

1 **Title: An unfished area enhances a spiny lobster (*Panulirus argus*) fishery: Implications for**
2 **management and conservation within a Biosphere Reserve in the Mexican Caribbean**

3 Running head: unfished area and spiny lobster conservation

4 **ABSTRACT**

5 The Caribbean spiny lobster (*Panulirus argus*, Latreille, 1804) is the main source of income
6 for the communities in the Sian Ka'an Biosphere Reserve Mexico. The fishery has recently been
7 certified as "sustainable" by the Marine Stewardship Council provided that further stock
8 assessment is carried out. A total of 379 lobsters were tagged in an unfished area offshore from
9 the Bahía del Espíritu Santo fishing grounds to assess whether lobsters remained within these
10 areas and were thus fully protected. The lobsters recaptured in the shallow area (5.3%), were
11 sufficient to develop a multi-state mark recapture model, which takes into account fishing and
12 natural mortality, tag reporting rate and tag loss. This estimated that between 15 and 20% of all
13 adult lobsters dwelling in the unfished area moved into the fishery and were subjected to
14 exploitation. This study suggests that the offshore unfished area provides protection to the
15 majority of the stock in this area while adding to and maintaining fishing yields within the
16 inshore commercial fishery.

17 **Key words**

18 Caribbean, Fisheries, Lobsters Migration, Population Dynamics

19

20

21 **Introduction**

22 Marine Protected Areas- (MPA's) are often defined as no take zones where fishing is
23 prohibited. As part of the conservation efforts to sustain exploited species such as lobsters,
24 MPA's are designed to preserve important habitats that serve as shelter, foraging grounds or
25 movement corridors, as well as protecting the breeding stocks and increasing the fishery yield of
26 the target species (Acosta, 1999; Goñi, *et al* 2010). In Biosphere Reserves like Sian Ka'an (SK-
27 BR see map rectangular insert Fig. 1), where fishing is allowed but access is restricted and
28 operations only occur within areas of less than 20 m depth (Ley-Cooper, *et al* 2013; MRAG-
29 Americas, 2012), management initiatives are also expected to replenish stocks by increasing
30 biomass and abundance. However few empirical studies have demonstrated whether these
31 management initiatives optimize population viability, and how they may affect benthic dispersal
32 dynamics of the Caribbean spiny lobster *Panulirus argus*, Latreille, 1804 (Acosta, 1999).

33 Assigning no take areas where fishing is totally banned is being promoted as a conservation
34 strategy for heavily exploited species such as *P. argus*, although there is generally only limited
35 evidence that movements of adult individuals from unfished areas replenish the populations in
36 areas subject to fishing ('spillover effect') (Russ, *et al* 1996; Goñi, *et al* 2010). This is also the
37 case in the SK-BR where un-fished offshore areas deeper than 20 m are unofficially conceived as
38 no take areas of the MPA. These areas are especially valuable for sustainability since they are
39 predominantly populated by mature-sized lobsters (Ley-Cooper *et al.*, 2013; Lozano-Álvarez *et*
40 *al.*, 1993). Understanding both recruitment and lobster movement patterns from the deep
41 unfished area into the fishery can help evaluate the Biosphere Reserve's potential to meet
42 management performance standards, by providing information about habitat use, home range,

43 migrations, retention times/spillover, and location of spawning grounds (Goñi *et al.*, 2006;
44 Bertelsen, 2013). Given the complexity of the post larval settlement and recruitment patterns of
45 *P. argus* (Briones-Fourzán, 2008), the only tangible fishery benefit that can be demonstrated in
46 favour of the deep unfished areas is the recruitment of adults which replenish the shallow fishing
47 grounds (< 20 m) enhancing fishing yields (Russ & Alcala 1996; Bevacqua *et al.* 2010). This is
48 usually examined via tagging experiments (Frusher & Hoenig, 2003; Goñi *et al.*, 2010; Bertelsen
49 2013).

50 Spiny lobster *Panulirus argus* is the most valued single species fished in the Caribbean and
51 the main economic source for families living in the Sian Ka'an Biosphere Reserve, Mexico (SK-
52 BR). The marine segment of the SK-BR is an MPA, where restricted fishing for lobsters is
53 permitted to three cooperatives with exclusive concession rights within both existing bays -
54 Bahía de la Ascensión and North and Bahía Espíritu Santo-South (Fig. 1) Ley-Cooper *et al.*
55 (2013). Fishing operations are confined to the shallow areas (<20 m) west from the barrier reef
56 due to restrictions in fishing gear and regulations, since only free diving is allowed and the use of
57 any sort of alternate air source or deep trap is banned (Ley-Cooper *et al.*, 2013; Sosa-Cordero *et*
58 *al.*, 1999). As a result, only the portion of the whole lobster stock lying within shallow waters is
59 exploited (area I, Fig 1) and the deep offshore area (>20 m) is effectively a no take zone which is
60 unfished (area II, Fig 1). This limitation is a main criterion for the sustainable management of
61 this fishery. However the MSC certification process identified the multiple sources of
62 recruitment variation and movement of adult lobsters to and from the deep areas as one of the
63 main sources of uncertainty in assessing the stock status (MRAG-Americas, 2012).

64 *Panulirus argus* displays movement behaviours throughout its life cycle for many purposes, including
65 relocating to more appropriate habitat and foraging for food and reproduction (Acosta, 1999; Briones-
66 Fourzán *et al.*, 2003; Ríos-Lara *et al.*, 2007). After settlement within shallow vegetated areas juveniles

67 attain a transitional size (typically >15-20 mm carapace length CL) at which they begin to seek shelters
68 (rock crevices, holes and ledges; undercut coral heads and sponges). Emigration out to deeper reef areas
69 for reproductive purposes begins to occur in pre-adult lobsters (size \approx 75 mm CL).

