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1 Abstract

Chemical and/or physical interactions between the storage rock and injected and in-situ created solutes are expected to occur during many underground CO₂ storage projects. The intensity of the reactions, however, depends on the abundance of susceptible minerals (e.g. carbonates, clays) in the pore space of the host rock. Such interactions may impact on the multiphase flow characteristics of the underground fluids-rock system over short as well as long time frames.

In this research the in-situ multiphase flow characteristics of four sandstone samples have been investigated using a set of laboratory measurements. The samples tested were taken from the Wonnerup Member of the Triassic Lesueur Sandstone which is under consideration as a storage formation in the South-West Hub CO₂ geo-sequestration site in Western Australia.

All the samples tested show favourable characteristics in terms of storage capacity in the form of residual capillary trapping with residual CO₂ saturation varying between 23% and 43%. They underwent a degree of alteration to their petrophysical characteristics which was most significantly pronounced in the case of their absolute gas permeability which showed drops of 25% to 60% in the post-flood samples. Formation damage by fines migration is proposed as a mechanism for the observed reduction in permeability. The fines are believed to have originated from the kaolinite particles present in the pore space of the samples.
2 Introduction

Geologic carbon sequestration, i.e. the storage of CO\textsubscript{2} into buried geologic reservoir formations, is being developed worldwide and considered as a large scale greenhouse gas mitigation technology (IPCC, 2005). In Western Australia, the Perth Basin is being investigated as a potential site for CO\textsubscript{2} injection due to the presence of large and relatively deeply buried saline aquifer in the proximity of industrial emitters.

The successful operation and management of industrial scale projects require, among others, accurate characterization of the injectivity of CO\textsubscript{2} into the reservoir rocks and of their storage potential in advance during the planning stage of the projects. Injectivity is affected by the rock properties, the nature of the fluids and the in-situ conditions at depth and a proper assessment of these variables is therefore critical for the planning of any geo-sequestration project.

The South-West CO\textsubscript{2} Geo-sequestration Hub project (the South-West Hub) is one of the Australian Flagship Carbon Capture and Storage Projects, a government funded initiative in partnership with major local industrial CO\textsubscript{2} emitters. The project aims to explore the potential of storing commercial quantities of CO\textsubscript{2} in a deep saline aquifer as part of a precompetitive data gathering effort. As part of the early stages of the South-West Hub project, a stratigraphic well (Harvey-1) was drilled in 2012 by the Geological Survey of Western Australia (Millar and Reeve, 2014) to confirm the stratigraphy of the area and recover core material to be tested in the laboratory (Figure 1).

The potential storage target in the South-West Hub area consists of the Triassic Lesueur Sandstone subdivided into the upper Yalgorup and the lower Wonnerup Members (Figure 1). Due to the lack of outcrops, the knowledge of the potential reservoir rocks properties is limited to the sparse available material sampled from old exploration wells (see Delle Piane et al., 2013a; Delle Piane et al., 2013b; Dillinger and Esteban, 2014 and Timms et al., 2015), the new core material extracted from the Harvey-1 well is therefore invaluable for the progress of the South-West Hub project.
Relative permeability of the underground rock-fluids system influences the injectivity and subsurface movement of CO₂ and reservoir simulations are usually used to predict the CO₂ injection capacity and plume migration at a full reservoir scale. The key parameters used to determine the multiphase (formation brine and CO₂) fluids mobility and distribution in the subsurface are relative permeability in addition to porosity and permeability of the host rock (e.g. Doughty and Pruess, 2004; Kopp et al. 2009). Whereas porosity and permeability can be readily obtained from inversion of wireline log parameters or routine laboratory measurements, relative permeability is inherently more difficult to derive and requires special experimental protocols and equipment (e.g. Muller, 2011).

Two principal approaches are used to obtain a relative permeability data from laboratory measurements: i) steady state (SS) and ii) unsteady state (USS) core flooding experiments. A SS experiment consists of a number of steps with every step consisting of simultaneous injection of the two fluids at a fixed ratio through a porous rock sample. For every ratio, the constant flow rate injection continues until saturation and differential pressure along the sample stabilise and the produced ratio equals the injected ratio (Bear, 1988; Dullien, 1992). Usually, SS experiments are very time consuming and require a long time for flow stabilization.

During USS experiments, there is no simultaneous injection of the two fluid phases and one fluid phase is displaced from the saturated core sample by injecting another fluid. Contrary to the steady-state techniques, there is only one injection step involved in an USS experiment, making this type of experiment less time consuming (Dullien, 1992; Bear, 1988).

Laboratory based studies aimed at measuring the residually trapped amount of CO₂ in brine saturated sandstones and supercritical conditions include the works of Bennion and Bachu (2005), Suekane et al. (2008), Pentland et al. (2011), Saeedi et al. (2011), Shi et al. (2011), Lu et al. (2012), Saeedi (2012), Akbarabadi and Piri (2013), Ruprecht et al. (2014), Zuo and Benson (2014), Li et al. (2015). The data available in the literature globally indicates that the saturation of residually trapped CO₂ is likely to be at least 10% of the pore volume and many rocks are capable of residual trapping at saturations between 30 and 40% of the pore volume; this is predominantly controlled by the pore scale structure of a given rock type and less by the external conditions acting on the reservoirs (Krevor et al., 2015). It is worth
noting that the above figures are stated based on the statistical analysis of results from the core-scale experiments conducted in the laboratory. At the much larger field-scale, other factors such as large scale formation heterogeneities in all three directions and slower frontal displacement velocities are expected to impact on the capacity of the rocks to residually trap CO\textsubscript{2}. The impact of such factors needs to be taken into account when upscaling the core-scale laboratory results to the full field-scale using numerical simulation modelling techniques.

