Effects of geodynamic setting on the redox state of fluids released by subducted mantle lithosphere
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Abstract

Magnetite breakdown during subduction of serpentinised ultramafic rocks may produce oxidised fluids that oxidise the deep Earth and/or the sub-arc mantle, either via direct transport of ferric iron, or via redox reactions between ferric iron and other elements, such as sulfur. However, so far, there is no consensus on the oxidation state of fluids released during subduction of ultramafic rocks, or the factors that control this oxidation state.

Subducted samples from a magma-poor rifted margin and a supra-subduction zone geodynamic setting were compared to examine evidence of changes in opaque phase assemblage and ferric iron content as a consequence of subduction, and as a function of geodynamic setting. Thermodynamic calculations in the system Fe-Ni-O-H-S and Fe-Ni-O-S at the pressures and temperatures of interest were used to constrain oxygen activities and fluid compositions.

Samples from New Caledonia, which exemplify supra-subduction zone mantle, contain awaruite (FeNi\textsubscript{3}) and equilibrated with hydrogen-bearing flu-
ids at oxygen activity less than the FMQ (fayalite-magnetite-quartz) buffer. In contrast, samples from the Zermatt Saas Zone ophiolite, Western Alps, which are thought to represent mantle from a subducted magma-poor rifted margin, contain magnetite plus sulfur-rich phases such as pyrite (FeS\(_2\)), and are inferred to have equilibrated with hydrogen-poor fluids at oxygen activity greater than FMQ. This major difference is independent of differences in subduction pressure-temperature conditions, variation in peridotite protolith composition, or the nature of adjacent units. We propose that the Zermatt Saas Zone samples would have undergone more complete serpentinisation prior to subduction than the supra-subduction zone (SSZ) New Caledonian samples. This difference explains the different fluid compositions, because incompletely serpentinised rocks containing olivine and brucite retain or evolve awaruite-bearing assemblages that buffer fluid compositions to high hydrogen activity (\(a\text{H}_2\)).

Ultramafic rocks are associated with two distinctly different fluid compositions during pre-subduction and subduction serpentinisation. Initially, while olivine is in equilibrium with infiltrating fluid, mineral assemblages that include awaruite in the rocks buffer fluids to \(\text{H}_2\)-bearing, low \(a\text{O}_2\) compositions. Deserpentinisation of incompletely serpentinised rocks in which awaruite is present also produces \(\text{H}_2\)-bearing fluids. Once awaruite is exhausted, \(\text{H}_2\)-poor, high \(a\text{O}_2\) fluids co-exist with awaruite-absent assemblages, and deserpentinisation of such rocks would produce \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\)-rich fluids.

Thus, deserpentinisation of ultramafic rocks could produce either hydrogen-bearing fluids that could infiltrate and reduce the sub-arc mantle, or more oxidised fluids, which could transfer redox budget to other geochemical reser-
voirs such as the sub-arc mantle. Therefore, the redox contribution of subducted ultramafic rocks to the deep Earth and sub-arc mantle depends on the extent of protolith serpentinisation. Pre-subduction settings that promote extensive serpentinisation by oxidised fluids at high fluid:rock ratios in open systems, such as slow and ultraslow spreading ridges, transform faults, oceanic core complexes, and exhumed mantle at rifted continental margins, may produce more oxidised fluids than those associated with less pervasive serpentinisation and fluids that may be rock-buffered to a reduced state.
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1. Introduction

The oxidation state of sub-arc mantle is a first order control on the composition and metal-carrying capacity of arc-derived magmas (Mungall, 2002). However, geochemical proxies for sub-arc mantle oxidation state in arc magma samples produce inconsistent results (Lee et al., 2005; Kelley and Cottrell, 2009; Mallmann and O’Neill, 2009; Evans et al., 2012b; Parkinson and Arculus, 1999). Changes in the concentrations of redox sensitive elements in subducted material record the redox state of fluids released from these rocks, and provide an alternative way to assess the potential of subduction to modify sub-arc mantle oxidation state via changes to its redox budget. Redox budget is a quantitative compositional measure of the oxidizing capacity of a material (Evans, 2006), such that an increase in redox budget indicates an increase in the concentration of elements oxidised relative to the same elements in a reference state material.

Some subducted mantle lithosphere is magnetite-rich as a result of serpen-
tinisation (Oufi et al., 2002; Evans, 2008; Klein et al., 2009; Andreani et al., 2013; Frost et al., 2013), and therefore holds significant redox budget. It is possible that this lithology is the largest contributor of redox budget to subduction zones (Evans, 2012). However, the effects of subduction on opaque phases, such as magnetite, in ultramafic rocks are almost unknown. Recently, attempts have been made to address this issue. Debret et al. (2014a) record decreases in magnetite modes and in the ferric iron content of serpentine with increasing pressure in high pressure - low temperature (HPLT) rocks, and use these results to infer that the redox budget of subducted mantle lithosphere decreases as pressure increases, and that this decrease in redox budget records the loss of oxidised fluids.

The observations of Debret et al. (2014a) are consistent with records from iron isotopes, which have been interpreted to record loss of SO$_2$-bearing fluids from serpentinites during subduction (Debret et al., 2016). In contrast, Peretti et al. (1992) use observations of hydrogen-rich fluid inclusions in HPLT rocks from Val Malenco in the Western Alps, to infer that fluids released by subducted mantle are hydrogen-rich and reducing. Loss of such a hydrogen-rich fluid would act to increase the redox budget of the residual subducted mantle lithosphere, and decrease the redox budget of the lithologies that it infiltrated. The results of Peretti are consistent with preliminary results from thermodynamic models that predict loss of methane and H$_2$S during prograde HPLT metamorphism (Evans and Powell, 2015).

There are a number of possible reasons for these apparently contradictory observations. Studies of exhumed mantle lithosphere involve a range of possible protoliths that include, but are not limited to, variably serpen-
tinised abyssal peridotites from ridge environments, supra subduction zone (SSZ) mantle, serpentinised peridotite from oceanic core complexes, and sub-continental lithospheric mantle exposed at rifted margins. Samples from different geodynamic settings are likely to have undergone different styles of fluid-rock interaction prior to subduction, so that the pre-subduction protolith may have differing extents of serpentinisation and metasomatic alteration. Thus it is necessary to consider the role of the geodynamic setting of the ultramafic protolith in the evolution of serpentine-derived redox budget, and the implications for the evolving redox budget of the sub-arc mantle and deep Earth.

Inputs from units adjacent to lithospheric mantle may also contribute to the evolution of redox budget during metamorphism. For example, sedimentary units in the subducting slab evolve fluids that infiltrate, interact with, and drive metamorphism within, ultramafic lithologies (Spandler et al., 2011; Lafay et al., 2013; Deschamps et al., 2013; Scambelluri et al., 2014; Barnes et al., 2014; Cannao et al., 2015), so that samples close to contacts may have had a fundamentally different metamorphic evolution compared to the samples from larger ultramafic units.

In this study, opaque phase assemblages in HPLT ultramafic samples from supra-subduction zone and magma-poor rifted margin settings are documented and compared. The compositions of fluids in equilibrium with these assemblages are calculated via thermodynamic calculations that quantitatively constrain the activities of O$_2$ and S$_2$ under HPLT conditions for the first time. The results are used to assess the influences of geodynamic setting, protolith, metasomatism, and prograde metamorphic reactions on the
evolving redox budget of ultramafic rocks. The results are discussed in the context of current knowledge of these systems and the potential of serpentinitised ultramafic rocks to oxidise or reduce the sub-arc mantle and deep Earth. Further, the redox budget of arc products from different geodynamic settings is discussed in the context of the idea that geodynamic setting influences redox changes within subduction and supra-subduction environments.

2. Geological Setting

2.1. New Caledonia

New Caledonia comprises terranes of Cretaceous to Paleogene age that accreted to, or developed on, the north-eastern margin of a rifted fragment of the Australian plate (Aitchison et al., 1995) (Fig. 1a). The Massif du Sud (NC09-01a) lies on the south eastern end of New Caledonia. This unit is a relatively large ultramafic body, tens of km in lateral extent, but less than 2 km thick, that was obducted in the Eocene (Ghent et al., 1994; Aitchison et al., 1995). Geochemical data and mineralogy suggest a supra-subduction zone setting for the ophiolite prior to obduction (Dupuy et al., 1981; Pirard et al., 2013). The north east tip of New Caledonia hosts ultramafic rocks within the HPLT Pouébo terrane. This terrane consists of eclogite and transitional eclogite mafic, sedimentary, and ultramafic lithologies, and is thought to have undergone peak metamorphic conditions of 1.8 GPa and 590°C (Clarke et al., 1997; Carson et al., 2000). Ultramafic exposures in the Pouébo terrane occur mostly as metre-scale serpentinite pods included in metabasic/and or metasedimentary rocks, and a talc-rich reaction zone often separates the ultramafic unit from its host (Spandler et al., 2008). These
ultramafic pods are thought to have become entrained in the high pressure
terrain as a result of tectonic interaction between the mantle hangingwall
and the subducted slab (Fitzherbert et al., 2004; Spandler et al., 2008).

