The year 2011 marked the 60th anniversary of the United Nations Refugee Convention. It is thus an appropriate time to review the situation of refugees in one of the few signatory countries that accepts UNHCR approved refugees, Australia. Australia takes around 14,000 refugees annually, from countries in the African, Middle Eastern and Asian regions. The Australian government funds a range of services to assist the settlement process, and these are recognized as among the best in the world. While these services provide assistance in the areas of employment, education and training, housing, language, health, social support, and cultural orientation, there is room for improvement to ensure social inclusion. This paper provides an overview of research undertaken with this population over the last fifteen years investigating some of the key barriers to social inclusion. It outlines a number of matters in need of policy improvement, and areas for further research.
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1. Introduction
The year 2011 marked the 60th anniversary of the United Nations Refugee Convention, with no reduction in the numbers of refugees or refugee producing events worldwide. At the end of 2010, 43.7 million people were displaced by persecution and conflict, including 15.4 million refugees, 840,000 asylum seekers and 27.5 million internally displaced people (UNHCR, 2011). Almost 100,000 refugees were resettled by 21 resettlement countries, including the United States of America (71,362), Canada (12,098), and Australia (8,516).3 The 60th anniversary provides an opportunity reflection on the achievements and challenges of refugee resettlement.

Australia is ranked third in the world in terms of its resettlement commitment, and first in its per capita intake. For some decades it has offered settlement services based on general principles of equity and multiculturalism. However, there has been little systematic review of empirical research relating to settlement in Australia. The aim of the current paper is to review recent literature to better inform policy makers, practitioners and scholars, and to highlight areas of research in need of further investigation. The paper begins with an outline of resettlement objectives generally and details Australia’s humanitarian intake and government-funded services. It then outlines research undertaken over the last 15 years focusing on a number of dimensions of settlement including economic (employment, housing, education and language); health (physical and mental); socio-cultural (support networks, racism, culture shock); and spatial (urban and regional settlement). It concludes with implications for policy and recommendations for further research.

1.1. Resettlement, integration and social inclusion
In Australia, successful settlement and integration are the key objectives of the Refugee and Humanitarian Program. The Australian Federal Government Department of Immigration and Citizenship (DIAC) uses a variety of measures to assess settlement outcomes. These include economic participation (labour force outcomes, occupational status, sources of income, level of income and housing); social participation and well-being (English proficiency, satisfaction with life and Australian citizenship); and physical and mental well-being. Information collection relating to these factors is not systematic however.

Since successful settlement is defined as integration it is worth considering what this term means. Goodwin-Gill argues: “a durable solution entails a process of integration into a society; it will be successful and lasting only if it allows the refugee to attain a degree of self-sufficiency, to participate in the social and economic life of the community and to retain what might be described...as a degree of personal identity and integrity”.4 Valtonen also describes successful settlement in terms of integration, i.e. the ability to participate fully in economic, social, cultural and political activities.5 The UNHCR International Handbook to Guide Reception and Integration Handbook similarly provides a definition of settlement based on integration, which is seen as a mutual, dynamic, multifaceted and on-going process.6 It includes the restoration of refugees’ security, control, and social and economic independence; promotion of family reunification, and connections with volunteers and professionals able to provide support; as well as promotion of cultural and religious integrity and restoration of attachments to community and culture, as well as the countering of racism, discrimination, and xenophobia.

The integration process is influenced by the institutional environment of the receiving society as well as personal capacities of the settling population.7 Ager and Strang suggest four domains of integration which act as both markers of integration and the means of achieving it: employment, housing, education and health. Social connections, in the form of bridges, bonds and links, which are facilitated by language, cultural knowledge, safety and stability, are another important dimension. Finally, they argue, the foundation of integration is built upon rights and citizenship.8

It is generally agreed that integration is a two-way process. For refugees, integration requires a preparedness to adapt to the lifestyle of the host society without having to lose one’s cultural identity. For the host society, it requires a willingness to be welcoming and responsive to refugees, and for public institutions to meet the needs of a diverse population. Importantly, unlike assimilation, it implies mutual adaptation, an openness to change to
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7 K. Valtonen, “From the Margins to the Mainstream”, 88.
improve the host society.\textsuperscript{9} This is somewhat fraught in the Australian context, given that the nation’s identity was built on a mono-cultural White Australia Policy. While this policy was scrapped in the early 1970s and replaced with more open immigration and policies of multiculturalism, and despite Australia having the highest proportion of overseas born people in the Western world, it has remained relatively Eurocentric in outlook and identity.\textsuperscript{10} The last decade has seen a retreat from the multiculturalism of the late twentieth century, with xenophobic tendencies being inflamed by a conservative government.\textsuperscript{11} This has had implications for refugees settling during this period, some of which are evident in the research discussed below.

1.2. Australia’s humanitarian entrant intake

Australia has a planned annual Humanitarian Program designed to respond to international refugee and humanitarian developments. This program has two components; the onshore protection program, which protects people already in Australia who are recognised as refugees under the 1951 Convention and the 1967 Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees (known as asylum seekers until their cases are determined), and the offshore resettlement program, which offers resettlement through the UNHCR program. Table 1 indicates the intake over the last 15 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Humanitarian Program Outcomes for the visas granted, 1995-96 – 2009-2010.\textsuperscript{12}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onshore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interestingly, this table demonstrates that Australia’s humanitarian intake decreased as a proportion of its immigration intake, until 2009-10, indicating a lowering of commitment to this segment of its migration program. However, it must be noted that a dramatic rise in migrant numbers occurred in the mid to late part of the first decade of the 2000s due to labour shortages caused by a booming economy, while the number of humanitarian visas granted did not, resulting in this proportional decrease.