The main objective of this study was to investigate the multiphase flow characteristics of the supercritical CO\textsubscript{2} (scCO\textsubscript{2})-brine-rock system pertinent to the South-West Hub project. Four core-flooding experiments were conducted under reservoir conditions on four representative plugs sampled from the core material recovered from the Harvey-1 well. All four core-plugs belonged to the Wonnerup Member of the Lesueur Formation and were chosen as characteristic of the lithofacies type likely to represent the injection target (for details on lithofacies characteristics see Delle Piane et al., 2013b; Olierook et al., 2014 and Timms et al., 2015). The core-flooding experiments were performed using a conventional USS procedure (as briefly described earlier) with the main focus of obtaining the relative permeabilities and residual saturations.

In addition to the main core-flooding experiments, a number of auxiliary petrophysical measurements were also carried out on the samples before and after the floods (e.g. helium porosity-permeability and pore body size distribution converted from relaxation time T2 spectra by low field nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements). The purpose of these complementary measurements was to investigate any potential alterations of the petrophysical properties of the samples induced by the flooding procedure.

3 Experimental Measurements

3.1 Material and initial sample characterisation

Four horizontal (i.e. cored perpendicular to the whole-core axis) core-plugs were selected from the cored sections of Harvey-1 at depths considered relevant to the injection operations in the proposed
South-West Hub. The samples belonged to the lithofacies type of the Wonnerup Member of the Triassic Lesueur Sandstone described as interbedded coarse to gravelly cross-bedded sandstones, deposited in high-energy river channel fill and barforms (facies Ai and Aii as described by Delle Piane et al., 2013a; Olierook et al., 2014, Timms et al., 2015).

The core plugs were drilled dry from the whole-cores recovered from well Harvey-1. Upon recovery, the whole-cores were depressurised at the well site and laid into standard core trays before being transferred to the core storage facility where core plugs were drilled dry using a 3.81 cm (1.5”) coring bit. Upon cutting and trimming, the core plugs were subjected to a core cleaning process using warm toluene and methanol in a temperature controlled Dean-Stark apparatus to remove any possible contaminants (e.g. salts, possible hydrocarbons) from the samples before they undergo any of the subsequent experimental measurements. Subsequently the samples were dried in an oven for 48 hours under a temperature of 105°C. The post-flood samples were dried and their salt residues were cleaned using an approach similar to the above but only in the last stage when gas porosity-permeability measurements were performed. From the initial saturation stage to some of the analysis performed on the post-flood samples, the plugs never underwent any dehydration to avoid salt precipitation from the brine. Therefore, NMR, brine permeability and core flooding before and after experiments were acquired with the same hydration status.

A general characterization was performed on three of the four samples (206647, 206660 and 206669) by means of X-ray diffraction (XRD), mercury injection, Helium porosity and permeability and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), while sample 206655 underwent helium porosity and permeability measurements only and 206669 underwent NMR on pre-flooding experiments only.

Porosity and gas permeability were measured using an automated helium porosimeter-permeameter (AP 608 from Coretest Systems Inc.) at effective stresses of 1.72, 5.2 and 32.7 MPa; at each level of pressure the measurements were repeated three times to assess their reproducibility. Brine permeability of each sample was also measured at the beginning of the core-flooding experiments under in-situ reservoir conditions using a synthetic formation brine with salinity of 30,000 ppm NaCl. This formation water
salinity was chosen based on the interpretation results of the resistivity logs run in well Harvey-1 only as no representative formation water samples could be collected from the well.

X-ray tomographic (XCT) images of three of the four samples were acquired using a Toshiba Asteion medical scanner to evaluate the internal structures and the integrity of the plugs. The processed XCT images were oriented at the maximum bedding angle within each plug sample and show well developed sedimentary beds in the three plugs; these are either parallel or slightly inclined to the plugs axes (Figure 2a).

Mercury Injection Capillary Pressure curves (MICP) were acquired on cubic offcuts (with side of approximately 0.7 cm) of three of the core plugs to analyse their pore size distribution. The offcuts were cut from the core plugs after undergoing the earlier outlined cleaning procedure. The offcuts were placed in a Micromeritics Autopore IV porosimeter under vacuum and injected with mercury at about 120 increasing capillary pressure steps to a maximum pressure of 413 MPa (equivalent to 2-3 nm pore throat size).

