

1 **Postharvest nitric oxide fumigation delays fruit ripening and alleviates chilling**  
2 **injury during cold storage of Japanese plums (*Prunus salicina* Lindell)**

3

4 S. P. Singh<sup>a</sup>, Zora Singh<sup>a,\*</sup>, E. E. Swinny<sup>b</sup>

5 <sup>a</sup> *Curtin Horticulture Research Laboratory, School of Agriculture and Environment,*  
6 *Curtin University of Technology, GPO Box U1987, Perth, 6845, WA, Australia*

7 <sup>b</sup> *Food and Biological Chemistry Laboratory, Chemistry Centre WA, 125 Hay Street,*  
8 *East Perth, 6004, WA, Australia*

9

10 \*Corresponding author.

11 Tel.: +61-8-9266 4513; fax: +61-8-9266 3063

12 *E-mail address:* Z.Singh@curtin.edu.au (Z. Singh)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 **Abstract**

2 We investigated the effects of nitric oxide (NO) fumigation on fruit ripening, chilling  
3 injury, and quality of Japanese plums cv. 'Amber Jewel'. Commercially mature fruit  
4 were fumigated with 0, 5, 10, and 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO gas at 20 °C for 2 h. Post-fumigation,  
5 fruit were either allowed to ripen at  $21 \pm 1$  °C or were stored at 0 °C for 5, 6, and 7  
6 weeks followed by ripening for 5 d at  $21 \pm 1$  °C. NO-fumigation, irrespective of  
7 concentration applied, significantly ( $P \leq 0.5$ ) suppressed the respiration and ethylene  
8 production rates during fruit ripening at  $21 \pm 1$  °C. At  $21 \pm 1$  °C, the delay in fruit  
9 ripening caused by NO-fumigation was evident from the restricted skin colour  
10 changes and retarded fruit softening in fumigated fruit. NO treatments (10 and 20  $\mu\text{L}$   
11  $\text{L}^{-1}$ ) delayed the decrease in titratable acidity (TA) without a significant ( $P \leq 0.5$ )  
12 effect on soluble solids concentration (SSC) during fruit ripening. During 5, 6, and 7  
13 weeks of storage at 0 °C, NO-fumigation was effective towards restricting changes in  
14 the fruit ripening related parameters, skin colour, firmness, and TA. The individual  
15 sugars (fructose, glucose, sucrose, and sorbitol) profiles of NO-fumigated fruit were  
16 significantly different from non-fumigated fruit after cold storage and ripening at  $21 \pm$   
17  $1$  °C. CI symptoms, manifested in the form of flesh browning and translucency, were  
18 significantly lower in NO-fumigated fruit as compared to non-fumigated fruit after 5,  
19 6, and 7 weeks storage and followed by ripening for 5 d at  $21 \pm 1$  °C. NO-fumigation  
20 was effective in reducing the decay incidence in plum fruit during ripening without  
21 storage and after cold storage at 0 °C for 5, 6, and 7 weeks. In conclusion, the  
22 postharvest exposure of 'Amber Jewel' plums to NO gas ( $10 \mu\text{L L}^{-1}$ ) delayed fruit  
23 ripening by 3-4 d at  $21 \pm 1$  °C, and also alleviated chilling injury symptoms during  
24 cold storage at 0 °C for 6 weeks.

25 **Keywords:** *Chilling injury; Ethylene; Nitric oxide; Plum; Respiration; Ripening;*  
26 *Storage*

## 1 **1. Introduction**

2 Nitric oxide (NO), a highly reactive free radical gas, acts as a multifunctional  
3 signalling molecule in various physiological processes in animal and plants  
4 (Wendehenne et al., 2001). NO modulates hormonal, wounding, and defence  
5 responses in plant tissues (Wendehenne et al., 2004) and its endogenous levels are  
6 higher in immature than in mature and ripe tissues of climacteric and non-climacteric  
7 fruits (Leshem and Pinchasov, 2000). The endogenous levels of ethylene and NO  
8 during fruit development and maturation have inverse and stoichiometric  
9 relationships. NO levels decrease with maturation and senescence in horticultural  
10 crops (Leshem et al., 1998; Leshem and Pinchasov, 2000), thereby offering an  
11 opportunity for modulation of their levels with exogenous application to exert the  
12 opposite effect.

13 Short-term exposure of intact and fresh-cut horticultural commodities to very  
14 low concentrations of NO is known to retard their postharvest senescence (Wills et al.,  
15 2000; Pristijono et al., 2006; Zhu and Zhou, 2007; Zhu et al., 2008). Postharvest NO  
16 application in intact and fresh-cut produce delayed ripening (Wills et al., 2000; Harris  
17 et al., 2003; Zhu and Zhou, 2007), inhibited ethylene biosynthesis (Leshem et al.,  
18 1998; Zhu and Zhou, 2007; Zhu et al., 2008; Eum et al., 2009), inhibited cut-surface  
19 browning (Pristijono et al., 2006; Wills et al., 2008), and enhanced resistance to  
20 postharvest diseases (Zhu and Zhou, 2007; Fan et al., 2008). The mechanism of action  
21 of NO in delaying senescence of postharvest horticultural produce, though not  
22 completely understood, is *via* the inhibition of ethylene biosynthesis (Leshem et al.,  
23 1998; Zhu et al., 2006; Zhu and Zhou, 2007; Eum et al., 2009). However, adequate  
24 evidence does not exist to ascertain the mode of action of NO.

