Transforming Organizations through the Implementation of Processes, Structures and Relational Mechanisms for Governing IT: A Leadership Role for IS Departments in Institutions of Higher Education in Australia

Abstract

Over the past decade, IT governance has become a key issue of concern for senior IT decision makers around the world. This exploratory study examines how central IS departments in four institutions of higher education in Australia are transforming organizational attitudes and approaches to governing IT by implementing structural and processes changes and establishing relational mechanisms. This paper will focus particularly on the implementation of IT governance processes in these institutions and examine how internationally recognized standards such as COBIT, ITIL and ISO17799 are being utilized. The study reveals a number of findings in the context of the implementation of IT governance in the higher education environment.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Enterprises across industries and around the world are driven by the proliferation of the internet to digitize every phase of their business and create new customer-driven solutions (TSG 2004). In the higher education environment, IT is being leveraged for providing services ranging from e-learning and e-research solutions on the academic side to finance and student management solutions on the corporate side as well for supporting LAN, wireless and VPN connectivity. This paper discusses how organizational transformation is being achieved in four major institutions of higher education in Australia, through the implementation of IT governance structures, processes and relational mechanisms. Organizational transformation is essential for optimally leveraging IT for the benefit of business processes across the enterprise. Barrett (1998) suggests that the fundamental change occurring during organization transformation is a shift in attitude from “self-esteem consciousness” (i.e. asking what is good for the individual or group) to “organizational consciousness” (i.e. asking what is good for the organization as a whole). Traditionally, the case study institutions have had rather devolved IT structures which have not helped in optimizing organizational IT investments or in serving the interests of the overall institutions. Although much remains to be done, by implementing centralized structures (e.g. steering committees and liaison roles) and relational mechanisms (e.g. participation of stakeholders and conflict resolution), central IS departments in these institutions are providing leadership roles in helping the institutions reduce costs, set architectural standards and standardize processes across the institution.

Section 2 discusses the relevant literature followed by a discussion of the research question and methodology in section 3. Section 4 discusses the IT governance processes in the four case study institutions followed by the findings and conclusions in sections 5 and 6 respectively.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Corporate And IT Governance
Corporate governance has become increasingly important worldwide, especially in the wake of the Enron and MCI WorldCom incidents in the US. The Australian Stock Exchange Corporate Governance Council defines corporate governance as “…the system by which companies are directed and managed. It influences how the objectives of the company are set and achieved, how risk is monitored and assessed, and how performance is optimised” (ASX 2003). IT governance has increasingly become a key area under the umbrella of corporate governance because of the pervasive influence of information systems and the associated technology infrastructure in every area of an organization’s activities. The IT Governance Institute describes IT governance as being an integral part of the corporate governance which consists of “the leadership and organizational structures and processes that ensure an organization’s IT sustains and extends the organization’s strategy and objectives” (ITGI 2003).

2.2 An IT Governance Implementation Framework

Based on the work of Peterson (2003), De Haes and Van Grembergen (2004) propose that IT governance can be implemented through a framework of structures, processes, and relational mechanisms. This framework is presented in Table 1. Structures include the existence of well defined roles and responsibilities and IT steering committees. Processes involve strategic decision making and the use of various IT governance and management standards (eg. COBIT and ITIL) which can provide the IS organization with the means of examining its activities and its value to business. Relational mechanisms include shared learning and strategic dialogue between business and IT, and ensuring proper communications at all times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structures</th>
<th>Processes</th>
<th>Relational Mechanisms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tactics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT executives and accounts</td>
<td>Strategic IT decision making</td>
<td>Stakeholder participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committees and councils</td>
<td>Strategic IT monitoring</td>
<td>Business/IT partnerships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanisms</td>
<td>Roles and responsibilities</td>
<td>Strategic dialog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT organization structure</td>
<td>Balanced IT scorecards</td>
<td>Shared learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIO on board</td>
<td>Information economics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT strategy committee</td>
<td>Service level agreements (SLA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT steering committee(s)</td>
<td>COBIT and ITIL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IT alignment/ governance maturity models</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: A framework of structures, processes and relational mechanisms for implementing IT governance (Source: De Haes & Van Grembergen 2004)

2.3 International and Local Standards For IT Governance

Oud (2005) highlights the value of adopting internationally recognized standards for the governance and management of IT. A number of international standards such as Control Objectives for Information and Related Technology (COBIT), ISO17799, IT Infrastructure Library (ITIL) and Capability Maturity Model (CMM), Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK), are now available to IT organizations to help them improve their accountability, governance, and management. These standards are not necessarily mutually exclusive and increasing the value of IT from a business perspective requires an understanding of their strengths, weaknesses and focus.