Finally, the sample characterization was completed by low field NMR measurements conducted using a Maran 2 MHz Ultra-spectrometer (Oxford Instruments Ltd) on three core plugs (206647, 206660 and 206669) before and after flooding experiments to evaluate possible changes in porosity and pore size distribution induced by the flooding tests. For NMR measurements before the flooding experiments, the samples were brine saturated under vacuum for 48 hours and then analysed. After the flooding experiments, the brine saturated samples were reanalysed using the protocol previously applied on the same samples. Note that samples 206669 and 206655 could not be properly preserved after core flooding and the post-flood NMR was not recorded for these samples. The difference in mass between the dry and brine-saturated core-plugs was used to calculate their water-filled porosity (Water Imbibition Porosity - WIP). Also, assessment of full saturation was made by comparing the WIP to the helium porosity. Once saturated, the samples were tested in the NMR spectrometer using a Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill (CPMG) pulse sequence following the protocol described in the literature (e.g. Dillinger and Esteban, 2014). This spin-echo method records the pore size distribution, similar to
mercury porosimetry, using the transverse magnetic relaxation time ($T_2$). In an NMR test the magnetization and transverse relaxation time ($T_2$) of hydrogen nuclei contained in the pore fluid is measured. Different pore sizes in fluid saturated rocks will produce characteristic $T_2$ distributions as the amplitude of transverse magnetization is proportional to the number of hydrogen nuclei (Dunn et al., 2002). As a consequence, the observed $T_2$ distribution of a saturated core sample can be converted into pore size distribution of the rock using literature data transform from sandstones (Jorand et al., 2011; Kleinberg et al., 2003a; Kleinberg et al., 2003b). The Maran 2 MHz and the experimental protocol ensure a resolution of pore body size about 0.1 µm assuming that no or little amount of paramagnetic and ferromagnetic minerals, such as magnetite or metalloids, perturbate the $T_2$ relaxation (Nicot et al., 2006).

3.2 Core-flood Setup

Table 1 lists the pressure and temperature values used during the experiments; for each sample, the pressure, temperature and salinity values correspond to those at the depth from which the sample was recovered. The fluids used during the various stages of this experimental work consisted of deaerated dead formation brine (i.e. formation brine with no gas content), CO$_2$-saturated brine and water vapour-saturated scCO$_2$. The CO$_2$ gas used was of at least 99.9 mol % purity. Formation brine and CO$_2$ were mutually saturated with each other in a stirred Parr reactor under in-situ conditions. The formation brine was prepared in the lab using distilled water and appropriate amounts of analytical grade sodium chloride (NaCl) supplied by Sigma-Aldrich.

The experiments were carried out using a high pressure-high temperature, three-phase steady-state core-flooding apparatus. A schematic of the core-flooding rig is presented in Figure 3. Comprehensive details on the specifications of the core-flooding apparatus can be found elsewhere (Saeedi, 2012; Saeedi et al., 2011).

3.3 Core-flood Procedure

During the core-flooding experiments a specially designed multi-layered combination sleeve was used. This combination sleeve was utilised to prevent the diffusion of CO$_2$ which normally occurs through
most conventional flexible rubber sleeves. A full description of various components of the sleeve is presented elsewhere (Saeedi et al., 2011). In order to eliminate the effect of gravity segregation (i.e. underrun or override of the injected fluids) the core-holder containing the sample was placed vertically so the injection would be performed from the base to the top. In order to apply overburden pressure to the sample, after loading the wrapped sample into the core-holder, using a hand pump, the overburden fluid was pumped slowly into the annular space of the core holder.

Below is an outline of the steps involved in carrying out the conventional USS core-flooding experiments. This procedure has been designed based on the standard procedures and protocols available in the literature (Bennion and Bachu, 2005; Izgec et al., 2008; Perrin and Benson, 2010; Saeedi et al., 2011).

1. After loading a sample into the core-holder and gradually increasing the overburden pressure to the reservoir net effective stress, low pressure CO₂ gas was passed through the sample for at least 20 minutes to displace and replace the air present in the sample’s pore space. Compared to air, the CO₂, due to its small sized molecules and higher diffusivity (Baker and Low, 2014), could be evacuated from the sample more effectively when required. Furthermore, any remaining CO₂ after evacuation would readily dissolve in the saturating dead brine and removed from the sample during the later in-situ saturation and initial brine permeability measurement process.

2. After flushing the sample with CO₂, all the flow-lines and the sample inside the core-holder were vacuumed using a vacuum pump for at least 24 hours. Then the back pressure was brought to full in-situ reservoir pressure, and the air bath temperature was raised to the reservoir temperature. Then the core sample was saturated using dead formation brine while the confining pressure was increased and then maintained equal to its in-situ reservoir value. The sample was left under reservoir conditions in contact with brine for another 48 hours to become completely saturated with dead brine and to establish adsorption equilibrium. The full saturation was confirmed by the constant pressure reading from the injection pump, which maintained the pore pressure of the core sample during the above 48 hours. For some of the experimental work where the sample could be accessed during the saturation with minimum effort (e.g. core saturation for the NMR tests), the
volume of injected brine was also tracked from mass intake assuming a brine density of 1.03 g/cc and compared to pore volume from gas porosity measurements to ensure complete saturation (> 98% in all the tested plugs).

3. In the next step, the CO$_2$-saturated brine was injected into the core sample at constant flow-rate to displace the dead formation brine. The CO$_2$-saturated brine injection continued until steady-state conditions were achieved (i.e. constant and steady differential pressure across the sample and production flow-rate was equal to injection flow-rate).

