1 INTRODUCTION

Accretion is a fundamental astrophysical process that occurs in a very broad range of astrophysical contexts, e.g. from protostars and planetary systems, to stellar-mass compact objects in short-orbit binaries, to active galactic nuclei (AGN). Low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) are binary systems with a neutron star (NS) or stellar-mass black hole (BH) primary and a low-mass (<1M☉) secondary star (which may be semidegenerate or not). Most of the time, LMXBs are in a so-called quiescent state (where the X-ray luminosity, LX, is <1034 erg s⁻¹). Many of these systems undergo episodic outbursts, where the accretion rate increases by orders of magnitude and the system exhibits enhanced radiation. During outburst, emission is typically the strongest in the X-ray band (usually associated with the accretion inflow, disc and corona; Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Begelman, McKee & Shields 1983) and radio emission is often observed, indicative of a jet or another type of outflow (Fender, Belloni & Gallo 2004). The LMXB outbursts have time-scales of weeks to years, allowing us to investigate accretion in detail and in a dynamic way. LMXBs with black hole primaries (BH-LMXBs) are radio brighter at a given LX than what is typically observed for accreting millisecond pulsars. This suggests that J1804 is likely not an accreting X-ray or transitional millisecond pulsar.
This power-law index is (see Gallo et al. 2003 and black circles in Fig. 1). This correlation can the event horizon (Narayan & Yi 1995), or because BHs channel inefficient because infalling matter and energy is able to pass beyond ∼ 10^36 erg s^-1 (e.g. H1743-355 and G X 34 (Migliari et al. 2003; Aql X-1: β = 0.76 ± 0.1 and EXO 1745−248: β = 1.7 ± 0.1; Tetarenko et al. 2016). However, caution should be taken when interpreting these differences because in some cases the fits are based on a narrow luminosity range (∼1 dex). For the complete sample of all Atoll-type NS-LMXBs (those that have been observed simultaneously in the X-ray and radio), an overall correlation was found, showing an index of β ∼ 1.4 (Migliari & Fender 2006); however, again the luminosity range studied is also limited. This steep slope implies that NS-LMXB accretion is radiatively efficient (see cyan dashed line in Fig. 1), consistent with the idea that accreting material falling on to the surface of the NS naturally produces radiation. In fact, NS-LMXBs in the hard state appear to follow a similar radiatively efficient track to the ‘outlier’ BH-LMXBs, in which the origin of the radiatively efficient accretion is still not well established.

In addition to the L_α/L_X hard-state correlation, BH-LMXBs show radio flaring before, or at the time of, the transition from the hard-to-soft state, preceded by the quenching of the compact jet (Fender et al. 1999; Gallo et al. 2003; Fender et al. 2009; Miller-Jones et al. 2012). For most BH-LMXBs, no radio emission has been observed in the soft X-ray state. However, in a few sources, there have been some detections of faint radio emission with an inverted spectrum, most likely originating from optically thin residual jet emission (Fender et al. 2009). At a similar X-ray luminosity, hard-and soft-state radio emission can differ in brightness by approximately three orders of magnitude (e.g. by >700× for H1743−322; Coriat et al. 2011).

In NS-LMXBs, the jet quenching phenomenon is the topic of considerable debate (Fender & Muñoz-Darias 2016; Migliari 2011). To date, only two NS-LMXBs have been observed simultaneously in the X-ray and radio bands during both hard and soft X-ray states: 4U1728−34 (Migliari et al. 2003) and Aql X-1 (Tudose et al. 2009; Miller-Jones et al. 2010). For 4U1728−34, only marginal evidence for jet quenching was observed, while in Aql X-1, the jet was quenched by at least one order of magnitude. Furthermore, there are four systems that were observed at radio frequencies only while in the soft state: 4U1820−30, Ser X-1 (Migliari et al. 2004), MXB 1730−355 (Rutledge et al. 1998; Kuulkers et al. 2003) and GX 9+9 (Migliari et al. 2011). 4U1820−30, Ser X-1 and MXB 1730−355 showed surprisingly strong radio emission (if the assumed distance is accurate), albeit at a slightly lower radio luminosity than other hard-state NS-LMXBs (see Fig. 1), while no radio emission was detected for GX 9+9 (Migliari 2011). It is important to note that in the case of Atoll-type NS-LMXBs, there have been many detections in the soft state (six out of the nine systems observed in the radio band), while in BH-LMXBs, almost all radio observations in the soft state have provided non-detections. Bearing in mind that NS-LMXBs tend to be less radio loud than BH-LMXBs, the
observed level of jet quenching in NS-LMXBs seems to be less extreme than in BH-LMXBs (Migliari & Fender 2006).

1.1 1RXS J180408.9−342058

1RXS J180408.9−342058 (hereafter J1804) was classified as an NS-LMXB system on 2012 April 16 when INTEGRAL detected a Type I X-ray burst from a previously unclassified X-ray source (Chenevez et al. 2012). Assuming that the Eddington luminosity was reached during the burst (Kuulkers et al. 2003), the upper limit to the distance was estimated to be \( \sim 5.8 \) kpc (Chenevez et al. 2012). The Swift/XRT observations on 2012 April 17 and 30 revealed faint X-ray emission \( (L_{X,0.5\text{--}10\text{keV}} \sim 10^{33–34} \text{ erg s}^{-1}) \), consistent with a very low rate \( (\sim 10^{-4}L_{\text{Edd}}) \) of accretion (Kaur & Heinke 2012). Based on this, the source was classified as a very faint X-ray transient (VFXT; see Wijnands et al. 2006 for classification details).