COBIT is designed by the IT Governance Institute as a high-level “umbrella” standard for IT governance and it works very well with other standards like ITIL and ISO17799 which focus on specific aspects of IT management (Chickowski 2004). It contains 34 high-level control
objectives and 318 detailed control objectives defined for four IT domains: planning and organization, acquisition and implementation, delivery and support, and monitoring. ITIL is the de-facto standard for IT service management and is organized around five areas: business perspective, application management, infrastructure management, service delivery and service support. ISO17799 provides guidelines for the security and risk management aspects of IT. Organizations can benefit from adopting what they find useful from each standard rather than just adopting a single one.

In addition to these international standards, Australian organizations have three local standards available to guide their IT governance and management practices (SAI 2006). These are AS 8015-2005 (ICT governance standard), AS 8018.1-2004 (specification for ICT service management) and AS 8018.2-2004 (code of practice for ICT service management).

2.4 IT Governance in Australian Institutions of Higher Education

Higher education is a multi-billion dollar industry in Australia, and as such, is of vital importance to the country’s economy (Higher Education IT Consultative Forum 2000; Nelson 2002). There is much work needed to be done by university governing bodies and policy makers in order for these universities to continue tapping emerging information technologies in order to maintain their competitive positions internationally. The issues range from infrastructure, applications, delivery and services to staffing and appropriate regulatory frameworks. IT applications have also not yet penetrated all aspects of university teaching and more effort is required to bring about improvements in this area. However, despite the wide range of concerns facing IT governing bodies in Australian universities, there has been very little research regarding how IT governance may be implemented in these institutions in order to generate maximum value from IT.

3. RESEARCH QUESTION AND METHODOLOGY

The study investigated the implementation of IT governance practices in four Australian institutions of higher education. The overall research question was as follows:

*How are central IS departments in institutions of higher education fostering change within these institutions through their IT governance practices?*

As suggested by Benbasat et al. (1987), the case research method is useful for addressing the “how” questions, i.e., in the exploratory stage of knowledge building. This is particularly useful for a study on IT governance in the context of institutions of higher education in Australia, where the knowledge of researchers regarding new methods, techniques, problems and prospects lags that of practitioners. A case research strategy was expected to provide rich insight in this context. Four leading institutions of higher education in Australia in different stages of adopting and implementing formal IT governance practices were selected for the study based on the availability of senior IT and business decision makers in these institutions for participating in this research. In keeping with participants’ requests for anonymity, the institutions will be referred to as Institutions A, B, C and D in this paper. The data collected was primarily qualitative in nature. The data was gathered from semi-structured interviews with senior IT and business decision makers in the four institutions as well as from relevant documents obtained from interviewees and the websites of the institutions. The interviews were recorded and later transcribed and analyzed.

4. THE CASE STUDY INSTITUTIONS

The IT governance structures and relational mechanisms in the case study institutions have been discussed in detail elsewhere by the authors (citations withheld for blind-review process). The overall trend in these institutions with regards to IT governance structures is
toward centralization of the IT decision making structures. The formation of IT strategy and steering committees has provided forums for discussion between business and IT. Although the institutions are working on developing their IT governance models there is a general understanding of roles and responsibilities. IT governance relational mechanisms have been directed toward building closer ties with divisional management. Engaging divisional business and IT decision makers in steering committees as well as in informal discussions has helped them understand and accept the need for changes in IT processes and structures. This has helped in focussing attention on what is good for the organization as a whole, rather than on sectarian interests. Consequently, there has also been a shift away from ad hoc approaches to IT governance. However, there is much work to be done. This section focuses on the changes to IT governance processes in the institutions.

4.1 Institution A

The institution has an overall strategic plan and follows a balanced scorecard. ICT has an ICT enabling plan, which is regularly updated and approved by the ICT steering committee.

IT management decision making within the institution is influenced by the guiding principles of the Australian ICT governance standard AS 8015-2005 and the service management standards AS 8018.1-2004 and AS 8018.2-2004. However, these standards were found not to provide the level of granularity required for guiding implementation as provided by COBIT and ITIL. COBIT has been adopted since 2000 for assessing and improving the institution’s IT governance processes. A direct effect of this has been the realization by senior IT decision makers that the effective utilization of COBIT across the institution requires a more centralized IT governance environment. However, given the size of the COBIT framework, only a small number of processes and objectives are identified for review each year. The objectives were initially based on a large number of interviews conducted across the campus in 2000 by IT staff. In subsequent years, objectives have been identified based on the original interviews and results of annual survey of student and staff satisfaction on IT issues.

