

1 Running head: DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

2

3 **Quested, E. and Duda, J. and Ntoumanis, N. and Maxwell, J. 2013. Daily fluctuations in the**  
4 **affective states of dancers: A cross-situational test of basic needs theory. Psychology of Sport and**  
5 **Exercise. 14 (4): pp. 586-595.**

6

7 Daily Fluctuations in the Affective States of Dancers: A Cross-Situational Test of Basic  
8 Needs Theory

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

### 1 **Abstract**

2 Objectives: This study tested the generalizability of basic needs theory (BNT; Deci & Ryan,  
3 2000) across situations in which dancers learn and perform within vocational dance.

4 Specifically, we examined the inter-relationships between daily and typical perceptions of  
5 autonomy support, basic psychological need satisfaction, and changes in affective states,  
6 across dance situations that were divergent in their learning and evaluative potential (dance  
7 classes, rehearsals, and performances). Genre differences were also examined.

8 Design: A one-month diary study examined the inter-relationships between typical and daily  
9 perceptions of autonomy support, basic psychological need satisfaction and positive and  
10 negative affect among dancers studying three distinct genres and in three situations (classes,  
11 rehearsals, performances).

12 Method: Fifty-five dancers completed a series of scales tapping the variables of interest.

13 Abbreviated versions of the scales were completed before (affective states) and after  
14 (affective states, basic needs and autonomy support) dance classes, rehearsals and

15 performances over four weeks. Analyses tested the BNT sequence across the learning and

16 performance situations. Interactions between typical and state experiences were tested. Cross-  
17 genre comparisons were also made.

18 Results: Results partially supported the BNT sequence across classes, rehearsals and  
19 performances. There were situational differences in the salience of each need as a predictor of  
20 affective states. When comparing genres, some differences were also found in perceived  
21 autonomy support, basic need satisfaction and affective states.

22 Conclusions: Findings point to the importance of promoting autonomy supportive dance  
23 teaching to facilitate dancers' day-to-day experiences of well-being.

24 *Key words:* Basic needs, autonomy, competence, relatedness, autonomy support, dance

25



## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

1 capability and efficacy to carry out targeted actions (White, 1959). Lastly, to feel cared for  
2 and a sense of belongingness is reflective of relatedness need satisfaction (Baumeister &  
3 Leary, 1995). The universality hypothesis, central to BNT (Ryan & Deci, 2002), predicts that  
4 basic need satisfaction is a universal need, regardless of culture, context and situation.

5         As a meta-theory of human behavior, SDT recognizes that need fulfillment does not  
6 occur automatically; numerous studies have identified variability in the degree of basic  
7 psychological need satisfaction experienced (Ryan & Deci, 2002). For human beings to  
8 realize their potential, function optimally, and be ‘well’, the social environment must provide  
9 conditions that will cultivate basic psychological need satisfaction (Deci & Ryan, 2000). The  
10 degree of autonomy support provided by social agents is one social-environmental variable  
11 that is often hypothesized to have implications for basic psychological need satisfaction.  
12 When leaders create so-called ‘autonomy supportive’ climates (Black & Deci, 2000; Reeve,  
13 Bolt, & Cai, 1999), they are empathetic and promote volition and choice. Studies undertaken  
14 in sport (Adie, Duda, & Ntoumanis, 2008), exercise (Edmunds, Ntoumanis, & Duda, 2006),  
15 physical education (Ntoumanis, 2001) and vocational dance (Quested & Duda, 2010) settings  
16 have examined between-person associations between perceptions of autonomy support, basic  
17 psychological need satisfaction and ensuing health-related consequences. These  
18 investigations have **tended to find that** typical perceptions of autonomy support provided by  
19 coaches, teachers or instructors to positively relate to basic psychological need satisfaction  
20 and, indirectly, to indices of well-being and optimal functioning among those engaged in  
21 these different physical activity-related situations.

22         In terms of the potential day-to-day impact of the social environment on the  
23 satisfaction of basic needs, less is known. Reis and colleagues (2000) identified that the  
24 nature of students’ social experiences (e.g., doing fun things, hanging out with others, feeling  
25 self-conscious) was associated with the degree of basic psychological need satisfaction and

1 well-being afforded each day (Reis et al., 2000). However, the implications of within-person  
2 variability in perceptions of the social environment, such as the degree of autonomy support  
3 created by significant others, have largely been neglected in BNT-based diary studies. From a  
4 pedagogical perspective, it is important to also target specific teacher behaviors that may  
5 facilitate versus forestall basic psychological need satisfaction each day among those engaged  
6 in the learning setting in question. In a recent diary study undertaken in athletic settings,  
7 Bartholomew et al (2011) found athletes' experiences of autonomy support during training to  
8 be a strong predictor of that days' basic need satisfaction. Changes in positive affect (but not  
9 negative affect) during training were predicted by need satisfaction experienced during  
10 training.

### 11 **Perceived Autonomy Support, Basic Psychological Need Satisfaction and Different** 12 **Dance Genres**

13         Within vocational dance, dancers usually specialize in a particular genre. While ballet  
14 environments are typically regarded as authoritarian and controlled (Aalten, 2005), the  
15 contemporary genre is typified by freedom, personal expressiveness and exploration. Thus,  
16 the first major purpose of this study was to test the BNT hypothesis with respect to the  
17 dancers' major genre of study. To address this aim, we compared daily levels of perceived  
18 autonomy support, basic psychological need satisfaction and reported affective states  
19 between dancers partaking in classes in three genres that vary in terms of the structure and  
20 degree of individual input. In addition to ballet and contemporary, we also targeted Chinese  
21 dance. This genre stems from a traditional heritage that has developed over hundreds of years  
22 and is typified by ritual and a specific movement vocabulary and technique, with minimal  
23 personal expressiveness and novelty. We hypothesized that dancers engaged in ballet and  
24 Chinese dance classes would report lower perceptions of autonomy support and BPNS after  
25 classes than their contemporary dance counterparts.

### 1 **BPNS and Day to Day Experiences in Learning and Performance Settings**

2 Dance training classes represent one salient setting in the daily educational  
3 experiences of a vocational dancer. To date, the universality hypothesis, central to BNT  
4 (Ryan & Deci, 2002), has not been tested across learning and more evaluative performance-  
5 related situations, in which there may be variability in the salience of social-environmental  
6 cues and the potential for basic need satisfaction. Cross-sectional research (e.g., Quedest &  
7 Duda, 2010), in which dancers are asked to consider the ‘typical’ environment manifested in  
8 their dance school, precludes the possibility of delineating between the motivational  
9 atmospheres created in different achievement-related situations, such as (in the context of  
10 dance) the more evaluative settings of rehearsals and performances. SDT hypothesizes that  
11 the basic needs are essential for optimal human functioning and well-being regardless of  
12 culture or context (Ryan & Deci, 2002). Therefore, using a diary methodology and in the  
13 context of vocational dance, our second aim was to examine BNT across three situations in  
14 one context (Vallerand, 1997). Specifically, in the context of vocational dance schools, we  
15 explored whether the inter-relationships between perceptions of autonomy support, basic  
16 psychological need satisfaction, and changes in affective states, varied across dance situations  
17 that were divergent in their learning and evaluative potential (dance classes, rehearsals, and  
18 performances). We chose to focus our investigation on these different situations within the  
19 vocational dance context as they vary with respect to function (i.e., learning, practicing,  
20 performing) and as such, are likely to provide different degrees of opportunity to feel a sense  
21 of autonomy, competence and relatedness. Extending the work of Bartholomew et al (2011)  
22 whose analysis centered on a composite needs variable, we tested the presumed universal  
23 BNT sequence ‘autonomy support – basic psychological need satisfaction - well-being’ (Deci  
24 & Ryan, 2000; Ryan & Deci, 2002) with the needs represented separately, in three targeted  
25 settings. In past cross-sectional research in sport and vocational dance, respectively, there has