On 2015 January 27, the Swift/BAT hard X-ray monitor \( (15–50 \text{ keV}; \text{Matsuoka et al. 2009}) \) detected a new, bright outburst from J1804 (Krimm et al. 2015a), which was later confirmed by MAXI/GSC \( (2–20 \text{ keV}; \text{Negoro et al. 2015}) \). Subsequent Swift/BAT observations revealed steady, hard X-ray emission, consistent with canonical accreting LMXB outbursts \( (L_{X,15–50\text{keV}} \sim 10^{36–38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}) \). During this outburst, J1804 was monitored in a multiwavelength campaign (X-ray: Boissay et al. 2015; Ludlam et al. 2016; Parikh et al. 2017a; optical and IR: Baglio et al. 2016). In 2015 April, J1804 transitioned to the soft X-ray state (Degenaar et al. 2015) before returning to quiescence at the beginning of 2015 June (Parikh et al. 2017a).

It has been suggested (e.g. Degenaar et al. 2014; Heinke et al. 2015) that some VFXTs may be similar in nature to tMSPs. Furthermore, the X-ray spectrum of J1804 in its initial hard state is consistent with a \( \Gamma \sim 1 \) power law (Krimm et al. 2015b), which is strikingly hard for typical NS-LMXBs (Parikh et al. 2017b) but similar to that displayed by the tMSPs (Tendulkar et al. 2014). The tMSPs have also been found to be surprisingly bright in radio, even at low X-ray luminosities (Deller et al. 2015a).

In order to investigate the X-ray/radio behaviour of J1804, we performed simultaneous observations with Swift/XRT and the Karl

Figure 1. X-ray (1–10 keV) luminosity versus radio (5 GHz) luminosity for BH- and NS-LMXBs. The grey shaded area indicates the region of the parameter space that is effectively inaccessible given the sensitivity of current radio telescopes (15 \( \mu \text{Jy} \) for \( \sim 1 \) h integration time) for sources at a typical distance of \( \sim 5 \) kpc (note that tMSP PSR J1023+0038, the lowest triangle, is exceptionally close at only 1.3 kpc, which is why it is the only system detected in this region). Circles represent BH-LMXBs; pentagons represent Z-type NS-LMXBs, squares represent Atoll-type NS-LMXBs, and for these we give individual names. Triangles represent tMSPs and stars represent AMXPs. J1804 is represented by red diamonds. Filled symbols represent hard-state X-ray observations; open symbols represent soft-state X-ray observations. For the single cyan point marked with ‘?’, it is unclear whether this observation was truly in the soft state. The black dashed line represents the radiatively inefficient \( \dot{L}_{\text{R}} \propto L_{X}^{\beta} \) track of BH-LMXBs, with \( \beta \approx 0.6 \). The dark blue dashed line represents the tentative \( \beta \approx 0.6 \) tMSP track proposed by Deller et al. (2015a). The dark green dotted line represents the \( \beta \approx 0.7 \) track derived for Aql X-1 by Tudose et al. (2009) and Miller-Jones et al. (2010). The cyan dash–dotted line represents the \( \beta \approx 1.4 \) track derived for 4U1728−34 by Migliari et al. (2003). Data points are taken from Coriat, Fender & Dubus (2012) for BHs in the hard X-ray state; Coriat et al. (2011) for H1743−322 and most of the BHs in the soft X-ray state; Gierliński, Maciołek-Niedźwiecki & Ebisawa (2001) and Russell et al. (2011) for the two lowest upper limits of BHs in the soft state; Migliari & Fender (2006) for Z-type NSs; Tudose et al. (2009) and Miller-Jones et al. (2010) for Aql X-1; Migliari et al. (2003) for 4U1728−34; Migliari et al. (2004) for 4U1820−30 and Ser X-1; Moore et al. (2000) and Kuulkers et al. (2003) for MXB 1730−335; Migliari (2011) for GX9+9; Tetarenko et al. (2016) for EXO 1745−248; Deller et al. (2015a), Hill et al. (2011) and Papitto et al. (2013) for tMSPs; Migliari, Fender & van der Klis (2005) for 4U1608−52, 4U0614−09 and the AMXPs.
G. Jansky Very Large Array (VLA) radio interferometer, monitoring the source through its 2015 outburst and transition back to quiescence. Our aim was to track J1804 in the $L_{\alpha}/L_{\nu}$ diagram, comparing it to typical hard-state NS-LMXBs, as well as tMSPs and AMXPs.

Baglio et al. (2016) investigated the near-infrared (NIR)/optical/ultraviolet (UV) spectrum of J1804 during its 2015 outburst. On 2015 February 26, during the hard X-ray state, they found a low-frequency excess in the NIR band, indicating the presence of a jet. Their observations on 2015 April 24, when J1804 was in the soft X-ray state, showed that the NIR excess had disappeared, thus indicating a reduction of the jet emission.