2.2. Zermatt Saas Zone ophiolite

Prior to Alpine orogenesis, the Alpine domain consisted of two plates, the
Adriatic/African plate to the south, and the European plate to the north,
separated by an ocean basin, Alpine Tethys. The main part of this ocean
basin is known as the Piemonte-Ligurian, or South Penninic basin (Beltrando
et al., 2014). During Alpine orogenesis from the late Cretaceous onwards,
parts of the Tethyan ocean floor were incorporated into the Alpine nappe
stack as ophiolitic units. The Zermatt Saas Zone represents the high-pressure
metamorphic component of the western Alpine Piemonte Ophiolite. The
Piemonte Ophiolite separates structurally lower basement rocks of European
affinity (Monte Rosa, Dora Maira, Gran Paradiso) from structurally higher
Austroalpine crust represented by the Sesia Zone and Dent Blanche Nappe
(e.g. Li et al., 2004; Rebay et al., 2012). Within the Piemonte Ophiolite the
Zermatt Saas Zone lies structurally below lower metamorphic grade, green-
schist facies, rocks of the Combin Zone (Fig. 1b). The Zermatt Saas and
Combin Zones are separated, in places, by slivers of metasedimentary rocks,
the Pancherot-Cime Bianchi rocks (Beltrando et al., 2014). Sediments also
lie between the Zermatt Saas Zone and the structurally lower Monte Rosa
rocks. These sediments include manganese-rich units such as the Gornergrat
zone, which is thought to be a sedimentary units deposited on the Monta
Rosa crystalline basement (Bearth and Schwandler, 1981) (Fig. 1b).

It has been proposed that the Zermatt Saas Zone was originally lo-
cated within an OCT (ocean-continent transition) on the European mar-
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gin of Tethys that was subducted and exhumed during the Alpine orogeny
(e.g. Vitale Brovarone et al., 2014; Beltrando et al., 2014). Key diagnost-
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ics of the OCT setting include: (1) continental crust that directly overlies
sub-continental lithosphere; (2) oceanic sediments such as radiolarian cherts
(Manatschal and Muntener, 2009) that directly overlie ultramafic rocks; (3)
opticarbonate breccias at the interface between ultramafic and sedimentary
or extrusive mafic rocks; (4) shallow detachment faults; and (5) a paucity
of intrusive or extrusive mafic rocks (Bernoulli et al., 2003). These diagnostic
features are well preserved in the Upper Platta and Malenco ophiolites,
and elsewhere, in the Western Alps (Trommsdorff et al., 1993; Muntener
and Hermann, 1996; Manatschal and Muntener, 2009). However, it can be
difficult to distinguish OCT environments from ultra-slow spreading centres
in metamorphosed rocks, and indeed it has been proposed that there is a
continuum between the two geodynamic settings (Manatschal and Muntener,
2009). Subsets of these features have also been attributed to processes occur-
ing within the subduction channel (Bousquet, 2008), or repeated re-activation
of the tectonic interface between the different units (e.g. Forster et al., 2004).

3. Methods

3.1. Sample Collection

Suites of ultramafic samples were collected from well characterised lo-
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calities in the New Caledonian Massif du Sud (n > 20), the high pressure
Pouébo terrain in New Caledonia (n = 7, from three sites in the Zermatt
Saas Zone ophiolite in the Western Alps: Pfulwe (Switzerland), n = 3; the
Gressoney valley (Italy), \( n = 10; \) and the Upper Valtournenche in the Val d’Aosta (Italy), \( n = 10, \) (Fig. 1, Table 1). These localities cover a range of peak pressures and temperatures, from no evidence of subduction in the Massif du Sud sample, to pressures up to 2.8 GPa for the Upper Valtournenche locality.

Detailed descriptions of the silicate petrology, geodynamic setting, and inferred pressures and temperatures for the five sites are presented by Frost et al. (2013) and Pirard et al. (2013) for the Massif du Sud, by Fitzherbert et al. (2004) for the Pouëbo terrain, by Barnicoat and Fry (1986); Fry and Barnicoat (1987) and Dale et al. (2009) for Pfulwe, by Reddy et al. (1999) and Gasco et al. (2013) for Gressoney, and Groppo et al. (2009) for the Upper Valtournenche. Inferred peak pressures and temperatures are summarised in Table 1.

3.2. Bulk composition analysis

Approximately 30 grams of each sample was crushed to a fine powder using a TEMA mill, and analysed for major, minor, selected trace elements, C, S and FeO by the analytical facilities at Intertek Genalysis, Maddington, Perth, Australia. Major and trace element analyses were analysed by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) for major element analysis and Cr, Sc and V, and inductively coupled mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) for Ba, Cs, Ga, Rb, Sn and Sr analysis, after lithium borate fusion and sample dissolution. FeO content was determined by titration against ceric sulfate, and C and S contents were measured using a CS analyser.
3.3. Microanalysis

Polished sections were made, without water in most cases, of samples from all localities. All samples were examined in reflected and transmitted light to determine the silicate and opaque phase assemblages and textural relationships, and a representative sample from each locality was chosen for this study on the basis that the sample exhibited a typical silicate and observed opaque phase mineralogy. Opaque phases in serpentinites are often only a few microns in diameter, and are present in low modes, so an automated mapping technique was used to speed up data collection, effectively eliminate the possibility that small rare phases would be missed, and ensure that results from the samples investigated could be compared in a robust way.

Old carbon coats were removed and the sections were repolished with 1 micron diamond paste before sonication for 10 minutes in ethanol and recoating with carbon. After reflected and transmitted light optical microscopy, the opaque mineral assemblage was determined using the Oxford Instruments Feature Mapping facility on the MIRA TESCAN Field Emission Gun Scanning Electron Microscope (FEG-SEM) at the Microscopy and Microanalysis Facility (MMF) at Curtin University. Feature mapping utilises an automated search for grains with a BSE brightness higher than a user-defined value. The accelerating voltage was either 20 or 25 kV. The minimum size of feature recognised was 0.5 microns in the longest dimension. Contrast was adjusted such that Cr-poor magnetite had a brightness of about 170, on a scale of 1 to 255. Then, the lower threshold for feature recognition was set to a brightness slightly higher than that of Cr-poor magnetite. The total
analysis time was 3 to 12 hours per sample, depending on the number of features recognised.

The detector was optimised on copper tape prior to each run, and the precision and accuracy of EDX analyses were checked by repeat analyses of secondary standards from an Astimex standard block. The EDX results are semi-quantitative because of the short analysis times, but element ratios are considered robust. The factory calibration was found to be satisfactory for most elements, but O and S calibrations were replaced by those made at Curtin on garnet, for oxygen, and on pyrite, for sulfur. Brief EDX analyses were made on each feature, and these were sufficient to allow identification of the mineral comprising the feature. Minerals that had not been recognised during preliminary inspection with reflected or transmitted light microscopy were inspected after the feature mapping was completed. This enabled artefacts such as stray fragments of copper tape, or other contamination, to be recognised and removed from the dataset.

Mineral compositions of mineral grains large enough to permit analysis (> 10 microns) were obtained by either WDS (Wavelength Dispersive Spectroscopy) or EDS (Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy). WDS analysis was undertaken on the JEOL Hyperprobe at the Centre for Microscopy, Characterisation and Analysis at the University of Western Australia. The instrument was operated with an accelerating voltage of 15 KeV, beam current of 20 nA and with the beam was defocussed to 4 microns for the analysis of hydrous minerals. Standards used for calibration were wollastonite (Si, Ca), spessartine (Al, Mn; Fe in silicates), jadeite (Na), pyrope (Mg), rutile (Ti), sanidine (K), magnetite (Fe in oxides), willemite (for Zn and to correct for Mn inter-
ference on Fe), Cr₂O₃, Ni and V. Off-peak background corrections were used throughout with an on-peak counting time of 20 seconds per element. Data reduction was performed using the Probe for EPMA software package. WDS results are considered to be accurate to better than 1% relative. EDS analysis was performed on the MIRA TESCAN FEG-SEM at Curtin University. The instrument was operated with an accelerating volatage of 20 KeV, a working distance of 15 mm and a beam intensity of 14. Analyses were continued until 500,000 counts were obtained. Beam current was set prior to each analytical session by calibration on a cobalt standard set into the mount that holds the thin section, so that the same working distance and beam conditions could be used for both calibration and analysis. Element and oxide concentrations were calculated by the Oxford Instruments software, using factory calibration, which produced good results for the phases of interest in an Astimex standard block. The quality of the EDS analyses was tested by comparison with WDS analyses of the same phases using the JEOL hyperprobe and the repeatability and accuracy was found to be good, with a greater variation found within samples as a consequence of natural heterogeneity rather than between analysis methods.

3.4. Thermodynamic Modelling

\[ a\text{O}_2 - \sum S \text{ or } a\text{O}_2 - \text{H}_2\text{S} \] activity diagrams for the Fe-Ni-O-S and Fe-Ni-O-H-S systems at specified temperatures and pressures less than 0.2 GPa have been produced by Frost (1985); Klein et al. (2009) and Foustoukos et al. (2015). The underlying topology of these diagrams is relatively insensitive to temperature over the range of serpentine stability, although absolute values of \( a\text{O}_2 \) and \( a\text{H}_2\text{S} \), and activity relative to buffers such as FMQ
(fayalite-magnetite-quartz) and PPM (pyrite-pyrrhotite-magnetite), change with pressure and temperature.