25 The postharvest life of plums is limited due to the faster rate of ripening,  
26 which is regulated by the endogenous and exogenous levels of ethylene (Abdi et al.,

1 1998; Khan and Singh, 2007a). The regulation of ethylene biosynthesis and/or its  
2 action through postharvest application of 1-methylcyclopropene (1-MCP) delays fruit  
3 ripening and alleviates CI during cold storage of Japanese plums (Khan and Singh,  
4 2007a; Candan et al., 2008). The overall effects of the NO on fruit ripening and  
5 quality are presumed to be similar to the 1-MCP. We hypothesized that NO  
6 fumigation may delay fruit ripening and alleviate CI symptoms during cold storage of  
7 Japanese plums. Therefore, we investigated the effects of NO fumigation on fruit  
8 ripening at ambient and alleviation of CI symptoms during cold storage of ‘Amber  
9 Jewel’ plums. To our knowledge, this is the first report on the NO-induced  
10 postharvest life improvement of plums and alleviation of CI in any fruit.

11

## 12 **2. Material and Methods**

### 13 *2.1 Fruit material*

14 Japanese plums (*P. salicina* Lindell cv. ‘Amber Jewel’) were harvested at commercial  
15 maturity (SSC:  $13.0 \pm 0.21$  %; TA:  $1.19 \pm 0.05$  %; Firmness:  $24.80 \pm 0.45$  N) from  
16 the Red Valley Orchard, Karagullen, Perth Hills (lat.  $31^{\circ} 57' S$ ; long.  $115^{\circ} 50' E$ ),  
17 Western Australia. Fruit trees were 20 years old and were grafted on myrobalan  
18 (*Prunus cerasifera* Ehrh.) rootstock. Fruit trees were planted in a north-south  
19 direction (4.25 m between rows and 1.8 m within rows) and were trained on a palmate  
20 system. Fruit were transported to the laboratory immediately after harvesting, and  
21 were subjected to various treatments. Fruit of uniform size and maturity, free from  
22 visual blemishes and disease were used for the experiments.

23

### 24 *2.2 NO fumigation*

25 Fruit were fumigated with different concentrations of NO (0, 5, 10, and  $20 \mu\text{L L}^{-1}$ ) in  
26 a sealed plastic container (90 L). The desired concentrations of NO were obtained

1 from a cylinder containing  $4810 \pm 100 \mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO in nitrogen (BOC Gases Ltd,  
2 Sydney, NSW, Australia) and injected into the container through an injection port in  
3 the lid of the container. Fruit were held in an atmosphere containing NO for 2 h at 20  
4 °C. NO has been reported to be sufficiently stable at low concentrations and short  
5 treatment times, required for produce to be treated in normal air (Soegiarto et al.,  
6 2003). Therefore, fruit were fumigated with NO in containers having normal air  
7 without depletion of O<sub>2</sub>. Control fruit were sealed in a plastic container for the same  
8 duration except without addition of NO. After 1.5 h of fumigation, the average  
9 concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> in the headspace of treatment containers injected with 0, 5, 10,  
10 and 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO were  $0.29 \pm 0.13 \%$ ,  $0.72 \pm 0.08 \%$ ,  $0.65 \pm 0.27 \%$ , and  $0.69 \pm 0.07$   
11 %, respectively.

12

### 13 *2.3 Experiments*

#### 14 *2.3.1 Effects of NO fumigation on fruit ripening of 'Amber Jewel' plums at ambient* 15 *conditions ( $21 \pm 1$ °C)*

16 The fruit fumigated with different concentrations of NO (0, 5, 10, and 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$ ) were  
17 kept at  $21 \pm 1$  °C, RH  $60.4 \pm 7.3 \%$  for ripening. The experimental design was  
18 completely randomized including two factors, NO-fumigation and ripening period.  
19 All treatments were replicated three times, and ten fruit were treated as an  
20 experimental unit. Respiration and ethylene production rates of fumigated and non-  
21 fumigated fruit were determined daily up to 10 d. The fruit were assessed for various  
22 quality parameters (flesh firmness, skin colour, SSC, individual sugars, and TA) at 3 d  
23 intervals during fruit ripening commencing from 0 d.

24

#### 25 *2.3.2 Effects of NO fumigation on development of CI symptoms and quality of 'Amber* 26 *Jewel' plums during cold storage (0 °C)*

1 The fruit fumigated with different concentrations of NO (0, 5, 10, and 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$ ) were  
2 kept in plastic crates lined with 30  $\mu\text{m}$  thick low density polyethylene film (AMCOR  
3 Packaging, Pvt. Ltd., Melbourne, Australia) at  $0 \pm 0.3$  °C, RH  $86.5 \pm 5.5$  %, for 7  
4 weeks. During cold storage, 30 fruit per replication of each treatment (15 fruit per  
5 replication for immediate analysis, fruit allowed to warm to ambient before  
6 assessment and 15 fruit per replication following ripening at  $21 \pm 1$  °C for 5 d) were  
7 transferred from cold store after 5, 6, and 7 weeks to ripen at  $21 \pm 1$  °C for 5 d. The  
8 experimental design was completely randomized including two-factors, NO-  
9 fumigation and storage/ripening period. Fifteen fruit were treated as an experimental  
10 unit.

11

#### 12 *2.4 Determination of ethylene production rate*

13 Ethylene production rate of the fruit (two fruit per experimental unit) was determined  
14 as previously described by Khan and Singh (2007a) using a gas chromatograph  
15 (6890N Network GC system; Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA) fitted with  
16 a 2 m long stainless steel column (Porapak-Q, 3.18 mm, mesh size 80/100; Supelco,  
17 Bellefonte, PA, USA) and a flame ionization detector (FID). Ethylene production rate  
18 was expressed as  $\text{mmol kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .

19

#### 20 *2.5 Determination of respiration rate*

21 Respiration rate of plum fruit, measured on the basis of amount of  $\text{CO}_2$  evolved, was  
22 determined as described earlier by Khan and Singh (2007b) using an infra-red gas  
23 analyser (Servomex, Gas Analyser, Analyser Series 1450; Servomex Ltd., East  
24 Sussex, UK). The respiration rate of fruit was expressed as  $\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .

25

#### 26 *2.6 Fruit quality evaluation*

1    2.6.1 *Flesh firmness*

2    Flesh firmness was measured using a texture analyser (TA Plus, AMETEK Lloyd  
3    Instruments Ltd, Hampshire, UK) interfaced to a personal computer with Nexygen®  
4    software. A 5/16" Magness-Taylor probe, with a 500 N load cell on, punctured the  
5    peeled fruit at a crosshead speed of 100 mm min<sup>-1</sup> to 7.5 mm depth. Five fruit per  
6    replication were subjected to firmness test with each fruit punctured on both the sides  
7    at equatorial region. The firmness was expressed as newtons (N).

8

9    2.6.2 *Fruit skin and flesh colour*

10   The changes in fruit colour parameters including,  $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ ,  $b^*$  were measured with a  
11   Hunterlab ColorFlex 45 ° / 0 ° Spectrophotometer (Hunter Associates Inc., Reston,  
12   VA, USA) using the 15 mm aperture. The chroma value ( $c^*$ ) and hue angle ( $h^\circ$ ) were  
13   calculated from chromaticity values  $a^*$  and  $b^*$  as reported earlier by Khan and Singh  
14   (2007b). To measure skin colour, four readings were taken at the opposite directions  
15   of each fruit. For flesh colour measurements, fruit were cut around the equatorial axis,  
16   and four readings were taken from the mesocarp tissue of each half of fruit. Ten fruit  
17   constituted one replication unit for skin and flesh colour measurements.

18

19   2.6.3 *SSC and TA*

20   To determine the SSC of fruit juice, a digital refractometer (Atago- Palette PR 101;  
21   Atago Co., Tokyo, Japan) was used and SSC was expressed as % soluble solids. To  
22   determine the TA, juice was titrated against 0.1 N NaOH solution using  
23   phenolphthalein as an indicator to pH 8.2, and was expressed as % malic acid.

24

25   2.6.4 *Extraction and determination of soluble sugars*

1 Flesh tissue (~15 g) was homogenized with 15 mL of extraction buffer containing 3 %  
2 metaphosphoric acid, 2 mM ethylenediaminetetracetic acid (EDTA), and 1 %  
3 polyvinylpolypyrrolidone (PVPP) followed by centrifugation at 15,000 g for 20 min at  
4 4 °C. After centrifugation, 10 mL of each supernatant was flushed through a pre-  
5 conditioned Sep-Pak C-18 cartridge (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). Finally, the sample  
6 extract was filtered through the 0.2 µ nylon syringe filter [Alltech Associates  
7 (Australia) Ltd., NSW, Australia] and loaded into the 1 mL glass vial.

8         The reverse phase-liquid chromatography was performed for the determination  
9 of individual sugars using a high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system  
10 (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). An aliquot (20 µL) of the extract was injected using an  
11 autosampler. Separation of sugars was performed isocratically with 0.005 N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> +  
12 16 % acetonitrile as a mobile phase flowing at 0.3 mL min<sup>-1</sup> using Aminex® 87 X-H  
13 column (300 mm x 7.8 mm; Bio Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) which was  
14 preceded by a micro-guard cartridge (Carbo-C 30 mm x 4.6 mm; Bio Rad  
15 Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) maintained at 25 °C. The detection of sugars was  
16 carried out using a refractive index detector (Waters 2414, Milford, MA, USA). The  
17 concentrations of different sugars- fructose, glucose, sorbitol, and sucrose, were  
18 expressed as g 100g<sup>-1</sup> on fresh weight basis.

19

## 20 *2.7 Chilling injury (CI) index*

21 The incidence of CI was assessed immediately after 5, 6, and 7 weeks of storage at 0  
22 °C, and after 5 d of ripening at 21 ± 1 °C. Fifteen plums per replication (three  
23 replicates) for each treatment were cut around the equatorial axis, the two halves of  
24 each fruit twisted in opposite directions, and the mesocarp was examined for CI  
25 symptoms particularly, flesh browning and translucency. CI index was determined  
26 using an arbitrary scale (0-5) based on the surface area of fruit flesh affected. The



1 scale used was: 0, 0 % area affected; 1, 1-20 % area affected; 2, 21-40 % area  
2 affected; 3, 41-60 % area affected; 4, 61-80 % area affected; 5, 81-100 % area  
3 affected. CI index was calculated by multiplying the number of fruit scored with the  
4 same value of the hedonic scale with the corresponding scale number. Finally, the  
5 resultant number was divided by the total number of fruit.

6

## 7 *2.8 Decay incidence*

8 Fruit showing symptoms of rot, irrespective of the severity, were considered a loss.

9 The decay incidence was recorded in fruit kept at  $21 \pm 1$  °C after 9 and 12 d.

10 Similarly, decay incidence was recorded in fruit stored for 5, 6, and 7 weeks at 0 °C,  
11 and also subsequent to ripening at  $21 \pm 1$  °C for 5 d. The percent decay incidence was  
12 determined by following the formula:  $(X/Y) \times 100$ , in which X is the number of fruit  
13 decayed and Y is the total number of fruit kept for observations at the beginning of  
14 storage.

15

## 16 *2.9 Statistical analyses*

17 The experimental data were subjected to Genstat (release 9.1; Lawes Agricultural  
18 Trust, Rothamsted Experimental Station, Rothamsted, UK) using two-way analysis of  
19 variance (ANOVA) including treatments and storage period. The effects of various  
20 treatments and storage period were assessed using two-way ANOVA and Fisher's  
21 least significant differences were calculated following a significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) F test.  
22 All the assumptions of ANOVA were checked to ensure validity of statistical analysis.

23

## 24 **3. Results**

### 25 *3.1 Respiration and ethylene production rate*

1 Exogenous application of NO, irrespective of concentration, significantly ( $P \leq 0.5$ )  
2 reduced the rate of respiration during fruit ripening in ‘Amber Jewel’ plums at  $21 \pm 1$   
3 °C (Fig. 1A). Non-fumigated fruit exhibited a respiratory climacteric on the 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup>  
4 day of fruit ripening. Respiration rate of NO-fumigated fruit was about 2-fold lower  
5 than non-fumigated fruit on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of fruit ripening. The differences in the  
6 respiration rates of fruit fumigated with 10 and 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO were non-significant,  
7 but were significantly ( $P \leq 0.5$ ) lower than those fumigated with 5  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO.