ITIL is used as the standard for service management. A number of operational level staff members have ITIL Foundation level training. The current focus is on getting better at incident management, change management, problem management, IT strategic planning and managing the IT architecture. The progress made has also been assessed against COBIT and ITIL. Consultative, Objective and Bi-functional Risk Analysis (COBRA), a software package, based on ISO17799 is being used for facilitating risk management.

Since COBIT requires the use of a standard project management methodology, Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK) has been selected as the guide in this regard. Based on the perceptions of business decision makers, in the last two years IT has shown considerable maturity in project management and delivery. This is the result of adopting a strong project management methodology.

People Capability Maturity Model (P-CMM) is used as the standard of IT staff management and development. However, a lot of work is required in the area of staff development.

The value to business from the implementation of best practice frameworks has been in terms of reducing the number of ad-hoc processes, bringing a lot of discipline to IT support activities and improving accountability. Whilst IT has made significant strides since the year 2000, the IT management recognizes that there is a long journey ahead.

One problem that has been faced in implementing the best practice frameworks like COBIT, which have high resource requirements, has been the shortage of adequate staff. The demand for staff time and services are also increasing. Despite the staffing issue, however, process improvements continue to take place because of the continued commitment of senior IT management.
Another key area of difficulty has been that of finding appropriate performance metrics measurement. Currently, technical measures being used include percentage downtime, percentage access failure, the number of students accessing email on a regular basis on the official communications channel, etc. One particular measure, the number of available desktops in the computer laboratories per student was found to be not particularly useful. It was found that when the number of desktops was doubled based on survey responses; the satisfaction level was actually lower than in the previous year. Management decision makers in the institution attribute this to the increasing expectations from ICT with the rapid advances technology. The institution continues to develop a balanced business-IT metrics.

4.2 Institution B

The COBIT framework has been utilized differently here than in Institution A. While Institution A has primarily used COBIT to evaluate and improve key IT processes, Institution B has utilized COBIT to develop its overall IT governance model and outline the various roles and responsibilities. The development of the IT governance model has resulted in substantial involvement of business decision makers in making decisions regarding IT investment, risk and priorities. This has made it easier for business decision makers to appreciate the value of key decisions regarding IT. The initial problem faced in the implementation of the model was the lack of IT governance concepts amongst business decision makers and the resistance to change. This is gradually being overcome and the need for accountability for IT related decision making across the institution is better accepted. This is achieved by communicating to business decision makers their roles and responsibilities in IT related decision making for the benefit of the business, without making it necessary for them to know any technical details regarding COBIT.

COBIT is also being used for risk management. IT management felt that while ISO17799 provided guidance on what needed to be achieved in the context of security, COBIT provided more detailed guidelines on how these goals should be achieved. The IT security manager has been trained in ISO17799 and will additionally undertake the security management training program provided by the developers of COBIT. The institution has an overall strategic plan and central IT undertakes strategic information systems planning under the supervision of the IT steering committee.

At present there is a lack of enterprise-wide standards for infrastructure and applications. The key issues that IT intends to tackle over the next year include the lack of standards and controls and the existence of multiple help desks. As part of the central IT service desk project, it is planned to implement ITIL to handle change and incident management over the next few months. As part of the ITIL implementation service desk staff will be required to undertake ITIL Foundation level training. Capability is also being built up in the project management and business process analysis domain to reduce the current dependence on external consultants.

As in the case of Institution A there is difficulty in deciding on which metrics to measure. Current metrics being used include the number of service calls being answered to completion, the number of network and database administrators and the ratio of total IT cost to organizational cost. However, there is a realization that these metrics are not adequate for representing the value of IT to business.

4.3 Institution C

Intra-industry benchmarking is emphasized in Institution C due to the experience of the senior-most IT decision-maker with IT benchmarking practices. IT undertakes strategic IS planning regularly and maintains SLAs with its clients within the institution. Disaster recovery planning (DRP) and business continuity planning (BCP) have been undertaken since 2004. Being able to successfully involve the business side in this process has resulted
in this institution being ahead of the other three with respect to BCP.

At present central IT is in the process of adopting ITIL and both management and staff have received basic ITIL training. While incident management with ITIL has been accomplished satisfactorily, change and configuration management require further attention. The adoption of ITIL has led to improvement in the customer focus of IT and a consolidation of the helpdesk. This has in turn led to satisfaction amongst customers across the institution.