70 How the physical characteristics of the deep segment (>20 m) of the SKBR MPA interacts to
71 support a viable population of *P. argus* in the shallows has been the focus of much discussion,
72 but few empirical studies have investigated the mechanisms which affect adult dispersal,
73 distribution or abundance - information important for designing protected areas (Acosta, 1999;
74 Bertelsen, 2013). Little is known about the population dynamics of lobsters that dwell in the
75 deep platform and the offshore areas (\geq 20m) of the SK-BR (Lozano-Álvarez *et al.*, 1993, Ley-
76 Cooper *et al.*, 2013). It is possible that some adult lobsters from the deep water stock may
77 migrate into the shallow areas as a result of dispersal movements, for reasons such as: returning
78 after spawning (Bevacqua *et al.*, 2010; Bertelsen, 2013); seasonal behavioural changes (Lozano-
79 Álvarez *et al.*, 1993; González-Cano, 1991; Herrnkind, 1980; García *et al.*, 1991); or in search of
80 food and shelter (Ríos-Lara *et al.*, 2007). To date, the proportion of lobsters that might move
81 inshore from deep areas has not been estimated and the assumption that the adult stock is fully
82 protected in deeper waters should be re-examined for this BR.

83 More robust stock assessment and the establishment of biological reference points have been
84 suggested as means to improve the management and evaluation of the lobster fisheries in SKBR,
85 and now form a condition for the on-going sustainability certification granted by the Marine
86 Stewardship Council (MSC) (MRAG-Americas, 2012). In this fishery, exploitation rates are high
87 within the shallow bays (depths <20 m, area I), and it has been suggested that, in order to sustain
88 such catch rates lobsters, recruitment to this fishery must be frequently replenished by growth of
89 juveniles and/or by an input of lobsters moving in from unfished areas such as those found

90 offshore in deeper waters (>20 m) (Lozano-Álvarez *et al.*, 1993; Lozano-Álvarez *et al.*, 1991a;
91 Lozano-Álvarez *et al.*, 1991b; Ley-Cooper *et al.*, 2013; Briones-Fourzán *et al.*, 2007; González-
92 Cano, 1991).

93 This paper addresses the question of how the present management regulations, which
94 constrain fishing to depths less than 20 m, may affect benthic dispersal dynamics of the
95 Caribbean spiny lobster, and their catch rates within the Sian Ka'an Biosphere Reserve. We used
96 a multi-state mark recapture model to test the hypothesis that an autumn season migration takes
97 place, with a proportion of lobsters moving from the offshore (>20 m) unfished areas of the
98 MPA into the shallow areas which are fished. The impact this has on fishing yields is
99 examined and discussed.

100 **Materials and Methods**

101 *Study area*

102 The study was conducted in the Mexican Caribbean, in the central segment of the coast of
103 Quintana Roo/Yucatan Peninsula within the SKBR/MPA. Here, the continental shelf is narrow, not
104 exceeding four km from the coast and ending at depths averaging 50 to 60 m, after which depths rapidly
105 reach >400 m (Lozano-Álvarez *et al.*, 1991 a; Lozano-Álvarez *et al.*, 1991b;). The SK-BR
106 encompasses two large marine embayment's named Bahía del Espíritu Santo (south) and Bahía de la
107 Ascensión (north) (Fig.1). Bahía del Espíritu Santo is a large and shallow bay ranging in depths from 1-20
108 m, with an area of approximately 300 km² (Sosa-Cordero *et al.*, 1999). Within this bay there is a limited
109 access fishery exclusively exploited by two cooperatives, and co-managed by environmental and fishery
110 federal government authorities (Sosa-Cordero *et al.*, 2008).

111 For the purposes of our study, the bay was divided into two areas (Fig.1): area I, the
112 commercially exploited shallow bay (<20 m) to the west and area II, the un-exploited offshore deeper

113 area (>20 m) to the east. In practice, area II is a “no take” zone within this BR, since diving with the use
114 of alternative air sources (eg. SCUBA) is prohibited, although lobsters (*P. argus*) are naturally distributed
115 in both areas (Phillips, 2006).

116 *Description of the fishery and local stock*

117 The lobster fishery is based on concessions to two cooperatives which fish using a
118 “Casita/Campo” system. Casitas are large artificial shelters that can harbour the full size range of lobsters,
119 and are allotted to individual fisher families associated to the cooperative. The bay and fishing areas are
120 divided into parcels called Campos, and are hence owned by the “family” who manage them in a semi-
121 ownership arrangement (Lozano-Álvarez *et al.*, 1991a; Lozano-Álvarez *et al.*, 1991b; Briones-Fourzán *et*
122 *al.*, 2000; Sosa-Cordero *et al.* 2008). Permits are renewed annually and cooperatives must comply with the
123 federal fishing regulations, which include a closed season from March 1 to June 30; a minimum tail size
124 of 13.5 cm (~74.5 mm, CL; Lozano-Álvarez *et al.* 1991a; Lozano-Álvarez *et al.* 1991b); and prohibition
125 of capturing egg bearing females.

126 Lobsters are caught mainly in the bays within the fringing reefs using small boats and by skin
127 diving to a maximum depth of 20 m (Ley-Cooper *et al.*, 2013). For the purpose of this study we refer to
128 the “local” stock as the proportion of the population found within the bay, including the adjacent water
129 areas found beyond the reef and to the east of Bahía del Espíritu Santo (depths ≤ 100 m) (Briones-Fourzán
130 & Lozano-Álvarez, 2001; Briones-Fourzán *et al.*, 2007).

131 *Lobster tagging and recapture:*

132 *Tagging:* A total of 379 lobsters, (56 in August and 323 in September, 243 females and 136
133 males), were tagged and released where they had been caught, in water depths which ranged between
134 >20m and < 40 m, in area II (Fig.1), during the first five days of each month in 2011. All lobsters were
135 caught using hand nets or snares while fished using SCUBA, and were tagged with T-Bar tags
136 (“Hallprint”, Australia) in their ventral abdomen region as described by (Ley-Cooper *et al.*, 2013). The

137 size range of tagged lobsters was 70.1 to 140.5 mm CL. Fishing location, sex, and size (CL), were
138 recorded for each lobster.