8 NO concentrations of 10 and 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  significantly ( $P \leq 0.5$ ) inhibited the  
9 ethylene production rate in ‘Amber Jewel’ plums during fruit ripening at  $21 \pm 1$  °C as  
10 compared to 0 and 5  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO (Fig. 1B). Non-fumigated fruit exhibited a rise in  
11 ethylene production during fruit ripening and achieved a climacteric peak on the 6<sup>th</sup>  
12 day. Fumigation of fruit with 10 and 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO completely inhibited the ethylene  
13 production for the first 8 d of ripening. However, ethylene production was resumed on  
14 the 5<sup>th</sup> day in fruit subjected to 5  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO-fumigation and a peak in ethylene  
15 production rate, about 4-fold lower than the non-fumigated fruit, was observed on the  
16 following day.

17

### 18 *3.2 Flesh firmness*

19 Throughout the ripening period at  $21 \pm 1$  °C, NO-fumigated fruit had a significantly  
20 ( $P \leq 0.5$ ) higher flesh firmness than non-fumigated fruit (Fig. 2A). During the first 9  
21 d, the decrease in flesh firmness in fruit exposed to 0, 5, 10, and 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO was  
22 2.9-, 1.6-, 1.5- and 1.6-fold, respectively. Fruit fumigated with 0, 5, 10, and 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$   
23 NO concentrations, respectively, retained 8.4, 26.5, 39.4, and 41.5 % of their initial  
24 firmness values on the 12<sup>th</sup> day. The differences in the flesh firmness of fruit exposed  
25 to different concentrations of NO were practically significant and broad on the 12<sup>th</sup>  
26 day in contrast to the differences on the 9<sup>th</sup> day.

1           During storage at 0 °C and subsequent ripening, all NO-fumigated fruit  
2 exhibited significantly ( $P \leq 0.5$ ) higher flesh firmness than non-fumigated fruit (Fig.  
3 2B). A significant decrease in flesh firmness was observed during storage at 0 °C for  
4 5, 6, and 7 weeks, irrespective of the treatment. However, exposure of fruit to 20  $\mu\text{L}$   
5  $\text{L}^{-1}$  NO concentration was more effective as compared to other treatments in reducing  
6 the firmness loss during storage up to 6 weeks. At the end of 7 weeks storage period,  
7 the flesh firmness values of fruit fumigated with 5, 10, and 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO  
8 concentrations were 1.4-, 1.6-, 1.5-fold higher than non-fumigated fruit. After each  
9 storage interval, 5, 6, and 7 weeks, flesh firmness further decreased during fruit  
10 ripening at  $21 \pm 1$  °C for 5 d. All NO-treatments significantly reduced the fruit  
11 softening during ripening subsequent to storage for 5, 6, and 7 weeks. The positive  
12 effects of NO-fumigation on the retention of fruit firmness during ripening declined  
13 significantly on weeks 6 and 7, but fruit fumigated with 10 and 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$   
14 concentrations were, respectively, 1.6- and 2.4-fold firmer than non-fumigated ones.

15

### 16 *3.3 Skin colour*

17 All NO-fumigation treatments significantly ( $P \leq 0.5$ ) restricted the changes in fruit  
18 skin chromaticity  $L^*$  and chroma ( $c^*$ ) values during ripening at  $21 \pm 1$  °C (data not  
19 shown). The decline in hue angle ( $h^\circ$ ) observed during 12 d of fruit ripening was  
20 slower in NO-fumigated fruit than non-fumigated fruit (Fig. 2C). The hue angle  
21 values of fruit fumigated with 0, 5, 10 and 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO were about 9.5-, 3.3-, 2.6-,  
22 and 2.3-fold lower after 12 d than at harvest, respectively.

23           During storage at 0 °C for 5, 6 and 7 weeks, chromaticity values  $L^*$  decreased  
24 significantly ( $P \leq 0.5$ ) in non-fumigated fruit than in fumigated ones (data not shown).  
25 The chroma values of fruit after 5 days at  $21 \pm 1$  °C subsequent to cold storage did not  
26 differ significantly ( $P \leq 0.5$ ), irrespective of the NO-concentration applied (data not

1 shown). Hue angle values decreased during storage as well as ripening, and were  
2 lower in fruit fumigated with 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO compared to those fumigated with 10  $\mu\text{L}$   
3  $\text{L}^{-1}$  NO after 5, 6, and 7 weeks storage as well as plus 5 d of ripening at  $21 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  (Fig.  
4 2D).

5

#### 6 *3.4 SSC, individual sugars, and TA*

7 NO-fumigation did not significantly ( $P \leq 0.5$ ) affect the changes in SSC during fruit  
8 ripening at  $21 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  (Fig. 3A). However, an increase in the SSC was restricted to  
9 some extent in the fumigated fruit. On the 12<sup>th</sup> day, fruit fumigated with 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO  
10 concentration had the highest SSC (13.97 %) followed by those fumigated with 5, 10,  
11 and 0  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO concentrations (13.5, 13.4, and 12.97 %, respectively). NO-  
12 fumigation significantly ( $P \leq 0.5$ ) influenced the changes in concentrations of glucose,  
13 sorbitol and sucrose, but not of fructose and total sugars, which is the sum of  
14 individual sugars concentrations. The concentrations of fructose and glucose  
15 increased during ripening in fruit from all treatments including control. No significant  
16 differences in fructose concentration were observed in fruit from different treatments  
17 after 12 d at  $21 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  (Fig. 4A), but glucose concentration was significantly higher in  
18 fruit exposed to 10 or 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO compared to non-fumigated fruit (Fig. 4B). The  
19 levels of sucrose and sorbitol decreased during the early phase of ripening (3 and 6 d)  
20 with a subsequent increase in their concentrations on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of fruit ripening,  
21 and their concentrations were significantly higher in fruit exposed to 0 and 5  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$   
22 NO compared to those fumigated with 10 or 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO (Figs. 4C & 4D). Similar  
23 to SSC, total sugars concentration was not significantly affected by the NO-  
24 fumigation (data not shown).