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

1 been only partial support that the needs individually contribute to athletes' and dancers' well-  
2 being (Adie et al., 2008; Quested & Duda, 2010). Specifically, in Quested and Duda's (2010)  
3 study, autonomy need satisfaction was unrelated to the affective states dancers typically  
4 experienced. In their investigation, Adie et al. (2008) found competence need satisfaction to  
5 be unrelated to athletes' reported emotional and physical exhaustion. In both studies, there  
6 were also differences in the strength of the relationships between perceived autonomy support  
7 and the three needs, and between these needs and the targeted outcome variables. These  
8 authors have explained their findings by suggesting that the importance of the different needs  
9 in predicting affective states may be dependent on need "salience" within a specific setting.  
10 In the present study, we were able to explore this possibility by examining the inter-  
11 relationships between each basic need and affective states in three situations (class, rehearsal  
12 and performance) and across three dance genres (ballet, contemporary and Chinese).

13 Perceptions of autonomy support (measured after classes, rehearsals and  
14 performances) were hypothesized to positively predict post-event ratings of autonomy,  
15 competence and relatedness, across the different settings. Changes in positive affect and  
16 negative affect during the event were expected to be related to perceptions of autonomy  
17 support and need satisfaction (positively and negatively, respectively) in all three situations.  
18 Based on the "need salience" argument, we anticipated competence to be a stronger predictor  
19 of affective states in the more competence-focused rehearsal and performance settings,  
20 whereas autonomy and relatedness were expected to be **equally** salient predictors of changes  
21 in affective states experienced during classes.

### 22 **Typical and Daily Experiences of Autonomy Support and BPNS**

23 Past work has pointed to the importance of considering determinants of person- *and*  
24 state-level fluctuations in daily well-being (Reis et al., 2000). Bartholomew et al (2011)  
25 revealed daily experiences of basic need satisfaction in training settings to be enhanced when

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

1 athletes generally perceived their coaches to be autonomy supportive. However, general  
2 experiences of basic psychological need satisfaction in the sport or dance setting could also  
3 be an important determinant of daily changes in affective states. This implies a multilevel  
4 extension of SDT, which has recently been examined in the contexts of work settings (Liu,  
5 Chen, & Yao, 2011; Liu & Fu, 2011), relationships (Patrick, Knee, Canevello, & Lonsbary,  
6 2007) and sport (Gaudreau, Fecteau, & Perreault, 2010; Bartholomew et al., 2011). For  
7 example, (Moller, Deci, & Elliot, 2010) revealed that individuals with low-level person  
8 relatedness tend to experience lower general positive affect, and also lower positive affect at  
9 the event (or state) level. In past cross-sectional work in dance, Quested and Duda (2010)  
10 suggested that ‘the norm’ of low autonomy in dance settings (particularly implicating ballet)  
11 may explicate the non-significant relationship between autonomy need satisfaction and  
12 dancers’ experiences of negative affect. The authors’ argument centered on the point that if  
13 low autonomy has traditionally been the norm in classes, feelings of low autonomy may be  
14 less likely to impact upon the dancers’ experiences of negative affective states when  
15 measured at one point in time. Nevertheless, BNT would predict that optimal functioning  
16 would be forestalled among these dancers over time. Thus, as a third major aim, we examined  
17 whether the general degree of autonomy support as well as dancers’ general degree of  
18 autonomy, competence and relatedness satisfaction experienced in their school (termed  
19 “typical level” throughout this paper) contributed towards changes in the dancers’ daily  
20 experiences of affective states in each class (and also in the more evaluative settings of  
21 rehearsal and performance), over and above their daily experiences of autonomy support and  
22 basic psychological need satisfaction. We also tested a series of cross-level interactions  
23 between typical and daily experiences of autonomy support and BPNS to examine whether  
24 the cross-level interactions were significant in predicting changes in affective states during  
25 dance classes.



## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

1 time, the dancers completed the initial questionnaires (person-level measures) in a dance  
2 studio and under the supervision of the primary researcher.

3 The dancers collected diary booklets from the primary researcher on a weekly basis.  
4 Each booklet contained sufficient sections for the dancers to complete diary entries  
5 immediately before and after every *daily technique class* undertaken in their major genre for  
6 a period of four consecutive weeks. **Dancers were asked to report the date and time that each**  
7 **entry was completed.** At the end of each week, dancers placed the completed diaries in a  
8 secure 'drop box'. The diaries were completed anonymously; the dancers were asked to  
9 create an easily memorable personal code (based on their date of birth and number of  
10 siblings) and this code was used to match up the data. Compliance to the diary completion  
11 protocol was variable. The number of class diaries completed per participant ranged between  
12 five and twenty one. The median number of completed entries was fifteen. Altogether, 792  
13 class diary entries were completed. The ratios of participants to days to variables were  
14 favorable when compared with other studies employing a diary methodology (Gagne, Ryan,  
15 & Bargmann, 2003).

16 Of the main sample, forty-two dancers (8 male, 31 female, 3 gender unspecified  $M_{\text{age}}$   
17 = 21.23 years,  $SD = 2.47$ ) also completed diary entries before and after *daily rehearsals*  
18 during the first two weeks of the study. The number of rehearsal diaries completed per person  
19 ranged between five and ten (median = 5), resulting in a total of 380 rehearsal entries. These  
20 dancers also completed diary entries before and after four *group performances* at the end of  
21 the second week. Dancers performed in a large theatre in front of a live audience.

### 22 **Person-Level Measures**

23 All written materials were translated from English into Chinese by a researcher in the  
24 field whose first language is Chinese. The Chinese versions were back translated into English  
25 by a second academic whose first language is Chinese. The primary investigator evaluated

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

1 the accuracy of the back translation. Any discrepancies between the two versions were  
2 discussed with the back translator and a solution was agreed upon. Dancers had the option of  
3 using English or Chinese versions of the study materials. **Five dancers selected to complete**  
4 **the English versions of the materials.** The initial multi-section questionnaire was completed  
5 one week prior to the start of the diary data collection. Dancers supplied some personal  
6 information including their age, gender, how many years they had been dancing and their  
7 major genre of study. In reference to their prevailing experiences in their school over the past  
8 few weeks, the dancers completed the following measures:

9 **Autonomy support.** Seven items (e.g., “My teachers encourage me to ask questions”)   
10 adapted from the Health Care Climate Questionnaire (Williams, Grow, Freedman, Ryan, &  
11 Deci, 1996) measured the dancers’ perceptions of the degree of autonomy support provided  
12 in their vocational dance school. Dancers were asked to respond to the items thinking about  
13 the typical environment manifested in their school over the past few weeks. Items followed  
14 the stem “In this dance school...” and were gauged on a scale from one (*strongly disagree*) to  
15 seven (*strongly agree*). The modified 7-item scale has demonstrated acceptable factorial  
16 validity and reliability in past research involving vocational dance students (Quested & Duda,  
17 2010). Internal reliability in the present data set was high ( $\alpha = .91$ ).

