Abstract

α-Lactose monohydrate crystals have been reported to exhibit growth rate dispersion (GRD). Variation in surface dislocations has been suggested as the cause of GRD, but this has not been further investigated to date. In this study, growth rate dispersion and the change in morphology were investigated in situ and via bottle roller experiments. The surfaces of the (010) faces of crystals were examined with Atomic Force Microscopy. Smaller, slow growing crystals tend to have smaller (010) faces with narrow bases and displayed a single double spiral in the centre of the crystal with 2 nm high steps. Additional double spirals in other crystals resulted in faster growth rates. Large, fast growing crystals were observed to have larger (010) faces with fast growth in both the a and b directions (giving a broader crystal base) with macro steps parallel to the (c direction). The number and location of spirals or existence of macro steps appears to influence the crystal morphology, growth rates and growth rate dispersion in lactose crystals.
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1. Introduction

White and Wright [1] first reported a spread of an initially narrow sized crystal population in sucrose, which could not be explained by size dependent growth. They used the term growth rate dispersion (GRD) to describe the variation in growth rate among crystals of the same size while they grow under constant macroscopic conditions and it is still not understood well [2]. This causes widening of the crystal size distribution and is a significant factor in the control of the crystal size distribution (CSD) in batch crystallisers. GRD has a significant influence on particle size distribution of the crystalline product in industrial crystallisers especially in the low size range. The consequence of GRD is an increase in the numbers of small slow growing crystals and therefore a reduction of the mean particle size in both batch and continuous crystallisers [3]. Since then, many other crystals have been reported to exhibit growth rate dispersion, for example potash alum [3], citric acid [4], fructose [5], sodium chloride [6] and others [7]. It has been reported for crystals generated by both primary and secondary nucleation [8].

Possible causes of GRD are the specific surface structure of the growing crystal [9], varying degrees of strain in the crystal lattice [3, 10, 11], random surface adsorption [12] or physical incorporation of impurities, and fluctuation in supersaturation [13]. The nuclei growth rates were reported to be strain controlled at high growing supersaturation and dislocation controlled at low growing supersaturation [14, 15]. The surface integration step for crystal growth is thought to be the primary factor in mechanisms of growth rate distribution, and the Burton-Cabrera-Frank (BCF) [16] growth theory is often used to provide qualitative explanation of the growth rate dispersion phenomena. From the BCF theory, the growth rate of a crystal face is dependent on the number, sign and location of screw dislocations emerging at the surface of a growing crystal. A distribution of growth rates is a result of the varying dislocation networks and densities among nuclei and seed crystals [17].
Bennema stated that occasionally the growth rate of a certain face may vary by a factor of 1 to 5 for 1 to 5 collaborating spirals or may become zero below a certain supersaturation for the case of spirals of opposite sign or where dislocations are absent [18]. Secondary nuclei of sodium chloride crystals were reported to exhibit higher dispersion at smaller size together with zero growth rates [19]. This was explained to be the consequence of stress induced reduction of growth rates being dominant at smaller size. Gradual increase of size reduces the strain and contribution of dislocation becomes dominant. Recently, Pantaraks et al [20] reported that GRD is a result of the difference in microscopic surface roughness caused by surface nuclei generated on the surface of crystals. The growth history of crystals was found to have a significant effect on the future crystal growth rate. For example, a crystal grown in a high supersaturation environment was found to grow at a slower rate when moved to a lower supersaturation environment compared to crystals grown at low supersaturation over the same time.

The presence of GRD in lactose crystallisation was first reported by Visser [21] and he recommended further research, for instance in a growth cell. There have been three published works on the GRD in lactose crystallisation. Shi et al [22] reported that each crystal grows at its inherent constant rate but different crystals have different growth rates (CCG Model) in contact nucleation experiments. Liang et al [23] studied the effect of growth rate dispersion on lactose crystal size distribution from a continuous cooling crystalliser. A larger number of particles of smaller sizes than predicted by the standard population balance model were present and the semi-logarithmic population density versus crystal size plot showed a curvature at small sizes (less than 40 \( \mu \text{m} \)). They determined that the linear extrapolation technique used to calculate the growth rate in the cooling crystalliser overestimates actual growth rates by neglecting the high number of slow growing crystals. The crystal size distribution was successfully modelled using a two-component rate distribution indicating the existence of two distinct types of nuclei. These were classed as fast growers (product crystals)
and slow growers (fines). The average ratio of fast growers to slow growers was found to be 2.2 for lactose and 3 for sucrose by Liang and Hartel [24]. Dincer et al reported GRD in a seeded system and found that the four main faces of lactose crystal displayed GRD and it increased with increasing supersaturation and temperature [25].

