A Compact Robotic Device for Upper-Limb Reaching Rehabilitation
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Abstract—This paper presents a compact linear-motion robotic device for upper-extremity reaching rehabilitation. Starting from conceptual design, the paper describes electronic circuit design and program development. The work develops a prototype that provides active and passive rehabilitation training. In active training, subjects actively move their arm with assistive or resistive force from the device to finish predefined displacement and force profiles. In passive training, subjects remain passive while the device moves the limb following the predefined displacement profile. Engineering specifications with adequate safety factor are determined and standard electronic and readily available mechanical components are exploited to keep the total cost low.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Stroke is the leading cause of severe disabilities in the developed world. The prevalence of stroke in Australia is about 110/10,000 and the societal and economical costs are estimated to be about $2.14 billion per year [1]. Being a common outcome of stroke, hemiplegia affects muscles of the face, arm and leg on one side and prevents patients from doing a variety of activities, ranging from walking to feeding themselves. Substantial gain in hemiplegic patients’ health-related quality of life can be achieved by ensuring continuous stroke therapy and rehabilitation [2].

Various clinical trials have demonstrated that intensity and task specificity are the main drivers in an effective treatment program after stroke [3, 4]. Highly repetitive movement training can result in improved recovery [4, 5]. Patients with upper-arm disabilities most often require gradual but consistent and extensive physical therapy. The exercises are often simple but repetitive and require full assistance from a physical therapist, whose consistent presence not only has distinctively negative social and economic effects, but also increases the sense of dependence and sometimes even leads to depression in patients [6].

Robot-assisted movement training is fast becoming a common feature in rehabilitation [7, 8]. Robotic devices have the programmable force-producing ability, leading to realistic replication of the features of a therapist’s assistance. Moreover, robotic devices can easily apply new constraints and optimize the required movement patterns, which might not be feasible with therapists. Therefore, complex motor-learning tasks can be generated far more precisely with robotic devices than in conventional treatment approaches.

Since the pioneering study of MIT-Manus [9], the number of research groups developing robotic therapy devices has rapidly increased, and various devices have been developed for after-stroke automating training for the upper extremity. Current robotic rehabilitation devices can be classified into two categories: end-effector interacting devices and exoskeletal devices.

In the first category, patients’ arm is bound to the robot end-effector and moves with it. For instance, the ARM Guide enables hand to move along a linear rail to help shoulder and elbow to recover [10]. MIME system employs two 6R Puma industry robot arms and predefined 12 targeted reaching tasks [11]. GENTLE/s requires patients to reach and touch virtual objects presented in virtual environment for shoulder and elbow rehabilitation [12]. Bi-Manu-Track enables hemi-paretic patients to bilaterally practice two different movement cycles: forearm pronation/supination and wrist flexion/extension [13].

The exoskeletal devices in the second category have rigid links attached to the human arm and motors at exoskeletal joints. For example Armin [14], MGA [15], MAHI [16], Armeo Spring [17], WREX [18], BONES [19]. This approach introduces large mass to, and subsequently changes the dynamics of, a human arm.

The initial results of robot-assisted rehabilitation are promising: patients who receive more therapy with a robotic device recover more movement ability [20-22]. Comparing with healthy people, hemiplegic patients undergo abnormal synergistic muscle activations, affecting limb mechanics and changing limb impedance due to the modification of tixotropic tissue-characteristics [23, 24].

This work aims to design, develop and produce a compact upper-extremity hemiplegia rehabilitation robot that can measure impedance during movements by exploiting low-cost electronic and mechanical components. This robotic device is equipped with a light-weight mobile platform and sensitive load cells that can measure slight hand motion and provide active and passive assistance.
This compact robotic device presents several advantages. First, the device is antagonistically actuated to provide inherent safety and variable stiffness and thus is able to accommodate the need of patients with various arm stiffness. Second, it is light and compact and can be positioned at different angles and positions to augment different reaching tasks either in a clinic or at home. Third, it is able to measure force-feedback with low-cost load cells via novel mechanism design, reducing the total cost considerably.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the overall design of the device. Section III presents the end-effector with force sensing capacity via low-cost load cells activated by a novel lever linkage. Section IV calculates the maximum loading on the end-effector and lays the ground for motor selection and part stress analysis. Section V discusses the antagonistic actuation and Section VI concludes the paper.

II. MECHANICAL DESIGN

The robotic device is to conduct active and passive rehabilitation sessions with patients. In active sessions, the device is involved in helping the patients finish the predefined displacement, velocity, and force profiles by applying a certain assistive or resistive force when the individuals with hemiplegia actively move the arm in keeping with their recovery condition. The main function of the active movement is to generate low-load movement and force/position training. In passive sessions, the individuals with hemiplegia remain passive while the device exercises the pre-defined displacement by moving the arm via the end-effector.

To realize the two modes, the patients are to hold on to the end-effector to conduct rehabilitation session. The device detects and records the patient’s performance, which is to be reviewed by the therapist.

