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Abstract

The Cenozoic tectonic evolution of Thailand is widely considered to have been primarily controlled by forces generated at the eastern Himalayan syntaxis. This hypothesis is supported by earthquakes in northern Indochina and southern China, which reveal a fan shaped present-day maximum horizontal stress ($S_{Hmax}$) pattern centered on the eastern Himalayan syntaxis. However, the distance to which forces generated by the Himalayan syntaxis influence the stress pattern in Indochina is not known. We analyzed caliper and image logs from 106 petroleum wells for borehole breakouts and drilling-induced fractures. A total of 558 breakouts and 45 drilling-induced fractures were interpreted in six basins, indicating that a north-south regional present-day $S_{Hmax}$ exists in central and southern Thailand and the Gulf of Thailand. The N-S $S_{Hmax}$ orientation suggests that forces generated at the Himalayan syntaxis are a major control on the stress pattern throughout Thailand, extending approximately 1000 km beyond the outer limit of syntaxis-associated seismicity. Despite the influence of the Himalayan syntaxis on the present-day stress field, the sedimentary basins of central, southern and offshore Thailand are characterized by structural styles that are somewhat inconsistent with those predicted to result from India-Eurasia collision. Furthermore, localized variations in $S_{Hmax}$ orientation, and the
predominance of structures associated with purely extensional rifting, indicate that other processes also influence the stress field in Thailand. We suggest that stresses generated by the Sumatran-Andaman subduction zone may also have resulted in significant deformation in offshore Thailand and that the stress pattern may also be perturbed at very local (several km) scales by mechanically weak faults.
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Introduction

Thailand lies in the heart of one of the most tectonically active regions on Earth and displays an extensive history of Cenozoic deformation (Morley, 2002; Hall & Morley, 2004). Consequently the present-day stress field provides insight into a region of continental crust that is actively deforming (Morley, 2001; Tingay et al., in press). Understanding present-day stress orientations is important for several reasons including: testing tectonic and fault evolution models for the region, hazard prediction associated with fault reactivation, and for the petroleum industry with regard to borehole stability and predicting the orientation of open fracture systems (Hall and Morley, 2004; Morley et al., 2004; Vigny et al., 2005; Tingay et al., 2009).

The Cenozoic tectonic evolution of Indochina is often considered to be controlled by stresses and strains arising from the ongoing collision of India with Eurasia (Molnar & Tapponnier, 1975; Morley, 2002; England & Molnar, 2005). The nature of Himalayan extrusion into SE Asia remains a topic of debate, with some authors proposing rigid block escape tectonics (Molnar & Tapponnier, 1975; Tapponnier et al., 1982; Leloup et al. 2001; Replumaz and Tapponier 2003) whereas other authors suggest that deformations can be better matched by viscous or visco-elastic flow (England & Molnar, 2005; Shen et al., 2005). Regardless of the nature of Himalayan
extrusion, all models predict a fan-like present-day maximum horizontal stress ($S_{Hmax}$) pattern in Indochina centered on the eastern Himalayan syntaxis, with present-day $S_{Hmax}$ oriented NNW-SSE to NNE-SSW throughout much of Indochina (Huchon et al., 1994; Kong & Bird, 1997; Morley, 2007). The modeled NNW-SSE to NNE-SSW $S_{Hmax}$ orientation in Indochina is supported by stress orientations estimated from earthquake focal mechanisms solutions in northern Thailand and the Yunnan Region of China (Fig. 1; Holt et al., 1991; Huchon et al., 1994; Morley et al., 2001; Morley, 2007). However, there is a relative absence of seismicity south of approximately 17°N latitude in Indochina and thus it is not known whether the fan-shaped stress pattern observed in regions adjacent to the Himalayan syntaxis extends into southern Thailand and offshore Indochina.

Models of extrusion tectonics predict different distances at which forces generated at the Himalayan syntaxis should influence the stress field in SE Asia (Molnar & Tapponnier, 1975; Morley, 2002; Hall & Morley, 2004). For example, the rigid block escape tectonics model predicts that the stress pattern, and associated deformations, throughout much of the Sunda plate would be controlled by Himalayan extrusion (Molnar & Tapponnier, 1975; Huchon et al., 1994). However, the Cenozoic tectonic evolution of Indochina has also been strongly influenced by processes other than Himalayan extrusion, most notably stresses arising from the Java-Sumatra-Andaman subduction zone to the south and west of Thailand, gravitational collapse of thickened continental crust in Indochina and the coupling between the Burma block in Myanmar with India (Morley, 2001; Hall & Morley, 2004; Morley et al., in press; Searle & Morley, in press). Hence, the primary aim of this study is to determine the present-day $S_{Hmax}$ orientation in central and southern Thailand and the Gulf of Thailand (south of 17°N latitude) in order to better establish the forces controlling the present-day stress field in Indochina and examine the distance at which forces generated by the Himalayan syntaxis influence the stress pattern in SE Asia.
Thailand displays some exceptional examples of extensional fault geometries that can be seen from satellite images, open cast coal mines and from 2D and 3D reflection seismic data (e.g. Rigo de Rhigi et al., 2002; Uttamo et al., 2003; Morley et al., 2004). These fault patterns commonly display multiple orientations, and complex fault propagation and linkage patterns that indicate inheritance of older fabrics, and complex evolution of the stress field with time (e.g. Morley and Wonganan, 2000; Kornsawan and Morley 2002; Morley et al., 2004; Morley et al., 2007). Investigation of the modern stress field can help determine whether fault orientations oblique to the main rift trend can be explained by simple reactivation of deeper structures, or whether other factors, such as localized stress rotations need to be considered. Hence, a secondary aim of this study is to examine the stress field at small-scales within sedimentary basins in order to investigate whether complex fault patterns in Thailand may be the result of local stress perturbations or the reactivation of deeper structures.

**Geological Summary**

Central and southern Thailand and the Gulf of Thailand are tectonically significant regions of Southeast Asia because they lie along the north-south transition from the orogenic region of the Himalayan syntaxis to the subduction-dominated Java-Sumatra margin further south. The tectonic development of Indochina is often considered to be dominated by widespread, large-scale strike-slip faulting associated with Himalayan extrusion tectonics (Tapponier & Molnar, 1975; Tapponnier et al., 1986). More recent work has, however, established that the Cenozoic tectonic evolution of Thailand is considerably more complex (Hall and Morley, 2004; Morley et al., *in press*; Searle & Morley, *in press*). The geography of Thailand very strongly expresses Cenozoic deformation that can be divided into seven main provinces, three in the south and four in the north (Morley et al., *in press*). The three southern provinces comprise Peninsular Thailand,
the Gulf of Thailand and the Andaman Sea, whilst the northern provinces consist of the Western Highlands, the central region, northern central region and the Khorat Plateau (Fig. 1). The provinces are described below from south to north.