Historically refugees to Australia came predominantly from Europe as post WWII Displaced Persons, and then from Lebanon or Indo-China (particularly Vietnam) in the 1970s following civil disturbances, with smaller numbers from Latin America in the 1980s. In the last two decades refugees hailed mainly from the Middle East and North Africa, the former Yugoslavia, the Horn of Africa and West Africa and Afghanistan. Most recently Sri Lanka, Myanmar and Bhutan are also key source countries.

1.3. Australia’s services for humanitarian entrants

In Australia, services to refugees and asylum seekers are provided by government and non-government organizations. More or less formal groups of volunteers also assist. Most government-funded services are contracted out to non-governmental organizations (NGOs), making coordination and long-term development of institutional capacity difficult. Initial settlement assistance is reasonably extensive. One of the major services is the Adult Migrant English Program (AMEP) which provides 510 hours of free English language tuition. Refugees can also access dedicated settlement services for the first six to twelve months of settlement (currently referred to as the Humanitarian Settlement Strategy (HSS)). This
program provides reception and assistance on arrival (meeting at the airport, taking to accommodation, assisting with accommodation costs, orientation, and emergency medical and clothing assistance); information and referrals (to government agencies that provide income support, health care, English language classes and employment services), housing services (help finding suitable housing, assistance with leasing and connection to services like electricity, gas, and the telephone, provision of household goods such as a refrigerator, washing machine, TV and beds, information about household care and cleanliness), and a package of food and hygiene products for the first few days. It also provides short-term torture and trauma counselling to those requiring it. Those who come as sponsored humanitarian entrants are expected to receive a similar level of assistance from their proposers, which proves difficult as proposers are often also refugees struggling to settle.

In terms of cultural orientation, a five day pre-arrival course is available, but after arrival mutual cultural understanding program provision has been patchy. The most recent revision of Australia’s settlement services in 2011 includes an onshore cultural orientation program. It remains to be seen how effective this is in preparing humanitarian entrants for the challenges of living in the new host country.

Six to twelve months after arrival, humanitarian entrants are exited from HSS. After this time, government funded services (known as the Settlement Grants Program (SGP)) are provided according to need. These programs tend to have a specific focus, such as job search skills development, although service providers are increasingly adapting them to provide case-based support. The funding covers initiatives that support immigration assistance, housing, and ethno-specific activities for new communities, focused around the themes of orientation to Australia, developing communities, and integration. In the 2010-2011 funding round, many grants were targeted at young people and African communities.\(^\text{13}\)

1.4. An overview of dimensions of settlement in Australia

While not all humanitarian entrants have high and complex needs and many maintain high levels of personal resilience, a sense of community and a strong work ethic,\(^\text{14}\) research


suggests that refugees do not settle as easily as other migrants in Australia. In moving to new environments, humanitarian entrants experience a range of issues related to language; education; differences of values; unemployment; family issues including family violence, inter-generational conflict, changing gender roles and child rearing practices; expectations; knowledge of and access to services; housing; and health and mental health issues. Many of these issues are mediated by poverty - most refugees arriving in Australia are poor in terms of income and assets and therefore face the same day-to-day challenges as others living in poverty, overlaid with pre-migration experiences, additional expenses, and policies which exclude some from income support. In addition, refugees must overcome the racism that still permeates Australian society. They also face significant challenges in terms of access
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to services including lack of coordination of services (difficult, in a context of contracted services) and for providers to understand the ‘real’ as opposed to perceived settlement experiences.\(^{18}\)

Two comprehensive reports providing overviews of the settlement of refugees in Australia have recently been published. The Department of Immigration and Citizenship commissioned a study known as the Settlement Outcomes of New Arrivals (SONA) report.\(^{19}\) This research compared the first five years of settlement of those coming through the Humanitarian Program, with that of those migrating through other streams, using a self-completion paper survey. It explored the relationship between settlement, defined as level of comfort living in Australia, and education, interaction with government, employment, income, accommodation, English proficiency, regional location and social connection. It found that, of the indicators, those that best predicted humanitarian entrants’ level of comfort were: happiness about themselves; confidence about making choices; being treated well by the local community; and ease of finding a place to live in Australia. Results are discussed as part of this review. It provided a mixed picture of the settlement experiences of refugees compared with other migrants, demonstrating some disadvantage on a number of parameters.

The second is a report by Graeme Hugo and his team,\(^{20}\) who undertook a comprehensive study of the economic, social and civic contributions of humanitarian entrants and their offspring using a number of existing data sources such as the Census, the humanitarian settlement data base, the Longitudinal Survey of Immigrants in Australia (LSIA) and the Australian Bureau of Statistics Labour Force surveys, as well as the SONA data described above. They also interviewed or conducted surveys or focus groups with key stakeholders, service providers, researchers, and humanitarian entrants themselves. Hugo found that in the long term, humanitarian entrants and their children demonstrate considerable achievement and contribution to Australian society. This is due to a number of factors including a low rate of settler loss (in the form of return migration), relatively high
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\(^{20}\) G. Hugo “A Significant Contribution”.
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fertility rates and high proportions of second generation working for the majority of their lives in Australia. As well as contributing to their countries of origin economically through remittances, humanitarian settlers make significant contributions within Australia through volunteering in both the wider community and within their own community groups.

This good news story is often lost in research which tends to focus on the needs of refugees rather than their contributions. The following review of the literature must be read in this light, therefore.

2. Methodology
This review focusses on Australian material published between 1994 and August 2011. Literature was obtained through an extensive search of library data bases and Internet searches. Keywords used included refugee, humanitarian, settlement, and Australia (Boolean techniques were used). The researchers also used their own resources and personal contacts to obtain additional material. As well as academic materials, government and peak body reports have been included.