25 NO-fumigation significantly ( $P \leq 0.5$ ) reduced the decrease in TA during fruit  
26 ripening (Fig. 3C). The differences in TA of fruit fumigated with 10 and 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$

1 NO concentrations were not significant ( $P \leq 0.5$ ) on the 9<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> day at  $21 \pm 1$  °C.  
2 Fruit fumigated with NO gas had significantly ( $P \leq 0.5$ ) lower SSC: TA ratio than  
3 non-fumigated ones, suggesting retarded fruit ripening. A significant improvement in  
4 the SSC: TA ratio was observed during fruit ripening for 12 d (data not shown).

5 A minor decrease in SSC of fruit for all treatments was observed after 5 weeks  
6 of storage period, followed by a slight increase for fumigated fruit and a further  
7 decrease for non-fumigated fruit after 6 and 7 weeks (Fig. 3B). Fruit fumigated with  
8  $20 \mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO concentration had lower SSC than those fumigated with either 5 or 10  
9  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO concentrations after 5 d of ripening on each storage interval, 5, 6 and 7  
10 weeks. Statistically, treatment effect on the totals sugars concentration in stored fruit  
11 was non-significant (data not shown). Nevertheless, NO-fumigation had a significant  
12 effect on the individual sugars profiles of fruit. Fructose and glucose concentrations  
13 increased significantly during cold storage in all treatments, but the increase in  
14 fructose was much higher in non-fumigated fruit compared to fumigated ones (Fig.  
15 4E). Glucose concentration was found significantly higher in fruit fumigated with 10  
16 and  $20 \mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO compared to those fumigated with 0 and  $5 \mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO after 5 and 6  
17 weeks of storage, but the differences in glucose levels were smaller after 7 weeks  
18 (Fig. 4F). Regardless of treatment, a significant reduction in sucrose concentration  
19 was observed in fruit during cold storage (Fig. 4G). NO-fumigated fruit retained  
20 slightly higher sucrose concentration after 5 weeks of storage than non-fumigated  
21 fruit, but the differences were statistically significant. Sorbitol concentration also  
22 decreased during storage, but its concentration was significantly higher in non-  
23 fumigated fruit than fumigated ones (Fig. 4H).

24 NO-fumigated fruit retained TA better than non-fumigated fruit during storage  
25 for 5, 6, and 7 weeks (Fig. 3D). TA content decreased during 5 d ripening after cold  
26 storage; however, the fumigated fruit still had comparatively higher TA than non-

1 fumigated fruit. As a consequence of reduction in TA, an increase in SSC: TA ratio  
2 was observed both during storage and ripening (data not shown).

3

### 4 *3.5 Chilling injury (CI)*

5 CI symptoms in the form of flesh browning and translucency were manifested  
6 immediately after cold storage and also after 5 d of fruit ripening at  $21 \pm 1$  °C. The  
7 severity of CI increased during fruit ripening after cold storage (Fig. 5A). All NO-  
8 treatments significantly ( $P \leq 0.5$ ) reduced the CI symptoms during storage and  
9 ripening (Fig. 5A). Fruit exposed to 10 and 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO concentrations exhibited  
10 significantly lower CI index during storage and ripening after 5 and 6 weeks than non-  
11 fumigated and those fumigated with 5  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO. However, the NO-fumigation was  
12 more effective in alleviating CI symptoms after 5 and 6 weeks of storage than after 7  
13 weeks of storage at 0 °C. The subjective evaluation of CI index was further confirmed  
14 by measuring the flesh chromaticity  $L^*$  values of the stored fruit. Fruit suffered from  
15 CI exhibited a lower flesh chromaticity  $L^*$  value, either due to flesh browning or  
16 translucency, than the healthy or fruit with minor injury (Fig. 5B).

17

### 18 *3.6 Decay incidence*

19 Fruit were not given any postharvest fungicide treatment in order to observe any  
20 beneficial effect of NO-fumigation. NO-fumigations (10 and 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$ ) were very  
21 effective in reducing the decay incidence in 'Amber Jewel' plums (Fig. 6). During the  
22 first 9 d at  $21 \pm 1$  °C, the decay incidence in fruit exposed to 0, 5, 10, and 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$   
23 NO was ~ 26, 20, 2.2, and 2.2 %, respectively, which further increased to ~ 75, 41,  
24 20, and 25 % on the 12<sup>th</sup> day. NO-fumigated and non-fumigated fruit stored for 7  
25 weeks and then held for 5 d at  $21 \pm 1$  °C showed more decay incidence than those  
26 stored for 5 and 6 weeks.

#### 1 **4. Discussion**

2 Postharvest exposure of 'Amber Jewel' plums to NO gas (5, 10, and 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$ )  
3 significantly reduced the respiration rate and ethylene production during fruit ripening  
4 at  $21 \pm 1$  °C (Fig. 1). The suppression of respiration during fruit ripening in NO-  
5 fumigated peaches and strawberries has been reported earlier (Zhu et al., 2006; Zhu  
6 and Zhou, 2007; Flores et al., 2008). We observed a strong inhibition of ethylene  
7 production in NO-fumigated fruit leading to a delay in the onset of ripening and  
8 senescence (Fig. 1B). The inhibition of ethylene biosynthesis has been reported in  
9 NO-fumigated kiwifruit, peach, strawberry, and tomato (Zhu et al., 2006; Zhu and  
10 Zhou, 2007; Flores et al., 2008; Eum et al., 2009). Anti-senescent action of NO on  
11 plant tissues has been proposed to take place *via* the inhibition of ethylene  
12 biosynthesis (Leshem et al., 1998; Zhu et al., 2006).