Pressures in subduction zones at the temperatures of interest are well above the 0.2 GPa investigated by previous workers and existing dataset-software combinations do not have the capacity for pressure-sensitive calculations, so it was necessary to compile a new dataset for phases in Fe-Ni-O-S-H. This dataset is mostly based on that of Klein et al. (2009), but includes additional parameters to account for the effects of pressure via the inclusion of thermal expansion and compressibility.

Chemical potentials of pure phases were calculated using the expressions in Holland and Powell (1998) and Evans et al. (2010). Thermal expansion and compressibility effects on volume of the solid phases were accommodated as described in Holland and Powell (1998, p311–312) and Evans et al. (2010). Heat capacity expressions from the literature were refit to the Maier-Kelley expression used by Holland and Powell (1998, p312). Phase transitions for pyrrhotite and heazlewoodite were neglected, although these transitions will be incorporated into further developments of this dataset. Sources of data for the new dataset are provided in Table 2, and the data are presented in supplementary data tables S1 and S2.

Equations of state for the fluids were those of Holland and Powell (1998, p312), which employs the CORK equations of Holland and Powell (1991), which describe a modified Redlich-Kwong equation of state with the constants for the virial terms modified as described in Holland and Powell (1998). The standard state for fluid end-members is the pure fluid at the pressure and temperature of interest, so, for example, the activity of O$_2$ in a pure
oxygen fluid is 1 at the pressure and temperature of interest. Note that here we are mostly using the term activity, rather than the more commonly used fugacity. The relationship between fugacity and activity is

\[
\log_{10} f_i = \frac{1}{2.303RT} \left( \mu_{\text{PT}}^\infty - \mu_{\text{1bar},T}^\infty \right) + \log_{10} a_i.
\]

Here \( f_i \) is the fugacity of species \( i \), \( \mu_{\text{PT}}^\infty \) is the chemical potential of the pure species at the \( P \) and \( T \) of interest, \( \mu_{\text{1bar},T}^\infty \) is the chemical potential of the pure species at the \( T \) of interest and 1 bar, and \( a_i \) is the activity of the species of interest. Activity is preferred here because it does not include the pressure, and is therefore easier than fugacity to compare between different pressure-temperature conditions.

The equations of state for solids and fluids are stated to be valid to 10 GPa [Holland and Powell 1998]. However, caution is required because volumes of the fluid end-members have not been measured, other than for water, at pressures greater than a few tenths of a GPa, so the equations of state for fluid members other than \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) are extrapolated beyond the pressures at which they have been tested. However, the form of the corresponding states equation is suggested by Plyasunov (2015) to be valid to water densities around 1000 kg m\(^{-3}\), i.e. to pressures of 2 GPa on subduction geotherms, and calculated fugacity coefficients are broadly consistent with those presented by Plyasunov (2015). The EOS are therefore considered semi-quantitative or better at the conditions of interest. Further uncertainty derives from a number of simplifications used in the calculations. These simplifications include the use of the ideal fluid-mixing assumption, the use of pure end-member phases and a consequent lack of consideration of compositional variability,
the lack of consideration of multiphase fluids and the omission of oxidised sulfur species such as SO$_2$. These limitations are common in calculations of this type, (e.g. Klein et al., 2009; Foustoukos et al., 2015), but overall, its probably best to consider the relative positions of the low variance assemblages and phase boundaries as robust, but to treat absolute values of activity, fugacity, and fluid composition with caution.

The applicability of the newly compiled dataset was tested by producing a replication of selected figures from Klein et al. (2009). Both relative and absolute positions of phase boundaries, and the compositions of fluids, are consistent with the Klein et al. (2009) results at the pressures investigated by Klein et al. (2009).

Calculations were performed using a custom-written code written in Mathematica$^\text{TM}$. Sets of independent reactions were derived for each divariant assemblage in the system Fe-Ni-O-S. These four phase assemblages project as points on activity-activity diagrams at fixed pressure and temperature. For this study, the activities of O$_2$ and S$_2$ were chosen as monitors for redox and sulfur activity in the system. The activities for the fluid species of interest within the divariant assemblage at the pressure and temperature of interest were then calculated by solving the expression for equilibrium ($\Delta G = 0 = \Delta G^\circ + RT \ln K$) for the independent reactions, where $\Delta G$ is the Gibbs free energy of reaction, $\Delta G^\circ$ is the Gibbs free energy of the reaction if all end-members were present in their standard state, R is the universal gas constant, $T$ is temperature in Kelvin, and $K$ is the equilibrium constant. Schreinemaker’s analysis was then used to determine the geometry of the phase diagram. The slopes of lines were calculated, where necessary, using the stoichiometry of the reac-
tions represented by the lines. Constraints on the activities of $O_2$ and $S_2$ during metamorphism were obtained by comparison of the inferred stable opaque phase assemblages with calculated $aO_2 - aS_2$ diagrams. Deviation of $aO_2$ from FMQ ($\Delta FMQ = \log aO_2 - \log aO_{2,FMQ}$) was also calculated.

An additional constraint is necessary to calculate the position of four phase assemblages in the system Fe-Ni-O-H-S, because the four phase assemblages that are common in the rocks are trivariant in this system so the activities of fluid species are not uniquely constrained simply by specification of pressure and temperature. Fluid compositions in this system were therefore calculated for a specified total concentration of sulfur species, assuming that $\sum_i X(S_i) = 1 - X(H_2O) - X(H_2)$, where $\sum_i X(S_i)$ is the sum of sulfur-bearing species $H_2S$ and $S_2$. For these preliminary calculations, activities were assumed to be equal to mole fractions, that is, the fluids were assumed to mix ideally. The effects of this assumption are addressed below. Fortunately sulfur has very limited solubility so plausible values of $X(H_2O) + X(H_2)$ range from 0.95 to 1. Using this additional compositional constraint, the fluid composition can be calculated by solution of a system of non-linear equations that describe a set of independent reactions, as for the Fe-Ni-O-S system.

4. Results

4.1. Bulk composition

Bulk compositions of the New Caledonia peridotites are typical of hydrated harzburgite from New Caledonia (Evans 2012). The total iron content of Zermatt Saas Zone samples from the Upper Valtournenche and Pfulwe
is around 11.5 wt%, which is at the iron-rich end of values recorded in the literature for ultramafic rocks from the Zermatt Saas Zone (Li et al., 2004), Cima di Gagnone (Scambelluri et al., 2014), the Platta and Malenco units (Muntener et al., 2010), Lanzo and Monviso (Debret et al., 2014a), and other Western Alps locations (Barnes et al., 2014). The iron content in the majority of samples analysed for these previous studies falls in the range between 7 and 10 wt%, with a few samples ranging up to 12%. The third sample, from Gressoney, falls in the more typical compositional range, with total iron, as Fe$_2$O$_3$ of 8.4 wt%. Other compositional parameters for the Zermatt Saas Zone samples fall in the typical compositional range for the Zermatt Saas Zone defined by the analyses of previous workers, with the exception of the Al- and Ti-rich composition of PF001, from Pfulwe, which may reflect some form of chemical or physical mixing with some components of the neighbouring Allalin gabbro, and/or melt-rock interaction.

4.2. Non-opaque mineralogy

In all samples, the non-opaque mineral assemblage comprises one or more of the anhydrous minerals olivine, orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene, plus hydrous alteration products, serpentine (lizardite or antigorite) ± chlorite ± brucite ± talc (Table 3). In detail, the assemblage varies as a function of bulk composition, metamorphic grade, and the extent of hydration. Comprehensive descriptions of the silicate mineral assemblage for these localities are provided by Frost et al. (2013) and Evans et al. (2013) for the New Caledonian samples, by Li et al. (2004), Groppo et al. (2009), and Reddy et al. (1999) for the Zermatt Saas Zone samples, but relevant details are summarised briefly here.
Serpentine is the dominant silicate mineral in all sections (> 80% by volume). Antigorite is the dominant serpentine phase in the subducted samples (all but NC09-01a), while lizardite is found in the ophiolite sample NC09-01a. PF-001 is anomalous for a mantle-derived rock in that it contains abundant chlorite. The Al-rich bulk composition required to account for this chlorite suggests some influence from the adjacent Allalin gabbro (Dale et al., 2009), or refertilisation by melt impregnation prior to subduction (Bernoulli et al., 2003; Muntener et al., 2010).

In addition to serpentine, GSZ-11a and NC07-60 contain olivine ± brucite, which indicates a bulk Si:(Fe+Mg) less than that of serpentine. NC09-01a contains serpentine + opx and LC-015 contains serpentine + talc, both of which suggest a bulk Si:(Fe+Mg) in these samples that is higher than that of serpentine.

The orthopyroxene in NC09-01a is likely to be primary, since NC09-01a was not subducted. The lack of orthopyroxene in the other four samples constrains metamorphic temperatures to less than around 600 °C, since this is the temperature at which metamorphic orthopyroxene is likely to grow in a typical depleted ultramafic rock (Ulmer and Trommsdorff, 1995; Trommsdorff et al., 1998; Evans and Powell, 2015). This result is consistent with temperature constraints for these localities from literature reports based on studies of other lithologies (Table 1). The presence of olivine in the low Si:(Fe+Mg) samples NC07-60 and GSZ-11a, but not the higher Si:(Fe+Mg) samples, LC-015 and PF-001, is also consistent with peak temperatures between 550 and 600 °C for the subducted samples. The reasoning is that olivine grows as a consequence of brucite destruction from about 450 °C, but
brucite would have been absent in the higher Si:Fe+Mg rocks LC-015 and PF-001, so metamorphic olivine in these samples would not have grown until temperatures were greater than 600 °C (Evans and Powell 2015).

