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Abstract
The chuditch is a large carnivorous dasyurid marsupial. Historically it had one of the widest geographical distributions of all marsupials, encompassing much of arid Australia, but it is now restricted to the mesic south-west of Western Australia. It is therefore of interest to determine if its physiology better reflects adaptation to its historically arid or present mesic habitat. The basic physiological parameters of the chuditch conform to other marsupials. Body mass of males (1385g) was >400% of that predicted by phylogeny and this may be related to its carnivorous diet. Body temperature was 33.9°C at ambient temperatures ≤ thermoneutrality, with hyperthermia occurring above thermoneutrality. Basal metabolic rate was 0.361 ml O₂ g⁻¹ h⁻¹ at an ambient temperature of 31°C. Metabolic rate increased below the thermoneutral zone by 0.038 ml O₂ g⁻¹ h⁻¹ °C⁻¹, and above the thermoneutral zone to 0.444 ± 0.059 ml O₂ g⁻¹ h⁻¹ at 33.3°C. Standard evaporative water loss was 0.498 ± 0.071 mg g⁻¹ h⁻¹ at an ambient temperature of 26.0°C, and increased at higher ambient temperatures due to panting and licking. Changes in wet thermal conductance largely reflected changes in evaporative heat loss, and dry thermal conductance increased at high ambient temperature due in part to posture change. Ventilatory parameters were consistent with metabolic demands in and below thermoneutrality, and suggested augmented evaporative heat loss above the thermoneutral zone. Chuditch had a high point of relative water economy of 22.6°C, indicating favourable water economy at even moderate ambient temperatures, due to its low evaporative water loss rather than high metabolic water production. Chuditch were physiologically more similar to marsupials from arid rather than mesic habitats, better reflecting their historical distribution than their current geographical range.
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Introduction

The chuditch (western quoll, *Dasyurus geoffroii*) is the third largest extant carnivorous marsupial, and the largest in Western Australia; adult males are 1.3 kg and females are 0.9 kg (Serena and Soderquist 1988). Chuditch formerly occurred over about 70% of the Australian mainland, from Western Australia to central New South Wales, with one of the widest geographical distributions of any marsupial. They occurred in a range of habitats extending from mesic to arid, but not tropical, regions. However, since European settlement the distribution of the chuditch has contracted dramatically to the south-west of Western Australia (Morton et al. 1989) and it is now classified as “near threatened” (IUCN 2008). Chuditch are nocturnal and den in hollow logs or burrows during the day (Serena and Soderquist 1989). They feed on a wide range of prey items including invertebrates, small mammals and birds, and carrion (Soderquist and Serena 1994).

The only previous physiological measurements for chuditch are body temperature and metabolic rate (Arnold and Shield 1970; Arnold 1976). Chuditch are effective thermoregulators from ambient temperatures as low as 0°C to as high as 40°C, although they use seasonal torpor, particularly females (Arnold 1976). Arnold and Shield (1970) suggested that the physiology of chuditch may partly explain their wide distribution from temperate to arid regions.

Here we describe the thermal, metabolic, hygric and ventilatory physiology of male chuditch. We measured standard physiological parameters (body temperature, $T_b$; metabolic rate, MR; respiratory rate, $f_R$; tidal volume, $V_T$; minute volume, $V_I$; wet and dry thermal conductance, $C_{wet}$ and $C_{dry}$; evaporative water loss, EWL) at different ambient temperatures ($T_a$), and provide the first relative water economy (RWE) data for a medium-sized marsupial. We interpret these basic physiological parameters of the chuditch with respect to its body mass and phylogeny, to determine if the physiology of the chuditch better reflects its past or present climatic distribution or shows adaptation to its carnivorous diet.

Materials and methods

Four male chuditch were captured at Julimar State Forest, 120km north of Perth, Western Australia (31° 24’ S, 116°C 22’ E), kept in quarantine at Perth Zoo for four weeks, then taken to The University of Western Australia (UWA). Each individual was housed in an outdoor enclosure under natural conditions of weather and photoperiod. They were fed at 1800 h daily, with ~120 g of fresh meat supplemented with eggs and live crickets, with *ad lib.* water. Individuals were fasted for 24 hrs prior to metabolic experiments and were weighed to
±1 g at the start and end of each experiment. One additional adult male chuditch was measured at Perth Zoo. This individual was housed indoors in the nocturnal house under reverse photoperiod.