Ludlam et al. (2016) observed J1804 with the NuSTAR and XMM-Newton X-ray satellites during its 2015 hard X-ray state, finding clear evidence for an Fe Kα line and N VII, O VII and O VIII reflection lines. Using a relativistic reflection model, they estimated the inclination of the binary to be $i \approx 18^\circ - 29^\circ$ and the inner radius of the disc $R_{\text{in}} \lesssim 22 \text{ km}$. They found no evidence for coherent X-ray pulsations, indicating that J1804 is apparently a non-pulsating NS-LMXB. Using NuSTAR and Chandra observations, Degenaar et al. (2016) detected the Fe Kα line during the soft state, finding similar values for the inclination and inner radius of the disc: $i \approx 27^\circ - 35^\circ$, $R_{\text{in}} \approx 11 - 17 \text{ km}$.

In this paper, we present the data reduction (Section 2) and the results of the combined radio/X-ray analysis of J1804 (Section 3), discussing its implications in comparison to other NS-LMXB systems (Section 4).

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

We performed quasi-simultaneous observations of J1804, using the Swift X-ray telescope and the VLA radio interferometer, during its outburst in 2015 March–June. Table 1 provides a log of all observations used in this work.

2.1 Radio data (VLA)

J1804 was observed with the VLA at six epochs (project ID: 15A-455): each at X-band (8–12 GHz) for a total duration of $\sim 1 \text{ h}$ including calibration scans, with $\sim 30 \text{ min}$ on source. During the first two epochs in 2015 March and April, the array was in B configuration (synthesized beam $\sim 1.25 \text{ arcsec}$), and during the last four epochs the array was transitioning to BnA, gradually increasing in resolution up to $\sim 0.6 \text{ arcsec}$. We used J1331+305 and J1407+2807 as flux and polarization calibrators, and we used J1806−3722 as a phase and amplitude calibrator.

The data were reduced using CASA (McMullin et al. 2007) and the EVLA pipeline. We combined all epochs in one measurement set and used the multiscale/multifrequency clean method in CASA (Rich et al. 2008) to make a source model of the field. We then used this model to apply self-calibration corrections to each epoch before imaging and extracting the target flux density (or upper limit). We used phase self-calibration with a solution interval of $12 \text{ s}$, and amplitude self-calibration with an interval of $10 \text{ min}$. The root mean square (rms) noise of the per-epoch images was $3-4 \mu\text{Jy}$, and the combined image of all epochs reached an rms noise of $\sim 2 \mu\text{Jy}$.

For the epochs where the source was detected, we performed spectral and time analyses. In the first radio observation, we split the 8–12 GHz band into eight spectral bins, each consisting of four sub-bands, where a sub-band is a 128-MHz chunk of data comprised of 64 $\times$ 2-MHz channels. We imaged each spectral bin with the source model obtained from our full-band imaging at this epoch. For our second observation, we applied the same technique; however, due to the faintness of the radio source, we split the band into two 2-GHz spectral bins.

Fig. 2 shows the combined (8–12 GHz) radio interferometric map of J1804 and its surroundings, where all six observation epochs have been stacked together. In all VLA observations, J1804 was unresolved within the $1.9 \times 0.65 \text{ arcsec}$ beam. J1804 is located close to the radio galaxy NVSS J180414−342238 (Condon et al. 1998), whose extended lobes are prominently visible in the upper-left side of the radio image (see Fig. 2). The combination of all observations allowed us to create the best model of the extended emission close to the source, allowing us to achieve a sensitivity close to the theoretical thermal noise.

2.2 X-rays (Swift, MAXI)

Based on the six observation epochs obtained with the VLA, we selected nine quasi-simultaneous Swift/XRT observations (Target ID 32436), i.e. each radio observation is framed by a pair of X-ray observations (for more details see Table 1, Fig. 3 and Section 3.3).

In the first four observations (2015 March–April), J1804 was bright (unabsorbed $1-10 \text{ keV} \text{ flux } > 10^{-9} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and Swift operated in Window Timing (WT) mode with an exposure time per observation of $\sim 1 \text{ ks}$. As J1804 returned to quiescence (unabsorbed $1-10 \text{ keV} \text{ flux } < 10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$), the source became faint, so that our final five observations were done in Photon Counting (PC) mode with an individual exposure time of $\sim 2 \text{ ks}$. For more details about the X-ray light curve, see Parikh et al. (2017a).

We used the XRT PIPELINE task for basic data reduction and calibration. We extracted the spectrum using XSELECT. We used variable in size extraction regions for each WT epoch, in order to properly correct for Swift’s roll angle in these 1D data sets. For each WT data set, the source and background regions were of equal size. The size of WT-mode event regions was no greater than 30 pixel. In the PC-mode observations, we used the same source and background regions (a 30-pixel radius circle area for the source, and a 30-pixel width annulus area for the background with a 30-pixels inner radius). We examined observations that have a count rate more than 150–200 counts s$^{-1}$ in WT-mode and >0.6 counts s$^{-1}$ for PC mode in order to check for possible pile-up (following the method in Romano et al. 2006), and excluded the three central pixels for the third and fourth observations.