Trace clinopyroxene is found only in NC09-01a and LC-015. In NC09-01a, the clinopyroxene shows exsolution laminae typical of a mantle origin and consistent with the lack of subduction history for this sample, while in LC-015 the clinopyroxene is a Cr-free, low-Al diopside without exsolution and is inferred to be metamorphic.

All samples contain some evidence for exhumation-related retrograde mineral growth, such as chlorine-rich brucite veins that cross cut the foliation in NC07-60. However, prograde olivine and, in the absence of olivine, multiple antigorite foliations, suggest that prograde metamorphic assemblages are preserved.

4.3. Opaque Mineralogy and Accessory Phases

All five sections contain magnetite that pre-dates or is associated with foliated serpentine, so magnetite is interpreted to have been ubiquitous at peak pressures and temperatures (Table 3, Figs 2–4). Magnetite occurs in multiple textural locations and is also present in all sections as a texturally late phase. For example, magnetite cross cuts late unoriented antigorite (NC07-60, Fig. 2a), occurs in late coarse unoriented antigorite masses (LC-015, Fig. 2b), cross cuts oriented antigorite/chlorite foliation (PF-001, Fig. 2c), and is associated with cross-cutting ductile fabrics associated with exhumation (GSZ-11a, Fig. 2d). Magnetite compositions vary significantly. For example, Cr-rich magnetite cores in NC07-60 that contain awaruite inclusions are overgrown by Cr-poor magnetite to form a skeletal maze-like composite of
crystals (Figure 3a), whereas Ti-rich magnetite cores surrounded by ilmenite occur in PF-001. See below for a more detailed discussion of magnetite compositional variations.

The accessory phase assemblage, other than magnetite, is variable (Table 3). Magnetite is accompanied by awaruite (FeNi$_3$), heazlewoodite (Ni$_3$S$_2$), native Cu, platinum group minerals and pentlandite (nominally Fe$_{4.5}$Ni$_{4.5}$S$_9$) in NC09-01a (Fig. 4a), with texturally late millerite (NiS). These phases are interpreted to have developed during serpentinisation.

In contrast, NC07-60 contains almost no S-bearing phases so that the opaque phase assemblage is simply magnetite and awaruite plus a single, possibly late, small (< 10 microns), pentlandite grain (Figs 3a,b, 4d). Awaruite in NC07-60 has indented boundaries adjacent to serpentine (Fig. 4d), which is interpreted to suggest that the mode of this mineral was decreasing when metamorphism was arrested by exhumation.

GSZ-11a is the only one of the Zermatt Saas Zone samples to contain Ni-bearing phases, with early awaruite, heazlewoodite and pentlandite overgrown by later magnetite and millerite (NiS) (Figs 4c,d). Pentlandite and magnetite are in contact with the antigorite matrix and are therefore assumed to be part of the prograde metamorphic assemblage.

In the other two Zermatt Saas Zone samples, PF-001 and LC-015, magnetite is accompanied by small amounts of pyrite, plus, in the case of LC-015, barite. The textural setting of the pyrite and barite is difficult to determine because the grains are small and are often located at grain junctions. However, there is no evidence that these phases are late so they are taken to be present during peak metamorphism. PF-001 also contains ilmenite,
sphalerite, and chalcopyrite. Monazite was observed in LC-015 and PF-001. Zircon was observed in PF-001.

4.4. Mineral compositions

Mineral compositions are typical of those expected in serpentinised and metamorphosed depleted harzburgites (Supplementary data S3). Primary olivine in NC09-01a has an $X$(Mg) of $0.911 \pm 0.002$ (n=14), whereas the metamorphic olivine in GSZ-11a and NC07-60 is slightly more iron-rich with $X$(Mg) of $0.895 \pm 0.001$ (n=8) and $0.875 \pm 0.002$ (n=9) (Table S3a). Orthopyroxene in NC09-01a has a composition compatible with that for olivine with $X$(Mg) of $0.909 \pm 0.004$ (n=7) (Table S3b). Clinopyroxene in NC09-01a is consistent with a highly depleted protolith, with low Al ($< 0.05 \pm 0.002$ (n=6)) cations per six oxygen formula unit. Clinopyroxene in GSZ-11a, on the other hand, is consistent with a metamorphic origin, being almost pure diopside, with minimal $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (Table S3c).

Serpentine compositions were broadly similar in all five samples, with $X$(Mg) of $0.944 \pm 0.001$ (n = 16) in NC09-01a and of 0.95–0.96 in the four HPLT samples. The Al content varied more strongly as a function of geodynamic setting than metamorphic grade, with $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ contents less than 2 wt% in the New Caledonia samples, and 2–2.4 wt% in the Zermatt Saas Zone antigorites (Table S3d). Amphibole and talc were found only in PF-001. The amphibole was an tremolitic, with $X$(Mg) of $0.95 \pm 0.05$ (n=13). Similarly, the talc $X$(Mg) was $0.96 \pm 0.02$ (n=13), with minimal Al and iron (Table S3e).

Magnetite exhibits a wide range of composition (Table S3f). Magnetite in NC09-01a is almost pure $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$, with minor Mg, while magnetite in NC07-60
shows a variable proportion of Ni, up to 0.1 moles per four oxygen formula unit, and Cr-rich cores (Fig. 3a). Magnetite compositions in PF-001 are also highly variable, with Ti-rich magnetite that occurs surrounded by ilmenite, and almost pure pure Fe₃O₄ as late cross-cutting grains in the matrix. Similarly, late magnetite in GSZ-11a is almost pure Fe₃O₄ but magnetite inferred to be compositionally earlier on textural grounds is variably Cr-rich, with up to 0.2 moles of Cr per four oxygen formula unit. LC-015 displays a similar temporal evolution in magnetite composition, with Cr-rich cores to magnetite, and close to pure Fe₃O₄ magnetite on the rims of Cr-rich grains and in the matrix.

Awaruite grains large enough to analyse were found only in NC07-60, and X(Ni) in these grains is > 0.8, at 0.862 ± 0.003 (n = 3), rather than the 0.75 expected for stoichiometric awaruite (Table S3g). This result was repeated using both EDS and WDS, and is also consistent with the large number of EDS analyses obtained during the feature mapping. Sulfides large enough for analysis were found only in GSZ-11a. Millerite compositions were close to stoichiometric NiS, with X(Fe) < 0.02 in all cases (Table S3h, n = 20). Heazlewoodite grains were also close to stoichiometric Ni₃S₂, with X(Fe) between 0.01 and 0.08, but generally at the lower end of this range (Table S3h, n = 40). Ilmenite in PF-001 is close to stoichiometric, whereas ilmenite in LC-015 is Mn- and Mg-rich, with X(Fe) on the divalent site as low as 0.4.

4.5. Thermodynamic Modelling

The assemblage inferred to be present at peak pressure and temperatures for each section was plotted onto an aO₂ vs. aH₂S diagram after [Klein et al.]
There is a clear distinction between the awaruite-bearing New Caledonia samples and the awaruite-absent Alpine samples. Calculations at higher pressures (Fig. 6), which are considered semi-quantitative or better also show a significant difference between the positions of the two samples sets in $aO_2 - aS_2$ space. Calculations in the system Fe-Ni-O-H-S indicate that the New Caledonia samples equilibrated with $H_2$-bearing fluids $X(H_2) > 0.01$, in clear contrast to the water-rich fluids calculated for assemblages in the Alpine samples, which have $X(H_2) < 0.00001$.

Increasing pressure shifts conditions for the buffering assemblages awaruite-heazlewoodite-magnetite-pentandite (AMPZ), pyrite-pyrrhotite-magnetite (PPM) and hematite-pyrite-magnetite (HMP) to lower $aS_2$ and higher $aO_2$ (Fig. 6) but in most cases the relative position of the buffers is not much affected by the changes in pressure and temperature. Thus the difference in inferred peak pressure between New Caledonia sample NC07-60 (1.6 GPa) and the Upper Valtournenche sample LC-015 (2.4 – 2.8 GPa) does not affect conclusions drawn from Fig. 6.

An exception to the consistent relative geometry of the phase diagrams as a function of pressure is the position of millerite-vaesite reaction relative to the trivariant hematite-pyrite reaction. The millerite – vaesite reaction cuts the trivariant hematite-pyrite reaction at lower pressures, and the magnetite-pyrite reaction at 2 GPa. The univariant assemblage magnetite - pyrite - vaesite - millerite - hematite is stable only at approximately 1.25 GPa on the inferred geotherm. Thus co-existing magnetite and vaesite can be inferred to have formed at pressures greater than 1.25 GPa, while vaesite is expected to co-exist with hematite pressures less than 1.25 GPa. Unfortunately, vaesite is
not present in any of the studied rocks, so this pressure sensitivity, while potentially useful as a geobarometric indicator, does not affect the conclusions presented here.

