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Abstract

In recent decades, the applications of advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) for organic pollutants treatment in wastewater have been thoroughly investigated. These techniques base on chemical destruction and give a complete solution to the problem of wastewater containing toxic organics. Among these processes, semiconductor-based photocatalytic process has been employed as a low-cost, environmentally friendly and sustainable technique to purify water/wastewater. Meanwhile, chemical oxidation process is also considered as an effective remediation technique to reduce the concentrations of targeted toxic organics in wastewater to acceptable levels.

The aim of this work is emphasizing the role of new synthesized catalysts in advanced oxidation process for wastewater treatment. The key conclusion of this thesis is that novel photocatalysts being capable to degrade organics in aqueous phase at room temperature were successfully synthesized and the investigation of “green cobalt based catalysts” for degradation of organic pollutants via advanced oxidation processes was achieved. Various types of catalysts were synthesized with hydrothermal carbonization method or wet impregnation method, and used for degradation of phenol in aqueous phase with UV-vis and visible light irradiation. Titanates: ZnTiO₃, FeTiO₃ and Bi₄Ti₃O₁₂ were modified by coating cobalt (Co) to prepare photocatalysts. Microcarbon spheres were also synthesized by hydrothermal method, and used to support TiO₂ (C-TiO₂), nanoscaled zerovalent iron (nano-Fe⁰@CS), cobalt (micro-CS@Co) and graphitic carbon nitride (C-g-CN). All of these catalyst materials were tested for phenol degradation. Some of these synthesized catalysts were also examined for activating peroxymonosulfate (PMS, Oxone) for the decomposition of phenol.
Chapter 1: Introduction
1.1. Motivation

The modern society continues to produce and discharge wastewater, which contains a wide range of hazardous organic compounds such as pharmaceutical, surfactants, flame retardants, plasticizers, steroids, and other trace organics. In recent decades, 80% of human diseases have been related to wastewater, and 1.2 million people die of using contaminated water every year in developing countries [1-4]. Organic pollutants in wastewater therefore have become an extremely serious issue to human beings.

There are two major sources of organic compounds in wastewater: i) natural organic matter (NOM), including humus, microbial secretions, dissolution of plant tissue, and animal waste, and ii) synthesized organic compounds (SOCs), present in industrial wastewater, domestic sewage, pesticides, and the byproducts of the traditional drinking water treatment processes. Among the numerous organic compounds, SOCs have been identified as the major contributors to water pollution. Most of SOCs are recalcitrant pollutants and can cause bioaccumulation, deformity, cancer, and genic mutation. Some SOCs are non or low toxic, however, they can still be harmful. With the enrichment of organic compounds in water/wastewater and the distinguishing feature of organic matter transformation, the concentration of total hazardous SOCs would become much higher, and has been proven as the main potential source of above diseases [5]. The large numbers of synthesized organic compounds are from a wide range of sources, such as industry, agriculture, and households, which may enter water and wastewater systems. The U.S Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) identified over 400 hazardous synthesized organic compounds in waters and wastewaters. Moreover, US EPA and World Health Organizations (WHO) have also identified some types of SOCs as precedent-controlled harmful pollutants, such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), di-(2-ethylhexyl)-phthalate (DEHP), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), tetrachloroethane, dibutyl phthalate (DBP), toluene, benzene,
acetone, dimethylphenol and phenol, etc.

Most of organics in wastewater are toxic, and resistant to be degraded by natural environment. The adverse effects on human being and natural environment caused by persistent organic pollutants (POPs) are irreversible. Irrigation with wastewater makes it worse. WHO indicates that in developing countries, irrigation with wastewater, which is heavily contaminated by organic compounds, have produced much more health damage cases, including enlargement of the liver, cancers and raised rates of congenital malformation rates, compared to the areas where wastewater is not used for irrigation [6].

A number of techniques have been proven effective in removal of SOCs from wastewater, such as coagulation, membrane separation, electrochemical process, and adsorption [7]. However, limitations usually appear in terms of economic issues, efficiency, and potential secondary pollution problems. Recently, advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), which refer to a set of chemical treatment processes by oxidation, have been extensively investigated as a promising technique for environmental remediation. AOPs include UV photolysis, photocatalysis, supercritical water oxidation, chemical oxidation process, and so on. Therefore, this research focused on the preparation of highly efficient, and environmentally friendly catalyst materials for degradation of SOCs.

1.1.1. Degradation of organic pollutants via photocatalysis

In recent decades, semiconductor-based photocatalytic process has been employed as a low-cost, environmentally friendly and sustainable technique to purify water/wastewater containing SOCs. Various pure and modified photocatalysts have been introduced to wastewater treatment. However, commercially available photocatalysts, such as TiO₂, have
barriers in practical application: i) low photocatalytic efficiency at low level pollution; ii) complicated recovery after use; iii) aggregation of particles in suspension; and iv) requirement of UV light and/or high intensity radiation which may cause health issues. Therefore, new photocatalysts which can be used under visible light or low intensity radiation are highly desirable.

1.1.2. Degradation of organic pollutants via chemical oxidation

Chemical oxidation is considered as an effective remediation technique to reduce the concentrations of targeted toxic organics in wastewater to acceptable levels. This technique introduces strong chemical oxidizers to break down the molecules of various SOCs which are resistant to other techniques and natural degradation.

Permanganate, Fenton’s reagents, persulfate, and ozone are four types of typical oxidants which are commonly used in water treatment industries. They are efficient and cost-effective, however, would pose serious hazards to operators as strong oxidizing agents. Furthermore, common catalysts used with these oxidants, such as cobalt oxide and ferrous iron salts, are usually resistant to be separated from treated water, and cause secondary pollution as heavy metal compounds. The hazards of oxidizing agents can be controlled via series of standards: test ozone level in the air, store oxidants properly, do not have skin contact [8, 9], and consequently, how to develop efficient and environmentally friendly catalysts for chemical oxidation process has shown a great potential.

1.1.3. Development of novel nanomaterials for environmental catalysis

Recent developments in nanotechnologies have opened up new directions to make the synthesis of novel nanomaterials more feasible and less harmful. Nanosized photocatalysts
possess promising properties from the particle size in the region of transition between the molecular and the bulk phases [10]. As the size of the semiconductor particle is below a critical diameter, the spatial confinement of the charge carries within a potential well [11], which means bands split into discrete electronic states in the valence and conduction bands very well. Thus one of the main advantages in applications of nano-semiconductor materials is the increase in the band gap energy with the decreasing particle size [12]. Some types of semiconductors are considered as ideal photocatalysts which are stable, inexpensive, non-toxic and highly photoactive. These semiconductors include TiO$_2$, WO$_3$, SrTiO$_3$, α-Fe$_2$O$_3$, and ZnO [12, 13].

 Depositing or incorporating metal ions or metal oxides into nanosized catalysts can not only improve their performance of organic removal, but also increase the stabilities of these catalysts. Thus modified nanomaterials can be developed as highly effective and environmentally friendly catalysts. Heterogeneous cobalt catalysts have been widely investigated [14, 15] to restrict the discharge of cobalt ions to avoid secondary pollution. Further, the sulphate radicals produced by cobalt catalysis has been proven highly effectively in oxidation of organic compounds [16, 17]. Nanomaterials are supposed as good support materials due to the unique structures and large surface areas. Hence, activation of peroxymonosulfate by novel nanomaterial supported Co catalysts is promising environmental method for oxidation of organic compounds in wastewater.

1.2. Objectives of thesis

This study aims at the development of novel carbon spheres based catalysts for degradation of organic compounds in wastewater and the specific objectives are:

i. To synthesize novel photocatalysts being capable to degrade organics in aqueous
phase at room temperature.

ii. To synthesize and develop modified photocatalysts for photochemical degradation of organics in aqueous phase.

iii. To develop photocatalyst supported Co catalysts, and evaluate the photocatalytic activities of the newly synthesized materials with UV-vis light irradiation at room temperature.


1.3. Thesis organization

Chapter one mainly introduced the major techniques for removal of organic pollutants in wastewater and briefly presented the overall adverse health effects on human beings caused by synthesized organic pollutants in wastewater. Two main techniques: photocatalysis and chemical oxidation were described and compared.

Chapter two provided a comprehensive literature review on pollutant removal techniques, particularly on advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), novel photocatalytic materials, novel micro/nano-support material selection, and sources and health effects of main types of synthesized organic pollutants in wastewater. The advantages and disadvantages of multi-AOPs were discussed in details. The main objectives of this literature review were: to (1) select “green” techniques of high efficiency and low cost for degradation of organic pollutants in wastewater; (2) select suitable novel environmentally friendly semiconductor materials for removal of organics; (3) select suitable support materials to synthesize and optimize catalysts.
Chapter three presented synthesis, characterization, photocatalytic and photochemical oxidation properties of cobalt coated ZnTiO$_3$, FeTiO$_3$ and Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$ photocatalysts. This chapter highlighted the possibilities of three titanates and cobalt doped titanates as efficient photocatalysts, and also discussed the effects of cobalt loading on phenol removal with the applications of peroxymonosulfate (PMS) or peroxydisulfate (PDS) on synthesized cobalt coated titanates. The stability studies of homemade photocatalysts were investigated in this chapter as well.

Chapter four described the synthesis of nanoscaled zero-valent iron encapsulated in microcarbon spheres. The optimum synthesis conditions were discussed. In this chapter, synthesized catalysts were also demonstrated as an effective material in activating PMS for the oxidation of phenol.

Chapter five showed research efforts on synthesis, characterization and chemical oxidation activities of microcarbon spheres supported cobalt catalysts. This chapter described the effects of preparation condition on phenol degradation with PMS and stabilities of the microcarbon spheres supported cobalt catalysts.

Chapter six investigated the synthesis of graphitic carbon nitride and microcarbon spheres coated graphitic carbon nitride. Synthesis methods, characterization and photocatalytic decomposition of phenol under visible and UV light irradiation were presented in this chapter.

Chapter seven studied the synthesis and photocatalytic properties of microcarbon spheres supported commercial P25 TiO$_2$. Photocatalytic activities of the photocatalysts in phenol degradation were examined under UV and visible light irradiation and compared with
commercial photocatalyst of P25 TiO₂.

Chapter eight summarized the overall thesis and discussed the performance of all the materials which were synthesized during the research work for organic degradation. The final part of this chapter was devoted to the possible suggestions and future work.

1.3. References
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Chapter 2: Literature Review
2.1. Introduction

Organic compounds in wastewater represent a serious problem to public health. The synthesized organic compounds (SOCs), such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), di-(2-ethylhexyl)-phthalate (DEHP), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), tetrachloroethane, dibutyl phthalate (DBP), toluene, benzene, acetone, dimethylphenol and phenol, in wastewater discharged by chemical industries have attracted particular concerns. In recent decades, great efforts have been made to control, reduce, and rectify these types of toxic pollutants in wastewater. Limiting the toxic sources used in industries, recycling the wastes and terminal products, improving productive processes, and controlling the waste treatment processes from both production stages and disposal stages are conventional strategies, and have been widely employed to treat the toxic organic compounds harmlessly, efficiently, and thoroughly [1, 2].

However, available techniques still have barriers when treating large amount and multi-types of SOCs in wastewater. Traditional methods such as solvent extraction, activated carbon adsorption, and chemical oxidation often suffer from serious drawbacks including high cost or formation of hazardous by-products [1, 2]. For example, activated carbon adsorption involves phase transfer of pollutants without decomposition, which induces another pollution problem [3]. The new techniques such as biodegradation, photodegradation, and bioaccumulation are environmentally friendly and cost effective; however, the time-consuming and critical operation conditions, such as proper pH and temperature, restrict the wide applications in industries. For instance, in MITI-I-test which is designed to quickly estimate the rate of biodegradation, 60% to 70% of phenol in wastewater is reduced after four days, and 85% is reduced after 14 days by using the traditional methods such as solvent extraction and activated carbon adsorption [4, 5].
Despite these defects, photodegradation by semiconductor mediated photo catalysis still appears more attractive than others because of its ability to decompose a wide range of organic and inorganic pollutants at room temperature and pressure, without generation of harmful by-products. The most commonly used photocatalysts are TiO$_2$, ZnO, ZrO$_2$, CdS, and SnO$_2$ [6, 7]. However, the limitations of photodegradation are also present: TiO$_2$ is the most popular photocatalyst, but it can only be activated by UV light irradiation [8, 9] which is harmful and high energy consumption, while ZnO, ZrO$_2$, CdS, and SnO$_2$ are easy to be decomposed into unexpected by-products during photo catalysis processes. Meanwhile, purification of the wastewater by destroying the organic contaminants can also been done by another traditional technique: chemical oxidation. It is a very effective method that aims at mineralization of SOCs to carbon dioxide, water and nontoxic inorganics, or at least, at their transformations into harmless products. Nevertheless, formation of hazardous by-products, and toxic metal leaching are the two main issues which cannot be ignored [10-12]. Therefore, integration of the two techniques mentioned above will provide the complete solutions for SOCs treatment processes. Furthermore, overcoming the limitations of photocatalysis and chemical oxidation, and applying their significant efficiency for organics removal make development of novel catalysts highly desirable.

2.2. Synthesized organic compounds and Sources

Most of toxic organic compounds are not intentionally produced. However, they are released during a wide range of industrial processes and products degradation, such as monosodium glutamate industry (MSG), metal processing, paper-mill, production of technical formulations of chlorinated compounds, agricultural sludge treatment processes, applications of detergents, and plastic industries [13-16]. The details of major SOCs and
their sources are as follows:

**Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs):** Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) are synthetic organic chemicals, and are mainly used as dielectric and coolant fluid in many areas [17]. For example, PCBs are filled in power transformers and capacitors by manufacturers of electrical equipment to provide fireproof insulation between coils or plates conducting electricity [18, 19], and used as heating and cooling agent in various chemical, food, synthetic resin industry, and preheating agent of the fuel oil of vessels, central heating systems, and panel heaters [20].

PCBs have been detected in virtually all environmental media: indoor and outdoor, surface and ground water, soil, and food with the garbage cremation and discharge of wastewater [17]. PCBs are very stable organic compounds with a long life (8 to 10 years) and hardly decompose in natural environment [21]. Research indicates that PCBs can be adsorbed by soils and therefore accumulate in sewage sludge. The highly substituted (high chlorine content) PCBs are the main representatives potentially present in sewage sludge, while the amount is just 35% of the total technical PCBs [17, 22]. Though PCBs are insoluble in water, they readily penetrate skin of organisms such as fishes and mammals in polluted water, and enrich in organs [21].

**Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs):** Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are potent atmospheric pollutants. The main sources of PAHs are combustion from transportation and commercial processes, such as food preparation sources and waste incineration [23]. However, nowadays because PAHs dyes are widely used, about 0.7 million tons of PAHs dyes are produced every year, and 10-15% of these PAHs dyes are discharged into water [15, 24-26]. The emission of PAHs from wastewater comes into
atmosphere and directly contacts with operators in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) [27, 28]. Therefore, properly treating PAHs in wastewater before the emission is a significant option which does get enough attention.

**Di-2(ethyl-hexyl)-phthalate (DEHP):** DEHP is a wildly used plasticizer in cosmetics, building materials, automobiles, and polyvinyl chloride (PVC) products [29], such as intravenous tubing and bags, catheters, nasogastric tubes, dialysis bags and tubing, blood bags and transfusion tubing, and air tubes, all of which are medical devices [30, 31]. DEHP can be adsorbed from food and water, and it is found regularly in municipal wastewater, especially the wastewater produced during the synthetic processes of PVC industry. Furthermore, because of its lipophilic properties, it also concentrates in sewage sludge.

**Surfactants:** Surfactants are organic chemicals that reduce surface tension in water and other liquids. There are four major commercial and industrial surfactants: anionic surfactants, such as linear alkylbenzene sulphonates (LAS); cationic surfactants, such as amine compounds; non-ionic surfactants, such as fatty acid alkanolamides; and amphoteric surfactants, such as betaines [32]. They are commonly found in soaps, laundry detergents, dish washing liquids, and shampoos. Anionic and non-ionic surfactants are soluble in water, and toxic for water organisms [33, 34].

**Polychlorinated Dibenzo-p-dioxins and Dibenzofurans (PCDD/Fs):** PCDD/Fs are already assigned with toxic equivalency factor (TEF) values by the WHO (World Health Organization). PCDD/Fs and other undesired chlorinated species are inadvertently produced during many industrial processes, including bleaching (papermaking and textiles), brine processing (metal recovery), and chlorination (pesticide and chemical production) [35, 36]. People ingest PCDD/Fs from food, specifically through the consumption of fish and
meat of which organisms are on the top of food chain [37, 38], and this is due to the enrichment of PCDD/Fs in water bodies.

**Phenolic compounds:** Phenolics are produced in a large scale, about 7 billion kilograms every year in the world now. Phenol and its chemical derivatives are very important raw and intermediate materials in various synthetic processes [39], including polycarbonates, epoxies, Bakelite, nylon, detergents, herbicides, resin paint, dying, textile wood, pulp mill, and pharmaceutical drugs [40-42]. Because of their toxicity and extensive use, phenol and phenolic compounds are ubiquitous pollutants which are released to natural water bodies and wastewater systems from the industrial processes mentioned above. In addition, phenol is also found in vehicle emissions, and smoke from cigarettes, bonfires and bush fire.

In summary, all of major SOCs reviewed in this section are classes of aromatic organic compounds. They have similar physical, chemical properties and toxicities. These SOCs are ubiquitous and can hardly be decomposed by natural processes; furthermore, they enrich in organisms which may be turned into food for human beings. Among these major pollutants, phenol is a classic benzene derivative and has the simplest member of the phenolic chemical. Phenol is produced naturally, synthesized artificially, and applied widely. Due to phenol’s stability, refractory, and high level in productions and wastewater, it is an ideal and logical model for wastewater treatment studies.

**2.3. Health issues associated with synthesized organic compounds in wastewater**

SOCs usually have a long life and enrich in organisms (especially aquatic life) and then are served as part of food to human who are at the top of food chain. Dermal contact is another
common way of exposure to these toxic compounds. Long term exposure of SOCs can cause harmful effects on the central nervous system and heart, such as dysrhythmia and coma, and also may cause kidney disease, liver disease, skin rashes, itching, burning, eye irritation, skin and fingernail pigmentation changes, immune system disease, headaches, dizziness, depression, memory loss, possible cancer, and development effects [43].

Phenol, one of the major SOCs included in most of substances and wastewaters, is degraded rapidly in air (half-life of approximately 15 hours), but persistent in water for longer period [44, 45]. It is associated to cardiovascular disease, serious gastrointestinal damage, severe skin damage, muscle twitching [43-45]. Furthermore, phenol can also remain in human breast milk, and cause vomiting and lethargy of children. Some birth defects have been observed in animals due to females exposure to phenol during pregnancy [45]. Estimated lethal oral doses of phenol in adults vary widely, from 1 g (14 mg/kg, assuming an adult body weight of 70 kg) to as much as 65 g (930 mg/kg, assuming an adult body weight of 70 kg) [46-48]. Therefore, the control and removal of organic pollutants in wastewater are imperative for environment and public health.

2.4. Synthesized Organic Compounds Treatment Techniques

Water pollution from SOCs is a severe environmental problem to the whole world. A range of techniques, such as sedimentation-flocculation [49], coagulation [50], adsorption or reverse osmosis [51], have been introduced to remove SOCs in wastewater. Although all methods are proven effective for certain compounds, lack of non-selectivity to most of SOCs is the limitation of these techniques. For example, activated carbon cannot remove large number of organic pollutants at the level of few ppb [52, 53]. Sedimentation-flocculation is effective to remove the organic solids in wastewater, but
toxic organic compounds (e.g. PCB) are remained in sludge leading to secondary pollution [54]. Generally, physical processes only transfer pollutants from one phase (liquid) to another (solid), and will not completely decompose toxics. These techniques cost high price for production and regeneration, and are dependent on temperature, concentration, physical and chemical properties of target organic pollutants, and surface area [55-57]. There are two elements to judge if any technology suitable or not for use in industry: technical feasibility and economic feasibility [58]. Comparing with other techniques, advanced oxidation process (AOP) is particularly suitable for cleaning biologically toxic, non-degradable compounds and organic pollutants in wastewater [59]. This method based on chemical destruction gives complete solution to the problem of SOCs.

2.5. Advanced Oxidation Process (AOP)

Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) are those processes based on destruction of organics in water and wastewater by production and utilization of active radicals [60-62]. Hydroxyl radicals are extremely reactive to attack organic species rapidly and non-specifically with rate constants usually in the order of $10^6$-$10^9$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ [64]. AOP also offers optional ways for production and utilization of radicals, thus allowing a better compliance with the specific treatment requirements. AOPs theoretically do not produce any new hazardous substances into treated water. Details of AOPs are as follows.

2.5.1. Fenton processes

Fenton processes are classically reactive systems containing solutions of hydrogen peroxide and iron catalysts, which were discovered in the last century. Fenton’s reagent was used as an analytical reagent at the beginning [63], but nowadays, it has been developed by a significant number of investigations for its application in wastewater treatment processes.
It has been proven that Fenton’s reagent is effective in destroying toxic organic compounds in wastewater, such as trichloroethylene (TCE), tetrachloroethylene (PCE) and phenol.

In Fenton processes [64], ferrous iron (II) is oxidized by hydrogen peroxide to ferric iron (III):

$$Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + OH^- + OH^*$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-1)

In this way, producing hydroxyl radicals is simple and effective. It does require neither special apparatus nor reactants. The hydroxyl radical generated by Fenton’s reagent is a powerful, non-selective oxidant. This oxidative system has been investigated by a large number of studies due to the fact that iron is a very abundant and non-toxic element and hydrogen peroxide is easy to handle and environmentally friendly.

Furthermore, in the condition of a proper value of pH (2.7-2.8), the reduction of Fe$^{3+}$ to Fe$^{2+}$ occurs [65]:

$$Fe^{3+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow H^+ + Fe^{-}OOH^{2+}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-2)

$$Fe^{-}OOH^{2+} \rightarrow HO_2^* + Fe^{2+}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-3)

Where, iron is used as a catalyst.