The Gulf of Thailand is dominated by Cenozoic rift systems, and has been an area of subsidence, and extensive sedimentation since the Eocene (e.g. Lockhart et al., 1997; Jardine, 1997, Morley and Westaway, 2006). Some basins are large, extremely deep and subsided rapidly, such as the super-deep Pattani and Malay Basins, which in places contain over 7 km of Neogene section (Morley & Westaway, 2006). The present day shape of the gulf is due to a sea-level highstand covering an extensive, broadly subsiding intra-continental post-rift basin (maximum water depth of 80 m), which extends onshore as the Central Basin.

The Andaman Sea is a region affected by Late Oligocene-Early Miocene transtension, followed by Early Pliocene sea floor spreading in a pull-apart setting (Khan and Chakraborty, 2005). Major N-S dextral strike-slip was initiated when western Myanmar became coupled to India and was dragged northwards (along the Sagaing Fault) with respect to both Sumatra to the south, and Peninsular Thailand to the east (see review in Curray, 2005). In contrast with the subdued Gulf of Thailand bathymetry, the Andaman Sea is a large back-arc region with bathymetry related to the Sumatra-Andaman plate boundary, strike-slip fault margins, and rifted passive-margins flanking a deep marine back-arc spreading centre (maximum water depth 3,777 m).

Peninsular Thailand is a hilly strip of narrow highlands uplifted during the Cenozoic (particularly the Late Oligocene to early Miocene; Upton, 1999). It is only a narrow strip of land due to late Cenozoic tectonic processes driving subsidence in the Andaman Sea area to the west, and the Gulf of Thailand to the east. The peninsula also contains a few small rift basins (e.g. Surat Thani
Basin) and is cut by two major NE-SW trending Cenozoic dextral strike-slip faults (Khlong Marui and Ranong faults; Fig. 1).

The central region, in which Bangkok is located, forms a broad flat plain (Central Plains) that narrows northwards. The plains are 450 km long, up to 125 km wide and range in elevation from sea level to 50 m. The central region plains are a remarkable expression of an extensive, young, post-rift (thermal subsidence) basin called the Chao Phraya Basin. This basin began to unconformably cover the Late Oligocene-Miocene rift basins (Phitsanulok, Kampaeng Saeng and Suphan Buri Basins) and intervening pre-Cenozoic rocks during the Late Miocene or early Pliocene (Morley et al., 2007).

East of the Central Plains region is the Khorat Plateau, which is a low-topography area lying at ~500 m elevation, underlain by ~3000-4000 m thickness of predominately sub-horizontal Mesozoic continental clastics of the Khorat Group (Kozar et al., 1992). The Khorat Group is affected by variably trending Cenozoic folds that in some areas give rise to hilly topography, most notably around the western margin of the Khorat Plateau and the Phu-Phan uplift (Fig. 1). Apatite fission track dating of the Khorat Group in the more strongly folded areas indicates exhumation linked with rising folds occurred between about 50 Ma and 30 Ma (Upton, 1999).

West of the Central Plains region is the Shan Plateau, an uplifted region straddling eastern Myanmar and Western Thailand composed predominantly of Palaeozoic sedimentary and metasedimentary rocks extensively intruded by Mesozoic and early Cenozoic granites. The western highlands in Thailand mark the eastern limit of the Plateau. Typical maximum elevations in the Plateau area are ~1500 m. The western extent of the Plateau is sharply defined by the Shan Scarp and the adjacent N-S striking Sagaing Fault (Fig. 1). The Sagaing Fault is one of the
largest and most active strike-slip faults in the world, with dextral motion in the order of ~2.0 cm/yr (Vigny et al., 2003). That motion accommodates about two thirds of the northwards motion of India relative to Indochina. On satellite images the plateau is spectacularly cross-cut by a network of predominantly N-S and NW-SE trending major Cenozoic strike-slip faults that are clearly visible as linear topographic features (e.g. Le Dain et al., 1984, Lacassin et al., 1997, Lacassin et al., 1998, Morley, 2004). The NW-SE striking Mae Ping and Three Pagodas Fault zones are the best developed of these strike-slip fault zones in Thailand. During the Paleogene they underwent major (>100 km), sinistral motion, while minor (up to a few tens of kilometers) dextral displacement occurred during the Late Oligocene and Neogene (Lacassin et al., 1997; Morley, 2004).

The Cenozoic rift trend passes from the central plains region into northern Thailand, however extensional activity continued into more recent times in the north where some faults remain active today (Bott et al., 1997, Fenton et al., 1997, Fenton et al., 2003). The northern rifts are characterized by over forty intermontane rift basins forming isolated plains (Fig. 1) that lie at elevations between 200 m and 500 m. These basins retain their syn-rift topography, with the intermontane plains flanked by high hills with elevations up to 1500 m, composed predominantly of Palaeozoic-Early Mesozoic rocks (Morley et al., in press).

The Cenozoic rift basins of central, western, northern and offshore Thailand are highly variable in size, with many being only 10s-100s km² in size and 10s to 100s of meters deep. The N-S orientation of these basins has been suggested to indicate that they are purely the result of Himalayan extrusion, with the basins forming as pull aparts due to displacement along major NW-SE trending faults (Tapponnier et al., 1986; Polachan et al., 1991). Some basins in western and northern Thailand do appear to have a strike-slip or oblique extension origin, but basins
further to the south and east grade into extensional basins where a purely strike-slip origin can be disproved (Morley, 2001; Morley, 2007). Furthermore, Cenozoic strike-slip deformation has arisen from both deformation at the eastern Himalayan syntaxis (Huchon et al., 1994; Kong & Bird, 1997) and from coupling of the Indian Plate with the Burma block that introduced a N-S trending broad dextral shear couple on western Thailand and eastern Burma from the Oligocene onwards (e.g. Curray, 2005). Other forces that potentially acted on Thailand during the Cenozoic are from the Sumatran-Andaman subduction zone and buoyancy forces associated with thickening of the continental crust during the Late Cretaceous and Paleogene (Morley, 2001; Hall and Morley, 2004; Morley et al., in press; Tingay et al., in press).

