Co-Deposition and Poisoning of Chromium and Sulfur Contaminants on La0.6Sr0.4Co0.2Fe0.8O3−δ Cathodes of Solid Oxide Fuel Cells
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The presence of both chromium and sulfur (Cr/S) contaminants on the microstructure and electrocatalytic activity properties of La0.6Sr0.4Co0.2Fe0.8O3−δ (LSCF) electrodes of solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) is studied, using Confocal laser Raman spectroscopy, XRD, scanning electron microscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and electrical conductivity relaxation (ECR) methods. LSCF dense bar samples were heat treated in the presence of Cr2O3 and 20 ppm SO2 and in the temperature range of 600–900 °C. The deposition and reaction products between LSCF and Cr/S depend on the temperature: SrCrO3 only forms on LSCF samples at 900 °C and 800 °C, while formation of SrSO4 phase occurs at all temperatures studied. The results indicate that sulfur shows a higher activity with LSCF, as compared to gaseous Cr species. Segregated SrO is more likely to react with gaseous Cr species at higher temperatures, however, reaction with SO2 is more pronounced at lower temperatures, forming SrSO4. ECR results indicate that co-deposition of Cr and sulfur significantly deteriorates the surface exchange and diffusion processes for the O2 reduction reaction on LSCF electrodes.

The durability of a solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) is critically related to the degradation behavior of its cathodes such as La0.6Sr0.4MnO3 (LSM) and La0.6Sr0.4Co0.2Fe0.8O3−δ (LSCF) in the presence of impurity species such as chromium from the chromia-forming interconnect, silica, boron and volatile alkaline elements from the glass seals sealant and sulfur from air1–8. Among them, gaseous chromium species vaporized from the chromium oxide scale of chromia-forming metallic interconnect are probably the most investigated contaminants affecting the performance of SOFC’s cathodes. The mechanism and process of the deposition and poisoning of chromium species at the cathodes of SOFCs have been extensively investigated, including LSM,9–11 LSCF12 and Ba0.5Sr0.5Co0.2Fe0.8O3 (BSCF).13 In the case of LSCF electrodes, deposition of Cr species preferentially take place on the surface of the LSCF electrode, resulted from the interaction between the segregated SrO and gaseous Cr species.14,15 Both the humidity in the air stream and operation temperature have a significant effect on the Cr deposition.16 Cr deposition decreases significantly with the decrease of temperature, most likely due to the reduced Sr segregation as well as the decrease of the partial pressure of gaseous Cr species at reduced temperatures.17

The sulfur in the form of SO2 or H2S in the air stream is another important contaminant affecting the performance stability of SOFC cathodes. SO2 content in air can be in the range of 10–340 μg m−3 (3.5 × 10−6 – 0.12 ppm) in cities.18 Despite the low concentration of SO2, sulfur in the air stream can accumulate at the cathodes of SOFC cells, degrading the performance.3 Wang et al.19 studied the polarization performance behavior of LSCF in the presence of 0.1 ppm SO2 and observed two-stages of performance degradation. A degradation mechanism based on the occupation of SO2 in the oxide ion vacancies and subsequent formation of SO3−δ and SrSO4 was proposed for the irreversible poisoning effect of SO2. Liu et al.20 showed that the performance of cells with a LSCF electrode becomes unstable in the presence of 1 ppm SO2 and drops significantly when the SO2 concentration increases to 20 ppm. The performance degradation is most likely due to the poisoning of SO2 and the formation of SrSO4. We studied recently the sulfur deposition and poisoning mechanism of LSCF electrodes and found that sulfur (i.e., SO2) reacts with LSCF, primarily forming SrSO4 phase at high temperatures (i.e., ≥700 °C) and SrS at low temperatures (i.e., <700 °C).21 Sulfur deposition shows a strong dependence on the temperature and is most severe at temperatures around 700 °C, indicating that sulfur deposition and poisoning on LSCF are closely related to the operating temperature of fuel cells.21