A lot of studies have developed different catalytic Fenton reaction and reagents [66-70]. For instance, due to the large specific surface area, carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are used as supports in synthesis of catalysts applied in Fenton reaction [68, 71, 72]. In one example [71], multi-walled carbon nanotube (MWCNTs) supported Fe$_2$O$_3$ catalysts were synthesized and used in degradation of phenol by heterogeneous Fenton reaction. The results indicated that phenol degradation performance of Fe$_2$O$_3$/MWCNTs is improved as compared with bare Fe$_2$O$_3$. Several studies have also suggested that activated carbon (AC) supported Fe is effective in organic compound degradation [73, 74], due to the excellent
properties in mechanical strength and porous structures of AC [75, 76]. As a new type of carbon materials, graphene has a perfect two-dimensional cycle plane structure and can be an excellent support material [77]. Guo et al. prepared Fe nanoparticles@graphene by the reduction of Fe$^{3+}$ and graphene oxide (GO) in one step using NaBH$_4$ solution, and found that Fe nanoparticles@graphene showed a high catalytic activity in liquid phase [78]. Therefore, carbon materials including carbon nanotubes, activated carbon, carbon black, graphite, carbon nano-fibers, and nano-carbon spheres have aroused great attention as catalyst supports for Fenton processes.

Meanwhile, heterogeneous Fenton-like systems using iron supported catalysts, such as zero-valent iron (ZVI or Fe$^0$), goethite ($\alpha$-FeOOH), Fe$_3$O$_4$, and Fe$^0$/Fe$_3$O$_4$, have recently developed [79-83]. For instance, zero-valent iron (ZVI or Fe$^0$) has been paid great attention as an inexpensive and environmentally friendly strong reductant [66, 67]. Besides, ZVI in oxygen-containing water can facilitate the degradation of toxic organic compounds, such as phenol [68]. The reactions can be described generally as follow:

$$Fe^0 \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + 2e^- \quad (2-4)$$

$$\text{organics} + ne^- \rightarrow X + H_2O \quad (2-5)$$

Xu & Wang’s study indicated that nanoparticulate zero-valent iron has significant efficiency for degradation of 4-chloro-3-methyl phenol in heterogeneous Fenton-like system at initial pH 6.1 [83]. However, only a few oxidations of organic pollutants using ZVI have been conducted, because the activity of this process not only depends on the ZVI, but also on the presence of dissolved oxygen (DO) [76-78].

To sum up, Fenton processes are classic and effective. Suitable oxidants introduced into reaction systems can significantly improve their selectivity and efficiency. Furthermore, due to the environmentally friendly properties, Fenton processes are valuable for
degradation of organic pollutants in wastewater.

2.5.2. Photo-Fenton process

Photo-Fenton process is one of the photochemical processes (see 2.5.3). It is an efficient route for degradation of various organics, and has already been successfully used for wastewater treatment [84]. This technique produces large amount of hydroxyl radicals by photo reduction of Fe(OH)$_2^+$, and Fe(II) by photolysis of Fe(III) [85] (Figure 2.1 [86]):

$$Fe(OH)^{2+} + hv \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + \cdot OH$$

(2-6)

$$R(CO_2) - Fe(III) + hv \rightarrow R(\cdot CO_2) + Fe(II) \rightarrow \cdot R + CO_2$$

(2-7)

![Figure 2.1 Mechanism of photo-Fenton process](image)
However, this process has several disadvantages in practical applications: high iron concentration remained [87], large amount of manpower are required to remove sludge, complex before treatment processes, and catalysts recycling [88]. To overcome these limitations, more efficient heterogeneous iron species catalysts were investigated, such as oxides on different supporters including silica [89], activated carbon [90], clays [91, 92], fly ash [93]. The applications of these materials make photo-Fenton processes more feasible at a wider range of initial pH values. But recovery of catalyst after treatment is still tough due to the instability of these mixed oxides catalysts. Furthermore, better supporting materials, utilizing solar to replace UV light and the potential applicability of iron sludge require more studies.

Besides, Fenton processes are also coupled with ultrasonic irradiation process (Fenton/US) to remove resistant organic substances. Fenton/US has been proven more effective than normal Fenton process [94-96]. The principle reactions are as shown below [65]:

\[
H_2O_2 + Fe^{2+} \rightarrow Fe^-OOH^{2+} \quad \text{(2-8)}
\]

\[
Fe^-OOH^{2+} + \text{))}) \rightarrow Fe^{2+} (isolated) + HO_2^* \quad \text{(2-9)}
\]

\[
H_2O_2 + Fe^{2+} (isolated) \rightarrow OH^* + OH^- + Fe^{3+} \quad \text{(2-10)}
\]

Where “))” means ultrasonic irradiation.

However, considering the high cost of Fenton/US, healthy effects on operators, high inlet pressures, and high operating temperature, Fenton/US is not suitable for wide application in wastewater treatment industry at present.

2.5.3. Oxidation in sulfate radical systems

To improve the zero-valent iron (ZVI or Fe\(^0\)) system, persulfate (peroxydisulfate, PDS,
S$_2$O$_8^{2-}$) was used in Fenton-like systems in some studies [97-99]. PDS is a strong oxidant ($E^0(S_2O_8^{2-})=2.01$V), but kinetically slow in degradation of most organic compounds [99, 100]. Further, when persulfate anion is activated chemically or thermally, it generates the intermediate sulfate radical ($SO_4^{-}$) ($E^0=2.6$V) which is stronger than persulfate anion [98]. The produced sulfate radicals should be more effective than persulfate anion in organic compound degradation. On the other hand, sulfate radical ($SO_4^{-}$) has been proven more stable than hydroxyl radical by Huang, et al. [101]. The generation reaction of sulfate radical activated by Fe$^{2+}$ is shown as below:

\[ S_2O_8^{2-} + Fe^{2+} \rightarrow SO_4^{*-} + SO_4^- + Fe^{3+} \] (2-11)

Cobalt/peroxymonosulfate (Co/PMS) has also demonstrated significant results in oxidation of organic compounds by using Fenton-like process [102-104]. The sulfate radical is activated from peroxymonosulfate by cobalt and can be applied for the decomposition of organics [105]:

\[ Co^{2+} + HSO_5^- \rightarrow Co^{3+} + SO_4^{*-} + OH^- \] (2-12)

However, according to some researches, Co/PMS is only effective in oxidation of specific organics, such as 2,4-dichlorophenol, atrazine and naphthalene [105-109]. Moreover, the leaching of cobalt ions in water is the major issue of cobalt-based catalysts, because the discharge of cobalt in water causes the secondary pollution and several health problems. Hence, novel heterogeneous cobalt catalysts are highly demanded. Few studies showed the positive results of heterogeneous Co$^{2+}$ based catalysts for organic compound degradation in sulfate radical systems [102, 110-112]. Employing extra materials, such as Al$_2$O$_3$, SiO$_2$, TiO$_2$, to cobalt catalysts is one of the novel photochemical oxidation methods. Since UV light irradiation used in Yang et al.’s study is harmful and high energy is required [112],
semiconductors with visible light activity can be promising supports for cobalt catalysts. Therefore, novel support materials for cobalt catalysts are important for Co/PMS systems or photochemical oxidation systems. Pradeep et al. investigated the activated carbon supported cobalt catalysts (Co/AC), and found Co/AC exhibited high activity in oxidation of phenol and less Co leaching from Co/AC solid phase [113]. This study proved that appropriate carbon materials could be novel supports to restrict the discharge of cobalt in water, and also enhance the adsorption capacity of catalysts due to its large surface area.

2.5.4. Photocatalysis

2.5.4.1. Photocatalysis mechanism

Heterogeneous photocatalysis are promising AOP techniques for wastewater treatment which have also been successfully used to deal with other environmental problems, such as indoor air pollutions [114-116]. Utilization of sun light in photocatalysis can decompose organic pollutants to CO₂ and water by an effectively and environmentally friendly manner. In recent years, heterogeneous photocatalysis has been studied for degradation of organic pollutants via UV irradiation. Efficient photocatalysts are solids that harmoniously combine good chemical and photoelectronic properties: (1) absorption of efficient photons; (2) generation of electron-hole pairs; (3) separation of electron-hole pairs with minimum of recombination. Various n-type semiconductor metal oxides, such as TiO₂, ZnO, ZnS, WO₃, etc., have been investigated for photocatalytic oxidation of organic pollutants in wastewater. These most common semiconductor materials applied as photocatalysts are summarized in Table 2.1.
Table 2.1 List of band gap energy and absorption threshold of various semiconductor photocatalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semiconductor</th>
<th>Band Gap Energy (eV)</th>
<th>Wavelength Sensitivity (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂ (anatase)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂ (rutile)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnS</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrTiO₃</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WO₃</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrTiO₃</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Photocatalytic process starts with the irradiation of a semiconductor material by light with sufficient energy. The electron (e⁻)/hole (h⁺) pairs are generated in the conduction band (CB) and valence band (VB), respectively, and then migrate to the surface of the semiconductor where they are involved in the development of redox reactions. The holes (h⁺) react with hydroxyl anions and H₂O molecules to generate hydroxyl radicals. The excited electrons react with oxygen molecules, leading to the formation of the superoxide radical anion, peroxide radicals and H₂O₂ molecules at the same time. These reactive oxygen species contribute to renew the radical attack mechanisms [117-119]:
$TiO_2 + h\nu \rightarrow e^- + h^+$  \hspace{1cm} (2-13)

$TiO_2(h^+) + H_2O_{ad} \rightarrow TiO_2 + HO_{ad}^* + H^+$  \hspace{1cm} (2-14)

$TiO_2(h^+) + HO_{ad} \rightarrow TiO_2 + HO_{ad}^*$  \hspace{1cm} (2-15)

$TiO_2(h^+) + RX_{ad} \rightarrow TiO_2 + RX_{ad}^{**}$  \hspace{1cm} (2-16)

Or

$RX_{ad} + H_2O + O_2 \rightarrow \frac{hv}{TiO_2} \rightarrow H_2O + CO_2 + Mineral.Acid.$  \hspace{1cm} (2-17)

Figure 2.2 Mechanism of photocatalysis process
One of the most important aspects of environmental photocatalysis is the selection of photoactive materials. ZnO and TiO₂ are close to being ideal photocatalysts (Table 2.1), due to their relatively low prices, and ability to provide photo-generated holes with high oxidizing power which contributes to their wide band gap energy [120, 121]. Moreover, for more effective utilization of sunlight, in which visible light represents about 42% of spectrum energy, visible light responsive photocatalysts have been extensively investigated.

2.5.4.2. Heterogeneous ZnO photocatalysis

The semiconductor ZnO has been widely used as a photocatalyst for hosting a series of reductive and oxidative reaction on the surface, such as phenol degradation. ZnO has a few advantages over TiO₂: higher quantum efficiency and catalytic efficiency [122-124]. Further, ZnO photocatalysts have also been found to be effective for degradation of polymers and polymer composites [125]. When the photon energy brightened on the surface of ZnO is bigger than or equal to the band gap of ZnO (E_g=3.2 eV), e⁺ will be activated to the empty conduction band, as shown in Figure 2.3 [126]. The photo excitation leaves as a sequence of an unfilled valence band, which in turn produces the electron-hole pair (e⁻ - h⁺). The presence of hVB⁺ and eCB⁻ illustrates the surface trapped conduction-band hole and valence-band electron. The charge carriers are connected with the surface of photocatalysts and do not recombine immediately after photo excitation [127]. Then the recombination of photoexcited electron and valence band hole takes place in nanosecond with delivery of energy. Hence, the existence of electron scavengers is of great importance for delaying the recombination and processing photocatalysis successfully. The presence of oxygen also prolongs the recombination of electron-hole pairs, at the same time producing the superoxides radicals (O₂⁻•). The superoxides radicals (O₂⁺•) could further combine with HO₂• and H⁺ to produce H₂O₂. The presence of both dissolved oxygen and water molecules is essential because it leads to the occurrence of the entire photocatalytic reactions. In the
absence of water molecules, the highly active hydroxyl radicals cannot be produced and the degradation of organic materials will stop. The procedures of oxidative and reductive reactions taking place on the surface of ZnO are represented as below [128]:

\[ \text{Photo-excitation of ZnO:} \quad ZnO + h\nu \rightarrow e^- + h^+ \quad (2-18) \]

\[ \text{Formation of H}_2\text{O}_2: \quad O_2^- + HO_2^- + H^+ \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2 \quad (2-19) \]

\[ \text{Charge-carrier trapping of e}^-: \quad e^-_{CB} \rightarrow e^-_{TB} \quad (2-20) \]

\[ \text{Charge-carrier trapping of h}^+: \quad h^+_{VB} \rightarrow h^+_{TB} \quad (2-21) \]

\[ \text{Electron-hole recombination:} \quad e^- + h^+ \rightarrow \text{heat} \quad (2-22) \]

\[ \text{Photoexcited e}: \quad e^- + O_2 \rightarrow O_2^- \quad (2-23) \]

\[ \text{Oxidation of OH}_{\text{ads}}: \quad h^+ + OH^-_{\text{ads}} \rightarrow \cdot OH_{\text{ads}} \quad (2-24) \]

\[ \text{Photo-degradation by OH}^-: \quad R_1 - H + OH^- \rightarrow R_2 \cdot + H_2O \quad (2-25) \]

\[ \text{Degradation of phenol:} \quad OH_{\text{ads}} + \text{Phenol} \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2O \quad (2-26) \]
Figure 2.3 Mechanism of photocatalytic oxidation of phenol

Since the electron-hole of photocatalysts could be recombined with the discharge of energy in the form of heat/light, oxygen has been used as an electron receiver in the photocatalytic reaction. In order to reduce the combination of electron-hole and increase the residence time of electron-hole, other efficient electron acceptors have been applied to compare with oxygen. Hence, other oxidizing agents (e.g. H$_2$O$_2$, persulfate or peroxymonosulfate) have been found to significantly increase the degradation rate of organic chemicals due to their capacity of electron capture and the generation of other radicals [129].

In the case of hydrogen peroxide, the addition of small amount of oxidizing agents H$_2$O$_2$ could enhance the generation of radicals OH$^-$. Because ZnO and TiO$_2$ have the similar
properties, researches based on TiO$_2$ are also possible to be applied on ZnO photocatalysts. According to Doong and Chang [130], the application of catalyst TiO$_2$ using an oxidizing agent H$_2$O$_2$ in the presence of UV has enhanced the degradation rate of pesticide compared with UV/TiO$_2$. Elmolla and Chaudhuri [131] also illustrated that enhancement of degradation of amoxicillin, ampicillin and cloxacillin antibiotics in aqueous solution was obtained by TiO$_2$ photocatalysis under H$_2$O$_2$/UV. Considering persulfate (PDS) and peroxymonosulfate (PMS) as oxidants, some researchers have also found that the combination of PDS or PMS with photocatalysts in the presence of UV illumination enhanced the photo degradation of pollutants. Malato et. al. [132] found that the additional oxidants S$_2$O$_8^{2-}$ strongly increased the degradation rate of photocatalysts on organic pollutants. Do et al. [128, 133] also reported that a single addition of PMS/Co(II) could degrade around fifty percent of maximum amount of diesel while only about 30% of diesel could be converted by using the same amount of Co(II). Therefore, introducing strong oxidants such as PMS and PDS can enhance photocatalytic processes for organic pollutant degradation.

Another way for the generation of radicals is that the pollutants behave as sensitizers when they absorb the visible light. The photo-generated electrons from the pollutants are transferred to the semiconductors. The mechanism follows the steps as shown below [128]:

\[ \text{Pollutant} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{Pollutant}^* \rightarrow \text{Pollutant}^{++} + e^- \]  
\[ \text{ZnO} + e^- \rightarrow \text{ZnO}^{*^-} \]  
\[ \text{ZnO}^{*^-} + O_2 \rightarrow \text{ZnO} + O_2^* \]  
\[ O_2^* + 2H_2O + e^- \rightarrow 2H_2O_2 \]  
\[ H_2O_2 + e^- \rightarrow OH^- + OH^- \]  

It shows that the light was absorbed by the pollutants to generate the active pollutant* molecules. Then the active pollutant* molecules transfer the electron to the conduction
band of ZnO as shown in equations above, where the hole is trapped by the oxygen to form $O_2^\cdot$. Finally, the hydroxyl radicals are produced by the reaction of H$_2$O$_2$ and e$^-$.

### 2.5.4.3. Heterogeneous TiO$_2$ photocatalysis

Titanium dioxide ($E_g=3.2$eV) as a heterogeneous photocatalyst is widely applied for organic degradation since 1970s. The mechanism is that if the light with photon energy higher or equal to the band gap energy of TiO$_2$, the electron-hole pairs will be produced when the TiO$_2$ particle is irradiated. The photonic excitation leaves behind an empty unfilled valence band, and thus creating the electron-hole pair [134].

However, after so many years studies, either ZnO or TiO$_2$ or other popular photocatalysts such as WO$_3$ and SnO$_2$, have the similar disadvantages: (1) large band gap, often necessitating near UV light ($\lambda < 400$ nm) to induce electron photoexcitation; (2) instability in aqueous medium, leading to photocatalyst decomposition; (3) high electron-hole recombination rates. For example: ZnO shows photo-corrosion effect that makes ZnO self-deactivate by forming Zn$^{2+}$ ions when reacting with photo-generated holes in water, then will be dissolved into solution [135-137]. Therefore, photocatalysts which are photo-stable, feasible under visible light, and more efficient are required. Heterogeneous photocatalytic oxidation using visible light photocatalysts is considered as a highly attractive technology. Doping of a foreign element (Cr, V, Fe, Mn, Co, Ni, etc.) into TiO$_2$ or ZnO photocatalysts have been studies for a long time. Most of doped photocatalysts can be used in photodegradation of organics in wastewater under visible light due to the formation of a localized narrow band in the forbidden band of semiconductor photocatalysts. Studies indicated that metal ion dopants into the TiO$_2$ particles can influence the performance of photocatalyst by the effects on the dynamics of electron: hole recombination and interfacial charge transfer [138, 139]. The largest enhancement of photocatalytic activity through doping was found in
nanosized TiO₂ particles, in which the dopants are located within 1-2 nm of the surface, and the high surface areas result in the high visible light activity of the photocatalysts [138, 139]. Moreover, according to recent studies [102, 111, 112, 140], few heterogeneous Co²⁺-based photocatalysts with Co supported on silica or titania have been proven effective in organic compounds degradation. It has been found that doped titanates appear to be sensitive to both UV light and visible light in some studies [110, 141]. Inorganic materials, such as silica, carbon nanotubes, activated carbon, carbon aerogels, etc are also applied in extensive investigations of visible light photocatalysts [142-145].

Carbon nanotubes/TiO₂
Titanium dioxide can be employed as a photocatalyst for the successful decontamination of pollutants in both liquid and gas phases [146]. Nevertheless, there is a serious issue that TiO₂ cannot be activated by UV which occupies less than 5% of the total solar irradiance at the earth’s surface because of its large band gap of 3.2eV. It was reported that modification of TiO₂ could make it absorb visible light and improve the efficient utilization of sunlight [147]. Because of conducting electrons, high surface area and high adsorption capacity, the carbon nanotubes are good supports for modification of TiO₂ samples [146]. CNT/TiO₂ composite materials increase the rate of photo-catalytic oxidation of pollutants due to the conductive structure. The CNT scaffolds might facilitate the separation of the photo-generated electron/hole pairs at the CNT–TiO₂ interface, leading to the faster rates of photo-catalytic oxidation [148, 149]. There are a range of different methods for preparation of heterogeneous CNT/TiO₂ such as mechanically mixing TiO₂ and CNT, sol–gel synthesis of TiO₂ in the presence of CNT, electro-spinning method, electrophoretic deposition, and chemical vapor deposition [150, 151]. Sol–gel route and complete heat treatment at high temperatures are widely used because of the exposure of bare CNT surfaces and consequent random aggregation of TiO₂ onto the CNT surfaces [152].
**Graphene oxide/TiO$_2$**

The UV-assisted photocatalytic reduction of graphene oxide with TiO$_2$ without high temperature annealing has been well developed [153]. Graphene can act as an electron transfer channel, and reduce the recombination of the photo-generated [154]. Graphene has been widely applied to prepare TiO$_2$-based materials. Moreover, the surface properties of graphene could be adjusted via chemical modification, which facilitates its use in composite materials. Thus, the combination of TiO$_2$ and graphene is a promising strategy to simultaneously possess excellent adsorptivity, transparency, conductivity, and controllability, which could facilitate effective photo-degradation of pollutants [155]. Molecular grafting that incorporates carbon into a TiO$_2$ matrix by chemically exfoliated graphene is wildly used. It was also found that the implanted graphene provided additional transport pathways for photo-induced carriers, leading to an increase in photocurrent. Graphene with a high specific surface area and dispersion ability is very difficult to prepare, therefore improving the dispersion of graphene in the matrix is critical to its successful application in photoanodes. A sonochemical method was used to homogeneously embed TiO$_2$ nanoparticles into graphene oxide (GO) nanosheets without functionalizing the surface with a surfactant. Graphene–TiO$_2$ composite (GR–TiO$_2$) was obtained by chemical reduction. The photocatalytic properties of the obtained GR–TiO$_2$ composites were investigated by measuring the photo-degradation of methylene blue under UV-light, and showed a high photocatalytic performance on the obtained composites [156].