We used the XRTMKARF script together with the ‘swiftxrt0to2s6_20131212v015.rmf’ response file to produce an ancillary file for each individual observation (using individual exposure maps), correcting for known artefacts on the CCD. The XSELECT tool was used to extract the light curve and flux. We selected photons from the 0.7–10 keV range for WT mode observations and 0.4–10 keV for PC mode observations in order to perform the best possible spectral fit with XSPEC. For the first two observations (in 2015 March), an acceptable fit was achieved using an absorbed power-law model ($\text{TBabs} \times \text{powerlaw}$ in XSPEC). For the two observations in 2015 April, a combination of absorbed power-law and blackbody (bbbody model in XSPEC with $\sim 1 \text{ keV}$ temperature) models provided a better fit ($\chi^2 \approx 1.0$, dof $\approx 500$) than a single power-law model ($\chi^2 \approx 1.8$). Parameters of the model and reduced $\chi^2$ value for each epoch are summarized in Table 1. We performed a simultaneous fit for hydrogen column density (NH) value (using TBabs model) at all epochs in order to get the best estimate, which was found to be $0.36 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. This value is consistent with previous work on this source (e.g. Degenaar et al. 2016; Ludlam et al. 2016). We used cflux in XSPEC.
Table 1. The VLA 10-GHz radio detections and upper limits ($3\sigma$) together with the corresponding quasi-simultaneous *Swift* X-ray observations and spectrum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>MJD</th>
<th>Obs. ID</th>
<th>Unabs. flux$^a$</th>
<th>Photon index</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>dof</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>MJD</th>
<th>Flux density$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swift</td>
<td>(2015)</td>
<td>and obs. mode</td>
<td>X-ray (10$^{-9}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VLA</td>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>radio (µJy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 16</td>
<td>57097.29</td>
<td>00032436024(WT)</td>
<td>1.618 ± 0.026</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>March 17</td>
<td>57098.59</td>
<td>232 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 19</td>
<td>57100.35</td>
<td>00032436025(WT)</td>
<td>1.507 ± 0.025</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 12$^c$</td>
<td>57124.17</td>
<td>00032436032(WT)</td>
<td>4.959$^{+0.076}_{-0.076}$</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>April 13</td>
<td>57125.51</td>
<td>19 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 14$^c$</td>
<td>57126.55</td>
<td>00081451001(WT)</td>
<td>5.252$^{+0.052}_{-0.051}$</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1</td>
<td>57174.65</td>
<td>00032436035(PC)</td>
<td>4.120$^{+0.43}_{-0.43} \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>June 1</td>
<td>57175.39</td>
<td>&lt;14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2</td>
<td>57175.58</td>
<td>00033806001(PC)</td>
<td>1.508$^{+0.15}_{-0.14} \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>1.99 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>June 2</td>
<td>57177.35</td>
<td>&lt;12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 7</td>
<td>57180.26</td>
<td>00033806002(PC)</td>
<td>4.01$^{+1.41}_{-1.41} \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>3.17 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>June 7</td>
<td>57179.37</td>
<td>&lt;14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>57182.63</td>
<td>00032436037(PC)</td>
<td>2.12$^{+0.66}_{-0.66} \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>3.67$^{+2.07}_{-2.07}$</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>57184.58</td>
<td>00033806003(PC)</td>
<td>2.25$^{+1.65}_{-1.63} \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>2.56$^{+0.99}_{-1.15}$</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>57184.27</td>
<td>&lt;12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes.  

$^a$ X-ray flux in 1–10 keV range (90 per cent confidence interval).  

$^b$ Radio flux density at 10 GHz with 1σ errors.  

$^c$ For April 12 and April 14 observations, we used an additional blackbody spectral component with temperatures of 0.89 ± 0.07 keV and 0.89 ± 0.04 keV, respectively.

Figure 2. VLA combined radio image (all six epochs) of J1804 and the surrounding field. The extended diagonal feature corresponds to the lobes of a nearby radio galaxy (NVSS J180414–342238). The insets at the bottom show images from the first (left) and second (right), epochs individually.

to determine unabsorbed 1–10 keV fluxes and their associated errors for each epoch (see Table 1).

In order to produce a hardness-intensity diagram (HID) for the 2015 outburst of J1804 (see Fig. 4), we used publicly available data from *MAXI* (2–10 keV) (Matsuoka et al. 2009)$^1$ and *Swift* BAT (15–50 keV) (Krimm et al. 2013)$.^2$ For both bands, we converted count rates into Crab flux units assuming a Crab-like spectrum for J1804. We defined hardness ratio as $\text{Flux}_{(15-50\text{keV})}/\text{Flux}_{(2-10\text{keV})}$ and intensity as $\text{Flux}_{(15-50\text{keV})} + \text{Flux}_{(2-10\text{keV})}$.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Radio evolution

J1804 was detected by the VLA in our first two observations. At 10 GHz, we measured flux densities of 0.232 ± 0.004 mJy and 0.019 ± 0.004 mJy (＞$4\sigma$ detection; see insets of Fig. 2), respectively. In the remaining four observations, J1804 was undetected at the

---

2 http://swift.gsfc.nasa.gov/results/transients/weak/1RXSJ180408.9-342058/
Figure 3. Top: *Swift* XRT (0.5–10 keV) X-ray light curve of the 2015 outburst of J1804 (data from Parikh et al. 2017a). Bottom: VLA (10 GHz) radio light curve ($S_\nu$, radio flux density). For each radio observation, we selected the two closest X-ray observations in time (blue circles) and reanalyzed those data (Table 1). The grey dashed vertical lines correspond to the MJDs of the radio observations. The red dashed line corresponds to the approximate time of the hard-to-soft X-ray state transition.