139 *Recaptures:* Recaptures were obtained from both licenced fishing boats and the cooperative
140 depots during the fishing seasons 2011/2012 and 2012/2013. Research observers went on-board boats for
141 10 days during every month of the fishing season. Additionally information on tag reporting in log books
142 was obtained at reception points.

143 *The Multi-State Tag Recapture Model:*

144 In order to estimate the monthly movement rates of tagged lobsters from an unfished area (area-
145 II) to a fished area (area-I) during each month of the fishing season following the tag releases (i.e. August
146 2011 – January 2012), a multi-state tag-recapture model (MSTR) was developed based on a model
147 framework described by Hilborn (1990). The model, built in “R” (R Development Core Team, 2012),
148 encompassed only the first fishing season following release (2011/12) since this period covered the
149 majority of tag returns. Returns in the 2012/13 season were so few they provided too little information
150 for the MSTR model to determine movement rates with any degree of confidence.

151 A model was custom-built for this study because previously developed models, such as those
152 found in packages like ‘MARK’ (White & Burnham, 1999), required tagging and recaptures to occur in
153 both areas in its multi-state design. In our study, lobsters were only tagged and released in the unfished
154 deep area >20 m (area II) and recaptured only in the shallow fished area < 20 m (area I). The MSTR
155 model incorporated fishing and natural mortality, reporting rate, and tag loss. It differed slightly from that
156 described by (Hilborn, 1990), in that it also included natural mortality, all mortality was treated as
157 instantaneous, and a four- rather than a three-dimensional array was used to track lobsters.

158 The model consisted of four main components, which combined allowed us to determine the
159 likelihood of recapturing a tagged lobster in the shallow fished area. These components represented the
160 processes of tag-release, migration, mortality (fishing/natural/tag-loss) and likelihood of recoveries. The

161 model employed a monthly time-step (August - January) and considered the numbers of tagged lobsters at
162 liberty and recaptured in each time interval starting in the calendar month when lobsters were first
163 released (August 2011) and terminating at the calendar month when the last recaptured lobster of that
164 fishing season was recorded (January 2012). The model grouped lobsters into two areas: I) the fished
165 shallow-waters (<20 m), and II) the offshore unfished deeper-waters (>20 m). The model contained a
166 series of assumptions:

- 167 1. Tag loss would occur at a rate previously determined from aquaria studies (Ley-Cooper *et al.*,
168 2013). The exponential decay relationship describing the monthly proportion of tags retained
169 was: $TL = 0.953 * e^{(-0.15 * L)}$, where TL is the proportion of lobsters still tagged and L is the
170 number of months after release. This rate of tag loss lies within the ranges obtained by other
171 lobster studies (Dubula *et al.*, 2005; Montgomery & Brett, 1996; Forcucci *et al.*, 1994; Sharp *et*
172 *al.*, 2000; Ehrhardt, 2008)
- 173 2. Commercial fishing effort was homogenous across the entire fishery.
- 174 3. Each lobster within the model had the same probability of being captured.
- 175 4. During the study tagged lobsters will not migrate twice, i.e. will not enter the fishery and then
176 leave.
- 177 5. Natural mortality was previously determined within the bay in a study carried out during the
178 previous fishing season (Ley-Cooper *et al.*, 2013), and is assumed to be constant over time and model
179 area.

180 *Analysis of tag data*

181 Data from tagged lobsters were recorded within the MSTR model, using a four-dimensional array
182 that recorded their expected abundance against: a) their initial release location, b) the month they were

183 released, c) their recapture location and d) the month of recapture. At the start of each time-step, tagged
184 lobsters were recruited into the model using the equation:

$$185 \quad N_{r,m,a,t} = T_{r,m} + N_{r,m,a,t-1}$$

186 where $N_{r,m,a,t}$ represents the number of tagged lobsters from the release area (r) (area II), released in
187 month m and currently residing in area a (area I), during the model time-step t , and $T_{r,m}$ represents the
188 number of tagged lobsters initially released: m and t range from May to January ($n=11$), whilst r and a
189 represent lobsters moving from deep to shallow ($n=2$).

190 *Migration*

191 Following the recruitment of tagged lobsters, the MSTR model estimated a time-step specific
192 proportion of tagged lobsters (P_t) that were uni-directionally migrating from the unfished area to the
193 fished area using the equations:

$$194 \quad N_{r,m,1,t} = N_{r,m,2,t} * P_t,$$

$$195 \quad N_{r,m,2,t} = N_{r,m,2,t} * (1 - P_t).$$

196 *Estimates of mortality, recoveries and tag loss*

197 Estimates of F and M were based on previous estimates determined for this same fishery in the
198 previous fishing season 2010/11 and were assumed to remain constant across the fishing season (Ley-
199 Cooper *et al.*, 2013). These values were not determined by the MSTR model since no tagging occurred
200 within the fished area (I). Since the average monthly estimate for F had been determined for the previous
201 fishing season at ~ 0.3 (Ley-Cooper *et al.* 2013), a range of values that encompassed this (e.g. 0.1, 0.15,
202 0.2, 0.25, 0.4, and 0.5) were utilised to determine how sensitive the model was to the estimate of F . The
203 instantaneous rate of natural mortality (M) of $0.02^{-\text{month}}$ used in this study was also taken from the Ley-
204 Cooper *et al.*, (2013).