**Carbon doped TiO$_2$**

It is now commonly recognized that visible-light absorption does not always result in visible-light photocatalytic activity, and the key to enhance the photocatalytic activity is to effectively combine the photon absorption, bulk diffusion, and surface transfer of
photo-induced charge carriers in the photocatalyst. When dopants and defects are introduced, additional extrinsic electronic levels can be located in the energy band gap of TiO$_2$. It has been demonstrated that carbon doping can dramatically improve the absorption and photocatalytic activity of TiO$_2$ in the visible-light region [157]. Owing to the doped C atoms, carbon /TiO$_2$ have a particular oxidation state. Among various anionic dopants, the modification with carbon has been reported to be a promising way to extend the absorbance of TiO$_2$ into visible light [158]. The carbon dopant has been described either as an anion that replaces oxygen substitutionally in the lattice or as a cation that occupies an interstitial lattice site. The formal oxidation state of carbon dopants ranges from -4 (as carbides with Ti–C bond) to +4 (as carbonates with C–O bond). Ti–C bond was formed at conditions like flame pyrolysis of Ti metal sheet, annealing of TiC powders, and ion-assisted electron beam evaporation. On the other hand, C–O bond (carbonate) was often observed at conditions like sol–gel processes with carbon precursors and high temperature reactions of TiO$_2$ with carbon precursors. Although carbon is a ubiquitous impurity, the addition of external carbon precursors such as glucose was essentially needed to make C-TiO$_2$ in all reported cases [157].

There are several methods for preparation of carbon-doped TiO$_2$. Researchers prepared carbon-doped TiO$_2$ by oxidizing titanium sheet in a natural gas flame and obtained water-splitting efficiency of 8.35% with a visible light absorption shifted from 414 to 535 nm [154]. However, this method did not compensate the different spectral photon flux distribution when comparing the overall intensity of the experimental light source with that of standard solar illumination. The other way is to prepare photoelectrode by the hydrolysis of titanium tetrachloride with carbon-containing bases [157]. However, the prepared film had no obvious spectrum extension to the visible light. Compared with these two ways, the best way is to prepare mesoporous carbon-doped TiO$_2$ at low temperature using glucose and
amorphous TiO₂ as the carbon and TiO₂ sources, respectively [158]. Moreover, it was reported that the reduction of glucose and crystallization of TiO₂ as well as the carbon doping could take place at the same time under hydrothermal treatment at 160ºC [154] [158].

2.5.5. Other AOPs

Besides Fenton, Fenton-like, and photocatalysis processes, there are some other AOP techniques which are studied and applied in organic wastewater treatment, such as ozonation, UV/O₃, H₂O₂, UV/H₂O₂, UV/H₂O₂/O₃, O₃/H₂O₂ [58].

Ozone is a strong oxidant (E°=2.07V), and can oxidize a large number of organic compounds that mainly include multiple bonds (e.g. C=C, C=N, and N=N) [159]. Ozonation process is a pathway that directly reacts with all organic pollutants in wastewater at various rates at same time. Ozonation process also has an indirect way which generates hydroxyl radicals that also reacts with organic targets [160]:

\[ O_3 + OH^- \rightarrow HO_2^- + O_2 \]  
\[ (2-32) \]

\[ O_3 + HO_2^- \rightarrow HO_2^* + O_3^- \]  
\[ (2-33) \]

However, it is difficult for ozone to react rapidly with singly bonded functionality (e.g. C-C, C-O, and O-H) [159]. Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) is another strong oxidant, and has already been widely utilized in industry. 50% of H₂O₂ is required in wastewater treatment processes, because low concentration hydrogen peroxide lead to low reaction rate. Meanwhile, high concentration H₂O₂ (more than 50%) is dangerous for operators due to the detonable byproducts released during reactions [161]. In O₃/H₂O₂ process, ozone reacts with hydrogen peroxide to generate hydroxyl radicals. The hydroxyl radicals in turn oxidize target organics [162]:

\[ 2O_3 + H_2O_2 \rightarrow 2OH \bullet + 3O_2 \]  
\[ (2-34) \]
UV/H₂O₂ is a great potential AOP technique due to the wide acceptance and application of UV as effective disinfectant [163, 164]. This process is irradiating the organic wastewater containing H₂O₂ with UV light (<280nm) [119, 165]:

\[ H₂O₂ + hν → 2OH \bullet \] (2-35)

Nevertheless, UV/H₂O₂ was proven insufficient for degradation of specific organics like trihalomethanes, halo-acetic acids in drinking water [119]. Furthermore, combining UV irradiation and ozone is another way to enhance oxidation power for organic compounds degradation. The mechanism of this UV/O₃ based AOP is [166, 167]:

\[ O₃ + H₂O + hν → 2OH \bullet + O₂ \] (2-36)

But, neither UV/H₂O₂ nor UV/O₃ may be practical to be used in wastewater treatment plants because of their high energy cost.

There is another combined AOP of UV/H₂O₂/O₃ which is similar to UV/H₂O₂ and UV/O₃. The principle reaction is [168]:

\[ O₃ + H₂O₂ + H₂O + hν → 4OH \bullet + O₂ \] (2-37)

The rate constants of AOPs for gradation of phenol and reactive azo dye are summarized in Table 2.2.
Table 2.2 Rate constants of AOPs for degradation of phenol and reactive azo dye

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AOPs</th>
<th>Rate constants ($k$)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part A: For phenol</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV (254nm)</td>
<td>0.0021 min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[169]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US (300kHz)</td>
<td>0.0008 min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[169]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_3$ (2mg/L)</td>
<td>0.0279 min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[169]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US+UV</td>
<td>0.005 min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[169]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US+O$_3$</td>
<td>0.0326 min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[169]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV+O$_3$</td>
<td>0.0869 min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[169]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US+UV+O$_3$</td>
<td>0.1793 min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[169]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US+H$_2$O$_2$+CuO</td>
<td>0.0149 min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[170]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenton</td>
<td>0.0106 min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[171]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SonoFenton</td>
<td>0.058 min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[171]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV+H$_2$O$_2$</td>
<td>0.0524 min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[172]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photocatalysis</td>
<td>0.433 ppm min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[173]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part B: For reactive azo dye</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV (254nm)</td>
<td>No degradation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US (300kHz)</td>
<td>0.00175</td>
<td>[174]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_3$ (2mg/L)</td>
<td>0.01108</td>
<td>[174]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US+UV</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
<td>[174]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US+O$_3$</td>
<td>0.01674</td>
<td>[174]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV+O$_3$</td>
<td>0.02064</td>
<td>[174]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US+UV+O$_3$</td>
<td>0.02171</td>
<td>[174]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US+H$_2$O$_2$</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>[175]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV+H$_2$O$_2$</td>
<td>0.0124</td>
<td>[175]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photocatalysis</td>
<td>0.0207</td>
<td>[176]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Therefore, among various advanced oxidation processes, photocatalysis is a significant technique for degradation of organics pollutants, due to its high efficiency and low-cost. Meanwhile, because of high efficiency and non-selectivity for various organic compounds in wastewater, chemical oxidation and photochemical oxidation do also have development potential.

Although oxidants like O$_3$ and H$_2$O$_2$ have a wide selective range of organic pollutants, the
oxidizing reactions present much lower rate of degradation and higher operation requirements comparing with free radicals [175]. Therefore, these techniques mentioned above (section 2.5.5) will not be applied in this study.

2.6. Development of Photocatalyst Materials

Photocatalysis has attracted attention since last century, due to its extremely high oxidizing power and the abilities of oxidation and decomposition of organic pollutants. Simple metal oxide materials, such as TiO$_2$ and ZnO, have been proven active, but cannot effectively utilize visible light. Considering the issues of cost and environment, the requirements of development of green photocatalysts should be: (1) doping some element into conventional photocatalysts with wide band gaps, such as TiO$_2$ to form a donor level above a valence band; (2) making a solid solution to control the band structure; (3) employing some element to create a new valence band [177-179]; (4) improving response to green energy (solar, visible light). Doping is an effective method to enhance the visible light activities of TiO$_2$ (or the other well-known materials, such as ZnO, ZnS and WO$_3$). Doping TiO$_2$ with elements, such as Fe [180, 181], Pt [181], and Ag [182], Co [183], was successful in organic degradation under visible light. Moreover, carbon materials, such as carbon aerogel [157], activated carbon [184], carbon nanotube [148, 149], graphene oxide [155], etc., were used for preparation of modified TiO$_2$.

Besides those well-known photocatalysts, other materials such as titanates (ZnTiO$_3$, FeTiO$_3$, Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$, etc.), have also been previously used as photocatalysts, luminescent, lasing materials, solar cells, dielectrics, microwave, and gas sensors [185-187]. These titanates are promising photocatalysts with narrow band gap and photocatalytic activity under visible light; however, they are not employed in organic treatments. Further, introducing new
elements into these titanates may be one potential way to enhance their photocatalytic activities under visible light. On the other hand, developing new photocatalysts is considered as a challenging and promising way to meet the requirements of environmental protection. In recent years, non-metal materials with photocatalytic properties, such as graphene, graphene oxide (GO), graphitic carbon nitride (g-C$_3$N$_4$), and carbon spheres, or their doping products are very attractive for researchers. For instance, Lu, et al. investigated the photocatalytic properties of g-C$_3$N$_4$/TiO$_2$, and found g-C$_3$N$_4$/TiO$_2$ displayed good visible light activity for degradation of rhodamine B [188]. Few studies applied g-C$_3$N$_4$ in degradation of resisted organic pollutants, such as phenol. However, it is still a promising material worth further investigations.

2.7. Development of catalyst Materials for Sulfate Radicals System

Metal-free materials, such as activated carbon, multiwall carbon nanotube and carbon-xerogel, are proven to be able to positively restrict the leaching of Co$^{2+}$ and enhance the organic compounds degradation capacities of cobalt catalysts in sulfate radicals system [113, 189, 190]. However, the critical requirements (e.g. high temperature) of synthesis process are their major limitations. Micro-carbon sphere materials have become very attractive due to their unique spherical shape with a diameter of approximately 1 to 40μm, high density and high strength [191]. Simple synthesis process (hydrothermal method at 160 to 230°C) and inexpensive raw material (glucose) are the extra advantages of micro-carbon sphere materials [191-193]. Researchers were barely using micor-carbon as catalyst supporting materials. However, due to the unique properties of structures, low cost synthesis process, and non-toxic characteristic, micro-carbon sphere materials have significantly development potentials as support materials for cobalt catalysts in sulfate radicals systems.
2.7. Conclusions

Industrial processes, productions, and human activities emit a huge amount of toxic organic pollutants. Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), di-(2-ethylhexyl)-phthalate (DEHP), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), tetrachloroethane, dibutyl phthalate (DBP), toluene, benzene, acetone, dimethylphenol and phenol are identified by EPA and WHO as precedent-controlled harmful pollutants. Various physical and chemical techniques have been applied for removal of toxic organic compounds in wastewater, but, there is not a complete solution for these environmental problems yet. Among these techniques, photocatalysis and chemical oxidation using suitable catalysts have been proven as efficient and cost-effective methods to completely remove or mineralize SOCs. However, the catalysts still need to be improved.

Primary objectives of this literature review were to find suitable techniques for organic compound degradation, and promising catalyst materials for efficient degradation under visible light irradiation. According to vast literatures, the following points were identified:

(1) Phenol is an ideal and logical model for wastewater treatment studies, due to its stability, refractory, and high level in productions and wastewater.

(2) Titanates, such as ZnTiO$_3$, FeTiO$_3$, and Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$, have a narrow band gap and photocatalytic activity under visible light. If suitable element is introduced to them, these titanates should be more effective for organics degradation via photocatalysis or photochemical oxidation.

(3) Nanoscaled zero-valent iron and cobalt have been proven highly effective for decomposing organics via Fenton reaction. However, only a few studies of oxidation of organic pollutants using ZVI were conducted, and hydrolytic cobalt caused
second pollution. Therefore, appropriate support materials (e.g. carbon sphere) and strong oxidants (e.g. PDS and PMS) are proposed to improve ZVI or cobalt contained catalysts for organic degradation.

(4) As a non-metal material, g-C₃N₄ has photocatalytic activity, but has not been used in degradation of resistant organic compounds under UV/visible light irradiation. It would be of great interest to develop metal-free photocatalyst materials for phenol removal.
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Chapter 3: Titanate supported cobalt catalysts for photochemical oxidation of phenol under visible light irradiations

Abstract
Three metal titanates, ZnTiO$_3$ (Zn), FeTiO$_3$ (Fe) and Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$ (Bi), were employed to prepare supported cobalt catalysts for photocatalytic and photochemical oxidation of phenol with peroxymonosulfate (PMS) or peroxydisulfate (PDS) under visible light irradiations. It was found that the oxidation efficiencies varied greatly due to the different photochemical behaviours of various supports. Using PMS, both Co(5%)/Zn and Co(3%)/Bi achieved complete degradation of phenol in 120 min, as compared to 66.9% of phenol removal over Co(5%)/Fe in 150 min. However, those catalysts were found to be not efficient in activation of PDS, in which the best catalyst, Co(5%)/Bi, only provided 66.9% of phenol degradation in 150 min. The effects of the supports were from the varying photophysical properties and their interactions with loaded Co$_3$O$_4$. The stability of Co(5%)/Zn with PMS was further investigated and it was found that the activity remained at 85.4% phenol degradation in the fourth run.

3.1. Introduction
Recently, advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) have been proposed to be an effective strategy for waste water treatment. Compared with conventional methods such as adsorption, filtration, and membrane separation, which mostly transfer the pollution from one phase (liquid) to another (solid), however, AOPs manage to completely destroy organic
molecules to CO₂ and H₂O [1]. That would become more promising when treating high toxic pollutants such as phenol and chlorophenols, which are comparatively more refractory to natural degradation. Therefore, much attention has been paid to AOPs in both academia and industry [2-4].

In general, the active radicals, which are used to attack C-C and C-H bonds in organics, would be produced by external drivers such as ozone (ozonolysis), UV irradiations (photolysis/photocatalysis), and chemical oxidants, etc. Ozonolysis and photolysis, despite of high efficiency, require high energy and critical operation conditions, failing to treat refractory compounds [5]. Photocatalysis has shown its effective and non-selective decomposition to many kinds of pollutants; however, it is still suffering from lower quantum efficiency, especially in visible light region [6-8]. The addition of oxidants can be alternative to UV irradiations to provide extra active radicals. For instance, Fenton (Fe²⁺/H₂O₂) and Fenton-like (Fe³⁺/H₂O₂) reactions could provide powerful hydroxyl radicals for complete oxidation of organics. However, such homogeneous process is limited by the acidic requirement (pH 2–4), high amount of sludge in the coagulation and loss of Fe ions [9].

More recently, sulfate radicals have been suggested to be a substitution to the hydroxyl radicals for overcoming the limitation of Fenton reaction [10, 11]. The sulfate radicals can be generated by the activation of sulfate-based oxidants (PMS, 2KHSO₅•KHSO₄•K₂SO₄ and PDS, K₂S₂O₈) using heat, UV radiation and/or transition metal ions, such as cobalt, iron, and silver. Homogeneous reactions using Co ions to activate PMS were proven to be of high efficiency for oxidation of organic compounds. But, the loss of cobalt from homogeneous processes leads to a priority of metal pollutant, which may cause several health problems such as asthma, pneumonia and other lung problems [12].
investigations have been made on developing heterogeneous oxidation systems as a commercially feasible trial. Yang et al. [13] reported that Co$_3$O$_4$ immobilized on TiO$_2$ (Degussa P25) would show a higher activity and stability in oxidation of 2,4-dichlorophenol. The effects of supports, Al$_2$O$_3$, SiO$_2$ and TiO$_2$, on the activity of supported Co were investigated, and they found that cobalt-support interaction would play a significant role in determining the activity, stability, and photochemical behaviour of the supported Co samples [14]. More recently, Zhang et al. [15] reported that MgO supported Co could serve as an efficient catalyst for activation of PMS to oxidize organic dyes. By combining adsorption and oxidation, Co loaded onto an activated carbon showed enhanced phenol removal and high reusability in heterogeneous reactions [16]. Cobalt exchanged zeolites (ZSM-5, zeolite-A, and zeolite-X) were also prepared and tested for the heterogeneous oxidation of phenol by activation of PMS [5].

It was known that UV would further enhance the efficiency of Co/PMS system [6, 14, 17], therefore, it is of an interest to investigate loading Co onto photo-responsive materials which may induce synergistic effects under UV or visible light irradiation. Titanate, such as ZnTiO$_3$, FeTiO$_3$, and Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$, have been proposed as photocatalysts, luminescent, lasing materials, solar cells, dielectrics, microwave, and gas sensors [18-20]. In this chapter, three titanate materials were employed as Co supports, and their performances in photooxidation of phenol by activation of PMS or PDS under visible light were investigated.

3.2. Experimental

3.2.1. Materials

Powdered zinc titanate (ZnTiO$_3$), iron (II) titanate (FeTiO$_3$), and bismuth (III) titanate
(Bi₄Ti₃O₁₂), were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as supports. Cobalt (II) nitrate hexahydrate (Co(NO₃)₂•6H₂O) from Sigma was used as a Co precursor. Peroxymonosulfate (PMS) and peroxydisulfate (PDS) obtained from Aldrich were used as oxidants. Pure methanol was used as a quenching reagent to stop the reaction in the sample bottles before high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis. Phenol from Aldrich was used to prepare model pollutant solutions.

3.2.2. Preparation of titanate supported cobalt catalysts

Supported cobalt samples were prepared by a wet impregnation method [16]. In a typical process, a fixed amount of titanates (ZnTiO₃, FeTiO₃ and Bi₄Ti₃O₁₂) was added into cobalt nitrate solution and the obtained suspension was stirred for 24 h, and then evaporated whilst stirring at 50 °C. The precipitate was recovered and calcined in air at 500 °C for 6 h with a heating rate of 2.5°C/min. The obtained powders were ground thoroughly and labelled as Co(X%)/Zn, Co(X%)/Fe, or Co(X%)/Bi, where X% represents cobalt weight loading on ZnTiO₃, FeTiO₃ and Bi₄Ti₃O₁₂. In this study, the X values were set at 1, 3, and 5, respectively.

3.2.3. Characterization of catalysts

The crystalline structure of various samples was analyzed using powder X-ray diffraction (XRD). The spectra were obtained on a Bruker D8-Advance X-ray diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å), at accelerating voltage and current of 40 kV and 40 mA, respectively. UV-visible diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS) was recorded on a Jasco V-570 equipped with an integrating sphere, in which BaSO₄ was used as a reference material. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), performed on a Zeiss Neon 40EsB FIBSEM, was used to evaluate the morphology, size and textural information of the
samples. The integrated EDS and elemental mapping (cobalt) were applied to analyze the
dispersion of cobalt in the samples.

3.2.4. Photochemical oxidation of phenol

The aqueous oxidation of phenol was carried out in a 1-L of Pyrex double-jacket reactor. A
water bath connected with a pump was used to maintain the reaction temperature at 30 ±
0.5 °C, and a magnetic stirrer was used to maintain the catalyst or oxidant dispersed
uniformly in reaction solutions. At a typical run, 0.1 g of catalyst (0.5 g/L) was added into
200 mL of 25 ppm phenol solution, and 30 min later, 0.4 g of PDS or PMS (2 g/L) was
added into the stirred solution. The light irradiation was immediately switched on after the
addition of the oxidants. The irradiations were supplied by a MSR 575/2 metal halide lamp
(575 W, Philips). A UV Lee filter was equipped with the lamp to block UV radiation under
380 nm. The UV intensity (315 < λ < 400 nm) was measured to be 60 μW/cm², and the
visible light intensity (λ > 400 nm) was 84 mW/cm². At set time intervals, 1 mL solution
was withdrawn by a syringe and filtered by 0.25 μm Millipore film into HPLC vials which
were filled with 0.5 mL of methanol to quench the oxidation reactions. The concentration
of phenol was analyzed using a Varian HPLC with a UV detector set at λ = 270 nm. A C–18
column was used to separate the organics while the mobile phase of 30% CH₃CN and 70%
water was flowing through the column at a flowrate of 1.5 mL/min.