In SOFC stack operation conditions, both chromium and sulfur source exist. Horita et al.3 studied the effect of impurities on the performance stability of the flatten tubular SOFC stack and found that the concentrations of contaminants like Cr, Si and S increase with the operation time. Schuler et al.4 studied the air side contaminants under SOFC stack configuration. Post-analyses of the cells showed that performance degradation is mainly due to the contaminants at the cathode originated from the upstream of the cell. Further studies22 on the Ni–YSZ anode-supported thin YSZ electrolyte cells with the LSM/YSZ composite cathodes showed the formation of Sr(Cr,S)O4 in the LSM/YSZ composite cathode layer after tested for 1900 h at 800 °C, indicating the presence of Cr and S contaminants. However, there appear no reports on the deposition and poisoning of LSCF cathodes in the presence of both Cr and S contaminants.

In this study, the presence of both Cr and S contaminants on the microstructure and surface diffusion properties of LSCF cathode materials is investigated from 900 to 600 °C on LSCF dense bar samples in air. The results indicate that sulfur deposition and poisoning effect is more dominant as compared to the chromium particularly at temperatures ≤700 °C under the conditions of this study. Electrical conductivity relaxation (ECR) results also indicate that Cr and S poisoning strongly deteriorate the surface exchange and diffusion processes for the O2 reduction reaction on LSCF electrodes.

Experimental

La0.6Sr0.4Co0.2Fe0.8O3−δ powders (LSCF, Fuel Cell Materials) were pressed into a rectangular bar at 300 MPa, and then fired at 1350 °C for 5 h in air to form dense LSCF bar samples. The size of the sintered samples is 25 mm × 6.6 mm × 0.62 mm. The LSCF bar samples were heat treated at temperatures from 900 °C to 600 °C in the presence and absence of Cr2O3 and 20 ppm SO2 (SO2 in N2, BOC
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Figure 1. Schematic diagram of LSCF bar samples for the ECR test in the presence of Cr₂O₃ and 20 ppm SO₂.

Cr₂O₃ was used as the source of gaseous Cr species and flow rate of SO₂ was 20 sccm. The conductivity relaxation profiles of LSCF bar samples were measured by a standard four-probe method using a measurement system consisting of a Digital Multimeter (Keithley 2001) equipped with a computer and a program written using the LABVIEW 8.5 software. Pt wires were used as the leads, which were attached to the Pt electrode using Pt paste. The measurements were carried out at a selected temperature of 900 °C with oxygen partial pressure changing from 0.05 bar to 0.21 bar in the presence of Cr and S, respectively, and in the presence of both Cr and S (or Cr/S). The electrical conductivity data of the bar samples were recorded as a function of exposed time and the experimental data were fitted to the theoretical equations²³ to calculate the oxygen surface exchange coefficients, $k_{\text{chem}}$. The experimental setup for the ECR measurement and co-deposition of Cr and S of LSCF bar samples is shown in Figure 1.

XRD (D8 Advance, Bruker, Germany) and Confocal laser Raman spectroscopy (WiTec GmbH, Ulm Germany) techniques were used to examine the phase composition of LSCF bar samples. The morphology and microstructure of the bar samples after the heat-treatment at different temperatures in the presence of Cr and S species were studied by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive spectroscopy using a Zesis EVO with 20 keV. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed using a Kratos AXIS Ultra DLD instrument using monochromated Al Kα X-rays (energy 1486.7 eV). A pass energy of 40 eV was used for the core level spectra. The binding energy (BE) scale was calibrated with respect to the adventitious carbon component of the C 1s peak fixed at 284.8 eV. Background subtraction and peak fitting were performed with Casa XPS. Results and Discussion

XRD and microstructure.— Figure 2 shows the XRD pattern of as-prepared LSCF as well as LSCF bar samples after heat-treatment in the presence of Cr₂O₃ and 20 ppm SO₂ (or Cr+S) at different temperatures for 48 h. The as-prepared LSCF shows typical XRD patterns associated with rhombohedral perovskite structure (curve a, Fig. 2). Diffraction peak at 2θ = 29° was observed for LSCF samples heat treated at 900°C and 800°C and can be identified as the formation of SrCrO₄ phase,²⁴ while for the sample heat treated at 700°C and 600°C, peak at 2θ = 27° associated with the formation of SrSO₄²⁵ was detected. The formation of SrSO₄ phase is also observed on the LSCF samples after the heat-treatment in the presence of SO₂ when the temperature is equal to or lower than 700°C.¹⁷