That the Cenozoic tectonic evolution of Thailand has been influenced by a complex interplay of different forces, and is not purely a consequence of India-Eurasia collision, is suggested by both the spatial variations in deformation style described above and the evolution of structures over time. Oligocene-Miocene rift basins are developed over folds, thrusts and strike-slip faults formed during the Paleogene (Morley, 2007; Morley et al., 2007; Morley et al., in press). Pull-apart basins in western Thailand that developed during the Late Oligocene-Early Miocene are associated with a change from sinistral to dextral strike-slip motion along major NW-SE to N-S trending faults (Morley, 2004). The pull-apart basins appear to have ceased activity during the Middle Miocene, while extensional basins to the east continued to be active (Lacassin et al., 1997; Morley, 2007). Several Miocene-Pliocene stress changes can be inferred in the rift basins, both as variations in dominant fault orientation over time and by alternating phases of inversion and extension (Lacassin et al., 1997; Morley, 2007; Morley et al., 2007; Morley et al., in press). Most significantly for the modern stress regime is that a final phase of widespread basin inversion occurred around the Miocene-Pliocene boundary, after which time extension stopped or was greatly reduced in most onshore rift basins (Morley et al., 2001).
Determination of Present-Day Maximum Horizontal Stress Orientation

The present-day stress tensor in sedimentary basins is conventionally simplified to consist of four components: the vertical stress magnitude, $S_{Hmax}$ magnitude, minimum horizontal stress magnitude and $S_{Hmax}$ orientation (Bell, 1996). The vertical, maximum and minimum horizontal stresses are typically assumed to be principal stresses, particularly in sedimentary basins that generally have little topographic variation (Bell, 1996). Herein, we focus primarily on determining the $S_{Hmax}$ orientation, which is assumed to represent a principal stress. Present-day $S_{Hmax}$ orientations in Thailand’s basins were determined from borehole breakouts and drilling-induced fractures (DIFs) interpreted from four-arm caliper and resistivity image log data. When a borehole is drilled, the material removed from the subsurface is no longer supporting the surrounding rock. As a result, the stresses become concentrated in the surrounding rock (i.e. the wellbore wall; Kirsch, 1898). Borehole breakouts are stress-induced elongations of the wellbore and occur when the wellbore stress concentration exceeds that required to cause compressive failure of intact rock (Bell & Gough, 1979). The elongation of the cross-sectional shape of the wellbore is the result of compressive shear failure on intersecting conjugate planes, which causes pieces of the borehole wall to spall off (Bell & Gough, 1979). The maximum circumferential stress around a vertical borehole occurs perpendicular to the maximum horizontal stress (Kirsch, 1898). Hence, borehole breakouts are elongated perpendicular to the maximum horizontal stress direction (Bell & Gough, 1979).

Drilling-induced fractures are caused by tensile failure of the borehole wall and form when the wellbore stress concentration is less than the tensile strength of the rock (Aadnøy, 1990). The minimum circumferential stress around a vertical borehole occurs in the direction of the
maximum horizontal stress (Kirsch, 1898). Hence, DIFs are oriented in the $S_{Hmax}$ direction (Aadnøy & Bell, 1998).

Breakouts are interpreted herein from the Schlumberger High-Resolution Dipmeter Tool (HDT) and Oil-Based Dipmeter Tool (OBDT) logs and resistivity image logs. The HDT and OBDT are four-arm caliper tools with two pairs of caliper arms at 90° to each other. Each arm has a pad on the end containing one or two resistivity ‘buttons’. The resistivity data from four-arm caliper tools are processed to obtain information about the formation (primarily dip and strike of bedding) and to calculate hole volume (Schlumberger, 1986). However, borehole breakouts can be interpreted from unprocessed HDT log data. The logs used to interpret breakouts from the HDT are the:

- borehole deviation (DEVI) and azimuth (HAZI);
- azimuth of pad one (P1AZ);
- bearing of pad one relative to the high side of the hole (RB), and;
- diameter of the borehole in two orthogonal directions (‘caliper one’ (C1) given by arms one and three and ‘caliper two’ (C2) from arms two and four).

The tool tends to rotate as it is pulled up the borehole due to the lay of the cable (cable torque). However, the tool stops rotating where the cross-sectional shape of the borehole is elongated when one caliper pair becomes ‘stuck’ in the elongation direction (Fig. 2; Plumb & Hickman, 1985). The combined use of the six logs listed above allows the interpreter to identify zones of borehole breakout and the orientation of the elongation (Fig. 2). Many non-circular wellbore cross-sectional shapes are not stress-induced, such as washout and key-seating (Plumb & Hickman, 1985). Borehole breakout is distinguished from other borehole elongations on HDT logs using a strict set of criteria presented in Table 1 (Plumb & Hickman, 1985).
Resistivity image logs evolved from the four-arm dipmeter logs. There are a number of resistivity buttons on each pad of the resistivity image tool, for example 16 buttons per pad on Schlumberger’s Formation Micro Scanner (FMS). The multiple resistivity buttons provide an image of the borehole wall based on resistivity contrasts (Fig. 2; Ekstrom et al., 1987).

Resistivity image tools also measure the hole size and logs obtained by the HDT. Several types of resistivity image tools are available. However, only Schlumberger’s FMS and Formation Micro Imager (FMI) were used in this study. The FMI tool is an improved version of the FMS tool that has 24 resistivity buttons on each pad and a flap attached to each pad with a further 24 buttons, thereby giving greater coverage of the wellbore wall.

The resistivity image of the wellbore wall allows for a more reliable interpretation of breakouts than can be made by using dipmeter data alone (Heidbach et al., in press). Drilling-induced fractures can also be recognised on image logs (DIFs cannot be interpreted on four-arm caliper logs). Breakouts appear on resistivity image logs as broad, parallel, often poorly resolved conductive zones separated by 180° and exhibiting caliper enlargement in the direction of the conductive zones. DIFs appear on image logs as narrow, well defined, conductive fractures (Fig. 2; Tingay et al., 2008).

Breakouts and DIFs can rotate in inclined boreholes and do not always directly yield the horizontal stress orientation (Mastin 1988; Peska & Zoback 1995). However, the current state of stress in Thailand is believed to be a normal or strike-slip faulting stress regime (Meyer, 2003; Morley, 2004). Breakouts and DIFs do not show any significant rotation in orientation and still yield the approximate $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientation in boreholes with less than 20° deviation in a normal or
strike-slip faulting stress regime (Peska & Zoback 1995). Hence, breakouts and DIFs were only
used to estimate the $S_{H\text{max}}$ direction in wellbore intervals with deviations of less than 20°.