Reusability of a catalyst was investigated by monitoring the phenol degradation at certain
time using recovered Co(5%)/Zn in different runs. After each run, the catalyst was
collected by filtration, rinsed with plenty of ultra-pure water, and then dried at 80 °C in an
oven overnight. The reaction volume and the oxidant amount were decreased according to
actual catalyst amount collected.
3.3. Results and discussion

3.3.1. Characterization of supported Co samples

Fig. 3.1 shows XRD patterns of the supports and supported Co catalysts. Fig. 3.1 (A) indicates that the support of ZnTiO₃ was of hexagonal structure, and the peaks assigned to rutile TiO₂ were also observed. [21] For the supported sample (curve b), no significant change was found, suggesting no cobalt oxide detectable in XRD profiles. This is possibly due to lower percentage of 5 wt%, and good dispersion of fine Co₃O₄ crystallites. Yang et al. [13] observed XRD peaks of Co₃O₄ and CoTiO₃ in TiO₂ supported Co samples, but in their case, Co/Ti was above 0.1. In previous studies, Co₂O₃ peaks were found in Co/activated-carbon samples [16], however, no cobalt oxides were found in cobalt exchanged zeolite samples [5]. Zhang et al. [15] reported that the XRD peaks of Co₃O₄ (5 wt%, same as the present study) were found in the samples of Co/Al₂O₃, Co/SBA-15, and Co/ZnO, but could not be observed in Co/TiO₂-P25, Co/ZrO, and Co/MgO. Fig. 3.1 (B) shows a clear difference between support of FeTiO₃ and Co(5%)/Fe. The support of FeTiO₃ suggests an ilmenite crystal structure, with the impurity of rutile TiO₂ phase. After loading of Co, the crystal phase was transformed to be Fe₂Ti₃O₉, named as H239 [19]. Similar to Co(5%)/Zn, no cobalt oxide signals were found in the XRD pattern of Co(5%)/Fe. No phase transition was found in Co(5%)/Bi, as shown in Fig. 3.1 (C), and neither the peaks of cobalt oxide were found.
Fig. 3.2 displays the optical properties of various supports and their supported samples. Fig. 3.2 (A) indicates that ZnTiO$_3$ has an absorption threshold of 418 nm. Loading of Co would result in a significant red-shift. With increasing the Co loading from 1 to 3 wt%, the absorption edge would be extended from 508.2 to 708.6 nm. Further increase in the Co loading to 5 wt%, two extra peaks were found at 449 and 737 nm, which were assigned to the signals of Co$_3$O$_4$ [22]. The former peak was attributed to the O$^{2-} \rightarrow$ Co$^{2+}$ charge transfer process, and the latter one was arose from O$^{2-} \rightarrow$ Co$^{3+}$ charge transfer process [22]. The band gap energies of the materials can be estimated by the following equation.

$$(\alpha hv)^n = B(hv - E_g)$$

Where $hv$ is the photon energy, $\alpha$ is the absorption coefficient which can be obtained from the scattering and reflectance spectra according to the Kubelka-Munk theory, $B$ is a constant relative to the material and $n$ is a value that depends on the nature of transition: 2 for a direct allowed transition, 3/2 for direct forbidden transition, and 1/2 for indirect
allowed transition. The \((a h\nu)^n (n = 1/2)\) versus \(h\nu\) extrapolated to \(\alpha = 0\) represents the absorption band gap energy [18]. Fig. 3.2(B) shows the estimations of the band gap energies of ZnTiO\(_3\) and supported catalysts. It was found that pure ZnTiO\(_3\) possesses a band gap of 2.96 eV and with increased Co, the band gap energies decreased to be 2.44, 1.75 and 1.42 eV at 1, 3 and 5% loading of Co, respectively. Fig. 3.3(C) also shows two peaks at 449 and 737 nm in Co modified FeTiO\(_3\), suggesting the presence of Co\(_3\)O\(_4\). The band gap of FeTiO\(_3\) was reported to be 2.58 – 2.90 eV, however, no characteristic peak was observed, due to the intervalence charge transfer between Fe\(^{2+}\) and Ti\(^{4+}\) (Fe\(^{2+}\) + Ti\(^{4+}\) \(\rightarrow\) Fe\(^{3+}\) + Ti\(^{3+}\)) in FeTiO\(_3\) [23]. It is noteworthy that the optical difference from Co loading was also partly due to the crystal phase transition from ilmenite to H239. Fig. 3.2(D) shows the absorption spectra of Bi\(_4\)Ti\(_3\)O\(_{12}\) and its supported Co catalyst. With the estimation by Eq. (1) at \(n = 2\), the band gap energy of Bi\(_4\)Ti\(_3\)O\(_{12}\) was determined to be 3.27 eV, which was very close to the value reported by Pintilie et al. [24] After loading 5 wt% Co onto the support, the band gap energy would decrease to 3.08 eV. Moreover, similar to ZnTiO\(_3\) and FeTiO\(_3\), the characteristic peaks of Co\(_3\)O\(_4\) were observed.
Figure 3.2 UV-visible diffuse reflectance absorption spectra of supports and supported catalysts

Fig. 3.3 presents the SEM images, EDS and Co mapping of the supported Co catalysts. The left of Fig. 3.3(A) shows the SEM image of Co(5%)/Zn, which was aggregation of fine particles < 100 nm. The right part shows the EDS results. Carbon was from the contamination, Zn and Ti from the support, O from both supports and Co$_3$O$_4$, Pt from coating, and Co from the supported Co$_3$O$_4$. To further confirm the distribution of Co, elemental mapping was conducted, as shown in the insert, and that suggests a good dispersion of Co in the prepared catalyst samples. The particle size of FeTiO$_3$ was larger (Fig. 3.2(B)) at about several microns. From the EDS and Co mapping, it was confirmed the good dispersion of Co in the samples. From Fig. 3.3(C), larger particle size was also found in Co(5%)/Bi. The results suggested that Co$_3$O$_4$ would be uniformly dispersed on the surfaces of the three supports.
3.3.2. Photochemical oxidation of phenol

3.3.2.1. Control experiments of oxidation of phenol at various conditions

Preliminary experiments were carried out to test the activation of oxidants by heat or light for phenol degradation. Photocatalytic degradation of phenol using Degussa P25 was also
shown to visualize the experimental condition with light irradiation. Fig. 3.4 shows 17.1, 11.5, 38.4, 30.4, and 47.8% of phenol removals within heat activation of PDS and PMS, light activation of PDS and PMS, and photocatalysis over P25, respectively, in 150 min. PDS and PMS would be activated by heat, irradiation, or metal ions, as shown below.

Figure 3.4 Oxidation of phenol via peroxymonosulfate (PMS); peroxodisulfate (PDS); P25 TiO$_2$ at various conditions. [Phenol: 25 ppm; Temperature: 30$^\circ$C; Oxidants dosage: 2 g/L]

PMS reactions with heat, metal ions or irradiation [5, 6],

\[
M^{n+} + \text{HSO}_5^- \rightarrow M^{(n+1)+} + \text{SO}_4^- + \text{OH}^- \quad (3-2)
\]

\[
\text{HSO}_5^- + \text{hv} \rightarrow \text{SO}_4^- + \text{OH}^+ \quad (3-3)
\]
\[
\text{HSO}_5^- + \text{heat} \rightarrow \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{OH}^- \quad (3-4)
\]
\[
\text{HSO}_5^- + e^- \rightarrow \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{OH}^- \quad (3-5)
\]

PDS reactions with heat, metal ions or irradiation [6, 17],
\[
M^{n+} + \text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-} \rightarrow M^{(n+1)^+} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} \quad (3-6)
\]
\[
\text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-} + h\nu \rightarrow 2\text{SO}_4^{2-} \quad (3-7)
\]
\[
\text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-} + \text{heat} \rightarrow 2\text{SO}_4^{2-} \quad (3-8)
\]
\[
\text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-} + e^- \rightarrow \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} \quad (3-9)
\]

The photocatalysis over P25 follows another reaction as follows. [25]
\[
\text{TiO}_2 + h\nu \rightarrow h^+_b + e^-_{cb} \quad (3-10)
\]

Thus, it was found that only little amount of phenol (< 40%) was removed in 150 min if no catalyst was used to activate oxidants.

3.3.2.2. Oxidation of phenol using PMS activated by supports and supported Co catalysts

Fig. 3.5 shows phenol degradation efficiencies by photocatalysis and photochemical oxidation using ZnTiO\textsubscript{3} and supported Co catalysts. It was found that ZnTiO\textsubscript{3} only showed minor photocatalytic activity, providing 10.1% phenol degradation in 150 min. Loading Co would decrease the photocatalytic efficiency, resulting in 4.8, 6.1, and 6.9% phenol removals in 150 min over Co(1%)/Zn, Co(3%)/Zn, and Co(5%)/Zn, respectively. The photocatalysis of phenol on ZnTiO\textsubscript{3} would be promoted by addition of PMS, achieving 62.8 % phenol degradation in 150 min, which was higher than the numerical sum of photocatalysis of ZnTiO\textsubscript{3} and photochemical oxidation of PMS/irradiation. Loading Co onto ZnTiO\textsubscript{3} would significantly enhance the efficiencies of phenol degradation, and 100% of phenol removal was made in 150 min over Co(1%)/Zn. At increased Co loading, the activity was increased, and the reaction time of complete decomposition of phenol was reduced to be 120 min. Yang et al. [14] systematically studied the photocatalysis and
photochemical oxidation of 2,4-dichlorophenol over TiO$_2$ supported Co. They found that the photocatalytic activity would be decreased with Co loading, but the photochemical oxidation efficiency (with PMS) would increase with increasing Co loading. However, they did not mention if TiO$_2$ would further activate PMS under irradiation to promote the photochemical efficiency. In our case, it was discovered that ZnTiO$_3$ would activate the irradiation/PMS system, and Co loading would further promote the reaction rate.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 3.5** Photocatalytic and/or photochemical oxidation of phenol by ZnTiO$_3$ and its supported Co catalysts under visible light irradiations.

Fig. 3.6 shows the degradation of phenol using FeTiO$_3$ and supported Co catalysts with addition of PMS. The support showed minor photocatalysis, removing 3.9% of phenol in 150 min. Different from ZnTiO$_3$, loading Co on FeTiO$_3$ would slightly enhance the
photocatalytic activity of FeTiO₃, and the phenol degradation became 6.4, 6.9 and 11.6% at 1, 3 and 5% Co loading, respectively. Few studies have been conducted using FeTiO₃ as a photocatalyst. Kim et al. [26] found that pure FeTiO₃ showed minor activity, but the heterojunction of FeTiO₃/TiO₂ would greatly enhance the photocatalytic activity. From XRD it was found that the crystal structure of FeTiO₃ was transformed to Fe₂Ti₃O₉ after Co loading, which might form heterojunction with Co₃O₄ and then become a photocatalyst. It was also found that FeTiO₃ would inhibit the light activation of PMS, resulting in a lower efficiency. That would be attributed to its colour in black and broad light absorption, as shown in Fig. 3.2(C), such characteristics prevented PMS adsorbing light. Moreover, the conversion of Fe²⁺→Fe³⁺ would also consume the active radicals, leading to lower oxidation efficiency. Loading Co onto FeTiO₃ would greatly increase the oxidation efficiency, which was gradually enhanced at increased Co loading. However, FeTiO₃ showed less effective than ZnTiO₃. Only 66.9% phenol removal was made in 150 min by Co(5%)/Fe, much inferior to 100% phenol removal in 120 min over Co(5%)/Zn.
Fig. 3.6 Photocatalytic and/or photochemical oxidation of phenol by FeTiO$_3$ and its supported Co catalysts under visible light irradiations.

Fig. 3.7 shows the oxidation performances of Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$ and its supported Co catalysts. The pure Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_7$ could decompose 10.1% of phenol in 150 min in photocatalysis, which was the same as ZnTiO$_3$ and higher than that on FeTiO$_3$. Yao et al. [27] reported that Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$ was an effective photocatalyst. The bond angle of Ti-O-Ti in Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$ forming an intra-electric field would promote the separation of photoinduced electron-hole pairs. However, once cobalt was loaded onto Bi$_4$O$_3$Ti$_{12}$, the photocatalytic activity was completely lost. This photocatalytic behaviour was similar to ZnTiO$_3$ as shown before. It was also found that the degradation rate was maintained unchanged after addition of the support into PMS/light system. Supported Co catalysts would further activate PMS/irradiation system and 3 wt% Co loading was found to be the optimum, providing complete destruction of phenol in 120 min, which was comparable to the activity on
Co(5%)/Zn.

Figure 3.7 Photocatalytic and/or photochemical oxidation of phenol by Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$ and its supported Co catalysts under visible light irradiations.

The photocatalysis was not found to be efficient over the three supports and the supported catalysts. The main contribution to phenol removal was attributed to the photochemical oxidation with PMS. However, the supports exhibited great influence on the degradation efficiency of the supported catalysts. A pseudo first order kinetics for photochemical oxidation of phenol was employed in the systems to compare different efficiencies of the investigated reactions.

\[
\ln C/C_0 = kt
\]  

(3-11)

Where \( k \) is the apparent first order rate constant of phenol degradation, \( C \) is the constant concentration of phenol during reaction, \( C_0 \) is the initial concentration, and \( t \) is the reaction
time. Table 3.1 lists the apparent reaction rate constants and regression coefficients of the reactions. For the supports themselves, FeTiO$_3$ was found to inhibit the UV activation of PMS, Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$ showed slight enhance, and ZnTiO$_3$ would significantly promote the photochemical oxidation of phenol. Loading Co onto the supports would greatly enhance the activity of photochemical oxidation. Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$ as a support provided the best activity, and FeTiO$_3$ was not effective to be a good support. Zhang et al. [15] suggested that the supports of Co would significantly influence the activities of a supported catalyst in activation of PMS. They found that MgO was the best in their case, and the activities followed the order of MgO > ZnO > P25 > ZrO$_2$ > Al$_2$O$_3$ > SBA-15. They proposed that the differences in efficiencies were attributed to the surface hydroxyl species which might favour the formation of CoOH$^+$ intermediate accelerating the generation of sulphate radicals from PMS. In the present study, we focused on the photochemical behaviours of various photosensitive catalysts. The worst activity of FeTiO$_3$ supported catalysts was firstly attributed to the broad absorption from UV to visible light. Secondly, the photocatalytic activity would be lower in the activation of PMS. It was known that, as shown in Eq. (3-11), the photocatalysis of FeTiO$_3$ can produce $e^-_{CB}$, which may react with surface hydroxyl species [25],

$$e^-_{CB} + \text{CoOH}^+ \rightarrow \text{CoOH}^+$$  \hspace{1cm} (3-12)

resulting in lower production of CoOH$^-$. Although OH$^*$ itself is an active radical and ready for decomposing organics, it has lower oxidation activity than the sulphate radical due to its low oxidation potential of 1.7eV [5].

However, we cannot conclude that the photocatalysts are not good supports for Co materials. Yang et al. [14] suggested the best efficiency of Co/TiO$_2$ in photochemical activation of PMS. A number of parameters including band positions of the support and cobalt oxide, surface radicals, multiple-activation (heat, UV, Co, and $e^-$) of PMS, would
interact together to determine the photochemical behaviours of the supported Co catalysts.

**Table 3.1** Comparison of the efficiencies of photochemical oxidation of phenol by PMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactions</th>
<th>Apparent rate constant /min(^{-1})</th>
<th>Regression coefficient (R(^2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMS+light</td>
<td>0.00286</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnTiO(_3)+light+PMS</td>
<td>0.00704</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(1%)/Zn+light+PMS</td>
<td>0.01285</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(3%)/Zn+light+PMS</td>
<td>0.01864</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(5%)/Zn+light+PMS</td>
<td>0.02064</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeTiO(_3)+light+PMS</td>
<td>0.00255</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(1%)/Fe+light+PMS</td>
<td>0.00440</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(3%)/Fe+light+PMS</td>
<td>0.00504</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(5%)/Fe+light+PMS</td>
<td>0.00758</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi(_4)Ti(_3)O(_12)+light+PMS</td>
<td>0.00317</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(1%)/Bi+light+PMS</td>
<td>0.01077</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(3%)/Bi+light+PMS</td>
<td>0.03880</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(5%)/Bi+light+PMS</td>
<td>0.03200</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.2.3. Oxidation of phenol using PDS activated by various supported catalysts

The performances of the supports and supported catalysts in photochemical oxidation of phenol using PDS were also investigated. Fig. 3.8 shows the photochemical efficiencies of ZnTiO\(_3\) and supported Co activating PDS in degradation of phenol. The system of ZnTiO\(_3\)/irradiation/PDS showed the most efficient degradation in this investigation and 45.6% of phenol removal was obtained. This degradation was not comparable to those systems with PMS. The supported Co catalysts showed less activity compared to the pure ZnTiO\(_3\) and the efficiencies were even lower than light activation of PDS.
Figure 3.8 Photocatalytic and/or photochemical oxidation of phenol by ZnTiO$_3$ and its supported Co catalysts with PDS under visible light irradiations.

Fig. 3.9 shows the performances of FeTiO$_3$ and its supported Co catalysts in photochemical oxidation of phenol with PDS. It was observed that addition of FeTiO$_3$ into PDS/irradiation system would almost diminish the light activation of PDS. After loading Co on the support, the activity of phenol degradation would be gradually recovered. At 5% Co loading, the activity could reach 45.2% of phenol removal in 150 min, better than PDS/irradiation in degradation of phenol.
Figure 3.9 Photocatalytic and/or photochemical oxidation of phenol by FeTiO₃ and its supported Co catalysts with PDS under visible light irradiations.

Fig. 3.10 shows that Bi₄Ti₃O₁₂ hardly influenced the irradiation/PDS system, suggesting no reactions between Bi₄Ti₃O₁₂ and PDS. The supported Co would increase the degradation rate of phenol and 66.9% of phenol degradation was achieved in 150 min, suggesting better performance of Bi₄Ti₃O₁₂ being as an support than either FeTiO₃ or ZnTiO₃.
Figure 3.10 Photocatalytic and/or photochemical oxidation of phenol by Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$ and its supported Co catalysts with PDS under visible light irradiations.

Shukla et al. [28] previously reported that ZnO would promote the degradation efficiency of phenol in PDS/UV-visible, higher than that of TiO$_2$. This was attributed to the varying photophysical properties of ZnO and TiO$_2$. The photochemical behaviours of various supports in activation of PDS indicated that ZnTiO$_3$ would activate PDS, while FeTiO$_3$ would quench the light activation of PDS, and that Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$ would only slightly influence the PDS activation. The loading of Co$_3$O$_4$ also posed different effects on the supports: it would gradually increase the photoactivity over FeTiO$_3$ and Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$ at increased Co loading, but inhibit the activity of ZnTiO$_3$. 
3.3.2.4. Reusability of Co(5%)/Zn in PMS reaction

Fig. 3.11 shows the reusability of Co(5%)/Zn in photochemical oxidation of phenol with PMS. It was found that the ZnTiO$_3$ supported Co showed good stability and maintained the activity at 96.0, 87.6 and 85.4% in the second, third and fourth runs, respectively. Previous studies of supported cobalt catalysts for activation of PMS have indicated varying stability of the catalysts. Chu et al. [29] reported that the reusability of the supported cobalt depended on the supports. Co/Zeolite showed poor stability and degradation efficiency of monuron only remained 30% at the second run after UV regeneration. Zhang et al. [15] tested Co/MgO for dye decomposition and found that the activity of the catalyst dropped slightly in three runs. Compared to our previous studies, the stability of Co(5%)/Zn was less than Co/activated- carbon [16], but slightly higher than Co/ZSM-5 [5]. That suggested that ZnTiO$_3$ supported Co can be a stable catalyst for phenol removal by photochemical oxidation.

![Figure 3.11](image)

Figure 3.11 Reusability of Co(5%)/Zn in photochemical oxidation of phenol with PMS.
3.3.2.5. Mechanism of synergistic effects

PMS was known to undergo degradation upon UV (λ < 260 nm) and PDS would be also activated under UV (200 < λ < 310 nm) [6]. In this investigation, UV at wavelength shorter than 280 nm was not detectable, and UV between 315 to 400 nm was detected to be 60 μW/cm², thus the UV activation of PMS and PDS was minimised. However, PDS can be activated by longer wavelength light, it showed higher phenol degradation efficiency than PMS, which was confirmed in Fig. 3.4. When catalysts participate in the reactions, a complicated process of electron transfer would occur. Fig. 3.12 illustrates the band edges of cobalt oxide and supports, as well as the redox potentials of PMS and PDS oxidants [10, 30, 31]. As shown in Eq. (3-10), a semiconductor is activated by light, an electron-hole pair will be produced with e⁻ jumping to conduction band (CB) and h⁺ left at valence band (VB). All the VB and CB levels of the supports are higher than those of Co₃O₄, making it thermodynamically possible to transfer charges to Co₃O₄. The activation of PMS or PDS would mostly take place on the surface of Co₃O₄, the activated sulphate radicals will be influenced by the photogenerated charges [28].

\[
\begin{align*}
SO_4^{2-} + e^- & \rightarrow SO_4^{2-} \quad (3-13) \\
SO_4^{2-} + h^+ & \rightarrow SO_4^{+} \quad (3-14)
\end{align*}
\]

Eq. (3-13) would be detrimental to the oxidation efficiency, and Eq. (3-14) would improve the degradation rate. In other words, a lower CB edge and higher VB edge of a support would favour the improvement of phenol degradation efficiency. This is well accordance with the experimental results, which showed the efficiencies in an order of Co (X%)/Bi > Co (X%)/Zn > Co (X%)/Fe with PMS.
On the other hand, the instinct feature of supports would also affect the photochemical oxidation reactions with PMS and light irradiations, which presents as ZnTiO$_3$ > Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$ > FeTiO$_3$. Due to lower photocatalytic efficiencies, those effects from the supports were not strong enough to be dominant in the overall photochemical oxidation with PMS.

However, in the reactions containing PDS, the supports were found to play more crucial role in determination of the overall efficiencies. ZnTiO$_3$ improved the efficiency of PDS/light system, and the loading of Co would gradually decrease the efficiency. FeTiO$_3$ would quench the activation of PDS by light, possibly due to the conversion of Fe$^{2+}$ → Fe$^{3+}$, which consumed the active sulphate radicals. After the loading of cobalt, FeTiO$_3$ was
transformed to be Fe$_2$Ti$_3$O$_9$, as shown in Fig. 3.1(B). The loaded cobalt would regenerate the oxidation efficiency without the conversion of Fe$^{2+}$ → Fe$^{3+}$. Similar to ZnTiO$_3$, Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$ would slightly increase the phenol removal in PDS/light system. However, loading cobalt would further improve the oxidation efficiency.