Oxygen consumption (VO\textsubscript{2}), carbon dioxide production (VCO\textsubscript{2}) and EWL were measured using standard flow-through respirometry at T\textsubscript{a}s of 10.1 ± 0.25, 14.6 ± 0.39, 17.9 ± 0.24, 22.3 ±0.40, 26.0 ± 0.20, 31.0 ± 0.32 and 33.3 ± 0.24°C, in random order; n = 5 for all T\textsubscript{a}s except 33.3 °C where n = 4. Experiments were conducted during the animal’s rest phase (during the day for the UWA animals and at night for the Perth Zoo animal housed under reverse photoperiod) for a period of 6-10 hours. Chuditch were visually monitored during experiments using a small camera and red light.

A chuditch was placed in a sealed perspex chamber inside a controlled temperature cabinet. T\textsubscript{a} was measured inside the metabolic chamber with a thermocouple connected to a Radiospares 611234 thermocouple meter (±0.1°C). The flow of dry compressed air into the chamber was regulated at 5 L min\textsuperscript{-1} with an Aalborg GFC171 mass flow controller. The relative humidity (RH) of the excurrent air was measured with a Vaisala HMP 35B humidity probe. A 100 ml min\textsuperscript{-1} sub-sample was dried with drierite, and the O\textsubscript{2} and CO\textsubscript{2} content determined with a David Bishop 280 Combo Gas Analyser. The gas analyser, humidity probe and thermocouple were interfaced to a PC via Thurlby Thandar 1906 multimeters and the outputs recorded every 30 sec using a custom-written Visual Basic (VB version 6) program. A plastic-sheathed thermocouple (connected to a RS 611234 thermocouple meter) inserted approx. 3 cm into the cloaca was used to measure T\textsubscript{b} immediately after the chuditch was removed from the metabolic chamber at the end of each experiment. Baseline background values for O\textsubscript{2}, CO\textsubscript{2} and RH of incurrent air were obtained for at least 30 min before and after each experiment.

The O\textsubscript{2} analyser was calibrated using compressed nitrogen (0% O\textsubscript{2}) and room air (20.95% O\textsubscript{2}), the CO\textsubscript{2} analyser with compressed nitrogen (0% CO\textsubscript{2}) and a 0.53% CO\textsubscript{2} calibration gas (BOCS, Perth, Western Australia), and the relative humidity with dried atmospheric air (< 1% RH using Drierite) and by breathing on the sensor (100% RH). The mass flow controllers were calibrated using a volumetric meter (Alexander Wright) corrected to standard temperature and pressure, dry (STPD). VO\textsubscript{2}, VCO\textsubscript{2} and EWL were calculated after Withers (2001) for the period (at least 20 min) where MR and EWL were minimal and constant, using custom-written VB software. Wet thermal conductance (C\textsubscript{wet}; J g\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1} °C\textsuperscript{-1}) was calculated as MHP/(T\textsubscript{b} – T\textsubscript{a}) and dry thermal conductance (C\textsubscript{dry}; J g\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1} °C\textsuperscript{-1}) as (MHP–EHL)/(T\textsubscript{b}–T\textsubscript{a}), where MR was converted to metabolic heat production (MHP) using the
measured respiratory exchange ratio (RER = VCO2/VO2) for that experiment after Withers (1992) and EWL was converted to evaporative heat loss (EHL) using 2.4 J mg⁻¹ H2O (McNab 2002). The evaporative quotient (EQ) was calculated as EWL/VO2, and the relative water economy (RWE) as MWP/EWL, where metabolic water production (MWP; ml g⁻¹ h⁻¹) was calculated using the measured RER for that experiment after Withers (1992).