The mean $S_{H\text{max}}$ orientation from each well was given a quality ranking according to the World
Stress Map Project criteria with A-quality being the highest ($S_{H\text{max}}$ reliable to within ±15°) and E-
quality the lowest (no reliable orientation determinable; Heidbach et al., *in press*). Table 2 lists
the quality ranking criteria for breakouts and DIFs interpreted from image and four-arm caliper
logs.

**Present-Day Maximum Horizontal Stress Orientation in Thailand Basins**

We analyzed four-arm caliper and resistivity image logs for borehole breakout and DIFs in 106
wells from sedimentary basins covering 1000 km N-S extent through central, southern and
offshore Thailand. A total of 124.6 kilometers of four-arm caliper logs and image logs were
examined in six onshore and offshore basins, including 6019 meters of image log data from nine
wells (Table 3). Borehole breakouts and/or DIFs were observed in 76 wells (Fig. 3; Table 3; data
for individual wells freely available from the World Stress Map Project). A total of 558 breakouts
and 45 DIFs with a combined length of 12085 meters were interpreted across the six regions
(Figs. 3 and 4; Table 3). Image and four-arm caliper logs were also examined in 30 wells that
either did not contain breakouts/DIFs or were deviated by >20° and thus were not used herein
(ranked E-quality; Table 3). The observed breakouts and DIFs indicate that $S_{H\text{max}}$ is, as regional
averages, oriented N-S to NNW-SSE in all six basins with standard deviations of between 23-45°
(Fig. 4; Table 3). Tingay et al. (*in press*) undertook statistical analysis of the stress orientations
within each basin using the Rayleigh Test to confirm the confidence level at which the null
hypothesis of stress orientations being random within a province can be rejected (Coblentz &
Richardson, 1992). The null hypothesis can be rejected in all six basins at a confidence level of at
least 97.5%, indicating that the average stress orientations for each basin can be reliably used as
regional stress orientations (Tingay et al., in press). However, it is important to note that there is
a significant amount of localized stress variation in several basins, the origins of which are
discussed below. Furthermore, aside from some examples discussed below, borehole breakouts
and DIFs observed within individual wells generally show fairly consistent orientations with
depth.

Discussion

Implications of the Regional Stress Pattern for Deformation Resulting from India-Eurasia Collision

The majority of previously published present-day stress orientations for Thailand and Indochina
have been derived from earthquake focal mechanism solutions that indicate a curvilinear fan-
shaped stress pattern emanating from the eastern Himalayan syntaxis, with NNW-SSE to NNE-
SSW $S_{H\text{max}}$ orientations within northern Indochina (Figure 1; Bott et al., 1997; Morley, 2007).
Hence, the N-S average $S_{H\text{max}}$ orientations observed in the onshore Phitsanulok, Khorat and
Suphan Buri Basins are consistent with the stress orientations observed from earthquake focal
mechanisms solutions in northern Thailand (Figs. 1, 3 and 4; Morley, 2007; Tingay et al., in press). Present-day average $S_{H\text{max}}$ orientations for basins in the Gulf of Thailand are also broadly
consistent with the onshore stress field, ranging from N-S in the Pattani Basin to NW-SE to
NNW-SSE in the Chumphon and North Malay Basins (Table 3; Figs. 4 and 5; Meyer, 2003).
Hence, the present-day stress orientations obtained for basins in Thailand indicate that the fan-
shaped stress field emanating from the eastern Himalayan syntaxis may, at least in the region
studied herein, extend down into the Gulf of Thailand.
That forces from the eastern Himalayan syntaxis extend down to central and southern Thailand is also supported by geomorphology and trenching data, which suggest segments of major strike slip faults in western central and peninsular Thailand, particularly the Mae Ping, Three Pagodas, Ranong, Klong Marui faults, have been active through the Quaternary, with dextral motion on the NW-SE striking faults and sinistral motion on the NE-SW striking faults (Fenton et al., 2003). Furthermore, a $M_s$ 5.6 earthquake occurred on the Ranong fault in 1978 and three GPS stations in southern Thailand, between the Ranong and Khlong Mauri faults, record 2-4 mm per year NNE motions relative to stable Sundaland, indicating current movement along these faults (Fig. 3; Shrestha, 1987; Simons et al., 2007).

The results of the stress analysis herein, coupled with the palaeostress data, is in stark contrast with interpretations from seismicity and GPS data that suggest forces exerted by the eastern Himalayan syntaxis may only extend as far as northern Thailand. The number and magnitude of recorded earthquakes in Thailand decreases southwards and large, natural earthquakes are very rare south of 17ºN latitude. Indeed, the onshore earthquakes shown to the south of 17ºN latitude in Figure 1 are thought to be the result of water loading in dams (Bott et al. 1997). The seismogenic front in Thailand approximates the southern limit of the intermontane rift basins in Northern Thailand, whereas rift basins south of this limit lie beneath a broad, flat plain that is the early stage of post-rift (thermal) subsidence. Hence, the reduction in seismicity from north to south could, on the basis of seismicity distribution alone, be interpreted to represent the outer limit of eastern Himalayan syntaxis forces being transmitted through the crust. This hypothesis is further supported by the results of GPS analysis in Indochina that reveals a significant difference in modern displacement between Thailand and the Yunnan region of China (Simons et al., 2007; Morley, 2007). Yunnan is experiencing SSW to WSW motions relative to Sundaland (including Thailand) that are associated with clockwise rotation of blocks immediately south of the eastern
Himalayan syntaxis (Simons et al., 2007). Block motion diminishes from about 12-13 mm/yr SSW in the east of Yunnan, to ~6 mm/yr WSW in the west of Yunnan, while displacements in northern Thailand are ~2-3 mm/yr to the ENE (Simons et al., 2007). Hence, the GPS data also suggest that forces associated with the eastern Himalayan syntaxis may currently have insufficient magnitude to cause measurable strain south of northern Thailand (England and Molnar, 2005). Indeed, this GPS data and distribution of seismicity has even been used to suggest that a plate boundary between Sunda and Eurasia occurs along the seismogenic front (Bird, 2003). However, despite the distribution of seismicity and GPS analysis, the present-day regional N-S $S_{H\text{max}}$ orientations determined herein suggest that stresses related to the eastern Himalayan syntaxis are currently transmitted approximately 1000 km beyond the limit of seismicity in northern Thailand.

