Geochemical evaluation of fluoride contamination of groundwater in the Thoothukudi District of Tamilnadu, India
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Abstract Fluoride is a chemical element that has been shown to cause significant effects on human health through drinking water. Different forms of fluoride exposure are of importance and have shown to affect the body’s fluoride content and thus increasing the risks of fluoride-prone diseases. Fluoride has beneficial effects on teeth; however, low concentrations of fluoride intensify the risk of tooth decay. Fluoride can also be quite detrimental at higher concentrations at skeletal fluorosis. The Thoothukudi District is a hard rock and alluvial plain marked as one of the Fluoride-increase area in Tamilnadu due to occurrence of various rock types including fluoride-bearing minerals. The $\text{F}^-$ content of groundwater can thus originate from the dissolution of Fluoride-bearing minerals in the bed rock.

Hundreds of representative groundwater samples from Thoothukudi District were collected during two different seasons. Samples were analysed for $\text{F}^-$, other major cations and anions. The study area is chiefly composed of hornblende biotite gneiss, charnockite, alluvio marine, fluvial marine and granite with small patches of quartzite and sandstone. Higher concentration of fluoride is observed during pre-monsoon (3.3 mg l $^{-1}$) compared to the post-monsoon (2.4 mg l $^{-1}$) due to the dilution effect. Spatial distribution and factor score show that higher concentrations of $\text{F}^-$ are noted in the north and central part of the study area owing to lithology. Bicarbonate is well correlated with $\text{F}^-$ which explains that both ions were derived from the weathering. While $\text{F}^-$ has a very weak correlation with pH which may be due to the increase of alkalinity resulting from the increase of carbonate and bicarbonate ions.
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Introduction

Water is a leading natural resource and essential for life on earth. About 80 % of the diseases in the world are due to poor quality of drinking water (WHO 1984). In groundwater, the natural concentration of fluoride depends on the geological, chemical and physical characteristics of the aquifer, the porosity and acidity of the soil and rocks, the temperature and the action of other chemical elements (Tahaikt et al. 2008). Fluoride ion in drinking water is known for both beneficial and detrimental effects on health. The total number of people affected in the world is not well known. Per day fluoride intake is directly related with the
daily water intake. Therefore, USPHS (1962) set a range of allowable concentrations for fluoride in drinking water according to the climatic conditions (especially temperature).

Fluoride is essential for the development of tooth enamel, dentin, and the bones. It is harmful when it exceeds the permissible limit of 1.5 mg l−1 (WHO 2008) in water. Low concentration of fluoride below 0.5 ppm causes dental caries (Clark 1993; Jones et al. 1997; Acharya et al. 2008). Smaller quantities (<1.0 mg l−1) in drinking water are usually considered good to have a beneficial effect on the rate of occurrence of dental carries, particularly among children (WHO 1997; Hussain et al. 2004, 2010). While high concentrations above 1.5 mg l−1 may cause an endemic disease called dental fluorosis, intake of F− concentrations above 3.0 mg l−1 may cause skeletal fluorosis (Handa 1975; WHO 1984; Vollmer 1993; Ripa 1993).