The crystal structure of α-lactose monohydrate crystals has been reported [26]. The unit cell contains two lactose and two water molecules. The unit cell dimensions are \( a=7.982 \, \text{Å} \), \( b=21.562 \, \text{Å} \), \( c=4.824 \, \text{Å} \) and \( \beta=109.57^\circ \) (Monoclinic, P21). An important characteristic of α-lactose monohydrate crystals grown from aqueous solutions is an unequal development of opposing faces (i.e. the \((hkl)\) and \((\overline{h}k\overline{l})\) faces); in particular, the \((110)\), \((\overline{1}10)\) and \((010)\) faces are significantly larger than the \((\overline{1}\overline{1}0)\), \((1\overline{1}0)\) and the \((0\overline{1}0)\) faces, respectively, with the last face missing in many crystals. The crystal morphology is dominated by the \((0\overline{1}\overline{1})\), \((0\overline{1}1)\) and \((010)\) faces. Large \((100)\) and \((\overline{1}00)\) faces are also present. The resulting crystal is tomahawk-shaped as shown in Figure 1. Crystals of α-lactose monohydrate grow mainly in the \(+b\) direction. Van Krevald and Michaels [27] and later Visser [28] stated that the tomahawk shaped morphology of α-lactose monohydrate is caused by selective blocking of the \((0\overline{1}0)\), \((0\overline{1}1)\) and \((0\overline{1}\overline{1})\) faces by β-lactose molecules in the solution. Because both α and β lactose have the same galactosyl moiety, the α-lactose molecules of the crystal might form bonds as easily with the galactose moiety of a β molecule as that of an α molecule. In the tomahawk, the α molecules are orientated along the \(b\) axis, with all the glucose moieties pointing in the \(–b\) direction. Once integrated, a β molecule would inhibit further growth of α-lactose at that growth site. This was proved by Raghavan et al by analysing the β–lactose content of different sections of large lactose crystals [29]. The β-lactose content of the sections on the \(\{0\overline{1}\overline{1}\}\) faces of the crystal were found to be higher than other sections which resulted in higher strain on these faces as shown by X-Ray tomography.
In the absence of impurities, the size of the face is inversely related to the growth rate of the face. Fast growing faces are usually the smallest or grow out of existence. The morphology of crystals is determined by the slowest growing faces.

We have previously reported in situ growth rates of single α-lactose monohydrate crystals [25]. In these experiments, growth was followed for 3-4 hours and no change in morphology was observed over this time. Following this publication, longer in situ runs were investigated and in one of the experiments in which crystals were grown for four days (30 °C and 40g lactose /100g water concentration), the crystals with broader base (a direction) grew faster than all the other crystals with narrower bases and the none of the crystals with narrow bases developed broader bases (Figure 2). This observation prompted further investigation on morphological differences between slow and fast growing lactose crystals using atomic force microscopy (AFM), in an effort to understand what the differences between slow and fast growing crystals are.

In this research, the growth rate dispersion of lactose crystals and changes in crystal morphology were investigated in an in situ cell (stagnant) and in bottle roller (dynamic) conditions. For AFM investigation, measuring the growth rate of individual crystals and investigating the (010) face of the same crystals proved to be impractical. Instead crystals were grown in conditions which minimise secondary nucleation, breakage or agglomeration for 10 days to reach sizes which allows manual manipulation of crystals for imaging. The (010) faces of lactose crystals with different sizes were imaged and the relationship between surface structure, morphology and the growth rates were investigated.

2. Experimental Method

α–Lactose monohydrate powder (L-8783) was purchased from Sigma Chemicals. All seed preparations and growth experiments were performed at 30 °C, and lactose concentration
of 40 g α-lactose monohydrate in 100 g DI water. The supersaturation ratio of α-lactose, s, is calculated using equation given by Visser [30]:

$$s = \frac{C}{C_s - F K_M (C - C_s)}$$

C is total lactose concentration and $C_s$ is final solubility of lactose in g anhydrous lactose/100 g water, F, a temperature dependent factor for depression of solubility of α-lactose by β-lactose and $K_M$, $\beta/\alpha$ ratio of lactose in mutarotation equilibrium at the relevant temperature. At this concentration, the relative lactose supersaturation (s-1) was calculated to be 0.55.