It is also interesting to note that the average stress orientations are well constrained in the Phitsanulok, Khorat, Suphan Buri and Chumphon Basins, all of which exhibit standard deviations in breakout/DIF orientations of 23-26° (Fig. 4). The $S_{H\text{max}}$ orientations indicated by breakouts in the Pattani Basin also indicate an N-S average orientation, but with a higher standard deviation (40°; Fig. 4). However, the orientation of the 38 breakouts observed within the North Malay Basin are highly variable; occurring in almost all azimuths, and thus the present-day regional stress orientation in the North Malay Basin is only poorly constrained (Fig. 4). Furthermore, there is significant variation in the average stress orientations from individual wells, particularly in the Pattani and North Malay Basins, suggesting that horizontal stress magnitudes may be more isotropic in these southernmost Thai basins (Fig. 5). Hence, it is possible that the Pattani and North Malay Basin region marks a key transition zone from N-S $S_{H\text{max}}$ orientations in onshore Thailand and the northern Gulf of Thailand (primarily controlled by forces generated at...
the eastern Himalayan syntaxis) into the predominantly NW-SE to NNW-SSE S$_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientations observed in the Malay Basin (Fig. 3; Tjia & Ismail, 1994; Tingay et al., in press).

Present-day Stress and the Origin of Deformation in the Gulf of Thailand

The present-day geomorphology, structural style of sedimentary basins, earthquake activity and stress regime all suggest that forces related to the eastern Himalayan syntaxis, and associated S$_{H_{\text{max}}}$ magnitude in Thailand, diminishes southwards. The present-day stress regime in the Yunnan region of China is one of significant strike-slip activity, but S$_{H_{\text{max}}}$ magnitude diminishes southwards with northern Thailand being less tectonically and seismically active with the predominant deformation mode being episodic mixed normal and strike-slip faulting, while central and southern Thailand are tectonically quiescent. Analysis of petrophysical log, drilling tests and conditions of wellbore failure in the Pattani Basin indicates a present-day normal/strike-slip (S$_{H_{\text{max}}}$>S$_v$>S$_{h_{\text{min}}}$) to strike-slip (S$_{H_{\text{max}}}$>S$_v$>S$_{h_{\text{min}}}$) faulting stress regime (Meyer, 2003).

However, the estimated S$_{H_{\text{max}}}$ gradients of 20-22.5 MPa/km in the Pattani Basin are well below the frictional limit to sliding and thus are unlikely to generate seismicity (Meyer, 2003).

The present-day stress, structural style, seismicity and geomorphological data discussed above is superficially consistent with the low level of present-day tectonic activity in the Gulf of Thailand and which would be expected of a post-rift basin. There is, however, an anomalous feature: the presence of hundreds, if not thousands, of low displacement (~20m-300m) young normal faults clearly visible on 2D and 3D seismic reflection data in the post-rift section of the Pattani and North Malay Basins (Rigo de Rhigi et al., 2002; Morley et al., 2004; Fig. 6). These faults tend to form extensive, 3-8 km wide curvilinear graben trends composed of conjugate convergent faults, large enough to have trapped recoverable reserves of gas in the order of 27 trillion cubic feet (e.g. Kornsawan and Morley 2002; Rigo de Rhigi et al. 2002, Morley et al. 2004). The post-rift fault
style is completely different from the underlying syn-rift section, which shows the typical rift style of half grabens with dominant boundary faults that display several kilometers of displacement.

Such well-developed normal faults are very unusual in a post-rift basin. The faults appear to have developed episodically during the Neogene, and some faults cut up to the sea floor. Hence this particular mode of (probably aseismic) deformation is very recent and possibly continuing today (Morley et al., 2004). The development of these faults is problematic since earthquake and borehole data indicate stress magnitudes are insufficient for failure and 10 years of GPS data indicate no differential motion across the Gulf of Thailand. However, one possible answer to this problem may lie in the episodic plate boundary effects at the Sumatra-Andaman subduction zone. The $M_w$ 9.1, 26th December 2004, Sumatran-Andaman earthquake produced considerable differential movement of the crust on the western and eastern side of the Gulf of Thailand (Vigny et al., 2005). GPS data showed that Phuket (western side of the gulf) underwent 272 mm WSW co-seismic displacement relative to the Indian Plate, followed by 27mm of post-seismic motion in the following five days, while the eastern Khorat area (onshore eastern Thailand) underwent 37 mm WSW co-seismic motion (Vigny et al., 2005). If the recurrence interval of such an earthquake is approximately 500 years, and assuming 26 cm of differential strain across the Gulf for each event, this equates to ~10.5 km extension over a 20 my period. Such a value is sufficient to explain the amount of Miocene-Recent post-rift extension in the Gulf of Thailand.

Two moderate earthquakes ($M_w$= 4.7 on 27/09/2006 and $M_w$= 5.0 on 7/10/2006) occurred in close temporal and spatial proximity in the NW Gulf of Thailand in 2006. In records going back to 1976, the Global CMT catalog (www.globalcmt.org) does not record any other earthquakes in the Gulf of Thailand. We suggest that these earthquakes may be a delayed response to the 2004
Sumatran-Andaman earthquake. The earthquakes have a pure dip-slip normal faulting moment tensor solution and suggest a N-S $S_{H\text{max}}$ orientation similar to nearby borehole breakout data.

The Sumatran-Andaman mega-thrust earthquake illustrates the potential for factors other than the eastern Himalayan syntaxis to affect deformation in Thailand. There are several large faults in the Andaman Sea (offshore continuations of the Ranong Fault and Mergui Faults) that were most active during Oligocene-early Miocene rifting, but extend to near the sea floor, indicating continued recent reactivation. The modern stress orientations are not optimally oriented for sinistral reactivation of the Ranong Fault, but the episodic perturbation of the regional stress field by mega-earthquakes, that is super-imposed upon the N-S regional stress pattern radiating from the eastern Himalayan syntaxis, may explain the observed fault activity.