Figure 4. Hardness-intensity diagram of the 2015 outburst of J1804. Red squares represent VLA radio detections, downwards triangles represent radio upper limits. Filled/open symbols indicate radio detections in the hard/soft X-ray state. Circles represent X-ray, *Swift* BAT and MAXI observations, with 1 $\sigma$ error bars. The colour scale indicates the number of days since the start of the 2015 outburst. Hardness ratio defined as $Flux_{15-50\text{keV}}/Flux_{2-10\text{keV}}$ and intensity as $Flux_{15-50\text{keV}} + Flux_{2-10\text{keV}}$.

best-fitting position from observation 1 – with 3$\sigma$ flux density upper limits of $\sim$0.012–0.014 mJy. The source was also undetected in a combined image of the last four observations, or any combination of these observations.

We investigated the first and second radio observations (when the source was detected) for variability and spectral shape. In the first epoch, no significant variability on a $\sim$1-h time-scale was observed. We also observed no frequency dependence and the 8–12 GHz radio spectrum was consistent with being flat, with a power-law index of $\alpha = 0.12 \pm 0.18$ (where $S_\nu \propto \nu^\alpha$) in the first observation. During the second radio observation, J1804 was too faint to establish if it was variable over the 1-h observation time-scale. Also, the radio spectrum was not constrained in a meaningful way ($\alpha = -0.31 \pm 0.68$), such that we could not distinguish between a flat or steep radio spectrum, and we could not identify whether the radio emission was optically thick or optically thin.

Using the B-configuration VLA beam size ($1.9 \times 0.65$ arcsec) and assuming a distance of 5.8 kpc, we estimate an upper limit of $\sim$7 $\times$ 10$^3$ au (3 $\times$ 10$^6$ light-seconds) for the projected linear size of the radio source. Assuming the brightness temperature does not exceed 10$^{12}$ K (see Migliari & Fender 2006), we also estimate a lower size limit of >0.01 au (5.2 light-seconds) for the first epoch and >0.003 au (1.48 light-seconds) for the second epoch. Using an $\sim$3-h orbital period (tentatively proposed by Degenaar et al. 2016) and assuming a total system mass of 2 M$_\odot$ (1.4 M$_\odot$ NS and 0.6 M$_\odot$ companion), we determine the J1804 binary would have a semimajor axis of 0.006 au or $\sim$3 light-seconds. In this scenario, the radio emitting region during the first epoch would be larger than
the binary separation and the jet would be gravitationally unbound from the system.

Assuming a flat radio spectrum and 5.8-kpc distance, we calculate the 5-GHz luminosity\(^3\) of J1804 and compare it to a large sample of BH- and NS-LMXBs (Fig. 1).

3.2 Source position

The radio detection in the first epoch provides the most precise position of J1804 to date:

RA: 18\(^{h}\)04\(^{m}\)08\(:\)3747 ± 0\(:\)0002,
Dec: −34\(^{\circ}\)20\('\)51\('\):18 ± 0\('\):01.

Here, the fit errors represent the beam size (for the given VLA configuration) divided by signal-to-noise ratio. These do not account for the uncertainty in the absolute positional accuracy of the phase-referenced VLA observations. However, the reference source J1806−3722 is accurate to ~ 1 mas (defined at 8 GHz), and is only a few degrees from J1804, meaning that any systematic offset in the absolute positions are at worst comparable to the positional errors derived from the VLA beam. This position is about three orders of magnitude more precise than the previous best position provided by optical (Baglio, Campana & D’Avanzo 2015) and ultraviolet (Krimm et al. 2015b) observations and consistent with the position provided by Deller et al. (2015b).

3.3 Swift

J1804 was detected in all nine Swift observations that we used in our study (Table 1). As can be seen from the full X-ray light curve (see Fig. 3 and Parikh et al. 2017a for more details), the 2015 outburst of J1804 lasted ~5 months. The source was in the hard X-ray state until 2015 March, with a typical (0.5–10 keV) flux of 1.5 × 10\(^{-9}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), before transitioning to the soft state around April 3 (Degenaar et al. 2015) with a typical flux of ~4 × 10\(^{-9}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (see photon indices in Table 1 and HID in Fig. 4). Several weeks later (around June 6) the flux rapidly decreased as the source returned to its quiescent level (~10\(^{-12}\)–10\(^{-15}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\); Parikh et al. 2017a).

