct access to your original personal and medical records if they need to check that the study was done properly, keeping such information confidential. By signing the Informed Consent Form attached, you are authorizing such access to your personal and medical records by concerned people. Data collected and entered into the Case Report Forms are the property of Curtin University of Technology. We will ensure that when we publish our results, your identity will always remain confidential.

In Summary

To participate in this study you will need to be able to:

- ✔ Have finger prick for blood glucose and undergo DEXA scan.
- ✔ Complete and return Food Frequency Questionnaire and activity diary.
- ✔ Complete and return the Hunger and Satiety Questionnaires at the study day.
- ✔ Complete and return 24 h detailed food intake diary after the study day.

As a participant, you will benefit by the following:

- ✔ An opportunity to understand whether you are at higher risk to develop diabetes as compared to other people with excess weight.
- ✔ On completion of the entire program, you will receive a complete nutritional and blood glucose and body composition.
Further information:

If you have any further queries, please do not hesitate to contact:

Dr. Leilani Lestarina, MD, MSc, MPhil Student

e-mail: l.muharoi@postgrad.curtin.edu.au.

Principal Investigator:

A/Prof. Mario Soares, MBBS, PhD, RNutr

(08) 9266 3220 (Working hours)

Email: m.soares@curtin.edu.au

Associate Professor

Department of Nutrition, Dietetics & Food Science,

School of Public Health, Curtin University of Technology

GPO Box U1987, WA 6845

This study has been approved by the Curtin University Human Research Ethics Committee (Approval number: HR 109/2010). The Committee is comprised of members of the public, academics, lawyers, doctors and pastoral carers. Its main role is to protect participants. If needed, verification of approval can be obtained either in writing to the Curtin University Human Research Ethics Committee c/- Office of Research Development, Curtin University of Technology, GPO Box U1987, Perth 6845, or by telephoning 9266 2784 or by emailing hrec@curtin.edu.au.
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Thank you for your interest in the EFFI Program. Curtin University Human Research Ethics Committee has given ethics approval for the conduct of this study. If you have any ethical concerns regarding the study, (HR 109/2010), you may contact The Secretary, Human Research Ethics Committee, Curtin University, GPO Box U1987, Perth, WA 6845; by phone on +61 8 9266 2784; or by email hrec@curtin.edu.au

Purpose of study

You are being invited to participate in a study which aims to investigate whether the amount of glucose in the body is important to how we handle a meal (appetite sensations). At the end of the study, you will receive a report on your health condition especially in relation to your body composition (fat, muscle and bone mass), sensation of hunger and fullness.

Risks and benefits of participating in the study

This survey is voluntary and has no known risks associated with your participation. You may withdraw from the study at any time even after submission of this questionnaire. Once you are accepted in the study we will furnish you with a participant information sheet that will detail the requirements and objectives of this program. You will also be required to sign an informed consent form.
**Screening Survey**

In order for us to determine your suitability for our program we invite you to kindly fill this survey. The survey will take approximately 5 minutes.

**Confidentiality**

Any information that you provide us regarding your identity will be de-identified before being stored, to protect your privacy.

If you have any further questions or would like to have the information sheet/informed consent form prior to filling this survey please email Dr. Leilani Muhardi at l.muharoi@postgrad.curtin.edu.au
Endothelial Function and Food Intake Program (EFFI)

Screening Questionnaire

Please select the box (put an X) corresponding to your answer or complete the spaces as indicated below. If your response is YES to any question, you may provide further details in the space provided.

1. Demographics

a) First name: ______________________

a) Last name: ______________________

b) Address: __________________________________
   Suburb: ______________________
   Postcode: ______________________

c) Telephone: ______________________(home)

d) ______________________(mobile)

e) Date of Birth: ______________________ Age: ______________________ years

f) Reported Height (m): ______________________

g) Reported Weight (kg): ______________________

h) Menopause: Yes □
   No □
   Not Applicable □
i) Country of Birth: ____________________

j) Parents’ country of birth: ____________________

k) Duration lived in Australia: ______(years).