18 **Basic need satisfaction.** The dancers were asked to think about how they felt when  
19 participating in dance at their school “over the past few weeks” when responding to the three  
20 BPNS subscales. Six items (e.g., “I feel free to express my ideas and opinions”) gauged on a  
21 scale of one (*not at all true*) to seven (*very true*) tapped satisfaction of the need for autonomy  
22 (Deci et al., 2001). The validity and reliability of the autonomy need satisfaction measure has  
23 been supported in past research with athletes (Reinboth & Duda, 2006). The 5-item  
24 acceptance subscale from the Need for Relatedness Scale (Richer & Vallerand, 1998) was  
25 used to tap relatedness need satisfaction. A Likert scale ranging from one (*strongly disagree*)

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

1 to five (*strongly agree*) accompanied the items (e.g., “I feel understood”). The perceived  
2 competence subscale from the Intrinsic Motivation Inventory (McAuley, Duncan, &  
3 Tammen, 1989) assessed the dancers’ perceived competence. Dancers responded to the five  
4 items (e.g., “I am satisfied with my dancing”) on a scale of one (*strongly disagree*) to seven  
5 (*strongly agree*). The reliability and validity of the scales tapping competence and relatedness  
6 need satisfaction have been demonstrated in recent studies involving British vocational  
7 dancers (Quested & Duda, 2010). The three basic need subscales demonstrated good internal  
8 reliability in the present study (Autonomy  $\alpha = .85$ , Competence  $\alpha = .84$ , Relatedness  $\alpha = .89$ ).

9       **Affective states.** A measure of positive and negative affect (PANAS) (Watson,  
10 Clark, & Tellegen, 1988) was employed to tap the dancers’ positive and negative affective  
11 states experienced “over the past few weeks”. The twenty items tapping positive affect (e.g.,  
12 “enthusiastic”) and negative affect (e.g., “afraid”) followed the stem “I have generally  
13 felt...”. The validity and reliability of the PANAS have been demonstrated in previous  
14 studies in the dance domain (Quested & Duda, 2009a, 2010). The internal reliability  
15 estimates were high for the positive ( $\alpha = .84$ ) and negative affect subscales ( $\alpha = .89$ ).

### 16 **Diary Measures**

17       Diaries were made up of selected items from the initial questionnaire to measure  
18 autonomy support, autonomy, competence and relatedness satisfaction, as well as positive  
19 and negative affect. Before each class, rehearsal and performance, dancers responded to ten  
20 items tapping their affective states “at this moment in time/right now”, on a scale one (*not at*  
21 *all*) to five (*extremely*) scale. The ten items followed the stem “I feel...”. The factorial  
22 validity of this shortened version of the PANAS has previously been supported (MacKinnon  
23 et al., 1999). After the event in question, the dancers rated the same items tapping their  
24 affective states at that point in time. The dancers were also asked to respond to a series of  
25 items thinking about how they felt in the event they had just attended. This section of the

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

1 diary included two items randomly selected from each of the initial measures tapping  
2 autonomy support, and autonomy, competence and relatedness satisfaction. For simplicity  
3 and parity, all items were gauged on a scale of one (*strongly disagree*) to seven (*strongly*  
4 *agree*). In the class diaries, autonomy support and BPNS items followed the stem “in this  
5 class”. When necessary, minor tweaks were made to the stem and item content to relate  
6 specifically to rehearsal and performance situations.

### 7 **Data Analysis**

8         Firstly, we examined mean level differences in the targeted variables among dancers  
9 specializing in ballet, contemporary and Chinese dance. As significant differences were  
10 evident, we controlled for genre as a level-two predictor in the multilevel analyses, so as to  
11 partial out any effect of this variable upon the results. Multilevel modeling (MLM) with  
12 version 2.14 of the MLwiN software (Rasbash, Charlton, Browne, Healy, & Cameron, 2009)  
13 was employed as the primary analysis tool. MLM is particularly useful when there are  
14 missing observations since it does not assume equal number of measurement occasions for all  
15 individuals (Snijders & Bosker, 1999). MLM is suitable when data are hierarchically  
16 structured, such as when events (e.g., classes; level one) are nested within persons; level two  
17 (Snijders & Bosker, 1999). The level one model captures the extent to which each dancer’s  
18 perceptions of autonomy support, basic need satisfaction and affective states fluctuate over  
19 time. In the first step of each analysis, the daily measures of the three basic needs and  
20 perceptions of autonomy support were modeled (in separate models), as predictors of post-  
21 event positive and negative affect. The level two predictors (‘typical’ level perceptions of  
22 autonomy support and autonomy, competence and relatedness satisfaction in school, **reported**  
23 **in full length questionnaires completed one week before the diary study**) were examined via  
24 the level two model and added to the model in the second step of each analysis. These person

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

1 level variables were centered on the sample means and daily measures were centered on each  
2 individual's mean for the variable in question (Singer & Willet, 2003).

3 Cross-level interactions (Reis et al., 2000) between typical level and daily measures of  
4 autonomy support, autonomy, competence and relatedness satisfaction were added to the  
5 level two model. Significant interactions were probed (Aiken & West, 1991) and the  
6 significance of the simple slopes was tested (Preacher, Curran, & Bauer, 2006).

### 7 **Results**

#### 8 **Preliminary Analyses**

9 Prior to the main analysis, daily class diary data were reduced to aggregate scores by  
10 averaging the daily data for each variable. Table 1 presents descriptive statistics and  
11 correlations between the typical level and aggregated daily class measures (descriptive  
12 statistics and correlation matrices for the rehearsal and performance datasets are available  
13 from the first author on request). Mean scores of the typical level variables indicated that the  
14 dancers' usually perceived their instructors to provide moderately high autonomy support.  
15 Autonomy, competence and relatedness need satisfaction were above the scales' midpoints.  
16 The dancers typically experienced positive affective states more than negative emotions. The  
17 direction of correlations between variables measured at the typical level, as well as at the  
18 daily level, conformed with BNT (Deci & Ryan, 2000). Preliminary MLM analysis revealed  
19 gender differences in positive and negative affect. **Specifically, females reported higher**  
20 **negative affect and lower positive affect than males.** Therefore, we controlled for gender in  
21 all models.

#### 22 **Perceived Autonomy Support, Basic Psychological Need satisfaction and Different** 23 **Dance Genres**

24 A series of multilevel models were tested to examine whether there were significant  
25 differences in the dancers' daily perceptions of autonomy support, need satisfaction and

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

1 positive and negative affective states as a function of the main genre in which the dancers'  
2 trained. In each model, the magnitude of the beta associated with each predictor was  
3 statistically tested against the reference category via contrast coding.

4         There were genre differences in perceptions of daily autonomy support (ballet = 0,  
5 contemporary = 1 ( $b = -0.18, p = .53$ ), Chinese = 2 ( $b = -.86, p = .04$ )). Specifically, those  
6 specializing in Chinese dance perceived their daily classes to be lower in autonomy support  
7 than their ballet and contemporary peers. Chinese dancers also reported lower autonomy  
8 (ballet = 0, contemporary = 1, ( $b = 0.43, p = .23$ ), Chinese = 2 ( $b = -1.05, p = .04$ )),  
9 competence (ballet = 0, contemporary = 1, ( $b = -0.43, p = .13$ ), Chinese = 2 ( $b = -0.82, p =$   
10  $.03$ ) and relatedness (ballet = 0, contemporary = 1, ( $b = -0.28, p = .35$ ), Chinese = 2 ( $b = -$   
11  $0.88, p = .03$ )) need satisfaction in dance classes on a daily basis. Dance genre differentially  
12 predicted changes in daily positive affect in class (controlling for pre-class positive affect;  
13 ballet = 0, contemporary = 1 ( $b = 0.47, p < .001$ ), Chinese = 2 ( $b = -0.11, p = .43$ )). Genre  
14 also significantly predicted changes in daily negative affect (ballet = 0, contemporary = 1 ( $b$   
15  $= 0.69, p < .001$ ), Chinese = 2 ( $b = 0.10, p = .65$ )). These analyses revealed that contemporary  
16 dancers reported more pronounced changes in daily positive and negative affect than the  
17 ballet and Chinese dancers.