Central IT uses APT methodology developed by APT Systems as its project management methodology. The APT methodology is aligned with PMBOK. Following the methodology ensures that stakeholders stay involved in the project and the outcome is a quality product. Some of the development work is outsourced. Knowledge of APT methodology is required of external developers when outsourcing is done. This ensures the end product would be satisfactory by internal standards. The APT methodology requires undertaking risk assessment during projects. This has led to risk analysis workshops being undertaken in conjunction with key stakeholders for each project under the guidance of the internal audit department. The disadvantage of using a formal methodology, is the almost 30% overhead in terms of cost and time when using a formal methodology. However, according to the IT managers, the advantages far outweigh the overheads.

IT currently uses some functional cost efficiency measures such as number of helpdesk calls resolved, average length of customer time spent in queue, number of support staff, number of data network points, etc. As in the other institutions this area needs to be further addressed.

4.4 Institution D

Although strategic IS planning was being undertaken before 2004, it was more mechanical than effective. A key problem was the uncertainty of the cost to implement the developed strategies as no budget was associated with the plan. This issue is currently being addressed. The priority areas addressed by the strategic IS plan include IT architecture and standards, service delivery, technology for flexible teaching and learning, web portals, electronic communications, security and costs.

Developing appropriate indicators to extract the value of the IT has proved difficult. The measurements for a set of indicators also need to be made over a period of time in order to provide useful information. Key indicators currently being used include financial indicators, network performance indicators (up and down times), application availability indicators, service desk performance indicators (numbers of calls, levels of service, etc) and HR indicators (absentees, staff on leave, attendance at meetings, etc). Since the statistics have only been collected for a year, there is a lot more work needed in this area.

The potential for implementing ITIL and establishing a single incident management process as well as the possibility of reducing the duplication of processes led to one of the first initiatives taken by the present IT Director in 2004. This involved the consolidation of a number of different helpdesks spread across the institution into one centralized helpdesk in order to provide a single point of contact for users. The possibility of expanding the services of this consolidated helpdesk to include HR and finance related services as well as commercial services is currently also under consideration.

The institution has a good policy framework. However, the problem with the implementation of the security policy lies in getting the policy across to users, given the high turnover of students and staff.

A process of identifying critical systems was started in 2005 and a disaster recovery plan is currently under development. A complete audit of PCs, servers, domain name servers, IP addresses is also being conducted to gain an understanding of the physical environment in the event of a disaster. The disaster recovery plan outlines processes for recovering critical
systems within 24 hours. At a granular level, the plan refers to processes for dealing with the loss of primary, secondary and tertiary computer sites as well as the loss of individual systems. The development of the disaster recovery plan is expected to be followed by the development of business continuity plans in conjunction with business process owners. However the difficulty lies in making business process realise that BCP needs to be driven by the business rather than by IT.

It must be noted here that while ITIL has been adopted as the best practice framework for IT service management and all central IT staff have received some basic training on ITIL, there is no industry standard being followed for project management. It is possible that this may be a cause for a somewhat less satisfactory performance of IT with respect to project management than in relation to helpdesk services.

5. FINDINGS

The increased dependence on IT in the higher education environment has led to the need for implementing centralized and standardized IT governance practices. The four case study institutions (A, B, C, and D) have been implementing IT governance through a mixture of structures, processes and relational mechanisms as suggested by the De Haes and Van Graembergen (2004) framework. Moreover, the focus of IT governance implementation seems to be on five key areas as described by the IT Governance Institute (2003). The five focus areas are: 1) strategic alignment, 2) value delivery, 3) risk management, 4) resource management, and, 5) performance measurement. IT governance in the four institutions is implemented through a number of processes, structures and relational mechanisms in the context of these five areas. This is illustrated in Figure 1 below.
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Figure 1: Key IT governance practices in Institutions A, B, C and D in relation to the five focus areas of IT governance

As shown in Figure 1, the focus area of strategic alignment in the four institutions appears to be addressed through processes such as strategic IS planning and the adoption and implementation of standards such as ITIL and COBIT that help in the attainment of business objectives. Structures like steering committees are used to involve business decision makers in strategic level IT decision making. This growing interaction between business and IT is...
helping to build a shared understanding between business and IT on key issues. This is an important relational mechanism in the De Haes and Van Grembergen framework (2004).

With respect to value delivery (Figure 1), the adoption of standards such as PMBOK and ITIL for improving project management and service delivery was found to be an important process. While ITIL has been adopted by all four institutions, PMBOK appears to have been adopted by institutions A and C only. Ensuring staff training was also part of the process.

