surface causes moisture to moves away in vapour phase and then vapour get condense and turn into liquid near the cold end. The test results of 30 days show that the bottom half of the sample height is subjected to drying and the remaining top half is wetting. The drying front is moving towards the middle height of the sample with the time. At the end of each experiment mass balance was checked and found to be within ±0.2% which is acceptable and indicates no mass loss from the TH cell.

5. Conclusion

Heat and mass transfer in highly compacted MX-80 bentonite has been presented in this paper. This study investigates the heat and moisture movement in the MX-80 bentonite subjected to thermo-hydraulic gradients. A new experimental cell has been specifically designed for the purpose which is called as TH-cell. It has been observed that the temperature reaches to steady study much faster compared to the moisture. It has been found that thermal conductivity of the bentonite reduces due to drying caused by moisture movement. The moisture moves from the hot end to the cold end in a vapour phase and condenses near the cold end. There was no mass loss observed at the end of each test which establishes that the TH-cell is leak proof.

6. References

Börgesson, L., Chijimatsub, M., Fujitab, T., Nguyenc, T.S., Rutqvistd, J. and Jinge, L. (2001). Thermo-hydro-mechanical characterisation of a bentonite-based buffer material by laboratory tests and numerical back analyses. International Journal of Rock Mechanics & Mining Sciences, 38, 95-104.

Cuevas, J., Villar, M.V., Martyn, M., Cobena, J.C. and Leguey, S. (2002). Thermo-hydraulic gradients on bentonite: distribution of soluble salts, microstructure and modification of the hydraulic and mechanical behaviour. Applied Clay Science, 22, 25– 38.

Ewen, J. and Thomas, H.R. (1987). The thermal probe - a new method and its use on an unsaturated sand. Geotechnique, 37, No. 1, 91-105.

Gatabin, C. and Billaud, P. (2005). Bentonite THM mock up experiments. Sensors data report. CEA, Report NT-DPC/SCCME 05-300-A, Cedex.

Kanno, T., Kato, K. and Yamagata, J. (1996). Moisture movement under a temperature gradient in highly compacted bentonite. Engineering Geology, 41, 287-300.

Mohammed, A.M.O., Yong, R.N. and Kjartanson, B. (1993). Temperature and moisture distributions in a clay based buffer material due to thermal gradients. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc., 294, 417-424.

Pintado, X., Ledesma, A. and Lloret, A. (2002). Backanalysis of thermohydraulic bentonite properties from laboratory tests. Engineering Geology, 64, 91-115.

Singh, R.M. (2007). An experimental and numerical investigation of heat and mass movement in unsaturated clays. PhD thesis, Cardiff School of Engineering, UK.

Singh, R.M. (2010). Determination of the soil-water characteristic curve for unsaturated expansive clay. 3rd International Conference on Problematic Soils, Adelaide, Australia, 303-310.

Sivakumar, V. and Wheeler, S.J. (2000). Influence of compaction procedure on the mechanical behaviour of an unsaturated compacted clay. Part 1: Wetting and isotropic compression. Geotechnique 50, No. 4, 359-368.

Villar, M.V., Cuevas, J. and Martin, P.L. (1996). Effects of heat/water flow interaction on compacted bentonite: Preliminary results. Engineering Geology, 41, 257-267.

Villar, M.V., Martin, P.L. and Barcala, J.M. (2005). Infiltration tests at isothermal conditions and under thermal gradient. CIEMAT Technical report CIEMAT/DMA/M2140/1/05 Madrid.

Yong, R.N. and Mohammed, A.M.O (1992). A study of particle interaction energies in wetting of unsaturated expansive clays. Can. Geotech. Journal, 29, 1060-1070.

Yong, R.N. and Mohammed, A.M.O (1996). Evaluation of coupled heat and moisture flow parameters in a bentonite-sand buffer material. Engineering Geology, 41, 269-286.

Yong, R.N., Mohammed, A.M.O., Shooshapasha, I. and Onofrei C. (1997). Hydro-thermal performance of unsaturated bentonite-sand buffer material. Engineering Geology, 47, 351-365.

Twin International Conferences on
Geotechnical and Geo-Environmental Engineering cum (7th) Ground Improvement Techniques
23 – 25 June 2010, Seoul, S. Korea

MECHANICAL RESPONSE IMPROVEMENT OF CRUSHED ROCK WITH CEMENT MODIFICATION

K Siripun, Curtin University of Technology, Perth, Australia
P Jitsangiam, Curtin University of Technology, Perth, Australia
H Nikraz, Curtin University of Technology, Perth, Australia