One limitation identified is the relative dearth of literature differentiating refugees from other migrants. While refugees face many challenges in common with other migrants, they also have needs peculiar to their situation. It is therefore unfortunate that the available literature often concerns policies, services and integration issues for migrants generally. Where this is the case, it can be difficult to assess or accurately convey how refugees fit into the broader picture. Another confounding factor is that there are different categories of refugee with different levels of access to services. Further, the terms refugee, asylum seeker and humanitarian entrant tend to be used interchangeably. In order to avoid eliding these groups, we have excluded from this study research about onshore applicants for asylum whose status has not yet been determined, as their experiences and policies relating to them are significantly different from those whose status as refugees has been determined. A further problem is the inability to generalise the findings of the many qualitative studies which tend to be small scale and ethnic-community specific. Finally, it must be noted that while the Australian government has recently funded a large longitudinal quantitative study of settlement outcomes for humanitarian entrants, to date there has been little quantitative research about the prevalence of some of the issues identified.

3. Economic dimensions of settlement
3.1. Employment
Employment is pivotal in successful settlement. Paid work is essential not only for refugees to be able to support family members in the host country and overseas, but it is also vital in regaining a positive sense of identity and control over their lives. Refugees prioritise employment for these reasons. Indeed research shows a strong desire among refugees to be in gainful employment rather than on welfare. Despite this, refugees experience difficulty integrating into the labour market for various reasons.

Refugees in Australia have higher unemployment rates and lower earning and occupational attainment than other immigrants. They are vulnerable to long term unemployment and are less likely to secure ‘good’ jobs. Some 18 months after arrival 43 per cent of humanitarian entrants remain unemployed compared with 7 per cent for those who enter Australia under other visa categories. However, this does not remain the case over longer periods – refugee second generation have higher levels of labour market participation than the general population.

There are many reasons for these poor employment outcomes. Hugo notes that the data is influenced by recency of arrival and the relative youth of the population. The most recently arrived groups have the lowest levels of labour market participation. In securing employment, English proficiency is crucial however other factors such as failure to have overseas qualifications recognised, lack of work experience and referees, and structural and personal discrimination negatively affect outcomes. The significance of qualification


26 G. Hugo “A Significant Contribution”, 110.

27 Ibid

28 Ibid


30 V. Colic-Peisker and F. Tilbury “Integration into the Labour Market”, 59-85.
recognition issues was highlighted in a Joint Standing Committees report *Negotiating the Maze*.  

Evaluations of employment assistance service provision indicate the need for improved targeted assistance including financial incentives for service providers to focus on this disadvantaged group. While some programs provide dedicated pre-employment and employment services, it is unclear how successful these have been. It has also been recognised that there is no coordinated youth focus in early resettlement programs resulting in poor outcomes.

The perception by refugees and stakeholders of the reasons for employment difficulties has been the subject of several research projects. Tilbury and Colic-Peisker conducted research in Perth, Western Australia, among three groups of refugees – those from the former Yugoslavia, from the Middle East and from Africa. Using a sample of relatively skilled/educated refugees, they found the main barriers to satisfactory employment identified by respondents were a lack of Australian work experience (62 per cent), lack of Australian referees (41 per cent), and recognition of overseas qualifications (31 per cent). Institutional and personal racism also affect outcomes. Stakeholders in Hugo’s study noted that pre-migration issues such as exposure to violence, limited education, lack of documentation, lack of knowledge about the Australian labour market and misinformation about opportunities exacerbate issues derived from the Australian context such as health and mental health issues, literacy deficiencies, lack of access to skills recognition processes (including cost issues), limited social networks, inadequate employment assistance service provision (including lack of trained personnel, and financial incentives structures that disadvantage the most needy job-seekers), and unrealistic expectations, together with employer discrimination, affect outcomes.
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34 V. Colic-Peisker and F. Fozdar “Integration into the Australian Labour Market”, 59-85.
36 G. Hugo “A Significant Contribution”, 164
Hugo’s study also offers empirical evidence that levels of English language proficiency and educational background are directly related to employment outcomes for humanitarian entrants. Like the Australian population generally, the more education one has, the more likely one is to be employed. However even highly educated refugees often have difficulty finding work. One third of employed humanitarian settlers work as labourers – three times the rate of other recent settlers.\(^{37}\) Despite relatively high levels of education, many are employed in manual occupations, with only 10 per cent being managers or professionals, compared to almost 40 per cent of all migrants, providing evidence of ‘occupational skidding’. Hugo reports income levels are correspondingly relatively low. His respondents suggested that the idea of ‘sacrifice’ is common among first generation humanitarian entrants, who hope their hard work at often dirty, dangerous and low paid jobs will reap benefits for their children.

There is also evidence that some groups of refugees funnel into low paid, low skilled, low status, niche labour markets such as security, meat processing, child/aged care, and taxi-driving. This is the result of factors identified above, plus the limitations of refugees’ social networks resulting in a ‘chain employment’ effect within certain industries, producing a segmented secondary labour market.\(^{38}\)

Perhaps partly as a result of breaking into the mainstream labour market, very high relative levels of entrepreneurialism are found among humanitarian entrants. It has been hypothesised that this may be related to a propensity for risk taking,\(^{39}\) but it is as likely to be the result of lack of opportunities/success in the general employment market.

Although the available research offers significant insights into the employment outcomes of humanitarian entrants settling in Australia, there is a clear need for longitudinal research into employment outcomes for refugees, as highlighted by Hugo.\(^{40}\)

### 3.2. Education and training

Education and training is another of the cornerstones of successful settlement, both in terms of English language education and in regard to training to maximise employment opportunities. However, one-quarter of refugees do not take up English language tuition for various reasons including the prioritising of employment but also because of logistical

\(^{37}\) Ibid, 145.

\(^{38}\) V. Colic-Peisker and F. Fozdar “Employment Niches for Recent Refugees”.

\(^{39}\) G. Hugo “A Significant Contribution”.