Figure 3 is the SEM micrographs of the LSCF surface after the heat-treatment in the presence of Cr₂O₃ and 20 ppm SO₂ in air at different temperatures for 48 h. For the purpose of comparison, the SEM micrographs of LSCF surface after the heat-treatment in the presence of Cr₂O₃ or 20 ppm SO₂ in air at different temperatures²⁶,²¹ were also shown in the figure. There are significant increases in both the size and number of deposits on the LSCF surface. In the case of LSCF samples treated at 900°C in the presence of Cr₂O₃ only, the size of deposited particles is as large as 2 μm (Fig. 3a), indicating the significant reaction of the gaseous Cr species from Cr₂O₃ with LSCF. For the LSCF sample heat-treated at 900°C in the presence of SO₂ for 48 h, there is a formation of large number of small (∼80 nm) and large (∼700 nm) particles and the formation of the particles appears to be random on both the grain boundaries and the

Figure 2. XRD diffraction patterns of as-prepared LSCF and LSCF bar samples after heat-treatment in the presence of Cr₂O₃ and 20 ppm SO₂ at different temperatures for 48 h. a) as-prepared, b) 600°C, c) 700°C, d) 800°C, e) 900°C.

Figure 3. SEM micrographs of LSCF surface after heat-treatment for 48 h at (a,b,c) 900°C, (d,e,f) 800°C, (g,h,i) 700°C, and (j,k) 600°C. The scale bar applies to the micrographs of the same column.
surface of LSCF grains (Fig. 3b). However, for the samples heat-treated at 900 °C in the presence of Cr and S for 48 h, large number of deposited particles in the size \( \sim 1.0 \, \mu m \) were observed (Fig. 3c). Compared with the one in the presence of Cr or S (Fig. 3a and 3b), significantly more deposited particles were formed on the surface, which indicates the additive deposition effect of Cr and S on the surface of LSCF in the presence of both Cr and S contaminants.

In the case of the presence of Cr contaminant, the size and number of the deposits decrease rapidly with the decrease of temperature (Fig. 3d, 3g), indicating that the deposition rate of Cr species on the surface of the deposits decrease rapidly with the decrease of temperature. The significant decrease in the Cr deposition on LSCF electrodes is mainly due to the fact that as the temperature decreases from 900 °C to 700 °C, the partial pressure of gaseous chromium species and surface segregation of Sr decrease, thus significantly slowing the deposition process of chromium species. Significant deposition and formation of large and small particles were also observed for the LSCF bar sample heat-treated at 800 °C in the presence of SO\(_2\) (Fig. 3e). Very different from that observed for the Cr deposition, the most remarkable formation of deposited particles occurs on the LSCF electrodes after heat-treatment in the presence of SO\(_2\) at 700 °C (Fig. 3h). The surface of LSCF sample is almost completely covered by the deposits formed on the surface of LSCF grains and the particles formed are strontium sulfate, SrSO\(_4\), as shown previously. As the temperature decreases to 600 °C, the sulfur deposition is substantially reduced with isolated deposits on the LSCF surface (Fig. 3j).