Abstract

This paper aims to report the mechanical behaviour improvement of crushed rock base (CRB) as a granular road base material subjected to static and cyclic loads from triaxial tests with various stress paths in order to obtain more understanding of road base modified material. As is well known, pavement surface rutting, longitudinal and alligator cracks are normally the main cause of damage in flexible pavements. Currently, existing and natural materials are unable to cope with the premature deterioration. Factors contributing to such damage are the excessive irreversible and reversible deformation of a base layer including the behaviour of a mechanical response of unbound granular materials (UGMs) under traffic load is not well understood. The cement modification was utilized to enhance limited use of raw crushed rock subjected to different stress conditions. Crushed rock was mixed with 2%cement content named hydrated cement treated crushed rock base (HCTCRB) at particular 100% optimum moisture content (OMC) and 100 % maximum dry density (MDD) then was kept in various hydration periods to investigate the hydration effect. Subsequently, each hydration of mixture was retreated and re-mixed with specific OMC and MDD of each hydration period and was kept for 28 days for curing. The retreating process is used to avoid micro-crack that usually generate by stabilised procedure. Finally, compacted samples were subjected to static triaxial tests, resilient modulus and permanent deformation in order to simulate the real condition of pavement. The study reports that HCTCRB was enhanced the static and dynamic responses. Moreover, the effects of mechanical responses were investigated and the limit uses of using HCTCRB as a pavement material were determined.

Keywords: Crushed Rock Base (CRB); Hydrated Cement Treated Crushed Rock Base (HCTCRB); Unbound Granular Materials (UGMs); Repeated Load Triaxial (RLT) Tests.

1. Introduction

The UGM layer with thin bituminous surfacing is widely used in the Australian road network. Generally, CRB is used as unbound granular base course material in Western Australia as shown in Figure 1. The important function of the base course in pavements is to distribute and reduce amount of compressive stresses and strains because of vehicle wheel loads through the subbase and the subgrade without unacceptable strain. Consequently, an obvious understanding of shear strength, resilient and permanent strain, and shake down limit characteristics of materials relevant to pavement mechanistic design is very important to obtain the effective uses of such materials. However, Western Australia pavement design still relies on a traditional design procedure which is unreliable enough to explain a relationship between design parameter inputs and pavement performances. Roads need to be investigated to improve pavement analysis and design more precisely than in the past with respect of real behavior and the amount of traffic during the service life. Consequently, the most economical and appropriate pavement material will be employed.



Figure 1 : Pavement structure diagram.

This paper focuses on the mechanical response improvement of CRB with cement stabilization and developing the typical models of UGMs for pavement analysis in Western Australia. The empirical design method is unacceptable because the protocol required design parameter inputs from monotonic loading tests rather than cyclic loading tests which are more representative of real traffic conditions. A mechanistic design attempts to explain pavement characteristics under real pavement conditions such as load types, material properties of the structure and environments based on design parameters from sophisticated tests which can simulate real pavement conditions into the test protocol [1]. The main success of this analytical method is the experimental measurement and appropriate characterization of the mechanical responses from the RLT test which is the basic protocol of this study.

The empirical nature of traditional pavement design procedure is based on experience and the results of simple tests such as the California Bearing Ratio (CBR), particle size distribution (PSD), moisture sensitivity, Los Angeles (LA) abrasion, shear strength and deflection. Such testing results are all static parameters and simple index parameters rather than any consideration of multidimensional geometry, realistic material performance and displacement distribution during cyclic loading, stresses and strain distribution in multilayered pavement design. Consequently, the use of empirical approaches becomes sub-standard. Traditional design procedure has been criticized by Wolff, who argued that it is too simplistic and does not take into account the non-linear behavior of UGMs [2].

The performance of a base course material depends upon its stiffness and deformation resulting from a traffic load. A large deformation causes rutting on the bituminous surface. Basically, the conventional pavement construction is designed to provide adequate thickness cover the sub layer in such a way that pavement structure has no shear failures and unacceptable permanent deformation takes place in each layer. For pavement design purposes, the stress level which is related with a reversible strain response must be determined and consequently not exceeded, once unacceptable permanent strains are prevented. This has improved the possibility of a critical boundary stress between stable and unstable conditions in a pavement.

The shakedown concept has been used to explain the behavior of conventional engineering structures under repeated cyclic loading. Basically, it was originally developed to analyze the behavior of pressure vessels subjected to cyclic thermal loading. Subsequently, it was improved to analyze the behavior of metal surfaces under repeated rolling or sliding loads. For the theoretical approach of the UGMs' permanent deformation is used to describe the behavior of tested materials under RLT tests by use macro-mechanical observations. It can predict progressive accumulations of plastic strains under repeated loading and whether the amount of the applied loads exceeds a certain limited-value called shakedown limit or limit load [3].