*In situ* crystal growth experiments were performed to measure the growth rates of individual crystals using an apparatus developed by Reyhani and Parkinson [31] that consists of a Transmission type Optical Microscope, an *in situ* cell, a Grant W14 (Grant Instruments Ltd.) circulating water bath, Pulnix TM-9701 Camera (Progressive Scanning Full Frame Shutter Camera), and a Pentium II Computer. The *in situ* cell has two compartments: sample (upper) and circulating water for temperature control (lower) separated by circular thin glass cover slips. The volume of the sample compartment is 5ml. This set up allows *in situ* observation of crystal growth rates and morphology at constant temperature and supersaturation. Lactose seed crystals were prepared by dissolving (with heating) 40 g α-lactose monohydrate in DI 100 g water to 70 °C. After cooling to room temperature, the solution was filtered through a 0.45 µm filter membrane and placed in an ultrasonic water bath (Elma Transsonic T570 20 kHz) for three minutes to initiate nucleation at the same time. The quiescent solution was then covered and kept in a water bath at 30 °C for 24 hours, allowing seed crystals to grow. Fresh lactose solution along with a few drops of seed stock suspension were transferred into the cell and images of crystals were recorded over time, for durations between 3-6 hours. The length of crystals in the $b$ direction from the tip of the
crystal (L) and the width of the base of the in the a direction (W) were measured using the 
OPTIMAS Software (Adept Electronic Solutions). The growth rate of the (010) face was 
measured by calculating the slope of the length versus time plot. As the (0\textbar{}0) face does not 
grow in aqueous solution [27] (the length was measured from the tip of the crystal, the growth 
of adjacent faces is not measured), the calculated rate of increase in length by time is the 
growth rate of the (010) face. A total of 227 crystals were investigated in five experiments. 
Initial crystal size is the crystal size at the beginning of experiments which is after 24 hours 
after initiation of nucleation. Average values with standard deviation were calculated.

To follow the growth for longer duration of growth, seed crystals were grown in 
dynamic conditions. Nalgene bottles (100 ml) were filled with lactose solution and 1 ml of 
seed stock solution prepared using the same method. Bottles were placed in a bottle roller 
gently (3 rpm) rotating at 30 °C and were removed after 1, 2, 3, 6 and 7 days (filtered 
through 0.45μm filter membrane). For each sample, the length (L) in the b direction and 
width (W) of the base of the crystal in the a direction of lactose crystals were measured and 
the crystal size distribution was calculated (100-200 crystals). The average growth rate of the 
(010) face was calculated by dividing the crystal dimension (L) with duration of growth. The 
β-lactose content of crystals from day 1-7 and also small and large crystals in the day 7 batch 
were measured by GC [32]. GC samples were prepared and were derivatized in pyridine with 
TMSIM (N-trimethylsilylimidazole) [32] A Hewlett Packard 5890A Gas Chromatograph with 
a non-polar capillary column (BP 5) and a FID detector was used [33].

To investigate the surface of the (010) faces by AFM, crystals large enough to be 
handled needed to be grown. Crystals were grown in static condition for 10 days at the same 
supersaturation and temperature. The solution was filtered, crystals were washed with 
saturated water and water-ethanol mixtures (90, 50, 20 % water and finally saturated ethanol) 
and dried in an oven at 60 °C overnight. The crystal size in the b (L) and the a (W) directions
were measured. Growth rate was estimated by dividing the crystal dimension with the duration of growth. A total of 11 crystals corresponding to 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 µm/min growth rates were handpicked and mounted on to a removable adhesive (Bostic, Blu tack) to image the (010) face of the crystal. Images were obtained using a Digital Instrument Nanoscope E AFM, operated in the contact mode, in air, using Si$_3$N$_4$ 200µ triangular tip cantilevers with a spring constant of 0.12 N/m. All the images were collected in the height mode. Locations of spirals were marked on the optical images taken of the same crystal.