Whole-body plethysmography (Malan 1973) was used to measure fR and VT at Ta = 10.1 (n = 5), 17.9 (n = 5), 26.0 (n = 4) and 33.3°C (n = 4), using the metabolic chamber as a open-flow plethysmograph after Larcombe (2002) and Cooper and Withers (2004). Between 8 and 50 ventilatory measurements were made near the end of the experiment, which was generally when VO2 was lowest. VO2 varied by approximately 10% over the last few hours of each experiment so any error from using the lowest VO2 to calculate oxygen extraction efficiency is small. An Analog Devices RTI800 data acquisition board was used to monitor the output from a pressure transducer (Motorola MPX2010DP) at 400 - 1000 Hz. Calculations of VT and V1 follow Malan (1973) and Szewczak and Powell (2003), and were accomplished using custom-written software. Oxygen extraction (EO2) was calculated as VO2/(0.2095*V1). VT and V1 are presented as body temperature and pressure, saturated (BTPS) values, but V1 was converted to STPD to calculate EO2.

Values are presented as mean ± standard error, where n = number of measurements. The effect of Ta on physiological variables was determined by linear regression and full factorial ANOVA with a priori polynomial contrasts and Student-Newman-Keuls post hoc tests using SPSS (version 11.5) and StatistiXL (version 1.6). Phylogenetically-predicted and phylogenetically-independent variables were calculated using autoregression (Cheverud and Dow 1985; Rohlf 2001) using the phylogenetic tree presented by Withers et al. (2006). Chuditch were compared to conventional and phylogenetically independent log-transformed allometric regressions (except Tb which was not log-transformed; data from Withers et al. 2006) by examining their position relative to the 95% prediction limits for these relationships after Cooper and Withers (2006). The antilog predicted value for chuditch from log-transformed conventional regression was calculated using the minimum variance unbiased estimator (MVUE) of Hayes and Shonkwiler (2006, 2007).

Results

Body mass and temperature

Mean body mass was 1385 ± 140 g (range 1009 g to 1851 g). Tb did not change significantly with Ta between 10.1 and 26°C (linear contrast, P = 0.522; Fig. 1). Mean Tb over
this $T_a$ range was 33.9 ± 0.19°C (5 individuals each measured at 5 $T_a$s). There was a significant quadratic relationship in $T_b$ ($p<0.001$) when $T_a$s above 26°C were included, reflecting the elevated $T_b$ at $T_a = 31°C$ ($T_b = 36.3 ± 0.28°C$) and 33.3°C ($T_b = 37.9 ± 0.06°C$).

**Metabolic rate**

There was a significant negative linear relationship between VO$_2$ (ml O$_2$ g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) and $T_a$ from 10.1 to 26°C; VO$_2$ = 1.38 (±0.076) – 0.038 (±0.004) $T_a$; ($R^2 = 0.796$; $F_{1,23} = 89.8$; $p<0.001$; Fig. 1). The minimal VO$_2$ was 0.361 ± 0.035 (n = 5) ml O$_2$ g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ at $T_a = 31°C$, so this was considered basal metabolic rate (BMR). Over all $T_a$s, the relationship was quadratic ($P = 0.001$), with VO$_2$ increasing to 0.44 ± 0.059 ml g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ at 33.3°C. The pattern of VCO$_2$ mirrored that of VO$_2$ and so is not presented separately. There was a significant linear increase of RER with $T_a$ ($R^2 = 0.399$; $F_{1,32} = 21.2$; $p<0.001$), with RER ranging from 0.75 ± 0.012 at 10.1°C to 1.06 ± 0.097 at 31°C.

**Wet and Dry Thermal Conductance**

$C_{wet}$ ranged from 0.868 ± 0.058 J g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ °C$^{-1}$ at $T_a = 10.1°C$ to 2.017 ± 0.277 J g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ °C at $T_a = 33.3°C$ (Fig 1). The effect of $T_a$ on $C_{wet}$ was significant ($F_{6,27} = 12.9$, $P < 0.001$), with a quadratic function ($P < 0.001$), reflecting the sharp increase in $C_{wet}$ at $T_a$s ≥ 31°C. Standard $C_{wet}$ at 26°C was 1.026 ± 0.123 J g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ °C$^{-1}$ at $T_a = 14.6°C$ to 1.19 ± 0.21 J g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ °C$^{-1}$ at $T_a = 33.3°C$. $C_{dry}$ was described by a significant positive linear function ($P = 0.007$), with the increase at high $T_a$ much less pronounced than for $C_{wet}$.