\(^{40}\) Ibid.
difficulties, particularly for mothers of young children. As well as issues of access, concerns have been raised regarding the adequacy of the Adult Migrant English Program, in particular for those students who are illiterate in their first language, for professionals, and in terms of its lack of focus on English for the workplace. The AMEP undergoes constant processes of review with the goal of improving the program and has been working to address this issue. At a broader level, six to twelve months of language training may be grossly inadequate for those who have not experienced formal learning before and are not literate in their first language.

In regard to access to and experiences of post-secondary school education indications from Walker and colleagues and OMI concerning apprenticeships and traineeships suggest there are numerous barriers including lack of knowledge of opportunities, language, income levels, and employer reticence, together with a desire to ‘aim high’ in terms of tertiary education options. This is despite education and training being known to be important goals among refugee youth and re-training being an important pathway for re-entry into the workforce for adult refugees. Many humanitarian entrants and their offspring have sought to extend their skills through tertiary education, both as a means of improving their life-chances and assisting their communities, but also as a prestige factor. Research also indicates that the relationship between culture, community and learning significantly affects educational outcomes at the tertiary level. Refugee engagement with learning is mediated through
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different levels of community: an immediate ethnic group, a broader national refugee community, and the wider Australian community, the needs of which are often in tension, affecting achievement. Similar issues were identified in Harris and Marlowe’s study of African background students, who were found to face challenges adapting to new educational contexts, dealing with community expectations, and with difficult home environments for study. They had difficulty accessing academic support, study materials, and with studying in another language. Students tended to rely on themselves or their peers of similar backgrounds for support.\footnote{V. Harris, V. and JM. Marlowe “Hard Yards, High Hopes: The Educational Challenges of African Refugee Students”, \textit{The International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education}, 23 (2), 2011, 186-196.} Australian schools and universities need to orient to these issues to ensure positive outcomes.

negotiating the difference between expectations and reality; and the transition from IECs to mainstream education. A career guidance package for refugee young people was developed in Western Australia which attempts to redress the lack of knowledge around career options.

While some programs have been designed to assist refugee parents and teachers to meet the needs of youth from refugee backgrounds, there is a dearth of systematic information about how best to facilitate education at this level. Additional challenges arise when their abilities and interactions affect school performance. The supportive role young people take at home and issues related to disrupted education or lack of education in their countries of origin make schooling in Australia challenging. The difficulties of minimal educational background and the pressures of supporting family in Australia and elsewhere make schooling very difficult, decreasing the likelihood of a successful transition to tertiary study which is a goal of many. In terms of training that leads to employment, action research in the state of Victoria indicates mentoring, training and work placements are vital for successful employment outcomes.

3.3. Language

Language is related to most settlement factors, particularly education, employment and social networks. It is vital for social inclusion. It is included here in the section on material and
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economic issues as it is this sphere where it has its most obvious impact. This is not to deny its significance in terms of social aspects of settlement and integration.

Most refugees to Australia come from backgrounds where English is not their first language. However, the levels of English language proficiency vary widely, making service provision difficult. Hugo found that the proportion of migrants who arrived since 2001 who could not speak English well or at all was significantly higher for humanitarian arrivals (36.5 per cent) than for other visa groups, especially skilled migrants. English proficiency has been consistently found to be a crucial factor affecting settlement for migrants in general and employment specifically. For example, in 2006, 74 per cent of humanitarian migrants who did not speak English well or at all were not in the labour force.

As noted, while the AMEP is constantly being improved, criticisms remain about its adequacy for those illiterate in their first language, and its suitability for the development of both workplace and conversational English. For high level professionals among the refugee intake, the program has also been identified as inadequate.

3.4. Housing

Housing and sense of place are vital for the development of a sense of belonging, particularly for refugees, who have been denied access to a stable home of origin. Appropriate housing provides refugees with a place to begin to re-make ‘home’. While housing is often listed as one of the crucial elements in successful settlement, there remains little research on the experiences of refugees in accessing stable housing or the factors influencing movement.
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64 G. Hugo “A significant contribution”, 127.
67 RCOA “Australia’s Refugee and Humanitarian Program”, 2007; H. Moore et. al.“Open the Door”
71 Association for Services to Torture and Trauma Survivors (ASeTTS) Hidden Homelessness: The Impact of Homelessness on Newly Arrived Youth. Perth: Association for Services to Torture and Trauma Survivors, 2008; M. Burgermeister, B. Kitching, and N. Iscel Housing Crisis Committee for Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Communities. Perth: Shelter WA and Tenants Advice Service (WA), 2008, available at:
The Australian Housing and Urban Research Institute has recently funded a three-year study which should begin to address this gap. The research that is available identifies refugees as a group vulnerable to experiencing housing crisis and/or homelessness. Although humanitarian entrants face the same problems as many other low-income households, they often lack knowledge of the language, customs, and strategies relevant to accessing housing.

Research has found that three quarters of refugees rent privately or use community housing and move, on average, three times in their first year. It is unclear whether this level of housing instability is seen as a problem by refugees, or whether it is their choice. The 2006 Census data shows that humanitarian entrants are far less likely to be purchasing their own homes 5 years after arrival than other visa holders. This may indicate the level of difficulty they face in the early years in establishing language competency, education and employment, resulting in a delay in their access to secure and stable housing. In a study based on data from the Longitudinal Survey of Immigrants to Australia, Forrest and colleagues found that most humanitarian entrants initially live with family or friends, before moving into longer term private rental accommodation, although the experience differs by community.

Research exploring the housing experiences of refugees in Australia suggests a number of barriers. These include: a lack of affordable housing in the private rental market; the tightening of eligibility for public housing; long waiting lists for public housing; a decrease in public housing stock; a lack of knowledge regarding tenancy issues; the need to be employed; lack of capital; difficult application processes including lack of rental


Ibid

Ibid, 25, 28.


history/referees and identification, and discrimination from landlords and real estate agents; difficulty housing large families; and the inflated Australian real estate market.

