

VLBI Observations of Southern Gamma-Ray Sources. III

P. G. Edwards^{1,10}, R. Ojha^{2,3,4}, R. Dodson⁵, J. E. J. Lovell⁶, J. E. Reynolds¹, A. K. Tzioumis¹, J. Quick⁷, G. Nicolson⁷ and S. J. Tingay^{8,9}

¹CSIRO Astronomy and Space Science, ATNF, PO Box 76, Epping, NSW 1710, Australia

²NASA, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, 20771, USA

³Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, 20064, USA

⁴University of Maryland, Baltimore County, 1000 Hilltop Cir, Baltimore, MD 21250, USA

⁵ICRAR, University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia

⁶School of Physical Sciences, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 37, Hobart, TAS 7001, Australia

⁷Hartebeesthoek Radio Astronomy Observatory, Krugersdorp 1740, South Africa

⁸ICRAR, Curtin University, Bentley, WA 6845, Australia

⁹Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica (INAF) – Istituto di Radioastronomia, Via Pietro Gobetti, I-40129 Bologna, Italy

¹⁰Email: Philip.Edwards@csiro.au

(RECEIVED July 28, 2017; ACCEPTED January 11, 2018)

Abstract

We report the results of Long Baseline Array observations made in 2001 of ten southern sources proposed by Mattox et al. as counterparts to EGRET >100 MeV gamma-ray sources. Source structures are compared with published data where available and possible superluminal motions identified in several cases. The associations are examined in the light of *Fermi* observations, indicating that the confirmed counterparts tend to have radio properties consistent with other identifications, including flat radio spectral index, high brightness temperature, greater radio variability, and higher core dominance.

Keywords: galaxies: active – radio continuum: galaxies

1 INTRODUCTION

One of the highlights of the *Compton Gamma-Ray Observatory* (CGRO) mission (1991–2000) was the discovery that members of the blazar class of compact, bright, and flat-spectrum radio sources had spectral energy distributions extending up to—and in some cases dominated by—GeV gamma-ray energies. Studies of gamma-ray bright active galactic nuclei (AGN) are continuing with observations using the *AGILE* (Tavani et al. 2009) and *Fermi* (Acero et al. 2015) satellites.

The third EGRET catalog (3EG; Hartman et al. (1999)) contained 271 sources above 100 MeV: the LMC, five pulsars, a solar flare, the radio galaxy Cen A, 66 high-confidence identifications of blazars, and 27 lower-confidence potential blazar identifications, with the majority of sources being unidentified. The observations described here were originally inspired by a re-examination of the 3EG data-set by Mattox et al. (2001), who made a more rigorous determination of the probability of radio source associations with EGRET sources.

Mattox et al. (2001) used Bayes' theorem with an *a priori* probability for an EGRET detection of a radio source (based on earlier strong EGRET detections) and the angular separa-

tion between the radio source and the EGRET position, r , to determine the *a posteriori* probability that a radio source was the correct identification of an EGRET source. Mattox et al. (2001) found that 45 of the 66 ‘high-confidence’ 3EG identifications had a high probability of being correct, generally with $p(id|r) > 0.70$. In addition, Mattox et al. (2001) found the remaining 21 ‘high-confidence’ 3EG identifications were plausible identifications, with $p(id|r) > 0.04$. Of the 27 lower-confidence 3EG identifications, Mattox et al. (2001) found one had a high probability of being correct, three were plausible identifications, but the remaining 23 identifications were implausible. Furthermore, they found 15 plausible identifications which had not been suggested previously.

VLBI observations are of particular importance in understanding the mechanisms at work in producing high energy gamma-ray emission, by virtue of the milli-arcsecond angular resolution achievable, which translates to parsec-scale linear resolution in AGN. VLBI observations have shown that the apparent superluminal motions in gamma-ray sources are faster than for the general population of bright compact radio sources (Jorstad et al. 2001; Lister et al. 2009), the VLBI core flux density is correlated with gamma-ray flux (Jorstad et al. 2001; Kovalev 2009), and gamma-ray flares (or state of

Table 1. Details of the sources observed. The confidence of the identification is denoted by ‘A’ for a high-confidence association, and ‘a’ for a lower confidence association, in the 3EG catalog (Hartman et al. (1999)), and ‘M’ and ‘m’ for high and lower confidence associations by Mattox et al. (2001). The $p(id|r)$ is that given by Mattox et al. (2001) — see text for details. The 3FGL associations with the 3EG sources are from Acero et al. (2015) and Ackermann et al. (2015). Note that PKS 1814–637 is not most likely association with 3FGL J1816.0–6407 (see Section 3.8).