2. Are you currently a smoker
   Y ☐ N ☐
   If Yes provide details (optional):
   ___________________________________________

3. Do you have more than 2 alcoholic drinks per day
   Y ☐ N ☐ ☐
   If Yes provide details (optional):
   ___________________________________________

4. Has your weight fluctuated by 2(or more) kg in the last 6 months
   Y ☐ N ☐ ☐
   If Yes provide details (optional):
   ___________________________________________

5. Do you suffer from any of the following conditions?

   a) Diabetes
      Y ☐ N ☐
      If Yes provide details (optional):
      ___________________________________________

   b) High blood lipids
      Y ☐ N ☐
      If Yes provide details (optional):
      ___________________________________________

   c) High blood pressure
      Y ☐ N ☐
      If Yes provide details (optional):
      ___________________________________________

   d) Kidney Disease
      Y ☐ N ☐ ☐
If Yes provide details (optional):

---

e) Infections requiring antibiotics

If Yes provide details (optional):

---

f) Heart/Chest pain

If Yes provide details (optional):

---

g) Stroke in the last 6 months

If Yes provide details (optional):

---

6. Are you currently on any medications?

a) Hormone replacement therapy

If Yes provide details (optional):

---

b) Steroids

If Yes provide details (optional):

---

c) Lipid lowering drugs

If Yes provide details (optional):

---

d) Anticoagulant

---
If Yes provide details (optional):  
_________________________________________

e) Vitamin Supplements  
Y □  N □  
If Yes provide details (optional):  
_________________________________________

f) Weight loss pills  
Y □  N □  
If Yes provide details (optional):  
_________________________________________

g) Any Other medicines  
Y □  N □  
If Yes provide details (optional):  
_________________________________________

7. As part of this program, we offer you a choice of a dinner and lunch meal. Please choose at least one of the following as your preferred meal.

a) Butter chicken & Rice (2-minute cooking) □

b) Honey Beef & Rice (2-minute cooking) □

c) Beef black pepper & Rice (2-minute cooking) □

d) Tuscan Tomato Fusili (2-minute cooking) - Vegetarian □

e) Neapolitana Penne (2-minute cooking) - Vegetarian □

8. Are you able to consume full cream milk  
Y □  N □
9. Do you have any food related allergies

Y   N

If Yes please specify______________________________________________________
Appendix 5- Visual Analog Scale (Flint et al., 2000, Gregersen et al., 2008, Stubbs et al., 2000)

Visual Analog Scale

_Endothelial Function and Food Intake Program (EFFI)_

Date: [___] [___] [___] [___] [___] [___]

(dd/mm/yy)

Time: [___] [___] [___] [___]

(hh/mm)

- [ ] Baseline
- [ ] 30 min after meal (OGTT)
- [ ] 60 min after meal (OGTT)
- [ ] 90 min after meal (OGTT)
- [ ] 120 min after meal (OGTT)
- [ ] 10 min after Lunch
Instructions:
Please provide feedback on the following sensations by placing a vertical line “I” at any point along the scale for each questionnaire below.

1. How hungry do you feel right now?

|___________________________________________________|

Not hungry at all as hungry as I’ve felt

2. How full do you feel right now?

|___________________________________________________|

Not full at all very full

3. How strong is your desire to eat now?

|___________________________________________________|

Very weak very strong

4. How much food do you think you could eat right now?

|___________________________________________________|

Nothing at all a large amount
Appendix 6- Palatability Questionnaire (Stubbs et al., 2000)

Palatability Questionnaire

_Endothelial Function and Food Intake Program (EFFI_

Date: [____] (dd/mm/yy)

Time: [____] (hh/mm)

☐ After Breakfast

☐ After Lunch
Instructions:

Please provide feedback on the following sensations by placing a vertical line “I” at any point along the scale for each questionnaires below.

