Differential microlensing measurements of quasar broad-line kinematics in Q2237+0305
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ABSTRACT
The detailed workings of the central engines of powerful quasars remain a mystery. This is primarily due to the fact that, at their cosmological distances, the inner regions of these quasars are spatially unresolvable. Reverberation mapping is now beginning to unlock the physics of the broad emission line region (BELR) in nearby, low-luminosity quasars; however it is still unknown whether this gas is dominated by virial motion, by outflows or infall. The challenge is greater for more distant, powerful sources due to the very long response time of the BELR to changes in the continuum. We present a new technique for probing the kinematic properties of the BELR and accretion disc of high-z quasars using differential microlensing, and show how substantial information can be gained through a single observation of a strongly lensed quasar using integral field spectroscopy. We apply this technique to integral field spectroscopy of the multiply imaged quasar Q2237+0305, and find that the observed microlensing signature in the C III broad emission line favours gravitationally dominated dynamics over an accelerating outflow.


1 INTRODUCTION
Differential microlensing offers the promise of indirectly measuring the spatial size and kinematics of different emitting regions in quasars. In this paper, a new observation of differential microlensing between the continuum and different velocity components of the C III broad-line emission line in Q2237+0305 is presented. These data are then used to constrain the kinematics of the broad-line gas.

The core regions of quasars are unresolved at any wavelength, and so physical understanding must be based on theoretical models constrained by their observed spectral properties. The gas responsible for the broad emission lines presents a particular challenge as there are relatively few measurements capable of even beginning to probe its kinematical structure. As such, there is still no agreement on even the simplest models of this structure. Beyond the obvious width of the emission lines, which indicate large Doppler velocities of tens of thousands of km s$^{-1}$, most of our current understanding has come from reverberation mapping and from polarization observations of emission lines. The most recent reverberation signatures have been interpreted as arising from outflowing, inflowing and virialized motions (Bentz et al. 2009; Denney et al. 2009), while the polarization studies have indicated an outflowing helical motion in the emission line gas (Young et al. 2007). Theoretical models have favoured outflowing winds (e.g. Murray & Chiang 1997), but alternative points of view are still under active consideration (e.g. Gaskell 2009).

Differential microlensing provides an independent probe of the inner structure of quasars. In quasars that are subject to strong gravitational lensing, the broad emission line region (BELR) and potentially the accretion disc are resolved on the spatial scale of the fine magnification structure of the lens. Given a model for the lensing galaxy, observation of differential magnification between these components allows constraints to be placed on their sizes.

The use of this technique was first discussed by Nemiroff (1988), who specifically looked at the effect of a single low-mass star on a range of kinematical models for the BELR. A key result of this paper was that different parts of the emission lines were differentially magnified, depending on the kinematical model: in general the smaller spatial regions showed the greatest variations, as would be expected. Schneider & Wambsganss (1990) further considered the problem, noting that, in the case of a macro-imaged quasar, the differences between the lines in different images can be used to test whether particular emitting regions are being significantly microlensed. In particular, these authors noted that Keplerian motions in the BELR would be much easier to detect than infall (or presumably outflow). A key improvement in the modelling compared to...
Nemiroff (1988) was the use of microlensing magnification patterns to model the possible statistical variations for each macro-image. Schneider & Wambsganss (1990) also noted that differential microlensing would also effect the redshift measured from a particular line. More recently, Abajis et al. (2007) and Lewis & Ibata (2004) both considered different signatures which might be induced in the observed structure of the broad emission lines.

There are at least four differential microlensing experiments which could allow the measurement of the physical parameters of the quasar emission regions. Target-of-opportunity observations of a quasar crossing a caustic provide the cleanest imaging experiment (Wyithe et al. 2000). The physical interpretation of a caustic crossing event is straightforward. However, in order to trigger the target-of-opportunity, regular monitoring is required, and for a reasonable annual probability of observing a caustic crossing, more than 10 objects would need to be monitored. Recently, monitoring data has been used to fit the size of the region emitting the quasar continuum using Bayesian Monte Carlo methods (Kochanek et al. 2004; Eigenbrod et al. 2008b). These analyses rely on detailed modelling where a standard accretion disc is convolved with microlensing networks. A third and more specialized possibility arises when two macro-images straddle a caustic. In a surprising number of cases, the fluxes of the two images differ, while theory predicts them to be the same. Several variables in the modelling can affect the relative fluxes, but the size of the emission region is the dominant factor (Congdon, Keeton & Osmer 2007; Bate, Webster & Wyithe 2007). Bate et al. (2007) have shown that measurements of the anomalous fluxes can be used to set limits on the size of the emission regions.

The final method uses spectroscopic data to compare the shapes of emission lines and continuum spectra. If the BELR has ordered kinematical motions, then differential magnification may change the shape of the emission lines of one image. In the case of a macro-imaged quasar, differences between the line spectra of the images can then provide a diagnostic for the kinematical motions of the broad emission lines. Such differences have already been observed in several sources (e.g. Keeton et al. 2006; Eigenbrod et al. 2008b; Sluse et al. 2008; Hutsemékers et al. 2010). In this work, we apply this new method to integral field spectroscopy (IFS) of the multiply imaged quasar Q2237 + 0305 (Huchra et al. 1985).