3.4. Conclusions

Cobalt supported on titanates, ZnTiO$_3$, FeTiO$_3$ and Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$, were proven as efficient catalysts for photochemical oxidation of phenol by activation of PMS and PDS. The cobalt was suggested to be in the form of Co$_3$O$_4$, which would strongly influence the photocatalysis and photochemical behaviours in activation of sulphate oxidants of PMS and PDS. Co$_3$O$_4$ decreased the photocatalytic activity of ZnTiO$_3$ and Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$, but enhanced the activity of FeTiO$_3$. Generally, loading of Co$_3$O$_4$ would promote the photochemical efficiency in phenol removal by activation of PMS, in which Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$ supported catalysts showed the best activities. Although the efficiencies of the titanate supported Co in activation of PDS were not as high as PMS, Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$ supported catalysts still provided the best activity. ZnTiO$_3$ supported Co was selected to check the reusability and the results showed that this catalyst was stable in multiple runs of phenol degradation with PMS.
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Chapter 4: Nano-Fe$^0$ Encapsulated in Microcarbon Spheres: Synthesis, Characterization, and Environmental Applications

Abstract
Nanoscaled zerovalent iron (ZVI) encapsulated in carbon spheres (nano-Fe$^0$@CS) were prepared via a hydrothermal carbonization method, using glucose and iron(III) nitrate as precursors. The properties of the nano-Fe$^0$@CS were investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD), thermogravimetric analysis-differential scanning calorimetry (TGA-DSC), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms. Nano-Fe$^0$@CS was demonstrated, for the first time, as an effective material in activating Oxone (peroxymonosulfate, PMS) for the oxidation of organic pollutants. It was found that the efficiency of nano-Fe$^0$@CS was higher than ZVI particles, iron ions, iron oxides, and a cobalt oxide. The mechanism of the high performance was discussed. The structure of the nano-Fe$^0$@CS leads to not only high efficiency in the activation of PMS, but also good stability. This study extended the application of ZVI from reductive destruction of organics to oxidative degradation of organics by providing a green material for environmental remediation due to its good stability in the processes of organics degradation.
4.1. Introduction

Iron is a low-cost, naturally abundant, and environmentally friendly material that has been widely applied in remediation of various contaminants in water [1, 2] or soil [3]. There were extensive studies of zerovalent iron (ZVI) for use in the decontamination of halogenated organics [3, 4] as well as applications as nitro aromatic compounds [5], dyes [6], pesticides [7], nitrates [1], and heavy metals [8, 9]. In these applications, ZVI was exclusively used as a strong reductant, and relative reactions can be described as shown below [1, 5, 10].

\[
Fe^0 + RX + H^+ \rightarrow RH + Fe^{2+} + X^- \quad (4-1)
\]

\[
3Fe^0 + ArNO_2 + 6H^+ \rightarrow ArNO_2 + 3Fe^{2+} + 2H_2O \quad (4-2)
\]

\[
TiO_2(h^+) + HO_{ad} \rightarrow TiO_2 + HO_{ad}^* \quad (4-3)
\]

\[
2Fe^0 + 3Pb^{3+} + 4H_2O \rightarrow +3Pb^0 + 2FeOOH + 6H^+ \quad (4-4)
\]

However, the reduction performance of ZVI fails to facilitate the degradations of those organics that cannot directly receive electrons, such as phenol [11, 12]. To overcome the barrier of contaminant dependence, it is highly desirable to develop oxidation reactions using ZVI [13]. Compared to the extensive investigations of reactive reduction, only a few oxidations of organic pollutants using ZVI have been conducted. The oxidation was generally initiated by the reactivity of ZVI with dissolved oxygen [13, 14], hydrogenperoxide [15-17], or persulfate [18-22]. The former two oxidations proceed in the following mechanism [14].

\[
Fe^0 + O_2 + 2H^+ \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \quad (4-5)
\]

\[
Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + HO^- + OH^- \quad (4-6)
\]
The activation of persulfate (peroxydisulfate, PDS) for oxidation of organic pollutants can be obtained via the following reactions [19, 21, 22]:

To the best of our knowledge, there is no study using ZVI to activate peroxymonosulfate (PMS, commercially known as Oxone; 2KHSO$_5$·KHSO$_4$·K$_2$SO$_4$), although a ferrous PMS system has been reported [23].

\[
Fe^0 + O_2 + 2H_2O \rightarrow 2Fe^{2+} + 4OH^- \quad (4-7)
\]

\[
Fe^{2+} + S_2O_8^{2-} \rightarrow SO_4^{2-} + SO_4^{-} + Fe^{3+} \quad (4-8)
\]

Recently, it was found that nanoscale ZVI can show a higher activity in reduction reactions, when compared to conventional microscale ZVI particles [1, 4, 10]. Nanoscaled Fe$^0$ generally offers high surface-area-to-volume ratios, high specific surface area, and high surface reactivity. But it favors strong aggregation into microscale particles, because of high surface energy and intrinsic magnetic interaction [24]. Thus, many materials have been employed as supports for nano-Fe$^0$ for a better Fe distribution, including polystyrene resin [25], alumina [26], bentonite [27], kaolinite [28], zeolite [29], carbon black [30], activated carbon [31], carbon nanotubes [32], and carbon spheres [33]. Most of supported Fe$^0$ was prepared by a liquid-phase reduction method using borohydride salt [25-29, 31, 32]. However, the N$_2$ atmosphere, vacuum operation, high cost of borohydride, and the production of large volume of hydrogen make such a process complex and cost-intensive. Furthermore, without calcination, the mechanical stability of nano-Fe$^0$ on supports would be an additional issue that might influence the dispersion and mechanical strength of ZVI. Hoch et al. reported that ZVI nanoparticles could be prepared by the reduction of carbon black, which was also used as a support material, under Ar flow at a calcination of above 600 °C [30]. In supported nano-Fe$^0$, nanoparticles are exposed on the surface of the supports. Another concern of nano-Fe$^0$ is the
stability in air [23, 30, 34]. Encapsulation of nano-Fe\(^0\) into porous carbon spheres was suggested to be a promising way for enhancement of transportation, suspension, and stability of nanoscaled ZVI without significantly sacrificing activity [3, 19, 35].

In this chapter, nanoscaled Fe\(^0\) (ca. 10 nm) encapsulated in microscale carbon spheres (6–8 \(\mu\)m) was synthesized via an in situ formation from a glucose-induced hydrocarbonization, followed by a self-reduction. For the first time, the activation of PMS using nanoscaled ZVI for the oxidation of phenol solutions was discovered. Supported cobalt/PMS were extensively investigated in our previous studies [11, 12, 36-38], while cobalt is recognized as a priority pollutant that may cause many health issues [36]. The proposed nano-ZVI/PMS system exhibits superiority in the prevention of metal leaching.

4.2. Experimental

4.2.1. Fabrication of Nano-Fe\(^0\)@Microcarbon Spheres

The nano-Fe\(^0\)@CS materials were prepared via a green chemistry route without using any toxic compounds. Scheme 4.1 shows the formation process of the material. In a typical synthesis, 7.24g of D-glucose (99.5%, Sigma) and 4.62g of iron (III) nitrate nonahydrate (98%, Sigma–Aldrich) were dissolved in 80 mL of ultrapure water, and the mixture was then stirred for 4 h. The mixed solution was then transferred into a Teflon-lined autoclave (120mL) and treated in an oven at 180°C for 18h. After cooling to room temperature, the obtained black suspension was filtered and washed by ethanol/water for three cycles. The precipitate was dried in an oven at 80°C, and the obtained sample was labelled as Fe\(^x\)@CS-f. The dried sample was further annealed in N\(_2\) atmosphere in a tubular furnace at 350, 550, or 750°C for 2h, and the samples were denoted as Fe\(^x\)@CS-350, Fe\(^0\)@CS-550, and Fe\(^0\)@CS-750,
Unsupported nanoscaled Fe$^0$ particles were prepared by reduction of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanopowder (<50 nm, Aldrich) under 10% H$_2$ in Ar at 550 °C for 6 h. The obtained ZVI aggregated into 2–5 μm particles. The prepared sample was marked as HR-Fe$^0$. A commercial iron powder (45–150 μm, Chem Supply), denoted as commercial Fe$^0$, was used as a reference material. Iron oxide (Fe$_2$O$_3$) and cobalt oxide (Co$_3$O$_4$) were obtained via the thermal decomposition of iron (III) nitrate and cobalt (II) nitrate, respectively.

4.2.2. Materials Characterization

The crystalline structure of samples was analyzed by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Bruker D8-Avance X-ray diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å). The iron content and thermal stability of Fe$_3$@CS and reference materials were investigated using thermogravimetric analysis–differential scanning calorimetry (TGA-DSC) in argon or air on a Mettler-Toledo Stare system. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were acquired from a Bruker instrument, using an ATR mode. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS), and iron elemental mapping, performed on a Zeiss
Neon 40EsB FIBSEM, were used to evaluate the morphology, size, and texture information of the samples. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was applied, using a JEOL 2011 TEM instrument. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area and pore size distribution were evaluated by nitrogen sorption at -196 °C, using a Quantachrome Autosorb AS-1 system. The samples were evaporated under vacuum at 200 °C for 4h prior to the adsorption measurements.

4.2.3. Adsorption and Catalytic Oxidation

Oxidation of phenol solutions using ZVI with sulfate radicals was performed in a 1-L double-jacket reactor. The reaction temperature was maintained at 30°C, using recycling water driven by a pump. In a typical run, 0.1 g of material was added into 200 mL of 20 ppm phenol solution. After stirring for 10 min, 0.4 g of Oxone was added into the mixed solution to start the reaction. At a set time interval, 1 mL of solution was withdrawn by a syringe and filtered through a Millipore film. The filtered solution was then injected into a vial, which was filled with 0.5mL of methanol as a quenching reagent. Unsupported ZVI reaction was performed using an equivalent iron loading in Fe$^0@$CS-550 at 0.115 g/L. Homogeneous iron/PMS system for phenol degradation was carried out using Fe (II) or Fe (III) ion solutions. In recycled experiments, used catalyst was collected by filtration, washed by water, and dried at 80 °C in air for reuse.

Adsorption experiments were carried out in a manner similar to the oxidation reactions, without the addition of oxidants and a quenching reagent.

The concentration of phenol solution was analyzed by a high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, Varian) with a UV detector set at $\lambda = 270$nm. A C-18 column was
used to separate the organics while the mobile phase, with a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min, was composed of 20% CH₃CN and 80% water.

4.3. Results and Discussion

4.3.1. Characterization of Nano- Fe⁰@CS

Figure 4.1 shows X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of three different samples containing zero-valent iron, nano-Fe⁰@CS-550, HR-Fe⁰, and commercial Fe⁰ particles. The latter two samples showed a pure α-Fe with a body-centered cubic (bcc) crystalline structure, with Fe(110) at 2θ = 44.7° and Fe(200) at 2θ = 64.9°. No peaks assigned to iron oxides were observed [39]. The intensities of the peaks on HR-Fe⁰ were much stronger than those of commercial Fe⁰ particles. In the pattern of nano-Fe⁰@CS-550, besides the peaks of Fe⁰, a peak at 26.3° was observed, which was due to the (100) face of graphitic carbon [30]. Another weak peak at 35.6° was possibly due to the (311) face of Fe₃O₄ [30, 39]. XRD patterns of Feⁿ@CS-f and Feⁿ@CS-350 did not have peaks that could be assigned to ZVI.
Figure 4.1 XRD patterns of nano Fe\textsuperscript{0}@CS-550, HR Fe\textsuperscript{0}, and commercial Fe\textsuperscript{0}.

Figure 4.2 displays TGA profiles of Fe\textsuperscript{x}@CS-f and ZVI samples in Ar or air. Figure 4.2A shows the phase transformation of iron species and the desorption performance, under an argon atmosphere, of the fresh sample of Fe\textsuperscript{x}@CS-f. Below 100 °C, only adsorbed water or ethanol was removed. Above 100°C, three endothermic peaks were observed, at 167, 381, and 521°C, respectively. The first peak arose from the transformation of amorphous Fe(OH)\textsubscript{3} to FeOOH \[40\], which was also indicated by XRD results. Fe\textsuperscript{x}@CS-f and Fe\textsuperscript{x}@CS-350 did not show any iron (oxide) peaks. The second endothermic peak at 381 °C was attributed to the transformation of FeOOH (or Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}) to Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}, and the peak at 521°C was from the reduction of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} to Fe\textsuperscript{0} \[41\]. Figure 4.2B shows the combustion performance of Fe\textsuperscript{x}@CS-f. Exothermic peaks from 200°C to 450°C were from the decomposition and combustion of
organics and amorphous carbon. Weight loss was determined to be stabilized at 15.0% after 444°C, indicating the complete combustion of carbon and oxidation of iron with a final product of Fe₂O₃. The elemental iron loading on Fe²⁺@CS-f was then calculated to be 10.5%. The elemental iron loadings in Fe²⁺@CS-350, Fe⁰@CS-550, and Fe⁰@CS-750 were determined based on their TGA profiles to be 14.2%, 22.9%, and 28.6%, respectively.
Figure 4.2 TGA profiles of Fe@CS-f under (A) argon and (B) air, and (C) TGA comparison
of three ZVI samples under air.

Figure 4.2C shows the weight changes of commercial Fe⁰ powders, HR- Fe⁰, and nano-Fe⁰@CS-550. Weight increases of the first two samples were observed, indicating the oxidation of ZVI to iron oxides. Weight increase on commercial Fe⁰ started at 284.9°C, while it started at 181.4°C on HR-Fe⁰. It suggested that the smaller size of ZVI is more easily oxidized in air. It was interesting to see that the weight remained unchanged on Fe⁰@CS until the temperature reached 296.2°C, suggesting a better stability of Fe⁰ on Fe⁰@CS.

Figure 4.3 shows FTIR spectra of prepared iron@CS samples annealed at different temperatures. The fresh sample of Feₓ@ CS-f without calcination presented many organic functional groups. The band at 1685 cm⁻¹ was attributed to C=O vibrations, and the peak of 1598 cm⁻¹ was assigned to C=C vibrations. The band at 1019 cm⁻¹ possibly arose from C–O stretching vibration, 1309 cm⁻¹ was from O–H bonding vibration, and 1360 cm⁻¹ indicated the presence of O–C=O. The band at 797 cm⁻¹ was due to aromatic C–H out-of-plane bending vibrations, while the band at 2998 cm⁻¹ was from stretching vibrations of aliphatic C–H. The band at 3329 corresponded to stretching vibrations of O–H. The results suggested that dehydration and aromatization occurred during the hydrothermal carbonization of glucose [42]. Calcination at 350°C significantly reduced the intensities of the bands, indicating a further carbonization of the carbon spheres under N₂ atmosphere. In the spectrum of Feₓ@CS-350, two new bands at 877 and 743 cm⁻¹ were observed, indicating the formation of FeOOH [43]. Calcination at 550 °C under N₂ would remove most of the organic groups, while the band at 1598 cm⁻¹ assigned to C=C vibrations was still clear.
Figure 4.3 Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of Fe\textsuperscript{0}@CS-f (spectrum a), Fe\textsuperscript{0}@CS-350 (spectrum b), and Fe\textsuperscript{0}@CS-550 (spectrum c).

Figure 4.4 shows SEM images of Fe\textsuperscript{0}@CS-f, Fe\textsuperscript{0}@CS-350, Fe\textsuperscript{0}@CS-550, and Fe\textsuperscript{0}@CS-750. It was found that the prepared iron–carbon composites showed typical microspherical morphology. It is noted that the size of the carbon sphere is dramatically dependent on the synthesis conditions, such as glucose concentration, temperature and hydrothermal time [42]. In this study, a certain glucose concentration and hydrothermal condition were applied, and uniform carbon nanospheres can be obtained at the nanoscale level. The addition of an iron precursor significantly increased the size of carbon spheres, compared to bare spheres.
Figure 4.4 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of (a) Fe\textsuperscript{x}@ CS-f, (b) Fe\textsuperscript{x}@CS-350, (c) Fe\textsuperscript{0}@CS-550, and (d) Fe\textsuperscript{0}@CS-750.
As a catalyst, microscale particles would be beneficial to easy separation. In this study, the size of the carbon sphere was ~6–8μm. Besides the microspheres, some fine particles (50–200nm) were found to attach to large spheres. Similar observation was reported by Yu et al [44]. In their study, FeₓOᵧ was encapsulated in carbon spheres ca. 6μm in size. Individual energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) analysis focusing on large spheres (0.23 at.% Fe) and fine particles (0.32 at.% Fe) showed similar iron atomic contents in Fe⁰@CS-550. The detected Fe loading was much lower than that from TGA, indicating that iron was encapsulated into carbon. It was also found that calcination did not influence the spherical morphology. However, high-resolution SEM focusing on the surface of spheres showed that a porous structure was developed during calcination.
Figure 4.5 shows TEM images of Fe@CS-f and Fe@CS-550. For analysis, the samples were thoroughly ground to crush the large spheres into fine particles. It was seen that the large carbon spheres were aggregated by many nanoscale carbon particles (50–200nm). The nanoscale carbon showed a core/shell-like structure, in which the core of ZVI was ~5–10nm in diameter. Therefore, the nanoscale ZVI was evenly distributed and encapsulated within the spherelike carbon. The size of ZVI supported by polystyrene resins was found to be 5–20nm, whereas Fe loadings were 4–14.5wt %.25 The size of ZVI supported by kaolinite was characterized to be 44.3nm at a Fe loading of 20wt %.28 In NaY zeolite supported ZVI, the size was determined to be 50–100nm at a Fe loading of 1.8wt %.29 In ZVI supported by activated carbon, at a Fe loading of 8.2wt %, the iron particles showed a needle-like morphology, with dimensions of 30–500nm × 1000–2000nm. It was recalled that, in this study, the Fe loading was 22.9wt % in Fe@CS-550. At such a high Fe content, Fe was still confined to be a very tiny size (5–10nm). Compared to other supported ZVI, which was
distributed at the surface of supports, the formation of smaller-sized Fe$^0$ in our samples was attributed to the three-dimensional (3D) distribution of in-situ-formed carbon spheres. In the hydrothermal carbonization processes, a great variety of glucose reactions would take place and result in a complex mixture of organic compounds. At an increasing temperature, some aromatic compounds and oligosaccharides would form and then lead to a short single burst of nucleation. The nuclei then grew up uniformly and isotropically by diffusion of solutes toward the particle surfaces [42, 45]. Once iron nitrate was introduced, the nuclei of Fe$_x$O$_y$ would first appear. Then, Fe$_x$O$_y$ would act as the nuclei for the subsequent formation of carbon spheres, because of the Coulombic interaction with the surface functional groups on carbon colloids. The produced Fe$_x$O$_y$ -in-C nano-rods would then be self-assembled to Fe$_x$O$_y$@C spheres via further intermolecular dehydration [35]. Therefore, large spheres and a homogeneous distribution of iron were fabricated [44].
Figure 4.6 (A) N$_2$ adsorption/desorption isotherms of Fe$^x$@CS-f and Fe$^0$@CS-550 and (B) their pore size distributions.

Figure 4.6 shows N$_2$ adsorption/desorption isotherms of Fe$^x$@CS-f and Fe$^0$@CS-550 and pore size distributions. The specific surface area (S$_{BET}$) of Fe$^x$@CS-f was calculated to be 24.1 m$^2$/g. After calcination at 550°C, the S$_{BET}$ value of Fe$^0$@CS-550 was significantly enhanced to be 414.4 m$^2$/g. The specific surface area was higher than that of ZVI supported by carbon black (130 m$^2$/g) [30], and also higher than another ZVI supported by carbon spheres (221 m$^2$/g), which was activated at 1000 °C [33]. Referring to the International Union of Pure Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) classification, the adsorption isotherms of the samples were type IV and exhibited a type H$_2$ hysteresis loop. A hysteresis loop at p/p$_0$ = 0.4–0.9 was observed on Fe$^0$@CS-550, indicating the presence of a mesoporous structure [46, 47]. The pore volumes of Fe$^x$@CS-f and Fe$^0$@CS-550 were 0.040 and 0.284 cm$^3$/g, respectively. The size
of their pores was similar centered at 6–7nm. The increased pore volume and BET surface area after calcination were ascribed to the desorption of organic substances and partial oxidation of carbon by Fe₃O₇. The calcination would first desorb organic compounds and surface groups from the in situ formation of carbon spheres. Higher temperature led to the reduction reactions of FeₓOᵧ, and carbon around iron oxide was oxidized to CO₂. Such reactions might act as activation processes for producing mesoporous pores in the carbon spheres.

### 4.3.2. Adsorption and Activation Performance of Nano-Fe⁰@CS

Figure 4.7 shows the adsorption performances of iron (oxide)@CS, acquired from same procedure to oxidation without the addition of Oxone. The samples of Feₓ@CS-f and Feₓ@CS-350 only presented a minor adsorption of phenol, giving 6% of phenol adsorption at 20ppm in 60min. The low adsorption was due to the small S_{BET} value and pore volume. Calcination under N₂ would lead to further carbonization of the carbon spheres and desorption of the organic species, thereby resulted in a larger specific surface area. The reduction between iron oxide and carbon for the formation of ZVI would also enlarge the pore volume of the carbon spheres. As a result, Fe⁰@CS-550 showed a phenol adsorption of 21%, while Fe⁰@CS-750 gave 30% phenol adsorption under the same conditions.
Figure 4.7 Adsorption of phenol on iron@CS at different calcination temperatures.
[Conditions: phenol amount, 20 ppm; temperature, 30°C; catalyst concentration, 0.5 g/L.]

Figure 4.8 shows the oxidation of phenol solutions using iron/carbon annealed at different temperatures. Fe₈@CS-f was able to degrade 34.8% of phenol at 60 min, while Fe₈@CS-350 only removed 8.1% of phenol at the same time. As shown by TGA, the iron species in Fe₈@CS-f was iron(III) hydroxide, then the phenol oxidation was possibly due to the activation of PMS by Fe(III). Calcination at 350 °C might convert iron(III) hydroxide to be FeOOH or Fe₂O₃, resulting in a lower degradation rate. When the calcination temperature reached 520 °C or above, iron oxides would be reduced by carbon to ZVI. Therefore, Fe₀@CS-550 and Fe₀@CS-750 showed similar activity in the degradation of phenol with PMS. Both samples were able to completely decompose phenol in 15 min.
Figure 4.8 Effect of calcination temperature on the activity of oxidation of phenol with PMS. [Conditions: phenol amount, 20ppm; temperature, 30°C; PMS concentration, 2g/L; catalyst concentration, 0.5g/L.]

Figure 4.9 shows the performance of different ZVI materials in the activation of PMS for phenol degradation. Without a ZVI material, PMS was not able to degrade phenol by itself. At 90min, the degradation efficiencies on commercial Fe0, HR-Fe⁰, and Fe⁰@CS-550 were 15.8%, 54.6%, and 86.9%, respectively. The results strongly suggested that nanoscale ZVI can provide a faster activity in the oxidation of phenol: the smaller the size, the better the activity.
Figure 4.9 Degradation of phenol using different ZVI materials with PMS. [Conditions:
phenol amount, 20ppm; temperature, 25°C; PMS concentration, 0.5g/L; catalyst
concentration, 0.5g/L of Fe\textsuperscript{0}@CS-550, and 0.115g/L of HR-Fe\textsuperscript{0} and commercial Fe\textsuperscript{0}.]