5. Discussion

5.1. Subduction fluid compositions

The principal result of this study is that the New Caledonia samples contain awaruite and co-existed with hydrogen-bearing fluids at ΔFMQ around -3 at peak pressure and temperature, while the Alpine samples contain pyrite or millerite and equilibrated with water-dominated fluids at ΔFMQ -1 to +2. Traces of an earlier awaruite-bearing assemblage are present in one of the Alpine samples, GSZ-11a, so at least one of the Alpine samples evolved from low $aO_2$ fluids to higher $aO_2$ fluids during its history. It is interesting to explore the cause and consequences of this striking difference in fluid oxidation state.

5.2. Causes of $aO_2$ variability in serpentinites

Serpentinisation proceeds in two distinct stages (Delacour et al., 2008; Alt and Shanks, 1998; Klein et al., 2009; Frost et al., 2013). In the first stage, at low time-integrated water:rock ratios, awaruite is stable along with brucite, Ni-sulfides, (heazlewoodite or pentlandite), ± magnetite ± native copper, and fluid compositions are silica-poor, highly reducing, and may induce desulfidation (Frost and Beard, 2007; Klein et al., 2009; Frost et al., 2013; Auge et al., 1999; Evans et al., 2009; Gonzalez-Jimenez et al., 2011; Schwarzenbach et al., 2014). This initial stage, arguably, lasts as long as
olivine and brucite form part of the same equilibration domain as the infiltrating fluid (Evans et al., 2013; Frost et al., 2013), though see Evans et al. (2012a) for an alternative view.

Once time-integrated water:rock ratios increases beyond some critical value, olivine loses chemical connection to the infiltrating fluid and the rock enters the second stage of serpentinisation. Awaruite and brucite are lost, and fluid compositions evolve to higher $aO_2$ and higher Si-activity compositions. The rock develops an assemblage of serpentine, magnetite ± sulfur-rich sulfides ± isolated relict peridotite phases. Such serpentinites are described in oceanic settings from IODP hole 1309D (Delacour et al., 2008), at ODP Leg 209, at the 15 degrees 20 minutes N Fracture zone on the Mid Atlantic Ridge (Klein et al., 2009), and at the Iberian margin ocean-continent transition (Alt and Shanks, 1998; Schwarzenbach et al., 2012, 2013). Similar assemblages are also recorded in Ligurian ophiolites from the Northern Apennines where most features are thought to record seafloor metamorphism (Alt et al., 2012b).

During subduction and deserpentinisation the mineral assemblage continues to buffer fluid composition until the buffer is exhausted or until prograde mineral changes alter the buffer. Thus, subducted stage one serpentinites with awaruite would buffer fluids to low $aO_2$, and stage two serpentinites would buffer fluid compositions to high $aO_2$, at least until prograde olivine growth begins. Both types of assemblages have been reported in studies of HPLT rocks in addition to this one. Awaruite-bearing stage one assemblages have been recorded in HPLT rocks and contact metamorphosed HPLT rocks (e.g. Nozaka, 2003; Arif and Moon, 1996; Peretti et al., 1992), and it has
been proposed awaruite may grow with prograde olivine during prograde HPLT metamorphism \cite{Peretti1992, Groppo2007}. Stage 2 assemblages are recorded by samples from the Beigua unit of the Voltri massif ($T$ to $550$ °C, $P$ to $2.5$ GPa), which contain pyrite, pyrrhotite and magnetite \cite{Mottana1975, Messiga1991, Scambelluri1991, Scambelluri1997, Vignaroli2005, Alt2012b}.

Mineral assemblages and fluid compositions in the New Caledonian and Zermatt Saas Zone are consistent with stage one and stage two assemblages respectively. It is therefore useful to explore the idea that fluid composition during HPLT metamorphism is related to the relative progress of the two phases of serpentinisation that occur prior to subduction.

5.3. Serpentinising environment and geodynamic setting

The dominant control on the progression from stage one to stage two of serpentinisation is time-integrated water:rock ratio, although the composition of infiltrating fluid and the presence or absence of active deformation are also influential.

It is reasonable that water:rock ratios during serpentinisation of the New Caledonia rocks were lower than those during serpentinisation of the Zermatt Saas Zone rocks. The New Caledonia rocks were serpentinised in a SSZ setting \cite{Fitzherbert2004, Cluzel2012}, and here the awaruite-bearing assemblage of NC09-01a attests to the relatively low fluid:rock ratios in this setting. During serpentinisation of the protolith to the Zermatt Saas Zone ophiolite, on the other hand, water:rock ratios would most likely have been relatively high. The Zermatt Saas Zone is one of a string of ophiolites thought to have been part of either the magma-poor rifted margin to the
Tethyan ocean or its magma-poor slow spreading centre (Bernoulli et al., 2003; Lagabrielle, 2009; Manatschal and Muntener, 2009; Muntener et al., 2010; Lagabrielle et al., 2015). It is not possible at this stage to specify the geodynamic setting of the Zermatt Saas Zone unambiguously. However, the slow spreading ridge and magma-poor OCT settings are similar environments with respect to seawater infiltration and serpentinisation, because mantle lithosphere is exposed at, or close to, the seafloor. Under either slow-spreading or OCT conditions, time integrated fluid:rock ratios can be high, and serpentinisation is likely to progress to stage two.

There is abundant evidence for extensive pre-subduction serpentinisation in the Zermatt Saas Zone ophiolite. This evidence includes pseudomorphic textures of serpentine after olivine, which are typical of ocean floor serpentinisation (Li et al., 2004; Rebay et al., 2012; Fontana et al., 2008). There is also evidence of rodingitisation at contacts between the Zermatt Saas Zone serpentinites and basaltic dykes (Li et al., 2008). Rodingitisation records relatively low temperature (< 300 °C) fluid – rock interaction and is known to occur in ocean floor settings (Beard et al., 2009). Ophicarbonate breccias have been reported at Chatillon in the Zermatt Saas Zone ophiolite by Driesner (1993), and contacts between ultramafic lithologies and metacherts have been documented and interpreted as a record of ultramafic rocks at the ocean crust-sediment interface (Bearth and Schwandler, 1981).

A difference in the percentage of mantle lithosphere that is serpentinised in magma-rich and magma-poor settings is supported by the literature. In the Hess Deep, rifted fast spreading oceanic crust bears awaruite (Alt and Shanks, 1998), indicative of stage one of serpentinisation, while the mantle
lithosphere underlying most of the Atlantic Ocean is estimated to be less than 6% serpentinised by Carlson (2001), although estimates vary. In contrast, at the West Iberian margin, a passive margin associated with an ocean-continent transition, estimates of the serpentinised fraction range up to 28% for peridotite overlain by thin crust (Skelton et al., 2005), and from 25–100% for exhumed mantle that is thought to have undergone seawater percolation aided by hydrothermal circulation (Dean et al., 2000). This variation in the extent of serpentinisation is likely to be coupled with a variation in the stage of serpentinisation, so that rocks from fast-spreading settings are more likely to retain the stage one assemblage that leads to release of low $\alpha$O$_2$ fluids during deserpentinisation, consistent with the results of Alt and Shanks (1998).

An additional complexity is that the time-integrated fluid rock ratio for any serpentinite may increase at the initiation of subduction because fluid infiltration occurs as the slab bends to enter the subduction zone (Ranero and Sallares, 2004; Lefeldt et al., 2012; Naif et al., 2015). The depth and geometry of fluid infiltration is controlled by the depth of normal faulting at the bend and is still poorly understood (Lefeldt et al., 2012). However, it is likely that lithologies close to the top of the slab are more likely to be infiltrated than those lower down, and to be infiltrated more extensively. Thus, ultramafic rocks are at or close to the top of the subducting slab, as would occur for ocean crust from magma-poor or OCT settings, would undergo a greater degree of fluid infiltration and serpentinisation than ultramafic rocks concealed beneath several km of intrusive and extrusive mafic rocks.

It is therefore plausible that geodynamic setting is a primary control on
the time-integrated fluid rock ratio that drives serpentinisation, and that serpentinites from magma-poor and OCT settings, where ultramafic rocks are readily accessed by seawater, are the most likely to reach stage two of serpentinisation. Other settings that undergo extensive fluid–rock interaction include transform faults, oceanic core complexes, and exhumed mantle at rifted continental margins. Geodynamic setting, therefore, may provide a first order control on the redox characteristics of fluids released by serpentinites in subduction zones.

How general is the conceptual model and how representative are the results?

The proposed fluid-rock regimes may not apply to all rocks in a particular geodynamic setting because fluid flow in the Earth’s crust is heterogeneous. Such heterogeneity is evident in well studied present day oceanic settings. For example, most serpentinised peridotites at the MARK area on the mid-Atlantic ridge contain millerite, typical of stage two serpentinisation, but some samples contain locally developed awaruite (Alt et al., 2003). Similarly, metamorphic awaruite in the Alps documented by Peretti et al. (1992) at Val Malenco, by Diella et al. (1994) at the Mt. Avic area in the Zermatt Saas Zone ophiolite, by Zucchetti et al. (1988) at the Balangero mine in the western Alps, and by Carbonin et al. (2015) for the Cogne unit, in the Western Alps, attests to the fact that not all serpentinites derived from Tethyan oceanic lithosphere evolved in equilibrium with water-rich fluids. These examples demonstrate the heterogeneity of fluid flow within mantle lithosphere on the ocean floor. Nevertheless, we propose that ultramafic rocks derived from magma-poor OCT and slow and ultra-slow spreading ridge settings are likely to be, on average, more serpentinised than ultramafic
rocks from SSZ settings and therefore to produce fluids with $aO_2$ greater than FMQ during subduction.