Q2237 + 0305 is an ideal candidate for differential microlensing experiments. The close proximity of the lensing galaxy, at z_L = 0.0394 compared to the quasar redshift of z_q = 1.695, yields a large projected Einstein radius (ER) of ~2 × 10^{17}h_70^{-1/2} (M/M_☉)^{1/2} cm in the source plane. This ER, which characterizes the size-scale of magnification fluctuations, is significantly larger than its estimated continuum region size of 3 × 10^{16}h_70^{-1/2} (M/M_☉)^{1/2} cm (Witt, Mao & Schechter 1995), and it is similar to the upper size limit of its C IV and Mg II BELR, determined from our previous observations of differential microlensing in this source (Wayth, O’Dowd & Webster 2005, hereafter W05). This indicates a high probability of differential microlensing between continuum and broad lines, and also a reasonable probability of differential microlensing within the BELR.

Differential microlensing between the continuum and BELR in Q2237 + 0305 has been observed many times. Microlensing within the broad line itself has also been observed. Most notably, Eigenbrod et al. (2008a) have conducted Very Large Telescope (VLT) monitoring of Q2237 + 0305 that spans several years, and present high-quality slit spectroscopy of both continuum and BELR change, comparable to the data presented in this paper. However IFS observations can provide more reliable spectra as they allow more careful deblending of the small-separation lensed images and lensing galaxy.

Interpretation of the differences between the spectra of the four images requires a model for both the accretion disc and the spatial and kinematical structure of the BELR. Broadly, larger flux changes are expected for regions closer to the accretion disc. The velocity structure, projected along the line of sight to the quasar should discriminate between models where the higher velocities are far from the disc (due to an accelerating wind) and those where a high velocity might be found close to the disc (due to Keplerian motion around the central black hole). It is still not known which (if either) of these two models best describes the kinematics of the BELR.

The current work does not attempt to construct a fully consistent model of the BELR, nor include a full photoionization calculation. Rather, a more limited question is addressed: near the accretion disc where the continuum is emitted, is the BELR gas moving relatively quickly or slowly? Answering this question will help resolve the dominant physical process in the inner BELR.

In Section 2 of this paper, we present the observational data and the methods of data reduction and spectra extraction. Section 3 describes the flux ratios for different emission lines and at different wavelengths for the continuum. In Section 4 we present simple models to describe the BELR kinematics. Section 5 describes the computation of the microlensing simulations which are convolved with the models. In Section 6, the results of comparing the model predictions with the data are presented. These are discussed in Section 7, with the final conclusions presented in Section 8.

2 OBSERVATIONAL DATA

Q2237 + 0305 was observed on the 2006 June 27 with the GMOS Integral Field Unit (IFU; Allington-Smith et al. 2002; Hook et al. 2004) on the Gemini-South telescope (program ID: GS-2006A-Q-14). 5 × 15 min exposures were taken in one-slit mode using the B600 grating and with central wavelength 515 nm, giving a spectral range of 3975–6575 Å and a resolution of R = 1688. At Q2237 + 0305’s redshift of 1.69, this covers the C IV and C III] broad emission lines. Seeing conditions were excellent, with a point spread function (PSF) full width of half-maximum (FWHM) of 0.6 arcsec.

The GMOS IFU in one-slit mode gives a 5 × 3.5 arcsec² field of view, with an additional 5 × 1.25 arcsec² field offset by ~1 arcmin to measure the sky. Importantly, the field is ‘filled’, with the science field consisting of 5000.2 arcsec² diameter hexagonal lenslets with abutting edges. The filled field means that no interpolation between lenslets is required, which makes the determination of brightness ratios significantly more accurate than in the case of non-filled IFUs.

2.1 Data reduction

The data were reduced using the Gemini IRAF package v1.8, under IRAF v2.12. The standard GMOS IFU reduction recipe was followed for overscan subtraction and trimming, bias subtraction, dark subtraction, flat-fielding, arc calibration, spectrum extraction, sky subtraction and flux calibration. We used calibration files taken as part of the standard Gemini Facility Calibration Unit suite.

Cosmic ray removal was performed separately on the reduced images before spectrum extraction, using the CRREJ algorithm via IMCOMBINE. CRREJ performs iterative rejection of unusually high pixels, accounting for the noise properties of the image. In W05, we found that this method provided far superior cosmic ray rejection to that provided by GSCRREJ, and demonstrated the
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Figure 1. 2D image created from collapsing ADR-corrected IFU data cube. Letters indicate the standard designation of quasar images in the Einstein cross.

Atmospheric differential refraction (ADR) was found to cause a 0.8 arcsec shift over the wavelength range. This was corrected by first computing the shifts relative to the image position at the central wavelength of C\textsc{iii}] using cross-correlation, then fitting a physical model of ADR (Schubert & Walterscheid 2000) to these shifts. The cube was corrected according to the best-fitting ADR model.

Fig. 1 shows the ADR-corrected data cube collapsed into a 2D image. The four quasar images and the core of the lensing galaxy are well resolved.

2.2 PSF construction and extraction of image spectra

The excellent seeing allows us to extract the spectrum of each quasar image with high precision. None the less, there is some blending between adjacent quasar images and with the core of the lensing galaxy, and so deblending is required.