205 The rate of tag loss used in the model (about 14% month⁻¹) was based on the rate previously
 206 reported for *P. argus* in the same fishery (Ley-Cooper *et al.*, 2013), and it represented the expected
 207 reduction in the number of tagged lobsters at liberty, due to tag shedding and tag induced mortality. After
 208 allowing for the migration of lobsters between areas, 50% of the estimated monthly tag loss was applied
 209 to the simulated population of lobsters, both before and after fishing and natural mortality had both been
 210 applied. Tag loss was applied to the simulated population of lobsters in the model by using the equation:

$$211 \quad N_{r,m,a,t} = N_{r,m,a,t} (1 - e^{-2.65}).$$

212 Interviews with fishers indicated that the tag reporting rate for this study was ~ 30% less than that
 213 of the previous seasons estimate of 100% return rate (Ley-Cooper *et al.*, 2013). This was considered to
 214 be due to less direct interaction with the fishers and that tag reporting was becoming less novel. As such a
 215 range of tag reporting rates encompassing this estimate (60 – 80%) were trialed in the model to assess its
 216 sensitivity to this parameter.

217 Instantaneous rates of fishing (F) and natural mortality (M) were applied to the population once
 218 tagged lobsters had been released, migrated and reduced in magnitude through tag loss. Simulated catches
 219 were also affected by a tag reporting rate (λ) which was applied before the lobsters were considered to
 220 have been reported to the survey team. The estimated number of recaptured lobsters reported to the
 221 survey team and the numbers left in the water were determined using a Baranov catch equation:

$$222 \quad \hat{R}_{r,m,a,t} = N_{r,m,a,t} * \frac{F_{a,t}}{F_{a,t}+M} * \left(1 - e^{-(F_{a,t}+M)}\right) * \lambda_{a,t},$$

$$223 \quad N_{r,m,a,t} = N_{r,m,a,t} * e^{-(F_{a,t}+M)},$$

224 where $\hat{R}_{r,m,1,t}$ is the estimated reported catch of tagged lobsters and $M = 0.24/12$ ^{month}. Note fishing
 225 mortality in the unfished area is assumed to be zero ($F_{1,t} = 0$).

226 *Likelihood of tag recoveries*

227 A non-linear function minimisation procedure was used to estimate the values of the six migration
228 parameters that maximised the likelihood of the observed tag recoveries. The parameters estimated
229 represented the month-specific proportion of lobsters migrating from the unfished to the fished area, in
230 each month from August to January ($P_{t=1-6}$). Initial parameter estimates used were 0.05 for each of the
231 six months, i.e. 5%, of tagged lobsters migrated in every month. The negative log-likelihood (LL) of the
232 observed recoveries of tagged lobsters (R) given by the monthly proportions of lobsters migrating (P_t)
233 and our MSTR model was assumed to have a Poisson distribution (Hilborn, 1990) and was represented by
234 the equation:

$$235 \quad LL = -\sum \left(-\hat{R}_{r,m,2,t} + R_{r,m,2,t} \left(\ln(\hat{R}_{r,m,2,t}) \right) - \ln(R_{r,m,2,t}!) \right).$$

236 The log-likelihood was maximised using the “optim” routine in “R”, with the square-root of the diagonal
237 of the inversed hessian matrix, being produced to approximate the standard errors of the parameter
238 estimates.

239 **Results**

240 *Size class differences between unfished areas (>20 m) and fished areas (<20 m)*

241 In Bahía del Espíritu Santo there was a significant difference ($P < 0.001$) in size composition between the
242 lobsters found in the deeper unfished area (>20 m, area-II) and those in the shallower commercially fished
243 bay (area-I). In the deeper area the mean CL of lobsters was 94.2 mm CL, with 99% of lobsters being
244 larger than the minimum legal size (74.5 mm CL). In contrast, lobsters in the fished area (area I) had a
245 mean CL of 73.0 mm, and 75% were smaller than the minimum legal size CL (Fig. 2).

246 *Movements*

247 Out of 379 lobsters released, 20 were recaptured (5.3%) within the fishery (area I) during the
248 2011/12 fishing season. A further four lobsters were recaptured within the fishery during the subsequent

249 2012/13 fishing season (total 6.3%). Recaptured lobsters comprised 50% females (average size: 85.9 mm
250 CL, size range: 79.1-109.9 mm CL) and 50% males (average size: 101.4 mm CL, size range: 82.2-114.5
251 mm CL) and were caught over seven separate months starting from October 2011 (n=10), November
252 2011 (n=8), December (n=1) 2011, January 2012 (n=1) toward the end of the first season; and then July
253 2012 (n=2), November 2012 (n=1) and January 2013 (n=1) toward the end of the second season.
254 Recaptured lobsters had mostly travelled in a southwest direction over distances ranging from 3.5 to 29.2
255 km when measured in a straight-line, with a mean distance of 7,602 m (Fig. 3). The lobsters recaptured in
256 October 2011 were located near the fringing reef, whereas those recaptured in November and December
257 2011 had moved far greater distances and were found closer to the centre of the bay (Fig. 3). All of the
258 lobsters recaptured in the second season following tagging (2012/2013) were captured near the fringing
259 reef on the outside edge of the bay (Fig. 3).

260 *Catch trends, effort and catch rates for the inshore fished area*

261 In Bahía Espiritu Santo, catch trends follow a similar pattern throughout the years, starting at the
262 highest levels at the beginning of the fishing season in July, and progressively declining as the season
263 advances towards the end in February. Catch per unit of effort (CPUE) is also highest in July, after which
264 it remains relatively constant from August to November, except for a second peak during the autumn-
265 period. This latter peak in CPUE generally occurs in October or November and may be associated with
266 the onset of the 'Nortes' (cold fronts arriving from the north).

267 *Outputs of the model*

268 Captures of lobsters from the two release pulses (i.e. August and September 2011) displayed similar
269 patterns during the 2011/2012 fishing season, with no recoveries being reported prior to a peak in
270 recaptures in October/November before progressively reducing through until January 2012 toward the end
271 of the first fishing season. The MSTR model was able to recreate a very similar distribution of the tag

272 recapture pattern observed in the fishery during the 2011/2012 season, also estimating tag recaptures
273 peaked in October 2011 and declined slowly through until January 2012 (Figs. 4 & 5).