It must also be considered that only a small number of samples could be studied in detail for this work. The samples described here are certainly representative in terms of their silicate mineral assemblages, which are are consistent with those reported by previous workers (Barnicoat and Fry, 1986; Reddy et al., 1999; Li et al., 2004; Fitzherbert et al., 2004; Groppo et al., 2009; Rebay et al., 2012; Frost et al., 2013; Gasco et al., 2013), except that titano-clinohumite was not present in the selected samples from the Zermatt Saas. Titano-clinohumite samples were present in the sample suites from which the selected samples were chosen, but were not selected for this study because a comprehensive discussion of the controversial genesis of titano-clinohumite was considered outside the scope of this work (Rahn and Bucher, 1998; Li et al., 2004; Rebay et al., 2012), and because these samples form the topic of work in progress. These samples are also representative of the larger sample suites in terms of their opaque phase assemblages, so far as can be determined from optical microscopy alone. The results are also consistent with those of other workers who infer high $aO_2$ fluids for Alpine HPLT rocks (see section 5.5.1).

5.4. Alternative explanations: protolith bulk composition

It is necessary to consider if the difference in fluid compositions could have been caused by factors other than the extent of pre-subduction serpentinisation, such as protolith bulk composition. The opaque phase assemblage in the three Zermatt Saas Zone samples implies similar metamorphic $aH_2 - aH_2S - aS_2$ but their protoliths are significantly different. GSZ-11a has relatively
low Si:(Fe+Mg) compared to PF-001 and LC-015, whereas the presence of chlorite and ilmenite in PF-001 indicate its Al- and Ti-rich bulk composition (Table 4). PF-001 and LC-015 are both iron-rich relative to the Gressoney sample, GSZ-11a, and also to other serpentinites from both the Zermatt Saas Zone and elsewhere the Western Alps (Li et al., 2004; Muntener et al., 2010; Barnes et al., 2014; Debret et al., 2014a; Scambelluri et al., 2014). In spite of these differences, similar petrological features are observed in the three of the Zermatt Saas Zone samples studied here, and in the majority of other samples from the Western Alps. Additionally, the bulk composition of the New Caledonia samples is very similar to that of GSZ-11a, but the mineral assemblage and inferred fluid composition are significantly different. Combination of these observations suggest that protolith bulk composition is not the cause of the observed systematic difference in fluid composition between the Zermatt-Saas and New Caledonian locations.

5.5. Alternative explanations: input from adjacent lithologies

The nature of units adjacent to the studied metaperidotites must also be considered as a potential cause of the observed systematic differences in fluid composition between the two localities. There is significant variation in the units currently adjacent to the Zermatt Saas Zone samples but this variation is not related to redox aspects of the inferred fluid composition. LC-015 was sampled within 50 metres of a Mn-rich sedimentary sequence, while PF-001 was sampled within 20 metres of the Allalin gabbro. It is plausible that proximity to the Allalin gabbro affected the bulk composition of PF-001, as recorded by the high proportion of Ti-bearing phases in this sample (Table 3). However, the redox aspects of the fluid compositions inferred for all three
of the Zermatt Saas Zone samples are indistinguishable and significantly different to those for the New Caledonia samples, so it is proposed that while adjacent lithologies may affect some aspects of fluid chemistry, their proximity had, at best, a second order effect on the redox state of fluids.

5.5.1. Other evidence for oxidised fluids in Alpine HPLT rocks

There is a growing body of evidence for the presence of high $aO_2$ fluids in HPLT rocks in the Alps. The presence of diamond in metasediments from Lago di Cignana has been interpreted to record water-rich fluids on the EMOD (enstatite-magnetite-olivine-diamond) redox buffer [Frezzotti et al., 2014]. Debret et al. (2015) record a decrease in whole rock Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$_{tot}$ at the antigorite dehydration isograd at Cerro de Almirez, which occurred at the pressures and temperatures found in the cooler parts of the mantle wedge. This result is interpreted as the consequence of loss of redox budget in fluids, consistent with the suggestion of Alt et al. (2012a) that sulfate was lost from these rocks during serpentinite dehydration. In the Western Alps, Debret et al. (2016) interpret hematite laths in magnetite as a record of high oxygen activity, and a correlation between whole rock Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$_{tot}$ and iron isotopes in rocks as evidence of the loss of oxidised sulfur in fluids. Further, Tumiati et al. (2015), document sulfates and hematite in manganese ores hosted by metasediments thought to have reached 2 GPa at Praborna, in the Italian Western Alps.

These results are consistent with those from this study. The presence of late or post-foliation magnetite in all four high pressure samples is consistent with infiltration of fluids capable of oxidising iron after formation of the dominant foliation (e.g. Fig. 2, Table S3f). Late magnetite displays talc
rims in some cases, and the restricted pressure range of talc stability sug-
gests that this late magnetite grew at pressures greater than 1 GPa (Evans
and Powell, 2015), i.e. during the early stages of exhumation. At this time,
changes in the stress field of the slab may facilitate infiltration of slab fluids
into lithologies that would otherwise have remained relatively inaccessible to
externally-derived fluids (Evans et al., 2014). If this is the case then mag-
eteite growth during early exhumation provides evidence for the presence of
fluids capable of accepting electrons deep within the subduction zone. Plau-
sible candidates for the electron-accepting fluid species are SO$_2$ and SO$_4^{2-}$.
Such fluids may, as discussed below, be produced as sulfur mobilises during
subduction.

5.6. Consequences: arc outputs

We have hypothesised that subduction of mantle lithosphere serpentinised
in magma-poor oceanic or rifted continental margin settings may lead to re-
lease of fluids more oxidised than those associated with subduction of man-
tle from other geodynamic settings. If this is the case then products from
arcs associated with subduction zones that consume mantle lithosphere from
magma-poor settings might be expected to record this additional redox bud-
get.

The extent of changes to the redox budget of the sub-arc mantle depends
on the magnitude of fluid release; serpentinised oceanic lithosphere is thought
to make up only a few weight percent of that subducted globally (Carlson
2001, Cannat et al., 2010), and material produced at slow and ultra-slow
spreading ridges is only subducted, at the present day, at the Antilles and
Scotia arcs (Alt et al., 2013). Thus, the effects of subduction of extensively
serpen
tinised mantle lithosphere at the present day may be localised and minor. However, as noted by Alt et al. (2013), the role of subducted serpentinites may have been greater in the Cretaceous, when Tethyan ocean floor produced at slow and ultra-slow spreading ridges in the Jurassic was subducted.

Evans (2012) calculated the redox budget of primitive arc magmas for a selection of arcs including those that consume crust from the fast-spreading ridges in the Pacific Ocean and those from the magma-poor Mid-Atlantic Ridge. These data display a statistically significant correlation between redox budget, subduction zone age and convergence rate. The Antilles arcs, which sample subducted MAR-produced lithosphere, lie on the same trend as the Aleutians, Kurile, Izu-Bonin, and other arcs that sample Pacific-ridge-produced lithosphere, so at first sight the subducted lithosphere type is not a first order control on the average redox budget of the whole arc. However, if the areas of seafloor formed at spreading rates $< 40$ mm year$^{-1}$ by Can-

nat et al. (2010) are considered then it can be seen that crust produced at slow spreading ridges is only entering subduction zones at the very tip of the Antilles subduction zone, and along the Scotia subduction zone. Few data from the Scotia subduction zone include analysis of both ferric and ferrous iron, so at present it is not possible to properly assess the consequences of subduction of mantle lithosphere serpentinised in magma-poor environments. Further detailed studies of local arc segments are required if the hypotheses presented here are to be tested.
5.7. Consequences: sulfur budgets and mobility

Sulfur is the 11th most common element in the Earth’s crust and is necessary for effective mobilisation and deposition of many elements of economic significance, such as copper, lead, zinc, and the platinum group elements. Further, sulfur as SO\(_2\) is a possible vector for transport of redox budget from the subducting slab to the sub-arc mantle (Kelley and Cottrell 2009; Evans 2012; Debret et al. 2014a; Tomkins and Evans 2015). Sulfur, even in relatively low concentrations, can carry significant redox budget, because eight electrons are necessary to reduce sulfur from the S(+6) form in sulfate to S(-2) in sulfide.

Sulfur is present in all subducted mantle lithosphere; fertile mantle peridotite contains 250–300 ppm sulfur as sulfide, more than that for any of the samples considered here (Table 4). Stage one of serpentinisation is thought to decrease the sulfur content of ultramafic rocks, because the reducing conditions drive desulfidation and stabilisation of metal alloys (Frost 1985). Subsequently, sulfur content increases as seawater sulfate is immobilised by reduction to form sulfides (Alt and Shanks 1998; Alt et al. 2003; Delacour et al. 2008). Limited investigations suggest that sulfur in stage two serpentinites occurs mostly as sulfide, with a minor proportion, 1–11%, as sulfate. Sulfate in serpentinites is thought to be derived mostly from oxidation of sulfide minerals (Alt and Shanks 1998; Schwarzenbach et al. 2012; Alt et al. 2013).