Figure 4.10 further compares the activities of various materials in homogeneous or heterogeneous activation of PMS for the oxidation of phenol. It was seen that iron oxides (Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} or Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}) could only show minor activity, providing a phenol conversion of <10% after 90min of reaction. In homogeneous reaction, Fe(II) showed 25.3% phenol degradation after 90min, while Fe(III) produced 51.1% phenol degradation.
Figure 4.10 Degradation of phenol solutions using various catalysts. [Conditions: phenol amount, 20ppm; temperature, 30°C; PMS concentration, 2g/L; catalyst concentration, 0.5g/L of Fe\(^0\)@CS-550, Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\), and Co\(_3\)O\(_4\), and 0.05M of Fe(II) and Fe(III)).]

Cobalt oxide (Co\(_3\)O\(_4\)) has been widely used to activate PMS, and, so far, it has shown the best activity [12, 36, 37, 48]. In this study, Co\(_3\)O\(_4\) that was prepared via the thermal decomposition of cobalt(II) nitrate was also tested and it produced 54.5% phenol removal after 90min, which was comparable to that of Fe(III). Among all the materials, Fe\(^0\)@CS-550 displayed the best performance in the activation of PMS for phenol degradation. It achieved complete phenol removal within 15min.

In the reaction of HR-Fe\(^0\) with PMS, it was found that Fe powders were completely dissolved during the reaction. It was interesting to evaluate the reusability of Fe\(^0\)@CS-550 as well as its
stability. It was found that the activity rapidly decreased after second and third runs, providing 31.7% and 13.4% phenol removal, respectively. The reduction in phenol degradation was possibly due to Fe$^0$ conversion to Fe(II)/Fe(III) in reaction. The corrosion processes led to decreased amounts of effective catalyst in the recovered material. Without the support of carbon spheres, the efficiency after the second run would be zero, because all of the ZVI was dissolved. Therefore, Fe$^0$@CS-550 showed a better performance in reusability for potential application than bare ZVI, because of the remaining activity after second and third runs. Moreover, after the first run, the collected reaction solution was fed with phenol and PMS again at the same concentrations as in the first run, but it only showed 2% phenol removal, suggesting that dissolved Fe ions were ineffective for phenol oxidation.

The high activity and the stability of nano-Fe$^0$@CS in the activation of PMS were due to the nature of ZVI and the unique structure. ZVI may provide a higher efficiency in activating PMS than Fe(II), as shown in eq 4-9:

\[
Fe^0 + 2HSO_5^- \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + SO_4^{2-} + 2OH^- 
\]  

(4-9)

In this case, the concentration of sulfate radicals produced by ZVI are 3 times higher than that of Fe(II) per mole. This is the reason why ZVI shows a higher activity than Fe ions and oxides. The mechanism of higher activity of nano-Fe$^0$@CS than unsupported ZVI would be ascribed to the porous structure of carbon spheres and the core/shell structure of Fe@carbon. First, the encapsulation of carbon would play a significant role in the controllable release of Fe(II) ions. Second, the porous structure and the large SBET value would attract phenol molecules from the homogeneous solution to the surface of carbon spheres. Therefore, the SO$_4^{2-}$ produced would prefer to oxidize phenol, rather than be quenched by Fe(II). The
carbon shell would also prevent the fast corrosion of iron as bare nano ZVI.

4.4. Conclusion

Nanoscaled zerovalent iron (ZVI) encapsulated in carbon spheres (nano-Fe$^0$@CS) was fabricated using a green chemistry route. The structure of Fe$^0$@CS consisted of carbon spheres (6–8μm) composed of fine carbon particles (50–200nm) encapsulating nanosized ZVI (5–10nm). The iron/carbon hybrids annealed at 550°C showed a porous structure with high specific surface area and pore volume. The carbon facilitated a good Fe dispersion by an in situ reduction and increased the stability of nanoscaled ZVI. Fe$^0$@CS showed an efficient activity in producing oxidative radicals from PMS, thus leading to a superior performance in degradation of phenol to homogeneous iron/PMS and cobalt oxide/PMS. The higher activity of Fe$^0$@CS was attributed to the nature of ZVI and the unique structure. The core of the design was to fabricate a porous structure for attracting substrates, and to controllably release Fe ions to avoid the quenching of active radicals. Therefore, nano-Fe$^0$@CS-550 has been demonstrated to be a promising material for environmental remediation.
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Chapter 5: Microcarbon sphere supported cobalt catalysts for chemical oxidation of phenol

Abstract
Cobalt nitrate and glucose were employed to prepare microcarbon sphere supported cobalt catalysts (micro-CS@Co) via a hydrothermal carbonization method for chemical oxidation of phenol with peroxymonosulfate (PMS, oxone). The characterization of prepared micro-CS@Co was conducted by means of scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS), X-ray diffraction (XRD) and nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms. micro-CS@Co not only showed high efficiency in activation of PMS, but also offered a good stability. Kinetics were carried out to investigate the effect of oxidant and catalyst amount, temperature on the efficiencies of phenol degradation.
5.1. Introduction

The development of various synthesis industries including polycarbonates, epoxies, Bakelite, nylon, detergents, herbicides, resin paint, dyes, textile wood, pulp mill, and pharmaceutical drugs [1-3], has discharged a large range of toxic organic pollutants into wastewater. Such pollution has caused a severe challenge to the sustainably development of the world. Organic pollutants such as phenol are highly toxic and extremely refractory to degradation by natural environment, and causing potential threats to water systems and general public. Both source control and remediation technology are highly required. The industries need to manage the manufacturing sections to reduce the pollutants below permissible level in order to avoid harmful effects; meanwhile the techniques need to be developed to remove organic compounds from natural water bodies and wastewater system.

Owing to the complete degradation of organic pollutants in wastewater, advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) have attracted great interests in wastewater treatment. Various AOP techniques such as ozonation, wet air oxidation, thermal destruction, and homogeneous/heterogeneous oxidation, have been investigated, and proven effective in oxidising organic compounds in wastewater to water and carbon oxide as final products [4-7]. Fenton reaction is a typical AOP technique involving formation of free hydroxyl radicals in the presence of Fe$^{2+}$ ions. The free hydroxyl radicals generated by Fenton’s reagent or Fenton like reagent are powerful, non-selective oxidants, and have been successfully employed in industries for decades [8]. Although Fenton reagent or Fenton-like reactions are used in some industrial applications for wastewater treatment, the barriers of these techniques are experienced: i) requirement of low pH; ii) quenching of hydroxyl radical by carbonate species present in system; iii) production of precipitate [9-13]. The cobalt activating peroxymonosulfate (PMS, oxone) to produce sulphate radicals for oxidation of organic pollutants demonstrated
significant results in many recent studies [9, 14-16]. The activation reactions proceed as follows [9, 10, 17]:

\[
Co^{2+} + HSO_5^- \rightarrow Co^{3+} + SO_4^{2-} + OH^- \quad (5-1)
\]

\[
Co^{3+} + HSO_5^- \rightarrow Co^{2+} + SO_5^{2-} + H^+ \quad (5-2)
\]

However, the oxidation process involving the utilization of oxone and cobalt ion has several problems as well. The major one is that cobalt ions are toxic and leading to health issues. So, new types of heterogeneous cobalt based catalysts are highly demanded to avoid cobalt being discharged in water, and to improve the oxidation efficiency via support materials. Recently, cobalt catalysts supported by novel carbon materials, such as carbon nanofibers [18, 19], carbon nanotubes [20], and multi-walled carbon tubes [21], and micro/nano carbon spheres [20, 22] were prepared and investigated in oxidation reactions.

In this chapter, microcarbon sphere supported cobalt catalysts were prepared for advanced oxidation of phenol utilizing oxone. It was found that the supported cobalt catalysts showed high activity in phenol oxidation reactions and remained stable in multi-run tests.

5.2. Experimental

5.2.1. Materials

D-glucose (99.5%) was purchased from Sigma. Cobalt (II) nitrate hexahydrate (Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O) from Sigma was used as a Co precursor. PMS (oxone, peroxymonosulfate) was obtained from Aldrich, and used as an oxidant. Pure methanol was from Chem Supply. Phenol was obtained from Aldrich, and used to prepare pollutant solution. Urea (NH₂CONH₂) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.
5.2.2. Preparation of micro-CS@Co

Micorcarbon supported cobalt catalysts were prepared by a hydrothermal method [24, 25]. In a typical synthesis, 2.97 g of cobalt (II) nitrate hexahydrate, 7.24 g of D-glucose and 1.20 g of urea were dissolved in 80 mL of ultrapure water. The mixture was stirred for 6 h. Then the mixed solution was transferred into a 120 mL Teflon-lined autoclave. The reactor was put in an oven and heated at 180 °C for 18h. Then the reactor was naturally cooled down to room temperature. The obtained black precipitate was filtrated and washed with methanol twice and water three times. The precipitate was then dried in an oven at 70 °C in the air. Later, the dry samples were calcined in the air at 300, 400, or 500 °C for 2 h in a crucible with a cover and at a heating rate of 5 °C/min. Final samples were denoted as micro-CS@Co-300°C, 2h, Air; micro-CS@Co-400°C, 2h, Air; and micro-CS@Co-500°C, 2h, Air, respectively.

5.2.3. Characterization of catalysts

The crystalline structures of microcarbon sphere supported catalysts were analyzed by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD). The spectra were obtained on a Germany Burker D8-Advance X-ray diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation (λ=1.5418Å). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS), and cobalt elemental mapping, performed on a Zeiss Neon 40EsB FIBSEM, were used to evaluate the morphology, size, and texture information of the samples. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area and pore size distribution were evaluated by nitrogen sorption at −196 °C, using a Quantachrome Autosorb AS-1 system. The samples were evaporated under vacuum at 200 °C for 4 h prior to the adsorption measurements.
5.2.4. Chemical oxidation of phenol

Oxidation of phenol was carried out in a 1 L of Pyrex double-jacket reactor. A water bath and pump were used to maintain the reaction temperature at 30°C. 0.25 g of micro-CS@Co catalyst was added into 200mL of 20 ppm phenol solution, and the mixture was stirred for 5 min. Then 0.4 g of PMS was added into mixed solution to start the oxidation reaction. At each set time interval, 1mL solution was withdrawn by a 5 mL syringe and filtrated by a 0.25 μm Millipore film into a vial, which was filled with 0.5 mL of pure methanol as a quenching reagent. In stability tests, used catalysts were filtrated and washed by water twice, and then dried in an oven at 70 °C in the air. The concentrations of phenol solution samples were analyzed by a Varian high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with a UV detector set at λ=270 nm. 30% CH$_3$CN and 70% ultrapure water were combined as mobile phase at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. All experiments employing used catalysts were run in the same reaction conditions as mentioned above.

5.3. Results and discussion

5.3.1. Characterization of micro-CS@Co
Figure 5.1 shows XRD patterns of two synthesized samples: carbon sphere and micro-CS@Co-raw, which was not calcined in the air. Further, these two catalysts were used as reference samples. Micro-CS@Co-raw and pure carbon sphere did not show any Co crystalline structure peak. The fluctuation between 20 and 30° showed typical diffractions of carbon material [23]. However, in comparison of carbon sphere and micro-CS@Co-raw, the difference of two lines showed that cobalt (II) nitrate might transform to intermediate products.
Figure 5.2 XRD patterns of carbon sphere, micro-CS@Co-raw, and micro-CS@Co, 300°C, 2h, Air.

Figure 5.2 shows that micro-CS@Co, 300°C, 2h, Air did not have peaks that could be assigned to Co₂O₄. It was reported that the decomposition of the Co-B amorphous alloy can occur with the heat treatment above 300 °C [24]. However, in Figure 5.2, no peaks corresponding to metallic cobalt was detected with the decomposition of Co-B which might be caused by the small amount of formed metallic cobalt [25]. This is also probably because the cobalt particles on this catalyst are too small to be detected by XRD (<4 nm) [3, 4]. Therefore, it might show that cobalt (II) nitrate turned into cobalt (III) hydroxide or cobalt (II) hydroxide as precipitate after the hydrothermal reaction [3]. The calcination at 300 °C for 2 h could not convert cobalt (III) hydroxide to Co₂O₄.
Figure 5.3 XRD patterns of carbon sphere, micro-CS@Co-raw, and micro-CS@Co, 400°C, 2h, Air.

Figure 5.3 indicates that the support material of microcarbon sphere presented as amorphous phase, but a minor peak at 36.4° was observed and attributed to the presence of crystalline Co$_3$O$_4$ [26]. Another extremely weak peak at 38.1° can be ascribed to the phase of cobalt (III) hydroxide or cobalt (II) hydroxide [3]. The presence of trace quantity of cobalt was also confirmed by EDS analysis, which was discussed later in this section.
Figure 5.4 XRD patterns of carbon sphere, micro-CS@Co-raw, and micro-CS@Co, 500°C, 2h, Air.

Figure 5.5 XRD patterns of micro-CS@Co, 300°C, 2h, Air, micro-CS@Co, 400°C, 2h, Air, and micro-CS@Co, 500°C, 2h, Air.
Figure 5.4 shows that micro-CS@Co, 500°C, 2h, Air showed distinct peaks of Co$_3$O$_4$ crystallites with Co(220) at $\theta = 32.1^\circ$, Co(311) at $\theta = 37.4^\circ$, Co(400) at $\theta = 44.6^\circ$, Co(511) at $\theta = 59.8^\circ$, and Co(440) at $\theta = 67.1^\circ$ [27]. Furthermore, Figure 5.5 compares three main catalysts: micro-CS@Co, 300°C, 2h, Air, micro-CS@Co, 400°C, 2h, Air, and micro-CS@Co, 500°C, 2h, Air. It was found that at increased calcination temperature, cobalt (II) nitrate turned into Co$_3$O$_4$ crystallites.

Figure 5.6 SEM images of (A) micro-CS@Co-raw, and (B) cobalt amorphous alloy on microcarbon spheres.
Microcarbon spheres were observed (Figure 6.6 A), and cobalt amorphous alloy were showed in Figure 5.6 (B) [25]. Further, Co$_3$O$_4$ crystallites were not found in micro-CS@Co, 300°C, 2h, Air (Figure 6.7). Nevertheless, elemental analysis using the EDS study on micro-CS@Co-raw and micro-CS@Co, 300°C, 2h, Air suggested the presence of both cobalt and carbon elements.

Figure 5.7 SEM images of micro-CS@Co, 300°C, 2h, Air

Figure 5.7 is similar to Figure 5.6 (A). It proved that the decomposition of the Co-B amorphous alloy is hard to occur with the heat treatment below 300°C [25].
In Figure 6.7, cobalt (III) hydroxide was hardly found, and few Co$_3$O$_4$ crystallites were observed on the surface of carbon spheres. Further, an elemental analysis using EDS study on the particle suggested the presence of both cobalt and carbon elements.
Figure 5.9 showed significant Co$_3$O$_4$ crystallites, and EDS of micro-CS@Co, 500°C, 2h, Air (Figure 5.12) signified that the finer microcarbon spheres were completely coated by Co$_3$O$_4$ crystallites. EDS spectra and SEM images proved that the temperature of calcination was the main factor influencing the production of Co$_3$O$_4$ crystallites.
Figure 5.10 EDS spectra of micro-CS@Co-raw

Figure 5.11 EDS spectra of micro-CS@Co, 300°C, 2h, Air
Figure 5.12 EDS spectra of micro-CS@Co, 400°C, 2h, Air

Figure 5.13 EDS spectra of micro-CS@Co, 500°C, 2h, Air
5.3.2. Chemical oxidation of phenol

The performances of PMS and microcarbon sphere supported cobalt catalysts in chemical oxidation of phenol were investigated. Figure 5.14 shows that the micro-CS@Co-no urea added catalyst only removed 10% of phenol within 60 min. It proved that Co$_3$O$_4$ crystallites or cobalt amorphous alloy was hard to be synthesized without the employment of urea. Meanwhile, micro-CS@Co-urea catalysts degraded all of phenol at 45 and 15 min respectively. The pure PMS was used as background under the same conditions, and removed 15% phenol at 60 min.

![Figure 5.14](image)

**Figure 5.14** Effect of synthesis conditions on the activity of different catalysts in oxidation of phenol with PMS

Therefore, urea acted as an important role in producing cobalt oxides. The mechanism of synthesis of Co$_3$O$_4$ crystallites by applying urea is [27]:

[Image of Figure 5.14]
Figure 5.15 Reusabilities of two catalysts synthesized in different conditions for oxidation of phenol with PMS

Figure 5.15 shows the reuse of micro-CS@Co-urea added-180°C, 18hr and micro-CS@Co-urea added-180°C, 3hr-80°C, 16hr for phenol oxidation with PMS. Both of the fresh catalysts were effective in phenol degradation by activation of PMS. These two catalysts degraded all of phenol in the solution at 45 and 15 min, respectively. However, the activities of reused catalysts reduced by 70% and 90%. The results probably proved that
there were no Co₃O₄ crystallites produced after the hydrothermal reaction. Co(OH)ₓ existed with microcarbon sphere supports, and then lost during the phenol degradation reactions because of the acid solution.

Figure 5.16 Reusabilities of micro-CS@Co, 300°C, 2h, Air for oxidation of phenol with PMS

Figure 5.17 Reusabilities of micro-CS@Co, 400°C, 2h, Air for oxidation of phenol with PMS
Figures 5.16-5.18 show that reuse of three main micro-CS@Co catalysts for oxidation of phenol. All of the three catalysts performed significantly effective in degradation of phenol with PMS.

In Chapter 4, nano-Fe\(^0\)@CS materials were proven to be high effective catalysts which ended the phenol degradation process at 5min, and cobalt coated microcarbon sphere catalysts: Micro-CS@Co, 300°C achieved 100% degradation of phenol in 15 min; micro-CS@Co, 400°C achieved 100% degradation in 5 min; and micro-CS@Co, 500°C achieved 100% degradation in 10 min.

However, comparing the activities of first and second reusability experiments of all the catalysts, micro-CS@Co, 500°C was the most stable catalyst, indicating that this catalyst was more environmentally friendly due to the less cobalt leaching in repeated experiments. Further, the results of chemical oxidation reactions with PMS also verified the ratiocination from characterizations: finer microcarbon spheres were completely coated by Co\(_3\)O\(_4\) crystallites for micro-CS@Co, 500°C.
5.3.3. Kinetic studies of phenol degradation

Figure 5.18 Reusabilities of micro-CS@Co, 500°C, 2h, Air for oxidation of phenol with PMS

Figure 5.19 Phenol degradation at different catalysts amounts
Figure 5.19 shows the reactions of phenol degradation with different catalyst amounts. Comparatively large amount (0.25g) of micro-CS@Co catalyst was able to degrade 100% of phenol at 10 min, and smaller amount (0.5g and 0.15g) micro-CS@Co catalysts removed all of phenol at 15 min which were very similar to the activity of large amount (0.25g) of catalyst. With the decreasing of dosage of catalyst, the phenol removal efficiency decreased. However, when the catalyst amount was reduced to 0.1g, the removal process was still fast, and ended at 30min.

Figure 5.20 shows the reactions of phenol degradation with different PMS amounts. With utilization of 0.2g/L PMS, the reaction ended at 10 min, and the reaction using 0.15g/L PMS as oxidant stopped at 15 min. Removing all of phenol by using micor-CS@Co catalyst and 1g/L PMS cost 30 min which was same as the reaction by adding 0.5g/L PMS. It is clear that a decrease in utilization of PMS reduced the phenol removal efficiency.
The reaction temperature would influence the phenol oxidation reaction significantly. Figure 5.21 shows the effect of temperature on removal of phenol using fixed dosages of micro-CS@Co, 500°C, 2h, Air and PMS. It was observed that the reaction rate increased significantly with the rise of temperature. The removal of phenol completed within 5 minutes when the reaction temperatures were 35, 40, 45 °C. Therefore, 35 °C is the optimum reaction temperature for this micro-CS@Co-PMS system (V\text{phenol}: 200ml, C\text{phenol}: 20ppm, m\text{catalyst}: 0.25g, m\text{oxone}: 0.4g). The effect of temperature of reaction is not used to find optimal reaction temperature, but to evaluate the activation energy of the catalyst.

![Figure 5.21 Phenol degradation at different temperatures](image)

5.4. Conclusion

Cobalt coated microcarbon sphere catalysts were proven as catalysts with high activity in chemical oxidation of phenol by activation of PMS. Co$_3$O$_4$ crystallites were found on the surface of microcarbon. Microcarbon sphere supported cobalt catalysts exhibited high
activity for activation of PMS to produce sulphate radicals for oxidation of phenol. Several factors such as temperature, dosages of catalyst or PMS influenced the degradation of phenol. Although fresh micro-CS@Co, 400°C showed the greatest activity, the micro-CS@Co, 500°C catalyst demonstrated as the most stable and environmentally friendly catalyst by the tests of multiple chemical oxidation experiments.
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Chapter 6: Photocatalytic degradation of phenol over microcarbon sphere–modified graphitic carbon nitride catalyst

Abstract

The graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄) was synthesized by directly heating melamine, and it was treated in D-glucose solution using a hydrothermal route to synthesize microcarbon sphere modified graphitic carbon nitride (C-g-C₃N₄) composite photocatalysts. Photocatalytic activity of C-g-C₃N₄ was evaluated by photodegradation of phenol solutions, which has not been successfully degraded phenol. The characterization for C-g-C₃N₄ photocatalyst was conducted by means of scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and X-ray diffraction (XRD). Compared to g-C₃N₄, the C-g-C₃N₄ photocatalyst showed a very high photocatalytic activity in degradation of phenol solutions under UV-visible irradiations.
6.1. Introduction

Highly toxic organic pollutants in wastewater have become one of the top environmental problems nowadays, and also led to the development of advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) for complete decomposition of pollutants. Generally, AOPs are widely used for aqueous phase oxidation by active radicals to decompose pollutants to harmless water, CO₂ and other inorganic acids [1, 2]. Important AOPs include photocatalysis, Fenton and Fenton-like reactions, and ozonation, etc. In recent decades, heterogeneous photocatalysis has been considered as a promising technique for wastewater treatment owing to their low-cost, environmental friendliness and sustainability. Photocatalytic purification not only has a high development potential for treatment of serious environmental pollutants (in water and air) [3, 4], but also provides a new solution of global energy shortage due to the utilization of solar energy.