The deposition behavior in the presence of both Cr and S contaminants is very different from that observed in the presence of individual Cr or S. In the temperature range studied, the deposit formation on the surface of LSCF electrodes appears to be most significant at 900 and 700 °C (Fig. 3c and 3i). The significant deposit formation for the reaction at 900 °C is most likely dominated by the Cr deposition and this is supported by the prominent phase formation of SrCrO\(_4\) (see Fig. 2). The substantial deposit formation also occurs on the LSCF electrodes at 700 °C (Fig. 3i). However, the phase of the deposited particles is dominated by strontium sulfate, SrSO\(_4\), rather than SrCrO\(_4\) as detected by XRD (Fig. 2). It is in accordance with the severe sulfur poisoning effect on LSCF as a function of temperature. Nevertheless, the size of the deposits formed on the surface of the LSCF electrode is in the range of 1–3.5 μm (Fig. 3i), very different from that formed in the presence of S only after the heat-treatment at the same temperatures (Fig. 3h). This may indicates the complex effect of the co-presence of Cr and S contaminants on the deposition and grain growth of the SrSO\(_4\) dominated deposit phase. When the temperature decreased to 600 °C, the numbers of the particles formed on the LSCF surface decreased substantially with numerous fine particles (35 nm) (Fig. 3k). This indicates the significantly reduced activity between LSCF and Cr+S at temperatures below 700 °C.

**Phase analysis of the deposits.**—The formation of deposited particles on the surface of LSCF bar samples was further investigated using confocal Raman spectroscopy. Figure 4 is the optical microscopy images and Raman spectra of the LSCF surface after heat-treatment at 900 °C and 800 °C in the presence of Cr and S contaminants for 48 h. As shown in Figure 4g, the Raman spectra of the deposits on the LSCF surface with a wavelength of 865 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1000 cm\(^{-1}\) correspond to the phases of SrCrO\(_4\) and SrSO\(_4\). From the Raman mapping image in Figure 4e–4f, it can be easily seen that isolated and large SrCrO\(_4\) particles were formed on the LSCF surface at 900 °C, while as the SrCrO\(_4\) particles formed at 800 °C were much smaller most likely due to the reduced partial pressure of gaseous Cr species. The reduction in operation temperature can significantly slow the deposition process of chromium species on LSCF cathodes. The detection of SrSO\(_4\) phase by the Raman spectroscopy and not by XRD also indicates the high sensitivity of Raman spectroscopy techniques for the study of sulfur deposition. However, when the temperature decreased to 700 °C, the Raman spectroscopy only detects the presence of SrSO\(_4\) and no Raman shift signal associated with SrCrO\(_4\) is observed (Fig. 5d), consistent with the XRD result. Raman image mapping with wavelength of 1000 cm\(^{-1}\) shows the dominant formation of SrSO\(_4\) phase (Fig. 5b). The results indicate that sulfur poisoning is dominant at lower temperatures (i.e., 700 °C) with the formation of the SrSO\(_4\) as the reaction products.

The composition and phase of the deposits on the LSCF surface was further investigated by XPS. Figure 6a shows the selected survey scan of the deposits on the LSCF surface after heat-treatment at 800 °C, indicating the presence of Sr, Cr, S, La and O elements. The deconvolution of Sr 3d\(_{3/2,5/2}\) in LSCF generally shows that the Sr 3d peaks are mainly consisted of two distinctive peaks of 3d\(_{5/2}\) at lower binding energy (BE) ranges and 3d\(_{3/2}\) at relatively higher BE ranges.
Figure 5. Optical microscope images of LSCF surface after heat-treatment at (a) 700°C and (c) 600°C in the presence of Cr₂O₃ and 20 ppm SO₂. (b) Raman mapping at wavelength of 1000 cm⁻¹ corresponding to SrSO₄ and (d) Typical Raman spectra from selected areas of LSCF surface at 700°C. Scale bar applies to all graphs.