The oxidation state of subduction fluids affects sulfur mobility, because the limited data available suggests that oxidised sulfur fluid species are more soluble than reduced species. Anhydrite solubilities of up to 6 molal in salt-
rich solutions in experiments at 1 GPa and 800 °C (Newton and Manning, 2005). H₂S, on the other hand, is only sparingly soluble, with reported solubilities in fractions of moles per litre (Barrett et al., 1988; Suleimenov and Krupp, 1994), although the experiments on which these values are based were undertaken at relatively low pressures and temperatures (< 320 °C, < 0.1 GPa). The SO₂/sulfide (SSO₂) transition in aqueous fluids is calculated to occur at oxygen activities just above the pyrite-pyrrhotite-magnetite (PPM) buffer at 0.5 GPa and 800 °C, (Newton and Manning, 2005). Thus sulfur in fluids equilibrated with samples from the Zermatt Saas Zone samples, according to the best evidence available, would have been dominantly S(+4) in SO₂ or S(+6) in SO₄²⁻. Sulfur in fluids equilibrated with the New Caledonia samples, on the other hand, would have been S(2-) in hydrogen sulfide, and sulfur mobility would have been limited.

Thus, sulfur is likely to be more effectively mobilised in subduction zones that process extensively serpentinised, and therefore oxidised, mantle lithosphere from magma-poor geodynamic settings. There are two significant consequences if sulfur cycling is more effective when mantle lithosphere from magma-poor settings is subducted. First, transport and deposition of metals that depend on sulfur as a ligand will be more effective in mantle that overlies subducted slab from magma-poor settings. Second, transport of redox budget from the subducting slab to the sub-arc mantle is likely to be more substantial when the subducted crust is magma-poor.

It is difficult to assess the extent of sulfur mobility with the sample set for this study. The number of samples is small, and the S content varies by a factor of four (Table 4), similar to the range displayed by pre-subduction
sulfur contents (Alt et al., 2013). Reports of trends in sulfur concentrations with increasing extent of HPLT metamorphism are inconsistent. Hattori and Guillot (2007) measured sulfur concentrations in five samples from the Monviso ophiolite, which lies south of the Zermatt Saas Zone and underwent HPLT metamorphism (Messiga et al., 1999; Schwartz et al., 2000; Castelli et al., 2002; Spandler et al., 2011). S concentrations varied from below the detection limit of 5 ppm to 2410 ppm. In another study, Alt et al. (2012b) compared sulfur concentrations in Ligurian serpentinites from the Apennines, which are largely unaffected by HPLT metamorphism, with serpentinites from the Voltri massif. Ultramafic rocks in the Voltri massif, like the Zermatt Saas Zone, are thought to have been part of slow-spreading or OCT Tethyan mantle lithosphere, and to have been subducted and metamorphosed at peak pressures and temperatures of 550 °C and 2–2.5 GPa (Messiga and Scambelluri, 1991; Vignaroli et al., 2005). There was no significant difference in the sulfur contents between the localities affected and unaffected by the HPLT metamorphism. On the other hand, Debret et al. (2014b) measured sulfur concentrations in serpentine hosted by samples from Alpine ophiolites from different parts of the Alps and metamorphosed to different metamorphic grades. Sulfur in the serpentine showed a decrease in concentration with metamorphic grade. However, bulk composition data for sulfur was not provided so it is not clear if sulfur was transferred to sulfur-bearing minerals and retained, or to a fluid phase, and lost.

Thus, while the effects of pre-subduction geodynamic setting on sulfur mobilisation are potentially significant, existing data emphasise the spatially heterogeneous nature of the sulfur distribution in serpentinites and the need
for more measurements of whole-rock sulfur concentrations integrated with petrographic and outcrop scale evidence of oceanic and metamorphic fluid flow to constrain the extent of sulfur release.

5.8. Use of exhumed mantle as an analogue for typical subducted mantle

Mantle lithosphere exhumed in high pressure terrains presents our best opportunity to examine the effects of subduction on this lithology. However, if the extent of pre-subduction is as influential as is suggested here, then it may be that mantle serpentinised in magma-poor settings may not be representative of much of the subducted lithospheric mantle.

Yet such mantle is the most widely studied; the Alpine ophiolites are disproportionately well represented in the small but growing number of studies of the effects of subduction on the redistribution of redox-sensitive elements (Peretti et al., 1992; Groppo and Compagnoni, 2007; Alt et al., 2012b; Schwarzenbach et al., 2012; Debret et al., 2014a, b, 2015) because the Alps are well exposed, well studied, and relatively accessible. Further, it has been suggested by Beltrando et al. (2010) that magma-poor margins lie in positions favourable for exhumation from HP or UHP metamorphism, because of their location between negatively buoyant oceanic lithosphere and more buoyant continental lithosphere. In contrast, the global subduction budget is dominated by subduction of lithosphere formed at fast and intermediate spreading rates.

Under these circumstances, the use of exhumed mantle lithosphere from magma-poor settings as an analogue for all serpentinised mantle must be undertaken with caution, because conclusions drawn from exhumed mantle lithosphere serpentinised in magma-poor oceanic or rifted continental margin
settings, such as those in the Western Alps, would produce over-estimates of the capability of mantle lithosphere to oxidise the sub-arc mantle and deep Earth.

6. Conclusions

Opaque phase assemblages in serpentinites from New Caledonia and the Zermatt Saas Zone ophiolite equilibrated at significantly different $aO_2$ during HPLT metamorphism. The assemblages are consistent with the assemblages that would develop in serpentinites that proceeded to stage one and stage two of serpentinisation, prior to subduction, respectively.

The geodynamic setting of serpentinisation is a control on time-integrated water rock ratios seen by serpentinites, and therefore on the stage of serpentinisation reached. Serpentinisation in environments subject to low water:rock ratios, such as SSZ environments and mantle lithosphere underlying thick mafic crust, is likely to proceed only to stage one, while serpentinisation in environments with ready access to fluids, such as mantle lithosphere in slow and ultra-slow spreading environments, and in OCT settings, is likely to proceed to stage 2, although fluid flow is heterogeneous and stage one assemblages can be preserved locally in these overall high water:rock ratio settings.

The oxidation state of fluids produced by serpentinites during subduction therefore depends on the geodynamic setting of pre-subduction serpentinisation. This suggestion, though based on a small data set, is consistent with literature reports, and alternative explanations, such as bulk composition and adjacent lithologies do not explain the observed results. Thus, serpen-
tinites produced in magma-poor or OCT setting may release more oxidised fluids during metamorphism than those produced elsewhere. If this is the case then serpentine-derived oxidised fluids may affect the sub-arc mantle and sulfur mobility in some geodynamic settings. The idea that relatively oxidised fluids are present during HPLT metamorphism is supported by existing reports from the literature and by the late growth of magnetite during the earliest stages of exhumation in all rocks studied. This magnetite growth samples subduction zone fluids that could have moved up the subduction interface or into the sub-arc mantle wedge if subduction had continued.

The thermodynamic calculations presented here suggest that these fluids were more oxidised that the PPM buffer and could have carried oxidised sulfur in the form of SO$_2$ or sulfate. Confirmation requires experimental work to properly constrain sulfur speciation in fluids at high pressures and a better understanding of the processes that control arc output Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$_{tot}$, and the consequences of interactions between fluids released by different lithologies must also be investigated. However, the preservation of magnetite as part of the prograde assemblage in all the samples studied suggests that subducted ultramafic rocks retain at least some redox budget through subduction to depths of 10s of kms, and that this redox budget is likely to be added to the deep Earth.
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9. FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1: Sample localities (a) New Caledonia, after Fitzherbert et al. (2004); (b) the Zermatt Saas Zone in the Western Alps, after Barnicoat and Fry (1986).

Figure 2: Texturally late magnetite in (a) New Caledonia sample NC07-60; (b) Zermatt Saas Zone sample LC-015; (c) Zermatt Saas Zone sample PF-001 – here the large opaque grain is magnetite rimmed with ilmenite; (d) Zermatt Saas Zone sample GSZ11-a.

Figure 3: magnetite relationships: (a,b) details of different magnetite generations and their relationship with awaruite in NC07-60; (c) pentlandite overgrown by magnetite in GSZ-11a; (d) heazlewoodite and pentlandite overgrown by magnetite with millerite in the matrix in GSZ-11a.