4. Then, the injection of the vapour-saturated scCO$_2$ began at constant flow-rate (primary drainage flood). The displacement continued until steady-state conditions were reached. At the conclusion of this drainage process there was a so called “bump flow” (i.e. a short period of high injection flow-rate) performed to examine and quantify the existence of any capillary end-effect (Rapoport and Leas, 1953; Heaviside and Black, 1983; Grigg and Svec, 2006).

5. After the conclusion of the primary drainage, the core-sample was subjected to the primary imbibition flood. CO$_2$-saturated brine was injected into the sample at constant flow-rate. The brine injection continued until the steady-state conditions were achieved.

6. In the next step, for two of the experiments, the sample underwent another cycle of drainage-imbibition floods (secondary drainage and imbibition). For this purpose, steps number 5 and 6 were repeated again.

7. At the conclusion of the experiment, the core-holder was depressurised and the core sample was removed.

It is worth noting that during the procedure outlined above all the injected fluids passed through a 0.5 micron sintered stainless steel line filter before entering the core samples. This was to prevent any external fines being pushed into the pore space of the samples and potentially block and/or bridge the samples’ pore channels.
4 Results

4.1 General characterization

Quantitative mineralogy by X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) indicates quartz and K-feldspar as the main constituents of these sandstones, with accessory kaolinite (up to 7% in sample 206660) (Table 2). Furthermore, sample 206660 contained 4% of ankerite, a calcium, iron, magnesium, manganese carbonate.

Table 2 summarizes the petrophysical properties of the pre-flood core-plugs, i.e. mercury porosity, helium porosities and permeabilities measured at the lowest effective stress (1.72 MPa) and brine permeability as well as mineralogy as measured by XRD. All the core-plugs displayed comparable values of porosity but a wider range of helium permeability (from 25 to 532 mD) and brine permeability (from 4.65 to 238 mD); also there is a good agreement between porosity measured by mercury injection on small offcuts (Figure 2c) and the values retried via He-porosimetry on the full core plugs. On the other hand, there is a significant difference between the values of He and water permeability. This is due the well-known Klinkenberg effect which is well described in the literature (Tiab and Donaldson, 2004).

Following the definition outlined by Sing et al. (1984), pore sizes can be classified as follows:

- Micropores: with widths smaller than 2 nm.
- Mesopores: with widths between 2 and 50 nm.
- Macropores: with widths larger than 50 nm.

MICP results show similar pattern for the three analysed samples (Figure 2b) with a narrow population of mesopores (cumulatively 2.5 to 3.5 % of the pore volume) while the rest of the pore sizes fall in the macropores range (> 50 nm); micropores could not be detected in the analysed samples. Samples 206647 and 206669 have a dominant pore population with throat diameter of ≈ 40 μm, while sample 206660 has a broad distribution of pores and the largest detected throat size of ≈ 30 μm which can explain its lower permeability with respect to the other samples.
4.2 Core flood tests

As indicated earlier, samples 206647 and 206669 underwent successive primary and secondary drainage and imbibition floods but samples 206655 and 206660 were tested for primary drainage and imbibition floods only. For all four samples, the end-point residual saturations obtained at the end of the drainage and imbibition floods are presented in Table 3 along with the corresponding end-point relative permeabilities for the displacing fluids.

Figure 4 shows the brine productions versus pore volumes of scCO$_2$ injected through the samples for the primary drainage floods conducted on all four samples. As may be expected, there is considerable volume of brine produced after scCO$_2$ breakthrough in the case of sample 206647. This may be attributed mainly to the high permeability of this sample leading to a more non-uniform or dispersed displacement (Saeedi et al., 2011; Saeedi, 2012) compared to other samples whose post breakthrough brine production profiles are comparable. The relative permeability curves corresponding to the above mentioned brine production profiles for three of the samples are also shown in Figure 5. While the end-point scCO$_2$ relative permeabilities for all samples are comparable, the curvature of the plots changes according to the samples permeabilities (i.e. the higher the permeability the higher the curvature). It is worth noting that the relative permeability data provided here were calculated using a numerical history matching technique (Archer and Wong, 1973; Sigmund and McCaffery, 1979; Bennion and Bachu, 2005). Sendra software from PRORES AS, which is based upon a two-phase, 1D black-oil simulation model together with an automated history matching routine, was used to reconcile time and spatially dependent experimental data and generate the relative permeabilities. This technique has three primary advantages over other relative permeability derivation techniques such as the JBN (Johnson, Bossler, and Naumann): 1. The relative permeability data can be derived directly for the full range of mobile scCO$_2$ and brine saturations and there is no need for extrapolation of this data as commonly done in other techniques such as the JBN, 2. Unlike other techniques such as the JBN where the effect of capillary pressure is ignored, the technique used here takes into account the effect of capillary pressure data. If such data is not available, a suitable model can be chosen to be used during the modelling and history matching procedure. 3. The output relative permeability data are automatically matched using
one of the most commonly used relative permeability correlations (e.g. Corey, Sigmund and McCaffery, LET, etc.). This facilitates the integration of the derived relative permeability data into a full field numerical simulation model.