In heterogeneous photocatalytic reaction, a semiconductor, usually TiO₂ or ZnO, is activated by UV irradiation [2]. This process starts with the irradiation of photocatalyst by light with sufficient energy. The electron (e⁻)/hole (h⁺) pairs will be produced in the conduction band (CB) and valence band (VB), respectively, and migrate to the surface of the semiconductor where they are involved in redox reactions. The excited electrons react with oxygen molecules and lead to the formation of the superoxide radical anion, peroxide radicals and H₂O₂ molecules at the same time [5-10]:

\[ TiO_2 + hv \rightarrow e^- + h^+ \]  \hspace{1cm} (6-1)

The holes (h⁺) react with hydroxyl anions and H₂O molecules to generate hydroxyl radicals.

\[ TiO_2(h^+) + H_2O_{ad} \rightarrow TiO_2 + HO_{ad}^* + H^+ \]  \hspace{1cm} (6-2)
Nevertheless, limitations of popular photocatalysts, such as TiO$_2$, restrict their practical applications: i) low photocatalytic efficiency at low pollutant concentrations; ii) complicated recovery after use; iii) aggregation of particles in suspension; iv) demand of UV light and/or high intensity radiation which may cause health issue. Therefore, for wastewater purification, a promising photocatalyst material should have a band gap that absorbs visible light, strong oxidative ability and high stability in a complex waste solution system [11]. Some photocatalysts, such as ZnO, show photo-corrosion effect. In aqueous media, ZnO may self-deactivate by forming ions when reacting with photo-generated holes, and ions are released into solution [12-15], causing second pollution. Recently, Wang et al. reported that a metal-free polymeric photocatalyst, graphitic carbon nitride (g-C$_3$N$_4$), had photocatalytic performance for hydrogen or oxygen production via water splitting under visible light irradiation [16]. High thermal and electronic properties make g-C$_3$N$_4$ photocatalysts highly attractive for photocatalysis applications. Further, g-C$_3$N$_4$ material is recognized to be the most stable allotrope at ambient conditions. Due to the strong covalent bonds between carbon and nitride atoms, g-C$_3$N$_4$ photocatalyst shows significant stability whether it is under light irradiation in basic solution (NaOH, pH=14) or acid solution (HCl, pH=0) [11]. Cyanamide, dicyandiamide, and melamine have been used as precursors to synthesize g-CN solid [11, 17, 18]. Compared with melamine, cyanamide and dicyandiamide are expensive and virulent. So, in some studies, melamine has been used to synthesize g-CN by heat treatment in low vacuum system [19, 20], or under high pressure [21], or by directly heating [11, 18].

However, due to the high recombination rate of photogenerated electron-hole pairs of g-C$_3$N$_4$, its photocatalytic efficiency in oxidation of organics is low [16]. Many methods have been

\[ TiO_2(h^+) + HO_{ad} \rightarrow TiO_2 + HO^*_{ad} \]
proposed to improve the activity, such as doping [18, 22], and coupling g-C₃N₄ with metals [23, 24]. To our knowledge, the synthesis of microcarbon sphere/g-C₃N₄ (C-g-C₃N₄) photocatalyst has never been achieved. In this study, graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄) was prepared by directly heating melamine, and then it was used to fabricate C-g-C₃N₄ via a hydrothermal method by mixing g-C₃N₄ and D-glucose in solution. Furthermore, the photocatalytic degradation of phenol was investigated.

6.2. Experimental

6.2.1. Materials

Melamine (99.0%) was obtained from Aldrich. D-glucose (99.5%) was purchased from Sigma. Pure methanol (99.9%) was from Chem Supply. Phenol was obtained from Aldrich, and used to prepare pollutant solution.

6.2.2. Preparation of g-C₃N₄

g-C₃N₄ was synthesized by directly heating melamine in semi-closed system [11]. In a typical synthesis, 5 g melamine powder were added into a crucible with a cover, and calcined in the air at 500 °C for 2h, 4h, 6h, 8h at a heating rate of 15 °C/min, respectively. 10 g melamine powder was also heated in a crucible with same volume and cover in the air for 2h at a heating rate of 15 °C/min.

6.2.3. Preparation of C-g-C₃N₄

Microcarbon sphere modified graphitic nitride photocatalysts were prepared by a hydrothermal method using D-glucose and g-C₃N₄ powder [25, 26]. One of the synthesis processes was that 1.81 g g-CN and 7.24 g D-glucose were dissolved in 80 ml ultrapure
water, and the mixture was stirred for 6 h. Then the mixed solution was transferred into a 120 ml Teflon-lined autoclave. The reactor was put in an oven and heated at 150 °C for 3 h. The reactor was then cooled down to room temperature. The obtained precipitate was filtrated and washed with methanol twice and water three times. After washing, the precipitate was dried in an oven at 70 °C in the air.

**Figure 6.1** Flowchart of the preparation procedure

Synthesized g-C₃N₄ and C-g-C₃N₄ photocatalysts in different reaction conditions are summarized as follows:
**Table 6.1** Summary of synthesized photocatalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Melamine (g)</th>
<th>D-glucose (g)</th>
<th>Calcined temperature in Muffle furnace (ºC)</th>
<th>Calcined time in Muffle furnace (h)</th>
<th>Hydrothermal reaction temperature (ºC)</th>
<th>Hydrothermal reaction time (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>g-C₃N₄-10g</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g-C₃N₄-2h</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g-C₃N₄-4h</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g-C₃N₄-6h</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g-C₃N₄-8h</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g-C₃N₄ (g)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-1.5h</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-3h</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-6h</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-18h</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135-3h</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165-3h</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180-3h</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180-6h</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.2.4. Characterization of photocatalysts

The crystalline structures of pure g-C_3N_4 and microcarbon sphere modified g-C_3N_4 photocatalysts were analyzed by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD). The spectra were obtained on a Germany Burker D8-Advance X-ray diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation (λ=1.5418 Å). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to evaluate the morphology, size, and texture information of the samples.

6.2.5. Photocatalytic and adsorption activity test

The aqueous photocatalytic degradation of phenol was carried out in a 1 L of Pyrex double-jacket reactor. A water bath connected with a pump was used to maintain the reaction temperature at 30°C, and a magnetic stirrer was used to maintain the photocatalyst dispersed uniformly in reaction solutions. In a typical run, 0.2 g of photocatalyst (1.0 g/L) was added into 200 mL of 20 ppm phenol solution and stirred for 30 min, and took the first sample. The light irradiation was immediately switched on after the first sample taking. The irradiations were supplied by a MSR 575/2 metal halide lamp (575 W, Philips). The UV intensity (315 < λ < 400 nm) was measured to be 60 μW/cm² and the visible light intensity (λ > 400 nm) was 84 μW/cm². At each set time interval, 1 mL solution was withdrawn by a syringe and filtered by a 0.25 μm Millipore film into a HPLC vial. The degradation efficiency (%) can be calculated as:

\[
\text{efficiency} \% = \frac{C_0 - C}{C_0} \times 100\%
\]

(6-4)

where C_0 is the initial concentration of phenol, and C is the revised concentration considering phenol degradation on photocatalyst. The concentration of phenol was analyzed on a 380-LC HPLC (Varian, USA) with a UV detector set at λ = 270 nm. A C-18 column was used to separate the organics while the mobile phase of 30% CH_3CN and 70% water was flowing through the column at a flow rate of 1.5
mL/min. The adsorption test was carried out in the same system as photocatalysis reaction except without lamp on.

6.3. Results and discussion

6.3.1. Characterization of g-CN and C-g-CN

Figure 6.2 shows a typical XRD pattern of graphitic carbon nitride (g-C$_3$N$_4$). The strongest sharp reflection peak at the position of 27.5° (d=0.336 nm) matches the predicted XRD data of g-C$_3$N$_4$ in previous work [11, 28]. The small peak is indexed at 13.1° (d=0.676 nm).
**Figure 6.3** XRD patterns of g-CN-2h and 135-3h

**Figure 6.4** XRD patterns of g-CN-2h, 150-3h and 150-6h
Figure 6.3 and Figure 6.4 show the XRD patterns of g-C$_3$N$_4$-2h, 135-3h, 150-3h and 150-6h. With microcarbon spheres employed, the strong peak of g-C$_3$N$_4$ did not change, but the minor peak at 13.1° was weaker with increase of the temperature and reaction time of hydrothermal process.

In Figure 6.5, XRD patterns of g-C$_3$N$_4$-2h and 165-3h show the similar results compared with Figure 6.3 and Figure 6.4. However, in Figure 6.6, XRD patterns of g-C$_3$N$_4$-2h, 180-3h and 180-6h indicate that with the increase of hydrothermal reaction time at 180°C, the minor peak of g-CN at 13.1° was hard to be observed, and the major peak at 27.5° became narrower and shaper. The XRD patterns of g-C$_3$N$_4$ and C-g-C$_3$N$_4$ catalysts did not have other discernible diffraction peak, which means microcarbon spheres did not exhibit diffraction peaks corresponding to g-C$_3$N$_4$. This is possibly because the prepared microcarbon spheres were amorphous and did not have a crystalline phase. Therefore, SEM was employed to evaluate the morphology, size, and texture information of synthesized photocatalysts.
Figure 6.6 XRD patterns of g-CN-2h, 180-3h and 180-6h

Figure 6.7(A) shows clear, crisp edges and rodlike structures. High magnification of g-C$_3$N$_4$-2h reveals a morphology that appears to be microcrystalline powders (Figure 6.7(B)) which is accordant with the results from previous study [28].
Figure 6.7 SEM images of pure graphitic carbon nitride (g-CN-2h)
Figure 6.8 SEM images of C-g-CN (150-3h)

The size of carbon spheres is dramatically dependent on the synthesis conditions, such as precursor concentration, temperature, and hydrothermal reaction time [29]. Figure 6.8 and 6.9 indicate that with increasing hydrothermal reaction time, the
carbon spheres were produced and coated on \( \text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4\)-2h (Figure 6.9). While no carbon spheres were observed in Figure 6.8. However, in the hydrothermal conditions of low temperature (150°C) and short reaction time (3h), the morphology of \( \text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4 \) was changed (Figure 6.8(B)). Parts of the crisp edges and laminated structure of \( \text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4 \) were reformed to rodlike structures.

\[ \text{Figure 6.9 SEM image of C-g-CN (150-6h)} \]
Figure 6.10 SEM images of C-g-CN (180-3h)
Figure 6.10 and 6.11 show typical microspherical morphology. The comparatively higher temperature (180°C) and longer hydrothermal reaction time (6h) significantly increased the size and amount of carbon spheres.

Figure 6.11 SEM image of C-g-CN (180-6h)
6.3.2. Photocatalytic activity tests

Figure 6.12 Effects of amount of melamine and calcination temperature on the activities of the photocatalysts in phenol degradation under UV-vis light irradiation

Figure 6.12 shows the change of phenol concentration dependent on reaction time under UV-vis light irradiation in the presence of various g-CN photocatalysts. It shows that the activities of g-C₃N₄ photocatalysts synthesized at low dosage of melamine (5g) were 16% higher than the g-C₃N₄ synthesized with high dosage of melamine (10g) in the same semi-closed system: a fixed volume crucible with a cover. This result indicates that the oxygen remained in the semi-closed system had positive effect on the photocatalytic activities of g-C₃N₄ catalysts. In addition, similar photocatalytic activity was observed in the presence of different g-C₃N₄ photocatalysts synthesized at different calcination time. However, the g-C₃N₄-2h catalyst showed comparatively higher activity than other photocatalysts. Similar results were reported by other studies [11, 30].
Figure 6.13 Effects of calcination temperature on the activity of various pure g-C₃N₄ photocatalysts in phenol degradation under UV light irradiation

Figure 6.13 indicates the effects of calcination time of pure g-CN photocatalysts on phenol degradation. For g-C₃N₄-2h, the decrease of phenol concentration was mainly due to the adsorption in first half hour. Therefore, the main activities of phenol degradation were activated by the visible light included in UV-vis light irradiation. Since the degradation processes were not completed within 180 min, further photocatalytic activity tests were extended to 300 min.

The mechanism of phenol photodegradation over g-CN is similar to the photocatalytic reaction using TiO₂. Generally, the two reactive oxidation species hydroxyl radicals (OH⁻) and superoxide (O₂⁻ or HOO⁻) are formed during the photocatalytic reaction via UV-vis light irradiation. The proposed mechanism for the electron transfer in g-C₃N₄ was shown in Figure 6.14 [31].
Figure 6.14 Schematic of photogenerated charge transfer in g-C$_3$N$_4$ under UV-vis light irradiation.
In Figure 6.15, effects of hydrothermal time on the activities of various carbon spheres modified g-C$_3$N$_4$ photocatalysts in phenol degradation under UV-vis light irradiation were presented, and pure g-C$_3$N$_4$-2h catalyst was used as a reference sample. The C-g-C$_3$N$_4$: 150-3h showed the highest activity and removed all of phenol at 280 min. Therefore, 3h is the optimal reaction time of hydrothermal reaction at 150 °C.

In some other studies, Rhodamine B (Rh B) and methyl organe (MO) were used as model pollutants, and boron-doped g-C$_3$N$_4$ and g-C$_3$N$_4$/TiO$_2$ were synthesized as photocatalysts [30, 31]. They could remove all of target pollutants in 5 h, and the concentrations of pollutants used in their modes were only 4 ppm and 10 ppm. In our study, phenol was used as pollutant which was commonly known as one of the major toxic and resisted organic pollutants in air, water bodies and wastewater. Further, 200 mL, 20 ppm phenol solution was used in all of activity tests, comparing with their 30 mL and 100mL. Figure 7.16 shows the effects of hydrothermal temperature on the...
activity of various C-g-C$_3$N$_4$ photocatalysts in phenol degradation under UV-vis light irradiation. The degradation rates of 150-3h and 180-3h were similar. The 150-3h decomposed 200 mL of 20 ppm phenol solution in 270 min, and 180-3h removed all of target pollutant in approximate 210 min. Therefore, 180-3h had the highest activity on phenol degradation under UV-vis light irradiation.

Figure 6.16 Effects of hydrothermal temperature on the activities of various carbon spheres modified g-C$_3$N$_4$ photocatalysts in phenol degradation under UV-vis light irradiation
Figure 6.17 Activities of phenol degradation with 180-3h and 180-6h

Figure 6.17 shows that C-g-C3N4, 180-3h had a higher activity than 180-6h, indicating that the increase of the size and amount of carbon sphere (Figure 6.10 and 6.11) had negative effects on the activity of C-g-CN photocatalysts for phenol degradation. Therefore, 3 hours and 180 °C are the best conditions for hydrothermal reaction.

6.3.3 Adsorption performance tests

Figure 6.18 shows the adsorption of phenol on carbon spheres modified g-C3N4 photocatalysts. Results showed that the samples 150-3h and 180-3h presented a minor adsorption of phenol, only performing 13% and 15%, respectively at 300 min. Therefore, the decrease of phenol concentration in the catalytic tests was mainly contributed to the photodegradation of g-C3N4 and C-g-C3N4 photocatalysts.
6.4. Conclusion

In summary, g-C₃N₄ photocatalysts were successfully synthesized by annealing malemine precursors in a simple semi-closed system in the air, and C-g-C₃N₄ photocatalysts were synthesized by a hydrothermal method. The structures and the photocatalytic properties were investigated. C-g-C₃N₄ photocatalyst (180-3h) displayed the best photocatalytic activity for phenol degradation under UV-vis light irradiation comparing with other synthesized photocatalysts.

6.5. References


Chapter 7: Microcarbon sphere-modified TiO₂ for the adsorption and photocatalytic degradation of phenol

Abstract
Microcarbon sphere-modified TiO₂ (C-TiO₂) photocatalysts were synthesized using D-glucose as a carbon source and P25 TiO₂ as a base material. The photocatalysts were tested for removal of phenol via photocatalytic degradation and adsorption. Photocatalytic activities of these catalysts were evaluated in liquid-phase decomposition of phenol under UV-vis light and visible light. Compared with P25 TiO₂, C-TiO₂ showed lower degradation activities for phenol degradation, but a significant enhancement in visible light photocatalytic activity. The prepared C-TiO₂ is also expected to perform an excellent pollution removal in real wastewater with complicated pollutants.
7.1. Introduction

Due to the increasing pollution and the limited resource of fresh water, wastewater treatment has become an essential technique both in Australia and other parts of the world [1]. Toxic organic chemicals such as textile dyes (azo dyes) and phenol/phenolics are the main pollutants in wastewater and the hazard of environment [2]. More than ten percents of the total organic wastes in the world are released from industry, and the resulted issues become more serious than before [3].

In order to decompose toxic organic compounds, there are several types of traditional techniques. Physical adsorption has been used to treat dyes because of its convenience, low cost and toxicity, and removal capability of the pollutants [4]. However, in adsorption process, pollutions are only transferred from fluid phase (wastewater) to solid phase (adsorbents), and then various organic pollutants still remain in wastewater and adsorbents after adsorption. Moreover, remained organic pollutions lead to the secondary pollution in both of soil and water again [5]. Although chemical oxidation technologies can decompose a variety of organics, chemical consumption, energy input and secondary pollution are the barriers of this technique [6, 7]. Due to these shortcomings of the methods (adsorption and biodegradation) and chemical oxidation, some other advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), such as photocatalysis, are considered as promising techniques for degradation of the organic compounds [7, 8]. The fundamental of photocatalysis is that this process generates powerfully oxidizing ·OH radicals, which can decompose hydrocarbons to CO₂ and H₂O, and halocarbons to the mineral acid [9].

Heterogeneous photocatalytic oxidation process is one of AOPs for degradation of organic pollutions in wastewater by employing semiconductor catalysts such as TiO₂ and ZnO [10]. TiO₂ has been widely used owing to its high photo-activity, low cost, and non-toxicity [10-12]. Moreover, TiO₂ remains stable after the repeated catalytic cycles as the most active photocatalyst under the photon energy of 300 - 390nm. Other
than these, the multifunctional properties of TiO$_2$ catalyst (such as chemical and thermal stability or resistance of photo-corrosion) have promoted its wide application in photocatalytic wastewater treatments [13]. Photocatalytic activity of TiO$_2$ depends on its micro structural and physical properties. Modification of TiO$_2$ surface can promote the formation of electron-hole pairs so as to increase the production of hydroxyl radicals (OH$^•$) at the TiO$_2$ surface. The high concentration hydroxyl radicals facilitate the oxidation of organic molecules from surrounding [14]. Nevertheless, the barrier is that large band gap of 3.2 eV, TiO$_2$ can only be activated under ultraviolet (UV) irradiation, which only occupies less than 5% of the total solar irradiance at the earth’s surface [15].

Intensive studies have been carried out to obtain the visible light response of TiO$_2$ by a variety of modification methods. For instance, Cr, Fe, Mn, and V were doped into TiO$_2$ in some studies to make it respond to visible light [16-19]. The photocatalytic activities of transition metals doping TiO$_2$ photocatalysts were proven effective, but, the thermal instability of the transition metals leads to the poor stabilities of these types of catalysts [20]. Nonmetal ions doping, such as carbon doping has been also demonstrated as an effective method for preparation of visible light responsive TiO$_2$ photocatalysts [21-25]. The carbon dopant has been described either as an anion which replaces oxygen in the lattice or as a cation that occupies an interstitial lattice site. The formal oxidation states of carbon dopants vary from -4 (as carbides with Ti–C bond) to +4 (as carbonates with C–O bond). During the synthesis of flame pyrolysis of Ti metal sheet [26], annealing of Ti-C powders [27, 28] and sol–gel processes [29], Ti–C bond was formed [25]. Moreover, the photo response of TiO$_2$ can be shifted from UV to the infrared region by a carbon doping. Besides, photocatalytic ability of carbon-doped TiO$_2$ could be improved by decreasing the recombination rate of photo generated electron–hole pairs by doped carbon [30]. Because commercial carbon materials (e.g. activated carbon) have ubiquitous impurity, the appropriate carbon precursors are essentially needed to synthesize carbon modified TiO$_2$. 
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In order to improve the activity of TiO$_2$, one promising way is to prepare nanostructured material to increase the surface area of TiO$_2$ to directly relate to the activity. However, nano-sized TiO$_2$ particles may be very difficult to be removed by centrifugation and filtration. Thus, anchoring or embedding TiO$_2$ onto proper support materials is a promising method not only to avoid the loss caused by filtration, but also to obtain higher efficiency [31]. Recently, an intensive effort has been devoted to loading TiO$_2$ on different supports, such as TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$, TiO$_2$/zeolite, and TiO$_2$/carbon [32-35]. For example, carbon modified TiO$_2$, especially loading TiO$_2$ on activated carbon has been widely investigated. The high adsorption capability of activated carbon can enrich organic substrate around photocatalysts, promoting the pollutants transfer process and hence increasing the photocatalytic activity [30, 31, 36-38].

Recently, micro-carbon spheres have been drawn great attention owing to their high density, strength, unique spherical shape with a diameter of approximately 1 to 40 μm [39], and the potential large surface area. This study therefore aimed to investigate the synthesis of micro-carbon sphere modified TiO$_2$ photocatalysts and test them in photocatalytic decomposition of phenol in aqueous solution via UV-vis light. Commercial P25 TiO$_2$ and D-glucose were applied in synthesis.