Post-rift Fault Patterns in Thailand and Localized Stress Rotations

The post-rift fault zones of the Pattani and North Malay Basins provide superb examples of fault linkage geometries (Figs. 5 and 6; Rigo de Rhigi et al., 2002; Morley et al., 2004). This post-rift fault pattern is particularly striking in the North Malay Basin (Fig. 6e), where short N-S striking fault segments curve to join long NW-SE trending faults, as schematically illustrated in Figure 7. These long NW-SE striking faults are characterized by extremely high length (L) to displacement (D) ratios (up to 300:1, compared to typical 10-20:1 ratios ($D = 10^{1}L$ to $D = 20^{1}L$) worldwide) and tend to align along underlying syn-rift faults to form a long fault with multiple displacement highs and lows along strike, suggesting that these faults have formed by the linkage of previously isolated faults (Dawers et al., 1993; Walsh and Watterson, 1988; Morley et al., 2004). Indeed, some of these long low-displacement faults are 40-80 km long and may be composed of 20-30 linked faults that were initially 1-4 km long and typically each have maximum throws of only 100-300 m (Leo, 1997, Morley et al., 2004). Morley et al. (2004) inferred that the short N-S
faults in the North Malay Basin formed sub-parallel to the post-rift $S_{Hmax}$ direction, while the long NW-SE faults formed by reactivation and linkage along the existing syn-rift faults. Morley et al. (2004) suggested that the reactivation of the non-optimally oriented NW-SE syn-rift faults in a N-S $S_{Hmax}$ extensional stress regime indicated that the syn-rift faults have low cohesion or coefficient of friction.

The predominantly N-S present-day $S_{Hmax}$ orientations determined in this study support the inferred N-S post-rift $S_{Hmax}$ direction predicted by Morley et al. (2004) from fault patterns in the North Malay and Pattani Basins. However, breakouts in one well, drilled close to a large NW-SE trending syn-rift fault in the North Malay Basin, indicate a N-S $S_{Hmax}$ direction at shallow depth that rotates to a WNW-ESE direction deeper, near the large NW-SE fault (Fig. 7). The observation that $S_{Hmax}$ in this well rotates parallel to the strike of nearby syn-rift faults suggests that the post-rift reactivation of syn-rift faults may not be because they are zones of low cohesion or coefficient of friction, but instead because localized stress rotations in the vicinity of these faults renders them favorably oriented to be reactivated.

Present-day localized stress perturbations are also observed in the Pattani Basin. The average $S_{Hmax}$ orientations for individual wells in the Pattani Basin are predominantly N-S (generally between NNE-SSW and NNW-SSE; Fig. 5b). However, $S_{Hmax}$ orientations along the Platong-Pladang trend range from NNW-SSE to NE-SW and appear to be locally deflected to remain sub-parallel to the strike of post-rift extensional faults (Fig. 5b). Furthermore, approximately east-west $S_{Hmax}$ orientations are observed in four wells near the Erawan Field at the southern end of the Pattani Basin, though breakouts in these four wells are elongated parallel to structure and thus may be an artifact resulting from the relatively common misinterpretation of enlarged drilling-
induced or natural fractures as breakouts on caliper log data (Fig. 5; Dart & Zoback, 1989; Meyer, 2003).

Localized stress rotations are also observed in the Phitsanulok Basin, onshore Thailand. A total of 54 breakouts and 12 DIFs were observed in 12 wells in the Phitsanulok Basin and indicate a reasonably well constrained N-S average $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ orientation (005ºN ± 25º; Table 3). However, the stress orientations determined for individual wells reveals that the stress field appears to be locally variable within the Phitsanulok Basin (Fig. 8). Borehole breakouts indicate an approximately N-S ($\pm$ 20º) $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ orientation in eight wells examined in the Phitsanulok Basin. However, borehole breakouts in three wells suggest local stress orientations ranging from NNE-SSW to almost E-W (Fig. 8). Furthermore, 12 DIFs were observed in fractured Mesozoic quartzites in the basement within Well X that range in orientation from NNE-SSW to NE-SW, indicating a NNE SSW average $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ orientation (034ºN ± 9º; Fig. 8).

The occurrence of small-scale stress perturbations, such as those observed in the Malay, Pattani and Phitsanulok Basins, is often considered to indicate that horizontal stress magnitudes are relatively isotropic and/or detached from primary sources of stress (Sonder, 1990; Bell, 1996; Tingay et al., 2005; Heidbach et al., 2007). However, the inference of isotropic horizontal stress magnitudes due to the presence of small-scale stress perturbations is inconsistent with the large number of breakouts and DIFs observed in this study, the recent structural styles in the region and with stress magnitudes estimated from wellbore failure (Meyer, 2003; Morley et al., in press). Meyer (2003) used leak-off test data and modeling of borehole breakout occurrence to estimate that a normal/strike-slip ($S_{\text{Hmax}} < S_{\sigma_2} < S_{\text{hmin}}$) to strike-slip ($S_{\text{Hmax}} > S_{\sigma_2} > S_{\text{hmin}}$) faulting stress regime is most likely present in the Pattani Basin. Furthermore, the majority of stress regimes inferred from earthquake focal mechanism solutions in onshore Thailand also suggest the
dominance of a present-day strike-slip faulting stress regime (Fig. 1). Therefore, it is unlikely that the localized stress field variations observed in the Phitsanulok, Pattani and North Malay Basins are due to isotropic horizontal stress magnitudes, nor are there any geological units in the region that are likely to act as mechanical detachment layers. However, the fault-parallel stress orientations observed in the Platong-Pladang trend and in parts of the Phitsanulok and North Malay Basins, suggest that the regional N-S $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ stress orientation is being locally deflected by existing structures. Structures that are associated with mechanical contrasts, such as salt and shale diapirs, igneous intrusions and faults can locally perturb the stress field, with the $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientation typically thought to be deflected perpendicular to mechanically stiff structures and parallel to weak structures (Yale, 1994; Bell, 1996; Tingay et al., 2006). Hence, the localized rotation in the stress field observed in the Pattani, North Malay and Phitsanulok Basins are interpreted to primarily result from the presence of mechanically weak faults.

