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Abstract — Accelerating availability of protein sequences and structures has transformed both the theory and practice of computational biology. The current systems of nomenclature for proteins remain divergent even when the experts appreciate the underlying similarities. Interoperability of protein databases is limited to lack of progress in the way the biologists describe and conceptualize the shared biological elements in protein data. The goal of the proposed protein ontology is a step forward to address these concerns by is producing a structured vocabulary that can be applied to all proteins even as the knowledge of protein roles in the cells is still accumulating and changing. A Database of 10 Major Prion Proteins available in various Protein data sources, based on the vocabulary provided by Protein Ontology is made available.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The accelerating availability of protein sequences and structures has transformed both the theory and practice of computational biology. Where once biologists characterized proteins by their diverse activities and abundance, all biologists now acknowledge that there is likely to be a single limited universe of proteins, many of which are conserved in most or all living cells. This recognition has fuelled a unification of protein databases; the information about shared proteins contributes to our understanding of all the diverse organisms that share them. Knowledge of biological understanding of protein in one organism can certainly illuminate, and often provide strong inference of its role in other organisms.

The Protein Structural and Functional Conservation need a common language for data definition. With the help of common language provided by Protein Ontology the high level of sequence and functional conservation can be extended to all organisms with the likelihood that proteins that carry out core biological processes will again be probable orthologues. The structural and functional conservation in these proteins presents both opportunities and challenges. The main opportunity lies in the possibility of automated transfer of protein data annotations from experimentally traceable model organisms to a less traceable organism based on protein sequence similarity. Such information can be used to improve human health or agriculture. The challenge lies in using a common language to transfer protein data annotations among different species of organisms. First step in achieving this huge challenge is producing a structured, precisely defined common vocabulary using Protein Ontology. The Protein Ontology described in this paper covers the sequence, structure and biological roles of Protein Complexes in any organism.

II. PROTEIN ONTOLOGY

The Protein Ontology Project seeks to provide a set of structured vocabularies for protein domains that can be used to describe cellular products in any organism. The work includes modeling Protein Structure and Experimental Data. Protein Ontology Framework describes: (1) Protein Sequence and Structure Information, (2) Protein Folding Process, (3) Cellular Functions of Proteins and (4) Molecular Bindings internal and external to Proteins and (5) External Factors affecting Final Protein Conformation. In this paper we will also discuss the implementation strategy for the Protein Ontology Project. Protein Ontology Project provides a community resource using these vocabularies promoting the use of common protein data representation. The Goal of the Protein Ontology Project is to produce a dynamic, controlled data and query vocabulary that can be applied to all proteins even as the knowledge of protein roles in cells is accumulating and changing. The motivations behind proposing a Protein Ontology are:

1. Efforts in building consensus on data format using semantics inherent in various protein databases. This can be attained by creation of a data representation standard that defines physiological models at atomic and molecular level. The ability of the protein ontology to define such models for protein molecules and then the ability to model single cells will provide basic data necessary to model entire organs and organisms automatically.

2. Biologists in different specialties tend to use different languages for description of same data. They have their particular theories and models for their own data collection of the domain they are working on. Protein Ontology is a unified data description model that covers all of the working domains.

3. The terms used to describe biomolecular data has different granularity depending on the level at
which the abstractions or concepts in the domain and have different scope. Therefore, terms used in different contexts have different meaning. Defining Protein Ontology brings a consistent structured terminology for all biomolecular data.

4. For various Protein Databases there are different data models. It is the interfaces that provide interoperability and data exchange, but there are no interfaces to recognize integration and interactions between various data models and to exchange Data and Meta Data between them in consistent format. Protein Ontology does the Data Integration and Data Exchange between various existing Protein Data Models. Our Protein Ontology [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11] defines a common structured vocabulary for researchers who need to share knowledge in proteomics domain. It includes concepts (type definitions), which are data descriptors for proteomics data and the relations among these concepts. The Key features of Protein Ontology are (1) a hierarchical classification of concepts (classes) from general to specific; (2) a list of attributes for each class; and (3) a set of relations between classes to link concepts in ontology in more complicated ways then implied by underlying hierarchy. The Concepts have instances, which represent concrete examples of more abstract classes found in internal part of the hierarchy. Each attribute of an instance may have a corresponding value, whereas classes only specify that the attribute exists.