Firstly, the possible employment of the shakedown concept in pavement design was introduced by Sharp and Booker (1984) and Sharp (1983). They explained the application of the shakedown concept based on the tested results of the AASHTO road tests [4] where in some cases, deterioration was reported due to stiffening or post-compaction after a number of load cycles [5]. Moreover, studies have been produced to define upper-bound [6] and lower-bound [7] for the shakedown limit of UGMs in simple pavement structures. At low stress levels, the mechanism of permanent strain has initially post compaction or re-arrange phase, while the permanent strain rate is relatively high then is reduced with a number of load cycles. A stable state may be maintained for a period of time unless the states change. Maree reported the behavior of gravel and crushed stone and that under constant confining stress, the specimens stabilized under a certain threshold of repeated deviator stress and developed a design procedure, based on a failure model [8]. Numerous investigations have been conducted regarding the behavior of UGMs used in flexible pavements. Lekarp summarized the main findings regarding the effects of different material parameters and applied stresses on the permanent strain response of UGMs [9]. The original shakedown concept maintains that there are three ranges of permanent strain response under repeated loading.

- Plastic shakedown range (Range A). The low loading levels apply and the material response indicates plastic in a few initial cycles, although the ultimate response is elastic after Post-compaction. The strain is completely reversible and does not lead any permanent strains when it reaches a state of stability.
- Plastic creep range (Range B). The applied loading level is low enough to avoid a quick collapse. The material achieves a long-term stable state response with any accumulation of plastic strain (Post-compaction). However the material will show failure with a large number of load cycles after a stable state.
- Incremental collapse range (Range C). The repeated loading is relatively large so that plastic strain accumulates rapidly with failure occurring in a small number of load cycles after stiffening.

A pavement is likely to show progressive accumulation of permanent strains (rutting) under repeated traffic loading if the magnitude of the applied loads exceeds the limiting value (Range C). If the applied traffic loads are lower than this limit, after any post-compaction stabilization, the permanent strains will level off and the pavement will achieve a stable state of "shakedown" (Ranges A and B) presenting only reversible strain under additional traffic loading [10]. These responses should take place during the pavement subjected to the working load. This could be due to a change in material response (compaction degree), due to a change in stress state or due to a combination of both effects. With this understanding of material behavior, the shakedown concept typically then determine the load carrying capacity of the structure if it is not to reach excessive permanent strain. For performance prediction, it is of great importance to know whether a given pavement will experience progressive accumulation of permanent strain leading to state of incremental collapse or if the increase in permanent strain will cease, resulting in a stable response (shakedown state).

2.1 Permanent strain under a number of load cycles models

In the considering, the long-term behavior model of pavements, it is essential to take into account the accumulation of permanent strain with the number of load cycles and stress levels that play an important role. Hence the main research purpose focusing on long-term behavior should be to establish a constitutive model which predicts the amount of permanent strain at any number of cycles at a given stress ratio. In the past, permanent strain of UGMs for pavement applications has been modeled in several ways. Some of these are logarithmic with respect to the number of loading cycles [11; 12] whilst others are hyperbolic, tending towards an asymptotic value of deformation with increasing numbers of load cycles [2; 13]. The first type is that due to this approach, the permanent axial strain is supposed to accumulate in linear relation to the logarithms [11] as follows Equation (1):

$$\varepsilon^p = a + b\log(N) \tag{1}$$

where ε^p is permanent strain; a and b are regression constants; and N is the number of loading cycles. The long-term strain behavior was also investigated by Sweere in a series of RLT tests and suggested that for a large number of load cycles the following approach should be employed:

$$\varepsilon^p = A \cdot N^B \tag{2}$$

where:

ε^p	[10^{-3}] % permanent strain
A, B	[-] regression parameters
N	[-] number of load cycles.

To implement the RLT measured permanent strain development in the computation of permanent strain development in a pavement structure, the permanent strain in the material under consideration has to be known as a function of both the number of load cycles and the stresses in the materials.

Furthermore the shakedown approach should be considered. Lekarp and Dawson [14] suggested that the shakedown approach might also be employed in explaining the permanent strain behavior of UGM. In conclusion, they pointed out that more research is required to determine this shakedown limit. However, for finite element (FE) calculations of UGM layer as part of a FE based pavement design, the prerequisite is a stress and load cycles dependent model for the permanent strain behavior of UGM. Theyse-Model and the Huurman-Model use the shakedown approach, in particular modeling the stable and unstable permanent strain behavior to model the permanent strain behavior of UGM as a function of the number of load cycles [11].

3 Materials

3.1 Crushed rock

The crushed rock samples used in this study were taken from a local stockpile of Gosnells Quarry and kept in sealed containers. RLT tests were performed on samples as part of the collaboration with Civil Engineering, Curtin University of Technology. The crushed rock samples were prepared (see Figure1 for the grading curve) at 100% of maximum dry density (MDD) of 2.27 ton/m^3 and optimum moisture content (OMC) of that 5.5%. Material properties achieve base course specifications [16]. Figure 2, shows the grading curve of the crushed rock in this study achieves the upper and lower bound of the base course specifications. Significant comparisons of basis properties with specifications were made as shown in Table 1.



Figure 2 : CRB grading curves compared with WA Main Roads specifications [27].

Table 1: Characterization tests [24].

* Accordance with MRWA [25].
** Drained triaxial compression tests at the100%OMC condition.