13         The reduction in ethylene production during fruit ripening in NO-fumigated  
14 fruit may be due to binding of NO with ACC oxidase and ACC to form a stable  
15 ternary complex, thus limiting the ethylene production (Tierney et al., 2005). Zhu et  
16 al. (2006) supported the proposed mechanism of action of NO in peach fruit and  
17 showed that ethylene biosynthesis was mainly due to decreased activity of ACC  
18 oxidase and accumulation of ACC and 1-malonylaminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic  
19 acid (MACC) without any significant effect on ACC synthase activity. Recently, it  
20 was confirmed that the inhibition of ethylene biosynthesis in NO-fumigated tomatoes  
21 was due to decreased and delayed expression of ACC oxidase genes (Eum et al.,  
22 2008). However, another study on strawberry revealed that NO decreased the activity  
23 of ACC synthase, but not ACC oxidase (Zhu and Zhou, 2007). Therefore, we  
24 speculate that either one of these proposed or any other mechanism of action of NO  
25 *via* ethylene inhibition may exist in Japanese plums. Exploring the possible mode of

1 action of NO in ethylene inhibition in climacteric and suppressed-climacteric type  
2 plums is worthy of further study.

3 Fruit softening was significantly reduced by NO-fumigation (Figs. 2A & 2B)  
4 which might be due to decreased activities of fruit softening enzymes caused by  
5 inhibition of ethylene production. Ethylene is directly involved in increasing the  
6 activities of fruit softening enzymes (pectin esterase, endoglucanase, exo- and endo-  
7 polygalacturonase) in the Japanese plums (Khan and Singh, 2007a). It is, therefore,  
8 possible that inhibition of ethylene production through NO-fumigation as observed in  
9 our study and blocking of its action through 1-MCP (Abdi et al., 1998; Khan and  
10 Singh, 2007a) helps to retard the fruit softening process. Fumigation of peaches with 5  
11 or 10  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO (Zhu et al., 2006; Flores et al., 2008) and kiwifruit with 1  $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$   
12 NO (Zhu et al., 2008) has been reported to retard the fruit softening during storage  
13 and ripening, but a higher concentration of 15  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO in peaches and 2  $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$   
14 NO in kiwifruit enhanced the fruit softening. The increase in NO concentrations from  
15 5 to 20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  did not significantly increase fruit softening in plums during fruit  
16 ripening for 12 d. Higher concentration (20  $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$ ) instead helped to retain higher  
17 firmness during storage at 0°C for 5 and 6 weeks. More research is required to  
18 examine if the enhanced fruit softening effect in plums may be obtained by applying  
19 higher concentrations of NO.

20 The magnitude of the effects of NO-fumigation on changes in the hue angle of  
21 skin during fruit ripening at  $21 \pm 1$  °C was dose-dependent (Figs. 2C). The decrease in  
22  $L^*$  value and hue angle marked the increase in the skin colour intensity and  
23 accumulation of anthocyanin during fruit ripening in plums (Khan and Singh, 2007b).  
24 Abdi et al. (1998) also reported that 1-MCP treatment, whose effects are presumed to  
25 be similar to NO, delayed the decrease in hue angle of 'Beauty' plums during 14 d at  
26 20 °C either in the presence of air or propylene. Skin colour changes were noticed in



1 NO-fumigated fruit even in the absence of ethylene production (Figs. 1B & 2C) which  
2 indicates that colour development in 'Amber Jewel' plums during fruit ripening may  
3 not be completely dependent on ethylene production. Abdi et al (1998) also proposed  
4 that ethylene acts as a catalyst in hastening and co-ordinating the pigment  
5 biosynthesis and chlorophyll loss in the climacteric and non-climacteric plums. The  
6 decrease in hue angle values of fruit fumigated with  $20 \mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO was significantly  
7 higher than those fumigated with  $10 \mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO after 5, 6, and 7 weeks storage plus 5  
8 d at  $21 \pm 1 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ . It is still not elucidated why a higher concentration of NO is also  
9 counterproductive in peaches and kiwifruit (Zhu et al., 2006; Flores et al., 2008; Zhu  
10 et al., 2008).

11 The changes in other quality parameters such as, SSC, TA and SSC: TA ratios  
12 were restricted in NO-fumigated fruit during storage and ripening. Our results are in  
13 agreement with Zhu et al. (2006, 2008) who reported a delay in the increase of SSC in  
14 peaches and kiwifruit fumigated with 5 or  $10 \mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO and 0.5 or  $1 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$  NO,  
15 respectively, during fruit ripening and storage. The increase in SSC: TA ratio, also  
16 called ripening index, was significantly reduced in NO-fumigated fruit during fruit  
17 ripening and storage. Similar to Zhu et al. (2006, 2008), we also observed that SSC  
18 and SSC: TA ratio were higher in fruit exposed to  $20 \mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO concentration than  
19 those exposed to  $10 \mu\text{L L}^{-1}$  NO. Our data shows that NO-fumigation significantly  
20 influenced the changes in individual sugars during fruit ripening and storage without a  
21 significant effect on the SSC and totals sugars. It appears that the effects of NO-  
22 fumigation are not only limited to SSC, but are extended to postharvest sugar  
23 metabolism of fruit. The role of NO in influencing the metabolism of sugars in fruit  
24 has not been defined yet and would be worthy of studying. Previously, 1-MCP  
25 treatment has been reported to delay the increase in the SSC: TA ratio during cold  
26 storage and ripening of 'Santa Rosa' (Martínez-Romero et al., 2003). Plums fruit

1 ripening involves a slight increase in sugars concentration and a significant loss of  
2 malic acid, the major organic acid (Singh and Singh, 2008), which result into an  
3 increase in the SSC: TA ratio. Irrespective of treatment, a marked decrease in the  
4 sucrose concentration during cold storage may be attributed to its hydrolysis leading  
5 to increase in concentrations of fructose and glucose, depending upon the treatment.  
6 The patterns of the changes in different types of sugars during storage and ripening  
7 are very complex and inconclusive.