274 Under all sensitivity scenarios, a consistent pattern of migration from the unfished area (area-II) into
275 the fished area (area-I) was estimated to have occurred as a single pulse during the month of October (Fig.
276 4 A). The proportion of lobsters estimated to have migrated had a median value of 20% and was relatively
277 consistent across the majority of the scenarios tested for the different variables, i.e. ranging from 10 to
278 40%. The largest percentage of lobsters estimated to be migrating (~40%) occurred under the scenario of
279 a low tag reporting rate (60%) and low exploitation rate (10%) (Fig. 4 B).

280 ***Discussion***

281 Results from this study suggest that legal sized lobsters (sub-adults 74.5-80.0 mm CL and adults
282 >80.0 mm CL) move from the offshore unfished area and enhance the commercially fished area in the
283 shallows within the SK-BR. The movement rates of the tagged lobsters were examined using a purpose
284 built multi-state tag-recapture model, which was able to replicate the observed tag recoveries obtained
285 from the commercial fishery. Based on an assumed monthly rate of fishing mortality 30% (which was
286 reported the previous season e.g.; Ley-Cooper *et al.*, 2013), and a rate of tag-reporting of 70%, about 20%
287 of the lobsters originally dwelling offshore migrated into the bay. Sensitivity analysis indicated that if the
288 assumptions for fishing mortality and tag reporting were varied within sensible ranges the proportion
289 estimated to be migrating did not vary radically, generally remaining within the range of 15 – 20%.

290 The model estimated that the movements all occurred within the same month, (October 2011), a
291 period within that year that coincided with the start of a cold front system, as well as an annual increase in
292 the catch per unit of effort in the commercial fishery of both the north and southern bay of the Biosphere
293 Reserve (Ley-Cooper *et al.*, unpublished data). The movement of spiny lobsters has been well
294 documented for many spiny lobster species and has been generally categorised into three types, homing,
295 nomadic or migratory movements (Herrnkind, 1980; Phillips, 2006). Migratory movements are

296 unidirectional, occur in mass quantity, during a confined period of time, and have been attributed to
297 several factors such as ontogenetic behaviour; seasonal movements towards feeding grounds (Briones-
298 Fourzán *et al.*, 2003; Ríos-Lara *et al.*, 2007; Acosta, 1999); spawning migrations (Bertelsen, 2013); or as
299 a response to environmental stimuli such as moon cycles, changes in water temperatures, and changes in
300 wind strengths and direction (García *et al.*, 1991). In Florida, Bahamas and Cuba, movements of *P. argus*
301 were reported to be initiated by cold fronts in autumn, as increasing wind speed and direction increased
302 water turbidity and decreased water temperature, which in turn triggered lobster mass migration
303 behaviours (García *et al.*, 1991, Herrnkind, 1985, Herrnkind, 1980). Data from 1984 to 2012 from the
304 northern bay of SK- Bahía de la Ascensión has shown that an increased Meridional wind speed and
305 change of direction results in a direct relationship with increased catch rates during the autumn period
306 (Ley-Cooper *et al.*, unpublished data). Using CPUE as an indication of abundance, a plausible
307 hypothesis is that cold fronts generate additional nomadic movements of lobsters due to changes in
308 temperature, and increased turbidity which allows for greater foraging distances, which may result in
309 movements from the deep (area II) to the shallow bay (area I). The model estimated that most movements
310 occurred as a single pulse, which suggests that a migration-like behaviour could have been the causative
311 factor.

312 Regardless of the motive, the lobster movements (mainly large adults see Fig.2) from an unfished
313 offshore area into the shallower commercially fished bay is contrary to the general pattern of migration
314 observed in spiny lobsters, since the paradigm is usually small immature lobsters moving offshore as part
315 of their normal ontogenetic behaviour (Phillips, 2006; Melville-Smith & de Lestang, 2006; Briones-
316 Fourzán *et al.*, 2003). Movements of juveniles and adults within the bay and towards offshore areas have
317 also been documented in previous tagging studies in this area (Ley-Cooper *et al.*, 2013), yet the migration
318 of these large lobsters from the deep offshore towards the shallow bay had not previously been
319 documented.

320 In a previous study conducted in Bahía de la Ascensión (BA) (see Fig 1), (Lozano-Álvarez, *et al*
321 1993) suggested that adult lobsters probably returned to that shallow bay after breeding in offshore areas
322 of the deeper shelf. González-Cano (1991) analysed recruitment using size structure and catch data,
323 suggesting that seasonal migrations from deep to shallow areas could occur annually as lobsters are re-
324 distributed in Isla Mujeres (further north) (Fig 1). The latter study supports our findings in this
325 assessment, but the use of a multi-state tag-recapture model as the one presented here had additional
326 benefits, as it was able to provide an estimate of the proportion of the population moving into the bay, a
327 measure that is particularly useful for conducting spatial stock assessments (McGarvey *et al.*, 2010; Goñi
328 *et al.*, 2010; Ziegler *et al.*, 2003).

329 Lobsters which had previously been tagged in Bahía de la Ascensión (BA) have also been recaptured
330 in Bahía Del Espíritu Santo. These movements took two years, with lobsters covering a distance of about
331 43.5 km (Ley-Cooper *et al.* 2013). Whether the lobsters tagged for this study originated in BA when they
332 started to migrate remains unknown, yet the estimated 15-20% proportion indicates that recruitment into
333 these fisheries from deep waters occurs, and that there is some level of offshore adult connectivity after
334 20 m depths between both bays of the SKBR.