Tests*	Results	Tests*	Results
Liquid Limit (LL)	22.4%	Coefficient of uniformity (Cu)	22.4
Plastic Limit (PL)	17.6%	Coefficient of curvature (Cc)	1.4
Plastic Index (PI)	4.8%	% fines	5 %
Linear Shrinkage (LS)	1.5%	Cohesion of CRB (C**)	32 kPa
Flakiness Index (FI)	22.5%	Internal friction angle of CRB (ϕ**)	59°
Maximum dry density (MDD)	2.27 t/m^3	Max. Dry Compressive Strength (MDCS)	3,528 kPa
Optimum moisture content (OMC)	5.5%	California Bearing Ratio (CBR)	180

3.2 Hydrated Cemented Treated Crushed Rock Base (HCTCRB)

Hydrated cemented treated crushed rock base (HCTCRB) is manufactured by blending 2 % cement with a standard dry weight crushed rock base [16]. It is mixed and stockpiled in the range of -1.0% to +2.0% of the optimum moisture content of the untreated crushed rock base as obtained by MRWA Test Method WA 133.1 [17] during the initial hydration 7-day period. Figure 3, shows the comparison of the compaction curves between CRB and HCTCRB. In Figure 3, MDD and OMC of HCTCRB change to 2.12 t/m3 and 8% respectively from 2.27 and 5.5% of CRB. These figures of OMC values indicate that after cement hydration occurs, the impact of cement on soil compaction will be increased optimum moisture content [18].



Figure 3 : Compaction curve of CRB and HCTCRB.

3.3 Cement

The cement used in this study was the bagged cement product of Cockburn Cement [19] of General Purpose Portland Cement -type GP following the standard of AS 3972-1977 [20]

4 Laboratory program and testing

4.1 Specimen preparation

Sample preparations were carried out by using a standard cylinder mould 100 mm in diameter and 200 mm in height by the modified compaction method [17]. Compaction was accomplished on 8 layers with 25 blows of a 4.9 kg rammer at a 450 mm drop height each layer. Fully bonding conduction between the layers of each layer had to be scarified to a depth of 6 mm before for the next layer was compacted. After compaction, the basic properties of each specimen were determined after which it was carefully carried to the base platen set of the chamber triaxial cell. A crosshead and stone disc were placed on the specimen and it wrapped in two platens by a rubber membrane and finally sealed with o-rings at both ends.

4.2 Repeated cyclic load triaxial (RLT) tests

The tests were carried out with a cyclic triaxial apparatus consisting of main set containing the load actuator and a removable chamber cell. The specimens were placed in the triaxial cell between the base platen and crosshead of the testing machine as Figure 4 shows. Controllers were used to manage the chamber, as well as the air pressure. The analogical signals detected by the transducers and load cell are received by a module where they are transformed to digital signals. A computer converts modules of the digital signals sent from the system. The system is located in the main set and facilitates the transmission of the orders to the actuator controller. User and the triaxial apparatus communication are controlled by a computer which uses convenient and precise software. This makes it possible to select the type of test to be performed as well as all the parameters, stress levels, data to be stored. The load cell, the confining pressure and the externally linear variable differential transducer (LVDT) on the top of the triaxial cell, used to measure deformations over the entire length of the specimens were measured by the control and data acquisition system (CDAS) which provided the control signals, signal conditioning, data acquisition. The CDAS was networked with the computer which provided the interfacing with the testing software and stored the raw test data. These enabled the resultant stress and strain in the sample to be determined.



Figure 4 : The repeated loads triaxial (RLT) apparatus.

This apparatus however, is limited to laboratory samples with a maximum diameter of 100 mm and a height of 200 mm based on the standard method of Austroads APRG 00/33-2000 [21]. Moreover, the apparatus allows the laboratory sample to be subject to cyclic axial deviator stresses but it is not feasible to vary the confining radial stresses at the same time. Confining pressure was generated to simulate the lateral pressure acting on the surrounding samples as occurs in a pavement layer. The pressure was applied and stresses were found at different points in the granular material. The results were expressed in terms of deviator stress q= σ_1 -σ_3, mean normal stress p= (σ_1 -2σ_3)/3 and the confining pressure in this study was simulated from the pavement base course layer that common use in Western Australia. For this reason, it was decided to subject the laboratory samples to several different stress levels and the particular confining pressure was level of 40 kPa. After the confining pressure had been applied, additional dynamic vertical stress was applied. Triaxial tests were carried out with axial stress pulses reaching stress ratios of σ_1/σ_3 = 5-26. The dynamic axial stress came from a high pressure air actuator capable of accurately applying a stress pulse following the stress level. In this test, there was haversine waveform frequency of 1 Hz over a period of 1.0 sec and a load pulse of 0.1 sec duration, as illustrated in Figure 5.



Figure 5 : The vertical loading waveform.

4.3 Resilient modulus tests and permanent deformation tests

The standard method of Austroads APRG 00/33-2000 [21] for RLT Test Method was followed for the resilient modulus tests and the permanent deformation tests. The UTM-14P digital servo control testing machine in the Geomechanics Laboratory, Department of Civil Engineering, Curtin University of Technology was used.