To deblend, we perform least-squares fitting of the spatial frame at each wavelength element. With positions determined from archival Hubble Space Telescope imaging, we fit a reconstructed PSF (see below) to all quasar images and the galaxy core using $\chi^2$ minimization. For each quasar image, we perform PSF-subtraction of all other quasar images and the galaxy core using the best-fitting amplitudes. We then determine the flux for each image, at each wavelength element, within an integration aperture, normalized by the fraction of the model PSF within this aperture. This aperture is a 0.8-arcsec radius circle centred on the relevant quasar image or galaxy, excluding regions within 0.8 arcsec of any other quasar image or the galaxy core.

The PSFs used in these fits are determined using an iterative process. As the PSF is wavelength-dependent, we calculate 10 different PSFs across the wavelength range, each from a $\sim$100 Å wide stacked frame. The PSF extraction proceeds as follows.

(i) Take the azimuthal average for each quasar image within 1.6 arcsec circles, excluding regions within 0.8 arcsec of any other quasar image or of the galaxy core. This yields four 1D PSF profiles. We splice these together to construct a single PSF profile and convert this into a azimuthally symmetric 2D PSF.

(ii) Perform least-squares fitting to obtain a first estimate of quasar image and galaxy core amplitudes.

(iii) Create four frames; in each one, three of the quasar images and the galaxy core are subtracted according to the best-fitting normalizations from step (ii), leaving a single quasar image remaining.

(iv) Use these four frames to splice together a 2D estimate of the PSF using the same regions defined in step (i).

(v) Repeat steps (iii) through (iv) using the 2D PSF.

(vi) Repeat until the PSF converges, with rms differences between iterations of $<0.1$ per cent.

Using this process, the PSF converged within five iterations for all 10 100-Å wavelength bins, and was stable for further iterations.

Fig. 2 illustrates the regions used for construction of the PSF and spectral extraction (left), and the residuals after final subtraction for the spectral region of the C\textsc{iii}] line. Fig. 3 shows the extracted spectra of the four quasar images.

The use of the PSF to model the core of the lensing galaxy introduces some error. If we assume a de Vaucouleurs’ effective radius of 3.1 arcsec (Schmidt, Webster & Lewis 1998), we find that the difference in galaxy flux between the de Vaucouleur and PSF models in the integration aperture of images A and B is less than 1 per cent of the quasar image flux in this region. As the galaxy spectrum is reasonably smooth in the region of the C\textsc{iii}] line, any...
residual galaxy flux is largely removed in the continuum subtraction (see Section 3.3).

3 FLUX AND SPECTRAL RATIOS

In a multiply imaged quasar, differential microlensing is characterized by a variation in the magnification levels of different spectral components in a single lens image, compared to the ‘true’, unmicrolensed quasar spectrum. This effect is most easily observed in the ratio of lens images. In the absence of microlensing, we expect emission line and continuum flux ratios to be equivalent to each other and to agree with the macro-model flux ratios, and we also expect flat, featureless spectral ratios. Gravitational lensing of a point source is achromatic, and so the spectral differences resulting from differential microlensing can be identified independently of the lens model. However it is important to consider the other processes that can also lead to spectral differences between lens images.

3.1 Differential extinction and intrinsic variability

It is possible that extinction properties differ significantly between the lines of sight of each quasar image, both within the lensing galaxy and in intervening absorbing systems. However, in this analysis we are concerned with the relative strengths of the continuum and BELR within a small wavelength range (the width of the emission line), and so differential extinction will not be a significant effect. None the less, we correct for extinction using the $A_V$ values of Agol, Jones & Blaes (2000), which uses the average Milky Way extinction curve to obtain $A_V$ for images A of 0.88 ± 0.21, B of 0.84 ± 0.20, C of 1.30 ± 0.31 and D of 1.15 ± 0.27.

Intrinsic variation within the quasar coupled with time delays between lens images can result in time-varying spectral differences, possibly duplicating the effect of differential microlensing if the continuum undergoes significant variation over a time-scale smaller than that of the time delay. In the case of Q2237+0305, the time delay predicted by modelling is less than a day (Kent & Falco 1988), and Chandra observations measure a delay between images A and B of ~3 h (Dai et al. 2003). This is sufficiently smaller than the estimated accretion disc light crossing time of ~6 d to eliminate the possibility of significant spectral differences due to intrinsic variation.

3.2 Flux ratios

Table 1 gives the flux ratios in the C IV and C III broad emission lines and in the continuum. Line ratios use the integrated, continuum-subtracted line fluxes, while continuum ratios use the continuum level at the central wavelength of each line, determined by a linear interpolation from either side of each line. From these ratios, it is apparent that image A is magnified relative to the other images.

We also see differential microlensing between the BELR and the continuum, with the continuum magnified to a greater extent than the BELR. This is expected: given any level of differential microlensing, the smaller emission region should exhibit the most extreme deviation from the macro-model magnification. Using a method similar to that used in W05, we find a size limits for the

Table 1. Flux ratios for C III and C IV broad emission lines and for the continuum at the central wavelengths of these lines. Mid-IR flux ratios from Agol et al. (2000), radio flux ratios from Falco et al. (1996), Hβ from Metcalf et al. (2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>B/A</th>
<th>C/A</th>
<th>D/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C III line</td>
<td>0.569 ± 0.022</td>
<td>0.643 ± 0.025</td>
<td>0.630 ± 0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C III cont.</td>
<td>0.431 ± 0.026</td>
<td>0.443 ± 0.027</td>
<td>0.281 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C IV line</td>
<td>0.473 ± 0.0020</td>
<td>0.454 ± 0.020</td>
<td>0.422 ± 0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C IV cont.</td>
<td>0.375 ± 0.034</td>
<td>0.347 ± 0.035</td>
<td>0.203 ± 0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-IR</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 GHz</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hβ line</td>
<td>0.376 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.387 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.461 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To investigate differential microlensing within the broad-line region (BLR), we look at the continuum-subtracted emission line ratios. The continuum spectrum at each line is approximated as the linear fit to a 120-Å-wide region centred on the line, masked in the central 80-Å-wide region. Fig. 5 shows the continuum-subtracted C IV and C III lines for images A and B (upper plots) and the continuum-subtracted line ratios (lower plots), which are equivalent to emission line magnification ratios.