For the LSCF samples heat-treated at 900°C, deconvolution analysis indicates that Sr 3d spectrum is comprised of 4 peaks at 134.0 eV, 135.8 eV, 131.7 eV and 134.5 eV at, respectively. Based on the XPS data that the BE of Sr in SrO is 132.8 eV and 133.8 eV in SrCO₃, the main peaks in LSCF measured at 134.0 eV and 135.8 eV are originated from the SrSO₄ phase, while the BE at 131.7 eV (Sr 3d₅/₂) and 134.5 eV (Sr 3d₃/₂) is correlated to the formation of the perovskite phase showing a charge state of Sr²⁺. At 800°C, the Sr 3d spectrum is similar to that measured at 900°C, comprised of peaks at 134.1 eV (Sr 3d₅/₂), 135.8 eV (Sr 3d₃/₂), 131.2 eV (Sr 3d₅/₂) and 132.9 eV (Sr 3d₃/₂). At 700°C, the Sr 3d spectrum is dominated by peaks at 134.3 eV (Sr 3d₅/₂) and 136.1 eV (Sr 3d₃/₂), and the peak intensity at 131.3 eV (Sr 3d₅/₂) and 133.0 eV (Sr 3d₃/₂) is relatively weak. At 600°C, the Sr 3d spectrum is mainly comprised of 2 peaks at 134.2 eV (Sr 3d₅/₂) and 135.9 eV (Sr 3d₃/₂).

In general, for each type of sulfur species, there is a doublet consisting of S 2p₃/₂ and S 2p₁/₂ (spin-orbit coupling) with the intensity ratio of 2:1. The results show that the BE of the deconvoluted S 2p₁/₂ spectra is 168.5 eV, 168.6 eV, 169.2 eV and 168.7 eV at 900°C, 800°C, 700°C and 600°C, respectively (Fig. 6e), which is in accordance with the reported XPS results of SrSO₄. The detection of the main peaks of Sr and S spectra associated with SrSO₄ indicates that deposition and formation of SrSO₄ phase occurs on the surface of LSCF at the temperature range in this study. This is in excellent agreement with the Raman spectroscopy results.

Figure 6. (a) typical XPS survey scan at 800°C and selected high resolution XPS of (b) Sr 3d, (c) S 2p, (d) O 1s, (e) Cr 2p and (f) La 3d of LSCF bar samples after heat-treatment at different temperatures and in the presence of Cr and S contaminants for 48 h.
Table I. Phase formation and analysis by XRD, XPS and Raman spectroscopy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>SrCrO₄</th>
<th>SrSO₄</th>
<th>La₂(SO₄)₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

XRD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Detection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SrCrO₄</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrSO₄</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La₂(SO₄)₃</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Raman

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Detection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SrCrO₄</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrSO₄</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La₂(SO₄)₃</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

XPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Detection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cr(III)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La²⁺</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*nd—not detected.

Various oxygen species of oxide materials can be identified with the BE differences. The O 1s peak, typical of perovskite materials, consists of three components at about 529, 531 and 532 eV which are usually attributed to lattice, surface, and adsorbed oxygen, respectively. For the LSCF samples that were heat treated at 900 °C and 800 °C, the low BE (529.5–529.6 eV) of the deconvoluted O1s spectra is associated with the lattice oxygen; intermediate BE (531.7–531.8 eV) associated with surface oxygen; high BE (533.2–533.1 eV) with adsorbed oxygen. The high BE is related to the oxygen-containing species which occurs relatively near the surface and/or surface OH group caused by hydroxyl environment. For the sample that was heat-treated at 700 °C, the BE of the deconvoluted O1s spectra is 532.5 eV, which could be correlated to the adsorbed oxygen species or carbonate. At low temperature of 600 °C, the BE of the deconvoluted O1s (531.7 eV, 533.1 eV) may correspond to the chemisorbed oxygen in the form of O²⁻ and the oxygen-containing species.

In the case of Cr 2p spectra measured at different temperatures, no obvious Cr 2p spectra was detected at 600 °C and 700 °C, meaning that the Cr deposition at temperatures equal to or below 700 °C is not favorable. Cr 2p spectra were observed for the LSCF samples heat-treated at 900 and 800 °C. After deconvolution, the BE of the Cr 2p₁/₂ is 585.6 eV and 585.7 eV at 900 °C and 800 °C, respectively, while the BE of the Cr 2p₃/₂ is 575.9 eV and 576.3 eV at 900 °C and 800 °C, respectively. This is consistent with the BE of 586.2–586.9 eV for Cr 2p₁/₂ and 576.4–577.0 eV for Cr 2p₃/₂ in Cr³⁺-containing compounds. However, it is difficult to identify BE of 588.1–589.1 eV for Cr 2p₁/₂ peak and 578.3–579.8 eV for Cr 2p₃/₂ peak associated with Cr⁶⁺ compounds. The XPS analysis data confirm the presence of Cr³⁺, but not Cr⁶⁺ on the LSCF surface at 900 and 800 °C. XPS technique provides both elemental and, to a certain extent, chemical information in the top 3–30 atomic layers (10–100 Å) of the samples. The dominant spectra associated with Cr³⁺ may indicate that surface of the Cr deposit particles is covered by Cr₂O₃ while the core is SrCrO₄ as detected by XRD (Fig. 2).