III. IMPLEMENTATION

The Main Class of Protein Ontology is ProteinOntology. For each Protein that is entered into the knowledge base of protein ontology, submission information is entered into ProteinOntology Class. ProteinOntologyID has format like “PO0000000002”. Most of the UML Diagrams depicting Protein Ontology along with the class diagram are available at the Protein Ontology Website (http://proteinontology.info/)

A. Generic Classes

There are six subclasses of ProteinOntology that are used to define complex concepts in other classes of ProteinOntology: Residues, Chains, Atoms, AtomicBind, Bind, and SiteGroup. Concepts from these subclasses are referenced in various other Protein Ontology Classes for definition of Class Specific Concepts. Details and Properties of Residues in a Protein Sequence are defined by instances of Residues Class. Instances of Chains of Residues are defined in Chains Class. All the Three Dimensional Structure Data of Protein Atoms is represented as instances of Atoms Class. Defining Chains, Residues and Atoms as individual classes has the benefit that any special properties or changes affecting a particular chain, residue and ATOM can be easily added. Data about binding atoms in Chemical Bonds like Hydrogen Bond, Residue Links, and Salt Bridges is entered into ontology as an instance of AtomicBind Class. Similarly the data about binding residues in Chemical Bonds like Disulphide Bonds and CIS Peptides is entered into ontology as an instance of Bind Class. All data related to site groups of the active binding sites of Proteins is defined as instances of SiteGroup Class.

B. ProteinComplex Class

The Root Class for definition of a Protein Complex in the Protein Ontology is ProteinComplex. The Protein Complex Definition defines one or more Proteins in the Complex Molecule. There are six main subclasses within ProteinComplex class: Entry, Structure, StructuralDomains, FunctionalDomains, ChemicalBonds, and Constraints. These classes define sequence, structure and chemical binds present in the Protein Complex.

Entry specifies the details of a Protein or a Protein Complex that is entered into the knowledge base of protein ontology. Protein Entry Details are entered into Entry as instances of SourceDatabaseID, SourceDatabaseName and SubmissionDate. These attributes describe the entry in the original protein data source from where it was taken. Entry has three subclasses: Description, Molecule and Reference.

Structure has Protein Sequence and Structure data for a Protein Entry. Structure has two subclasses: ATOMSequence and UnitCell. ATOMSequence consists of various chains of residue sequences present in the Protein. Each Chain is a sequence of singular residues. Each Residue or Chain may have distinct properties and functionality. Each Residue has a number of atoms linked to it, that define the three dimensional structure of Protein. Here in Structure, Residue is a sub property of Chain and ATOM is the sub property of Residue. The Containment relationship: Chain < Residue < ATOM still represents the hierarchy need for protein sequence and structure data, but also preserves individuality of the components.

Structural Folds and Domains defining Secondary Structures of Proteins are defined in StructuralDomains. SuperFamily and Family Instances of StructuralDomains are used for identifying the Protein Family. The subclasses of StructuralDomains are Helices, Sheets, and OtherFolds. Helix, which is a subclass of Helices, identifies the helix using HelixNumber, HelixID, HelixClass, and HelixLength Instances. Helix has a subclass HelixStructure gives the detailed composition of the helix. Sheets contain all the data about sheets present protein using its subclass Sheet. Sheet identifies individual sheets using SheetID and NumberStrands which represents the Number of Strands in the Sheet. Sheet has subclass called Strands that lists strands starting with one edge of the sheet and continuing to the spatial adjacent strand. Third Subclass of StructuralDomains, OtherFolds consists of loosely coupled folds. One of the most common folds of this category is short loop turns which connect other secondary structure
segments, described in *Turn* subclass of *OtherFolds*. A *Turn* is identified by Instances of *TurnNumber* and *TurnID*. *Turn* has a subclass *TurnStructure* that defines the detailed composition of a *Turn*.