Errors in the spectral line ratios are derived from uncertainties in the PSF fits (see Section 2.2), which take into account errors in subtraction of other images and of the lensing galaxy. We include the error in continuum subtraction, determined conservatively from the error in the line fit around each line – both from the fit itself and from the variation in the continuum level from fitting to different spectral regions. For the simple analysis to follow, we do not directly calculate the error due to contamination by any iron lines beneath the broad emission lines. Instead we assume that this uncertainty is small compared to the uncertainty in the continuum. We have no direct means to test this assumption.

Both the C IV and the C III line ratios reveal a symmetric trend in the magnification ratio about the line centre. This trend is highly significant for the C III line. While the trend is apparent for the C IV line, the difficulty in continuum subtraction for this line (see Section 3.2) dramatically increases the uncertainty in the spectral line ratios, and so we restrict further analysis of this differential microlensing feature to the C III line.

At low velocities near the line centre, the C III broad line in image A is magnified compared to the macro-model expectation: B/A = 0.65 compared to the expected B/A ~ 1.0. As line velocity increases this magnification ratio drops further, towards the continuum ratio of B/A ~ 0.4. The observed trend suggests that the lower velocity broad-line emission samples a region of the caustic structure closer to the average macro-model magnification level for image A than both the higher velocity gas and the continuum. This in turn suggests that size of the emission region approaches that of the continuum region with increasing gas velocity. Note that this feature cannot be explained by differential microlensing between the narrow line region versus the BLR as the width of the feature exceeds 20 Å, or ±1500 km s⁻¹. In the next section, we analyse this microlensing signature in the context of simple models of BLR kinematics.

4 MODELS OF THE BELR

We test simple models for two of the physical processes that are considered to be the primary candidates as the dominant drivers of BLR kinematics: an outflow driven by radiation pressure and orbital motion driven by gravity. The aim of these models is to simulate the expected wavelength-dependent surface brightness distributions for these models, and then, by convolving these with simulated magnification maps for Q2237+0305, to determine the expected magnification ratios as a function of wavelength, which we will compare to the observed microlensing signature.

4.1 The outflow model

For our simple outflow model, we assume a radial wind in twin conical shells. Drawing on the wind model of Elvis (2000), we consider optically thick clouds driven outwards by radiation pressure, with each cloud sufficiently small cross-sectional area to allow us to ignore self-shielding. Under these assumptions we may use the derivation of Capriotti, Foltz & Byard (1980), which gives the
acceleration of a cloud due to radiation pressure as

\[ a_{\text{rad}} = \frac{A}{4\pi cr^2} \frac{L}{M_c} \]

where \( A \) is the cross-sectional area of the cloud, \( M_c \) is its mass, \( L \) is the total ionizing luminosity, and \( r \) is the distance from the ionizing source. Velocity as a function of radius is therefore

\[ v(r) = \frac{A}{4\pi cr^2} \frac{L}{M_c} dr. \]

So for a starting velocity \( v_0 \) at an initial radius \( r_0 \) we have

\[ v(r) = \sqrt{\left( \frac{K + r_0v_0^2}{r_0} - \frac{K}{r} \right)^2}, \]

where \( K = \frac{A}{4\pi cr^2} \frac{L}{M_c} \). And the terminal velocity, at \( r \to \infty \), is

\[ v_T = \sqrt{\left( \frac{K + r_0v_0^2}{r_0} \right)}. \]

At a given projected distance from the centre, \( x \), we know \( v_{\text{los}} = v\sqrt{1 - (x^2/r^2)} \). So from equation (3), the radius at which a given \( v_{\text{los}} \) occurs along a given line of sight satisfies the cubic:

\[ f(a) = a^3 - \frac{a^2}{r_0} - \frac{a}{x^2} + \left( \frac{1}{x^2r_0} - \frac{v_{\text{los}}^2}{x^2K} \right) = 0, \]

where \( a = 1/r \). This function yields a single physical solution for the distance from the ionizing source of clouds with projected velocity \( v_{\text{los}} \), at a given projected distance from the centre, \( x \).

