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Legal, social and economic factors have changed the delivery of care to people who have a mental disorder. Many of these people are now treated in the community and they live with or in close proximity to their family. The aim of this paper is to provide health professionals with an insight into the experience of being a caregiver to a person with a mental disorder. For these families caregiving becomes an integral part of everyday life.

Positive outcomes for both the caregiver and the ill family member are more likely to occur when effective levels of collaboration exist between health professionals and caregivers. Collaboration is enhanced when caregivers and health professionals value each other’s contribution to the ill family member’s care. Often the burden, stress, and socio-economic effects on the family caring for a person with mental illness is not sufficiently appreciated and further increases this burden. A review of the literature from the caregiver’s perception is presented. An increased understanding of the caregiving experience will enable health professionals to develop and implement strategies that facilitate positive outcomes for the caregiver and the ill family member.
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**Introduction**

In Australia, one person in five will at some stage in their life experience the burden of a major mental disorder (Australian Bureau of Statistics 1998). Historically, people who have mental disorders were isolated from society in large stand-alone mental institutions on the outskirts of cities. The health care philosophy that sustained these large institutions also perpetuated the image that these people were dangerous and, therefore, unable to live in society (Kelly & Stephens 1999).

Globally, social, legal and economic factors have dramatically changed the delivery of mental health care (Mechanic & Rochefort 1990). Deinstitutionalisation, or the process of moving large numbers of psychiatric patients from isolated stand-alone psychiatric hospitals to the community, began in the United States of America during the 1950s (Jones 1996, Wilding 2000). In Australia, the process of deinstitutionalisation followed a similar path and consisted of three stages: 1) the reduction in stand-alone in-patient psychiatric beds; 2) the expanded provision of community based care; and 3) the integration or mainstreaming of mental health care services with other components of health care (Australian Health Ministers 1998, Australian Health Ministers 2003).

The provision of mental health care in the least restrictive environment and the integration of psychiatric care into the mainstream health care system is now the focus of Australian national mental health policy (Australian Health Ministers’ Conference 1992, Australian Health Ministers 1998).
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Currently, it is estimated that one in four families have a member with a mental disorder (Heffern 1993). These families play an increasingly important role in facilitating the provision of mental health services in the community (Boland & Sims 1996). According to Skelton (1994) ‘the present policy of treating mentally ill people in the community could not exist without carers who bear the main burden of care. ... carers are the glue that holds the system together’ (p2).

Families were not always viewed as important and during the last century health professionals rejected and blamed them for contributing to the family member’s illness. This left many families with extreme feelings of guilt (Doornbos 1996). Several types of
mental disorders have now been reconceptualised as neurophysiological diseases with an associated chronic disability (Tuck et al 1997). Family is increasingly viewed as central to the rehabilitation and wellbeing of the ill family member. Moreover, community mental health care has shifted many of the responsibilities for the management of the family member’s health care needs and costs from the health care system to ‘the home care system’ (Smitka 1998). The ‘home care system’ has made families responsible for the physical, emotional, financial and social tasks associated with caring for a family member at home (Reinhard 1994). According to Camann (1996) ‘community care became by default family care with little understanding of the implications for families’ (p481).

While caring for a person with a mental disorder in the home environment sets the stage for purposeful and meaningful involvement in life (Roberts & Fitzgerald 1991), the family is also confronted with managing behaviours that are rarely encountered by most families (Reinhard 1994). When thrust into the caregiving role, many families display a range of responses and emotions such as fear, anger, self-blame, or guilt (Peternelj-Taylor & Hartley 1993).

In 1963 Grad and Sainsbury first described the strain on families posed by the caregiving role (Saunders 1997) and it is widely acknowledged that mental disorders create burden for caregivers (Rose 1996). Family functioning, relationships and roles, financial security and stability, level of mental health, coping and adjustment are altered, sometimes permanently, as a result of this burden (Bernheim & Lehman 1985, Marsh 1992, Jeon & Madjar 1998). In 1966 Hoenig and Hamilton described the objective and subjective components of the burden experienced by caregivers. Despite decades of research this burden is not well understood and remains a problem for families (Maurin & Boyd 1990, Rose 1997).

Why do families take on the caregiving role?

When assessing the level of burden a family experiences, it is important to identify the reasons why they make the commitment to care. A study by Jablensky and colleagues (1999) found that 42 percent of family caregivers of people with mental disorders were women and they were often the ill person's mother. Historically, there has been a gender and ideologically based assumption that it is natural for women to want to care (Lee 2001). As yet we do not fully understand the impact of the societal expectation that women will care for sick family members. While it is acknowledged that it is middle-aged women who are most likely to provide care (Orbell 1996), these women are themselves a very vulnerable group that it is middle-aged women who are most likely to provide care (Orbell 1996), these women are themselves a very vulnerable group. In 1966 Hoenig and Hamilton described the subjective components of the burden experienced by caregivers. Despite decades of research this burden is not well understood and remains a problem for families (Maurin & Boyd 1990, Rose 1997).