After performing the history matching routine for relative permeability calculation, the model proposed by Sigmund and McCaferry (1979) (Equations 1 to 3) was found to closely reproduce the measured data. This model was initially developed for interpretation of USS relative permeability measurements in heterogeneous cores where viscous–capillary effects are expected to be large and gravity effects are expected to be negligible. Thus, the Sigmund and McCaffery model is an ideal tool for interpreting the core–flood experiments performed here. Table 4 presents the values of the model parameters which resulted in the best match of the lab measured data by the Sigmund and McCaferry (1979) model.

\[ k_{rw} = k_{rw}^0 \frac{(S_w^*)^{N_w} + A S_w^*}{1 + A} \]  
Eq. 1

\[ k_{rg} = k_{rg}^0 \frac{(1 - S_w^*)^{N_g} + B (1 - S_w^*)}{1 + B} \]  
Eq. 2

\[ S_w^* = \frac{S_w - S_{wi}}{1 - S_{wi} - S_{gi}} \]  
Eq. 3

where: \( k_{rw} \) and \( k_{rg} \) are the water and gas relative permeabilities at any saturation level.

\( k_{rw}^0 \) and \( k_{rg}^0 \) are the end-point (maximum) water and gas relative permeabilities.

\( S_w^* \) is the normalised water saturation as determined by Eq. 3.

\( S_{wi} \) and \( S_{gi} \) are the fixed residual water and gas saturations, respectively.

\( S_w \) is the variable water saturation measured during an USS experiment.

\( N_w, N_g, A \) and \( B \) are empirical constants used for the calculation of the relative permeabilities.
With regards to the experiments conducted in this work, in the above equations, subscript \( w \) would refer to the brine and subscript \( g \) would refer to scCO\(_2\).

In an attempt to compare the results of the modelling work performed using Sendra with the output of another widely used numerical simulation software package, for Sample 206655, Eclipse simulation software (Schlumberger Inc.) was used to perform a core scale numerical simulation. For this task, after constructing a core scale grid model in Eclipse and populating the model with basic rock and fluid properties, the relative permeability data derived from Sendra were used as input data to simulate and generate the brine production and differential pressure profiles. Then a comparison was made between the experimental pressure and brine production data, which were fed into Sendra in the first place, and their simulated counterparts. As can be seen from figures 6 and 7, there is a very close agreement between the two sets of data. The rock and fluid properties used in the core scale model created using Eclipse are provided in Table 5.

Helium porosity and permeability as well as NMR porosity (and computed permeability) measured before and after core flooding experiments are summarized in Table 6. While porosity, measured by Helium and NMR, does not seem to be affected by the flooding procedure, permeability values significantly differ when measured on the same sample before and after flooding. Indeed, for sample 206647, the helium permeability measurement conducted on the post-flood dry sample showed a reduction of almost 25% compared to that of the pre-flood conditions. Samples 206655, 206660 and 206669 also showed permeability reductions of 60%, 51% and 44%, respectively. Using a classical T\(_2\) surface relaxivity in sandstones from literature of about 20 \( \mu m/s \) (Marschall et al, 1995; Liu et al., 2014), the relaxation time T\(_2\) distribution can be transformed into pore diameter distribution (Figure 8) assuming sphere-model for the pore network topology (Dunn et al., 2002; Sorland et al., 2007) as:

\[
\frac{1}{T_2} = \rho \frac{S}{V} \approx \rho \frac{3}{r}
\]

where \( S \) and \( V \) represent the surface and volume of the pore network, \( \rho \) is the surface relaxivity and \( r \) is the pore radius. Therefore, the equation 4 can be re-arranged as:

\[\frac{1}{T_2} = \rho \frac{S}{V} \approx \rho \frac{3}{r}\]
\[ d_{NMR} = 6T_2 \rho_{T2} \]  

Eq. 5

where \( d_{NMR} \) is the pore body diameter (in \( \mu m \)) and \( \rho_{T2} \) is the surface relaxivity of about 20 \( \mu m/s \) for sandstones.

The converted NMR pore size distribution from the \( T_2 \) spectra using the equation 5 collected on samples 206647 and 206660 are shown in Figure 8 before and after flooding. The NMR pore size distribution and MICP pore throat size distribution (Figure 2a) give the same range of pore size on the pre-flooded samples with most of the pore size (or pore throat size) between 10 and 100 \( \mu m \) for 206647 and between 1 and 10 \( \mu m \) in 206660. The integrated NMR \( T_2 \) spectra curves give porosity values of about 14 and 15 % for samples 206647 and 206660, respectively, very similar to MICP and helium porosity data; remarkably the distributions collected in the samples after flooding show significant difference with respect to those collected before flooding. Specifically, it is observed that in both samples the curves are shifted towards shorter \( T_2 \) relaxation times; assuming a similar surface relaxivity (i.e. similar mineralogy and surface texture of the mineral in the pre- and post-flood samples), changes in the NMR \( T_2 \) relaxation time distribution in post-flood samples reflect alteration to the pore size distribution in the samples. In both samples, the larger pores observed at the right end of the spectrum seem to have disappeared after the flooding tests (\( > 80 \mu m \) in 206647 and \( > 4 \mu m \) in 206660) in favour of a higher relative proportion of medium size pores occurring at \( T_2 = 2 \times 10^5 \) and \( 10^4 \) ms for sample 206647 and 206660, respectively; which correspond after conversion into pore diameter at 30 \( \mu m \) in 206647 and 1.5 \( \mu m \) in 206660 (Figure 8).