Source name	J2000	3EG	Id.	$p(id r)$	3FGL
PKS 0208–512	J0210–5101	3EG J0210–5055	AM	0.998	3FGL J0210.7–5101
PKS 0454–463	J0455–4616	3EG J0458–4635	AM	0.858	3FGL J0455.7–4617
PKS 0516–621	J0516–6207	3EG J0512–6150	m	0.091	3FGL J0516.7–6207
PKS 0537–441	J0538–4405	3EG J0540–4402	AM	0.894	3FGL J0538.8–4405
PKS 1424–418	J1427–4206	3EG J1429–4217	AM	0.98	3FGL J1427.9–4206
MRC 1633–409	J1636–4101	3EG J1631–4033	m	0.28	
MRC 1759–396	J1802–3940	3EG J1800–3955	Am	0.90	3FGL J1802.6–3940
PKS 1814–637	J1819–6345	3EG J1813–6419	m	0.70	3FGL J1816.0–6407
PKS 1933–400	J1937–3957	3EG J1935–4022	Am	0.59	3FGL J1937.0–3956
PKS 2052–474	J2056–4714	3EG J2055–4716	AM	0.93	3FGL J2056.2–4714

enhanced emission) are associated with the ejection of a new superluminal component (Jorstad et al. 2001).

This paper is the third a series of VLBI observations of southern gamma-ray sources: paper I (Tingay et al. 1996) contained first-epoch VLBI images of PKS 0208–512, PKS 0521–365, and PKS 0537–441, and paper II (Tingay et al. 1998) included VLBI images of an additional six southern sources and investigated the suggestion that jet bending played an important role in gamma-ray sources—a topic revisited more recently by Graham & Tingay (2014).

Early studies were limited by the relatively sparse EGRET observations of sources, and the associated difficulty in determining when a gamma-ray high-state peaked, and how long it lasted. The launch of the *Fermi* satellite in 2008, with its ability to survey the whole sky every day with improved sensitivity and angular resolution has overcome this problem, and has led to a number of detailed studies of the VLBI properties of gamma-ray sources (Lister et al. 2009, 2016; Kovalev et al. 2009; Ojha et al. 2010; Arshakian et al. 2012).

Throughout the paper, we use a cosmology with $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.29$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.71$, and define spectral index, α , using the convention $S \propto \nu^{+\alpha}$, where the symbols have their usual meanings.

2 OBSERVATIONS

For the observations described here, we selected the highest probability associations with $\delta < -30^\circ$ of Mattox et al. (2001). Seven of these were considered high-confidence identifications in the third EGRET catalog, although Mattox et al. concluded that only five were high-confidence associations, with the remaining five being the most probable of their plausible identifications. The ten sources observed are listed in Table 1, which includes B1950 and J2000 names for the radio sources, the 3EG name (Hartman et al. 1999), the 3EG and

Mattox et al. degree of confidence in the association, probability for the association based on the Mattox et al. criteria, and the 3FGL source name (Acero et al. 2015) associated with the 3EG source. Mattox et al. identified two other sources in the declination range with lower probability plausible associations, PMN J0726–4728 and PKS 1221–829. These were not included in these VLBI observations but are considered further in Section 4.

Several of the high-confidence detections have been studied previously with Long Baseline Array (LBA) observations (e.g., PKS 0208–512 and PKS 0537–441: Tingay et al. 1996), and the observations presented here help to track jet component motions in these sources. For other sources, these first epoch observations are being followed up by later TANAMI (Tracking Active Galactic Nuclei with Austral Milliarcsecond Interferometry) observations (Ojha et al. 2010) to achieve this goal.

The LBA observations presented here, of five high-confidence EGRET identifications and five of the more probable ‘plausible’ identifications, enable us to examine the source morphology and study radio properties including core brightness temperature and core dominance. The observations were conducted with the LBA (Edwards & Phillips 2015) over a period of 24 h commencing on 2001 November 10 under the observation code V151. The telescopes participating in the observations were Ceduna 30 m (System Equivalent Flux Density (SEFD) 450 Jy), Hartebeesthoek 26 m (SEFD 290 Jy), Hobart 26 m (SEFD 640 Jy), Mopra 22 m (SEFD 350 Jy), Parkes 64 m (SEFD 110 Jy), and a ‘tied array’ of 5×22 m antennas of the Australia Telescope Compact Array (SEFD 70 Jy). Observations were conducted with a 16 MHz bandwidth centred at 4.817 GHz, with two polarisations and 2-bit digitisation, for a total bit-rate of 128 Mbps per antenna. Data were recorded using the S2 tape-based system and correlated at the ATNF headquarters in Sydney (Wilson et al. 1996).

Table 2. VLBI mode-fitting results from our 4.8 GHz LBA observations. Source frame brightness temperatures are given for sources for which a redshift is known: for MRC 1633–409 the observer frame brightness temperature is listed.

Source	Flux density (Jy)	Radius (mas)	Theta (deg)	Major axis (mas)	z	T_B (K)
PKS 0208–512	2.80	0.00	0	0.55	1.003	9.7×10^{11}
	0.70	4.21	–113	5.59		
PKS 0454–463	1.07	0.00	0	0.64	0.853	2.6×10^{11}
	0.10	32.76	71	3.78		
PKS 0516–621	0.52	0.00	0	0.43	1.300	3.4×10^{11}
PKS 0537–441	6.43	0.00	0	0.57	0.894	2.0×10^{12}
PKS 1424–418	0.67	0.00	0	0.63	1.522	2.2×10^{11}
	0.40	2.49	67	4.80		
	0.13	38.07	79	5.68		
MRC 1633–409	0.52	0.00	0	5.79	1.319	8.2×10^8
	0.24	62.52	109	7.07		2.6×10^8
MRC 1759–396	1.36	0.00	0	0.80	1.319	1.5×10^{11}
	0.17	6.76	–9.4	0.75		
PKS 1814–637	1.44	0.00	0	11.05	0.065	6.6×10^8
	0.53	102.75	155	2.10		
PKS 1933–400	0.67	0.00	0	0.59	0.965	2.0×10^{11}
	0.18	5.18	141	6.27		
PKS 2052–474	0.80	0.00	0	0.80	1.489	1.6×10^{11}
	0.09	8.07	100	0.95		