7.2. Experimental

7.2.1. Materials

Titanium dioxide: P25 Titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) ($D_p=15-21$ nm and $S_{BET}=55.5$ m$^2$/g), was obtained from Degussa, Germany. It contains seventy percents of anatase and thirty percents of rutile. The titanium dioxide is the most active photocatalyst under the photon energy between 300 and 390nm, and maintains its stability after the photocatalytic reaction. TiO$_2$ has a Lewis-kind acid-base character, which absorbs pollutants and desorbs the intermediates and products. Nevertheless, it has a large band-gap between 3.0 and 3.2eV and also absorbs a small fraction of solar spectra corresponding to the UV region.
**D-glucose**: In this study, D-glucose was obtained from Sigma (99.5%). Glucose exists in several different structures, but all of these structures can be divided into two families of mirror-images (stereoisomers). Only one set of these isomers exists in nature, those derived from the "right-handed form" of glucose, denoted D-glucose. D-glucose is often referred to dextrose. The term dextrose is derived from dextrorotatory glucose. Solutions of dextrose rotate polarized light to the right. Starch and cellulose are polymers derived from the dehydration of D-glucose.

**Phenol** from Aldrich was used to prepare pollutant solutions.

### 7.2.2. Preparation of carbon sphere modified TiO₂

In a typical synthesis, 0.5 g P25 TiO₂ and 3.62 g D-glucose were dissolved in 80 mL ultrapure water, and then the mixture was stirred for 5 hours. The mixture was then transferred into a Teflon-lined autoclave (120 mL) and heated in an oven at a certain condition. After cooling to room temperature, the obtained suspension was filtered and washed by water for three times and ethanol for twice. The product was dried in an oven at 80 °C in air. Then the dried samples were annealed in nitrogen at 400 °C for 2 h at a heating rate of 5 °C/min in a tubular furnace. Later, the samples were calcined in the air at 350 °C in a crucible with a cover at heating rate of 5 °C/min for 2h.

The synthesis conditions were adjusted to optimize the photocatalytic activities. Synthesized samples in different conditions are summarized as follows.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>TiO$_2$ (g)</th>
<th>D-glucose (g)</th>
<th>Hydrothermal Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Hydrothermal Duration (h)</th>
<th>Calcined temperature in nitrogen(°C)</th>
<th>Calcined time in nitrogen(h)</th>
<th>Calcined temperature in air(°C)</th>
<th>Calcined time in air (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-Ti-1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Ti-2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Ti-3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Ti-4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Ti-5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Ti-6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Ti-7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Ti-8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Ti-9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>Temp</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>Temp</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Ti-10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Ti-11</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Ti-12</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Ti-13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Ti-14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Ti-15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.2.3. Adsorption and photocatalysis

The aqueous oxidation of phenol was carried out in a 1 L of Pyrex double-jacket reactor. A water bath connected with a pump was used to maintain the reaction temperature at 30 ± 0.5 °C, and a magnetic stirrer was used to maintain the catalyst dispersed uniformly in reaction solutions. In a typical run, 0.1 g of catalyst (0.5 g/L) was added into 200 mL of 20 ppm phenol solution and stirred for 30 min, and took the first sample. The irradiations were supplied by a MSR 575/2 metal halide lamp (575 W, Philips). The UV intensity (315 < k < 400 nm) was measured to be 60 μW/cm² and the visible light intensity (k > 400 nm) was 84 μW/cm². At each set time interval, 1 mL solution was withdrawn by a syringe and filtered by a 0.25 μm Millipore film into a HPLC vial. The concentration of phenol was analyzed on a 380-LC HPLC (Varian, USA) with a UV detector set at k = 270 nm. A C-18 column was used to separate the organics while the mobile phase of 30% CH₃CN and 70% water was flowing through the column at a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min. The adsorption test was carried out in the same system as photocatalytic reaction except irradiations. Therefore, the adsorption was preceded in absolutely dark condition.

Figure 7.1 Reaction system of photocatalytic reaction
7.3. Results and discussion

To clarify the relationship between reactivity of substrates and their degradation behavior over the catalyst surface, the degree of substrate degradation was defined as $C/C_0$. $C_0$ denotes the initial concentration of substrate in solution and $C$ denotes the equilibrium concentration of substrate in the solution after reaction with the respective catalysts at 30 °C. It is noted that all the samples attain the adsorption equilibrium within 30 min under this condition.

![Figure 7.2](image)

**Figure 7.2** Photocatalytic degradation of phenol by untreated microcarbon sphere modified TiO$_2$ catalysts and P25 TiO$_2$ under UV-vis light.

The photocatalytic performances of micro-carbon sphere modified TiO$_2$ catalysts synthesized at 150°C, 160°C for 1 and 3 hours, respectively, are shown in Figure 7.2. The experiments were carried out by using C-TiO$_2$ as catalysts to degrade 200 ml of 20 ppm of phenol under UV-vis light. It was found that P25 TiO$_2$ performed a better photocatalytic behavior compared with the same amount of C-TiO$_2$ catalysts. Synthesized C-TiO$_2$ catalysts did not show significant degradation. The best catalyst
was C-Ti-7 with 7% of phenol degradation in 180 min. The low degradation rates of these four synthesized photocatalysts were attributed to the carbon spheres which were produced during the hydrothermal process, and covered the surface of catalysts then caused the low photocatalytic activities in phenol degradation under the UV-vis light irradiation [27]. So, synthesized samples were then annealed in nitrogen at 400 °C for 2h at a heating rate of 5 °C/min in a tubular furnace to remove byproducts [40], and applied in further photocatalytic reactions as below.

**Figure 7.3** Photocatalytic degradation of phenol by treated microcarbon sphere modified TiO₂ catalysts (400 °C, 2hr in N₂) and P25 TiO₂ via UV-vis light.

Figure 7.3 shows the photocatalytic performances of micro-carbon sphere modified TiO₂ catalysts synthesized at 150 °C, 160 °C for 1 and 3 hours, and annealed in nitrogen at 400 °C respectively. The experiments were carried out by using C-TiO₂-2 (150°C 1hr, 400°C 2hr in N₂), C-TiO₂-5 (150°C 3hr, 400°C 2hr in N₂), C-TiO₂-8 (160°C 3hr, 400°C 2hr in N₂), C-TiO₂-11 (160°C 5hr, 400°C 2hr in N₂) and P25 TiO₂ as catalysts to degrade 200 ml of 20 ppm of phenol under UV-vis light respectively. Synthesized photocatalysts treated in nitrogen did not have any
photocatalytic activity in phenol degradation. The results indicated that the removal of byproducts covered catalysts would not improve the activities of samples. Microcarbon spheres have high density, and small diameter of approximately 1 to 40 μm, so TiO₂ might be encapsulated completely by microcarbon spheres [39]. In some studies, calcination in the air showed positive effects on the photoactivity of microcarbon sphere-TiO₂ photocatalysts [27, 28]. Therefore, further treatments of reducing the ratio of microcarbon spheres and byproducts in synthesized samples were possibly able to improve the photocatalytic activities of synthesized catalysts.

**Figure 7.4** Photocatalytic degradation of phenol by treated microcarbon sphere modified TiO₂ catalysts (400°C, 2hr in N₂ and 350°C, 2hr in Air) and P25 TiO₂ under UV-vis light.

The photocatalytic performances of micro-carbon sphere modified TiO₂ catalysts are showed in Figure 7.4. These samples were synthesized at 150 °C, 160 °C for 1 and 3 hours and annealed in nitrogen at 400 °C in a tubular furnace, then calcined in the air at 350 °C for 2h in a crucible with a cover at a heating rate of 5 °C/min respectively. The experiments were carried out by using C-TiO₂-3 (150°C 1hr, 400°C 2hr in N₂,
and 350°C 2hr in air), C-TiO2-6 (150°C 3hr, 400°C 2hr in N₂, and 350°C 2hr in air), C-TiO2-9 (160°C 3hr, 400°C 2hr in N₂, and 350°C 2hr in air), C-TiO2-12 (160°C 5hr, 400°C 2hr in N₂, and 350°C 2hr in air) and P25 TiO₂ as catalysts to decompose 200 ml of 20 ppm of phenol under UV-vis light respectively. Furthermore, to improve the photocatalytic activities of synthesized catalysts, three new samples were synthesized by using 1 g P25 TiO₂ in preparation stages. They are C-TiO2-13 (150°C 3hr, 400°C 2hr in N₂, and 350°C 2hr in air), C-TiO2-14 (160°C 3hr, 400°C 2hr in N₂, and 350°C 2hr in air), C-TiO2-15 (160°C 5hr, 400°C 2hr in N₂, and 350°C 2hr in air). After two stages treatments (nitrogen and air), C-TiO2-6 and C-TiO2-14 showed similar activities, could reach approximately 17% of phenol removal in 180 min. It was found that the increase of P25 TiO₂ used in synthesis process does not have significant effects on the photocatalytic activity of C-TiO₂ catalysts, but the calcination in the air increased the degradation rate from 3% to 17%. Similar observations were reported by other studies [27, 28].
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**Figure 7.5** Photocatalytic degradation of phenol by C-Ti-6 and P25 TiO₂ under visible light.
Figure 7.5 shows the performances of P25 TiO₂ and C-Ti-6 (150°C 3hr, 400°C 2hr in N₂, and 350°C 2hr in air) in photocatalytic degradation of phenol under visible light. The C-Ti-6 would increase the degradation rate of phenol under visible light, and 30% of phenol degradation was achieved in 180 min. On the other hand, P25 TiO₂ hardly showed the efficiency of phenol degradation in visible-light system. These experiments proved that P25 TiO₂ cannot be activated by visible light due to its large band. Further, after two treatments steps (nitrogen and air), synthesized microcarbon sphere modified catalysts showed a moderate photocatalytic activity for phenol degradation under visible light. In Lv et al.’s study, WO₃/TiO₂ carbon spheres photocatalysts had a photocatalytic activity in degradation of methylene blue (MB), but showed much lower degradation rate in the visible light in comparison to the UV photoactivity [41]. But in this study, results in Figure 5.4 and 5.5 indicated an opposite situation. The photoactivity of C-Ti catalysts performed a higher degradation rate under the visible light (30%) comparing with the tests under UV-vis light irradiation (17%). According to the studies by Saepurahman et al. [26, 42, 43], the optimal synthesis conditions of TiO₂-based photocatalysts with other preparation techniques (sol–gel method [29], and flame-made method [26]) are not same, and the apparent rate constant of organic degradation could be improved by the procedure of preparation such as catalyst loading, optical absorption, initial pH, light wavelength, and calcination temperature [26, 42, 43]. For this microcarbon sphere-modified TiO₂ photocatalyst (C-Ti-6), calcination in N₂ and air phases improved the visible light response ability of TiO₂ based photocatalyst significantly. It showed that microcabon sphere is a positive support material to modify commercial TiO₂ photocatalyst for degradation of organic compounds in aqueous phase under visible light. The micro-carbon spheres functioned for absorption in the visible light region, and acted as a photosensitizer which could be excited to inject electrons into the conduction of band of TiO₂. Yang et al. indicated that in C-Ti system, surface-adsorbed oxygen molecules could be transferred by the electrons, and the electrons formed superoxide anions, and then further transformed to OH• for degradation of organics [22].
Meanwhile, in other studies, precursors of TiO₂ were commonly used to synthesize microcarbon sphere-TiO₂ photocatalysts which showed high activity in degradation of organic compounds, such as phenol and methylene blue [27, 28, 44]. Although there is not comparatively high degradation rate of phenol by using synthesized photocatalysts, the synthesis method investigated in this study simplified the procedures and avoided employing hazardous materials, such as (NH₄)₂SO₄ and HCl [27], HNO₃ [37], and hydrofluoric acid [44]. Moreover, comparing pure commercial TiO₂, microcarbon sphere-modified TiO₂ photocatalyst can still be considered as a “green catalyst” with development potential in photodegradation of organic pollutants by employing solar energy which is free and environmentally friendly.
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**Figure 7.6** Removal of phenol with pure microcarbon sphere and adsorption study of C-Ti-6

Figure 7.6 shows the performances of pure microcarbon sphere for removal of phenol. It proved that microcarbon sphere did not have any photocatalytic activity, but achieved 15% of adsorption of phenol in 180 min, and 15.5% of phenol was removed by the adsorption of C-Ti-6. These results indicated that carbon spheres and microcarbon sphere modified-TiO₂ was not a suitable adsorbent for phenol. However,
Qian et al. reported carbon sphere-TiO₂ nano-catalysts posed much higher adsorption capacity for Cr(VI) than pure carbon sphere and TiO₂ [45]. For instance, the adsorption capacity of the TiO₂-microspheres is 18.1 mg/g for the initial 40.0 ppm concentration of Cr (VI), while Degussa P25 and pure carbon microspheres can only achieve 5.0 and 4.5 mg/g in the same conditions, respectively [45]. It indicated that microcarbon sphere modified-TiO₂ photocatalysts obtained in this study may have adsorption activity for metal ions in wastewater. Further, in multi-compounds (organics, inorganics, heavy metals and et al.) wastewater system, microcarbon sphere modified-TiO₂ photocatalysts are possibly able to perform good pollutants removal due to the abilities of photodegradation of organics and adsorption of metal ions, and this characteristic will be tested in future study.

7.4. Conclusions

Microcarbon sphere modified-TiO₂ photocatalysts were synthesized via a hydrothermal method using D-glucose as a carbon source and commercial P25 TiO₂ powder as a base material. The byproducts and carbon spheres were removed by calcination in N₂ and the air, respectively. The results indicated that the use of apposite calcination conditions can improve the photocatalytic activity of the material. C-Ti-6 showed positive photocatalytic activity in removal of phenol under visible light in comparison with P25 TiO₂.

In the future study, i) microcarbon sphere modified-TiO₂ catalysts will be tested for adsorption of metal ions to extend their application in wastewater treatment; ii) different calcination conditions, such as temperature and time, in the air are supposed to be studied; iii) though employing the precursor of TiO₂ in synthesis of microcarbon sphere modified-TiO₂ catalysts breaches the notion of “green chemistry”, the appropriate selection of precursor and synthesis method are a promising way to improve the photocatalytic activity of C-Ti catalysts under the solar light significantly.
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Chapter 8: Conclusions and Future Work
8.1. Concluding comments

The major objective of this research: to synthesize novel photocatalysts being capable to degrade organics in aqueous phase at room temperature and to investigate “green cobalt based catalysts” for degradation of organic pollutants via advanced oxidation processes, was successfully achieved as per the scheduled timeline. Various types of catalysts were synthesized with hydrothermal carbonization method or wet impregnation method, and used for degradation of phenol in aqueous phase with UV-vis and visible light irradiation. Titanates: ZnTiO₃, FeTiO₃ and Bi₄Ti₃O₁₂ were modified by coating cobalt (Co) to prepare photocatalysts. Microcarbon spheres were also synthesized by hydrothermal method, and used to support TiO₂ (C-TiO₂), nanoscaled zerovalent iron (nano-Fe⁰@CS), cobalt (micro-CS@Co) and graphitic carbon nitride (C-g-CN). All of these catalyst materials were tested for phenol degradation. Some of these synthesized catalysts were also examined for activating peroxymonosulfate (PMS, Oxone) for the decomposition of phenol. The major outcomes of this research thesis are outlined as below.

8.2. Photocatalytic activities of titanate supported cobalt catalysts

ZnTiO₃ (Zn), FeTiO₃ (Fe) and Bi₄Ti₃O₁₂ (Bi), were employed to prepare supported cobalt catalysts. The supported cobalt catalysts were prepared by a wet impregnation method, and used for photocatalytic and photocatalytic oxidation of phenol with peroxymonosulfate (PMS) or peroxydisulfate (PDS) under visible light irradiations.

Cobalt supported on titanates, ZnTiO₃, FeTiO₃ and Bi₄Ti₃O₁₂, were proven as efficient catalysts for photochemical oxidation of phenol by activation of PMS and PDS. The cobalt was suggested to be in the form of Co₃O₄, which would strongly influence the photocatalysis and photochemical behaviours from activation of sulphate oxidants of PMS and PDS. Co₃O₄ decreased the photocatalytic activity of ZnTiO₃ and Bi₄Ti₃O₁₂, but enhanced the activity of FeTiO₃. Generally, loading of Co₃O₄ would promote the photochemical efficiency in phenol removal by activation
of PMS, in which Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$ supported catalysts showed the best activities. Although the efficiencies of the titanate supported Co in activation of PDS was not as high as PMS, Bi$_4$Ti$_3$O$_{12}$ supported catalysts still provided the best activity. The stability of Co(5%)/Zn with PMS was further investigated and it was found that the activity remained at 85.4% phenol degradation in the fourth run.

8.3. Oxidative activities of microcarbon sphere supported nanoscaled zero valent iron catalysts

Nanoscaled zero valent iron (ZVI) encapsulated in carbon spheres (nano-Fe$_0$@CS) were fabricated via a hydrothermal carbonization method, using glucose and iron(III) nitrate as precursors. The structure of Fe$_0$@CS consisted of carbon spheres (6−8 μm) composed of fine carbon particles (50−200 nm) encapsulating nanosized ZVI (5−10 nm). The iron/carbon hybrids annealed at 550 °C showed a porous structure with high specific surface area and pore volume. The carbon facilitated a good Fe dispersion by an in situ reduction and increased the stability of nanoscaled ZVI. Fe$_0$@CS showed an efficient activity in producing oxidative radicals from PMS, thus leading to a superior performance in degradation of phenol to homogeneous iron/PMS and cobalt oxide/PMS. The higher activity of Fe$_0$@CS was attributed to the nature of ZVI and the unique structure. The core of the design was to fabricate a porous structure for attracting substrates, and to controllably release Fe ions to avoid the quenching of active radicals.

8.4. Oxidative activities of microcarbon sphere supported cobalt catalysts

Cobalt nitrate and glucose were employed to prepare microcarbon sphere supported cobalt catalysts (micro-CS@Co) via a hydrothermal carbonization method for chemical oxidation of phenol with peroxymonosulfate (PMS, oxone). New synthesized catalysts were proven having high activity from chemical oxidation of phenol by activation of PMS. Co$_3$O$_4$ crystallites were found on the surface of microcarbon. Microcarbon sphere supported cobalt catalysts exhibited high activity
for activation of PMS to produce sulphate radicals for oxidation of phenol. Several factors such as temperature, dosages of catalyst or PMS influenced the degradation of phenol. Although fresh micro-CS@Co, 400 °C showed the greatest activity, the micro-CS@Co, 500°C catalyst demonstrated as the most stable as well as environmentally friendly catalyst by the tests of multiple chemical oxidation experiments.

8.5. Photocatalytic activities of microcarbon sphere-modified graphitic carbon nitride catalysts

The graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄) was synthesized by directly heating melamine at 500 °C at a heating rate of 15 °C/min for 2 h, and microcarbon sphere modified graphitic carbon nitride (C-g- C₃N₄) composite photocatalyst was synthesized by treating g- C₃N₄ in D-glucose solution using a hydrothermal route at various temperatures and reaction times. The sample synthesized by the hydrothermal method at 180 °C for 3 hours showed the highest activity on phenol degradation under UV-vis light irradiation.

8.6. Photocatalytic activities of microcarbon sphere-modified TiO₂

Microcarbon sphere-modified TiO₂ (C-TiO₂) photocatalysts were synthesized using D-glucose as a carbon source and P25 TiO₂ as a base material via hydrothermal method. The byproducts and carbon templates were removed by calcination in N₂ and the air, respectively. The synthesis route can be defined as environmental green chemical method. The results indicate that the use of apposite calcination conditions can improve the photocatalytic activity of the material. C-Ti-6 showed better photocatalytic activity in removal of phenol under visible light in comparison with P25 TiO₂.

8.7. Recommendations for future work

This research was focused on the catalytic degradation of phenol in aqueous phase.
The results of this study showed that phenol can be successfully oxidized into byproducts using various complex catalysts under UV-vis and visible light irradiation or employing peroxymonosulfate (PMS) or peroxydisulfate (PDS) as oxidants. However, in view of the urgent need for more comprehensive solutions of removal of organic compounds in wastewater, the recommendations for future work are as follows:

(1) Unexpected toxic byproducts and intermediates are the main reasons what cause the secondary pollution in wastewater treatment processes. Previous studies indicated that parts of byproducts or target organic compounds could be adsorbed by catalysts. Therefore, detailed testing route is highly required to be investigated to determine both the byproducts and intermediates and their adsorption on the catalyst surface.

(2) Comparing with P25 TiO₂, newly synthesized micro-carbon spheres modified TiO₂ photocatalyst has showed better photocatalytic activity in phenol degradation with visible light irradiation. However, synthesizing TiO₂ by employing suitable precursor to take place of commercial P25 TiO₂ in the preparation of C-TiO₂ photocatalysts would be a possibility to further improve the activity of C-TiO₂ photocatalysts.

(3) Micro-carbon sphere materials have been synthesized successfully by a glucose hydrothermal method, and proven that these materials could enhance the stability of catalysts significantly due to their high-density, high-strength and unique spherical shape with a diameter of approximately 1 to 40μm. However, the influences contributed by micro-carbon sphere materials could be positive or negative due to the parameters of hydrothermal reaction. So, it is also high required to investigate the appropriate reaction pathway to dominate the characteristics, particle sizes, pore sizes, surface area and structures of micro-carbon sphere materials for improvement of their adsorption capacity and applications as support materials for more types of
catalysts.

(4) Our investigations showed that almost all of the newly synthesized catalysts were quite stable in multiple repeating tests. But, we suggest that detailed investigations of the surface of the used materials are still required to identify the root cause of the light reduction in phenol degradation reactions. Although SEM did not show any structure of micro-carbon spheres in the analysis of one newly C-g-C$_3$N$_4$ material, the activity of this catalyst was still better than some other materials. So some surface investigations are required to identify the reason.

(5) Graphitic carbon nitride materials were synthesized by heating the low-cost melamine, and showed photocatalytic activities. This unique material has been increasing great interest in photocatalysis technology applications. The preliminary results indicate that g-C$_3$N$_4$ would be another possible photocatalyst material that can work with visible light irradiation to degrade phenol in water. We suggest that co-catalyst, graphene-catalyst, TiO$_2$-catalyst would enhance the photocatalytic properties of g-C$_3$N$_4$. Moreover, using g-C$_3$N$_4$ or modified g-C$_3$N$_4$ materials to activate peroxymonosulfate or peroxydisulfate for oxidation of organic pollutants could be one potential possibility.