The device is designed such that a linear guide rail is used to provide linear motion training to the patient, as in Fig. 1. An end-effector integrated with an arm rest and force sensor is attached to the sliding block of the linear guide rail. Two motors are used to actuate the end-effector through stainless-steel cables. The motors are fixed on a spring mounted base to provide a force and movement buffer during operation: this antagonistic actuation yields the capacity of adjusting the stiffness of the platform. This device is programmed such that therapists can choose between active and passive modes. If active mode is chosen, the resultant displacement, velocity, and force profiles applying on to the user are required to be input by the physiotherapist.

In terms of safety, passive safety features such as mechanical stops are used at both ends of the rail and an active emergency stop is implemented to cut the power supply.

A modular design is adopted for the device, which consists of end-effector, guiderail, chassis, cable-routing, and actuation modules, as in Fig. 2.

III. END-EFFECTOR WITH FORCE SENSING

Force-sensing is an integrated part of the majority of robotic rehabilitation platforms. However, the high-price tag of many sensitive force/torque sensors prevents such devices from being employed economically. This work overcomes this impediment by using a lever mechanism to effectively enlarge the force at the end-effector.

Finding a load cell that comes with high performance and small in size at a low cost presents a challenge. The selected FX1901 compression load cell [25] is the smallest among the range that provides relatively high accuracy: ±1% of its measuring range at a low cost ($40 each), as shown in Fig. 3.
The maximum force measurable by the force sensor is 11.34 kg, thus the accuracy of the encoder is 0.1 kg. An INA 125P instrumentation amplifier is paired with the load cell such that that the analog output signals is readable by the Arduino Due microcontroller, as in Fig. 4.

A thorough calibration of the load cell is conducted: the readings from both load cells are linear and the minimum weight detectable by force sensor is approximately 110 g. The rather high minimum sensitivity poses a challenge to mechanical design. This is solved by using a lever mechanism, which is embedded into the end-effector design, as in Fig. 5.

The forces can be calculated using the following equation:

\[ M\ddot{x} = \sum F_{ext} = F_{pull} - F_{arm} - F_{drag} - F_{friction} \]

According to the preliminary normative study performed in [27], the average mass of the arm weights at 4 kg. The mass of instruments fixed on the slide block is 0.8 kg. This gives the total mass \( M \) 4.8 kg. The maximum arm resistant force is estimated to be 44 N, the drag force is set to be 5% of the pull force, and the friction coefficient between aluminum is 1.05. This yields the maximum pull force at 64.25 N.
V. TENDON-BASED ANTAGNISTIC ACTUATION

One of the unique aspects of rehabilitation robots is that the patient fills the role of both patient and operator. This makes rehabilitation robots safety critical systems, and no single failure can cause a hazard. A safe rehabilitation robot does not allow uncontrolled motions and excessive force on patients. On the other hand, as force-applying devices, rehabilitation robots are required to accurately reproduce the position/force profile.

This work adopts the intrinsically safe variable stiffness actuators (VSAs) [28] to address the safety issue. When coupled with compensation by control, the VSA is able to accurately control the position and force.

Variable impedance control of VSAs is the hallmark of the biological motor control, which adapts the impedance of the overall biomechanical system to different task requirements and stochastic disturbance. A transfer of this principle to robotics is to connect two actuators antagonistically to control the position and impedance simultaneously, as in Fig. 7.

The motor mounting holds the electric motor in place and eight pre-tensioned springs between the motor mountings and the base creates a buffer to absorb shock forces such as sudden step increase of torque from the motor as well as adding on flexibility to the system so that the patients are able to operate the device more comfortably.

Commercial off-the-shelf components with adequate performance are employed in the design. Two geared carbon-brush DC motor with attached rotary encoder as actuator, two 10A Motor Driver Shields, and an Arduino Due microcontroller.

An Arduino program is developed such that it ensures all the sensors are operative and calibrates the system prior to further use of the device. Besides that, it also contains the codes that carry out assistive and passive rehabilitation. PID controllers are used to for positioning control and force feedback control.

The control is separated into master and slave loops, where the master loop regulates the motor that pulls the end effector and the slave loop regulates the other motor to maintain the position and cable tension, realizing position/force control. Force data and encoder position are sent to the PC via serial port, allowing real-time monitoring and recording of the patient’s performance.

VI. FUTURE WORK

The first stage of future work will improve the overall compactness of the device and reduce the weight of the end-effector. This entails moving the load cells to the cable routing sheave pulleys and routing the cable over a spring loaded sheave block, in order to improve the devices’ response, as in Fig. 9.

The second stage will focus on getting the end effector to move in a three dimensional space. This involves mounting the current device onto a rotary platform to develop a cost-effective system. The forward and inverse kinematics [29], dynamics, and control will be investigated.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

This work presented a compact robotic device for upper-limb reaching rehabilitation. The device consisted of several modules: end-effector, guidrail, cable-routing, actuation, and chassis. The end-effector served as an arm-rest and contained a load-cell compartment and a novel lever mechanism that effectively amplified the force at the arm-rest. The antagonistic actuation provided intrinsic safety and could vary the stiffness to accommodate patients’ different requirements.
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