In India, it was first detected in Nellore District of Andhra Pradesh (Shortt 1937). Presently 22 states have been identified as endemic for fluorosis in man (Siddiqui 1955; Jolly et al. 1973). India has the most extensive areas of high F− ground waters. In India about 62 million people are at risk of developing fluorosis from drinking high F− water (Susheela 1999; Andezhath el al. 1999). Dental fluorosis is endemic in 14 states and 150,000 villages in India (Pillai and Stanley 2002). The problems are most pronounced in the states of Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Punjab, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh and Tamilnadu is one of them (Pillai and Stanley 2002). Fluorosis is a considerable health problem worldwide, which is affecting millions of people in many areas of the world, for example East Africa (Nanyaro et al. 1984; Gaciri and Davies 1993; Gizaw 1996), Turkey (Oruc 2003), India (Hussain 2001; Rao and Devadas 2003; Gupta et al. 2005), southeastern Korea (Kim and Jeong 2005) and northern China (Wang and Reardon 2001). The main source of fluoride in the groundwater is fluoride-bearing rocks from which it get weathered and/or leached out contaminating the water. Fluorides occur in three forms, namely fluor spar or calcium fluoride (CaF₂), apatite or rock phosphate [Ca₅F(PO₄)₃] and cryolite (Na₃AlF₆) (Sunitha et al. 2012). Fluoride content in groundwater is mainly due to natural contamination, but the process of dissolution is still not well understood (Handa 1975; Saxena and Ahmed 2001). The study area is a hard rock terrain and the fluoride in drinking water is mainly reported in the hard rock terrains in India (Siddiqui 1968; Handa 1975; Ramesham and Rajagopal 1985; Rao and Devadas 2003; Gupta et al. 2005; Jacks et al. 2005; Shaji et al. 2007; Srinivasamoorthy et al. 2008; Reddy et al. 2010; Ramachandran et al. 2010; Deepu and Shaji 2011; Chidambaram et al. 2012; Singaraja et al. 2013b). In general, potential sources of fluoride in groundwater include various minerals in rocks and soils, such as fluorite, apatite, amphiboles and micas (Handa 1975; Pickering 1985; Wenzel and Blum 1992; Bardsen et al. 1996; Rao and Devadas 2003). Although high F− concentrations in groundwater were previously considered to be a problem mainly in hard rock areas, they have now been discovered in other environments as well (Chadha and Tamta 1999). Therefore, some researchers suggested that high fluoride concentrations in groundwater are likely a result of the dissolution of Biotite, which may contain significant fluorine at the OH sites of their octahedral sheet (Nordstrom et al. 1989; Li et al. 2003). Many workers (Karthikeyan and Shanmugasundarraj 2000; Srinivasamoorthy et al. 2008; Alagumuthu and Rajan 2010; Ramachandran et al. 2010; Chidambaram et al. 2012) have reported the level of fluoride concentration in different districts of Tamilnadu, and Thoothukudi is one of them. The high fluoride (4.34 mg l−1) contamination in drinking water was reported in Ottapidaram block part of Thoothukudi District (Veeraputhiran and Alagumuthu 2010). The main objectives of this study are: (1) to demarcate the relevant factors affecting the natural fluoride contamination in the ground waters (2) to understand the spatial and saturation index of fluoride and (3) fluoride relationship between the other hydrogeochemical parameters.

Materials and method

Study area

The study area of the Thoothukudi District (8°19′ to 9°22′ N latitude and 77°40′ to 78°23′ E longitude) is located in southeast coast of Tamilnadu (India). It is a hard rock terrain with a total area of 4,590.54 sq.km (Fig. 1). Geologically, three major units exist in this area, hornblende biotite gneiss (HBG), alluvio marine and fluvial marine (Fig. 1). The HBG is the dominant formation; however, alluvial marine and fluvial marine are found in the coastal region and are dominant in the eastern part of the study area. Four charnockite patches are noted in the study area, one in the central part of the study area and the other along the western margin. The structural control is well represented in the rock types of the study area which namely aregranite, quartzite and sandstone occurrences. Vaipar, Tambraparani and Karmanaiyar are the major rivers draining the district. All the rivers are ephemeral in nature and runoff is generated in heavy rainfall period only. The climate in this area is generally tropical with an annual rainfall that ranges between 570 and 740 mm.

Major water-bearing formations are quaternary alluvium, tertiary sediments, teri sands, weathered fractured pink granites, charnockites and gneisses. Limited fresh water availability in sedimentary areas is present (Central
Ground Water Board (2009). The types of industries found here include petrochemicals, thermal power plant, heavy water plant (HWP), chlor-alkali, HCl, trichloro-ethylene, cotton and staple yarn, caustic soda, poly vinyl chloride resin, fertilizers, soda ash, carbon dioxide gas in liquid form and aromatics. The public sector undertakings are the Thoothukudi Thermal Power Station unit, HWP and Port Trust. Though there are different studies in the land-use and land-cover pattern in the study area along with few reports on the metal pollution in groundwater to highlight their effects of the industrial (SIPCOT) effluents on the Thoothukudi city (SelvinPitchaikani et al. 2010; Singaraja et al. 2013c).

Sample collection and analysis

A total of 100 water samples were collected from entire districts during Pre-monsoon (PRM) (May) and Post-monsoon (POM) (February). Water samples were collected from hand pumps after purging for 5–10 min and 500 ml of the sample was collected in the precleaned Teflon bottles without any air gap and sealed with a stopper. Immediately after collection, samples were stored at 4°C in an ice chest and transported to laboratory for the chemical analysis. The pH, electrical conductivity (EC) and fluoride (F⁻) were immediately analysed using the Orion Ion hand-held electrodes after collection. HCO₃⁻ by titrimetry; Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, PO₄³⁻, NO₃⁻, H₂SiO₄⁻, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺ and K⁺ by the ion chromatograph (IC, Metrohm 861). Duplicate samples were analysed to check the analytical precision; it is ±5 % for all hydrogeochemical variables. The saturation indices (SI) of fluoride minerals were computed using the WATEQ4F (Hammarstrom et al. 2005).