Protein Ontology has the first Functional Domain Classification Model defined using *FunctionalDomains* Class using: (1) Data about Cellular and Organism Source in *SourceCell* subclass and (2) Data about Biological Functions of Protein in *BiologicalFunction* subclass and (3) Data about Active Binding Sites in Proteins in *ActiveBindingSites* subclass.

Chemical Bonds in a Protein are defined using *ChemicalBonds* class. Various Chemical Bonds defined in ontology by respective subclasses are: *DisulphideBond*, *CISPeptide*, *HydrogenBond*, *ResidueLink*, and *SaltBridge*. As said earlier, the binding atoms in Chemical Bonds like Hydrogen Bond, Residue Links, and Salt Bridges is entered into ontology as an instance of *AtomicBind* Class. Similarly the data about binding residues in Chemical Bonds like Disulphide Bonds and CIS Peptides is entered into ontology as an instance of *Bind* Class. The respective classes defining specific chemical bonds use *Bind* to define participating binding *Residues* and *AtomicBind* to define participating binding *Atoms*.

Last subclass of Protein Complex describes the constraints that affect final protein conformation. The constraints described in Protein Ontology at the moment are: (1) Monogenic and Polygenetic defects present in genes that are present in molecules making proteins in *GeneDefects* subclass, (2) Hydrophobicity properties in *Hydrophobicity* Class, and (3) Modification in Residue Sequences due to Chemical Environment and Mutations are entered in *ModifiedResidue* Class. Data in *GeneDefects* class is entered as instances of *GeneDefects* Class and is normally taken from OMIM database [12] or literature.

IV. RESULTS

The Ontology is at: [http://www.proteinontology.info/](http://www.proteinontology.info/). Complete Documentation about the class hierarchy is available at the website. The Class Diagram and UML Diagrams depicting Protein Ontology are available at the website. The Ontology is defined by Web Ontology Language (OWL) and the complete OWL file is also available online. A Database of 10 Major Prion Proteins available in various Protein data sources, based on the vocabulary provided by Protein Ontology is available. Prion Protein is a membrane bound protein of 253 amino acid residues in length that is normally found in neurons and several other cell types. The abnormal Prion Protein is resistant to digestion with enzymes that breaks down normal proteins, and accumulates in the brain. Abnormal Prion Proteins are the major cause of various Human Prion Diseases in Brain like Fatal Familial Insomnia. Recently, discovery of Interesting Properties of Prion Proteins encouraged Scientists to understand Prion Proteins for finding cure to various Human Brain Diseases. The XML Representation of the Database is available on the Protein Ontology Website and various User Interfaces for the Database will be made available soon. The Protein Ontology currently contains 92 concepts or classes, 261 attributes or properties and 17550 instances, including 17347 instances for Protein Atoms. Some of the information used while defining these Type Definitions is taken from PDB [13, 14, 15, 16], SCOP [17, 18], and OMIM [12] databases.

V. CONCLUSION

Protein Ontology improves on these online protein data resources in number of ways. Firstly, it contains templates for all kinds of protein data that is need to understand proteins, their functionality and the proteomics process itself. Previously there is not such integrated and structured data representation format available. Secondly, majority of the values for many attributes unlike previously are not simply text strings, but has been entered into the ontology as instances of other concepts, defined by Generic Classes. In future, we will provide more instances to validate the Protein Ontology. In long term, we would like to create data input software that can be used to transfer data from Protein Databases into Protein Ontology Database.
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