3. Results and Discussion

The secondary nucleation threshold (SNT) at 30 °C is 35.87 g anhydrous lactose/100g water which is equivalent to 38.50 g α-lactose monohydrate/100 g water [34]. Lactose concentration used in this work was 40 g α-lactose monohydrate/100g water which is 1.4 g/100 g above the SNT, therefore the formation of secondary nuclei is possible. In relative supersaturation terms, this exceeds the metastable limit by a modest 0.06 and hence by growing crystals in a stagnant environment or with a slow rotation, the effect of secondary nucleation was minimized, but a small amount of secondary nucleation was expected.

Results of the in situ growth experiments in which growth rate of individual crystals were measured show that lactose crystals exhibit growth rate dispersion (Figure 3(a)). For example, considering ~50 µm sized lactose crystals, growth rates ranged between 0.01 to 0.04 µm/min. The average growth rate was (0.021 ±0.007) µm/min. No indication of size dependent growth was observed in the size range investigated. Under comparable experimental condition, crystal growth was reported to be integration controlled [35]. The growth rate was calculated to be (0.015 ±0.004) µm/min for the bottle roller experiments from the slope of time versus size plot and (0.016 ±0.007) µm/min for stagnant growth experiments
by dividing the average crystal size by the duration of growth. The crystal growth rates calculated after 1 day for both the in situ and bottle roller experiments are identical and after which the growth rates slightly decrease which is a possible indication of secondary nucleation (Figure 3(b)). This is also indicated by the increase in standard deviation. Due to the low driving force, the extent of nucleation is small and hence does not result in a significant reduction of average crystal size. At 30 °C and (s-1) of 0.55, the average growth rate of the (010) face of lactose crystals is (0.017 ±0.003) µm/min, approximately 1 µm per hour.

During experiments, crystals grew at a constant rate and no reduction in growth rate was observed. However, as seed crystals were grown for 24 hours before growth rates were measured, it is not possible to comment if growth rates reduced gradually during earlier stages of growth as suggested by Zekic et al [36].

The change in crystal morphology can be quantified by the ratio of the length in the b (L) direction to that in the a (W) direction. It was observed that the L/W decreases with crystal size, especially above 300 µm, where it approaches approximately 1.5 (Figure 4(a)). When the L/W is plotted against the crystal growth rate of individual crystals, it was observed that high L/W ratios are not observed above growth rates of 0.03 µm/min. A low L/W ratio indicates a broader base, larger (010) face. This is an interesting observation as large crystals which are fast growing crystals displayed fast growth rates not only on the (010) face but also on the (110) and (101) faces. Optical imaging of smaller crystals shows that the (010) face seems to be narrow in the a direction with small W, which indicates that the growth rate of the (010) face is faster than the (110) and (101) faces. Volume diffusion has been reported to be influential only above growth rates of 0.4 µm/min [25] and 0.6 µm/min [37] therefore the changes in morphology cannot be attributed to volume diffusion.
The β-lactose content of crystals grown was found to (2.6 ± 0.6) % and there was no significant difference in β-lactose content of crystals grown for different times or between small and large crystals grown for 10 days.

AFM investigation of the (010) faces of lactose crystals was carried out using crystals grown in static conditions for 10 days. The average crystal size was 260 μm with an average growth rate of (0.016 ± 0.007) μm/min. It was not possible to manually handle crystals less than 150 μm in size. The minimum crystal size in the sample was 50 μm and 20% of crystals were less than 150 μm in size. Crystals were divided into three groups: small: less than 200 μm, medium 300-380 μm and large: larger than 380 μm. This usually corresponded to high, medium and low L/W ratios, respectively, with approximate growth rates of 0.01, 0.02 and 0.03 μm/min which were labelled as slow, average and fast growers. 50% of crystals were in the medium size range while only 10% of the crystals were in the large crystal group. While a small amount of secondary nucleation was observed, the small crystals imaged by AFM were unlikely to be secondary nuclei as the smallest AFM-imaged crystal was 150μm.

The details of AFM imaged crystals are given in Table 1. The average growth rates of the AFM imaged crystals was inversely correlated with the L/W ratios and directly correlated with the surface area of the (010) face. AFM investigation of these crystals uncovered significant differences in the surface characteristics. Slow growing crystals tended to have only one double spiral in the crystal centre of the (010) face (two spirals on one crystal imaged, S5), where the step height is 2 nm which corresponds to one unit cell height in the b direction (two lactose molecules) (Figure 5). The double spiral is not polygonised and has a steeper slope (smaller step spacing) for the steps parallel to the (110) face than the ones parallel to the (01̅ 1̅) face. Step spacing on each side of the spirals were found to be different. The average of measurements of four crystals showed spacings of 1040 nm and 695 nm for steps parallel to the (01̅ 1̅) and 518 nm and 372 nm for steps parallel to the (110) face.
Additional spirals on steps parallel to the \{0\bar{1} \ar{1}\} face were also observed. The steps parallel to the \{0\bar{1} \ar{1}\} face are affected by the presence of $\beta$-lactose [28] in the solution and hence these steps are usually rougher than the steps parallel to the (110) face. In particular, the edges of steps closer to the intersection of two steps are affected more.

