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Abstract
This article presents an evaluation of a new upper secondary Earth and Environmental Science (EES) course in Western Australia. Twenty-seven EES teachers were interviewed and 243 students were surveyed about the degree of difficulty, relevance and interest of EES topics in the course. The impact of the course on students' views about EES topics was also explored. It was found that more than two thirds of the students chose to study EES because of personal interest. However, students perceived that some Earth science topics were difficult, boring or irrelevant. A lack of content knowledge from lower secondary science contributed to these perceptions. Nevertheless, teachers and students perceived that their understanding and attitudes towards environmental science topics such as climate change was improved. With the advent of a new Australian senior secondary science curriculum that includes EES, the implications of the findings for curriculum development and teacher professional development are discussed.

Earth and environmental science (EES) education is becoming increasingly important in school science education. With global issues of sustainability, climate change, threats to biodiversity, and dwindling energy and mineral resources, it is important that young people are scientifically literate with respect to the complex multidisciplinary science underpinning these issues. EES courses are being introduced internationally at all levels of schooling as countries attempt to produce environmentally literate citizens, capable of understanding both the daily discourse on such topics, as well as the consequences of actions they undertake in their everyday lives (e.g., Chang, Chang, & Yang, 2009; Chapman, 2011; Jenkins, 2000; Metz, McMillan, Maxwell, & Tetrault, 2010). An understanding of environmental science content by young people can improve their attitudes and subsequent behaviour regarding the environment (Ballentyne, Fien, & Packer, 1996; Bradley, Waliczek, & Zajic, 1999).

Traditionally, Earth science has not had the same prestige as physics, chemistry and biology and indeed, a survey by Jenkins (2000) in the United Kingdom showed some teachers felt resentment at having to teach the subject. This lack of prestige was
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exacerbated by university courses not including Earth science subjects as prerequisites for their courses (Burg, 2003). A review of the introduction of EES as a new senior school subject in New South Wales by Burg (2003) showed students also viewed this subject as 'easy science' and it often attracted weaker students. Mayer and Armstrong (1990) stated that 'the science curriculum is trapped in the century old curricular strait-jacket of biology, chemistry and physics. This seems to have ensured the neglect of the planet earth systems that are our home and govern our well-being' (p. 155). Such traditional and conservative views on science demonstrate that the introduction of EES as a new upper secondary subject may be challenging.

In 1989, a new national curriculum for science was introduced in England and Wales for 11- to 16-year-olds, which included new aspects of Earth science. Ten years later, King (2001) surveyed teachers about their views and knowledge on teaching Earth science and found that although teachers reported their own Earth science knowledge and that of their students as moderate, in both cases it was actually poor. King believes this was partly due to a lack of professional development and ongoing support for teachers. When surveyed, most teachers reported obtaining their Earth science knowledge from student science textbooks (which contained little Earth science content) and from other teachers (whose Earth science knowledge may also be poor).

In 2004, in Taiwan the Earth Science curriculum was restructured to address students' increasing need of awareness of environmental issues (Chang, Chang, & Yang, 2009). The name was changed from 'Earth Science' to 'Earth and Environmental Science'. Over 1,000 Taiwanese secondary school teachers were surveyed on their views of teaching EES. Teachers were asked to rank certain statements according to their perceived importance. The results demonstrate that a high priority for teachers was to support students' scientific literacy so they could become interested in and understand the world around them.

The introduction of EES as a senior school subject in New South Wales in 2000 brought challenges for teachers. While most teachers felt the combination of Earth science and environmental science was appropriate, teachers also felt the course contained too much information to teach effectively (Burg, 2003). Students also faced challenges with the new subject. After the first year, 32% of students dropped the subject (the highest of any science subject). Nevertheless, the combination of Earth science and environmental science provided a subject with many attributes that potentially linked positively to student learning. Students are exposed to environmental issues and terminology frequently in the media. The relevance of this subject to students was immense, given its media exposure and applications to daily life. Students are interested in learning about and understanding the world around them. Fieldwork and practical work comprise a large part of EES courses, and it has been shown that students show a greater content knowledge when given fieldwork-based instruction as opposed to classroom-based instruction (Elkins & Elkins, 2007). Chang and Weng (2002) also found that students gained a better understanding of content when taught using inquiry-based teaching versus didactic teaching in Earth science, and concluded that 'process skills, especially those of observation and hypothesis formulation skills, be infused throughout all Earth science curricula' (p. 449).

The development and implementation of EES curriculum needs to be informed by findings from environmental education research (Ballantyne & Parker, 1996; Jenson, 2002; Stevenson, 2007). Ballantyne and Parker (1996) developed a theoretical model for the effective teaching of environmental education that focuses on the three key components of knowledge, attitudes and behaviour. Jenson (2002) also highlights the importance of knowledge, attitudes and behaviour in his 'action competence model'. These
models promote a student-centred, collaborative model that is constructivist rather
than didactic.