8         The occurrence of CI and increase in its severity during the fruit ripening at 21  
9  $\pm 1$  °C after cold storage were observed (Fig 5A). These observations are consistent  
10 with the findings of Candan et al. (2008) in ‘Larry Ann’ plums. Crisosto et al. (1999)  
11 also described similar CI symptoms in the various cultivars of plums stored for 5  
12 weeks at 0 °C. The decrease in flesh chromaticity L\* value supports the subjective  
13 evaluation of CI in affected fruit (Fig. 5B). The flesh browning may be related to the  
14 disintegration of tissue membrane resulting into the enzymatic oxidation of phenolic  
15 compounds by polyphenoloxidase (PPO) to *o*-quinones, which are brown coloured  
16 polymers. Our data suggest that the beneficial effect of NO-fumigation on alleviation  
17 of CI decreased in fruit stored beyond 6 weeks. The alleviation of CI symptoms in  
18 plums could be attributed to the inhibition of ethylene production during cold storage  
19 of NO-fumigated fruit as reported in case of kiwifruit and peaches (Zhu et al, 2006,  
20 2008). A reduction in CI symptoms during cold storage of 1-MCP- treated ‘Larry  
21 Ann’ plums was observed by Candan et al. (2008), which suggests a significant role  
22 of ethylene in the series of events associated with the initiation and development of CI  
23 symptoms in plums during cold storage.

24         The decay incidence was significantly lower in NO-fumigated fruit as  
25 compared to non-fumigated fruit during ripening at 21  $\pm 1$  °C and cold storage at 0 °C  
26 for 7 weeks (Fig. 6). Exogenous application of NO has been reported to enhance the

1 disease resistance in postharvest strawberries and tomatoes (Zhu and Zhou, 2007; Fan  
2 et al., 2008). These authors proposed that NO application initially triggered the  
3 endogenous H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> level and delayed its accumulation in higher concentrations at later  
4 stages. More research is required to reveal the mechanism of NO-induced disease  
5 resistance in postharvest fruits and vegetables.

6 This study has shown that postharvest application of NO (10 µL L<sup>-1</sup>) has  
7 potential to delay the fruit ripening in Japanese plums. NO fumigation may be useful  
8 to improve the storage potential of plums at 0°C through alleviation of CI symptoms  
9 to some extent. Further work is required to understand the mechanisms of action of  
10 NO gas in the climacteric and suppressed-climacteric type plums that may allow its  
11 commercial use in future.

12

### 13 **Acknowledgements**

14 S. P. Singh acknowledges the Department of Education, Employment and Work  
15 Relations (DEEWR), the Commonwealth of Australia and Curtin University of  
16 Technology for financial support. We acknowledge the Red Valley Orchard,  
17 Karagullen, Perth Hills, WA for providing the fruit material and Ms. Susan Petersen  
18 for technical support.

19

### 20 **References**

21 Abdi, N., McGlasson, W.B., Holford, P., Williams, M., Mizrahi, Y., 1998. Responses  
22 of climacteric and suppressed-climacteric plums to treatment with propylene  
23 and 1-methylcyclopropene. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 14, 29–39.  
24 Candan, A.P., Graell, J., Larrigaudière, C., 2008. Roles of climacteric ethylene in the  
25 development of chilling injury in plums. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 47, 107-  
26 112.

- 1 Crisosto, C.H., Mitchell, F.G., Ju, Z., 1999. Susceptibility to chilling injury of peach,  
2 nectarine, and plum cultivars grown in California. HortScience 34, 1116-1118.
- 3 Eum, H.L., Kim, H.B., Choi, S.B., Lee, S.K., 2009. Regulation of ethylene  
4 biosynthesis by nitric oxide in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) fruit  
5 harvested at different ripening stages. Euro. Food Res. Technol. 228, 331-338.
- 6 Fan, B., Shen, L., Liu, K., Zhao, D., Yu, M., 2008. Interaction between nitric oxide  
7 and hydrogen peroxide in postharvest tomato resistance response to *Rhizopus*  
8 *nigricans*. J. Sci. Food Agric. 88, 1238-1244.
- 9 Flores, F.B., Sánchez-Bel, P., Valdenegro, M., Romojaro, F., Martínez-Madrid, M.C.,  
10 Egea, M.I., 2008. Effects of a pretreatment with nitric oxide on peach (*Prunus*  
11 *persica* L.) storage at room temperature. Euro. Food Res. Technol. 227, 1599-  
12 1611.
- 13 Harris, D.R., Wills, R.B.H., Seberry, J.A., Ward, K.R., 2003. Use of ISONOP200 for  
14 measurement of NO in the gas phase under controlled humidity conditions.  
15 Nitric Oxide: Biol. Chem. 9, 135-140.
- 16 Khan, A.S., Singh, Z., 2007a. 1-MCP regulates ethylene biosynthesis and fruit  
17 softening during ripening of 'Tegan Blue' plum. Postharvest Biol. Technol.  
18 43, 298-306.
- 19 Khan, A.S., Singh, Z., 2007b. Methyl jasmonate promotes fruit ripening and improves  
20 fruit quality in Japanese plum. J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol. 82, 695-706.
- 21 Leshem, Y.Y., Pinchasov, Y., 2000. Non-invasive photoacoustic spectroscopic  
22 determination of relative endogenous nitric oxide and ethylene content  
23 stoichiometry during the ripening of strawberries *Fragaria ananassa* (Duch.)  
24 and avocado *Persea americana* (Mill.). J. Exp. Bot. 51, 1471-1473.

1 Leshem, Y.Y., Wills, R.B.H., Ku, V.V.V., 1998. Evidence for the function of the free  
2 radical gas - nitric oxide (NO<sup>•</sup>) - as an endogenous maturation and senescence  
3 regulating factor in higher plants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 36, 825-833.