5 Discussion

5.1 Residual trapping potential of the Lesueur Sandstone

The experimental results presented above provide the first assessment of multi-phase fluid flow behaviour in the potential reservoir of the South West Hub project, onshore Western Australia. As such, the relative permeability functions and measured end-point residual saturations can be used to populate large scale reservoir models to predict CO2 injectivity and plume mobility over time. It is worth noting
that the flood characteristics of the fluid-rock system (e.g. relative permeabilities) change continuously
during the CO₂-brine flooding performed in the laboratory. Such changes are induced by the fluid-rock
interactions which occur during such experiments. According to the Darcy’s Law, with the occurrence
of such reactions, the concept of relative permeability (which is based on and calculated using the
Darcy’s Law) may lose its meaning. Therefore, the application of the data reported in this study and
perhaps other similar studies, is subject to the inherent uncertainties introduced due to the above
mentioned reactions and transient effects.

In discussing the results of the core flooding tests, the maximum CO₂ saturation achieved during the
drainage and the trapped saturation after the imbibition floods are referred to as $S_{\text{max}}$ and $S_i$, respectively,
following the nomenclature commonly used in the literature (e.g. Burnside and Naylor, 2014) and use
the ratio of $S_i$ to $S_{\text{max}}$ (i.e. $R$) to assess the fraction of CO₂ immobilised in the pore space of a sample.
These parameters are useful to quantify the residual trapping potential of the Lesueur Sandstone and
help predict its impact on the CO₂ storage security at the reservoir scale.

Figure 9 illustrate the relationship between $S_{\text{max}}$ and $S_i$ obtained on the four samples of Lesueur
Sandstone tested in this study in comparison with experimental data obtained on sandstone samples
from different studies available in the open literature (Bennion and Bachu, 2008; Mackay et al., 2010;
Pentland et al., 2011a, b; Shell, 2011; Shi et al., 2011a, b; Krevor et al., 2012; Bachu, 2013). Laboratory
derived values available for sandstone reservoirs show $S_{\text{max}}$ ranging between 0.31 and 0.85 and $S_i$
between 0.1 and 0.52; the Lesueur Sandstone samples tested in this study show a relatively narrow
range of $S_{\text{max}}$ (0.55 < $S_{\text{max}}$ < 0.61), while residual CO₂ saturation is more variable (0.23 < $S_i$ < 0.44).
Finally, the percentage of the residually trapped CO₂ with reference to $S_{\text{max}}$ (i.e. $R = \frac{S_i}{S_{\text{max}}}$) ranges
between 41.58 and 73.20 % for the Lesueur Sandstone samples (Table 7) with an average value of 61.02
%, in good agreement with the mean sandstone value of 61 % reported by Burnside and Naylor (2014).

The relationship between the maximum and residual saturations of the non-wetting fluid is often
estimated using the empirical trapping model developed by Land (1968) to predict the trapped gas
saturation as a function of the initial gas saturation. According to the model, residual saturation can be calculated as:

$$S_t = \frac{S_{max}}{1 + CS_{max}}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq. 6}

Where $C$ is the trapping coefficient calculated as:

$$C = \frac{1}{S_t} - \frac{1}{S_{max}}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq. 7}

It can be seen in Figure 9 that experimental observations of residual trapping of supercritical CO$_2$ reported in sandstone reservoirs are generally bounded by the Land’s trapping coefficient range of $0.2 < C < 5$ and that the Lesueur Sandstone samples exhibit a range of $C$ between 0.63 and 2.55 (Table 7).

5.2 Fluid-rock interaction and resulting permeability degradation

The characterization analysis conducted on the samples before and after core flooding tests indicate a significant alteration of some of their petrophysical properties. While the overall porosity remains almost unchanged, the most evident modifications are seen in a systematic reduction of permeability (measured by He permeametry) observed on the four samples analysed after flooding (Figure 10 and Table 6) and in the NMR T$_2$ spectra collected on the brine saturated samples showing shorter T$_2$ (i.e. less movable water) (Figure 8). The observed variations could be attributed to the fluid-rock interactions occurred during the flooding experiments.

Fluid rock interactions during flooding of siliciclastic reservoirs with supercritical CO$_2$ and CO$_2$-saturated brines can be observed in nature (e.g. Bowker et al., 1991; Emberly et al., 2005; Assayag et al., 2009) and in laboratory experiments (e.g. Berrezueta et al., 2013; Huq et al., 2014; Pudlo et al., 2015) with consequences reported on the permeability (Sayegh et al., 1990; Pudlo et al., 2015; Yasahura et al., 2015) and elastic/mechanical properties of the host rock (Daley et al., 2007; Oikawa et al., 2008; Zheng et al., 2015; Delle Piane and Sarout, submitted).
Injection of carbon dioxide into a brine saturated reservoir will result in the formation of carbonic acid which, in turn, will likely react with the minerals constituting the porous frame of the rock, inducing mineral dissolution and/or precipitation. Common reactions within quartz rich sandstone reservoirs include the dissolution of carbonate and evaporite cements and the dissolution of alkali feldspar and clay minerals (e.g. Gaus, 2010 and references therein). Mineral dissolution would result in an increase in porosity which was not observed in our samples indicating that this fluid-rock interaction mechanism was not dominant at the experimental conditions explored in this study.