At present in Australia, each state and territory is responsible for the selection of
science subjects and prescribed curriculum. In the senior secondary years (Years 11 and
12), South Australia, Queensland and the Northern Territory schools offer Geology. The
Australian Capital Territory teaches Earth Science. Tasmanian and Victorian schools
offer Environmental Science. New South Wales is the only other state besides Western
Australia to offer EES.

However, an Australia-wide national curriculum for Kindergarten to Year 12 is
currently being developed and implemented. In Kindergarten to Year 10 there is an
Earth and space sciences strand. In the senior secondary years there are four courses
— Biology, Chemistry, Physics and EES — that are currently being developed for full
implementation from 2015 (Australian Curriculum Assessment and Reporting Authority,
2013). This will standardise the teaching of science occurring in senior secondary
schools Australia-wide and ensure students are entering tertiary institutions with sim-
ilar background knowledge and skills. The inclusion of EES as one of the four senior
secondary science subjects highlights the recognised importance of the need for both
understanding and human resources in this field in Australia.

An understanding of EES is particularly important in Western Australia. Western
Australia is the largest state in Australia, covering almost one third of the country.
The climate of Western Australia is unique, with conditions that vary from Medi-
terranean in the South West, to arid in the centre and monsoonal in the North. In addition,
Western Australia is well known for its resources industry. Yet, Western Australia is
also well known for its pristine natural environment and, in particular, its biodiversity.
Australia is recognised as one of 17 countries that holds more than two thirds of the
world’s known living life forms (Mittermeier, Gil, & Mittermeier, 1997). Of particular
interest is Western Australia’s South West region, which is internationally recognised
as one of 34 ‘biodiversity hotspots’ in the world, defined by Conservation International
as ‘the richest and most threatened reservoirs of plant and animal life on Earth’ (Conser-
vation International, 2007). This is the only ‘biodiversity hotspot’ in Australia. Because
of the wealth of both resources and biodiversity, it is essential for future generations of
Western Australians to be equipped with the knowledge and understanding to benefit
from, as well as protect, the state’s natural heritage.

In 2006, Earth Science Western Australia (ESWA) was formed to promote and
support the teaching of Earth science in secondary schools across Western Australia
(WA). ESWA is a consortium representing Curtin University, the University of Western
Australia, Australia’s Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
(CSIRO), and the Geological Survey of Western Australia and the Western Australian
Museum. ESWA worked closely with the education sector to support the development
of the new Year 11 and 12 course, EES. Since the introduction of EES as a Western Aus-
tralian Curriculum Council approved course in 2007, the number of students studying
EES has steadily increased from 416 in 2008, 591 in 2009, 667 in 2010 to 660 in 2011
and 817 in 2012. In contrast, there has been a slight decrease in the number of stu-
dents studying Biology, Chemistry and Physics. The EES course comprises six semester
length units called 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 3A and 3C. The 3A and 3B units are normally offered
in year 12, while 2A and 2B are offered in Years 11 or 12. 1A and 1B are offered in Year
11. Within the syllabus there are four content areas with topics that are taught in each
pair of units (e.g., 2A/2B). Table 1 summarises the content areas and topics in the EES
course.

The purpose of the research study reported here was to determine teachers’ and
students’ perceptions of the EES course. Part of the research (not reported here)
TABLE 1: EES Content Areas and Topics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content Area</th>
<th>Topics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Earth</td>
<td>1. Earth system, structure and composition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Cycles and processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living Earth</td>
<td>3. Biodiversity through time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Biogeochemical cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth resources</td>
<td>5. Ecological systems and human interactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth and environmental science in</td>
<td>6. Earth formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>daily life</td>
<td>7. Exploration and extraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. Working scientifically</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. Earth and environmental science skills</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

138 examined factors that influenced the uptake of EES in Western Australian secondary 139 schools (Dawson & Moore, 2011). It was found that EES was introduced at schools where 140 the teacher had an educational qualification, employment history or personal interest in geology or environmental science. Teachers perceived that studying EES would 142 increase students’ career and university choices and help them understand the importance of environmental issues in society. This research study addressed the following 143 research questions:

1. Why do students choose to study EES in Years 11 and 12?
2. What EES topics do students find difficult, relevant/useful and interesting?
3. What effect does studying EES have on students’ views about Earth and environmental science topics?

149 Method

The research design and methods were developed within a qualitative research paradigm. An instrumental case study approach (Merriam, 2009; Stake, 2000) was the primary research method used in this study. A case study is a suitable research design for understanding and interpreting a phenomenon. A case study is bounded in terms of time, sample, location and phenomenon. In this case study, the impact of a new upper secondary EES course in Western Australia was examined. Multiple data sources, including teacher interviews, student interviews and questionnaires, and syllabus documents were examined. The data were triangulated to contribute to the reliability of the findings. An instrumental case study is distinguished from other case study types in that it aims to provide insight into an issue (in this situation, the introduction of a new EES course). It is acknowledged that a limitation of case study research designs is that the findings cannot readily be generalised to other contexts. However, the transferability of the findings is enhanced through triangulation, and readers may decide on the extent to which the findings are applicable to their context.