New specimens were prepared as described in the previous section. Permanent deformation testing was performed during which, the specimens were loaded with three stress stages at the ratios of the dynamic deviator stress (σ_d) with frequency of 0.33 Hz to the static confining stress (σ_3) based on Austroads APRG 00/33-2000 [21], each involving 10,000 cycles for each particular stress condition as shown in Table 2. After permanent deformation tests, in accordance with this standard [21], the same specimens were applied sequentially by the difference of the 65 stress stages straightaway to conduct the resilient modulus test to check the elastic condition of each specimen throughout the multiple loading stress stages. This process simulates complicated traffic loading acting on pavement. Two hundred loading cycles of each stress stage were applied to the specimens. Table 3 shows the stress levels for the resilient modulus.

Table 2 : The permanent deformation Austroad-APRG 00/33 [26].

Permanent Deformation Stress Levels

Stress Stage Number	Base	
	Confining pressure σ_3 (kPa)	Dynamic deviator stresses σ_d (kPa)
1	50	350
2	50	450
3	50	550

Table 3 : The resilient modulus Austroad-APRG 00/33 [26].

Resilient Modulus Stress Levels

Stress Stage Number	σ_3 (kPa)	σ_d (kPa)	Stress Stage Number	σ_3 (kPa)	σ_d (kPa)	Stress Stage Number	σ_3 (kPa)	σ_d (kPa)
0	50	100	22	30	150	44	20	185
1	75	150	23	40	200	45	30	275
2	100	200	24	50	250	46	40	370
3	125	250	25	75	375	47	50	450
4	150	300	26	100	500	48	30	275
5	100	200	27	50	250	49	20	225
6	50	150	28	30	180	50	30	335
7	75	225	29	50	300	51	40	450
8	100	300	30	75	450	52	50	550
9	125	375	31	50	300	53	20	250
10	150	450	32	30	180	54	30	375
11	75	225	33	40	250	55	40	500
12	40	125	34	30	210	56	20	300
13	30	100	35	40	280	57	30	450
14	40	150	36	50	350	58	40	600
15	50	200	37	75	525	59	30	500
16	75	300	38	40	280	60	20	350
17	100	400	39	20	150	61	30	550
18	125	500	40	30	245	62	20	375
19	75	300	41	40	325	63	30	575
20	30	125	42	50	400	64	20	400
21	20	100	43	30	245	65	20	500

5 Results and discussion

5.1 Resilient modulus tests and permanent deformation tests

The resilient modulus determined from the RLT test is defined as the ratio of the repeated deviator stress to the recoverable or resilient axial strain:

$$M_r = \frac{\sigma_d}{\varepsilon_r} \tag{3}$$

Where M_r is the resilient modulus, σ_d is the repeated deviator stress (cyclic stress in excess of confining pressure), and ε_r is the recoverable strain in a vertical direction. Based on the specification of CRB and HCTCRB, the results of CRB and HCTCRB in the condition of 100% MDD at 100% OMC are represented to show its characteristics and to determine suitable mathematical models of resilient modulus and permanent deformation of CRB and HCTCRB.

Figure 6 shows the results of the resilient modulus test which are plotted versus the bulk stress ($\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\sigma_3$). Generally, they are non-linear with respect to the magnitude of applied stresses. Figure 6 also shows the results of resilient modulus of CRB and HCTCRB can be modeled reasonably by using The K-Theta (K-θ) model [22]. The representative K-θ model of CRB and HCTCRB are exhibited in equations(4) and (5) respectively.

$$M_r = k_1 \cdot \theta^{k_2} = 1.8604\theta^{0.7606} - CRB \tag{4}$$

$$M_r = k_1 \cdot \theta^{k_2} = 8.91020\theta^{0.6817} - HCTCRB \tag{5}$$

where M_r is resilient modulus in MPa; θ is bulk stress ($\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\sigma_3$) where ($\sigma_2=\sigma_3$); σ_1 is major principal stress (vertical axial stress); σ_3 is minor principal stress (confining stress); k_1 and k_2 are regression coefficients as shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6 : The resilient modulus predictions.

Figure 7 and Figure 8 show the typical results of the permanent deformation tests in terms of the relationship between permanent deformation and loading cycles for CRB and HCTCRB respectively, to exhibit the comparison of the measured and permanent deformation values and the predicted values for proposed permanent deformation models. Figure 7 and Figure 8 also indicate that the permanent deformation can be modeled quite reasonably by using the model suggested by Sweere, G.T.H from SAMARIS [3]. Sweere suggested for the long-term deformation behavior of unbound granular materials (UGMs) under a large number of load cycles an approach should be employed as the proposed permanent deformation model of CRB and HCTCRB as shown in equations (6) and (7) respectively.

$$\varepsilon^p = A \cdot N^B = 0.7168 \cdot N^{0.1095} - CRB \tag{6}$$

$$\varepsilon^p = A \cdot N^B = 0.0231 \cdot N^{0.1841} - HCTCRB \tag{7}$$

where ε^p is permanent deformation in Millimeters; A and B are regression constants; and N is the number of loading cycles.