### 18 **Typical and Daily Experiences of Autonomy Support and Basic Psychological Need** 19 **Satisfaction in Class, Rehearsal and Performance Situations**

20         MLM techniques were employed to examine the interrelationships specified in BNT  
21 (Deci & Ryan, 2000). Gender and genre were included as fixed predictors in a baseline model  
22 upon which all subsequent models were built. In the first step of each analysis concerning  
23 changes in daily positive or negative affect, the pre-event measure of the corresponding affect  
24 was included as a predictor to control for any 'carryover' effect from the beginning to the end  
25 of the event. Models were built in two stages. In step one, the targeted daily variables were

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

1 modeled as random between-person (where the between-person variance was found to be  
2 non-significant, they were entered as fixed) predictors of change in positive or negative affect  
3 during the event in question. In step two, the typical level measures of the targeted  
4 variable(s), and the product terms of daily and typical (e.g., daily autonomy support x typical  
5 autonomy support) were simultaneously added to the equation as fixed level two predictors.

6 **Class.** In step one, the dancers' daily perceptions of autonomy support during class  
7 positively predicted changes in positive affect during class. In step two, typical perceptions of  
8 autonomy support and the typical x daily product term were significant determinants of  
9 changes in positive affect (see Table 2). In this latter equation, the contribution of autonomy  
10 support measured at the daily level remained significant. When added to the baseline model,  
11 these predictors explained 21% of the variance in the dancers' changes in positive affect  
12 during classes, which is an indicator of effect size (Snijders & Bosker, 1999).

13 The typical x daily autonomy support interaction significantly predicted changes in  
14 positive affect. This effect suggests that dancers experience highest positive affect after class  
15 when typical *and* class-specific perceptions of autonomy support are high. The simple slopes  
16 for high and low typical autonomy support were significant (high:  $b = .36, p < .001$ , low:  $b =$   
17  $.48, p < .001$ ).

18 Dancers' perceptions of daily autonomy support in class negatively predicted changes  
19 in negative affect. The level two predictors did not significantly relate to changes in changes  
20 in negative affect during class. Three percent of the variance in changes in negative affect  
21 was explained by these predictors.

22 This analytical approach was replicated to determine whether basic psychological  
23 need satisfaction experienced during class related to changes in positive affect. In the level  
24 one model, daily competence and relatedness satisfaction during classes positively predicted  
25 changes in positive affect during class. When typical level needs and the typical x daily needs

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

1 interaction terms were added to the model, daily post-class autonomy, competence and  
2 relatedness and the autonomy daily x typical interaction term significantly predicted changes  
3 in daily positive affect but typical level basic psychological need satisfaction did not. Probing  
4 the interaction, we found that when typical autonomy need satisfaction was low, autonomy  
5 need satisfaction during classes predicted increased positive affect ( $b = .15, p < .001$ ). On the  
6 contrary, when typical level autonomy need satisfaction was high, changes in positive affect  
7 were largely unaffected ( $b = -.04, p = .20$ ) by whether daily autonomy need satisfaction in  
8 class was high or low. Eighteen percent of the variance in changes in positive affect was  
9 explained.

10         Daily competence, relatedness, and autonomy satisfaction during class negatively  
11 predicted changes in negative affect during class. Typical level needs did not significantly  
12 predict changes in negative affect during class ( $ps < .05$ ). Seven percent of the variance in  
13 changes in negative affect was explained in the equation specified in step two.

14         **Rehearsal.** In step one, daily perceptions of autonomy support positively predicted  
15 changes in positive affect during rehearsals. In step two, typical perceptions of autonomy  
16 support positively predicted the changes in the dancers' positive affect from beginning to the  
17 end of the rehearsal. Twenty two percent of the variance in changes in positive affect was  
18 explained by the predictors in this model. Changes in negative affect during rehearsals were  
19 not significantly predicted by perceptions of autonomy support during the rehearsal or typical  
20 perceptions of autonomy support. Eight percent of the variance in changes in negative affect  
21 was explained in this model.

22         Next, the daily basic needs were modeled as predictors of changes in positive affect  
23 during rehearsals. In step one, post-rehearsal autonomy and relatedness, but not competence  
24 satisfaction, significantly predicted changes in positive affect during rehearsals. In this model,  
25 typical relatedness satisfaction, as well as daily autonomy and relatedness, significantly

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

1 predicted changes in positive affect during rehearsals. Changes in negative affect during  
2 rehearsals were significantly predicted by post-rehearsal ratings of competence need  
3 satisfaction, but not by daily autonomy or relatedness need satisfaction or typical levels of  
4 these basic needs. The predictors explained 15% of the variance in change in negative affect  
5 during rehearsals.

6       **Performance.** Daily perceptions of autonomy support did not significantly predict  
7 changes in positive affect during performance. In step two, typical levels of autonomy  
8 support did not emerge as significant predictors of performance-related changes in positive  
9 affect. Fifteen percent of the variance in changes in positive affect during performance was  
10 explained by the predictors. Changes in negative affect during performances were not  
11 significantly predicted by daily perceptions of autonomy support (step one) or by typical  
12 perceptions of autonomy support. This model explained less than 0.1% of the variance.

13       Next, the daily basic needs were examined as predictors of changes in positive affect  
14 during performances. In step one, daily autonomy and competence need satisfaction  
15 significantly predicted the dancers' changes in positive affect during the shows. When typical  
16 levels of need satisfaction were added in step two, the model failed to converge. The model  
17 was re-tested with all predictors fixed at the between-person level. In this model, daily  
18 competence was the only significant predictor of changes in positive affect during the  
19 performance. As all predictors were fixed at the between-person level, variance explained  
20 couldn't be calculated.

21       Daily autonomy, competence and relatedness were modeled as fixed predictors of  
22 change in negative affect during performances. Only daily competence need satisfaction  
23 significantly predicted changes in negative affect in performances. Typical need satisfaction  
24 did not significantly predict changes in negative affect during performance. However the  
25 interactions between daily and typical autonomy and relatedness emerged as significant

1 predictors of changes in negative affect during performances. Probing of the interactions  
2 revealed that when typical autonomy need satisfaction was low, increases in situational levels  
3 of autonomy need satisfaction were related to decreases in negative affect during  
4 performances ( $b = -.18, p < .01$ ). However, when typical autonomy need satisfaction was  
5 high, increases in situational autonomy need satisfaction were not related to changes in  
6 negative affect ( $b = -.002, p = .97$ ). Moreover, when typical relatedness need satisfaction was  
7 high, increases in daily relatedness satisfaction were also not related to increases in negative  
8 affect ( $b = -0.10, p = .15$ ). In the case when typical relatedness was low, increases in daily  
9 relatedness need satisfaction significantly corresponded to increases in negative affect during  
10 performances ( $b = 0.30, p < .001$ ).

### 11 **Discussion**

12 The present study employed a diary methodology to test Basic Needs Theory (Ryan &  
13 Deci, 2002) across different dance genres, as well as in dance classes, rehearsals and  
14 performances. This study also tested at the within-person level, the ‘perceived autonomy  
15 support – BPNS – affective states’ sequence across dance classes, rehearsals and  
16 performances that would vary in their evaluative potential. Collectively, the results provided  
17 partial support for the universality hypothesis within the domain of vocational dance.