1. What do you think about the amount of meal that you just had?
   ______________________________________________________________________
   Too small  ____________________________  too much

2. How do you feel about the taste of the meal?
   ______________________________________________________________________
   Very bad  ____________________________  very pleasant

3. What do you think about the smell of the meal?
   ______________________________________________________________________
   Very bad  ____________________________  very pleasant
Appendix 7 - Food intake form during lunch

*Endothelial Function and Food Intake Program (EFFI)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Amount prepared (gram)</th>
<th>Amount consumed (gram)</th>
<th>Energy (Kcal)</th>
<th>Protein (g)</th>
<th>Fat (g)</th>
<th>Sodium (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucumber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Menu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato chips/ thin crackers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 8- 24 hour Food Record Diary

24-h Food record diary (Block et al., 1990) with permission from Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center

_Endothelial Function and Food Intake Program (EFFI)_

**Part 1 – Effects of insulin sensitivity on subjective satiety, hunger and food intake**

Name: ________________________________

Subject No: [_______]

Subject Initials: [_______]

Date of Clinical Experiment: [_______]  (dd/mm/yy)

Lunch Time: [_______]  (hh/mm)
Sample serving size

Please refer to the pictures when you are doing for 24 hour food record it will help you estimate your portion size

Meat

Cereal and Soup

½

1

2
Cooked Vegetables (Green beans, carrot, potato, corn, peas, broccoli)

- ¼ Cup
- ½ Cup

Pasta, Rice, Noodles

- ½ Cup
- 1 Cup
- 2 Cups
- 3 Cups

Salad

- 1 Cup
Spread such (E.g. Butter, Mayonnaise or peanut butter)

1 tsp

2 tsp

3 tsp = 1

Margarine

1

1 1/2

3 tsp = 1

40 grams of cheese is about the size of 4 stacked dice
½ cup of ice cream is about the size of a tennis ball
1 cup of mashed potatoes or broccoli is about the size of a fist

**Teaspoons (tsp)**

- Level
- Heaping

**Tablespoon**

- Lev
- Heaping
## 24 hour food record

**General Instructions**
- Please eat as you usually eat.
- Record everything you eat and drink, including snacks.
- Complete the *every* column for each meal or snack.
- Please write clearly.

**How to Record Each Food**
- Describe each food and beverage in detail, as best you can.
- Please refer to the pictures when you are doing for 24 hour food record it will help you estimate your portion size

For example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Meal</th>
<th>Food and beverage description</th>
<th>Quantity consumed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 7.30 am | Breakfast  | Toast (white bread)  
Cheese  
Butter | 2 slices  
1 slice (~20g)  
¼ teaspoon |
| 10.30  | Morning tea (or snack) | Fruit yoghurt | 250 g tub |
| 12.30 | Lunch | Burger (chicken, lettuce, tomato, mayo) | 1 burger bun  
~50g chicken (no skin)  
2 slices tomato  
2 leaves lettuce  
1 teaspoon mayo |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Meal</th>
<th>Food and beverage description</th>
<th>Quantity consumed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Meal</td>
<td>Food and beverage description</td>
<td>Quantity consumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 9- Total Food Emotional Questionnaire (TEFQ-Short version)

Total Food Emotional Questionnaire (Short version) (Karlsson et al., 2000)

Endothelial Function and Food Intake Program (EFFI)

Part 1 – Effects of insulin sensitivity on subjective satiety, hunger and food intake
Instructions

For question number 1-5: please tick the answers that mostly reflect your situation.

Part A.

1. I deliberately take small helping as means of controlling my weight.
   - [ ] Definitely True
   - [ ] Mostly True
   - [ ] Mostly False
   - [ ] Definitely False

2. I consciously hold back at meals in order not to gain weight.
   - [ ] Definitely True
   - [ ] Mostly True
   - [ ] Mostly False
   - [ ] Definitely False

3. I do not eat some foods because they make me fat.
   - [ ] Definitely True
   - [ ] Mostly True
   - [ ] Mostly False
4. How frequently do you avoid ‘stocking up’ on tempting foods?

☐ Almost never
☐ Seldom
☐ Usually
☐ Almost always

5. How likely are you to consciously eat less than what you want

☐ Unlikely
☐ Slightly likely
☐ Moderately
☐ Very likely

6. On a scale of 1 to 8, where 1 means no restraint in eating (eating whatever you want, whenever you want it) and 8 means total restraints (constantly limiting food intake and never ‘giving in’), what number would you give your self
Instructions

For questions below please tick the answers that mostly reflect your situation.