Data sources included semi-structured interviews with EES teachers, focus group interviews with Year 12 EES students and written questionnaires from Years 11 and 12 students studying EES. The use of these multiple sources of data allowed triangulation and cross-checking of emergent hypotheses (Creswell, 2008). Each of these data sources are described below. Before commencing the research study, ethics approval was obtained from the authors’ University and the WA Department of Education.
Teacher Interviews

EES teachers from all 34 schools that offered EES in 2008 and/or 2009 were invited
to participate. A total of 27 teachers from 24 schools agreed to be interviewed. In
10 schools, either no teacher was available or no teacher agreed to be interviewed.
The educational background of the 27 teachers tended to be in either Earth science
or environmental science. Only two teachers had formal qualifications in both Earth
and environmental science. Almost two thirds (15/24) of the schools taught EES in the
science department, while one third (9/24) of schools taught EES in the social studies
department (Dawson & Moore, 2011). The semi-structured teacher interviews (which
ranged in length from 4 to 26 minutes) were audio-recorded and conducted either face
to face or by telephone at a time convenient to the teacher. The purpose of the interview
was to determine:

• what factors influenced the school to offer EES;
• EES teacher background/expertise;
• EES resources used;
• professional development undertaken and needed;
• what parts of the course students find easy, relevant or interesting;
• any perceived changes in students’ attitudes; and
• suggested improvements.

All recorded interviews were fully transcribed and the transcripts were analysed ques-
tion by question. At the first level of analysis, each of the interviewee’s responses to
each of the questions were cut and pasted into separate files. Using a grounded the-
ory approach, the text for each question was analysed for distinct categories or themes
(Corbin & Strauss, 2008). At the second level of analysis, the themes were coded and
the entire text related to each question was coded. The themes and related quotes
were ranked by listing them in order of frequency cited from most frequent to least
frequent.

Student Interviews

Student interviews were conducted with 20 Year 12 students from each of four schools
(one independent, one Catholic and two government schools). The schools were selected
because they represent the three school types in Western Australia. The proportion
matched the types of schools that offered EES in that EES was offered in twice as
many government schools as Catholic and independent schools. The four schools had
offered EES since it commenced in 2007, and relatively large numbers of students
were enrolled in EES. Year 12 students were selected because they had studied EES
over 2 years. The EES teachers were asked to select five students with EES grades
ranging from A to D. The students and the schools are not intended to be representa-
tive of all schools offering EES. The purpose of the interviews was to determine stu-
dents’ perceptions of aspects of the course. Specifically, students were asked questions
about:

• why they chose to study EES;
• future career and study choices;
• whether and how the EES course influenced their attitudes;
• what parts of the course they found easy, relevant or interesting; and
• suggested improvements.

The focus group interviews ranged in length from 30 to 45 minutes. The interviews were
recorded and fully transcribed. The transcripts were analysed question by question as
described for the teacher interviews.
Student Questionnaire

A questionnaire was developed and administered to Years 11 and 12 students to determine what parts of the EES course they found easy/difficult, relevant/irrelevant or interesting/uninteresting. The students were also asked which EES units they had previously completed, which Year 11 and Year 12 courses they were studying, why they chose to study EES, and their future study or career plans for 2010.

Face validity (Creswell, 2008) was ensured through consultation with a tertiary science educator, a geologist and an EES teacher, who independently examined the wording of the questionnaire. Students were asked to rank nine topics (see Appendix) on a scale of 1 to 9 using the criteria of difficulty, relevance or interest. If a topic had not been studied or students were unfamiliar with the topic they were asked to record a U for 'unsure'.

The questionnaire was trialled for construct validity (Creswell, 2008) with a group of five Year 12 EES students from one independent school. As a result of their feedback, examples were provided for each of the nine topics and extra options were added for reasons for studying EES and post-school destinations. A geologist and an EES teacher assisted with the wording of the topic examples. In addition, the term 'relevance' was replaced by 'usefulness'. The questionnaire is included in the Appendix.

The 34 schools that offered EES in 2008 and/or 2009 were approached and their Year 11 and 12 EES students were invited to complete the student questionnaire. Students from 13 schools were unable to participate. The reasons for schools not participating were that EES was no longer offered (five schools) or that teachers could not be contacted after numerous attempts (eight schools). Questionnaires were sent to 436 students in 21 schools. A total of 243 questionnaires from 14 schools were returned.

Questionnaires were returned from 165 (64%) Year 11 students and 88 (36%) of Year 12 students. More Year 11 students responded because enrolments in Year 11 were higher than Year 12, and in some schools Year 12 students were unable to participate because of final year examination pressures. The student responses to each question were categorised, coded and entered into SPSS (Allen & Bennett, 2008). Each question was analysed separately. Where appropriate, frequency counts, means and standard deviations were calculated.

Results

Reasons for Studying EES

The 20 Year 12 students who were interviewed were asked why they had chosen to study EES and whether they would still choose EES if they were able to choose again.

Most students (12/20) chose the course because they were interested in Earth science or environmental science topics as the following quote illustrates: 'I kind of liked the natural disaster aspect of it, like volcanoes and explosions. I think that's pretty cool.'