### 18 **Perceived Autonomy Support, Basic Psychological Needs, and Different Dance Genres**

19 The study explored mean differences in the targeted variables between dancers  
20 training in genres typically more controlled and structured (ballet and Chinese) and those  
21 training in a genre typified by freedom and self-expressiveness (contemporary). Results  
22 revealed Chinese dancers to perceive their classes as significantly lower in perceived  
23 autonomy support than their peers training in contemporary and ballet classes. Despite  
24 anecdotal reports to the contrary, the results indicated that the social environment in ballet  
25 and contemporary dance classes were equally autonomy supportive and levels of autonomy

1 support were above the midpoint. Parallel to what was observed in terms of their ratings of  
2 autonomy support provision, our findings suggest that Chinese dancers experienced lower  
3 autonomy, competence and relatedness need satisfaction in classes than fellow students  
4 specializing in ballet or contemporary dance (between whom there were no significant  
5 differences in basic psychological need satisfaction).

6       Taken in their totality, findings indicate that the nature of the dance genre may play a  
7 role in the opportunities for basic psychological need satisfaction afforded in the class.  
8 However, as these conclusions are based on between-person analyses only, findings should  
9 be cautiously interpreted. Future research might examine within-person variability in  
10 perceptions of autonomy support, basic need satisfaction and affective states across classes in  
11 these different genres. This would help to clarify whether the observed differences are a  
12 function of individual differences or features of the dance form or style per se. Objective  
13 assessments of the autonomy supportive features of the teaching climate alongside measures  
14 of dancers' perceptions of other dimensions of the social environment (e.g., controlling  
15 teacher behaviors) may help to explain why Chinese dancers may be less likely to perceive  
16 their classes as autonomy supportive and experience lower basic psychological need  
17 satisfaction than their peers who specialise in ballet or contemporary dance.

### 18 **The BNT Sequence in Class, Rehearsal and Performance Situations**

19       The findings regarding the 'autonomy support – basic needs' relationship was  
20 consistent across situations. Specifically, the results indicated that situational perceptions of  
21 autonomy supportive teaching behaviors positively predicted the dancers' state levels of  
22 autonomy, competence and relatedness satisfaction in classes, as well as within the more  
23 evaluative settings of rehearsals and performances. These findings extend past research that  
24 had implicated general levels of autonomy support in the targeted setting (Gagne et al., 2003)  
25 and other social factors (Reis et al., 2000) as accounting for day-to-day fluctuations in

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

1 autonomy, competence and relatedness. Aligned with cross-sectional (Quested & Duda,  
2 2010) and longitudinal (Quested & Duda, 2011) BNT-grounded investigations in dance, the  
3 dancers' daily perceptions of autonomy support in classes and rehearsals were most strongly  
4 associated with relatedness need satisfaction. The findings indicate that peaks and troughs in  
5 dancers' feelings of belongingness may be especially sensitive to daily change as a  
6 consequence of the degree of autonomy support one experiences in class and rehearsals.  
7 However, in performances, perceptions of autonomy support were most strongly associated  
8 with dancers' competence need satisfaction. This may be because the experience or  
9 witnessing of personal competence is more salient in performance settings where capability is  
10 in public display.

11         There was between-situation variability in the nature of the relationship between  
12 autonomy support in class and changes in affective states. One explanation for these  
13 inconsistent findings rests in the nature of the activity in question. It is possible that in the  
14 more evaluative setting of rehearsals and performances, other facets of the social  
15 environment are more prominent as predictors of affective states. For example, previous  
16 studies have found perceived ego-involving sport climates (Ames, 1992) to be associated  
17 with higher performance-related distress and anxiety among athletes (Ntoumanis & Biddle,  
18 1998; Pensgaard & Roberts, 2000) and dancers (Carr & Wyon, 2003). Ego-involving  
19 climates are marked by their inherent focus on normatively evaluated conceptions of ability  
20 (Ames, 1992; Duda & Balaguer, 2007). In such settings, mistakes are less tolerated and only  
21 the best dancers are likely to receive praise and attention (Newton, Duda, & Yin, 2000). On  
22 the contrary, task-involving climates encourage dancers to focus on their own effort and  
23 important role in the performance (Duda, 2001). The demonstration of competency is more  
24 'on the line' during rehearsals and performances (in contrast to daily classes). Therefore,  
25 affective states in performance may be more predominantly determined by whether the

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

1 psychological climate surrounding the performance itself was perceived as task- and/or ego-  
2 involving. Cross-sectional research established that, when collectively modeled as predictors,  
3 these dimensions of the social-environment account for variance in dancers' affective states,  
4 over and above that explained by perceptions of autonomy support (Quested & Duda, 2010).  
5 Future research using a diary methodology might simultaneously tap a range of social  
6 environmental characteristics pulling from different motivational frameworks, such as the  
7 degree to which the teacher creates a task- and/or ego-involving environment or is social  
8 supportive (Ryan & Solky, 1996) and/or controlling (Bartholomew, Ntoumanis, Ryan, Bosch,  
9 & Thogersen-Ntoumani, 2011).

10         Daily autonomy, competence and relatedness satisfaction have previously been  
11 identified as relevant contributors to the daily emotional experiences of students (Reis et al.,  
12 2000; Sheldon, Ryan, & Reis, 1996) and athletes (Bartholomew et al., 2011; Gagne et al.,  
13 2003). In the present results, each need made a unique contribution towards the observed  
14 changes in positive and negative affect that the dancers experienced during classes. However,  
15 this study revealed there to be inconsistencies in the salience of each need to changes in  
16 affective states across the three settings of dance classes, rehearsals and performances. This  
17 observation suggests that situational or contextual cues may influence the extent to which  
18 state levels of autonomy, competence and relatedness satisfaction are relevant to changes in  
19 daily affective states. The present findings suggest that need satisfaction *does* seem to be a  
20 relevant concomitant of affective states across different situations within the world of  
21 vocational dance. However, the results also indicate that the predictive utility of each need is  
22 not invariant across the three targeted settings of classes, rehearsals and performances.

23         Competence was the only need to significantly and negatively predict changes in the  
24 dancers' negative affective states during rehearsals and performances. As young trainee  
25 dancers suddenly in the unfamiliar limelight, it is perhaps unsurprising that competence need

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

1 satisfaction contributed most substantially towards the changes in negative affect. In the case  
2 of performance, competence need satisfaction most substantially predicted the changes in  
3 positive affect that the dancers experienced during these more performance-focused events.  
4 The rehearsal and performance situations demanded that the dancers demonstrated their  
5 aptitude in relation to an event that was highly regarded in the school. During performances,  
6 future employers (e.g., the directors of professional companies), as well as friends, family,  
7 peers and teachers would most likely have been members of the audience. Competence need  
8 satisfaction has previously been recognized as relevant to the affective states of those  
9 engaged in sport and hip hop dancers, as displays of ability are an integral and important  
10 feature of sport and dance participation (Quested & Duda, 2009b; Reinboth, Duda, &  
11 Ntoumanis, 2004).