4 Martínez-Romero, D., Dupille, E., Guillèn, F., Valverde, J.M., Serrano, M., Valero,  
5 D., 2003. 1-Methylcyclopropene increases storability and shelf life in  
6 climacteric and nonclimacteric plums. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 51, 4680-4686.

7 Pristijono, P., Wills, R.B.H., Golding, J.B., 2006. Inhibition of browning on the  
8 surface of apple slices by short term exposure to nitric oxide (NO) gas.  
9 *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 42, 256-259.

10 Singh, S.P., Singh, Z., 2008. Major flavor components in some commercial cultivars  
11 of Japanese plum. *J. Am. Pomol. Soc.* 62, 185-190.

12 Soegiarto, L., Wills, R.B.H., Seberry, J.A., Leshem, Y.Y., 2003. Nitric oxide  
13 degradation in oxygen atmospheres and rate of uptake by horticultural  
14 produce. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 28, 327-331.

15 Tierney, D.L., Rocklin, A.M., Lipscomb, J.D., Que, L., Jr., Hoffman, B.M., 2005.  
16 ENDOR studies of the ligation and structure of the non-heme iron site in ACC  
17 oxidase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 127, 7005-7013.

18 Wendehenne, D., Pugin, A., Klessig, D.F., Durner, J., 2001. Nitric oxide: comparative  
19 synthesis and signaling in animal and plant cells. *Trends Plant Sci.* 6, 177-183.

20 Wendehenne, D., Durner, J., Klessig, D.F., 2004. Nitric oxide: a new player in plant  
21 signalling and defence responses. *Current Opinions Plant Biol.* 7, 449-455.

22 Wills, R.B.H., Ku, V.V.V., Leshem, Y.Y., 2000. Fumigation with nitric oxide to  
23 extend the postharvest life of strawberries. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 18, 75-  
24 79.

- 1 Wills, R.B.H., Pristijono, P., Golding, J.B., 2008. Browning on the surface of cut  
2 lettuce slices inhibited by short term exposure to nitric oxide (NO). Food  
3 Chem. 107, 1387-1392.
- 4 Zhu, S., Liu, M., Zhou, J., 2006. Inhibition by nitric oxide of ethylene biosynthesis  
5 and lipoxygenase activity in peach fruit during storage. Postharvest Biol.  
6 Technol. 42, 41-48.
- 7 Zhu, S., Sun, L., Liu, M., Zhou, J., 2008. Effect of nitric oxide on reactive oxygen  
8 species and antioxidant enzymes in kiwifruit during storage. J. Sci. Food  
9 Agric. 88, 2324-2331.
- 10 Zhu, S., Zhou, J., 2007. Effect of nitric oxide on ethylene production in strawberry  
11 fruit during storage. Food Chem. 100, 1517-1522.

12

### 13 **Figures captions**

14 Fig. 1. Effects of nitric oxide (NO) fumigation on the respiration (A) and ethylene  
15 production rates (B) of 'Amber Jewel' plums during the fruit ripening period at  $21 \pm 1$   
16 °C. Vertical bars represent S.E. of means. Some error bars are invisible due to the  
17 lower value of S.E against the y-axis scale.

18 Fig. 2. Effects of nitric oxide (NO) fumigation on flesh firmness and hue angle of  
19 'Amber Jewel' plums during the fruit ripening period at  $21 \pm 1$  °C (A and C) and after  
20 5, 6, and 7 weeks storage at 0 °C and subsequently ripened at  $21 \pm 1$  °C for 5 d (B and  
21 D). Vertical bars represent S.E. of means. Some error bars are invisible due to the  
22 lower value of S.E against the y-axis scale. Black bars indicate the flesh firmness and  
23 hue angle values at harvest.

24 Fig. 3. Effects of nitric oxide (NO) fumigation on soluble solids concentration (SSC)  
25 and titratable acidity (TA) of 'Amber Jewel' plums during the fruit ripening period at

1  $21 \pm 1$  °C (A and C) and after 5, 6, and 7 weeks storage at 0 °C and subsequently  
2 ripened at  $21 \pm 1$  °C for 5 d (B and D).  $n = 3$  replicates. Vertical bars represent S.E. of  
3 means. Some error bars are invisible due to the lower value of S.E against the y-axis  
4 scale. Black bars indicate the SSC and TA at harvest.

5 Fig. 4. Effects of nitric oxide (NO) fumigation on the concentrations of fructose,  
6 glucose, sucrose, and sorbitol of ‘Amber Jewel’ plums during the fruit ripening period  
7 at  $21 \pm 1$  °C (A, B, C, and D, respectively) and after 5, 6, and 7 weeks storage at 0 °C  
8 and subsequently ripened at  $21 \pm 1$  °C for 5 d (E, F, G, and H, respectively).  $n = 3$   
9 replicates. Vertical bars represent S.E. of means. Some error bars are invisible due to  
10 the lower value of S.E against the y-axis scale. Black bars indicate the concentrations  
11 of fructose, glucose, sucrose, and sorbitol at harvest.

12 Fig. 5. Effects of nitric oxide (NO) fumigation on chilling injury (CI) index (A) and  
13 flesh chromaticity  $L^*$  (B) of ‘Amber Jewel’ plums stored for 5, 6, and 7 weeks at 0 °C  
14 and subsequently ripened at  $21 \pm 1$  °C for 5 d. Vertical bars represent S.E. of means.  
15 Black bar indicates the flesh chromaticity value at harvest.

16 Fig. 6. Effects of nitric oxide (NO) fumigation on decay incidence in ‘Amber Jewel’  
17 plums either stored for 12 d at  $21 \pm 1$  °C or stored for 5, 6, and 7 weeks at 0 °C and  
18 subsequently ripened at  $21 \pm 1$  °C for 5 d. Vertical bars represent S.E. of means.