COBIT and ISO17799 were found to be utilized by institutions A and B for risk management purposes (Figure 1). Institutions C and D appeared to be ahead of the other two in terms of DRP, while BCP was the most advanced in institution C. The differences are due to the effectiveness of relational mechanisms in place in these institutions.

It must be noted that although all four institutions had reasonably well understood roles and responsibilities (Figure 1) for the management of key resources such as business applications and supporting infrastructure, the institutions are all yet to have a formal documented governance model in place clearly out-lining these structures. The adoption of P-CMM is guiding the management of human resources in institution A.

As in other industries measuring the performance of IT remains a big challenge for IT decision makers in institutions of higher education and suitable measures are gradually being developed (Figure 1). COBIT has been used for evaluating IT process maturity in Institution A. Intra-industry benchmarking practices are also gradually catching on.

The fact that different combinations of processes, structures and relational mechanisms have been used to address five focus areas in the four case study institutions, suggests the possibility for providing further granularity to the De Haes and Van Gremberg framework as shown in Figure 2.

In Figure 2, the implementation of IT governance through processes, structures and relational mechanisms is categorized by the five focus areas of IT governance: strategic alignment, value delivery, performance measurement, resource management and risk management.
management. This generalized framework shown in Figure 2 could potentially be used by any organization in any industry sector as a guideline for developing and documenting its formal IT governance model. The specific combination of processes, structures and relational mechanisms under each category would differ based on the specific business requirements of the organisation. The benefits to business from implementing IT governance would be gauged by the positive changes in these five focus areas.

Another way of looking at Figure 2 is to explore the relationship between the IT governance focus areas and processes, structures and relational mechanisms. As shown in Figure 3 below, and from the experiences of the institutions, improvements in the five focus areas require changes in the IT processes. Changes to the processes in turn need to be supported by changes to structures as well as relational mechanisms. Establishing relational mechanisms also help in the acceptance of IT structural changes across the organization.
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**Figure 3: The relationship between IT governance focus areas, processes, structures and relational mechanisms**

Other findings with regard to the implementation of IT governance at the four case study institutions include the following:

(i) The IT governance structure is evolving towards a more centralized model in all four institutions. While central IT has taken on the responsibility for establishing architectural standards, divisions are also consulting central IT regarding investment decisions. A change of attitude has been observed due to improvement in communication between business and IT.

(ii) In all four institutions improving communication between central IT and divisional IT groups is helping in the general acceptance of central IT standards.

(iii) In all four institutions improving communication between IT and business has led to the gradual acceptance of IT as a valued service provider rather than just a cost of doing business.

(iv) Senior IT decision-makers in all four institutions agree that while an institution of higher education has to deal with low staffing levels, this should not be a deterrent in adopting industry best practices. However, each institution needs to implement best practice frameworks based on its business needs and available resources.

(v) COBIT requires the use of a good project management methodology. Institution A’s adoption of COBIT has led to its adoption of PMBOK. This was particularly
important as the institution’s IT staff undertake a considerable amount of the project implementation and delivery work in-house. Institution C has adopted APT methodology as its project management methodology and has benefited from training its own staff as well as requiring its outsourcing partners to have an understanding of the methodology.

(vi) Although finding the appropriate metrics for measuring the value of IT to business has proved difficult, a ‘measurement culture’ is gradually developing.

(vii) Institutions A and B have realized that although the use of multiple learning management systems and multiple email systems may be the existing norm in the divisions, this leads to duplication of ICT staff efforts without increasing the satisfaction of staff and students across the institution. There is recognition amongst both business and IT management that the consolidation of systems could potentially lead to reduced costs (e.g. reduction in staff numbers).

(viii) Equally as important as consolidation of systems is the consolidation of services. In institution C and D the consolidation of the helpdesk has led to increased satisfaction amongst users.

(ix) Strategic IS planning and DRP appear to have improved over past practices, however, BCP requires further attention. Improving technological support for e-learning has become a key issue in strategic IS planning in all four institutions.

6. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The paper highlights how the implementation of IT governance practices in the higher education sector is transforming the way IT interacts with business and undertakes process improvement with respect to the five key areas of focus – strategic alignment, value delivery, risk management, resource management, and performance improvement. While some useful insights into IT governance practices in this sector have been obtained from this study, the researchers intend to conduct a longitudinal study in order to gain a better understanding of the business benefits of formal IT governance practices in this sector.
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