We wish to determine the expected surface brightness distribution produced by such a wind as a function of wavelength. If we assume that broad-line clouds re-emit a constant fraction of the incident ionizing flux in the emission line, then the surface brightness of an emission line at \( \Delta \lambda \) from its rest wavelength and at projected distance \( x \) from the centre is

\[ \mu_{x,\Delta\lambda} \propto \frac{d\delta x^2 \delta z}{r^2}, \]

where \( d \) is the number density of broad-line clouds at \( r \), \( \delta x \) is the size of the spatial bin, and \( \delta z \) is the distance along the line of sight over which the emission line is shifted into our spectral resolution bin, assumed to be small compared to \( r \). For a spherical outflow, if we assume cloud conservation (i.e. their lifetime is long compared to the flow time-scale), then \( d \) satisfies: \( d \propto 1/(vr^2) \). The size of projected spatial bins, \( \delta x \), is constant, and so

\[ \mu_{x,\Delta\lambda} \propto \frac{\delta z}{vr^2}. \]

So combined with equation (3) we have surface brightness at \( x \) and \( \Delta\lambda \) as a function of the distance from the source at which that emission is produced. For the purpose of the model, it is useful to parametrize \( K \) in terms of \( r_0, v_0 \) and \( v_T \):  

\[ \mu_{x,\Delta\lambda} \propto \frac{\delta z}{\sqrt{(v_0^2 - v_T^2)^2 + v_T^2}}, \]

where \( r \) and \( \delta z \) are calculated using equation (4).

We include both axial and equatorial obscuration with varying opening angles to simulate constrained winds or obscuration by jet and disc/torus. The final model of a 3D axially symmetric radial wind is parametrized by launching radius, terminal velocity (which encompasses cloud properties and continuum luminosity), orientation of the axis to the line of sight, and the opening angle of axial and equatorial black-out regions.

Fig. 6 shows an example set of surface brightness distributions for the wind model. As can be seen, significant asymmetries arise for axis orientations not perpendicular to the line of sight. Any obscuring disc or jet quickly blacks out the entire receding wind as velocity increases, and this obscuration increases with smaller orientation angles. Interestingly, the size scale of the surface brightness distribution does not change dramatically with gas velocity, although the redshifted and blueshifted high-velocity gas is offset in the plane of the sky for low orientation angles. Such offsets are likely to lead to strong differential microlensing, and hence asymmetries between redshifted and blueshifted line ratios.

### 4.2 The orbital model

To study the expected microlensing signature of an orbital BELR, we assume a purely Keplerian model consisting of randomly oriented circular orbits. In this case the analog of equation (4) is

\[ f(a) = x^2a^4 - a^2 - \frac{v_{\text{los}}^2}{K^2} = 0, \]

\[ \Delta \lambda \text{ rest} \]


is the line-of-sight velocity $v_{\text{los}}$ and a range of disc/jet opening angles and orientations of the axis to the line of sight. For each parameter set, a small selection of the calculated velocity slices is shown, with negative velocities indicating the receding outflow. The surface brightness peak of the receding outflow is increasingly displaced relative to the approaching outflow as velocity increases. An obscuring disc and jet blacks out a larger fraction of the receding wind as orientation angle decreases and velocity increases, completely blacking out even lower velocity gas at angles below $60^\circ$–$70^\circ$. Each frame size is $3 \times 10^{17}$ cm.

where $a = 1/r^2$, $K' = GM_{\text{BH}}/2\pi^2$, and as previously $x$ is the projected distance from the centre and $v_{\text{los}}$ is the line-of-sight velocity that we were interested in. The solution to this cubic gives us the radius at which $v_{\text{los}}$ occurs for the current $x$.

We follow the same approach as for the wind model, except that the cloud number density cannot be constrained by simple conservation arguments, and so we need to choose a density gradient, $d \propto r^\alpha$. We choose a value of $\alpha = -1$, in the mid-range of the mid-range of likely values (e.g. Goad, O'Brien & Gondhalekar 1993).

The surface brightness distribution of a purely Keplerian BELR is then

$$\mu_{x,\Delta x} \propto K' \delta z r^{\alpha - 2}. \tag{9}$$

The final model of a spherical BELR comprised of random circular Keplerian orbits is parametrized by black hole mass, density gradient, orientation of the axis to the line of sight, and the opening angle of axial and equatorial black-out regions.

Fig. 7 shows an example set of surface brightness distributions for the orbital model. This model produces symmetric surface brightness distributions for the redshifted and blueshifted gas.

**Figure 6.** Outflow model surface brightness maps for a selection of the explored parameter space. The depicted models have launching radius $r_0 = 1 \times 10^{15}$ cm and a range of disc/jet opening angles and orientations of the axis to the line of sight. For each parameter set, a small selection of the calculated velocity slices is shown, with negative velocities indicating the receding outflow. The surface brightness peak of the receding outflow is increasingly displaced relative to the approaching outflow as velocity increases. An obscuring disc and jet blacks out a larger fraction of the receding wind as orientation angle decreases and velocity increases, completely blacking out even lower velocity gas at angles below $60^\circ$–$70^\circ$. Each frame size is $3 \times 10^{17}$ cm.

**Figure 7.** Orbital model surface brightness maps for a selection of the explored parameter space. The depicted models have black hole mass $m_{\text{BH}} = 1 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ and a range of disc/jet opening angles and orientations of the axis to the line of sight. For each parameter set, a small selection of the calculated velocity slices is shown. Receding and approaching gas produces symmetrical surface brightness distributions. Each frame size is $3 \times 10^{17}$ cm.

### 4.3 Parameter range

For both the outflow and the orbital model, we include obscuration caused by an equatorial disc and an axial jet. We explore the following disc/jet opening angles for these: $0^\circ, 0^\circ/10^\circ, 10^\circ/0^\circ, 10^\circ/10^\circ, 40^\circ/40^\circ, T/0^\circ, T/10^\circ$. ‘T’ indicates a transparent accretion disc; in all other cases the disc is opaque, meaning that only the near side of the BELR is visible.