**Ventilation**

There was no significant effect of $T_a$ on $f_R$ between 10.1 (28.3 ± 5.14 breaths min$^{-1}$) and 26°C (17.7 ± 4.31 breaths min$^{-1}$; $R^2 = 0.189$; $F_{1,12} = 2.79$; $P = 0.121$; Fig. 2), although there was a significant quadratic effect ($P = 0.038$) when $f_R$ at $T_a = 33.3°C$ (48.8 ± 14.61 breaths min$^{-1}$) was included. $V_T$ decreased significantly from $T_a = 10.1°C$ (32.4 ± 5.57 ml) to $T_a = 33.3°C$ (9.9 ± 0.68 ml; $F_{3,14} = 6.49$, $P = 0.006$; Fig. 2). There was also a significant effect of $T_a$ on $V_h$ ($F_{3,14} = 5.31$, $P = 0.012$), with $V_h$ highest at 10.1°C (860 ± 131.7) and lowest at $T_a = 26°C$ (302 ± 98.9). The increase in $V_{I}$ at $T_a = 33.3°C$ (457 ± 196.4) was not significant (SNK $P = 0.336$). There was no effect of $T_a$ on $EO_2$ ($F_{3,14} = 1.19$; $P = 0.348$); mean $EO_2$ over all $T_a$s was 14.4 ± 0.69% (n =18 for 5 individuals; Fig 2).
Evaporative Water Loss

There was a significant positive linear relationship between EWL (mg H$_2$O g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) and $T_a$ below 26°C; EWL = 0.144 (± 0.060) + 0.013 (± 0.003) $T_a$; ($R^2 = 0.427$; $F_{1,23} = 17.2$; $p<0.001$; Fig 1). The relationship became quadratic above $T_a = 26°C$ ($P < 0.003$), with EWL increasing to 1.57 ± 0.135 mg g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ at $T_a = 33.3°C$. We define EWL at $T_a = 26°C$, of 0.498 ± 0.071 mg g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$, to be standard EWL, for comparison with other species. $T_a$ had a significant influence on EQ ($F_{6,27} = 24.3$; $P < 0.001$), which ranged from 0.28 ± 0.052 at $T_a = 10.1°C$ to 3.7 ± 0.46 mg H$_2$O ml O$_2^{-1}$ at 33.3°C. There was a significant negative linear relationship between RWE and $T_a$ (RWE = 2.807(±0.215) - 0.080(±0.009)$T_a$; $R^2 = 0.70$, $F_{1,32} = 75.0$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 3). The point of relative water economy (PRWE; $T_a$ where RWE = 1) occurred at 22.6°C.

Discussion

Body mass and temperature

The phylogenetically-predicted body mass for a chuditch was 337g, so actual body mass of both females and males (900 and 1300 g respectively; Serena and Soderquist 1988) are 250-400% of that predicted by phylogeny. This higher-than-predicted body mass of chuditch, and most likely the other quoll species as well, is probably an adaptation to a carnivorous diet, and the advantage of large size in capturing and subduing large vertebrate prey. Male chuditch are also larger than females (Serena and Soderquist 1988), presumably reflecting their additional requirements for a large home range and aggressive encounters with other male quolls to enhance reproductive success. The higher-than-expected body mass of chuditch accounts for other physiological variables being higher than predicted by phylogeny, as there are strong allometric effects on most physiological variables for marsupials (McNab 2005; Withers et al. 2006).

Arnold and Shield (1970) measured a $T_b$ of 34.9°C for chuditch at a $T_a$ of 30°C; this value conforms well to the relationship between $T_a$ and $T_b$ that we observed (Fig. 1). The allometrically-predicted $T_b$ for chuditch was 35.4°C, and although this was 1.54°C higher than the actual $T_b$ of 33.9°C, the chuditch’s $T_b$ falls inside the 95% prediction limits for the allometric relationship for $T_b$ and therefore conforms well to other marsupials, both before and after accounting for phylogeny. Chuditch kept $T_b$ constant at low $T_a$, maintaining a substantial thermal gradient between $T_b$ and $T_a$ below thermoneutrality. Mild hyperthermia at $T_a ≥ 31°C$ maintained a positive $T_b - T_a$ gradient to allow passive heat loss and reduce water
required for evaporative heat loss.