335 This migration also provides new evidence for the potential maintenance or enhancement of
336 fishing yields into areas which are adjacent to unfished reserves. In the two bays of the SK- BR maximum
337 catch rates are observed as peaks at the beginning of the fishing season in July, and in the mid-season
338 during autumn, between October and December (Sosa-Cordero *et al.*, 2008; Ley-Cooper *et al.*, 2013).
339 Fishing exploitation rates are high within the shallow-bay area, and results of this study suggest that in
340 order to sustain such high catch rates in autumn, lobsters recruited to these fisheries must be partially
341 replenished by both juvenile growth (moulting from undersize to legal sized lobsters) (Lozano-Álvarez *et*
342 *al.*, 1993; Lozano-Álvarez *et al.*, 1991b; Ley-Cooper *et al.*, 2013; Briones-Fourzán *et al.*, 2007; González-
343 Cano, 1991), and by the input of lobsters moving in from unfished areas found offshore in deeper waters
344 (>20 m). The contribution to catch rates within Bahía del Espíritu Santo that were derived from growth,

345 movements, natural and fishing mortality had been previously explored and reported (Ley-Cooper *et al.*,
346 2013; Sosa-Cordero *et al.*, 1999), yet the proportion of migrating lobsters from the deeper unfished areas
347 had not been estimated, or described as a source of recruitment.

348 The significant difference in the size composition of lobsters between the deep unfished and shallow
349 fished areas may be attributed either to ontogenic movements such as juvenile progressive growth and
350 migration offshore (Lozano-Álvarez *et al.*, 1993) and/or the high rates of fishing mortality of legal sized
351 lobsters which only occurs within the commercially fished bay, since causes of natural mortality are
352 generally independent of depth and most likely similar in both areas (Ley-Cooper *et al.*, 2013).

353 A number of factors could have biased our estimates of migration in this study, including the
354 relatively small sample size of recaptured lobsters. It would be advantageous, should the study be
355 repeated, if a significantly greater number of lobsters were to be tagged. Tag loss is also an area where a
356 bias may be incorporated. The rate of tag loss used in this study was based on that determined from
357 aquaria trials and therefore may not directly mimic that which may have occurred in the reserve during
358 our study. Different water quality, lobster densities and habitat availability all could have caused the tag
359 loss rate to have differed. A decreased rate of tag loss would have biased our results and increased the
360 estimate of the proportion of lobsters migrating. Future work in this area could include the double
361 tagging a number of the individuals released to examine *in situ* tag loss.

362 Although tags continued to be returned during the following season (2012/2013), their numbers were
363 too low to be added into the model. The two tags returned at the start of the second season may have
364 been from lobsters that migrated into the bay either during the closed season (February–June) or during
365 the previous October (2011) and survived fishing mortality during the remainder of that season. It is
366 interesting that no other tagged lobsters were recaptured within the bay until November 2012, five months
367 into this second season, at a point when over 70% of the season’s annual catches had been landed. It is
368 possible that the lobsters recaptured in November 2012 and January 2013 did not enter the bay during

369 October 2011, but rather during a second autumn migration occurring in the October/November 2012.
370 The lack of robust information provided by these 2012/2013 tag returns highlights the value of continuous
371 studies of this nature. A series of yearly multi-release tagging campaigns in both the fished and unfished
372 areas is recommended for the future, which could provide annual estimates of the overall biomass
373 contributed to the fishery by the lobsters migrating into the bay.

374 According to the model produced in this study the larger proportion of the deep segment of the
375 population remains unfished, and we suggest that in the SKBR the deep areas (>20 m) should remain as
376 such. In order to guarantee a sustainable management of this fishery and the conservation of the *P. argus*
377 lobster population, it would be advantageous to understand the variations on the yearly proportions of
378 large lobsters moving from the unfished areas into the shallow areas subject to fishing, and the effects that
379 this may have on the biomass of the total stock. It is recommended that these issues be further addressed
380 in future studies.

381 ***References***

- 382 Acosta, C.A. (1999) Benthic Dispersal of Caribbean Spiny Lobsters among Insular Habitats: Implications
383 for the Conservation of Exploited Marine Species. *Conservation Biology*, **13**, 603-612.
- 384 Bertelsen, R.D. (2013) Characterizing daily movements, nomadic movements, and reproductive
385 migrations of *Panulirus argus* around the Western Sambo Ecological Reserve (Florida, USA)
386 using acoustic telemetry. *Fisheries Research* **144**, 91-102.
- 387 Bevacqua, D., Melià, P., Follesa, M.C., De Leo, G.A., Gatto, M. & Cau, A. (2010) Body growth and
388 mortality of the spiny lobster *Palinurus elephas* within and outside a small marine protected area.
389 *Fisheries Research*, **106**, 543-549.
- 390 Briones-Fourzán, P., Lozano Alvarez, E. & Eggleston, D.B. (2000) The use of artificial shelters
391 (casitas) in research and harvesting of Caribbean spiny lobsters in Mexico In: B. Phillips
392 & K. J. (eds.) *Spiny Lobster: Fisheries and Culture. 2nd Ed. Fishing News book-*
393 *Blackwell*. Oxford, U.K.
394

395 Briones-Fourzán, P. & Lozano-Álvarez, E. (2001) Effects of artificial shelters (Casitas) on the abundance
396 and biomass of juvenile spiny lobsters *Panulirus argus* in a habitat-limited tropical reef lagoon.
397 *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **221**, 221–232.

398 Briones-Fourzán, P., Fernández de Lara, V.C., Lozano-Álvarez, E. & Estrada-Olivo, J. (2003) Feeding
399 Ecology of the three juvenile phases of the spiny lobster *Panulirus argus* in a tropical reef lagoon.
400 *Marine Biology*, **142**, 855-65.

401 Briones-Fourzán, P., Lozano-Álvarez, E., Negrete-Soto, F. & Barradas-Ortíz, C. (2007) Enhancement of
402 juvenile Caribbean spiny lobsters: an evaluation of changes in multiple response variables with
403 the addition of large artificial shelters *Oecologia* **151**, 401–416.

404 Briones-Fourzán, P., Candela, C. & Lozano-Álvarez, E. (2008) Postlarval settlement of the spiny
405 lobster *Panulirus argus* along the Caribbean coast of México: Patterns, influence of
406 physical factors, and possible sources of origin. . *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 2008, , **53(3)**, 970-
407 985

408 Dubula, O., Groeneveld, J.C., Santos, J., van Zyl, D.L., Brouwer, S.L., van den Heever, N. & McCue,
409 S.A. (2005) Effects of tag-related injuries and timing of tagging on growth of rock lobster, *Jasus*
410 *lalandii*. *Fisheries Research*, **74**, 1-10.