Figure 7 : The CRB permanent deformation predictions.



Figure 8 : The HCTCRB permanent deformation predictions.



Figure 9 : CRB permanent deformation versus number of load cycles (N).



Figure 10 : HCTCRB permanent deformation versus number of load cycles (N).

5.2 Shakedown behavior

The permanent deformation accumulations were observed as shown in Figure 9 and Figure 10. As test results present CRB and HCTCRB response always produce permanent deformation during cyclic loading, hence it can describe no purely elastic behavior under repeated cyclic loads in course base materials [23] and the multi-layer linear elastic theory is not enough to analyze the UGM layer. Permanent deformation behavior is described on the basis of internal friction between grains, particle shape, compaction, consolidation, distortion, etc and test results can be separated into three ranges (Ranges A, B and C) based on the shakedown concept.

Range A - Plastic Shakedown Range

The lower lines (Stress levels 5-9) in Figure 9 for CRB indicate the response of Range A. The behavior is entirely plastic for a number of cyclic load cycles although when it reaches a stable state after the post-compaction period, the response becomes completely resilient and no further vertical permanent displacement occur as Figure 9 and Figure 10. Figure 10 shows Range A of HCTCRB at stress levels 8-16 more than CRB almost 2 times and HCTCRB working state of stress level 11 achieved Range A. In Figure 9 and Figure 10 indicate that the vertical permanent strain rate decreases rapidly until it reaches a state of equilibrium. For this range of material, the response amount of vertical displacement accumulation depends upon the stress level. Observation of each stress level shows the number of cycles required before a stable state is achieved. UGMs behavior in these stress levels would become stable after post-compaction under service load. Also, Range A of the shakedown behavior is allowed in the pavement, an adequately small accumulated displacement, as acceptable permanent deformation would be seen in a course base layer and this would terminate after a set number of load cycles. Material does not reach failure.

Range B- Plastic creep

Figure 9 and Figure 10 show an intermediate response of Range B (Stress levels 10-14 for CRB and Stress levels 16-24 for HCTCRB). At the beginning of the load cycles, the level of permanent strain rate decreases rapidly but is less than Range A at the same time at a lower rate. The number of load cycles may define the end of post-compaction. A slow increase of the permanent strain rate occurred after 80,000 load cycles. Test results were observed that although the deformation is not completely resilient, permanent deformation is acceptable for the first period of the cycles. CRB reacts corresponding to Range B, a great number of failures could occur if the condition does not change and if it is maintained long enough, it deteriorate at the end as Range C.

Range C – Incremental Collapse

In Figure 9 and Figure 10, (stress level 15 for CRB and stress level 26 for HCTCRB) indicate Range C behavior and the permanent strain rate decreases during the first period of load cycles then becomes lower, nearly constant. Failure occurs with a relatively small number of load cycles when the cumulative permanent strain rate increases very rapidly after which the strain rate does not decrease again. UGMs do not reach a stable state. Range C behavior in UGMs would be resulted in the failure of the pavement by shear deformation in the base layer experienced as rutting at the road pavement surface. This range should not develop in a designed pavement.

In Figure 9 and Figure 10, there are complete distinction between behavior of Ranges A, B and C. However, only Range C presents vertical strain with no cessation of the strain accumulation under a number of cycles. These can also be distinguished on the basis of plastic strain rate behavior. With Range A the permanent strain rate decreases rapidly and does not reach the constant level throughout the duration of testing.

6 Conclusions and discussion

The mechanical behaviors of CRB, normally used as a base course material in Western Australia, were investigated by RLT tests in order to compare with HCTCRB. The tests were carried out in terms of the resilient modulus test and the permanent deformation test to obtain understanding into the resilient and permanent deformation characteristics of this material under real conditions of traffic loading simulated in these tests. The resilient modulus characteristics could be modeled using the K-θ model [22]. The long-term permanent deformation characteristics could be modeled by using Sweere's model [12].

It has been shown that HCTCRB was improved the mechanical behaviors in term of resilient modulus and permanent deformation using 2%cement modification and the use of the shakedown concept application to UGMs in the pavement analysis is possible. This study defined the limit ranges (Ranges A, B, and C) of CRB and HCTCRB. UGMs under fixed stress level conditions show relationships between permanent strain and stress level. When a cyclic loading is applied, the sample responds by changing its permanent strain. In a continuous and gradual increase of the loading amplitude Δσ, the material will start by trying to change the mechanical behavior. The possibility of purely elastic approach in pavement analysis is also discarded as no purely elastic response is found in CRB and HCTCRB during repeated cyclic loading.