In order to investigate the X-ray/radio correlation, we estimated the X-ray flux values corresponding to the exact times of our radio observations by logarithmically interpolating between six pairs of closely spaced consecutive X-ray observations (see blue circles in Fig. 3). In observation 1, we found J1804 right among other NSs in the \(L_\text{r}/L_\text{x}\) diagram. In observation 2, we found the radio emission to be strongly suppressed, providing the lowest soft-state radio detection to date. In observations 3–6, the source was not detected, which rules out that it is as radio bright as tMSPs or AMXPs at low X-ray luminosities.

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Jet quenching

Jet quenching is a well-established phenomenon in BH-LMXBs. For most BH-LMXBs, quenching of the radio emission is observed immediately before the transition to the soft X-ray state (e.g. Fender et al. 2009). Only a few detections of very faint, optically thin radio emission have been made in the soft state, immediately following hard-to-soft X-ray state transitions (e.g. Coriat et al. 2011). Further into the soft state (between 5 and 30 d after the transition) and at lower X-ray luminosity, numerous instances of radio non-detections indicate that the soft X-ray state radio jet is heavily suppressed (Hjellming et al. 1999; Gallo et al. 2004; McClintock et al. 2009).

There are only three BH systems (XTE J1650–500; Corbel et al. 2004, GX 339–4; Fender et al. 2009 and XTE J1748–288; Brocksopp et al. 2007) that have been detected in the full soft state (up to a month after the state transition). However, the observed radio emission in these cases was optically thin and (in some cases) the observed behaviour of spatially resolved components indicates that this emission is most likely not from a compact jet, but rather from its remnant: i.e. the interaction of ejected radio blobs with the surrounding interstellar medium or pre-existing jet material (Fender & Muñoz-Darias 2016). For most BH-LMXBs, radio emission is strongly quenched in the soft state and reappears only after the system has transitioned back to the hard state – the most striking example being H1743–322, where the level of quenching was detected to be a factor of more than 700 (Coriat et al. 2011). Soft-state jet quenching (by a factor of \(\gtrsim 25\)) was also observed in Swift J1753.5−0127 (Rushton et al. 2016). Furthermore, LMC X-1 (Gierliński et al. 2001) and 4U1957+11 (Russell et al. 2011), which remain in a persistent soft state, do not show detectable radio emission. This is consistent with the idea that a jet cannot be produced in the soft X-ray state and the emission that has been observed in other BH systems in this state could be just a remnant of the transient ejecta.

The physical origin of this quenching is unknown since no widely accepted model of jet production has been developed to date. It is thought that jet production can be further understood by considering how the jet behaves during the different modes of accretion (i.e. X-ray states). The most typical models (see e.g. Meier 2001) suggest that large-scaleheight poloidal magnetic fields are responsible for jet production. Indeed, in the hard X-ray state these fields can be provided by a vertically extended accretion flow (i.e. the corona; see Section 1), while at higher accretion rates, in the soft state, a geometrically thin accretion disc alone cannot provide such a magnetic field and thus cannot sustain a jet (Meier 2001). Whether jet quenching also occurs in NS-LMXBs is currently unclear. The handful of observed systems show markedly different behaviours.

4.1.1 4U1728–34

4U1728–34 was the first Atoll-type NS-LMXB that was well sampled in the X-ray/radio plane (Migliari et al. 2003). This is a persistent source that was monitored with two separate quasi-simultaneous X-ray/radio campaigns in 2000 and 2001. During the 2000 campaign, 4U1728–34 stayed mostly in the hard X-ray state with two short excursions into the soft state. The radio luminosities in the hard and soft states were almost identical, indicating no radio quenching. One observation (point ‘g’ in fig. 1 of Migliari et al. 2003, or point ‘Q2’ in fig. 9 of Tudose et al. 2009) did provide a lower radio luminosity than other epochs; however, its X-ray state was unclear (see ‘?’ symbol in Fig. 1). Therefore, jet quenching cannot be definitively connected to an X-ray state in this case. During the second observational campaign (2001), 4U1728–34 remained in the hard X-ray state with lower X-ray and radio luminosities than observed in the previous campaign (when the system was transitioning between hard and soft X-ray states). Combined observations from both campaigns, mixing hard and soft states, revealed a \(\beta = 1.4\) power-law correlation between the observed radio and X-ray luminosities.

\(^3\) \(L_\text{r} = 4\pi d^2 \nu S_\nu\), where \(d\) is distance, \(\nu = 5\) GHz is the reference frequency and \(S_\nu\) is the flux density at the reference frequency.
4.1.2 Aql X-1

Aql X-1 is one of the best-studied NS-LMXBs, going into outburst almost every year. It was found to have a tentative $\beta = 0.7$ power-law relationship between $L_r$ and $L_x$ for hard-state observations (Tudose et al. 2009; Teterenko et al. 2016; see green squares and green dotted line in Fig. 1). There were also several radio observations of this source in its soft X-ray state: an upper limit in 2002, a detection in 2004 and an upper limit and detection in 2009 (Miller-Jones et al. 2010; note that the upper limits were significantly deeper than the detections). All observations that have been taken during the soft state appear to be at a lower (up to a factor of 13) radio luminosity for a given $L_x$ than detections obtained during the hard state. Thus, Aql X-1 was the only NS-LMXB that showed a certain degree of jet quenching in the soft X-ray state and at high X-ray luminosity (Miller-Jones et al. 2010). It should be noted that comparing hard and soft radio flux densities from different outbursts of the same source can in some cases be dangerous because NS-LMXBs can have very different hardness-intensity tracks in each outburst (see e.g. Muñoz-Darias et al. 2014). However, over the outbursts in which the radio/X-ray correlation of Aql X-1 was compared, the observed HID tracks appeared very similar (see Tudose et al. 2009; Miller-Jones et al. 2010).