Five of the students studied EES because there were no other choices on their timetable. Several students studied EES in Year 12 because they had found a different Year 11 course to be too difficult: 'I chose it because I did biology in Year 11 and they didn't run it, they stopped running it and it fitted my timetable and it was like the closest thing.'

Only three students chose EES because it fitted with their possible career choices: 'I wanted to be a geologist, so the course fitted.'

Three quarters of the students said they would select the course if they were able to go back and select their courses again.

In the questionnaire, students were asked why they had chosen to study EES and given a range of reasons to select from. Table 2 shows the frequency of responses.
TABLE 2: Reasons Students Chose to Study EES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Number of students (%) (n = 243)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I was interested in the course</td>
<td>163 (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It fitted my timetable</td>
<td>55 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I need it for my future chosen career</td>
<td>33 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I need it for university entry</td>
<td>33 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents recommended course</td>
<td>26 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My friends chose EES</td>
<td>16 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>29 (12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Students were able to select more than one answer. More than two thirds of students chose EES because they were interested in the course and almost a quarter for timetable reasons.

The students were asked if they selected their courses again, would they still choose to study EES and why. A total of 152 (63%) students would select the course again, while 50 (20%) would not. The remaining 41 (17%) students were unsure. Students were asked to provide a reason for their answer. The most frequently cited reasons for choosing to study EES again were: 'interest in the course' (77, 51%); 'enjoyment of the course' (38, 25%); 'improved understanding of the world' (33, 22%); 'needed for chosen career' (25, 16%); and 'easy' (24, 16%). The main reasons why students would not choose to study EES again were: 'too difficult' (18, 36%); 'boring' (14, 28%); 'not needed for future study/career' (9, 18%); and 'not enjoyable' (7, 14%). As one student explained: 'It is a very heavy course requiring much reading/researching, very large workloads when coupled with other heavy courses.'

Difficulty of EES Topics

Teachers' perceptions of difficulty. The EES teachers stated that students experienced difficulty with several areas and topics within the course. The areas and topics are summarised in Table 3.

The most frequently cited area was geology. As one teacher explained: 'It's the Earth bit that the kids struggle with. The geology, the rock section, the organic geology, the formation of oil and petroleum products, that kind of stuff.'

The theory or content areas of the course were considered to be difficult because students did not have sufficient background knowledge from lower secondary school:

Understanding anything new that wasn't taught to them in lower school. Earth science is a bit of a challenging one for lower school. So they come up with not much prior knowledge. They do the basics — layers of the Earth, atmosphere. We've just started to touch on climate change in Year 10, but previously I hadn't taught that.

The teachers were also asked which topics or aspects of the course students found easiest to understand. Environmental science topics, practical work and field trips were considered to be the easiest topics:

Stuff that's related to what they can see, so the weather stuff they can pick up, forestry, stuff that's pretty common in the news that's to do with global warming.
## TABLE 3: Teachers' Perceptions of Difficult Topics Within EES Course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Areas</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Number of teachers ( n = 27 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geology</td>
<td>All geology</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rocks/minerals</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cycles</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tectonic plates</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geological time</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oil and petroleum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory</td>
<td>Content areas</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher order concepts</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Areas requiring background knowledge</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assignments/essays</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scientific principles</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biology</td>
<td>Environmental arguments</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sustainability/climate change</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biology</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

300 rainforests and things like that, that are quite general knowledge type issues that are taught through the course.

302 They love the practical stuff. They love fieldwork because I do incorporate a lot of fieldwork and things that relate to local areas. So, we've got lots of field trips that relate to here, where the school is actually located. So it's relevant to them, where they are living and what's happening around them in their suburbs, in their area.

307 A small proportion of teachers considered that students found geology topics easiest.

308 These teachers had qualifications in geology:

309 Like I said, processes. They understand how tectonic plates move, they understand how faulting works, they can understand how unconformities occur. They understand things that are quite visual to them and processes. They get those straight away.

313 Students' perceptions of difficulty. A quarter of the students who were interviewed considered biodiversity and environmental issues to be difficult because they were complex:

315 'Maybe stuff related to human impact on the environment. It just tends to be complex because there are all these different arguments for "for" and "against".'

317 Also, some students were not interested in the environment: 'Probably the environment and the chemistry stuff. It's my only science course and I'm not interested in it [environmental side].'

320 Other students (3/20) argued that environmental topics, including biodiversity, were the easiest: 'I find the environmental stuff the easiest. Global warming and the stuff we are doing now, and also the working scientifically.'

323 Several students (3/20) found the science content (e.g., chemical formulas) difficult, especially if they were not studying any other science courses. It was noted during the interviews that while some students studied chemistry, physics and EES, some students studied only EES: 'This is my only science course, so the scientific side of it is hard to get.'
TABLE 4: Students’ Perceptions of Difficulty of EES topics (n = 243)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EES topics</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cycles and processes</td>
<td>3.79 ± 2.21</td>
<td>Easiest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth system, structure and composition</td>
<td>3.95 ± 2.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working scientifically</td>
<td>4.25 ± 2.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth and environmental science skills</td>
<td>4.34 ± 2.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecological systems and human interactions</td>
<td>4.78 ± 2.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity through time</td>
<td>4.97 ± 2.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth formation</td>
<td>5.16 ± 2.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biogeochemical cycles</td>
<td>5.35 ± 2.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration and extraction</td>
<td>5.47 ± 2.32</td>
<td>Most difficult</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As in the chemical formulas and things like that, I don’t have as deep an understanding as some people do.’