Results and discussion

Water chemistry

Fluorine is highly reactive and is found naturally as CaF₂. It is an essential constituent in minerals like topaz, fluorite, fluorapatite, cryolite, phosphorite, theorapatite, etc. (Singh and Maheshwari 2001). The fluorite is found in the atmosphere, soil and water. It enters the soil through weathering of rocks, precipitation or waste runoff. The representations of the analysed parameters for the samples collected are shown in Fig. 2. This figure is a box plot with upper and lower quartiles of the data defining the top and the bottom of a rectangle box. The line inside the box represents the median value and the size of the box represents the spread of the central value (TaheriTizro and Voudouris 2008). The geochemical trend of groundwater in the study area (Fig. 2) demonstrates that Sodium is the dominant cation (Na⁺>Ca²⁺>Mg²⁺>K⁺). In majority of the samples Na⁺, Mg²⁺ and K⁺ are above the median in the plot, whereas in the majority of the samples, Ca²⁺ is below the median to minimum level and Chloride is the dominant anion (Cl⁻>HCO₃⁻>SO₄²⁻>H₂SiO₄⁻>NO₃⁻>F⁻). Cl⁻, NO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻ in most of the samples fall above the median to maximum level, HCO₃⁻, F⁻, H₂SiO₄⁻ in majority of the samples lie between the median to maximum in anion during PRM. Na⁺, Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ show equal distribution of all the samples between minimum to maximum, but in most of the samples K⁺ falls above the median in cation (Na⁺>Mg²⁺>Ca²⁺>K⁺). Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, F⁻ and H₂SiO₄⁻ show that most of the samples fall above the median to maximum level; and in the majority SO₄²⁻ lies between the median to minimum
(Cl<sup>−</sup>&gt;HCO<sub>3</sub>&gt;SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2−</sup>&gt;H<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>&gt;NO<sub>3</sub>&gt;F<sup>−</sup>) during POM. In fluoride 14 and 9 % of the samples fall in the range of 1–1.5 mg l<sup>−1</sup> during PRM and POM, respectively. Very high concentrations (>1.5 mg l<sup>−1</sup>) are noted in PRM (8 %) compare to the POM (6 %) (Table 1), which may be due to that fact that dilution has played a significant role during the POM which resulted in the lesser F<sup>−</sup> in groundwater (Chidambaram et al. 2012). It is interesting point to note that highest concentration range observed during PRM is 3.3 mg l<sup>−1</sup> but during POM it is 2.4 mg l<sup>−1</sup>. Hence, it is clearly evident that there is a decrease in concentration of F<sup>−</sup> ions during the POM indicating a similar dilution effect to that observed in Dindigul District, Tamilnadu (Chidambaram et al. 2012). This fact confirms the seasonal fluoride level fluctuation in water, its variation with season.

### Spatial distribution of fluoride

The spatial distribution of fluoride in groundwater samples in the study area is represented as a thematic layer using Map info GIS software. The distribution of fluoride in the groundwater of the Thoothukudi District is shown in Fig. 3. Fluoride concentration ranges from below detection limit (BDL) to 3.3 mg l<sup>−1</sup>. Higher concentrations (>1 mg l<sup>−1</sup>) of F<sup>−</sup> are noted in the north and central part of the study area where the geology of the study area is complex comprising of the HBG, alluvial plain followed by charnockite. Fluoride concentrations ranging between 0.5 and 1 mg l<sup>−1</sup> are noted in the central, the northern and north eastern part of the study area and the lowest concentration is noted in the southern, western and the eastern part of the study area during PRM. In POM, Fluoride concentration ranges from BDL to 2.4 mg l<sup>−1</sup>. The higher concentration is again noted in the same area (Fig. 3) as that of the PRM. The spatial distribution of F<sup>−</sup> in both the seasons reveals that highest fluoride concentrations are shown in the North and central part of the study area, indicating a lithological control over the spatial distribution of fluoride. Similar type of relationship of fluoride ions to different rock types of the Erode District was studied by Chidambaram et al. (2003). Srinivasamoorthy (2005) studied the spatial distribution of fluoride in groundwater of the Salem District of Tamilnadu, India, and he also proved that higher concentrations of fluorides are confined to lithological contacts.