The variable stress orientations observed in the Phitsanulok, Pattani and North Malay Basins also have important implications for hydrocarbon production. For example, Well X in northern central Thailand was drilled to estimate the potential for oil production from fractured pre-Cenozoic basement rocks under the Phitsanulok Basin. Well Z, which penetrated the fractured Mesozoic basement less than 1000 meters north of Well X, produced about 1 million barrels of oil (Fig. 9). However, subsequent wells (X, Y, W; Fig. 9) drilled to try and capitalize on this basement production were largely unsuccessful or had only minor production before water ing out. Understanding the modern stress field distribution is important for determining why only one well was successful in this field. Rocks commonly contain many fractures, most of which are closed or cemented. Fractures tend to open and hydraulically conductive either as a response to the modern stress field (i.e. open fractures lie at a low angle to the maximum horizontal stress direction or are close to shear failure), or because they are propped open by being partially
mineralized (Jones and Hillis, 2003). Figure 9 shows that wells X and Y intersected predominantly NE-SW trending open fracture sets, while the successful basement producing well (Z) intersected predominantly NNW-SSE trending fractures. The local S_{Hmax} orientation in wells V and X is NE-SW to ENE-WSW; very different from the overall N-S trend in the Sirikit Field of the Phitsanulok Basin (Fig. 9). The orientation of open fractures in wells X and Y is what would be predicted from these local stress orientations. There is no borehole breakout data from well Z, but the fracture orientations from core suggest that the S_{Hmax} orientation in the vicinity of well Z has rotated to lie sub-parallel to the adjacent NNW-SSE normal fault, similar to that observed elsewhere in the Sirikit field (Figs. 8 and 9). The different local S_{Hmax} orientations and basement fracture orientations between non-producing and producing wells suggest that the NNW-SSE fractures are better connected to oil-bearing reservoir rocks, while the NE-SW to ENE-WSW trends are connected to (deeper) water-bearing strata.

Conclusions/Summary

The present-day S_{Hmax} orientations, combined with the detailed analysis of recent structural styles, provide new insight into both the large-scale and small-scale tectonic evolution of Thailand. This study undertakes the first detailed analysis of present-day stress orientation in sedimentary basins in onshore and offshore Thailand, revealing that a predominately N-S regional S_{Hmax} orientation exists throughout central and southern Thailand and the Gulf of Thailand. The regional N-S S_{Hmax} orientation is broadly consistent with stress orientations estimated from earthquake focal mechanism solutions in Northern Thailand and are interpreted to predominately reflect stresses generated by the eastern Himalayan syntaxis (Huchon et al., 1994). Hence, the N-S S_{Hmax} regional orientation and normal-strike-slip (S_{Hmax} S_{hmin}) to strike-slip faulting stress regime (S_{Hmax} S_{hmin}) observed in Thai basins is also likely to be primarily controlled by forces generated at the eastern Himalayan syntaxis. The relative absence of natural...
seismicity south of northern Thailand has been previously suggested to indicate the outer limit of
influence of the eastern Himalayan syntaxis on the stress pattern in SE Asia. However, the stress
orientations observed from borehole breakouts and DIFs indicates that the eastern Himalayan
syntaxis has a major control on the stress field up to 1000 km south of the seismically active
zone.

Stress orientations observed from breakouts and DIFs in Thailand become more scattered in the
southernmost Pattani and North Malay Basins, suggesting that this region may mark the
transition zone in which forces other than those generated at the eastern Himalayan syntaxis
become more significant. Furthermore, a well defined extensional post-rift fault pattern is
observed in the Pattani and North Malay Basin that is in contrast with the strike-slip faulting
stress regime predicted from stresses generated by the eastern Himalayan syntaxis. One possible
other source of stress and cause of post-rift deformation in the Pattani and North Malay Basin is
the Sumatran-Andaman subduction zone, with major earthquakes along this subduction zone
known to have caused significant co-seismic and post-seismic displacements in central and
southern Thailand (Vigny et al., 2005). Thus, we hypothesize that stresses generated along this
arc may also have influenced stresses in Thailand and possibly account for the over 10 km of
Miocene-Recent post-rift extension.

The post-rift sequences of Thailand offer excellent examples of present-day localized stress
rotations adjacent to existing structures, with S_{Hmax} orientations often observed to be oriented
sub-parallel to the strike of nearby faults. We suggest the rotation of S_{Hmax} to be sub-parallel to
structure indicates that the faults are mechanically weak. Furthermore, the localized rotation of
the stress field near major structures may offer an explanation for the development of long low-
displacement post-rift faults striking sub-parallel to syn-rift structures in the North Malay and
Pattani Basins. The observation that these NW-SE striking faults are inconsistent with the N-S $S_{Hmax}$ orientation predicted during post rift times has been previously suggested to indicate that the syn-rift faults have low cohesion and coefficient of friction or that the region has undergone an additional phase of deformation in which a NW-SE $S_{Hmax}$ orientation existed (Morley et al., 2004). However, we suggest that mechanically weak syn-rift faults may have generated small-scale stress perturbations that locally resulted in a stress orientation that is more favorable for the reactivation of syn-rift faults that propagated into the post-rift sequences.
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Tables

Table 1: Criteria for recognizing breakouts on four-arm caliper (HDT-type) logs (Plumb & Hickman, 1985).

1. Tool rotation must cease in the zone of elongation (maximum of 15° rotation within breakout).
2. There must be clear tool rotation into and out of the elongation zone (at least 30°).
3. The difference between caliper extensions must be > 6 mm.
4. The smaller of the caliper readings must be very close to bit size (±5% tolerance).
5. The length of the elongation zone must be > 1 m
6. The elongation orientation should not coincide with the high side of the borehole in wells deviated by more than 5° (±5° tolerance).

Table 2: World Stress Map (WSM) project quality ranking criteria for breakouts and drilling-induced fractures (DIFs) interpreted from four-arm caliper and image logs (Heidbach et al., in press). SD: standard deviation of breakout/DIF orientations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>A-quality</th>
<th>B-quality</th>
<th>C-quality</th>
<th>D-quality</th>
<th>E-quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breakouts (four-arm caliper logs)</td>
<td>≥10 breakouts with combined length ≥300m and SD ≤12° in a single well</td>
<td>≥6 breakouts with combined length ≥100m and SD ≤20° in a single well</td>
<td>≥4 breakouts with combined length ≥30m and SD ≤25° in a single well</td>
<td>&lt;4 breakouts or combined length &lt;30m and SD ≤40°</td>
<td>No breakouts observed or breakouts with SD &gt;40°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakouts (image logs)</td>
<td>≥10 breakouts with combined length ≥100m and SD ≤12° in a single well</td>
<td>≥6 breakouts with combined length ≥40m and SD ≤20° in a single well</td>
<td>≥4 breakouts with combined length ≥20m and SD ≤25° in a single well</td>
<td>&lt;4 breakouts or combined length &lt;20m and SD ≤40°</td>
<td>No breakouts observed or breakouts with SD &gt;40°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIF (image logs)</td>
<td>≥10 DIFs with combined length ≥100m and SD ≤12° in a single well</td>
<td>≥6 DIFs with combined length ≥40m and SD ≤20° in a single well</td>
<td>≥4 DIFs with combined length ≥20m and SD ≤25° in a single well</td>
<td>&lt;4 DIFs or combined length &lt;20m and SD ≤40°</td>
<td>No DIFs observed or DIFs with SD &gt;40°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 3:** Summary of data analyzed and stress orientation results in Thailand basins. Wells:

- number of wells for which image or caliper log data was examined; BO: number of breakouts observed; DIF: number of drilling-induced fractures (DIF) observed, A-E: number of A-E quality stress indicators; BO/DIF Length: total length of breakouts and DIF observed; BO/DIF Ave $S_{H_{max}}$ and SD: unweighted average maximum horizontal stress orientation (and standard deviation) from all individual breakouts and DIFs; Indicator Ave $S_{H_{max}}$ and SD: quality weighted average maximum horizontal stress orientation (and standard deviation) from stress indicators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basin</th>
<th>Wells</th>
<th>BO</th>
<th>DIF</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>Log Length (km)</th>
<th>BO/DIF Length (m)</th>
<th>BO/DIF Ave $S_{H_{max}}$</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Indicator Ave $S_{H_{max}}$</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phitsanulok</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>005°</td>
<td>25°</td>
<td>012°</td>
<td>23°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khorat</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>1504</td>
<td>000°</td>
<td>26°</td>
<td>173°</td>
<td>24°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suphan Buri</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>001°</td>
<td>23°</td>
<td>000°</td>
<td>16°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chumphon</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>161°</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>159°</td>
<td>33°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pattani</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>8470</td>
<td>000°</td>
<td>40°</td>
<td>001°</td>
<td>25°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Malay</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>153°</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>159°</td>
<td>33°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure Captions**

**Figure 1:** The main Cenozoic-Recent tectonic and structural features of the Myanmar-Western Thailand region of the back-arc mobile belt. Complied from Pivnik et al. (1998), Morley (2004) and Curray (2005).

**Figure 2:** Examples of borehole breakout and drilling-induced fractures (DIFs) in Thailand basins. (a) Borehole breakouts interpreted from four-arm caliper log data in the Pattani Basin. Borehole breakouts are oriented approximately 095°N, indicating a 005°N present-day maximum horizontal stress ($S_{H\text{max}}$) orientation. (b) DIFs observed on Formation Micro Scanner resistivity image log data in the Chumphon Basin. DIFs are oriented approximately 155°N, indicating a 155°N present-day $S_{H\text{max}}$ orientation.

**Figure 3:** Present-day stress orientations, major structures and GPS-derived motions (relative to a stable Sunda plate) in onshore and offshore Thailand, Vietnam and Malaysia. There is significant scatter in stress directions between individual wells. However, the present-day stress throughout Thailand is typically oriented N-S at a basin-scale. Stress orientations from offshore Malaysia and Vietnam from Tjia & Ismail (1994) and Binh et al., (2007). Relative motions adapted from Simons et al., (2007).

**Figure 4:** Distribution of breakout (BOs) and drilling-induced fracture (DIFs) orientations in Thailand basins. The maximum horizontal stress is oriented perpendicular to breakouts and parallel to drilling-induced fractures. Ave $S_{H\text{max}}$ Azi: average maximum horizontal stress orientation from all breakouts and DIFs; S.D: standard deviation of maximum horizontal stress orientations; L: combined total length of breakouts and DIFs.
Figure 5: Present-day maximum horizontal stress orientations in the Chumphon, North Malay and Pattani Basins, Gulf of Thailand. Stresses are locally scattered, though are typically trend between NNE-SSW and NNW-SSE and are oriented approximately N-S at the basin-scale (b).

Stress orientations in the Platong-Pladang trend in the Pattani Basin. Present-day maximum horizontal stress orientations appear to be rotated sub-parallel to neighboring extensional faults and to jogs in the half graben structure.

Figure 6: Illustration of syn-rift and post-rift fault patterns in the eastern Gulf of Thailand. a) Regional syn-rift fault map of the Pattani and Khmer Basins based on 2D and 3D seismic reflection data, illustrating fault patterns in the Late Oligocene-Early Miocene syn-rift section compiled from unpublished maps made by Unocal (published in Morley et al. 2004), and Lockhart et al. (1997). b) Detail of post-rift fault swarm patterns from the Northern Pattani basin (redrawn from Rigo de Rhigi et al., 2002). c) The post-rift faults tend to form curvi-linear trends of convergent conjugate faults with 10’s meters to a few hundred meters displacement. These trends often appear to be guided by underlying syn-rift faults which localize long, low-displacement post rift faults, as illustrated for the Tantawan graben. d) Time structure map for the Tantawan graben (redrawn from Rigo de Rhigi et al. 2002), absolute scale not shown (darker colors = deeper time-depths). Many of the post-rift faults tend to have different strikes from the underlying syn-rift faults but join or splay off trends controlled by the syn-rift faults. e) Example of fault map view geometry in the post-rift section from the North Malay basin (Morley et al. 2004). In d) and e) the black faults dip E to NE, while the light colored faults dip to the W to SW.

Figure 7: Schematic block diagram illustrating the interaction between post-rift and syn-rift faults, and how $S_{H\text{max}}$ orientation can be related to fault orientation. The rotation in $S_{H\text{max}}$
direction with depth to be sub-parallel to syn-rift faults is illustrated from a well in the North Malay Basin.

Figure 8: Present-day stress orientations in the Sirikit Field in the Phitsanulok Basin. Present-day maximum horizontal stress orientations are predominately oriented N-S. However, stress orientations often appear to be locally rotated sub-parallel to neighboring extensional faults and drilling-induced fractures observed in Well X indicate a NE-SW stress orientation in the basement.

Figure 9: Time-structure map for the base syn-rift horizon in part of the Sirikit Field (see Fig. 9 for location). The map also shows well trajectories, pre-rift fracture orientations intersected in wells X, Y and Z, and $S_{H_{\max}}$ orientation for the field. Well Z produced 1 million barrels of oil from NNW-SSE striking, open fractures in the Mesozoic quartzite basement. However, ENE-WSW oriented open fractures in wells X and Y did not produce significant volumes of hydrocarbons. We suggest that NNW-SSE striking basement fractures are more suitably oriented for tapping overlying hydrocarbon reservoirs in the regional N-S $S_{H_{\max}}$ direction.
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Post-rift fault trends curve from N-S to NW-SW to follow the trend of an underlying syn-rift fault.

Rotating maximum horizontal stress directions in well bore passing from post-rift faults to syn-rift fault.