No data is collected on the number of refugees and humanitarian entrants on public housing waiting lists. However, evidence suggests that when faced with primary homelessness (i.e., not having a roof over one’s head) humanitarian entrants will often stay with friends or family, resulting in overcrowding, family conflict and high levels of stress.78 A tragic instance of the difficulties faced in the housing market occurred recently when the 4 year old child of an African refugee family was mauled to death by a neighbour’s dog. The family had been staying with another refugee family as their house had burned down.79

4. Health dimensions of settlement

Both physical and health issues are known to be significant for proportions of the refugee population. Humanitarian entrants are generally in poorer physical health on arrival, despite health checks on acceptance to Australia (although those coming through the onshore program go through slightly less rigorous checks).80 It is not our intention to review all physical health issues here, but to highlight some key areas in which research has been undertaken.

Sexual health among young people is a specific area of concern that has been the focus of some research.81 Findings suggest that young people from refugee backgrounds are disadvantaged in relation to access to sexual health information and have very little knowledge of sexual health or sexually transmitted diseases (STIs) apart from HIV/AIDS. Barriers to learning about sexual health include concerns about confidentiality, shame, and the competing demands of resettlement. Action research projects indicate that young people from refugee backgrounds can develop stronger mental health and improved sexual health

78 J. Flanagan “Dropped From the Moon”
using targeted creative approaches.  

Similar results have been found regarding access to general health care services for women. For example, Drummond and colleagues recently found that issues such as shame or fear of what family and friends might think, of being judged by the treatment provider, of hospitalisation, and logistical difficulties, were significant impediments.

Refugee children and young people face additional health challenges including high rates of infectious diseases; incomplete immunisation; growth and nutrition problems and poor dental health. Research suggests that they have multiple risk factors for educational disadvantage and may have unrecognised developmental delay and disability.

In terms of mental health, two consistent risk factors are linked to mental disorders in refugees: past trauma and post-migration stress. Settlement difficulties are seen by communities as compounding and contributing to mental health issues – in a study of understandings of depression among a number of refugee communities Fozdar found that settlement issues such as employment, language, housing, loneliness, culture shock, intergenerational and gender role issues, and racism were identified by communities as the causes of depression, in many cases to the exclusion of pre-arrival traumatic experiences. There is a trend toward increasing problems after arrival. However, a focus on mental health and trauma may limit appropriate responses to refugee settlement issues. Colic-Peisker and Tilbury for example, argue that settlement services and approaches that focus on trauma can influence refugees to adopt a passive role in their settlement, rather than empower
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82 E.g., S. Gifford “A Good Start”; C. McMichael, “Promoting Sexual Health”
86 T. Tilbury “I Feel I am a Bird without Wings”; F. Fozdar “The Golden Country”
them to lead self-sufficient lives.\(^9\) This reinforces the current approach to settlement service delivery which tends to use a “therapeutic state-centric response”.\(^9\) Indeed the degree to which research and service provision targets mental health has been criticized for pathologising refugees to Australia.\(^9\)

An increased focus on resettlement issues and post-migration stressors is apparent in recent research, however, marking a shift away from the earlier focus on pre-migration trauma. This research has shown that post-migration stressors can have a significant impact on settlement outcomes. One study with Tamil asylum-seekers, refugees and immigrants in Australia found that while pre-migration trauma exposure accounted for some post-traumatic stress symptoms (20 per cent of the variance), so too did post-migration stress (14 per cent of the variance).\(^2\) Clearly addressing post-migration stressors should be an important aspect of interventions.

Research in Australia has also found particular impacts on women. Ferguson and Pittaway explored the emotional and mental health experiences of women refugees in Australia and found that issues around gender inequalities, sexual assault, marital experiences and female genital mutilation, are prevalent, yet often remain invisible due to their sensitivity.\(^3\) While many refugee children display remarkable resilience and adaptability, mental health problems such as depression, anxiety and posttraumatic disorder are prevalent and often persistent.\(^4\)

In terms of service provision, the Australian health system has been aware of the need for culturally sensitive health provision for many years yet research continues to show that
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\(^8\) V. Colic-Peisker and F. Tilbury “‘Active’ and ‘Passive’ Resettlement”


\(^9\) F. Fozdar “The Golden Country”; J. Marlowe “Beyond the Discourse of Trauma”; J. Matthews “Schooling and Settlement”; F. Tilbury “I Feel I am a Bird without Wings”


this is an ongoing problem.\textsuperscript{95} Research into depression among Horn of Africa and former Yugoslav refugees in Western Australia in the early 2000s indicated perceptions of a lack of culturally appropriate diagnosis and care.\textsuperscript{96} Afghan women of refugee background in the state of Victoria also identified the need for culturally appropriate interventions and diagnostic tools in regard to maternal health and mental health issues.\textsuperscript{97} Indeed, across the whole humanitarian entrant population, there are significant barriers to accessing health care including financial, belief systems, language, an under-trained workforce, and legal and policy issues.\textsuperscript{98} A strong perception of discrimination, miscommunication and poor quality service provision when accessing health and welfare services has also been documented in a diverse group of women from Africa and Middle East.\textsuperscript{99} While resources exist to assist General Practitioners in treating refugees in culturally appropriate ways\textsuperscript{100} it is not known how widely these are used.

5. Socio-cultural dimensions of settlement

5.1. Social support, networks and community engagement

Reconstituting family and social networks assures emotional and material stability during settlement and is a significant source of comfort.\textsuperscript{101} Connections with the wider Australian community also affect people’s sense of well-being, and in addition provide a form of social capital that enables access to knowledge about Australian services and systems, as well as values and cultural practices.

While some research has focussed on the sense of exclusion and marginality that refugees to Australia feel, recent work indicates that for a majority the situation is rather


\textsuperscript{96} F. Tilbury “I Feel I am a Bird without Wings”; F. Tilbury et. al.”Listening to Diverse Voices”; F. Fozdar “The Golden Country”.