Table 3. Variability and core dominance for each radio source. The 3FGL column indicates whether the radio source has been associated with a gamma-source in the 3FGL catalog (Acero et al. 2015). Radio flux densities are from Wright et al. (1994) for PMN, Lovell (1997), McConnell et al. (2012) for ATPMN, and Murphy et al. (2010) for AT20G. The ATCA flux densities are from the observations presented here. The errors on radio flux densities are 5% or less. See text for details of the variability index.

Source	$S_{4.8 \text{ GHz}}$ [Jy]						Variability index	LBA $S_{4.8}$ [Jy]	LBA/ATCA
	3FGL	PMN	Lovell	ATPMN	AT20G	ATCA			
PKS 0208–512	y	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.0	3.6	0.09	3.5	0.97
PKS 0454–463	y	1.7	1.4	1.1	2.6	1.6	0.41	1.2	0.75
PKS 0516–621	y	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.23	0.5	1.00
PKS 0537–441	y	4.8	3.3	3.4	3.8	6.9	0.35	6.4	0.93
PKS 1424–418	y	2.6	2.3	2.1	3.1	1.6	0.32	1.2	0.75
MRC 1633–409	n	1.5	...	1.2	1.4	1.2	0.11	0.8	0.67
MRC 1759–396	y	2.0	...	2.0	1.2	1.6	0.25	1.5	0.94
PKS 1814–637	n	4.5	...	4.2	5.6	4.7	0.14	2.0	0.43
PKS 1933–400	y	1.1	0.9	1.0	1.6	0.9	0.28	0.9	1.00
PKS 2052–474	y	2.0	1.6	1.6	3.2	1.1	0.49	0.9	0.82

Data were fringe-fit in AIPS¹ using the standard procedures, and imaged using the Difmap package (Shepherd 1997). For details of the calibration and imaging process, please see Section 3 of Ojha et al. (2010).

3 RESULTS

In Table 2, we present the results of model-fitting the data, and Table 3 provides a comparison of catalogued total flux

¹ AIPS is produced and maintained by the National Radio Astronomy Observatory, a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

densities for these sources with those measured in our observations, and a measurement of the core dominance of the sources.

Contour plots of our ten observed sources are shown in Figures 1 through 11 with the FWHM Gaussian restoring beam shown as a hatched ellipse in the lower left. All except one image is made with natural weighting, which gives the same weight to all the visibility data points and delivers the highest SNR. The exception is Figure 10, where a lower resolution image of PKS 1814–637 is shown to better recover its diffuse structure. This was achieved by down-weighting the data on the longest baselines, i.e., (u, v)-tapering. All images




Figure 1. VLBI image of PKS 0208–512: the image peak is 2.4 Jy beam^{-1} , contours are $(-1, 1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, 256) \times 5.8 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$, and the beam FWHM is $4.5 \times 0.9 \text{ mas}$ at position angle 0.3° . The rms noise level in the image is $1.0 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$.




Figure 3. VLBI image of PKS 0516–621: the image peak is $0.46 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$, contours are $(-1, 1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, 256) \times 1.2 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$, and the beam FWHM is $2.9 \times 0.9 \text{ mas}$ at position angle 2.7° . The rms noise level in the image is $0.2 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$.




Figure 2. VLBI image of PKS 0454–463: the image peak is $0.86 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$, contours are $(-1, 1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, 64) \times 9.4 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$, and the beam FWHM is $3.9 \times 1.0 \text{ mas}$ at position angle 1.6° . The rms noise level in the image is $1.8 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$.




Figure 4. VLBI image of PKS 0537–441: the image peak is $5.51 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$, contours are $(-1, 1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, 128) \times 24.1 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$, and the beam FWHM is $4.4 \times 1.1 \text{ mas}$ at position angle 0.7° . The rms noise level in the image is $3.3 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$.

are contoured down to the level where the first negative contours appear (typically at between five and seven times the rms noise) since that gives a realistic idea of what structures are robustly detected.

Image fidelity is determined by on-source reconstruction errors, which depend in a complicated manner on factors like the source brightness distribution and the (u, v) -coverage, rather than the dynamic range and so VLBI image contours




Figure 5. VLBI image of PKS 1424–418: the image peak is $0.65 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$, contours are $(-1, 1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, 64) \times 5.8 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$, and the beam FWHM is $4.8 \times 1.0 \text{ mas}$ at position angle -1.4° . The rms noise level in the image is $1.1 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$.