For low stress ratios, the CRB reacts corresponding to Range A under stress levels 9 and HCTCRB under stress levels 16. After a few cycles, the particles will reach a stable state because some energy will have been dissipated, due to viscosity. At this range of repeated loadings, the dissipated energy is independent of the loading and does not change from one cycle to another. The pavement will be reached a shakedown limit after post-compaction deformation, with no further permanent deformation developing, and the vertical strain rate rapidly increases and the material subsequently responds elastically. Hence Range A of CRB and HCTCRB is accepted in pavement construction if the accumulated strains before the development of fully resilient behavior is sufficiently small. The next step is to examine the application of material in the pavement that responds to Range B. For higher loadings at stress levels 10-14 of CRB and stress levels 16-24 of HCTCRB, the energy input is first quickly dissipated by a re-arrangement of the sliding internal contacts of material, the so-called post-compaction. The dissipated energy per cycle relaxes then to a stationary value so that the vertical strain rate decreases to a constant rate depending on the loading, but also on the characteristics of the grains such as the friction or the stiffness of the contacts. A deep investigation of the size dependence of the phenomenon would help to identify if the material is evolving on a much longer time scale to a final shakedown state in which all the energy supplied to the system is dissipated. This process may take a longer time in the simulation than in the real experiment where more dissipative mechanisms exist. It seems that the material in Range B does not shakedown, rather it will fail at a very high number of load repetitions. It is important to know the acceptable maximum number of load cycles that will prevent distress in the pavement from occurring. Further tests with load applications up to 2,000,000 load cycles may be necessary to find the point of failure. For many low-traffic road pavements where the total number of vehicles carried will be small and maintenance ultimately required to correct inadequacies other than traffic-induced rutting, Range B behavior will probably be acceptable. Range C behavior at stress level 15 and stress level 26 for CRB and HCTCRB respectively, should not be allowed to occur in the pavement. If the stress levels imposed are high enough, there is no possibility for material to re-arrange to the new state and post-compaction leads to an incremental collapse. Material is not able to dissipate enough energy without changing its configuration so it needs to modify its shape.

The Range A limit (plastic shakedown limit) can be used to predict whether or not stable state occurs in the UGM layer of the road structure. The plastic shakedown limit of CRB and HCTCRB should be used in the Western Australian pavement design guidelines. It can be shown that the maximum stresses occurring in the pavement UGM are within Range A. Based on pavement design guidelines, the approximate working stress of Western Australian road was level 11 at the base layer indicating that CRB reacts corresponding to Range B behavior and possibly deterioration at a number of load repetitions. Unlikely, HCTCRB achieves Range A behavior and will be achieved stable state at amount of traffic without rutting failure. The new approach has been partially validated by the data from which those guidelines should be derived. It has been shown that the permanent strain characteristics of CRB and HCTCRB could be modeled using the Dresden-model, each behavior range separately.

The paper exhibits that having defined the ranges from laboratory results, it is possible to determine whether CRB and HCTCRB are sufficient or whether other thicknesses of surfacing layer are inevitable to implement satisfactory pavement performance. However, suitable experience is currently unavailable to insist the reliability of this proposed relationship between the ranges defined by the RLT tests and real performance. The pavement mechanical response is affected by several parameters. Further investigations on this topic are inevitable to verify results made by the concept introduced in this investigation by relying on alternative means, accelerated pavement tests and falling weight deflectometer (FWD) tests. Furthermore, research should be focused on the influence of parameters such as the determination of the range boundary factors as a function of the values of grading, aggregate type, density, moisture content, cycles etc., should be investigated. This is a subject for further studies.

7 References

[1] Collins, I.F., Wang, A.P., et al. (1993). "Shakedown theory and the design of unbound pavements." Road Transp. Res **2**(4): 28-39.

[2] Wolff, H. and Visser, A.T. (1994). "Incorporating elasto-plasticity in granular layer pavement design." Transp. Eng.

[3] SAMARIS (2004). Selection and evaluation of models for prediction of permanent deformations of unbound granular materials in road pavement, SAM-05-DE10., Sustainable and Advanced Materials for Road Infrastructure.

[4] AASHTO (1986). Guide for the design of pavement structures. Washington D.C., Association of State Highways and Transportation Officials.

[5] Kent, M. F. (1962). "Road test vehicle operating costs related to gross weight." Highway Research Board Special Rep **73**(Washington, D.C.): 149-165.

[6] Collins, I. F. and Boulbibane, M. (1998). "The application of shakedown theory to pavement design." Metals Mat **4**(4): 832-837.

[7] Yu, H.-S. and Hossain, M. Z. (1998). "Lower bound shakedown analysis of layered pavements using discontinuous stress fields." Comput. Method Appl. Mech. Eng. **167**: 209-222.

[8] Maree, J.H., Freeme, C.R. , et al. (1982). The permanent deformation of pavements with untreated crushed stone bases and measured in Heavy Vehicle Simulator tests. 10th Australian Research Board Conference Part 2.

[9] Lekarp, F. , Isacsson, U., et al. (2000). "State of the Art. II: Permanent strain response of unbound aggregates." Transp. Eng **126**(1): 76-84.