In general, in hard rock terrain, water quality variations and changes are common, especially for fluoride in shallow, intermediate and deep aquifers. In alluvial plain groundwater, however, such variation and changes in fluoride levels are usually rare. Similar observations were noted in Ganges alluvial plain, fluoride contamination has been reported by Indian state and central groundwater departments in a few districts like Unnao, Kanpur, and Agra (Misra et al. 2006). The sources of geogenic (apatite, biotite, and clays) and anthropogenic (chemical fertilizers), with a combination of higher rate of evaporation and longer interaction of water with the aquifer materials under alkaline environment, are the key factors for the concentration of F<sup>−</sup> in the study area (SubbaRao 2009). High concentrations of fluoride in groundwater were noted in granite and granitoid gneiss (Kim et al. 2006). Low

---

**Table 1** Range of F<sup>−</sup> concentration (mg l<sup>−1</sup>) in groundwater of both seasons (n = 100)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seasons</th>
<th>0–1</th>
<th>1–1.5</th>
<th>&gt;1.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRM</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POM</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 2** Box plot for the maximum, minimum and average of the chemical constituents in groundwater during PRM and POM (all values in mg l<sup>−1</sup> except pH)
concentration of F\(^-\) is observed in northwestern part of the study area as subsurface lithology has very low hydrologic conductivity and the clays present in the highly weathered rock mass might have resulted in the removal of F\(^-\) by OH molecules through the process of ion exchange (Misra 2005; Singaraja et al. 2012; Manikandan et al. 2012). Moreover, the weathering of alkaline sedimentary rocks (especially Shales) may also result in removal of F\(^-\) into soil from the groundwater (McNelly et al. 1979). The present study area also represents higher F\(^-\) concentrations in the northern part of the region covered by hard rock (HBG) and few representations of charnockites.

Relationship between F\(^-\) and HCO\(_3^-\)

Bicarbonate showed an increasing trend with F\(^-\) concentration (Fig. 4) indicating incongruent dissolution of silicate minerals proceeded gradually with release of bicarbonate ion. It ranges from 12.2 to 536.8 mg l\(^{-1}\) and 50.4 to 683.2 mg l\(^{-1}\) during PRM and POM, respectively. Higher concentration of F\(^-\) was also noted in PRM and less bicarbonate during POM; this may be due to leaching of secondary salts precipitated during summer season along the fractures and cavities (Chidambaram et al. 2012). In general, it is noted that the bicarbonate and fluoride concentrations are lesser during POM and they get diluted during POM. Similar observations were obtained in the groundwaters of Dindigul District of Tamilnadu (Chidambaram et al. 2012) and also in Varaha River Basin, Visakhapatnam District, Andhra Pradesh, India (SubbaRao et al. 2012).

Saturation indices of fluoride minerals

The SI of F\(^-\) minerals are seasonally highly variable (Fig. 5). In general, fluorine-bearing minerals such as fluorite and fluorapatite are responsible for a high concentration of fluoride under normal pressure and temperature conditions (Sunita et al. 2012). Fluorite is undersaturated in both seasons and it shows an increasing...
trend with $F^{-1}$. Fluorapatite and hydroxylapatite are saturated in PRM and they do not show a definite trend and few samples show undersaturation. During POM, dilution effect was clearly noted that almost all samples show undersaturation with the $F^{-}$ minerals except for few representation of SI of hydroxylapatite and fluorapatite (Fig. 6). It is noted that higher SI of $F^{-}$ minerals are higher during PRM and further it explains that the dilution effect influences the low SI of $F^{-}$ minerals in POM. In general, the order of SI is as follows: fluorapatite>hydroxylapatite>fluorite. Fluoride is released into the groundwater and is instantaneously adsorbed by apatite and forms fluorapatite followed by the hydroxyl groups (OH$^{-1}$) in the hydroxyl apatite and at last they are preferred by Ca$^{2+}$ to form fluorite as it requires two fluoride ions for a single calcium ion. This indicates that the temporal variability in fluoride minerals regulates the hydrogeochemical dynamics of fluoride in the Thoothukudi District groundwater system.

Factor analysis

The PCA analysis with varimax rotation is adapted to define the causative factors (called varifactors or VF$s$) which regulate the fluoride chemistry and distribution in the groundwater. The factor analysis was carried out for both seasons; four and five factors were extracted for PRM and POM seasons, respectively (Table 2). The representation of the total data variability (TDV) shows that higher representation of TDV was noted during PRM with 75 % and POM (69.3 %). Liu et al. (2003) classified the factor loadings as ‘weak’, ‘moderate’ and ‘strong’, corresponding to the absolute loading values of 0.3–0.5; 0.5–0.75 and >0.75, respectively.