12       Aligned with the findings of past cross-sectional work in the dance domain with  
13 fulltime dance students (Quested & Duda, 2010), relatedness emerged as the strongest  
14 predictor of the vocational dancers' positive affective responses in the class setting. However,  
15 the findings vis-à-vis the 'relatedness – positive affect' association were not strong  
16 (rehearsals) or not significant (performance) in the other targeted contexts. As proposed  
17 earlier, this may be because competence need satisfaction was more relevant in the more  
18 performance-focused activities. Another possibility is that daily classes allow more  
19 opportunities for social exchange with fellow students than in the case of rehearsals and  
20 performances. It has previously been shown that dancers' affective states may be especially  
21 sensitive to the extent to which they feel a sense of belongingness in the settings which center  
22 more on full time learning (Quested & Duda, 2010).

1 **Typical and Daily Level Perceptions of Autonomy Support, Basic Psychological Need**

2 **Satisfaction and Daily Affect**

3 Past research has indicated that day-to-day experiences of basic psychological need  
4 satisfaction may be more influential predictors of well-being than more general or typical  
5 level measures of basic psychological needs satisfaction (Reis et al., 2000). The present study  
6 supports and extends this proposition by examining situation-specific basic psychological  
7 need satisfaction. Typical levels of the dancers' basic psychological need satisfaction  
8 experienced in the dance school over time were not significantly associated with the changes  
9 in affective states experienced during dance classes. The only exception was that typical  
10 experiences of relatedness satisfaction predicted changes in positive affect during rehearsals.  
11 In a rehearsal setting, there may be a sense of camaraderie, as the team works together  
12 towards a common goal of maximizing the quality of the forthcoming performance. If the  
13 dancers usually feel a sense of more pronounced belongingness within their school, then it  
14 makes sense that they might experience increases in positive affect after engaging in different  
15 activities within this social atmosphere. This suggestion is congruent with recent findings that  
16 indicated those with higher person-level relatedness to derive more affective significance  
17 from social interactions (Moller et al., 2010).

18 Two significant interactions were found between typical level and situation specific  
19 autonomy need satisfaction. Specifically, these interactions significantly predicted changes in  
20 positive affect in class and changes in negative affect during performances. These results are  
21 indicative of the deprivation hypothesis (Reis et al., 2000). This hypothesis suggests that the  
22 potency of daily need satisfaction will be greater in the case when the typical (or more  
23 generally experienced) level of need satisfaction is low. It was also noteworthy that this study  
24 found the affective states of dancers with generally high autonomy need satisfaction were not  
25 influenced by low levels of state autonomy need satisfaction.

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

1           The significant interaction for relatedness is more complex to unravel. Findings  
2 indicated that, for dancers whose general relatedness was low, increases in daily relatedness  
3 were associated with increases in negative affect. One possible explanation is that these  
4 dancers experienced greater concern about letting down their peer group with whom they felt  
5 connected on that day. If their relatedness was not usually high, they might have felt they had  
6 more to lose by letting down their fellow dancers. Nevertheless, collectively, these findings  
7 indicate that there may be individual differences in how dancers respond emotionally when  
8 their basic psychological needs are satisfied. This is also aligned with the points made by  
9 Reis et al (2000), regarding the importance of considering typical and state assessments of  
10 basic needs. The results points to the benefits of fostering dancers' general levels of  
11 autonomy and relatedness need satisfaction. When general levels are high, dancers may be  
12 less emotionally affected by occasions when need satisfaction is low.

13           It is noteworthy that person-level perceptions of autonomy support in the dance  
14 school were significantly related to the dancers' changes in positive affect during classes and  
15 rehearsals. The significant typical x state autonomy support interaction was indicative of a  
16 sensitization effect (Reis et al., 2000). In other words, the dancers who usually perceived their  
17 teachers as autonomy supportive were found to be more sensitive to the daily effects of  
18 autonomy supportive teaching. Consequently, these dancers were more likely to report  
19 positive affect after class when the autonomy supportive behaviors of the teacher were  
20 reflective of what they considered to be typical in their school. This finding points to the  
21 importance of consistent provision of autonomy support, in all classes.

### 22 **Conclusions and Practical Implications**

23           Taken in their totality, the findings from this study indicate that daily experiences of  
24 autonomy support and autonomy, competence and relatedness satisfaction have implications  
25 for the psychological health of performing artists across three divergent learning and

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

1 performance scenarios. Using a daily diary methodology, it was possible to uncover  
2 differences in the relevance of each basic need in learning (class) and more evaluative  
3 performance (rehearsal, performance) settings, across a number of days.

4         This study was only able to offer partial support for the universality hypothesis that is  
5 central to BNT (Ryan & Deci, 2002). Overall, the between-person analyses indicated that  
6 dance genre did not moderate the relationships between perceived autonomy support and  
7 basic psychological need satisfaction, and changes in the dancers' affective states in classes,  
8 rehearsals and performance settings. Findings also supported the applicability of the BNT  
9 sequence (Deci & Ryan, 2000; Ryan, 1995) at the within-person level in the targeted  
10 learning-orientated setting. However, results were less telling about the predictors of state  
11 well- and ill-being in more evaluative settings. Nevertheless, this study indicates that in every  
12 situation, at least one need significantly predicted changes in affective states during the event  
13 in question. Thus, it seems clear that basic need satisfaction *is* of relevance to daily  
14 experiences of affective states. However, the relative importance of each basic need may vary  
15 as a function of social-environmental (e.g., the degree of autonomy support) and/or  
16 situational (e.g., whether the dancer is in a setting focused on learning or performance)  
17 characteristics of the setting or context in question. Further BNT-based research using the  
18 diary approach is warranted. Such research could target a wider range of social and  
19 situational environmental characteristics, in both learning and performance situations, as well  
20 as other life contexts. In addition, future studies could extend the present work by testing our  
21 hypotheses with a balanced number of measurement occasions per situation. This would  
22 make it possible to examine the moderating role of the situation.

23         The diary methodology renders field research vulnerable to other limitations that may  
24 be relevant to consider when interpreting findings. For example, although basic psychological  
25 need satisfaction was measured immediately following the class (or rehearsal or

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

1 performance) and the stem of the items was referenced towards the targeted event, it is  
2 possible that other interactions or experiences during the day (e.g., with parents, other  
3 teachers or peers) could have impacted the dancers' reported basic psychological need  
4 satisfaction. Moreover, positive and negative affective states are only two of an array of  
5 potential indicators of well-/ill-being. Future studies could examine SDT-based daily  
6 predictors of a wider range of well-and ill-being variables. **Within the present study, we asked**  
7 **the dancers to report the day and time of each individual data entry, however our methods**  
8 **were limited as we relied on self-reported details of when the entries were completed. The**  
9 **use of data collection methods that enable objective verification of time and date of data entry**  
10 **would also be advantageous in future studies.**

11 BNT holds that healthful functioning will be forestalled when basic psychological  
12 need satisfaction is compromised (Deci & Ryan, 2000). Subsequent research could examine  
13 the long term health impact of day-to- day fluctuations in basic psychological need  
14 satisfaction, in demanding performance as well as learning settings. Repeated measures of  
15 basic psychological need satisfaction, alongside regular assessments of psychological as well  
16 as biological functioning, would be informative with regard to the short-term and long-term  
17 consequences of daily variability in basic psychological need satisfaction, as well as need  
18 thwarting.

19 In sum, findings point to the importance of daily provision of need supportive dance  
20 training, if dancers are to experience optimal affective states in dance classes. This aspiration  
21 could be considered challenging when dance teachers must balance the traditions of a dance  
22 discipline, as well as demanding teaching, rehearsals and performance schedules. Future  
23 research, in which BNT-based (Deci & Ryan, 2000; Ryan, 1995) dance teacher training  
24 interventions are designed and rigorously tested, is therefore warranted. Such work would be

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

- 1 a strong first step on the path towards maximizing the possibility of health-conducive training
- 2 and performance climates in the dance domain.