Understanding the caregiving experience

The caring experience has many dimensions (Bernheim & Lehman 1985, Browning & Schwirian 1994, Nankervis et al 1997) and poses demands which may last for years. The failure of health professionals to understand the family caregiving experience and the reinforcement of societal expectations that all families will take on the caring role (Jeon & Madjar 1998) may result in family members experiencing increased levels of burden. Many factors impact on the level of caregiving burden experienced by families and these include the developmental stage of the family (Cook et al 1994, Rose 1997), whether the ill member lives at home (Jones et al 1995) and socioeconomic status (Biegel et al 1994). Although the caregiver burden may not be obvious to people outside the family, it impacts on the family’s level of resilience and their continued commitment to care.

Camann (1996) reported that families often provided care with limited support and resources from the health care system. They received little information from health professionals and may not be included in the decision-making process. Families were left to construct their own meaning of the mental disorder, its causes and consequences and they received little financial support, incentive, acknowledgment, or assistance for the role they undertook (Jeon & Madjar 1998). Every member of the...
family is affected by the caregiving experience and, while community programs focus on the well being of the consumer, they do not generally support and meet the needs of the family. Caregivers must reconcile obligation and love for the family member with the emotions of fear, bewilderment, loneliness, exhaustion, depression, frustration, guilt, resentment, anger, and even hate (Karp & Tanarugsachock 2000, Chambers et al 2001). These emotions change regularly throughout different phases of the caregiving process. A significant problem appears to be the continual volume and volatility of emotions experienced by caregivers and ill family members. Caregivers reported that they were repeatedly trying to complete their obligations to the ill person while simultaneously managing an array of changing feelings and emotions (Karp & Tanarugsachock 2000, Wynaden 2003).

It is argued that the current body of knowledge on family caregiving has constrained the ability to understand the depth of the experience (Kellett 1996, 1997). Irrespective of our current level of understanding, family caregiving is increasingly a reality in an economic climate of reduced public spending on health and welfare services. According to Lee (2001) family care has been disguised by the ‘rhetoric of community care’ (p394) and although some researchers have demonstrated that families obtain satisfaction and a sense of self-worth from caregiving (O’Connor et al 1990, Cohen et al 1994) families continue to experience considerable burden (McKinlay et al 1995).

**Strategies for caregiver support**

Globally, mental health reforms have led to substantial changes in health care delivery (Lloyd & King 2003). While the increasing trend to provide mental health care within the community setting has obvious political and economic gains, the psychosocial and emotional impact of this strategy on family caregivers is much more difficult to measure. According to Backlar (1997), despite the large amount of research on family burden, there remains a lack of understanding about the relationship between caregivers, the cared for, and formal services. While the caregiving experience may have positive outcomes for some families it also brings burden, worry and an array of emotional responses (van Manen 2002). Whatever the reason behind the commitment to care, most families provide care with limited help, support, or recognition of their contribution.

Globally, mental health strategies advocate the involvement of caregivers in decision making, advocacy and outcome measures. These strategies encourage the engagement of carers at macro and micro level in clinical service planning and treatment decisions (Lloyd & King 2003). At a clinical level health professionals must work towards the inclusion of carers in a collaborative relationship, eliminating the gap between espoused policies and perceived reality. According to Backlar (1997) there may be vast differences between the therapeutic goals of clinicians and the outcomes evidenced in the daily lives of caregivers. While the success and expansion of community mental health care is dependent on the goodwill and health of families to care for ill family members (Walker & Dewar 2000), collaboration between health professionals and caregivers currently appears limited (Wynaden 2003).

Caregivers value the service provided to the ill family member but appear less satisfied with the extent to which their own needs are recognized (Solomon et al 1998). They require involvement with health professionals as well as information about the ill family member’s illness, management and the support systems available to them. Service providers may hold expectations that families will care for the ill family member yet fail to provide families with information to assist with this process (Pejlert 2001). Caregivers may be unable to express their needs and, therefore, health professionals need to consciously assess and address their day-to-day concerns (Chafetz & Barnes 1989). In 1993 the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission highlighted the need for families to have accessible support and access to acute care services to maintain the ill family member at home. This increased level of support is deemed necessary to ensure that the family remains committed to provide care within the community (Burdekin et al 1993).