Alternative mechanisms that could explain the observed decrease in permeability and shift in NMR spectra are mineral precipitation and particle migration within the pore space of the rocks. Based on the mineralogy of the Lesueur samples, potential reactive phases identified in all tested samples are K-feldspar and kaolinite, while the only sample with quantifiable carbonate material was 206660 (see Table 2). While feldspar reaction rates are rather sluggish at the experimental conditions explored in this study, clay minerals and carbonates can react with carbonic acid quite rapidly, which can lead to pore space geometry changes in a relatively short time (e.g. Vialle and Vanorio, 2011; Pudlo et al., 2015). Clay minerals, in particular, are very susceptible to changes in the surface layer chemistry, and recent experimental studies pointed out that CO$_2$ can be adsorbed onto kaolinite (SchaeF et al. 2014) and that interactions between clay minerals and supercritical CO$_2$ and acidified brines can lead to detachment and partial removal of inter-granular clay from the rock matrix as a consequence of CO$_2$ diffusion within the clay layer structures and related changes in the interlayer electrical forces (Berrezueta et al., 2013; Wilson et al., 2014).

Kaolinite is the one of the clay minerals identified in the Lesueur Sandstone (Olierook et al., 2014) and is detected by XRD in the samples used for core flooding (Table 2); it occurs as an authigenic phase showing different habits including fine grained, pore occluding (Figure 11a) and pore bridging (Figure 11b) aggregates; booklets and vermicules growing on quartz grain surfaces (Figure 11c) and euhedral, well crystallized and coarse grained (Figure 11d). It is evident that even within the same sample, kaolinite crystals display considerable variation in terms of morphology and size and therefore specific surface area, a critical parameter dictating the capacity of interactions with pore fluids.
Alteration of brine salinity and pH has been shown to modify the electrical charge of kaolinite and cause repulsive forces leading to dispersion from its aggregate form and mobilization within the pore space, i.e. fines migration (Lemon et al., 2011; Wilson et al., 2014), which in turn can negatively affect permeability in sandstone as previously reported for example by Bennion et al. (1992), Kummerow and Spangenberg (2011), Sell et al. (2013) and Pudlo et al. (2015).

The role of fines migration in the permeability reduction of the post-flood samples is further reaffirmed by the fact that while permeability values of the samples were reduced after undergoing the flooding procedure, the changes in their porosity values were not appreciable. This is in line with what has been reported in the literature by other researchers (Morris and Shepperd, 1982; Priisholm et al., 1987; Hayatdavoudi and Ghalambor, 1996; Musharova et al., 2012). In fact, existence of kaolinite particles in the pore space of sample 206669 is evident from the Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) images taken from the offcuts of this sample (Figure 11). During the flooding process, these particles could dislodge and while moving towards the samples downstream could plug and/or bridge the samples pore throats.

6 Summary and Conclusions

Four conventional USS core-flooding experiments were conducted on different core-plugs from the Wonnerup Member of the Lesueur Formation. The main data generated by the experiments included residual scCO$_2$ and brine saturations and relative permeabilities for the drainage and imbibition floods. Overall, the experimental results indicate that significant quantities of CO$_2$ were trapped in the Lesueur Sandstone samples by capillary forces, as a result of imbibition under in-situ reservoir conditions. While the residual scCO$_2$ saturations obtained were relatively high, they are inversely proportional to the samples’ absolute permeabilities, as one may expect. Initial and residual saturations measured in this study seem consistent with values published in the literature from sandstones reservoir samples.

Once flooded, the samples showed about 25%-60% reduction in permeability while the changes in their porosity values were almost negligible. The results of all the auxiliary analysis performed point towards fines migration to be the likely cause of the permeability reductions observed. This phenomenon can
have a significant and detrimental effect on CO$_2$ injectivity, and most likely can affect the near wellbore region where fluid flow and chemical/physical alteration of the formation brine are maximised.

Given the petrological and petrophysical nature of the Lesueur Sandstone, it is believed that the results presented here could be relevant for other sandstone reservoirs with similar mineralogy, being considered for CO$_2$ geo-sequestration. A better understanding of the influence of isolated variables (e.g. fluid pH or salinity) on the magnitude of permeability reduction should be the focus of future research.
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Figure 1 (a) Location of the Harvey-1 well and surface geology of the Perth Basin, the red dashed box indicates the approximate location of the proposed South-West Hub; (b) Stratigraphy of the Central and Southern Perth Basin, the studied section is highlighted in red (modified after Olierook et al., 2014); (c) wireline log of porosity along the Harvey 1 well: black horizontal lines indicate the depth of each sample used in this study; red horizontal lines marks the transition between the Wonnerup and the Yalgorup Members of the triassic Lesueur Sandstone
Figure 2 (a) X-ray CT images of three samples prior to core-flooding tests with their corresponding bulk density. (b) Pore size distribution as measured by mercury injection porosimetry on offcuts of the three samples; vertical lines mark the boundaries between micropores (< 2 nm), mesopores (2-50 nm); and macropores (> 50 nm); (c) cumulative porosity as a function of pore throat size as measured by mercury injection.
Figure 3. The schematic diagram of the experimental apparatus used to run the core-flooding experiments.
Figure 4. Brine production profiles for the primary drainage conducted on three out of the four samples; breakthrough of CO$_2$ is indicated by the black arrow and corresponds to the change in slope of the production curves.
Figure 5. Relative permeability curves for the primary drainage conducted on the four samples.
Figure 6. Comparison between the differential pressure profiles for Sample 206655: blue: experimental data (used in Sendra software), black: numerical simulation results (Eclipse software (Schlumberger)).