In PRM, the representation of $F^{-}$ was noted as the third factor with strong positive loadings of $\text{HCO}_3^-$ and low loadings of pH, Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$. Several authors have shown that in waters with high $F^{-}$ concentrations, the amount of $F^{-}$ is proportional to the $\text{HCO}_3^-$ concentration (Handa 1975; Saxena and Ahmed 2001; Karro and Rosentau 2005; Singaraja et al. 2013d). It is an interesting point to note that high fluoride and very low Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ in water may be due to prior precipitation of CaCO$_3$ from water and only limited incorporation of $F^{-}$ in the CaCO$_3$ structure, so that there is always a net balance of $F^{-}$ in solution (Kundu et al. 2001). The factor score was also estimated to find out the spatial variation of the factor representation and to identify the zone of its representation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seasons</th>
<th>PRM</th>
<th>POM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total no. of factors</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoride factor</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$^+$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$^+$</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F^{-}$</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl$^-$</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{HCO}_3^-$</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{PO}_4^{3-}$</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{NO}_3^-$</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SO}_4^{2-}$</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4$</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of variance</td>
<td>12.42</td>
<td>22.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDV</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>69.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
They are generally obtained by two approaches: weighted least square method and the regression method. The regression method (Johnson and Wichern 1992) is used in the study to compute the factor scores. The positive zones indicate the dominance of that factor, hydrogeochemical regime (Chidambaram et al. 2007). The spatial representation of factor scores of each sample for this season shows that the positive representation of this factor is in the northern part of the study area. The northern part of the study area is chiefly composed of HBG and alluvial plain. There are high concentrations of fluoride in groundwater from hard rock terrain like HBG (Ramesham and Rajagopalan 1985; Chidambaram et al. 2003).

In POM, Fluoride is represented as the second factor among the five factors of which the factor loadings were represented by $\text{HCO}_3^-$ and $\text{F}^-$ and low loading of pH, $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\text{Mg}^{2+}$. High fluoride ground water is generally associated with high bicarbonate ions. This has also been observed by Chatterjee and Mohabey (1998) in their investigation at Madhya Pradesh in Central India. Low concentrations of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ corresponding to high fluoride in the water have earlier been reported by Nanyaro et al. 1984; Teotia et al. (1981) who also observed an inverse relationship between $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\text{Mg}^{2+}$, than the one suggested by Gaciri and Davies (1993) on the basis of solubility product of Calcite and Fluorite (Kundu et al. 2001). The spatial representation of factor scores shows the positive representation in the northern part of the study area (Fig. 7). $\text{HCO}_3^-$ is positively correlated with $\text{F}^-$ during two seasons. The dissolved silica lacks representations in the factor loadings in PRM and POM seasons. Therefore, it is inferred that $\text{F}^-$ in groundwater is less influenced by the dissolution of silica. There was higher fluoride concentration in the northern part of the study area during both seasons. Fluoride ions from the minerals leach into the groundwater and contribute to high fluoride concentrations (Sutie 1969; Jubb et al. 1993; Schultheiss and Godley 1995). pH is an important factor that has influence on fluoride ion concentration in drinking water, but the relationship between pH and fluoride is weak, because the increase in alkalinity is due to the increase of carbonate and bicarbonate ions. These ions do not have direct influence on pH level like hydroxyl ion, so the increase of alkalinity does not increase the pH level linearly during PRM and POM (Wodeyar and Sreenivasan 1996; SubbaRao et al. 1998; SubbaRao 2003). There was no significant relationship of chloride and sulphate level on fluoride ion concentration in drinking water as observed during PRM and POM and the results were comparable with a previous study (Rajmohan 2003).

**Conclusion**

In recent years, there has been an increase in $\text{F}^-$ concentration in Thoothukudi District, which causes adverse impact on human health. The study proves a significant variation in the chemical composition of groundwater and in $\text{F}^-$ concentration in both seasons. High concentration of fluoride is observed in PRM and low levels in POM, which
is due to the effect of dilution after monsoon in the study area. The spatial distribution of F<sup>−</sup> in both the seasons reveals that highest fluoride concentrations are shown in the north and central part of the study area. This region is chiefly composed of the HBG, alluvial plain followed by charnockite, which aids as one of the main source for F<sup>−</sup> in the study area. It is also interesting to note that that alluvial plain groundwater has high fluoride levels due to alteration of mud and clay layers in the subsurface lithology which has very low hydrologic conductivity. The southern, western and the eastern parts of the study area do not suffer from F<sup>−</sup> contamination. Fluoride has a strong loading with HCO₃<sup>−</sup> but very low loading of pH, Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> during both seasons. It is interesting to note that increase of alkalinity is due to the increase of carbonate and bicarbonate ions, these ions not having direct influence on pH level, so the increase of alkalinity does not increase the pH and also show that very low Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> in water may be due to prior precipitation of CaCO₃ and solubility product of calcite and fluorate in the study area.
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