The documented experiences of caregivers across a variety of enduring illnesses demonstrate similarity in the descriptions of expressed burden (Walker & Dewar 2000). In a national survey of 1500 carers, 59% reported their physical health was worse because of their caring responsibilities, while 85% identified detrimental changes to their level of emotional health (Carers NSW 2003). Of the 1500, those caring for a family member with a mental disorder reported that the negative attitudes of health professionals and the general public contributed to the deterioration in their own health status.

Strategies to assess impact and minimise the level of carer burden were not identified and/or implemented in the Western world prior to the process of deinstitutionalisation. These strategies may have included: the provision of adequate and effective support and services to caregivers; access to emergency services; education for health professionals regarding the needs of carers; and education of the general population about mental disorders, treatment and rights. These strategies may have decreased caregiver burden by reducing stigma and increasing the level of community support and understanding toward people with mental disorders and their families.

Health professionals whose philosophy of care encompasses assessment of the ill family member, the primary carer, family and social networks are more adept in developing a comprehensive picture of the stresses that regularly confront caregivers (Wynaden & Orb 2005). By acknowledging the human experience attached to caregiving, health professionals increase their awareness and understanding of the value and role caregivers play in the ill family member’s life.

Providing caregivers with appropriate support is a challenge for both health professionals and policy makers. This requires a new approach to policy and planning, educational preparation of health professionals, and strategies to foster collaborative partnerships with caregivers at all levels as required by the National Mental Health Plan 2003–2008 (Australian Health Ministers 2003). Education of the general population needs to take into consideration the important role and needs of caregivers caring for a person with a mental disorder if the reality of effective
community mental health care, as opposed to political rhetoric, is to be achieved. The Enhancing Relationships with Health Professionals, Consumers and Carers Project (Mental Health Council of Australia 2000) has identified a number of barriers to effective community care. Section 9 of this report outlines strategies to overcome these barriers, through five key areas of: consumer and carer participation; continuous quality improvement; collaborative implementation of mental health legislation; workplace reform; and education and training.

Allen (2000) argues 'empowering the clients and their caregivers can only be accomplished, if nurses are themselves empowered' (p151). Health professionals are often constrained in their ability to involve caregivers as a result of the acuity of illness and staffing levels in many health settings (Wynaden et al. 2001), leaving both caregivers and health professionals with feelings of disempowerment. Casey (1995) reported that the level of caregiver involvement was dependent upon health professionals’ perception of how and when caregivers should be involved. Many health professionals excluded carers because of prior negative interactions with them (Allen 2000). Caregivers have an unequal distribution of power when negotiating an active role in the ill family member’s care because the health care environment is alien to them and weighted in favour of the health professional (Walker & Dewar 2001). As a result caregivers may direct their anger and frustration towards health professionals which may lead to stereotyping of caregivers as emotionally volatile. These encounters may result in defensive interactions between health professionals and caregivers (Walker & Dewar 2001). Most government policies aim to facilitate the development of health services that are more accountable to consumers and caregivers (Mental Health Council of Australia 2000). In reality it is clinicians who bring about change and they must be cognisant of policy frameworks and be adequately supported and resourced.

The majority of caregivers are unfamiliar with the health care system. They are unsure of what contribution or role they can play in the affected family member’s care or what opportunities are available to them. What constitutes a satisfactory level of involvement may differ between caregivers, and professionals may be unclear about the caregiver’s level of involvement. Health professionals can improve collaboration with caregivers in a number of areas (Chambers et al. 2001, Lloyd & King 2003). These include: providing support; providing education on mental disorders, their management and dealing with family issues and conflict; working with families to decrease family distress or dysfunction; improving caregivers’ coping skills; improved communication with caregivers; information on how to access community services; dealing with caregivers’ emotions; involving the caregiver in long-term planning; and helping caregivers to adapt and change their care giving role over time. These areas provide a framework for ongoing collaborative involvement, which in turn can further increase the caregiver’s level of self-efficacy and self-confidence.

Community mental health care offers a more creative, flexible approach to the treatment of mental disorders in society (Australian Health Ministers 1998). While collaboration between health professionals and caregivers is advocated in policy documents, many health professionals do not fully understand what families experience when they make the commitment to care for an ill family member. With the third stage of the Australian National Mental Health Plan (2003-2008) currently being implemented under the National Mental Health Strategy (Department of Health and Aged Care 1997) there needs to be greater emphasis on collaborative consumer, professional, and carer strategies to improve communication, decision-making and the provision of support for families.

**Conclusion**

The introduction of mental health care into the community has made considerable progress over the last decade. However, recent reports highlight significant personal costs associated with care giving in the community. Families committed to caring for a family member with mental illness experience high levels of stress and socio-economic disadvantage. Health professionals and policy makers need to develop greater insight into the care giving experience, interact with caregivers more collaboratively, and implement strategies that facilitate better outcomes for all members of the family.
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