Figure 7. VLBI image of MRC 1759–396: the image peak is $1.07 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$, contours are $(-1, 1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, 512) \times 1.5 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$, and the beam FWHM is $7.4 \times 1.3 \text{ mas}$ at position angle 10.9° . The rms noise level in the image is $0.2 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$.




Figure 6. VLBI image of MRC 1633–409: the image peak is $0.30 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$, contours are $(-1, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16) \times 10.8 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$, and the beam FWHM is $7.3 \times 6.4 \text{ mas}$ at position angle 61.6° . The rms noise level in the image is $1.5 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$.




Figure 8. VLBI image of PKS 1814–637: the image peak is $0.47 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$, contours are $(-1, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16) \times 21.8 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$, and the beam FWHM is $6.5 \times 1.7 \text{ mas}$ at position angle 22.3° . The rms noise level in the image is $1.9 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$.

are presented down to a level when negative contours appear which provides a better sense of how trustworthy observed structures are. Since the LBA is not a planned array, the biggest constraint on the fidelity of these images are the gaps in (u, ν) -coverage, especially the absence of interme-

diate baselines between the shorter intra-Australian and the trans-oceanic ones to South Africa.

In the following subsections, we consider each source in detail.




Figure 9. A lower resolution image of PKS 1814–637, with an image peak of $0.76 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$, contours of $(-1, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32) \times 20.2 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$, and beam FWHM $7.4 \times 6.3 \text{ mas}$ at position angle -81.7° . The rms noise level in the image is $1.8 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$.

3.1. PKS 0208–512

VLBI observations of this bright gamma-ray source have previously been reported by Preston et al. (1985) at 2.3 GHz, Tingay et al. (1996) and Shen et al. (1998) at 4.8 GHz, Tingay et al. (2002) at 4.8 and 8.4 GHz, and Ojha et al. (2004) at 8.4 GHz. These are all consistent with a bright core and a jet extending at a position angle of $\sim -120^\circ$. This is similar to the kpc-scale jet seen in ATCA observations of Marshall et al. (2005).

Our observations reveal a single jet component, 4.2 mas from the core. It is possible that this is the same component seen $\sim 2 \text{ mas}$ from the core in the 1998 VSOP observation of Tingay et al. (2002), and component seen at $\sim 7 \text{ mas}$ in the first-epoch TANAMI image (Ojha et al. 2010). This $\sim 0.5 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}$ motion corresponds to $\sim 26c$ for the redshift of 1.003 (Peterson et al. 1976; Scarpa & Falomo 1997). (A redshift of 0.032, derived from the 6dF survey (Jones et al. 2009), appears in the literature for this source, but we do not find compelling evidence for this.) Blanchard (2013) considers six epochs of LBA data taken between 2007 and 2010 and derives an apparent motion of 0.42 mas yr^{-1} for this component, corresponding to $22c$, confirming its highly superluminal nature.

3.2. PKS 0454–463

The 8.4 GHz observations of Ojha et al. (2004) only detected the core of this $z = 0.858$ active galaxy (Wisotzki et al. 2000). Our observations reveal a 1.1 Jy core with 0.1 Jy jet compo-




Figure 10. VLBI image of PKS 1933–400: the image peak is $0.58 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$, contours are $(-1, 1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, 128) \times 2.7 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$, and the beam FWHM is $5.0 \times 0.9 \text{ mas}$ at position angle 2.4° . The rms noise level in the image is $0.4 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$.




Figure 11. VLBI image of PKS 2052–474: the image peak is $0.59 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$, contours are $(-1, 1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, 128) \times 3.9 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$, and the beam FWHM is $5.7 \times 0.9 \text{ mas}$ at position angle 8.8° . See text for a comparison of this image with others. The rms noise level in the image is $0.8 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$.

nent 33 mas from the core at a position angle of 71° . This has subsequently been confirmed by the first TANAMI observation, which shows faint extended emission in the same direction (Ojha et al. 2010). The kiloparsec scale structure, imaged by Marshall et al. (2011), is oriented along position angles of approximately -50° and 130° (although the south-eastern jet then bends further to the south). Such misalignment between parsec- and kpc-scale structure is not uncommon (see, e.g., Pearson & Readhead 1988) and may arise from some combination of projection effects, jet precession, or major disruption of the AGN core resulting in reorientation of the jet axis.

3.3. PKS 0516–621

PKS 0506–612 was tentatively suggested as the counterpart to 3EG J0512–6150 in the third EGRET catalog, but Mattox et al. (2001) concluded PKS 0516–621 was the more likely counterpart. This has been borne out by *Fermi* observations, which identify PKS 0516–621 with 3FGL J0516.7–6207 (Acero et al. 2015) (although the limited angular resolution of EGRET does not preclude the possibility of PKS 0506–612, or both sources, contributing to the 3EG source). Only the core of PKS 0516–621 is detected in our observation, which contains all the ATCA flux density (see Table 3), confirming this as a very core-dominated object. A redshift of 1.300 has been measured for this source (Shaw et al. 2013).