Six students stated that rocks and cross-sections were the most difficult topics to understand: ‘It’s always rocks. Figuring out which rock it is by looking at a picture or description and trying to remember what they are made of and what is the parent rock and all this stuff.’

In contrast, the easiest topics identified by almost half the students (8/20) were rocks, rock cycles and processes: ‘The rocks, and because in chemistry we do the same stuff that we do here, it’s just more applied to situations. It’s like real-life examples of what we do in chemistry.’

In the questionnaire, students were asked to rank EES topics from 1 to 9 according to how difficult they were to understand. A mean and standard deviation was calculated for each topic. Table 4 summarises the students’ perceptions of difficulty. The higher the mean the more difficult the topic.

‘Exploration and extraction’ and ‘Biogeochemical cycles’ were perceived to be the most difficult topics. The topics ‘Cycles and processes’ and ‘Earth system, structure and composition’ were perceived by students as the easiest topics. ‘Working scientifically’ and ‘Earth and environmental science skills’ were also considered to be relatively easy.

**Relevant EES Topics**

**Teachers’ perceptions of relevance.** The teachers were asked which topics of the course students found the most relevant or useful. The topics or areas are summarised in Table 5.

Overall, teachers considered that students found environmental topics to be the most relevant. This included issues relating to both the global ecosystem and the local environment:

*To the students, I think the most relevant elements of the course are seeing how the Earth naturally cycles to contribute to things like climate change, the historical significance of global warming and global cooling periods through geological history.*

Topical issues relating to the local environment, as well as issues raised in the media were also considered to be relevant:
TABLE 5: Teachers’ Perceptions of Relevance of EES Topics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevant topics</th>
<th>Specific areas</th>
<th>Number of teachers (n = 27)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local environment</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Climate change</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local area issues</td>
<td>Relevant to local area/media</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>Mining/resources</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geology</td>
<td>Physical earth</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minerals</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students’ interests</td>
<td>Future career</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Topics that come up that are relevant for them today or maybe in the news, things that they are hearing at the time I think. And their prior knowledge. They know about plate tectonics, so they know mountains and volcanoes and things like that, but looking at the mechanisms and the evidence that’s when they get a bit lost.

Five teachers stated that their students found the Earth science/geology topics most relevant. As one teacher stated:

The physical earth. We moved into earthquakes and of course volcanoes and so on and we moved onto natural disasters etc, so we added that layering. Not just making it physical earth and the structure and composition, but we tried to bring in what was the environmental change or the impact of that change on society and people.

Of the five teachers who included mining and resources in their answer, three were located in mining towns:

Maybe it’s very easy for them to grasp the ideas of resources and knowing what is petroleum, what is coal, how is it extracted, how is it formed. They found that quite useful and a lot of them have parents who work in the industry. It was like, ‘I find this great, I need to know this so I can talk to Dad about it.’ So I guess when we were looking at the resources and the economic geology, they find that relevant.

Students’ perceptions of relevance. Almost half of the interviewed students (8/20) stated that topics related to their future career and life choices were most relevant. Students who planned to continue with geology perceived geology topics to be relevant. Some students stated that EES was relevant to their life and helped them when faced with issues about EES topics:

There’s all this stuff … like whenever you go travelling now or when you’re watching TV. I was watching TV the other day and I could see a rock in the background and I was questioning it. It’s not really applicable to my occupation but everyday life. That’s why I love doing it.

Students ranked the nine EES topics based on how relevant they thought they would be in their future career or university study. Table 6 shows the mean and standard
TABLE 6: Students’ Perceptions of Relevance of EES Topics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Earth and Environmental Science topics</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Working scientifically</td>
<td>4.49 ± 2.51</td>
<td>Most useful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecological systems and human interactions</td>
<td>4.75 ± 2.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycles and processes</td>
<td>4.93 ± 2.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth and environmental science skills</td>
<td>5.02 ± 2.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration and extraction</td>
<td>5.12 ± 2.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity through time</td>
<td>5.16 ± 2.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biogeochemical cycles</td>
<td>5.20 ± 2.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth system, structure and composition</td>
<td>5.28 ± 2.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth formation</td>
<td>6.11 ± 2.50</td>
<td>Least useful</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 7: Teachers’ Perceptions of Interesting EES Topics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most interesting topics</th>
<th>Specific areas</th>
<th>Number of teachers (n = 27)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Practical work</td>
<td>Fieldwork</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Practicals/investigations</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geology topics</td>
<td>Mining/resources</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minerals</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current issues</td>
<td>Environmental issues</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Topical issues in media</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local environment</td>
<td>Local environment</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

deviation of the usefulness the EES topics for the future career or university study of the students. ‘Working scientifically’ and ‘Ecological systems and human interactions’ are perceived to be the most useful topics. ‘Earth formation’ is perceived as the least useful topic by students.