**Metabolic Rate**

Arnold and Shield (1970) measured BMR for chuditch at about 0.42 ml O\(_2\) g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) (estimated from their Fig. 1) at 30°C, which is slightly higher but does not differ statistically from the BMR of 0.361 ± 0.035 ml O\(_2\) g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) that we measured. The chuditch’s BMR is 94.5% of that predicted by allometry, and conforms to the allometric relationship for marsupials both before and after accounting for phylogeny. There is a significant difference in the elevation of the allometric relationship for arid and mesic marsupials (Withers et al. 2006), and although the chuditch conformed statistically to the 95% confidence limits for both arid and mesic marsupial regressions, its BMR was more similar to that of arid marsupials (105% of predicted) than mesic marsupials (91% of predicted). This suggests that the chuditch’s metabolic physiology may reflect its historically mostly-arid distribution more than its currently restricted mesic environment.

Below thermoneutrality, the slope of the linear relationship between VO\(_2\) and T\(_a\) of 0.038 ml O\(_2\) g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) °C\(^{-1}\) (= 0.764 J g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) °C\(^{-1}\)) is an approximate estimate of C\(_{\text{wet}}\) and is similar to C\(_{\text{wet}}\) calculated as MHP/(T\(_b\)-T\(_a\)) of 0.85-0.97 J g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) °C\(^{-1}\), indicating that the chuditch conformed fairly closely to the Scholander-Irving model of endothermy (Scholander et al. 1950), although this model did overestimate T\(_b\) (36.5 °C predicted compared to 33.9°C actual). Above thermoneutrality, a significant increase in VO\(_2\) indicated a metabolic cost of hyperthermia (which however has hygric advantages; see below). The systematic variation in RER with T\(_a\) from 0.75 at T\(_a\) = 10.1°C to 1.06 at 31°C suggests a progressive shift from greater lipid metabolism (lower RER; Withers 1992) at lower T\(_a\) and higher VO\(_2\), to slight hyperventilation at higher T\(_a\). It also confers a slight hygric benefit at higher T\(_a\), as metabolic water production increases with increasing respiratory quotient (RQ) i.e. from 0.566 mg H\(_2\)O ml O\(_2\)\(^{-1}\) at RQ = 0.7 to 0.663 mg H\(_2\)O ml O\(_2\)\(^{-1}\) at RQ = 1 (Withers 1992).

**Thermal Conductance**

C\(_{\text{dry}}\) increased with T\(_a\), reflecting changes in posture (observations during measurement indicated the chuditch were curled into a tight near-spherical ball at low T\(_a\) but sprawled out at high T\(_a\)) and presumably peripheral blood flow. C\(_{\text{wet}}\) was always higher than C\(_{\text{dry}}\), and increased systematically with T\(_a\), reflecting the additional evaporative component of heat loss. The marked increase in C\(_{\text{wet}}\) above thermoneutrality indicates substantially augmented heat loss, which reflects both the increase in dry thermal conductance and
significant increase in EWL (hence evaporative heat loss).

The $C_{\text{wet}}$ that we calculated from Arnold and Shield (1970) of 1.72 J g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ °C$^{-1}$ at $T_a = 30^\circ$C is similar to that extrapolated for our chuditch at that $T_a$. $C_{\text{wet}}$ for the chuditch was 101% of that predicted for an equivalently-sized marsupial within thermoneutrality and conformed to the marsupial allometric relationship both before and after accounting for phylogenetic history.