[10] Sharp, R. W. (1985). Pavement Design Based on Shakedown Analysis. Washington, D.C., Transportation Research Board.

[11] Barksdale, R.D. (1972). Laboratory Evaluation of Rutting in Base Course Materials. 3rd International Conference on the Structural Design of Asphalt Pavements, London.

[12] Sweere, G. T. H. (1990). Unbound granular bases for roads. PhD Thesis, Delft University of Technology.

[13] Paute, J.L. , Hornych, P., et al. (1996). Repeated load triaxial testing of granular materials in the French Network of Laboratories des Ponts et Chaussées. European Symposium on Flexible Pavements, Balkema, Rotterdam.

[14] Lekarp, F. and Dawson, A.R. (1998). "Some Influences on the Permanent Deformation Behaviour of Unbound Ggranular Materials." US Transportation Research Board.

[15] Huurman, M. (1997). Permanent deformation in concrete block pavements. PhD Thesis, Delft University of Technology: 119 –125.

[16] Main Roads Western Australia. (2003). "A guide to the selection and use of naturally occurring materials as base and subbase in roads in Western Australia " Retrieved September, 2008, from http://standards.mainroads.wa.gov.au/NR/mrwa/frames/standards/standards.asp?G={E582C897-FF5E-4C02-8B46-51E88C1E5DD8}.

[17] Main Roads Western Australia. (2007). "Dry density/moisture content relationship: modified compaction fine and medium grained soils." Retrieved September, 2008, from http://standards.mainroads.wa.gov.au/NR/mrwa/frames/standards/standards.asp?G={E582C897-FF5E-4C02-8B46-51E88C1E5DD8}.

[18] Zhongjie, Z. and Mingjiang, T. (2008). "Labboratory Testing of Cement-Treated Wet Subgrade and Design Recommendations." International Pavement Research and Technology **1**(4): 135-142.

[19] Cockburn Cement. (2006). "General Specification (Cockburn General Purpose Portland Cement Type GP)." Retrieved November, 2006, from http://www.cockburncement.com.au/productinfo/range/specifications/Cockburn%20GP.pdf.

[20] Australian Standard (1997). Portland and Blended Cements. Australia, Australian Standard: pp. 1-10.

[21] Voung, B.T. and Brimble, R. (2000). Austroads Repeated Load Triaxial Test Method-Determination of Permanent Deformation and Resilient Modulus Characteristics of Unbound Granular Materials Under Drained Conditions. APRG DOCUMENT APRG 00/33(MA), Austroads.

[22] Hick, R.G. and Monosmith, C.L. (1971). "Factors influencing the resilient response of granular materials." Highway Research Record **345**: 15-31.

[23] Werkmeister, S., Dawson, A. R., et al. (2001). "Permanent deformation behaviour of unbound granular materials and the shakedown theory." Transp. Res. Board **1757**: 75-81

Twin International Conferences on
Geotechnical and Geo-Environmental Engineering cum (7th) Ground Improvement Techniques
23 – 25 June 2010, Seoul, S. Korea

APPLICATION AND COMPUTER SIMULATION OF POLYSTYRENE SLAB IN IRRIGATION WORKS OF SEASONAL FROZEN SOIL AREA

Ying-hao Wang, UST Inner Mongolia, Batou, China
Feng-hu Bu, He Tao Irrigation Region, Bayannaoer, China

Abstract

Polystyrene slab is a kind of insulation material. It can be used for preventing frostbite in irrigation works. By computer simulation and on-the spot experiment, we draw some conclusion on its changeable temperature included with or without polystyrene slab for keeping it warm. These results can guide engineer how to choose the thickness、places where to be built in order to prevent frostbite preferably.

Keywords: prevent frostbite in irrigation works; polystyrene slab for keeping warm; Computer simulation

1. Introductions

Several major irrigation areas in northern China is located in seasonal frozen soil area, a large number of channel system of hydraulic structures due to uneven foundation soil damage resulting from frost heaving. Hydraulic freeze injury has become a burning problem to be solved. At present, for anti-frost heaving, several large irrigation channel adopted many different materials, different forms of channel lining. In HE Tao irrigation area, some channels have paved polystyrene board, but the appropriate thickness cannot be clearly defined, resulting in tremendous waste. The reason is that we do not understand causes of the frost and thawing clearly, we can not adopt anti-heave measures freely.

2. Property of Polystyrene board and mechanism of insulation

2.1 property of Polystyrene board

Polystyrene board is a high-quality thermal insulation material, a lot of closed bubble in its inner wall but also not be linked. Some properties are listed as follows: light density, low thermal conductivity, ill-natured water absorption, good frost resistance and aging resistance, convenient to transportation and construction. In soaking conditions, there is still a better insulation effect [1]. According to national standard GB10801-89 and《canal seepage control project technical specifications》(SL-91) [2] , properties of polystyrene board in experience are listed in table 1.