Interesting EES Topics

Teachers’ perceptions of student interest. The teachers were asked which parts of the course students found the most interesting. The areas are summarised in Table 7.

Many teachers responded that students were most interested in the practical side of the course, including fieldwork, practical work and investigations. Several teachers mentioned relating fieldwork to the students’ local environment and thus increasing both the relevance and the interest to students:

As I said, they like doing the prac work and finding out about their areas because they’ve had to get soil samples and analyse them and look at the biotic factors in that area. So, they’ve enjoyed going out and looking at their physical environment and collecting samples and testing samples. It’s the practical side of it rather than the theory side of it.

Six teachers had students who were interested in geological aspects of the course. These aspects included rocks and minerals, fossils, soil and plate tectonics: ‘They find the
TABLE 8: Students’ Perceptions of Interesting EES Topics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Earth and Environmental Science topics</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Most interesting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earth system, structure and composition</td>
<td>3.95 ± 2.70</td>
<td>Most interesting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycles and processes</td>
<td>4.25 ± 2.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity through time</td>
<td>4.55 ± 2.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecological systems and human interactions</td>
<td>4.75 ± 2.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth formation</td>
<td>5.15 ± 2.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biogeochemical cycles</td>
<td>5.30 ± 2.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration and extraction</td>
<td>5.30 ± 2.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth and environmental science skills</td>
<td>6.10 ± 2.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working scientifically</td>
<td>6.32 ± 2.56</td>
<td>Least interesting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

traditional, hard rock geological aspects the most interesting, the rock types, rock cycle, minerals and the relationship between the rock types and the minerals.

Six teachers also mentioned their students were particularly interested in current and topical issues that they found relevant to their own lives. These issues included climate change and appropriate use of resources: ‘Climate change again, and they do like the sustainable use of Earth’s resources. We talked a bit about the precautionary principle and we talked about things that were relevant to them up here.’

A small proportion of teachers (3) noted that students were particularly interested in their local environment. Two of these teachers teach in mining towns: ‘I think that they found the iron ore most interesting because that’s what we do here. It’s part of their daily lives.’

Students' perceptions of interest. For the students who were interviewed, 720 students stated that field trips were the most interesting aspect of the course: ‘Field trips! Hands down. Yeah, really seeing it rather than looking at pictures on the Internet and stuff. It just really consolidates it.’

Three students thought the environmental aspect, including biodiversity, was the most interesting element: ‘The stuff we are doing now — the environmental. I don’t go out and look at rocks and go, oh that’s magic kind of thing! It’s not useful and it doesn’t interest me.’

Another three students stated that rocks, minerals and processes were the most interesting aspect.

Students were asked to rank the EES topics from 1 to 9 based on how interesting they were to study. Table 8 shows the mean and standard deviation of the level of interest in each EES topic from lowest to highest. The topics are thus ranked from the most interesting to the least interesting.

‘Earth system, structure and composition’ and ‘Cycles and processes’ are perceived by students as the most interesting topics while ‘Working scientifically’ and ‘Earth and environmental science skills’ were considered the least interesting topics.

Effect of EES Course on Students’ Views of EES

Teachers were asked if they had noticed any changes among their EES students as a result of studying the course. Almost all teachers (24/27, 85%) noticed positive changes in their students’ views towards EES topics. The areas in which these changes occurred are summarised in Table 9.
TABLE 9: Changes in Students’ Views of Earth and Environmental Science

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Areas changes noticed in</th>
<th>Specific areas</th>
<th>Number of teachers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of topical issues</td>
<td>Environmental issues</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Critical thinking about issues</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased students’ interest</td>
<td>Interest in EES</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interest in science/school</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of mining/resources</td>
<td>Resources</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of career</td>
<td>Interest in relevant career</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude/interest in earth</td>
<td>Interesed in earth</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local environment</td>
<td>Interest in local environment</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most of these positive changes occurred in the area of students’ awareness of environmental issues:

Definitely. Definitely, yes. In regards to use of pesticides — what’s gone in, what’s gone into our drinking water; the state of the rivers, the quality of water because we test water from various parts of the Swan River because we’re actually part of the catchment. And so we collected our water and the water quality deteriorated quite badly all the way down. So there was a lot of interest in that. Their ideas for saving the water were fantastic.

In seven classes, students showed great enthusiasm and interest in the course. Some teachers noted an increase in interest from their students as the course progressed:

I was unlucky because my school ran the course at the same time as biology, so there were about six or seven kids in the biology course who were very keen on EES as well. So at first, I didn’t have a very enthusiastic class. To start with, they were kind of just the ones who didn’t want to do biology. But over time, they have really developed an enthusiasm and are really quite excited about the course. They came in with, ‘Oh well this is just a class that I’ve got to do’ and now they actually quite enjoy it. They voluntarily all came on the tour and enjoyed themselves.