**Ventilation**

Chuditch conformed closely to all allometrically predicted basal ventilatory values ($f_R$, $V_T$, $V_I$ and $EO_2$) for marsupials (data from Hallam and Dawson 1993; Chappell and Dawson 1994; Dawson et al. 2000; Larcombe 2002; Cooper and Withers 2004; Larcombe et al. 2006; Larcombe and Withers 2006). The ventilatory system of endotherms accommodates changing demand for gas exchange with thermoregulatory responses to $T_a$, and hyperventilation augments EWL at high $T_a$ to increase evaporative heat loss. As expected, ventilation by chuditch closely matched basal metabolic demand. At $T_a$s below thermoneutrality, $V_I$ increased to accommodate $O_2$ demand while oxygen extraction remained constant at about 14%. Previous studies have also shown that marsupials accommodate varying $O_2$ demand by changes in $V_I$ rather than $EO_2$ (Chappell and Dawson 1994; Dawson et al. 2000; Larcombe 2002; Cooper and Withers 2004). However, the mechanism by which $V_I$ is increased is determined allometrically; small species increase $f_R$ rather than $V_T$, whereas larger species increase $V_T$ rather than $f_R$ (Cooper and Withers 2004). The chuditch, with an intermediate body mass, used a combination of the two, with the ratio for $\Delta V_T/\Delta f_R$ of 1.11. This is slightly higher than the predicted ratio of 0.81 (Cooper and Withers 2004) but fell within the 95% prediction limits of the regression (note that the equation and Fig. 2 Y axis of Cooper and Withers 2004 should read $\Delta V_T/\Delta f_R$). At the highest $T_a$, all chuditch were observed to pant intermittently. The increased $f_R$, together with licking, contributed to the significant increase in EWL (and thus evaporative heat loss) and $C_{\text{wet}}$.

**Evaporative Water Loss**

Standard EWL was 0.50 mg H$_2$O g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$, which is only 51% of that predicted for a marsupial. However, this value fell within the 95% prediction limits for both conventional and phylogenetically independent allometric regressions and so the chuditch statistically conforms to these relationships. Variation in measurement techniques for EWL means that the EWL dataset is more variable than for other physiological parameters such as BMR (Withers
et al. 2006) and it is difficult to interpret comparisons of EWL between studies. We suspect that many of the existing measurements for marsupial EWL are overestimates because of methodological issues (see Cooper et al. 2005, 2009; Cooper and Withers 2009). Nevertheless, marsupials from regions with high intra-annual rainfall have lower EWL than those from habitats with more consistent rainfall (Withers et al. 2006). The residual EWL of the chuditch from conventional regression (see Withers et al. 2006) was 2.3 times lower than that predicted by the rainfall variability (0.827) of its mesic habitat, suggesting that the chuditch’s EWL is more typical of a species from a much more variable environment than its current distribution.

The EWL of chuditch increased with $T_a$ (Fig. 1) with virtually the same pattern as $C_{\text{wet}}$. Augmented evaporative heat loss at high $T_a$ allowed for regulation of $T_b$. The increase in EWL is attributable to both panting and occasional licking of genitalia as observed by video surveillance. Hyperthermia at these high $T_a$s did however reduce dependence on evaporative cooling. The observed 4.0 °C increase in $T_b$ at $T_a = 33.3$°C compared to $T_a \leq 26$ °C would save the chuditch 2.7 ml H$_2$O h$^{-1}$, which is over 120% of the actual EWL at $T_a = 33.3$ °C.

Chuditch were able to maintain a favourable relative water economy (i.e. metabolic water production exceeding evaporative water loss) even at moderate $T_a$. They achieved this by having a low EWL rather than a high metabolic water production. The chuditch’s PRWE of 22.6 °C is the highest measured so far for a marsupial. PRWE ranges from 11.3 °C for the 68.8g long-furred woolly mouse opossum (Micoureus paraguayanus; Cooper et al. submitted) to 17.7°C for the 43.6g sandhill dunnart (Sminthopsis psammophila; Withers and Cooper in press). What makes the chuditch’s PRWE especially remarkable is that RWE should be more favourable for smaller species, with a negative allometric relationship for PRWE (Hinds and MacMillen 1986). The chuditch is 20-fold larger than the largest species for which there is existing data, and so it would be expected to have a PRWE 5.8°C lower than the woolly mouse opossum (calculated using the predictive equation for PRWE of dasyurid marsupials; Hinds and MacMillen 1986; see Withers and Cooper in press).