For both the kinematic models and all opening angle combinations, we explore the following orientations: $0^\circ, 15^\circ, 30^\circ, 45^\circ, 60^\circ, 70^\circ, 80^\circ, 90^\circ$.

For the outflow model, we simulate wind launching radii $r_0$ of $1 \times 10^{15}, 3 \times 10^{15}, 1 \times 10^{16}, 3 \times 10^{16}$ and $6 \times 10^{16}$ cm. We assume an initial velocity of $v_0 = 0$ and a terminal velocity for the wind of $V_T = 0.1 c$. For the orbital model, we simulate black hole masses $m_{\text{BH}}$ of $1 \times 10^6, 3 \times 10^6, 1 \times 10^7, 1.5 \times 10^7, 2 \times 10^7, 3 \times 10^7, 4 \times 10^7$ and $6 \times 10^7 M_\odot$. We assume a density gradient of $\alpha = -1$.

For each model and parameter combination, we calculate surface brightness maps for 5-Å-wide wavelength bins around the C~III] central wavelength between 1809 and 2009 Å.

### 5 Microlensing simulations

To determine the microlensing signatures predicted by these BELR models, we perform a Monte Carlo simulation in which we convolve the model surface brightness maps with simulated magnification maps to produce a large set of expected line ratio spectra.
The magnification maps are constructed using an inverse ray-shooting technique (e.g. Kayser, Refsdal & Stabell 1986; Wambsganss, Paczyński & Katz 1990). The key parameters for such simulations are the convergence $\kappa_{\text{tot}}$ and the shear $\gamma$ of the lens at the image positions. The Q2237+0305 lens model is provided in Table 2 (Trott & Wayth, private communication, based on models of Trott et al. 2010). We assume a smooth matter component in the lens of 0 per cent, and so the convergence is provided solely by a clumpy stellar component. This is reasonable as the lensed images in Q2237+0305 lie very close to the bulge of the lensing galaxy, where the stellar component is assumed to dominate (Kent & Falco 1988; Schneider et al. 1988; Schmidt et al. 1998; Trott et al. 2010). A fixed microlens mass of $1 M_{\odot}$ was used. The ER changes as the square root of this mass, although clustering of caustics is relatively unaffected.

We generated magnification maps for images A and B. These maps have 2048 $\times$ 2048 pixels, with five different pixel scales for each. The scale size corresponds to the scale of the BELR model – which increases with $r_0$ and $M_{\text{BH}}$ – and is chosen to allow sufficient independent positions for the Monte Carlo simulation (see below). Table 3 lists the pixel scale used for the different black hole masses and wind launching radii.

For each BELR model parameter set, we generated surface brightness maps for 38 wavelength bins spanning 200 Å centred on the emission line central wavelength. At each iteration of the Monte Carlo simulation, we choose two source positions, one on the image A magnification maps and one on the image B magnification maps. We then convolve the BELR surface brightness maps for all 38 wavelength bins with the magnification maps, centred on these two positions. The resulting pair of magnification spectra yields our magnification ratio spectra for the BELR model, which is equivalent to a continuum-subtracted line flux ratio.

The two magnification map positions are constrained by the observed continuum flux ratio. We first randomly select a source position on the B magnification maps. Source positions on the A magnification map are then randomly selected with the constraint that the B/A magnification ratio for a point source be within 10 per cent of the observed continuum flux ratio B/A = 0.39.

The above assumes that the dominant continuum emission region is smaller than the spatial resolution element at each pixel scale. For the smallest pixel scales (map sizes 2.4$r_0$ and 7.2$r_0$, corresponding to pixel scales of $2.1 - 6.3 \times 10^{-14} h_{70}^{-1/2}$ pc), this gives a continuum size smaller than the upper limit found in Section 3.2. However, these sizes are appropriate to the scale of the BELR models considered. They assume the dominant optical continuum emission arises from a region at a factor of $\sim$3 or more smaller than the smallest scale of broad-line emission.

We generated 1215 line ratio spectra per wind model, 4860 line ratio spectra for the $M_{\text{BH}} = 1.5 \times 10^9, 2 \times 10^9$ and $4 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ orbital models, and 1215 line ratio spectra for all other orbital models. These line ratio spectra could then be compared directly with the observed B/A line ratio spectrum.

Figs 8 and 9 show a random selection of simulated magnification ratio spectra for the outflow model and the orbital model, respectively, for a single parameter combination. For outflow model, the parameters are $r_0 = 1 \times 10^{16}$ cm, orientation 70°, disc/jet opening angle 0°/0°. For the orbital model, the parameters are $M_{\text{BH}} = 3 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$, orientation 0°, disc/jet opening angle 0°/0°.

### Table 2. Lensing parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>$\kappa_{\text{tot}}$</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$\mu_{\text{tot}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.413</td>
<td>0.382</td>
<td>5.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>4.984</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** lensing parameters for images A and B in Q2237+0305 (Trott & Wayth, private communication, based on models of Trott et al. 2010).