4.1.3 Soft-state-only NS-LMXBs

NS-LMXBs that have only been observed in the radio during a persistent soft state appear to exhibit two distinct behaviours: a given source is either deeply quenched or shows sustained radio emission. A single observation of GX 9+9 (Migliari 2011) provided a deep radio upper limit ($L_r < 4 \times 10^{27}$ erg s$^{-1}$), indicating strong radio quenching ($\gtrsim$2 orders of magnitude when compared to typical hard-state systems at similar X-ray luminosity), similar to soft-state observations (Tudose et al. 2009; Tetarenko et al. 2016; see green squares therein). In this case, the collimated jet is usually quenched (being detected in radio at a slightly lower level). The few contemporaneous radio and X-ray observations of these systems revealed around an order of magnitude lower radio emission than what is expected for hard-state NSs by extrapolating the $L_r/L_x$ correlation to higher $L_x$ (Migliari & Fender 2006). However, X-ray monitoring has shown that these systems have transitioned between the hard and soft states; therefore, it is possible that the observed radio emission could be residual emission from a pre-existing hard-state jet. Alternatively, the rapid burster MXB1730–335 (Moore et al. 2000) did not appear to show any jet quenching during its soft X-ray outburst. However, hard-state radio emission has not been observed in any of these three systems and their perceived level of jet quenching has been assumed by comparing their soft-state radio emission to the assumed $\beta = 1.4$ relation for typical hard-state systems. Therefore, we cannot determine their true level of jet quenching as reliably as observing hard-to-soft state transitions during the same outburst.

4.1.4 1RXS J180408.9−342058.

Observations during both hard and soft X-ray states for a single outburst of the same source are critical to accurately track the evolution of the radio jet and to measure its quenching. So far this has only been achieved for Aql X-1, which showed good evidence for jet quenching (Miller-Jones et al. 2010). Thus, J1804 is only the second source in which we can examine NS-LMXB jet quenching in reasonable detail.

We performed radio observations of J1804 during outburst and its evolution back to quiescence (see HID in Fig. 4). During the outburst, the source was detected at radio wavelengths in both hard and soft X-ray states (see Fig. 3). We detected J1804 in the rising hard state, finding that its radio and X-ray luminosities were consistent with typical Atoll-type NS-LMXB hard-state luminosities. We also significantly detected J1804 in the soft X-ray state. This detection is a factor of $\sim$12 lower in flux density than the hard-state detection ($\sim$1 month earlier), hence we can firmly claim the occurrence of radio jet quenching by more than an order of magnitude.

The radio spectrum in our first observational epoch was consistent with being flat ($\alpha = 0.12 \pm 0.18$), suggesting optically thick synchrotron emission from a compact jet. During the soft X-ray state, the radio source was faint ($\sim 19 \pm 4 \mu$Jy, $\sim 5\sigma$ detection), which precludes any detailed spectral analysis for this observation. The obtained spectral index for this epoch ($\alpha = -0.31 \pm 0.68$) has a large uncertainty and thus does not discriminate between optically thick and optically thin synchrotron emission from a radio jet ($\alpha \approx 0$). This detection is at a lower radio luminosity than any other detected NS-LMXB in outburst. Although GX 9+9 has a similar radio luminosity, this is only an upper limit (see Migliari 2011).

Since we only have one radio observation during the hard state, and one during the soft state (with $\sim$1-month separation), it could be argued that the soft-state detection represents residual emission of the hard-state jet (although it is 10 d after the hard-to-soft state transition). Therefore, the true level of quenching could, in principle, be deeper. Remnant radio emission has been seen in BH systems during the soft state (see e.g. Fender et al. 2009 and references therein). In this case, the collimated jet is usually quenched prior to the X-ray state transition and a transient jet is launched during the transition. Therefore, we cannot rule out the possibility that both of these events occurred between our first and second radio observations of J1804 and that the observed radio emission in the soft state is residual emission from a transient jet. If this was the case, our soft state detection would be at least a lower limit on the true level of the jet quenching.

NS-LMXBs have shown a broad diversity of behaviour, and only with observations of more such systems, over the widest possible range of radio and X-ray luminosities, can we hope to ascertain whether they show a consistent set of behaviours and make detailed comparisons to BH-LMXBs. Some NS-LMXBs appear to quench in the soft state (e.g. Aql X-1, GX 9+9 and J1804) and others apparently do not (4U1748–34, Ser X-1, 4U1820–30 and MXB1730–335). Therefore, there may exist some tunable parameter that affects jet production and quenching, such as magnetic field strength, spin of the NS and/or mass accretion rate. Migliari (2011) summarized observations of all NS-LMXBs observed in the radio band to determine a unified scheme of jet production based on such parameters; however, they could not identify any evidence for a single parameter being responsible.