3.4. PKS 0537–441

This is another well-studied southern source, with $z = 0.894$ (Peterson et al. 1976), and imaged by Preston et al. (1985) at 2.3 GHz, Tingay et al. (1996) at 4.8 and 8.4 GHz, and Shen et al. (1998) at 4.8 GHz. Tingay et al. (2002) combined a VSOP 4.8 GHz observation with geodetic 2.3/8.4 GHz observations to derive tentative evidence of jet motion of ~ 0.1 mas yr $^{-1}$. Our observations find the source to be strongly core dominated at this epoch, with no jet structure evident.

3.5. PKS 1424–418

Preston et al. (1985) modelled their 2.3 GHz data with a 1.4 Jy core and a jet component 23 mas from the core at a position angle of 56° . Tingay et al. (2002) found a shorter jet extending ~ 2 mas from the core along a similar position angle. The 4.8 GHz VLBA snapshot survey of Fomalont et al. (2000) detected only a 3.3 Jy core component in their 1996 June observations. More recently, Kadler et al. (2016) have considered this source as the origin of a PeV neutrino detected with the IceCube experiment. Their LBA images show a jet position angle consistent with that obtained here.

Shen et al. (1998) imaged their 1993 May 4.8 GHz data and reported a 1.4 Jy core and faint jet component 2.7 mas from the core but on the opposite side of core to the components seen in other images. This has been noted for a small number of other sources in that survey (Fomalont et al. 2000) and a

180° error in position angle can result when attempting to image weak components using self-calibration and poorly constrained CLEAN due to sparse (u , v) coverage (see also Section 3.10)

Our results yield two components of comparable flux density separated by 2.5 mas, with a fainter third component 38 mas from the core. Piner et al. (2012) analysed multi-epoch data taken between 1997 and 2003 and found a persistent component ~ 2.8 mas from the core for which they derived an apparent speed of $15 \pm 49 \mu$ as yr $^{-1}$, corresponding to $1.1 \pm 3.5 c$ for a redshift of 1.522 White et al. (1988).

The more recent TANAMI results indicate a one-sided jet that is very diffuse and resolved, with the largest apparent opening angle of the 43 sources examined in Ojha et al. (2010).

3.6. MRC 1633–409

MRC 1633–409 was considered to be a plausible association with 3EG J1631–4033 by Mattox et al. (2001). Our image shows two components of similar size and separated by 63 mas. These two components only account for a little over half the total flux density, indicating the presence of more extended emission that is being resolved out on the VLBI baselines. The identification of Mattox et al. relied on the relatively large flux density (the PMN flux density of 1.46 Jy was used) however, no spectral index information was available. We are now able to use flux densities of 1.39 ± 0.07 Jy at 4.8 GHz, 1.099 ± 0.05 Jy at 8.4 GHz and 0.78 ± 0.04 Jy at 20 GHz (Murphy et al. 2010) to determine a spectral index of approximately -0.4 over this range.

However, there is no 3FGL source in this vicinity. While the class of gamma-ray emitting AGN are variable, we note that 3EG J1631–4033 only just met the criteria for inclusion in the third EGRET catalog ($(TS)^{0.5} \geq 5.0$ for sources with $|b| < 10^\circ$). As shown in Table 2, the brightness temperatures for the two components in this source are significant lower than for the confirmed gamma-ray sources.

3.7. MRC 1759–396

A redshift of 0.296 appears in the literature for this source (Liang & Liu 2003), without attribution (and possibly from confusion with 1725 + 044). We adopt, here, the value from the Roma–BZCAT (Massaro et al. 2009) of 1.319. Our data can be modelled by a 1.36 Jy core with a 0.17 Jy jet component 6.7 mas away at a position angle of -9° . This is confirmed by the first-epoch TANAMI image (Ojha et al. 2010), which shows a broad jet emerging from the core at a similar position angle, with an extended component ~ 10 mas from the core at a slightly larger position angle.

3.8. PKS 1814–637

The puzzling nature of the parsec-scale morphology of this low-redshift ($z = 0.065$, Holt et al. 2008) object was

resolved by Ojha et al. (2010). The 2.3 GHz image of Tzioumis et al. (2002) is dominated by two extended components about 250 mas apart, with a weak component between the two. However, at 8.4 GHz Ojha et al. (2004) detected just two 0.4 Jy components, 97 mas apart. The structure in our image is very similar, with a brighter, more extended component to the north and a more compact component 103 mas to the south. Ojha et al. (2010) point out that the 8.4 GHz observation of Ojha et al. (2004) did not detect the more extended southernmost component visible at 2.3 GHz, and the same is true of our 4.8 GHz observation. It appears the core is quite self-absorbed at 2.3 GHz, where the extended lobes dominate, but at the higher frequencies much of the lobe structure is resolved out with the core becoming more dominant. PKS 1814–637 is considered in more detail by Morganti et al. (2011).

The two images of 1814–637 have higher contour levels than most of the others because in this object the structure is much further away from the phase centre and errors from frequency and time smearing are worse. Additionally, the complex structure in this source accentuates the limitations of (u, v) -coverage.