\textsuperscript{101} M. Brough et. al.”Young Refugees Talk about Well-Being”.
In terms of a sense of connection to the local community the SONA survey found over half of humanitarian entrants felt well connected, and another 38 per cent a little connected, proportions comparable to other visa category entrants. On arrival, only a quarter of humanitarian entrants do not have family or close friends living in Australia, indicating that a majority have a support network from which they can draw immediately. Sixty per cent agreed or strongly agreed that they felt part of the mainstream of Australian social and cultural life.

Hugo found that settlers from humanitarian backgrounds are among the most spatially concentrated of Australia’s migrant populations. He attributes this to their being settled by service providers in areas close to compatriots and necessary services. There is also likely to be a socio-economic effect – more recent arrivals tend to concentrate in more affordable areas with housing of the type required for their family size. This results in local social connections.

In terms of local neighbourhood networks Hugo found three quarters of his respondents reported having strong networks of friends in the neighbourhood, with only 1.4 per cent not mixing with neighbours. Ninety-five per cent participated in community activities such as local events, playgroups, religious services, school events, attending local parks and the library. In terms of the types of activities engaged in, the SONA study found that humanitarian entrants participate in religious groups and cultural groups at much higher levels than family or skill stream entrants (61 per cent versus 28 per cent and 31 per cent respectively; and 46 per cent versus 22 per cent and 19 per cent respectively).

Refugees also participate in ethnic community activities at high levels – Hugo’s survey found that only 3.7 per cent do not participate in religious services, festivals, community events or meetings. Humanitarian settlers provide significant assistance to others in their communities including loaning household items, providing transport, assisting with shopping, meals, childcare or with loans. Less than 10 per cent had provided no assistance.
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Material and emotional connections with those remaining in their countries of origin are also high. Despite being the poorest section of the migrant population, refugees are the most likely to send remittances, contributing significantly to poverty reduction in their countries of origin.\textsuperscript{111}

These internal and external connections produce a sense of belonging and improve well-being. A number of studies indicate that refugees are generally satisfied with their lives in Australia, showing that a majority are happy. Hugo, for example, reports around 87 per cent agree or strongly agree that they are happy with their lives in Australia, slightly higher than the 77 per cent found among educated refugees by Fozdar and Torezani.\textsuperscript{112} While satisfaction is high however, levels of comfort are somewhat lower for humanitarian entrants (57 per cent), than for family (80 per cent) and skilled (82 per cent) entrants.\textsuperscript{113}

A key feature of refugee communities as they become established, is a formalisation of volunteer work that members undertake to assist their compatriots in the settlement process. Rather than seeing this as ‘volunteering’, it is understood as a community obligation.\textsuperscript{114} Therefore, while the level of reported voluntary work in the 2006 census was lower for humanitarian entrants than the general population, this is likely due to significant under-reporting.\textsuperscript{115} Indeed, the SONA report found that almost 20 per cent of humanitarian settlers contributed to community or family work, and Hugo’s survey indicates close to 60 per cent have volunteered.\textsuperscript{116} Voluntary work may consist of informal assistance provided to family and community members, or more formal work associated with community organizations. A common complaint from refugees is burn-out due to the high demands on their time both from their own communities and those service providers and organizations desiring to assist them, and by researchers.

Difficulties around applications for family reunification remain a significant issue. The UNHCR promotes reunification as a component of restoring and preserving refugees’ lives; however, this requires appropriate legislative measures.\textsuperscript{117} Australian immigration law allows family reunion, but refugees frequently note problems in terms of definitions of
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‘family’ and proof requirements.\textsuperscript{118} The restrictions on family reunion are suffered in particular by women. In a study of Somali women in Melbourne, family separation was found to be a significant source of anxiety and sadness. Loneliness and depression among these women centred around feelings of not belonging, and not having family nearby for support.\textsuperscript{119} In another study of resettled Sudanese refugees, concern about family not living in Australia was found to be the most common issue associated with depression, anxiety and somatisation.\textsuperscript{120}

A growing problem is the linking of the Special Humanitarian Program, through which family re-unification is enabled, with the Onshore Program for asylum-seekers - for each onshore application approved, a place is lost for existing refugee settlers trying to bring family over. Australia is the only country to link its protection program to its resettlement program. This creates tension between the two programs and a strain on the family reunification quota.\textsuperscript{121}

Thus while social networks of support exist for many refugees, issues around family reunion remain a significant source of mental anguish for many.

5.2. Racism

Settlement experiences of refugees are clearly linked to the reception they receive in host countries. Prejudice towards refugees among members of the host community presents a significant barrier to resettlement. Australian attitudes toward newcomers have vacillated over the last 100 years, as evidenced in public policy and opinion polls.\textsuperscript{122} Some of this is attributable to the variable wording of opinion poll questions – the Refugee Council of Australia reviewed questions used in opinion polls and found massive differences in results
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depending on whether the question was worded positively or negatively. Depending on wording, up to three quarters of Australians are against refugees, or two thirds in favour. For example, a 2006 Lowy Institute poll asked: “Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of Australia in the next 10 years. Please say whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important threat at all.” One of the options, “large numbers of immigrants and refugees coming into Australia”, produced 75 per cent agreement that this was a critical or important threat. On the other hand, in a 2003 survey conducted by JOBfutures and Saulwick when asked: “Would you say that over the past two or three years the number of refugees has represented a very serious threat, a quite serious threat, not a very serious threat or no threat at all to the country?”, sixty-one per cent of respondents felt that the number of refugees posed no threat or not a serious threat.