411 Ehrhardt, N.M. (2008) Estimating growth of the Florida spiny lobster, *Panulirus argus*, from molt
412 frequency and size increment data derived from tag and recapture experiments. *Fisheries*
413 *Research*, **93**, 332-337.

414 Forcucci, D., Butler, J.M. & Hunt, J.H. (1994) Population Dynamics of Juvenile Caribbean Spiny Lobster,
415 *Panulirus argus*, in Florida Bay Florida. *Bulletin of marine Science*, **54 (3)**, 805-818.

416 Frusher, S.D. & Hoenig , J.M. (2003) Recent developments in estimating fishing and natural
417 mortality and tag reporting rate of lobsters using multi-year tagging models. *Fisheries*
418 *Research* **65** 379–390.

419 García, C., Hernández, B., Baisre, J.A. & Cruz, R. (1991) Factores Climaticos en las pesquerías cubanas
420 de langosta (*Panulirus argus*):su relacion con las migraciones masivas. *Revista Investigaciones*
421 *Marinas*, **12**, 131-139.

422 Goñi, R., Quetglas, A. & Reñones, O. (2006) Spillover of spiny lobsters *Palinurus elephas* from a
423 marine reserve to an adjoining fishery. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **308**, 207-219.

424 Goñi, R., Hilborn, R., Díaz, D., Mallol, S. & Adlerstein-González, S. (2010) Net contribution of spillover
425 from a marine reserve to fishery catches. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **400**, 233-243.

426 González-Cano, J.M. (1991) Migration and Refuge in the Assessment and Management of Spiny Lobster
427 *Panulirus argus* in the Mexican Caribbean. PhD Thesis, London: Imperial College in the Faculty
428 of Science of the University of London. 114 pp

429 Herrnkind, W. (1980) Spiny Lobsters: patterns of movements; In J.S. Cobb and B.F. Phillips (eds.). *The*
430 *Biology and Management of Lobsters. Physiology and Behavior*, Academic Press NY, **1**, 349-407.

431 Herrnkind, W. (1985) Evolution and Mechanisms of mass single file migrations in spiny lobster:
432 Synopsis. *Contributions in Marine Science Florida State University Marine Laboratory*, **27**, 197-
433 211.

434 Hilborn, R. (1990) Determination of Fish Movement Patterns from Tag Recoveries using Maximum
435 Likelihood Estimators. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, **47**, 635-645.

436 Ley-Cooper, K., de Lestang, S., Lozano-Álvarez, E. & Phillips, B. (2013) Estimates of exploitation rates
437 of the spiny lobster fishery for *Panulirus argus* from tagging within the Bahía Espíritu Santo
438 “Sian Ka’an” Biosphere Reserve, Mexican Caribbean *Marine Biological Research*, **9**, 88-96.

439 Lozano-Álvarez, E., Briones-Fourzán, P. & Gonzalez-Cano, J. (1991a) Pesca exploratoria de langostas
440 con nasas en la plataforma continental del área de Puerto Morelos, Q.R., Méx. *Anales del*
441 *Instituto Ciencias del Mar y Limnología*, 49-58.

442 Lozano-Álvarez, E., Briones-Fourzán, P. & Phillips, B. (1991b) Fishery Characteristics, Growth and
443 Movements of the Spiny Lobster *Panulirus argus* in Bahía de la Ascención, México *Fishery*
444 *Bulletin*, **89**, 79:89.

445 Lozano-Álvarez, E., Briones-Fourzán, P. & Negrete-Soto, F. (1993) Occurrence and Seasonal Variations
446 of Spiny Lobsters, *Panulirus argus*, (Latreille) on the shelf outside Bahía de la Ascención,
447 México. *Fishery Bulletin*, **US 91**, 808-815.

448 McGarvey, R., Linnane, A.J., Feenstra, J.E., Punt, A.E. & Matthews, J.M. (2010) Integrating recapture-
449 conditioned movement estimation into spatial stock assessment: A South Australian lobster
450 fishery application. *Fisheries Research*, **Volume 105**, 80-90.

451 Melville-Smith, R. & de Lestang, S. (2006) Spatial and temporal variation in the size at maturity of the
452 western rock lobster *Panulirus cygnus* (George 1962). *Marine Biology*, **150**, 183-195.

453 Montgomery, S.S. & Brett, P.A. (1996) Tagging eastern rock lobsters *Jesus verreauxi*: effectiveness of
454 several types of tag *Fisheries Research*, **27**, 141-152.

455 MRAG-Americas, (2012). MSC, Final Report and Determination for Sian Ka'an and Banco
456 Chinchorro Biosphere Reserves Spiny Lobster fishery. [http://www.msc.org/track-a-](http://www.msc.org/track-a-fishery/changes-to-our-fisheries-pages/certified/western-central-atlantic/sian_kaan_banco_chinchorro_biosphere_reserves_spiny_lobster/assessment-downloads-1/20120703_FR.pdf)
457 [fishery/changes-to-our-fisheries-pages/certified/western-central](http://www.msc.org/track-a-fishery/changes-to-our-fisheries-pages/certified/western-central-atlantic/sian_kaan_banco_chinchorro_biosphere_reserves_spiny_lobster/assessment-downloads-1/20120703_FR.pdf)
458 [atlantic/sian_kaan_banco_chinchorro_biosphere_reserves_spiny_lobster/assessment-](http://www.msc.org/track-a-fishery/changes-to-our-fisheries-pages/certified/western-central-atlantic/sian_kaan_banco_chinchorro_biosphere_reserves_spiny_lobster/assessment-downloads-1/20120703_FR.pdf)
459 [downloads-1/20120703_FR.pdf](http://www.msc.org/track-a-fishery/changes-to-our-fisheries-pages/certified/western-central-atlantic/sian_kaan_banco_chinchorro_biosphere_reserves_spiny_lobster/assessment-downloads-1/20120703_FR.pdf)

460 Phillips, B.F. (2006) Chapter 1 Growth and Development: Understanding Modelling Growth and
461 Variability in Lobsters. In: *Lobsters Biology, Management, Aquaculture and Fisheries* Oxford,
462 UK: Blackwell Publishing, pp.11-15.