The association of 1814–637 with 3EG J1813–6419 was influenced by the fact it is a 4.5 Jy source at 4.8 GHz. Edwards (2005) noted that the radio spectrum ($\alpha \sim -0.8$) was steeper than the majority of identifications, and pointed out the flatter-spectrum PMN J1807–6413 ($S_5 = 171 \pm 11$ mJy) as an alternative counterpart. However, the improved angular resolution of *Fermi* has allowed a better localisation of a gamma-ray source in this region of the sky, with 2FGL J1815.6–6407 being associated with the fainter, but inverted spectrum, source PMN J1814–6412 (Ackermann et al. 2011). AT20G observations showed PMN J1814–6412 to have flux densities of 63 ± 3 mJy at 4.8 GHz 92 ± 5 mJy at 8.4 GHz, and 119 ± 6 mJy at 20 GHz, in May/June 2006 (Murphy et al. 2010), with this inverted spectrum being typical for gamma-ray sources. The gamma-ray source was catalogued as 3FGL J1816.0–6407 in the third *Fermi* catalog, but PMN J1814–6412 did not meet the criteria for association with the 3FGL source in the 3LAC catalog (Ackermann et al. 2015). Although 3FGL J1816.0–6407 is ~ 10 arcmin from PMN J1814–6412, the properties of the radio source lend some confidence to the association.

3.9. PKS 1933–400

Mattox et al. (2001) listed two potential associations for 3EG J1935–4022: PKS 1929–397 (J1933–3940) and PKS 1933–400 (J1937–3957). Edwards (2005) examined these in more detail and concluded that, based on source compactness, the latter was much more likely to be the correct association. This appears to be the case, with the *Fermi* source 3FGL J1937.0–3956 being identified with PKS 1933–400 (Acero et al. 2015; Ackermann et al. 2015) (although the 3FGL catalog does not formally associate the source with 3EG J1935–4022.) Our LBA image shows a 670 mJy core

with 180 mJy jet component 5.2 mas from the core, similar to the 1996 June VLBA snapshot observation of Fomalont et al. (2000). The more sensitive 8 GHz TANAMI observations of Ojha et al. (2010) resulted in the detection of several components along a jet at a similar position angle.

Associating the 4 mas jet component of Fomalont et al. (2000) with our 5.2 mas component suggests an apparent speed of $\sim 10c$ for this $z = 0.965$ source (Drinkwater et al. 1997), however, the first-epoch TANAMI image would require an even higher speed if the same component remains visible over the 11 yr spanned by these observations: a reliable determination must await more data.

3.10. PKS 2052–474

The 8.4 GHz image of Ojha et al. (2004) contains a 0.38 Jy core with a 0.10 Jy jet component at a distance of 0.7 mas at a position angle of -66° , and jet structure is seen at a similar position angle by Ojha et al. (2010). Our 4.8 GHz image has a 0.80 Jy core with a 0.09 Jy secondary component (the weakest feature in any of our images) appearing 8 mas to the east, i.e., the opposite side of the core compared to the 8.4 GHz images. It is most likely that this weak component is an imaging artifact arising in a similar manner to those discussed in the section on PKS 1424–418.

PKS 2052–474 ($z = 1.489$, Jauncey et al. 1984) is another example of a source with misaligned parsec- and kpc-scale structure: Marshall et al. (2005) show the kpc jets emerge at PAs of approximately -25° and -150° .

4 OTHER SOUTHERN SOURCES

In this section, we consider two other southern sources considered to be high probability associations by Mattox et al. but which were not included in our LBA imaging.

4.1. PMN J0726–4728

Mattox et al. (2001) considered this a plausible association for 3EG J0724–4713, with $p(|d|r) = 0.07$, based on the PMN flux density of 593 ± 32 mJy (Wright et al. 1994), though no spectral index information was available at the time. Tornikoski et al. (2002) measured a flux density of 340 ± 77 mJy at 90 GHz, suggesting a relatively flat spectrum between 5 and 90 GHz. Subsequently, AT20G follow-up observations provided simultaneous measurements of 349 mJy at 4.8 GHz and 351 mJy at 8.4 GHz (Murphy et al. 2010), and thus a spectral index of 0.01, strengthening the likelihood of the association. PMN J0726–4728 is considered to be the counterpart of 3FGL J0726.6–4727 (Acero et al. 2015; Ackermann et al. 2015).

4.2. PKS 1221–829

Similarly, Mattox et al. (2001) suggested PKS 1221–829 as a plausible association for 3EG J1249–8330, with a PMN flux

density of 797 ± 42 mJy and a (non-contemporaneous) spectral index of 0.5 yielding $p(id|r) = 0.08$. AT20G follow-up observations resulted in 783 ± 39 mJy at 4.8 GHz, 755 ± 38 mJy at 8.4 GHz, 685 ± 29 mJy at 20 GHz (Murphy et al. 2010), for a spectral index of -0.12 .

The third *Fermi* catalog associates 3FGL J1224.6–8312 with PKS 1221–829 (Acero et al. 2015; Ackermann et al. 2015). Ojha et al. (2004) present an image of this source, detecting a single component with a flux density 0.766 Jy, indicating a highly core dominated source.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1. Counterpart association

One of the motivation for this study was to examine possible counterparts proposed by Mattox et al. (2001) for (in some cases hitherto unassociated) sources in the third EGRET catalog (Hartman et al. 1999). The five high-confidence associations of Mattox et al. (also high-confidence associations in 3EG) have all been confirmed by *Fermi* data. Of the seven lower confidence associations of Mattox et al., three in Table 1 and the two in Section 4 are confirmed by *Fermi* data.