Crystals exhibiting average growth rates seemed to have multiple spirals in and around the centre of crystals, numbering between 2 and 8 (Figure 6). The step height also starts increasing to 4 and 6 nm. Additional spirals seems to increase the growth rate in the a direction resulting in larger (010) faces.

For the fast growing crystals, the surface is covered by macro-steps parallel to the (110) face of the crystals, and the step height is around 4-10 nm high (Figure 7). The linear growth rate of a face is given by: $GR = \frac{V_{\text{step}} h}{y_o}$ where $V_{\text{step}}$ is the velocity of a step, $h$ is the step height and $y_o$ is the mean step spacing [38]. The linear growth rate of a face increases with increasing step height. Therefore the (010) face will grow faster. The micro-steps on the (010) face are parallel to the steps on the (110) and the (\bar{1}10) faces (unpublished data). These micro steps may provide continuous flow of growth steps for the (110) and the (\bar{1}10) faces which might increase the growth rate of these two faces. In large crystals, the (110) and (\bar{1}10) faces are smaller, sometimes growing out of existence which indicates faster growth rates. For the small and medium size crystals, there appears to be an increase in growth rate with an increasing number of spirals. Larger (010) faces covered with macro steps parallel to (110) are present in the fastest growing crystals.

The number and location of dislocations formed during nucleation and early stages of growth determines the growth rate of individual crystals and the growth rate stays constant.
4. Conclusions

The specific surface structure and growth rate of individual lactose crystals were found to be related, suggesting a link to growth rate dispersion in this system. AFM investigation of the (010) face of small lactose crystals revealed single double spirals in the centre of the (010) face. The step height is 2 nm high on the spirals observed. The growth rate of the (110) and (\(\overline{1}10\)) faces were lower than that of the (010) face, therefore crystals had smaller (010) faces and larger (110) and (\(\overline{1}10\)) faces. Crystals with more dislocations grow faster in the \(b\) direction. The position of the additional dislocations determines the morphology of the crystal. Crystals exhibited faster growth rates when additional spirals form on the steps parallel to the \(c\) direction, rather than on steps parallel to the \(a\) direction. The highest growth rates were observed on crystals which were covered by macrosteps (4-10 nm high) parallel to the \(c\) direction. Increase in step height greatly increases the growth rate of the (010) face. Large crystals had lower L/W ratios indicating broader based crystals. In these crystals the (110) and the (\(\overline{1}10\)) faces have high growth rates, and they therefore eventually grow out of existence. The difference in growth rates of small and large crystals could be explained by the formation of additional spirals and macro steps in large crystals. No significant difference in \(\beta\)-lactose contents of slow and fast crystals was found.

In this study, only limited numbers of crystals were examined with AFM but the changes in morphology with growth rate were tested in stagnant and dynamic systems with larger numbers of crystals. While a correlation has been found between surface structures and crystal growth rates, more research is needed to uncover the origins of these structural variations, and hence GRD, in lactose crystallisation.
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Figure 1 Miller indices of a tomahawk shaped α-lactose monohydrate crystal [27]
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Figure 5 The (010) face of the small crystals (S1) and AFM image of the spiral (optical image on the top indicates the position of the spiral on the (010) face of the same crystal

Figure 6 The (010) face of a medium size crystal and the AFM image of the centre of the crystal

Figure 7 The (010) face of a large crystal (L1) and AFM image of the centre of the crystal
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Table 1 Description of AFM imaged crystals
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystal ID</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>D</th>
<th># of Spirals</th>
<th>L/W</th>
<th>Area (µm²)</th>
<th>GR (µm/min)</th>
<th>GRave (µm/min)</th>
<th>Aave</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4416</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3840</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>2684</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5487</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>10716</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>8908</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>13937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>22188</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5720</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>81459</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>151497</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>104561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>80727</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>