4 We analysed publicly available RXTE data from 1996 November 6 and 11 (when the radio observations of Moore et al. 2000 were taken). We found strong blackbody (with temperature $\sim 1$–3 keV) and very steep power-law ($\Gamma > 3$) components in both spectra. This confirms that radio observations of MXB1730–335 were taken while it was indeed in the soft X-ray state.

5 Note that one observation of the tMSP PSR J1023+0038 (the lowest triangle point) has a lower radio luminosity (Deller et al. 2015a), owing to its close proximity ($\sim$1 kpc). Likewise, the next tMSP triangle point to the right in Fig. 1, which is XSS J12270–4859 (Hill et al. 2011).
Our soft-state radio detection was only possible due to the recent upgrade to the VLA (Perley et al. 2011), which increased its sensitivity by a factor of ~10 for similar observations to those here. This increased sensitivity opens up the possibility to study very faint radio sources such as those associated with jet emission in NS-LMXBs. Further increased sensitivity would allow us to study the spectral properties of the radio emission from NS-LMXBs in detail (e.g. distinguishing between optically thin and thick emission) and possibly detect even deeper radio quenching.

4.2 Radio/X-ray correlation

We observed J1804 in the radio during its 2015 outburst, at high X-ray luminosity \( L_X > 10^{36} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) in both hard and soft X-ray states, and at lower X-ray luminosity as it returned towards quiescence (see Fig. 1). The source was not detected below \( L_X < 10^{35} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \). Combining a hard-state detection with our quiescent limits, we conclude that J1804 follows an \( L_X/L_X \) track with an index of \( \beta \gtrsim 0.7 \), in agreement with typical non-pulsating NS-LMXBs,\(^6\) for which \( \beta \approx 1.4 \) (Tetarenko et al. 2016).

Pulsating NS-LMXBs, such as AMXPs and tMSPs, appear to be more radio bright at low X-ray luminosity (\( L_X \sim 10^{32} - 10^{35} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \)) than non-pulsating systems (see magenta stars and dark blue triangles in Fig. 1, \( L_X \sim 10^{32} - 10^{35} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) range). However, at higher X-ray luminosities (\( L_X > 10^{36} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \)), some AMXPs show similar (e.g. radio observations of SAX J1808.4–3658; Rupen et al. 2002; Migliari & Fender 2006) or even lower radio luminosity (see Tudor et al. 2017) compared with non-pulsating systems (assuming that the relative distances are correct). Furthermore, there are three confirmed tMSP systems observed so far: PSR J1023+0038 (Stappers et al. 2014) and XSS J12270–4859 (Bassa et al. 2014) have so far remained in a very low and steady accretion state, and IGR J18245–2452 (M28I; Papitto et al. 2013) also went into full outburst. As suggested by Deller et al. (2015a), these systems possibly obey their own radio/X-ray correlation with \( \beta \approx 0.6 \) (see the dark blue dashed line in Fig. 1). This was suggested by connecting the highest point (M28I) with the two low-accretion-rate systems whose radio brightness is markedly higher than what is expected for non-pulsating NS-LMXBs based on a \( \beta = 1.4 \) power-law correlation.

This difference opens up the possibility to distinguish between different classes of accreting NSs using their radio/X-ray behaviour. However, due to the expectation that they would be too faint to detect, very few NS-LMXBs have been targeted in the radio at low X-ray luminosities (\( L_X \sim 10^{32} - 10^{35} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \)). One of the few exceptions is the recent non-detection of EXO 1745–248 (Tetarenko et al. 2016) at an X-ray luminosity of \( \sim 10^{35} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \), which indicates that this system is significantly radio fainter compared with the tMSPs. Lastly, the upper limits provided by J1804 also indicate that the radio emission of some NS-LMXBs at low X-ray luminosity is much less (by at least a factor of a few and possibly by an order of magnitude or more) than that of tMSPs and AMXPs (Deller et al. 2015a; Tudor et al. 2017).

5 CONCLUSION

We find significant radio jet quenching in the non-pulsating NS-LMXB J1804 (by a factor of ~12) during its transition from the hard-to-soft X-ray states in its 2015 outburst. Such jet quenching has been previously observed, arguably, only in two other NS-LMXBs: Aql X-1, where quasi-simultaneous radio/X-ray data tracked both the hard and soft X-ray states during two outbursts and GX 9+9, where a single upper limit in the soft state is the only available evidence. Other NS-LMXBs studied quasi-simultaneously in radio/X-ray have not clearly shown jet quenching. Furthermore, our radio observations of J1804 at \( L_X \sim 10^{35} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) seem to indicate that it has a lower radio luminosity than previously observed pulsating NS-LMXBs, i.e. the tMSPs and AMXPs. Such behaviour has also been observed in the non-pulsating NS-LMXB EXO 1745–245, which likewise shows only a radio upper limit at \( L_X \sim 10^{35} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \).

Our results indicate that NS-LMXBs have fundamentally different accretion characteristics within the class. However, only a few systems have been observed in a broad X-ray/radio luminosity range and during various X-ray states. Observations of more systems at \( L_X < 10^{36} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) are needed to characterize this phenomenon.
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