One of the associations not confirmed is 3EG J1813–6419 with PKS 1814–637. This was accorded a high probability based on the strength of the radio source (4.5 Jy at 4.8 GHz) although it had relatively steep radio spectra. The other unconfirmed association, that of 3EG J1631–4033 with MRC 1633–409, has no gamma-ray counterpart in 3FGL. This does not completely discredit the reality of the 3EG source, as AGN can be highly variable at gamma-ray energies (Nolan et al. 2003, 2012), but, as discussed below, this radio source does not share all the properties of the confirmed gamma-ray counterparts.

5.2. Brightness temperature

Source frame brightness temperatures for the the most compact component in the ten sources observed here are presented in Table 2. The brightness temperatures derived, here, are based on the model-fit parameter sizes: it has been pointed out (e.g., Lovell et al. 2000; Tingay et al. 2001) that formally these are often only lower limits. Kovalev et al. (2009) demonstrated that gamma-ray sources tend to have higher brightness temperatures than other sources with comparable radio properties. Brightness temperatures are also calculated for southern gamma-ray sources by Ojha et al. (2010), Böck et al. (2016), and Edwards et al. (2017).

The low brightness temperatures of MRC 1633–409 and PKS 1814–637 ($<10^9$ K), two orders of magnitude lower than the established gamma-ray sources, are consistent with these not being confirmed as counterparts of *Fermi* sources.

5.3. Variability

In Table 3, we list the flux densities at 4.8 GHz for the sources considered here from five sources: the PMNS catalog derived

from observations in 1992 (Wright et al. 1994), the survey of Lovell (1997) conducted in 1993–1994, the ATPMN catalog derived from observations made 1992–1994 (McConnell et al. 2012), the AT20G catalog derived from observations made 2004–2007 (Murphy et al. 2010), as well as the ATCA internal baselines from the VLBI observations (made in 2001) presented here. These are used to derive a simple variability index $(S_{\max} - S_{\min}) / (S_{\max} + S_{\min})$. While the lowest variability index, 0.09, is for the well-established gamma-ray source PKS 0208–512, the only two other indices less than 0.2 are for MRC 1633–409 and PKS 1814–637 which, as discussed above, are not confirmed as counterparts of gamma-ray sources. This lends qualitative support to the observation that gamma-ray AGN tend to be more variable at radio wavelengths (e.g., Tingay et al. 2003).

More recent radio monitoring programs have confirmed this trend and, with the significantly improved cadence of gamma-ray data provided by *Fermi*, have enabled studies of the correlations between radio and gamma-ray light curves to be explored, shedding light on the emission regions and physical processes (Fuhrmann et al. 2014; Max-Moerbeck et al. 2014; Ramakrishnan et al. 2016; Fuhrmann et al. 2016).

5.4. Core dominance

In Table 3, we also list the core dominance, defined as the flux density derived from the ATCA internal baseline data, divided by the sum of the flux densities of the model-fit VLBI components. MRC 1633–409 and PKS 1814–637, the two sources noted previously, have the lowest core dominance values.

5.5. Jet speeds

It has been reported that the parsec-scale component motions in gamma-ray sources tend to be faster than for gamma-ray-quiet sources (Jorstad et al. 2001; Lister et al. 2009). Only three of the sources considered here have other published VLBI images, which allow consideration to be given to their jets speeds. While there is an evidence that the jet of PKS 0208–512 is highly superluminal ($\sim 22c$), and PKS 1933–400 possibly $\sim 10c$, the monitoring of PKS 1424–418 by Piner et al. (2012) suggests it is relatively slow. As noted above, multi-epoch monitoring will enable definitive statements on the motions in these sources to be made. We note that Lister et al. (2016) find the distribution of apparent speeds extends to nearly $40c$, with *Fermi* sources having on average higher speeds than non-*Fermi*-detected sources.

6 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented LBA images from 2001 observations of a selection of sources identified by Mattox et al. (2001) as likely counterparts to EGRET gamma-ray sources. We find that the confirmed counterparts, based on *Fermi* data, tend

to have radio properties consistent with other associations, including flat radio spectral index, high brightness temperature, greater radio variability, and higher core dominance. The three sources proposed by Mattox et al. as lower confidence associations, which *Fermi* data subsequently cast doubt on, lack one or more of these attributes. On-going TANAMI observations will provide information on jet speeds, and the observations presented here will provide a useful extension to the time range covered by TANAMI observations in determining component speeds.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Long Baseline Array is part of the Australia Telescope National Facility which is funded by the Commonwealth of Australia for operation as a National Facility managed by CSIRO. This research has made use of NASA's Astrophysics Data System, and the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. This work has made use of Ned Wright's cosmology calculator (Wright 2006). Elizabeth Mahony and Dick Hunstead are thanked for their consideration of the 6dF redshift for PKS 0208–512. The anonymous referee is thanked for comments which have improved the paper.