The point of relative water economy can be interpreted as an index of a species’ adaptation to limited water availability and is useful for inter-specific comparison, with a higher PRWE more favourable in arid environments (MacMillen and Hinds 1983; MacMillen 1990). The chuditch’s very high PRWE seems unusual, particularly given its carnivorous diet and current distribution being limited to mesic habitats. A carnivorous diet high in preformed water presumably reduces the chuditch’s dependence on free water (chuditch with a diet of fresh meat do appear to need to drink; Arnold 1983), although carnivores require the capacity
to excrete urea formed from catabolism of their high protein diet and so a favourable RWE may be advantageous even for this dietary group (Geffen et al. 1992). The chuditch was historically found throughout Australia’s arid zone (Serena and Soderquist 2008) and perhaps its high PRWE reflects this. Even so, the chuditch’s very high PRWE does seem unusual, and requires further investigation. It would be particularly interesting to compare the chuditch with other quolls, and more data concerning the PRWE of marsupials are needed to determine allometric and ecological patterns.

Ecological correlates of physiological variables

The chuditch is a typical endotherm who’s $T_b$ and metabolism generally fits the Scholander-Irving model of thermoregulation (Scholander et al. 1950). General physiological parameters conform well to previously measured values for this species (Arnold and Shield 1970; Arnold 1976), and to other marsupials (e.g. Withers et al. 2006). There is no indication that the chuditch’s carnivorous diet is associated with a high BMR, unlike placental mammals that feed on vertebrates (McNab 2008). Marsupials are physiologically conservative, and there is very little residual variation in BMR (body mass explains > 98% of the variation in BMR) to correlate with dietary or other ecological variables. Indeed, Withers et al. (2006) found no correlation between BMR and diet for marsupials (but see McNab 2005). Marsupials have a BMR only 70% of that of a generalised placental mammal (Dawson and Hulbert 1969) and only the nectarivorous honey possum (*Tarsipes rostratus*) has a BMR significantly higher than other marsupials and of the level of a generalised placental mammal (Withers et al. 1990; Cooper and Cruz-Neto in press). Thus even though the chuditch has a diet associated with high basal rates in placentals, it appears to be phylogenetically constrained to a low marsupial BMR. Probably the greatest physiological influence of diet for chuditch is the higher-than-predicted body mass (250-400%) from its phylogenetic position. Presumably this large body mass is an adaptation to capturing and subduing vertebrate prey. The strong allometric effect on most physiological variables means that this high body mass is associated with increased absolute energetic and hygric requirements above those predicted by its phylogeny.

Withers et al. (2006) found significant environmental correlates for physiological variables of marsupials. Species from mesic habitats and/or those with low rainfall variability have a higher BMR and EWL compared with those from arid habitats and/or those with high rainfall variability. None of the chuditch’s physiological parameters are statically different to allometrically/phylogenetically predicted values for marsupials in general. However, the
chuditch conforms more closely to the BMR allometric relationship for arid zone marsupials, and has an EWL more typical of a marsupial from a habitat with high intra-annual rainfall. This, together with its remarkably good water economy is surprising for a species now restricted to the mesic south-west of Western Australia and suggests that the chuditch’s physiology better reflects its pre-European distribution. Historically chuditch inhabited much of arid central Australia and this may have imposed strong selection for water and energy conservation. It appears that there has been insufficient evolutionary time and/or selection pressure for the chuditch to greatly alter its physiology from that of an arid-habitat species to one restricted to a mesic environment, and it remains more typical of an arid habitat marsupial.
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Fig. 1: Body temperature, metabolic rate, wet (dark symbols) and dry (light symbols) thermal conductance and evaporative water loss for chuditch (Dasyurus geoffroii) at ambient temperatures from 10.1 to 33.3°C. Values are mean ± SE (n = 5 for all T_a s except for T_a = 33.3°C where n = 4).
Fig. 2: Respiratory frequency, tidal volume, minute volume and oxygen extraction for chuditch (*Dasyurus geoffroii*) at ambient temperatures from 10.1 to 33.3°C. Values are mean ± SE (n = 5 for T_{a} = 10.1 and 17.9°C, and n = 4 for T_{a} = 26.0 and 33.3°C).
Fig. 3: Relative water economy (metabolic water production/evaporative water loss) as a function of ambient temperature for chuditch (*Dasyurus geoffroii*). The dashed line represents a relative water economy of one, where metabolic water production = evaporative water loss. Values are mean ± SE (*n* = 5 for all *T*<sub>a</sub>s except for *T*<sub>a</sub> = 33.3°C where *n* = 4).