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

Table 1.

*Mean Scores, Standard Deviations and Correlations Between Typical Level and Day Level Class Variables*

Variable	M (SD)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
<b>General level</b>														
(1) Autonomy support	4.65 (1.24)													
(2) Autonomy	4.10 (1.09)	.74**												
(3) Competence	4.46 (.95)	.39**	.50**											
(4) Relatedness	3.32 (.85)	.75**	.67**	.40**										
(5) Positive affect	3.18 (.62)	.35*	.25	.46**	.33*									
(6) Negative affect	2.53 (.75)	-.55**	-.37**	-.29*	-.42**	-.23								
<b>Daily (pre)</b>														
(7) Positive affect	2.59 (.67)	.16	.22	.09	.19	.39**	.18							
(8) Negative affect	1.65 (.57)	-.15	-.16	-.19	-.07	-.05	.35*	.21						
<b>Daily (post)</b>														
(9) Autonomy support	4.49 (1.01)	.44**	.44**	.36*	.38**	.41**	-.39**	.47**	-.37**					
(10) Autonomy	3.92 (1.24)	.25	.22	.27	.21	.27	-.17	.41**	-.20	.80**				
(11) Competence	4.26 (.98)	.22	.33*	.63**	.21	.38**	-.22	.24	-.40**	.61**	.62**			
(12) Relatedness	4.45 (1.03)	.31*	.30*	.45**	.26	.47**	-.45**	.36**	-.43**	.85**	.70**	.71**		
(13) Positive affect	2.71 (.64)	.19	.25	.18	.20	.45**	.05	.91**	.16	.57**	.58**	.37**	.49**	
(14) Negative affect	1.67 (.61)	-.19	-.23	-.27	-.10	-.08	.37**	.17	.96**	-.40**	-.22	-.47**	-.49**	.13

*Note.*  
All

responses were provided on a 1-7 scale, with the exception of the initial assessments of relatedness, positive and negative affect, and the diary measures of positive and negative affect which were measured on a 1-5 Likert scale.

\* =  $p < .05$ , \*\* =  $p < .01$ , \*\*\* =  $p < .001$

DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

Table 2.

*Predicting Dancers' Positive and Negative Affect From Typical-level and Daily Measures of Perceptions of Autonomy Support and BPNS*

	Class		Rehearsal		Performance	
	Positive affect	Negative affect	Positive Affect	Negative affect	Positive affect	Negative Affect
Autonomy Support						
Step one						
Pre-event positive/negative affect	0.52***	0.49***	0.46***	0.49***	0.50***	0.07
Daily autonomy support	0.17***	-0.08**	0.15**	-0.03	0.04	-0.07
Step two						
Pre-event positive/negative affect	0.54***	0.48***	0.47***	0.52***	0.52***	0.07
Daily autonomy support	0.42***	-0.20	0.21	-0.03	0.05	-0.05
Typical autonomy support	0.25***	-0.01	0.26**	-0.13	0.22	-0.02
Typical x daily autonomy support	-0.05*	0.03	-0.01	-0.02	-0.003	-0.06
Basic psychological needs						
Step one						
Pre-event positive/negative affect	0.44***	0.45***	0.48***	0.45***	0.49***	0.02
Daily autonomy	0.05	-0.05*	0.10*	0.003	0.15*	-0.04
Daily competence	0.11***	-0.07*	0.08	-0.12*	0.27*	-0.16*
Daily relatedness	0.17***	-0.06*	0.09*	-0.04	-0.02	0.08
Step two						
Pre-event positive/negative affect	0.44***	0.44***	0.47***	0.46***	0.53***	0.01
Daily autonomy	0.05*	-0.05*	0.10*	0.01	0.10	-0.09
Typical autonomy	0.13	-0.11	0.10	-0.15	0.15	-0.11
Typical x daily autonomy	-0.09***	0.03	-0.07	-0.03	0.02	0.14**
Daily competence	0.12**	-0.07*	0.06	-0.12**	0.25**	-0.17**
Typical competence	0.04	-0.13	-0.14	-0.19	-0.22	-0.12
Typical x daily competence	-0.001	0.01	0.06	0.003	0.12	0.05
Daily relatedness	0.17***	-0.06*	0.10*	-0.04	-0.08	0.1
Typical relatedness	0.16	0.18	0.34*	0.18	0.11	0.26
Typical x daily relatedness	0.02	-0.019	0.06	-0.01	-0.07	-0.22***

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

*Note.* \* =  $p < .05$ , \*\* =  $p < .01$ , \*\*\* =  $p < .001$ . Pre-event positive or negative affect was controlled in the equations predicting post-event positive and negative affect, respectively.

## References

- Aalten, A. (2005). 'We dance we don't live'. Biographical research in dance studies. *Discourses in Dance*, 3(1), 5-19.
- Adie, J. W., Duda, J. L., & Ntoumanis, N. (2008). Autonomy support, basic need satisfaction and the optimal functioning of adult male and female sport participants: A test of basic needs theory. *Motivation and Emotion*, 32(3), 189-199. doi:10.1007/s11031-008-9095-z
- Aiken, L. S., & West, S. G. (1991). *Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Ames, C. (1992). Achievement goals and the classroom motivational climate. In J. Meece & D. Schunk (Eds.), *Students' perceptions in the classroom: Causes and consequences* (pp. 327-348). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Bartholomew, K. J., Ntoumanis, N., Ryan, R. M., Bosch, J. A., & Thogersen-Ntoumani, C. (2011). Self-Determination Theory and Diminished Functioning: The Role of Interpersonal Control and Psychological Need Thwarting. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 37(11), 1459-1473. doi: 10.1177/0146167211413125
- Baumeister, R. F., & Leary, M. R. (1995). *The need to belong: Desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation* (Vol. 117). doi:10.1037//0033-2909.117.3.497
- Black, A. E., & Deci, E. L. (2000). The effects of instructors' autonomy support and students' autonomous motivation on learning organic chemistry: A self-determination theory perspective. *Science Education*, 84(6), 740-756. doi:10.1002/1098-237X(200011)84:6<740::AID-SCE4>3.0.CO;2-3
- Carr, S., & Wyon, M. (2003). The impact of motivational climate on dance students' achievement goals, trait anxiety, and perfectionism. *Journal of Dance Medicine and Science*, 7(4), 105-114.
- DeCharms, R. (1968). *Personal causation: The internal affective determinants of behavior*. New York Academic Press.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. New York: Plenum.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227-268. doi:10.1207/S15327965PLI1104\_01
- Deci, E. L., Ryan, R. M., Gagne, M., Leone, D. R., Usunov, J., & Kornazheva, B. P. (2001). Need satisfaction, motivation, and well-being in the work organizations of a former eastern bloc country: A cross-cultural study of self-determination. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 27(8), 930-942. doi:10.1177/0146167201278002
- Duda, J. L. (2001). Achievement goal research in sport: Pushing the boundaries and clarifying some misunderstandings. In G. C. Roberts (Ed.), *Advances in motivation in sport and exercise* (pp. 129-182). Leeds: Human Kinetics.
- Duda, J. L., & Balaguer, I. (2007). The coach-created motivational climate. In S. Jowett & D. Lavalee (Eds.), *Social psychology of sport* (pp. 117-130). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Edmunds, J., Ntoumanis, N., & Duda, J. L. (2006). A test of self-determination theory in the exercise domain. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 36(9), 2240-2265. doi:10.1111/j.0021-9029.2006.00102.x