REFERENCES

- Acero, F., et al. 2015, *ApJS*, 218, 23
 Ackermann, M., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 743, 171
 Ackermann, M., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 810, 14
 Arshakian, T. G., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 537, A32
 Blanchard, J. M. 2013, PhD thesis, University of Tasmania
 Böck, M., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 590, A40
 Drinkwater, M. J., et al. 1997, *MNRAS*, 284, 85
 Edwards, P. G. 2005, *A&SS*, 297, 31
 Edwards, P. G., & Phillips, C. J. 2015, *Publ. Korean Astr. Soc.*, 30, 659
 Edwards, P. G., et al. 2017, *PASA*, 34, 21
 Fomalont, E. B., et al. 2000, *ApJS*, 131, 95
 Fuhrmann, L., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 441, 1899
 Fuhrmann, L., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 596, A45
 Graham, P. J., & Tingay, S. J. 2014, *ApJ*, 784, 159
 Hartman, R. C., et al. 1999, *ApJS*, 123, 79
 Holt, J., Tadhunter, C. N., & Morganti, R. 2008, *MNRAS*, 387, 639
 Jauncey, D. L., Batty, M. J., Wright, A. E., Peterson, B. A., & Savage, A. 1984, *ApJ*, 286, 498
 Jones, D. H., et al. 2009, *MNRAS*, 399, 683
 Jorstad, S. G., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, 556, 738
 Kadler, M., et al. 2016, *Nat. Phys.*, 12, 807
 Kovalev, Y. Y. 2009, *ApJ*, 707, L56
 Kovalev, Y. Y., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 696, L17
 Liang, E. W., & Liu, H. T. 2003, *MNRAS*, 340, 632
 Lister, M. L., et al. 2016, *AJ*, 152, 12
 Lister, M. L., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 696, L22
 Lovell, J. E. J. 1997, PhD thesis, University of Tasmania
 Lovell, J. E. J., et al. 2000, in *Astrophysical Phenomena Revealed by Space VLBI*, ed. H. Hirabayashi, P. G. Edwards, & D. W. Murphy (Sagamihara: ISAS), 183
 Marshall, H. L., et al. 2005, *ApJS*, 156, 13
 Marshall, H. L., et al. 2011, *ApJS*, 193, 15
 Massaro, E., et al. 2009, *A&A*, 495, 691
 Mattox, J. R., Hartman, R. C., & Reimer, O. 2001, *ApJS*, 135, 155
 Max-Moerbeck, W., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 445, 428
 McConnell, D., Sadler, E. M., Murphy, T., & Ekers, R. D. 2012, *MNRAS*, 422, 1527
 Morganti, R., et al. 2011, *A&A*, 535, A97
 Murphy, T., et al. 2010, *MNRAS*, 402, 2403
 Nolan, P. L., Tompkins, W. F., Grenier, I. A., & Michelson, P. F. 2003, *ApJ*, 597, 615
 Nolan, P. L., et al. 2012, *ApJS*, 199, 31
 Ojha, R., et al. 2004, *AJ*, 127, 3609
 Ojha, R., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 519, A45
 Pearson, T. J., & Readhead, A. C. S. 1988, *ApJ*, 328, 114
 Peterson, B. A., Jauncey, D. L., Condon, J. J., & Wright, A. E. 1976, *ApJ*, 207, L5
 Piner, B. G., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 758, 84
 Preston, R. A., et al. 1985, *AJ*, 90, 1599
 Ramakrishnan, V., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 456, 171
 Scarpa, R., & Falomo, R. 1997, *A&A*, 325, 109
 Shaw, M. S., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 764, 135
 Shen, Z.-Q., et al. 1998, *AJ*, 115, 1357
 Shepherd, M. C. 1997, in *ASP Conf. Ser.*, Vol. 125, *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems VI*, ed. G. Hunt & H.E. Payne (San Francisco: ASP), 77
 Tavani, M., et al. 2009, *A&A*, 502, 995
 Tingay, S. J., et al. 1996, *ApJ*, 464, 170
 Tingay, S. J., Murphy, D. W., & Edwards, P. G. 1998, *ApJ*, 500, 673
 Tingay, S. J., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, 549, L55
 Tingay, S. J., et al. 2002, *ApJS*, 141, 311
 Tingay, S. J., et al. 2003, *PASJ*, 55, 351
 Tornikoski, M., Lähteenmäki, A., Lainela, M., & Valtaoja, E. 2002, *ApJ*, 579, 136
 Tzioumis, A., et al. 2002, *A&A*, 392, 841
 White, G. L., et al. 1988, *ApJ*, 327, 561
 Wilson, W. E., Roberts, P. P., & Davis, E. R., 1996, in *Proc. 4th Asia-Pacific Telescope Workshop*, ed. E. A. King (Sydney: CSIRO ATNF), 16
 Wisotzki, L., et al. 2000, *A&A*, 358, 77
 Wright, A. E., Griffith, M. R., Burke, B. F., & Ekers, R. D. 1994, *ApJS*, 91, 111
 Wright, E. L. 2006, *PASP*, 118, 1711