### Table 3. BELR models and magnification maps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map size</th>
<th>Orbital models ($M_{\text{BH}}$ in $M_{\odot}$)</th>
<th>Wind models ($r_0$ in cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.4$r_0$ × 2.4$r_0$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2$r_0$ × 7.2$r_0$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24$r_0$ × 24$r_0$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^9, 1.5 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{16}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72$r_0$ × 72$r_0$</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^9, 3 \times 10^9, 4 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{16}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144$r_0$ × 144$r_0$</td>
<td>$6 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$6 \times 10^{16}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** magnification map size and the corresponding orbital and wind models. $r_0$ is the ER projected on to the source plane: $1.79 \times 10^{17} h_{70}^{-1/2} (M/M_{\odot})^{1/2}$ cm for Q2237+0305.

We can place a firm upper limit on black hole mass, assuming this orbital model. Models with $M_{\text{BH}} \geq 1.5 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ are rejected with >99 per cent confidence. Larger black hole masses are ruled out for all orientations and disc/jet opening angles.

The most probable disc/jet configuration for all orientations and black hole masses is the one with a large opening angle for both disc and jet of 40°. Interestingly, cases where only one of the disc or the jet has a large opening angle are ruled out with >99 per cent probability.

For the pure outflow model, very few parameter sets are capable of reproducing the observed microlensing signature. All are ruled out with high (>99 per cent) confidence with the exception of models with very small starting radii for the wind (10^{15} \text{ cm}; probably unphysical as it is smaller than the lower estimates of the accretion disc size, e.g. Eigenbrod et al. 2008b) and a tightly constrained orientation range (∼70°), which are excluded at only the 90 per cent level. This tells us that it is unlikely that a pure accelerating outflow produced the observed microlensing signature.
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Changing the mean microlensing mass marginally affects the p-values, but the general results are the same. If mean masses are smaller, differential microlensing becomes less likely but increases in strength, and vice versa for larger mean masses. It becomes no easier to produce the observed microlensing signature with a pure outflow model.

7 DISCUSSION

Reverberation mapping studies have now provided evidence for inflowing, outflowing, Keplerian and virialized motions in quasar BELRs (Bentz et al. 2009; Denney et al. 2009). Using a wide range of observational evidence, Gaskell (2009) has argued in favour of infall velocities which are smaller than the Keplerian velocities.
Figure 12. ‘Equivalent width’ of the continuum-subtracted line ratio versus the central flux ratio for simulations (black points) and data (red error bars). A selection of the explored parameter space is shown: \( r_0 \) of \( 1 \times 10^{15}, 1 \times 10^{16} \) and \( 3 \times 10^{16} \) cm, orientations of 70°, 80° and 90°, and jet/disc opening angle of 20°/20°. For smaller orientations the data point falls much further from the simulations.

Flow-dominated BELR models, for example those outlined by Blandford & Rees (1991) and Elvis (2000), are especially attractive because it is known that high velocity nuclear flows are very common, if not ubiquitous, in quasars. Indeed, the fraction of quasars in Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) showing some C\( ^{\prime} \) IV broad absorption is 25 per cent (Trump et al. 2006). The line-driven photoionized wind modelled by Murray et al. (1995) produced line profiles in good agreement with observation. In addition, there are now strong physical arguments for circular motions (gravity), and also the first observational evidence from polarization of the H\( \beta \) line (Young et al. 2007). Thus there is still no consensus on even the simple question of the direction of the gas flow in the BLR.

Our analysis shows that a pure Keplerian model for the BELR is able to reproduce the observed microlensing signature. In contrast, our pure accelerating outflow model cannot reproduce this signature for any physical launching radius. This is expected considering the qualitative nature of this signature. The low-velocity gas exhibits the strongest differential microlensing relative to the continuum (which is expected to arise from the smallest size scale), while the high-velocity gas exhibits flux ratios closer to that of the continuum. This distribution of velocities is consistent with a Keplerian field in which the highest velocities are expected closest to the central gravitating body, but inconsistent with a purely accelerating outflow in which velocity increases with distance.

The observed differential microlensing signature for the BELR is also consistent with the observed blueness of the continuum for image A: if smaller size scales are preferentially magnified, then we expect the continuum to appear more blue, assuming that this magnification gradient extends to scales smaller than the outer regions of the accretion disc.

7.1 Implications for outflow models

The observed differential microlensing signature rules out BELR models in which the gas dynamics at all radii are dominated by an accelerating outflow. This includes winds that are exclusively driven by line pressure. Other drivers, such as thermal expansion of the confining gas (Weymann et al. 1982), centrifugal force (Blandford & Payne 1982; Emmering, Blandford & Shlosman 1992) or radiation pressure via dust (Voit, Weymann & Korista 1993) may produce outflows in which high-velocity gas dominates at smaller radii.

Even if the driving force behind the outflow only allows for an accelerating wind, such models are still viable so long as the gas remains within the gravitationally dominated region for a period comparable to or greater than its orbital period.