The La 3d₅/₂ peak was observed at 833.0 eV for the sample heat-treated at 900 °C, similar to the value of 833.8 eV for as-prepared sample, and shifted to 834.1 eV, 836.3 eV and 835.4 eV as temperature decreased from 800 °C to 600 °C (Fig. 6f). The BE of the La 3d₅/₂ peaks agrees with that reported by Wu et al. for La₀.₅Sr₀.₅MnO₃ pellets with a main line at 834.1 eV. The exposure at lower temperatures, especially 700 °C, resulted a shift to a higher BE (836.3 eV), indicating the possible formation of La₂(SO₄)₃. The La 3d₅/₂ peak was observed at 833.0 eV for the sample heat-treated at 900 °C, similar to the value of 833.8 eV for as-prepared sample, and shifted to 834.1 eV, 836.3 eV and 835.4 eV as temperature decreased from 800 °C to 600 °C (Fig. 6f). The BE of the La 3d₅/₂ peaks agrees with that reported by Wu et al. for La₀.₅Sr₀.₅MnO₃ pellets with a main line at 834.1 eV. The exposure at lower temperatures, especially 700 °C, resulted a shift to a higher BE (836.3 eV), indicating the possible formation of La₂(SO₄)₃.

Table I summarizes the phases formed on the surface of LSCF dense bar samples after heat-treatment in the presence of Cr and S at different temperatures, based on XRD, Raman and XPS analysis. The results indicate that in the presence of Cr and 20 ppm SO₂, the deposition and reaction products between LSCF and contaminants are dependent on the temperature: SrCrO₄ only forms at high temperature of 900 and 800 °C, while SrSO₄ forms at the temperature ranges studied.

**Oxygen surface exchange coefficient.**—Figure 7 is the electrical conductivity relaxation profiles of LSCF samples measured at 900 °C as a function of exposure time in the absence and presence of Cr, S and Cr/S. In the absence of contaminants, the initial relaxation time is around 400 s. The oxygen exchange coefficients, $k_{\text{chem}}$, of

![Figure 7](image-url)
as-prepared LSCF measured is $6 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ at 900°C, respectively, and decreased slightly with the heat-treatment time. In the case of the ECR relaxation profiles of LSCF samples measured in the presence of contaminant, the relaxation time increased from 700 s to 2500 s after being exposed to SO$_2$ for 48 h, respectively. The results also show that the $k_{\text{chem}}$ value is $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, $9 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at 900°C in the presence of Cr, S and Cr/S after exposed for 48 h, respectively. The results indicate that the co-presence of chromium and sulfur contaminants is significant detrimental to the electrocatalytic activity of LSCF cathode materials.

Conclusions

The deposition and poisoning of the co-presence of chromium and sulfur contaminants were investigated on LSCF bar samples at temperature range of 900–600°C. SEM microstructure analysis indicates that the interaction between LSCF and chromium and sulfur contaminant species depends strongly on the temperature and deposition on the surface of LSCF surface is most significant at temperature of 700°C. XRD, Raman and XPS analysis demonstrated that the content of SrCrO$_4$ and SrSO$_4$ on the surface of LSCF cathode materials can lead to the significant reduction in $k_{\text{chem}}$ for the O$_2$ reduction on LSCF electrodes, indicating that the co-presence of chromium and sulfur contaminants is significant detrimental to the electrocatalytic activity of LSCF cathodes of SOFCs.
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