Mining/resources were another important area where teachers had noticed a positive change in their students. This included students gaining a better understanding of the importance of renewable and non-renewable resources: ‘Their knowledge of the minerals and where they come from in the Earth and they actually understand now that they run out. And that we have to look for new renewable resources.’

Several teachers (4) mentioned that students in their EES classes had gone on to investigate careers in Earth science and/or environmental science fields, with some students entering university in a relevant course:

Well, I’ve definitely had a number of students who have taken career directions like that. We’ve had a few that have gone onto UWA, one to Curtin, so they have actually continued in geoscience. And next year, I’m going to have a whole pile of students who are actually really interested in the environmental side of it. And
I guess just piquing their interest and their understanding in topical issues that are in the media has been quite good.

As well as improved student interest in general environmental issues, a small proportion of teachers noted their students' became interested in their local environment:

Some of the kids are asking a lot more questions about the Earth and during the lessons they are asking relevant questions about our 'local area. 'So what age are the rocks up on the hills that we looked at on our last excursion?' They are actually quite interested in their local area.

Two teachers referred to one particular student who stood out as an example of a student who had dramatically improved their attitude to their work:

One of the students was reluctant to do any work, wouldn't sit any tasks. He now submits every task and gets 100% for them. And he's just been accepted to attend the National Youth Science Forum in Canberra in January and he works three days a week full-time in a mining company in the town that he lives in. Because it's in his interest, he's actually motivated to do it. Before that, it was really difficult to get him motivated into school. His whole attitude has changed and he likes doing this course. That's one of the positives.

Nearly half of the students who were interviewed (9/20) stated that the EES course had had a positive effect on their attitudes towards the environment, global warming and resource use: 'More the resources. If I [see] someone wasting water, it makes me think about what I've learnt in EES because there's not enough water here. And all the global warming and stuff.'

Discussion

The purpose of this research study was to determine: why students choose to study EES in Years 11 and 12; which EES topics students find difficult, relevant/useful and interesting; and if the study of EES has any effect on students' views about EES and their future study and career choices. It is intended that the findings of this case study will inform the curriculum design and implementation processes of EES courses nationally and internationally. Most students who were interviewed chose to study EES because they thought it sounded interesting. Only a small number chose EES because of future career choices. This was supported by the questionnaire data, with 67% of students studying EES because of interest. Other reasons were that it fitted their timetable, was needed for their future career or university entry, or had been recommended by their parents. It is positive that the majority of students (63%) would choose to study EES again because of interest and enjoyment in the course. However, a proportion of students (21%) would not choose EES because they thought it was boring and difficult.

In examining teachers' and students' perceptions of the course, we were surprised at the diversity of views of both teachers and students. From many of the teachers' perspectives, the most difficult topics for students were Earth science content areas. Some teachers stated that all areas of geology were difficult while others mentioned specific areas, including rocks/minerals, cycles, tectonic plates and geological time. However, some teachers (all of whom had a geology background — and their students) perceived geology topics to be the easiest. Difficulties associated with content were thought to be due to lack of student background knowledge from lower secondary school and academic weakness. The environmental science part of the course was considered to be the easiest, as well as practical work and field trips. The teachers' views are supported by the students with the most difficult topics, 'Exploration and extraction', followed by
'Biogeochemical cycles'. The two topics of 'Environment and biodiversity' and 'Rocks, rock cycles and processes' were deemed by some students in the interviews to be the easiest and by others to be the most difficult. The easiest topics according to the student questionnaire data were 'Cycles and processes' and 'Earth system, structure and composition'.

In terms of relevance, interviewed students found topics related to their everyday lives and future career plans to be most relevant. This was confirmed by the teachers. However, there was a dichotomy of views about what those topics were. For example, students who were interested in geology-related careers found topics involving rocks to be relevant. 'Working scientifically' was considered the most useful topic followed by 'Ecological systems and human interactions'. The topic considered the least useful was 'Earth formation' followed by 'Earth system, structure and composition'.

The least interesting topics were 'Working scientifically' and 'Earth and environmental science skills'. Both teachers and interviewed students stated that field trips, rocks and the environment were the most interesting parts of the course. This finding is supported by Boyle et al. (2007), who found that fieldwork in tertiary environmental science and earth science courses resulted in increased student interest and engagement. In addition to improving student interest, extended fieldwork has been shown to improve academic achievement. Elkins and Elkins (2007) found that university students enrolled in an entirely field-based geology course achieved a greater understanding of geology concepts than students studying traditional courses.

In the student interviews, there was a consistent pattern between difficulty, relevance and interest in a topic. Those students who considered rocks or the environment to be relevant were more likely to find these topics easy and interesting. Students considered 'Working scientifically' to be the most relevant topic for their future university study or career, albeit the least interesting. The topic of 'Earth system, structure and composition' was the most interesting topic as well as the second easiest; however, it was also perceived to be the second least useful topic.

Despite variability about exactly which topics were difficult, relevant or interesting, the EES course seems to have had a positive influence on many students. The majority of teachers noticed a positive change in their students in a variety of areas, including awareness of topical issues, increased interest in EES, awareness of mining and resources, and awareness of EES as a career choice. Students seemed to realise that EES was relevant to their other subjects and to life. Students also noticed a positive change in their attitudes towards the environment, global warming and resource use.