A useful resource is Monash University’s inventory of Australian public opinion surveys which tracks large scale polls since 2009 on the topic of immigration, including attitudes to refugees and asylum-seekers. Of interest is the fact that so few of the polls ask about attitudes to refugees – most demonstrate a degree of negativity among the general population to immigration generally and high degrees of negativity towards asylum-seekers specifically, but do not canvass attitudes towards refugees. One exception is the Lowy Poll (mentioned above) which tracks the increasing perception that migrants and refugees constitute a critical threat to Australia (up from 31 per cent in 2006, to 33 per cent in 2008 and 39 per cent in 2009). In terms of attitudes towards the number of refugees taken, the Essential Report in 2009 found that 62 per cent favour a decrease. However the Scanlon report found that 66 per cent of Australians have a somewhat or very positive feeling towards “refugees who have been assessed overseas and found to be victims of persecution and in need of help coming to live in Australia as permanent or long term residents”. This wording takes into account the fact that the Australian public is generally unclear about the distinction between asylum-seekers and refugees, which often results in the negativity felt towards asylum-seekers who arrive by boat being transferred to all refugees.

Negativity towards refugees often manifests in racism, which occurs in both personal and institutional forms. Simply being a humanitarian entrant, but also having some form of visible difference from the mainstream, such as coming from an African or Muslim background, sometimes results in personal experiences of exclusion.\textsuperscript{126} In terms of institutional racism, in a West Australian study of employers’ perceptions of issues faced by refugees, Tilbury and Colic-Peisker found that while many employers had a degree of goodwill toward migrants and refugees and recognised that they face barriers in the employment market, they also almost universally denied the existence of discrimination in the Australian workplace and employment market.\textsuperscript{127} At the same time, the employers admitted they sometimes discriminated for the sake of their customers or other employees. For example, if customers did not like to see a receptionist in hijab, or workers did not like to work with one, the firm simply could not employ such a person – it was seen as the economically responsible thing to do, clearly ignoring anti-discrimination laws.

Racism is certainly felt by refugees in Australia and seen as a cause of settlement difficulties, who feel both obvious and subtle forms of exclusion, and frequently ask ‘at what point am I no longer a refugee and just an Australian’.\textsuperscript{128} A discursive analysis of the ways in which Sudanese refugees deal with the question ‘where are you from’ illustrates the range of creative responses they use which focus around the assertion of their right to be seen as part of the Australian community.\textsuperscript{129}

5.3. Culture shock (intergenerational disputes and gender role issues)
Cultural adjustment requires considerable energy in the early stages of resettlement. With recent changes in countries of origin, the ‘cultural gap’ between mainstream Australian society and incoming refugees has grown. Refugees point out that they are constantly told that Australia is a multicultural society, but they feel their cultural values and practices are
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devalued through a process of ‘cultural imperialism’.130

When adapting to Australia, many refugees are faced with a sudden loss of identity and the need to reconstruct themselves within the new context.131 Individuals and cultural groups vary in the rate and degree to which this process occurs.132 This can be a major source of tension and conflict for families, communities and service providers.

Refugees identify this process of transition as a significant challenge, particularly in terms of adapting to changed gender roles within the family where women are encouraged both structurally and interpersonally to be independent of their husbands, producing gender conflict, and where offspring are not only encouraged to be independent but also frequently have more opportunities for rapid integration in terms of language learning, schooling and the development of social networks, resulting in intergenerational conflict.133 This causes significant stress, particularly to men, and is identified as the reason for some of the interactions refugees have with the Australian legal system, as it sometimes manifests as violence. One feature of the intergenerational conflict is to do with questions of identity, with parents wanting young people to retain their former cultural identity, and young people focussing more on dual integrated identities. For example, Rodriguez-Jimenez and Gifford’s study into young refugees from Afghanistan, Somalia and Sudan, found that young refugees’ identity orientations are different from their parents, not being focussed on ethnicity and religion.134

Being part of a supportive family has been found to be a key factor in positive resettlement for refugee youth.135 At the same time, intergenerational issues and loss of trust, discipline and attachment can pose a serious threat to the family unit. Interestingly, refugee
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children and adolescents in Australia who have the most positive attitudes towards both their culture of origin and Australian culture have the highest ratings of self-worth and peer social acceptance.\textsuperscript{136}

6. Spatial dimensions of settlement

Where refugees settle is an important aspect of their experience as it can influence access to work opportunities, settlement services, and the ability to interact with people who speak the same language and have similar cultural and religious backgrounds.\textsuperscript{137} While humanitarian settlers are reasonably evenly distributed in Australia, Hugo found that they have settled disproportionately in New South Wales, Victoria, Western Australia, South Australia and the Northern Territory.\textsuperscript{138} Australia is a highly urbanised country, with the vast majority of its population living in the major capital cities. This affects patterns of refugee settlement. Additionally, as noted, there tends to be a concentration of refugees in certain suburbs as a result of settlement service imperatives, socio-economic influences and family and social networks. The overriding trend has been for most refugees to settle in major Australian cities, particularly Melbourne and Sydney.\textsuperscript{139} There have also been increasingly dispersed patterns of settlement in urban metropolitan areas motivated by a number of factors including a general trend towards outer-suburban growth and the limited availability of appropriate affordable housing in the inner-city areas.\textsuperscript{140} These changes in settlement patterns highlight the need for adaptive approaches to service provision. A report based on research in the rural-urban areas of outer Sydney (an area experiencing significant disadvantage and deprivation) accepted that service delivery should vary across regions depending on need.\textsuperscript{141}

Although research suggests that newly arrived migrants tend to settle in metropolitan centres near family supports and other social networks and services, there has been a push by the Australian government in recent years to settle humanitarian entrants, and indeed migrants generally, in regional areas of Australia which have seen a reduction in
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Particular initiatives have included grants for humanitarian community services, needs-based planning and improved settlement information in regional areas. The rationale behind the policy is that it will enable humanitarian entrants to contribute to and participate in Australian society as soon as possible after arrival, while simultaneously helping to (re)build regional economies.