7.2 A pure Keplerian field?

Until recently, reverberation mapping studies have found that BELR velocity distributions are consistent with Keplerian motion (Peterson & Wandel 2000). Our results are consistent with this, and extend the finding of reverberation mapping – itself applicable to low-luminosity active galactic nucleus (AGN) – into the high-luminosity regime. Gravitationally dominated kinematics are also supported by the fact that the AGN black hole masses calculated based on the assumption of a virial BELR follow the \( M_{\text{BH}}-\sigma \) relation of normal elliptical galaxies (Ferrarese et al. 2001).
Recent reverberation mapping results with greatly improved velocity resolution have now found evidence for both infall- and outflow-dominated BELR kinematics (Bentz et al. 2009; Denney et al. 2009), indicating that a variety of dynamical processes are important. Simple randomly oriented orbits are unlikely. It is known that the inner region of quasar BELRs can be as small as $10^{16}$ cm (Kaspi et al. 2000), which overlaps the outer regions of accretion disc. This greatly restricts the possible orbits in the innermost regions of the BELR.

Given the likely presence of multiple kinematic regimes within the BELR, we interpret our results cautiously. Rather than implying a spherical Keplerian field, we instead take these results to indicate that the inner regions of the BELR are strongly gravitationally dominated, which may include orbital motion and/or infall.

7.3 A constrained vortex?

In our simple models, the configuration that most easily reproduces the observed microlensing signature is one in which both accretion disc and jet have large ($40^\circ$) opening angles; configurations in which only the disc or jet have a large opening angle are ruled out with high confidence. Interestingly, this configuration of large opening angles is similar to the hollowed-cone geometry of many wind models. Thus, our modelling suggests that such a geometry is viable, but only if the gas motion within this outflow cone is gravitationally dominated at small radii. This is consistent with the vortex model of Elvis (2000), in which line-driven gas outflowing in a hollowed cone initially orbits at accretion disc velocities, or with the magnetically constrained outflow similar to that proposed by Emmering et al. (1992).

This interpretation is also consistent with the biconical model proposed by Abajas et al. (2007) to explain the recurrent microlensing enhancement of the broad-line blue wings in SDSS J1004+4112.

7.4 Black hole mass limit

Our black hole mass limit of $M_{BH} < 1.5 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ is of similar order to the virial estimate based on the width of the C iv line ($0.9 \times 10^9 M_\odot$; Morgan et al. 2010). If we calculate a virial black hole mass from the upper limit on the C iii] BELR from Section 3.2 and the line’s velocity FWHM, we instead obtain $M_{BH} < 2 \times 10^8 M_\odot$.

Modelling the differential microlensing signature with a BELR comprised of a simple Keplerian field seems to be as useful for
obtaining black hole mass limits based on the virial assumption as the standard method. It has the added advantage of including both BELR velocity and size in the model, instead of using quasar luminosity as a proxy for BELR size (calibrated using low-luminosity quasars). However stronger limits are obtainable by placing a more direct limit on BELR size from microlensing of this region.

7.5 Comparison to other works

Eigenbrod et al. (2008a) also detect differential microlensing within the velocity structure of the BELR in their long-running VLT monitoring campaign. The natures of the signatures are broadly consistent with those we observe; in high-magnification phases, the wings of the C\textsc{iii] line were more strongly amplified than the core.

Pointdexter & Kochanek (2010) are able to constrain the orientation of the accretion disc of Q2237+0305 by comparing the expected light curve produced by a model accretion disc with the continuum light curve observed over 11 yr of the Optical Gravitational Lensing Experiment (OGLE) monitoring. Their finding of $\cos i > 0.66$, which is to say a face-on accretion disc within $\sim 50^\circ$ of the line of sight, is consistent with our results, which do not strongly constrain orientation except for a few specific parameter combinations; in particular, Keplerian models with the largest black hole masses (see Fig. 13).

8 CONCLUSION

We have presented observations of the gravitationally lensed quasar Q2237+0305 taken with the Gemini-South GMOS IFU. Ratios between continuum subtracted images B and A spectra reveal differential microlensing across the velocity structure of the C\textsc{iii]} broad emission line. The high-velocity wings of this line tend towards the flux ratio of the continuum, and the lower velocity core, while still microlensed, is closer to the expected flux ratio in the absence of microlensing. This implies that the high-velocity component is emitted from a region with a size comparable to that of the continuum emission region, whereas the low-velocity component is emitted from a larger region.

We conducted microlensing simulations using two simple models of the BELR: an outflow model and an orbital model. The outflow model assumes a clumpy wind accelerated by radiation pressure. The orbital model assumes circular Keplerian orbits with random orientations. For both models we tested a wide range of parameters. These models were used to construct an ensemble of simulated B/A flux ratios as a function of velocity, for comparison with the observed flux ratio spectrum.

A purely radial outflow was unable to reproduce the observed differential microlensing signature for any plausible launching radius of the wind. Conversely, the orbital model was able to reproduce the differential microlensing signature for all simulated black hole masses $M_\text{bh} < 2 \times 10^9M_\odot$. Though our orbital model is simplistic, we interpret this result as further evidence that the inner regions of the BELR are gravitationally dominated. This is consistent with an outflow model where the BELR gas is lifted off the quasar accretion disc, and thus retains a high Keplerian velocity.

The BELR models presented here are not intended to accurately describe the physical situation in the quasar. They describe only the generic behaviour of a radially outflowing wind, or a collection of orbiting clouds. More sophisticated models, perhaps making use of radiative transfer codes such as \textsc{Cloudy}, may provide additional constraints on the quasar central engine.

We have obtained Gemini IFU observations of nine other gravitationally lensed quasars, both double and quadruply imaged. These data will allow us to probe a range of quasar orientations, emission lines, black hole masses and therefore BELR emission region scales. The analyses of these data are forthcoming.
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