Figure 4: alloys: (a) intergrown pentlandite and awaruite with magnetite and serpentine in NC09-01a; (b) native copper in NC09-01a; (c) Ruthenium alloy with laurite (RuS₂) in NC09-01a; (d) intergrown awaruite and magnetite in NC07-60;

Figure 5: Qualitative aH₂–aH₂S diagram to illustrate relative conditions of stability for the Alpine and New Caledonian samples. After Klein et al. (2009). Abbreviations: ZS: Zermatt Saas Zone; a: activity; aw: awaruite; hz: heazlewoodite; hm: hematite; mi: millerite; mt: magnetite; pn: pentlandite; po: pyrrhotite; pyr: pyrite; vs: vaesite. Marked buffers are: AMPZ: awaruite–magnetite–pentlandite–

Figure 6: Quantitative $aO_2-aS_2$ diagrams (a) 0.5 GPa; (b) 2 GPa. Abbreviations: ZS: Zermatt Saas Zone; $a$: activity; aw: awaruite; hz: heazlewoodite; hm: hematite; mi: millerite; mt: magnetite; pn: pentlandite; po: pyrrhotite; pyr: pyrite; vs: vaesite. Marked buffers are: AMPZ: awaruite–magnetite–pentlandite–heazlewoodite; PPM: pyrite–pyrrhotite–magnetite, HMP: hematite–magnetite–pyrite; and FMQ: quartz–fayalite–magnetite.
Table 1: Details of sampled locations, pressures, temperatures and literature descriptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>NC09-1a</th>
<th>NC07-60</th>
<th>PF-001</th>
<th>GSZ-11a</th>
<th>LC-015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPS Zone</td>
<td>58K</td>
<td>58K</td>
<td>32T</td>
<td>32T</td>
<td>32T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mE</td>
<td>0694332</td>
<td>0463563</td>
<td>0410417</td>
<td>0405623</td>
<td>0391613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mN</td>
<td>7549631</td>
<td>7737418</td>
<td>5096587</td>
<td>5081001</td>
<td>5080719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak pressure (GPa)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7 – 2</td>
<td>1.8 – 2</td>
<td>2.7 – 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak temperature (°C)</td>
<td>&lt;300</td>
<td>500 – 600</td>
<td>550 – 600</td>
<td>550 – 650</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References: 1: Frost et al. (2013); 2: Fitzherbert et al. (2004); 3: Fry and Barnicoat (1987); 4: Gasco et al. (2013); 5: Groppo et al. (2009)

Co-ordinates are given in UTM/UPS format relative to the WGS84 map datum

10. Tables
Table 2: Sources of parameters for thermodynamic calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\Delta H_f^\ominus$</th>
<th>$S$</th>
<th>$V$</th>
<th>$C_p,a$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{oi}$</th>
<th>$\kappa_{298}$</th>
<th>$T_\lambda$</th>
<th>$S_{max}$</th>
<th>$V_{max}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Awaruite</td>
<td>H03</td>
<td>H03</td>
<td>A90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heazlewoodite</td>
<td>RH95</td>
<td>RH95</td>
<td>P80</td>
<td>RH95</td>
<td>JH94</td>
<td>YR11</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetite</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millerite</td>
<td>RH95</td>
<td>RH95</td>
<td>RH95</td>
<td>S04</td>
<td>S04</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentlandite</td>
<td>RH95</td>
<td>RH95</td>
<td>RH95</td>
<td>B01</td>
<td>RP75</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaesite</td>
<td>C98</td>
<td>C98</td>
<td>C66</td>
<td>WP04</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_2O$</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_2$</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_2S$</td>
<td>E11</td>
<td>E11</td>
<td>E11</td>
<td>E11</td>
<td>E11</td>
<td>E11</td>
<td>E11</td>
<td>E11</td>
<td>E11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O_2$</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
<td>HP98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_2$</td>
<td>E11</td>
<td>E11</td>
<td>E11</td>
<td>E11</td>
<td>E11</td>
<td>E11</td>
<td>E11</td>
<td>E11</td>
<td>E11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\Delta H_f^\ominus$: Enthalpy of formation at standard state  
$S$: Entropy at standard state  
$V$: Volume under standard state conditions  
$C_p,a$--d: Maier-Kelley heat capacity coefficients  
$\alpha_{oi}$: Thermal expansion coefficient  
$\kappa_{298}$: Bulk modulus  
$T_\lambda$: Critical temperature for order-disorder phase transitions  
$S_{max}$: Maximum entropy of disorder  
$V_{max}$: Maximum volume of disorder

1. Taken from weighted average of properties for Fe and Ni in HP98
2. Values for pyrite used
### Table 3: Feature mapping results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentages *</th>
<th>NC09-1a</th>
<th>NC07-60</th>
<th>PF-001</th>
<th>GSZ-11a</th>
<th>LC-015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>native Cu</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>awaruite</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.7 E</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>magnetite</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hematite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyrrhotite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyrite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>barite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heazlewoodite</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43 E</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pentlandite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8 E</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>millerite</td>
<td>0.6 L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ilmenite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zircon</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67 L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monazite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.1 L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sphalerite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chalcopyrite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>galena</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGM</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total features</strong></td>
<td><strong>1083</strong></td>
<td><strong>96</strong></td>
<td><strong>611</strong></td>
<td><strong>866</strong></td>
<td><strong>3637</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Percentages refers to the percentage of the total number of features (Total features)

Abbreviations: E: Early; L: Late; T: Trace (< 0.1%); PGM Platinum group minerals
ap: apatite; atg: antigorite; br: brucite; cpx: clinopyroxene;
chl: chlorite; liz: lizardite; ol: olivine; opx: orthopyroxene; ta: talc
Table 4: Results of whole rock analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>NC09-01</th>
<th>NC07-60</th>
<th>PF001</th>
<th>GSZ-11</th>
<th>LC015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>wt %</td>
<td>38.22</td>
<td>40.40</td>
<td>37.40</td>
<td>40.77</td>
<td>37.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>wt %</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>wt %</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃(tot)</td>
<td>wt %</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>11.21</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>11.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>wt %</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>wt %</td>
<td>41.77</td>
<td>39.05</td>
<td>33.49</td>
<td>40.86</td>
<td>36.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>wt %</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>wt %</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>wt %</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>wt %</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>wt %</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>wt %</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
<td>wt %</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>12.22</td>
<td>10.31</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>10.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>1198</td>
<td>2517</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>2734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>2286</td>
<td>2294</td>
<td>1449</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(III)/Fe(tot)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11. Figures
Figure 2: 2 columns, black and white
Figure 3: 2 columns, black and white
Figure 4: 2 columns, black and white
Figure 5: 2 columns, black and white
Figure 6: 2 columns, black and white.
12. Supplementary Information
Table S1: Thermodynamic data used for phase equilibria calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>$\Delta H_f^\circ$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$S$ (J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$V$ (J bar$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$C_{P,a}$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$C_{P,b} \times 10^5$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$C_{P,c}$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$C_{P,d}$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1/2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Awaruite</td>
<td>-14.24</td>
<td>133.2</td>
<td>2.696</td>
<td>0.1956</td>
<td>0.5159</td>
<td>2480.8</td>
<td>-2.1579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayalite</td>
<td>-1478.22</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>4.631</td>
<td>0.2011</td>
<td>1.7333</td>
<td>-1960.6</td>
<td>-0.9009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heazlewoodite</td>
<td>-216.3</td>
<td>133.2</td>
<td>4.0655</td>
<td>-0.712433</td>
<td>4.15E+01</td>
<td>-2.11E+04</td>
<td>1.62E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematite</td>
<td>-825.73</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>3.027</td>
<td>0.1639</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2257.2</td>
<td>-0.6576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetite</td>
<td>-1115.55</td>
<td>146.1</td>
<td>4.452</td>
<td>0.2625</td>
<td>-0.7205</td>
<td>-1926.2</td>
<td>-1.6557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millerite</td>
<td>-91</td>
<td>52.97</td>
<td>1.689</td>
<td>0.0335283</td>
<td>3.13337</td>
<td>-239.86</td>
<td>0.120794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentlandite</td>
<td>-837.4</td>
<td>474.9</td>
<td>15.33</td>
<td>0.98936</td>
<td>3.32267</td>
<td>4279.65</td>
<td>-10.4371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>-171.64</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>2.394</td>
<td>0.0373</td>
<td>2.6715</td>
<td>-1817</td>
<td>0.6493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>-96.05</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>1.738</td>
<td>0.0511</td>
<td>0.8307</td>
<td>-669.7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>-910.88</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>2.269</td>
<td>0.1107</td>
<td>-0.5189</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.1283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaesite</td>
<td>-131.378</td>
<td>71.965</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>0.06442</td>
<td>2.07512</td>
<td>0.297517</td>
<td>-0.0001114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\Delta H_f^\circ$: Enthalpy of formation at standard state

$S$: Entropy at standard state

$V$: Volume under standard state conditions

$C_{P,a-d}$: Maier-Kelley heat capacity coefficients
Table S2: Thermodynamic data used for phase equilibria calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>$a_{oi} \times 10^5$</th>
<th>$\kappa_{298}$</th>
<th>$T_\lambda$</th>
<th>$S_{\text{max}}$</th>
<th>$V_{\text{max}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>GPa</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>J bar$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awaruite</td>
<td>15.72</td>
<td>1.8225</td>
<td>733.75</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayalite</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heazlewoodite</td>
<td>8.61E+00</td>
<td>1.19E+00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematite</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>1.996</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetite</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millerite</td>
<td>7.47974</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentlandite</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>1.395</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaesite</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>1.395</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$S</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S$_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- $a_{oi}$: Thermal expansion coefficient
- $\kappa_{298}$: Bulk modulus
- $T_\lambda$: Critical temperature for order-disorder phase transitions
- $S_{\text{max}}$: Maximum entropy of disorder
- $V_{\text{max}}$: Maximum volume of disorder