Figure 7. Comparison between the brine production profiles for Sample 206655: blue: experimental data (used in Sendra software), black: numerical simulation results (Eclipse software (Schlumberger)).
Figure 8. NMR T2 relaxation time distribution for two samples before and after core-flooding experiments. The total porosity is almost not affected by the flooding tests but the relaxation time curve for the same sample is significantly different.
Figure 9. Scatter plot of residual ($S_t$) versus initial CO$_2$ saturation ($S_{max}$) obtained during core flooding experiments. Black dots represent sandstone related experimental data available in the open literature synthesised in the review from Burnside and Naylor, 2014. Continuous lines represent Land’s model curves with trapping coefficient (C) of 0.2 and 5.
Figure 10. Porosity (Top) and gas permeability (Bottom) measured using the helium on four core plugs before and after core flooding experiments. Note that while the variation in porosity is minimal, there is a significant decrease in permeability of all four samples.
Figure 11. SEM images of sample 206669 showing the occurrence of diagenetic, kaolinite (Kaol in the figure) partially occluding the pores between detrital quartz grains (a); bridging pores between detrial grains (b). (c) vermicular diagenetic kaolinite growing on a quartz crystal surface. (d) well crystallized coarse-grained kaolinite.

Table 1. Reservoir P-T conditions during the experiment on the four core-plugs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reservoir parameter</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>206647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth, m</td>
<td>1,901.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pore pressure (MPa)</td>
<td>19.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overburden pressure (MPa)</td>
<td>43.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservoir temperature (°C)</td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formation water salinity (ppm NaCl)</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Characteristics of the core-plugs used for the experiments and XRD derived mineralogy (in weight %). No XRD data is available for core-plug 206655. He φ = helium porosity; He k = helium permeability; Qz = quartz; K-feld = K-feldspar; Kaol = kaolinite; Ank = ankerite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>He φ (%)</th>
<th>He k (mD)</th>
<th>Hg φ (%)</th>
<th>Brine k (mD)</th>
<th>Qz</th>
<th>K-feld</th>
<th>Kaol</th>
<th>Ank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>206647</td>
<td>1,901.6</td>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>16.73</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206655</td>
<td>1,927.0</td>
<td>14.33</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206660</td>
<td>1,935.5</td>
<td>15.56</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>16.38</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206669</td>
<td>2,491.6</td>
<td>12.57</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>12.54</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. End-point residual saturations and relative permeabilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>End-point Residual Saturation of the Displaced Fluid, %</th>
<th>End-point Relative permeabilities for the Displacing Fluid, fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Drainage</td>
<td>Primary Imbibition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206647</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>22.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206655</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206660</td>
<td>40.12</td>
<td>42.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206669</td>
<td>41.65</td>
<td>34.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Best-fit relative permeability parameters for core–floods fit to the Sigmund and McCaffrey (1979) model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Nw</th>
<th>Ng</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>206647</td>
<td>4.6335</td>
<td>1.9109</td>
<td>0.0895</td>
<td>0.2336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206655</td>
<td>2.8124</td>
<td>3.3497</td>
<td>0.1581</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206669</td>
<td>3.5880</td>
<td>4.1398</td>
<td>0.0538</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Rock and fluid properties used to construct the numerical model for Sample 206655 in Eclipse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fluid properties</th>
<th>Fluid</th>
<th>Density, kg/m³</th>
<th>Viscosity, Pa.s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>scCO₂</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>0.58 x 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brine</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>4.8 x 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock properties</th>
<th>Permeability, mD</th>
<th>Porosity, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Porosity and permeability measurements on pre- and post-core flooding experiments from the four core-plugs using helium and NMR methods. He $\phi$ = Helium porosity; NMR $\phi$ = NMR porosity; He k = Helium permeability; b.f. = before flooding; a.f. = after flooding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>He $\phi$ b.f. (%)</th>
<th>He $\phi$ a.f. (%)</th>
<th>NMR $\phi$ b.f. %</th>
<th>NMR $\phi$ a.f. %</th>
<th>He k b.f. (mD)</th>
<th>He k a.f. (mD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>206647</td>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>15.87</td>
<td>14.07</td>
<td>14.95</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206655</td>
<td>14.33</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206660</td>
<td>15.56</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>15.62</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206669</td>
<td>12.57</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>11.95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Initial CO$_2$ saturation ($S_{max}$), residual CO$_2$ saturation ($S_t$), percentage of residually trapped CO$_2$ (R) and Land’s trapping coefficient C for the Lesueur Sandstone sample tested in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>$S_{max}$ (-)</th>
<th>$S_t$ (-)</th>
<th>R ($S_t/S_{max}$) %</th>
<th>C (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>206647</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>41.58</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206655</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>72.62</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206660</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>73.20</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206669</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>56.69</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>