The findings of this study have several limitations. First, as explained in the method, with a case study research design it is not possible to generalise the findings to all EES courses. Nevertheless, the findings may be transferable to similar EES courses. Second, the data was collected at a single point in time (2009), 18 months after the introduction of the course. It is likely that if the study was repeated now that the findings may be different. Some of the teachers who had been teaching EES for only 18 months will now have over 5 years' experience. However, as explained earlier, the findings of this study are intended to assist in the initial implementation of new EES courses so that they are sustained with increased enrolments.

**Conclusion**

The research presented here focused on why students chose to study Earth and Environmental Science in Years 11 and 12, what topics they found difficult, relevant and interesting, and what effect studying the subject had on their attitudes towards EES topics. The findings have implications for the introduction of new EES courses,
particularly in upper secondary school. The successful introduction of a new EES course seems to depend on several factors. Teacher background is important, given that those with a familiarity with the topics will be more comfortable teaching them. Certainly, students of teachers with Earth science backgrounds perceived geology topics to be more interesting than those students with teachers who had no geology background. The location of the school in terms of its local environment was also important. Students who studied EES in a mining town in Western Australia seemed to have a more personal interest in Earth science than those studying in metropolitan areas.

An unexpected finding was the diversity of students studying EES. Some students studied EES simply because it fitted into the timetable so may have had little interest in the subject. There were students who took this subject as their only science subject, or who viewed the subject as an easy science, and they seemed to struggle with the more complex scientific concepts. Other students were studying chemistry and physics in addition to EES and had clear career goals in mining. Some students were interested in environmental science but not Earth science.

The lack of EES content knowledge by students was perceived to be an issue by some teachers and may have contributed to students' perceptions of difficulty. The inclusion of Earth science in the lower secondary school science curriculum is essential to overcome this issue. We did not examine the lower secondary science curriculum of the participating schools. However, the Western Australian Curriculum Framework (Curriculum Council of Western Australia, 1998) includes 'Earth and Beyond' as one of four content areas in Kindergarten to Year 10. EES teachers need to recognise which topics students are likely to find difficult, especially if they are only studying one science subject, and allow extra time to teach these topics.

Professional development is a cornerstone of the introduction of any course, and especially EES with its combination of Earth science (considered a physical science) and environmental science (considered a biological science). Teachers may have expertise in one of these areas but not both (Dawson & Moore, 2011). As EES is a new course offered within science or social studies departments, university teacher educators and preservice science and social studies teachers also need appropriate professional development. As recommended by Ballantyne and Parker (1996) and supported by the findings here, teacher professional development should focus on EES knowledge and the development of constructivist strategies such as inquiry based approaches. The teaching strategies need to provide opportunities for students to use their knowledge to think critically, question, suspend judgement and make evidence based decisions (Littledyke, Taylor, & Eames, 2009; Stevenson, 2007; Wilson, 2012).

In conclusion, EES is a course being recognised internationally as pertinent and imperative for formal school study. As the world faces an uncertain future, it will surely be the next generation of scientists, perhaps those who studied EES at school, who will determine the outcome of our fate.
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Appendix
Earth and Environmental Science Student Survey

School  Year level  EES units completed

1. What were the main reasons you chose to study EES? (please tick one or more boxes)
   □ It fitted my timetable
   □ My friends chose EES
   □ I was interested in the subject
   □ My parents thought it would be a good idea
   □ I need it for my future chosen career
   □ I need it for university entry
   □ Other ____________________

2. What other courses are you studying at the moment?

3. Rank the following based on how easy they were for you to understand. Use a scale of 1 to 9 where 1 is the easiest and 9 is the most difficult. If you are unfamiliar with the term, please put a U to show you are unsure.

Rank from 1 to 9  Earth and Environmental Science Topics
or U (unsure)

Earth system, structure and composition (e.g., plate tectonic processes, magnetic fields)
Cycles and processes (e.g., weathering, the rock cycle)
Biodiversity through time (e.g., how major geological events affect biodiversity)
Biogeochemical cycles (e.g., nitrogen cycle, carbon cycle)
Ecological systems and human interactions (e.g., impact of clearing on arable land)
Earth Formation (e.g., conditions needed to form diamonds)
Exploration and Extraction (e.g., environmental impact of mineral extraction)
Working Scientifically (e.g., planning and performing an investigation)
Earth and Environmental Science skills (e.g., finding locations on a map)
Other comments:

4. Rank the following based on how useful you think they will be for you in your future career or university study. Use a scale of 1 to 9 where 1 is the most useful and 9 is the least useful.

If you are unfamiliar with the term, please put a U to show you are unsure.

Table as for Question 3

5. Rank the following based on how interesting they have been to study. Use a scale of 1 to 9 where 1 is the most interesting and 9 is the least interesting. If you are unfamiliar with the term, please put a U to show you are unsure.

Table as for Question 3

6. If you were to go back and select your courses of study again would you still choose EES?

(please tick box)

☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Undecided

Why?
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