Part. B.

1. When I smell a sizzling steak or a juicy piece of meat, I find it very difficult to keep from eating, even if I have just finished a meal

☐ Definitely True
☐ Deliberately True
☐ Mostly True
☐ Mostly False
☐ Definitely False

2. Sometimes when I start eating, I just can’t seem to stop.

☐ Definitely True
☐ Deliberately True
☐ Mostly True
☐ Mostly False
☐ Definitely False

3. Being with someone who is eating often makes me hungry enough to eat also.
4. When I see a real delicacy, I often get so hungry that I have to eat right away

☐ Definitely True
☐ Deliberately True
☐ Mostly True
☐ Mostly False
☐ Definitely False


5. I also get so hungry that my stomach often seems like a bottomless pit.

☐ Definitely True
☐ Mostly True
☐ Mostly False
☐ Definitely False
6. I am always hungry so it is hard for me to stop eating before I finish the food on my plate.

☐ Definitely True
☐ Mostly True
☐ Mostly False
☐ Definitely False

7. I am always hungry enough to eat at any time.

☐ Definitely True
☐ Mostly True
☐ Mostly False
☐ Definitely False

8. How often do you feel hungry?

☐ Only at meal times
☐ Sometimes between meals
☐ Often between meals
☐ Almost always
9. Do you go on eating binges though you are not hungry?

☐ Never
☐ Rarely
☐ Sometimes
☐ At least once a week

**part C.**

1. When I feel anxious, I find myself eating

☐ Definitely True
☐ Mostly True
☐ Mostly False
☐ Definitely False

2. When I feel blue, I often overeat.

☐ Definitely True
☐ Mostly True
☐ Mostly False
☐ Definitely False
3. When I feel lonely, I console myself by eating.

☐ Definitely True

☐ Deliberately True

☐ Mostly True

☐ Mostly False

☐ Definitely False
Appendix 10- Physical Activity Dairy

Physical Activity Dairy (Baecke et al., 1982)

Endothelial Function and Food Intake Program (EFFI)

Part 1 – Effects of insulin sensitivity on subjective satiety, hunger and food intake

Subject Screening No: [__] [__] [__] [__] [__]

Initials: [__] [__] [__] [__] [__]

(First 2 letters of Last/First names)

Date: [__] [__] [__] [__] [__] [__]

(dd/mm/yy)

Time: [__] [__] [__] [__]

(hh/mm)

Occupation: ____________________________________________
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Please put an X on the boxes below.

1. At work, I sit
   - Never
   - Seldom
   - Sometimes
   - often
   - always

2. At work, I stand
   - Never
   - Seldom
   - Sometimes
   - often
   - always

3. At work, I walk
   - Never
   - Seldom
   - Sometimes
   - often
   - always

4. At work, I lift heavy loads
   - Never
   - Seldom
   - Sometimes
   - often
   - always
5. After working I am tired
   - Never
   - Seldom
   - Sometimes
   - Often
   - Always

6. At work I sweat
   - Never
   - Seldom
   - Sometimes
   - Often
   - Always

7. Do you play sport?
   If yes:
   - Which sport do you play most frequently? _________________
   - How many hours per week? _________________
   - How many months a year? _________________

8. During leisure time, I sweat
   - Never
   - Seldom
   - Sometimes
   - Often
   - Always
9. During leisure time, I play sport
   □ Never
   □ Seldom
   □ Sometimes
   □ often
   □ always

10. During leisure time, I watch TV
    □ Never
    □ Seldom
    □ Sometimes
    □ often
    □ always

11. During leisure time, I walk
    □ Never
    □ Seldom
    □ Sometimes
    □ often
    □ always

12. During leisure time, I cycle
    □ Never
    □ Seldom
    □ Sometimes
    □ often
    □ always
13. How many minutes do you walk/cycle per day from work, school and shopping?

__________
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