463 R Development Core Team (2012) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
464 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL
465 <http://www.R-project.org/>. Viewed on November 2012. Computer Program.

- 466 Ríos-Lara, V., Salas, S., Bello-Pineda, J. & Peniche, I. (2007) Distribution patterns of spiny lobster
467 (*Panulirus argus*) at Alacranes reef, Yucatan: Spatial analysis and inference of preferential
468 habitat. *Fisheries Research* **87**, 35-45.
- 469 Russ, G.R. & Alcala, A.C. (1996) Do marine reserves export adult fish biomass? Evidence from
470 Apo Island, Central Philippines. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **132**, 1-9.
- 471 Russ, G.R., Alcala, A.C., P., M.A., Calumpong, H.P. & White, A.T. (2004) MARINE
472 RESERVE BENEFITS LOCAL FISHERIES. *Ecological Applications*, **14(2)**, 597– 606
- 473 Sharp, W.C., Lellis, W.A., Butler, M.J., Herrnkind, W.F., Hunt, J.H., Pardee, W.M. & R., M.T. (2000)
474 The use of coded microwire tags in mark-recapture studies of juvenile Caribbean spiny lobster,
475 *Panulirus argus*. *Journal of Crustacean Biology*, **20** 510–521.
- 476 Sosa-Cordero, E., Ramírez-González, A. & Dominguez-Viveros, M. (1999) La Explotación de Langosta
477 *Panulirus argus* en Bahía Espíritu Santo, Quintana Roo, México: Un Estudio Descriptivo.
478 *Proceedings of the 45th Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute Charleston*, **45**, 820-839.
- 479 Sosa-Cordero, E., Liceaga-Correa, M.L.A. & Seijo, J.C. (2008) The Punta Allen lobster fishery: Current
480 status and recent trends. *Case studies on fisheries self-governance.FAO Fish Tech Pap No 504*,
481 *149-162* No. 149-162 pp.
- 482 White, G.C. & Burnham, K.P. (1999) *British Trust for Ornithology, Bird study* **46 (suppl.)**, 120-139.
- 483 Ziegler, P.E., Frusher, S.D. & Johnson, C.R. (2003) Space–time variation in catchability of southern rock
484 lobster *Jasus edwardsii* in Tasmania explained by environmental, physiological and density-
485 dependent processes. *Fisheries Research* **61** 107–123.

486

487 **Figure legends**

488 Figure 1. Map showing Mexico and the study area called Bahía del Espíritu Santo, which is the southern
489 bay of the Sian Ka'an Biosphere Reserve (the reserve area is identified by the rectangle on the map

490 insert). Bahía de la Ascensión is neighbouring to north, and the Caribbean sea to the east of the Yucatan
491 Peninsula. Areas are marked as “I” the fished shallow bay (<20 m deep), and “II” the unfished offshore
492 area (>20 m deep) respectively.

493 Figure 2 Graph showing the relative size frequency composition of *Panulirus argus* lobsters sampled
494 within Bahía del Espíritu Santo, in the Biosphere Reserve Sian Ka’an- Mexico. Relative frequencies of
495 carapace length (CL) in the fished shallow waters (<20 m) are depicted in light grey (top), and unfished
496 offshore areas (depths > 20 m) in darker grey (bottom). The dotted line crosses the X axis showing the
497 boundry between illegal and legal sized lobsters carapace length CL (74.5 mm CL).

498 Figure 3 Shows a series of maps focusing on Bahía del Espíritu Santo (Southern bay) and Bahía de la
499 Ascensión (Northern bay), in the Biosphere Reserve Sian Ka’an Mexico. It shows where lobsters were
500 released (dots) and recaptured (arrows point) for each month in which the tagging program took place.
501 Arrows show the distance and direction of lobsters travelling from the unfished areas (>20 m) where they
502 were tagged, into Bahía del Espíritu Santo.

503 Figure 4 Results from the multi-state tag-recapture model modified from (Hilborn, 1990) and sensitivity
504 analysis for the most likely exploitation rates and tag reporting scenarios.

505 (A) *Left panel:* Illustrates the most likely percentage of lobsters which migrate from the unfished-II areas
506 towards the fished shallow bay -I, with a mean value of 20%, and range between 40 - 10%. Scenarios are
507 based on data which replicate the observed tag recoveries under the most likely exploitation rates from the
508 sensitivity analysis. The model simulations show that October is the month in which a most lobsters
509 moved as in one pulse, with a very small probability of occurring in the other months (outliers are less
510 than 2%).

511 (B) *Right panel:* Illustrates the percentage of lobsters which have migrated from the deep unfished areas-
512 II, towards the fished shallow bay -I, as a result of the scenario outputs derived from multi-state tag-
513 recapture model based on tag recapture data. The span of range values used in the sensitivity analysis was

514 based on the most likely estimates for exploitation rates (0-40%) and tag reporting rates (60% and 80%)
515 resulting in the simulation scenarios on the black and grey lines.

516 Figure 5: Shows the results derived from multi-state tag-recapture model which recreates the distribution
517 of the tag recapture patterns observed in the fishery. Data was obtained from lobsters tagged in unfished
518 areas-II offshore and recovered in the fished shallow bay-I in the study site of Bahía Del Espíritu Santo
519 Sian Ka'an. The panels show the fitting of the observed against the predicted tag recapture patterns. The
520 left panel corresponds to the batch of lobsters released during August and the right panel to the batch
521 released in September within the unfished area-II offshore.