Hugo argues that regional centres offer refugees opportunities and benefits that are more difficult to find in large urban centres, such as affordable housing; employment opportunities (although these are usually in low skilled and unpopular jobs) and a smaller community environment which remains appealing for refugees who may have come from similar contexts in their home countries.

However, other research finds that the personal experiences of humanitarian entrants settling in regional areas vary and that there are numerous additional constraints on accessing settlement and related services which both directly and indirectly exclude them from achieving settlement goals. Johnson, Vasey, and Markovic, for example, found that Iraqi refugees living in a regional town in the state of Victoria had limited employment opportunities despite being professionally qualified, and participants commonly stated that the wages were poor and the type of work demeaning. In addition, they found English skills difficult to obtain in their local area with English classes stopping altogether, and that access to services in the social and health sectors was limited. It is difficult to determine whether these problems were simply ‘teething difficulties’ due to the newness of the regional settlement initiative. Other studies have produced mixed results.

---
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regional areas. They found that while participants reported good levels of subjective health and well-being, men living in urban areas were more likely to have a long-standing illness and report poorer health than those settled in regional areas. In contrast, men living in regional areas reported poorer levels of well-being in the environment domain and were more likely to visit hospital emergency departments.

Thus regional resettlement in Australia, while contributing to the economic development of regional towns, may lead to the exclusion of refugees in some domains.

7. Conclusion
The literature reviewed reveals a mixed picture of refugee settlement in Australia. Research indicates that while refugees may initially have little cultural capital, they bring with them personal resources of resilience and skills which they have a strong desire to use to their adopted country’s benefit. Significant support is provided by government or through government funded services. However several areas remain a problem, including employment, and increasingly housing. For some, English language learning is also an issue – despite service providers’ best efforts to ensure this is a priority, the fact that a quarter of humanitarian entrants do not complete the free English classes is a matter of on-going concern. Less material issues such as connections with the wider community and sense of belonging are aspects of settlement that can be worked on by both the refugee communities and the wider Australian community. It is clear from Hugo’s research that some of these standard resettlement problems will be resolved over time. This is not to say that the situation cannot be improved, with structural support, but also better community engagement, knowledge and more positive attitudes.

A number of policy recommendations emerge. The tendency to use a deficit model in refugee settlement devalues people and reinforces the view of the mainstream population that refugees are a liability. A shift away from this model to one that focuses on empowerment and harnessing and fostering the building of social networks would improve this. One concrete method by which this could be achieved is through better linking the Humanitarian Settlement Support services provided in the initial stages of settlement, which takes an intensive case work approach, with the Settlement Grants Program, which provides more structured but less individualized assistance. The use of community based volunteers may

also assist with the development of more ‘natural’ social networks between refugees and the wider community, obviating the need for extensions of formal service provision. Another problem is the lack of coordination between service providers. The competitive funding model works against coordination of service provision, encouraging a fragmented rather than holistic approach. It also does not encourage long term investment in the sector nor the development of institutional knowledge that would ensure capacity building and consolidation of knowledge and experience in the area.

A major on-going challenge is to change the attitudes of the wider community toward humanitarian entrants. The widespread negativity of the general population remains a concern, particularly given that the SONA study found that “being treated well by the local community” was among the factors that best predicted effective settlement.\textsuperscript{147} Education of the general public and awareness raising campaigns to promote social inclusion would go a long way to improving refugees’ sense of being welcomed into the community. While funding for such campaigns exists, the general negativity of media and political discourse tends to override the positive effect such piecemeal campaigns might have. A holistic approach is therefore needed, starting at the top of the political system and integrated into the education system generally, including media training. While useful resources exist to improve media coverage of diversity issues\textsuperscript{148} these appear to have had little impact on media practice. Employer training is also overdue.

While employment is a difficult issue to solve, the challenges of recognising prior skills, training and experience, continue to loom large. Improved access to skills assessment and bridging programs would allow the Australian economy to make better use of its human resources, and also address settlement issues including poverty, mental health issues and social exclusion.

The need to develop adequate English language skills impacts employment, educational opportunities, access to housing, and social connection. It may be that an increase in the number of hours of free English classes is necessary to ensure refugees have adequate levels of English to undertake the work and study they desire, and to engage with other Australians. To improve uptake and retention in the existing program, solutions to barriers such as the need for income from employment (a bonus incentive as part of the current welfare payment
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may be appropriate) and childcare also need to be found.

For young people from refugee backgrounds, given their mental health, physical health, psychosocial and educational needs, there needs to be specific focus in government funded programs.

Finally, consideration of the effects of immigration policies on refugee families is needed. As noted, the Australian immigration system penalizes refugees seeking family reunion by reducing the number of places available under the Special Humanitarian Program by the number of visas granted to those seeking asylum onshore. Given the link between family reunion and positive humanitarian settlement, this is problematic – the programs should be de-linked.

In terms of recommendations for future research, it should be acknowledged that much research has already been undertaken. However, most studies are qualitative and therefore small scale, time limited, and ethno-specific, making generalization difficult. There is a clear need for longitudinal investigations of all aspects of refugee settlement (particularly employment, housing, health, gender differences, and social connections, as well as differences by community). Of particular interest, given that social connections are central to positive re-settlement, would be further exploration of the nature and degree of support that humanitarian entrants receive from, and return to, the local community. Future studies may also benefit from using mixed modes of data collection – although quantitative data is extremely difficult to generate, it is important in order to provide a clear picture of the extent of the various phenomena already identified in qualitative research. With its history of immigration, growing diversity, and policies that support multiculturalism, Australia is an important crucible in which to determine the best ways to ensure positive resettlement of refugees. Ongoing evaluation of existing policies and services, and research that explores the settlement experience more generally, will provide the evidence base necessary to allow modeling of the impact of future refugee intakes and the development of policies to ensure positive outcomes.