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

- Gagne, M., Ryan, R. M., & Bargmann, K. (2003). Autonomy support and need satisfaction in the motivation and well-being of gymnasts. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 15*(4), 372-390. doi:10.1080/714044203
- Gaudreau, P., Fecteau, M. C., & Perreault, S. (2010). Individual Self-Determination and Relationship Satisfaction of Athletes in Dyadic Sports: Examining the Moderating Role of Dyadic Self-Determination. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 22*(1), 34-50. doi:10.1080/10413200903403208
- Liu, D., Chen, X.-P., & Yao, X. (2011). From autonomy to creativity: A multilevel investigation of the mediating role of harmonious passion. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 96*, 294-309. doi: 10.1037/a0021294
- Liu, D., & Fu, P.-p. (2011). Motivating proteges' personal learning in teams: A multilevel investigation of autonomy support and autonomy orientation. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 96*, 1195-1208. doi: 10.1037/a0024716
- MacKinnon, A., Jorm, A. F., Christensen, H., Korten, A. E., Jacomb, P. A., & Rodgers, B. (1999). A short form of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule: Evaluation of factorial validity and invariance across demographic variables in a community sample. *Personality and Individual Differences, 27*(3), 405-416. doi:10.1016/S0191-8869(98)00251-7
- McAuley, E., Duncan, T., & Tammen, V. V. (1989). Psychometric properties of the Intrinsic Motivation Inventory in a competitive sport setting: A confirmatory factor analysis. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 60*, 48-58.
- Moller, A. C., Deci, E. L., & Elliot, A. J. (2010). Person-Level Relatedness and the Incremental Value of Relating. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 36*(6), 754-767. doi:10.1177/0146167210371622
- Newton, M., Duda, J. L., & Yin, Z. N. (2000). Examination of the psychometric properties of the Perceived Motivational Climate in Sport Questionnaire-2 in a sample of female athletes. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 18*(4), 275-290. doi:10.1080/026404100365018
- Ntoumanis, N. (2001). A self-determination approach to the understanding of motivation in physical education. *British Journal of Educational Psychology, 71*, 225-242. doi:10.1348/000709901158497
- Ntoumanis, N., & Biddle, S. (1998). The relationship between competitive anxiety, achievement goals, and motivational climates. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 69*(2), 176-187.
- Patrick, H., Knee, C. R., Canevello, A., & Lonsbary, C. (2007). The role of need fulfillment in relationship functioning and well-being: A self-determination theory perspective. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 92*, 434-457. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.92.3.434
- Pensgaard, A. M., & Roberts, G. C. (2000). The relationship between motivational climate, perceived ability and sources of distress among elite athletes. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 18*(3), 191-200. doi:10.1080/026404100365090
- Preacher, K. J., Curran, P. J., & Bauer, D. J. (2006). Computational tools for probing interactions in multiple linear regression, multilevel modeling, and latent curve analysis. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics, 31*(4), 437-448. doi:10.3102/10769986031004437
- Quested, E., & Duda, J. L. (2009a). The experience of well- and ill-being among elite dancers: A test of basic needs theory. *Journal of Sport Sciences, 26*(S2), S41. doi:10.1080/02640410802306202

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

- Quested, E., & Duda, J. L. (2009b). Perceptions of the motivational climate, need satisfaction, and indices of well- and ill-being among hip hop dancers. *Journal of Dance Medicine and Science*, *13*(1), 10-19.
- Quested, E., & Duda, J. L. (2010). Exploring the social-environmental determinants of well- and ill-being in dancers: A test of Basic Needs Theory. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, *32*(1), 39-60.
- Quested, E., & Duda, J. L. (2011). Antecedents of burnout among elite dancers: A longitudinal test of basic needs theory. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *12*(2), 159-167. doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2010.09.003
- Rasbash, J., Charlton, C., Browne, W. J., Healy, M., & Cameron, B. (2009). *MLwiN Version 2.1*. Bristol: Centre for Multilevel Modelling, University of Bristol.
- Reeve, J., Bolt, E., & Cai, Y. (1999). Autonomy-supportive teachers: How they teach and motivate students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *91*(3), 537-548. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.91.3.537
- Reinboth, M., & Duda, J. L. (2006). Perceived motivational climate, need satisfaction and indices of well-being in team sports: A longitudinal perspective. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *7*(3), 269-286. doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2005.06.002
- Reinboth, M., Duda, J. L., & Ntoumanis, N. (2004). Dimensions of coaching behavior, need satisfaction, and the psychological and physical welfare of young athletes. *Motivation and Emotion*, *28*(3), 297-313. doi:10.1023/B:MOEM.0000040156.81924.b8
- Reis, H. T., Sheldon, K. M., Gable, S. L., Roscoe, J., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). Daily well-being: The role of autonomy, competence, and relatedness. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *26*(4), 419-435. doi:10.1177/0146167200266002
- Richer, S. F., & Vallerand, R. J. (1998). Construction et validation de l'Échelle du sentiment d'appartenance sociale. *Revue européenne de psychologie appliquée*, *48*, 129-137.
- Ryan, R. M. (1995). Psychological needs and the facilitation of integrative processes. *Journal of Personality*, *63*(3), 397-427. doi:10.1111/j.1467-6494.1995.tb00501.x
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2001). On happiness and human potentials: A review of research on hedonic and eudaimonic well-being. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *52*, 141-166. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.141
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2002). An overview of self-determination theory: An organismic dialectical perspective. In E. L. Deci & R. M. Ryan (Eds.), *Handbook of self-determination research* (pp. 3-33). Rochester, NY: The University of Rochester Press.
- Ryan, R. M., & Solky, J. A. (1996). What is supportive about social support? In G. R. Gregory R Pierce, B. R. Barbara R Sarason & G. Irwin (Eds.), *Handbook of social support and the family* (pp. 249-267). New York: Plenum Press.
- Sheldon, K. M., Ryan, R., & Reis, H. T. (1996). What makes for a good day? Competence and autonomy in the day and in the person. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *22*(12), 1270-1279. doi:10.1177/01461672962212007
- Singer, J., & Willet, J. (2003). *Applied longitudinal data analysis: Modeling change and event occurrence*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Snijders, T. A. B., & Bosker, R. J. (1999). *Multilevel analysis: An introduction to basic and advanced multilevel modeling*. London: Sage
- Vallerand, R. J. (1997). Toward a hierarchical model of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation. In M. Zana (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 29, pp. 271-360). New York: Academic Press.
- Vansteenkiste, M., Lens, W., Soenens, B., & Luyckx, K. (2006). Autonomy and relatedness among Chinese sojourners and applicants: Conflictual or independent predictors of

## DAILY FLUCTUATIONS IN THE AFFECTIVE STATES OF DANCERS

well-being and adjustment? *Motivation and Emotion*, 30(4), 273-282.

doi:10.1007/s11031-006-9041-x

Watson, D., Clark, L. A., & Tellegen, A. (1988). Development and validation of brief measures of positive and negative affect: The PANAS scales. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 54(6), 1063-1070. doi:10.1037//0022-3514.54.6.1063

White, R. W. (1959). Motivation reconsidered: The concept of competence. *Psychological Review*, 66, 297-333. doi:10.1037/h0040934

Williams, G. C., Grow, V. M., Freedman, Z. R., Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (1996). Motivational predictors of weight loss and weight-loss maintenance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 70(1), 115-126. doi:10.1037//0022-3514.70.1.115