Revised manuscript with no changes highlighted (i.e. clean version)

±

Citation

Song, Y. and Tan, Y. and Song, Y. and Wu, P. and Cheng, J. and Kim, M. and Wang, X. 2018. Spatial and temporal variations of spatial population accessibility to public hospitals: a case study of rural - urban comparison. GIScience and Remote Sensing. 55 (5): pp. 718-744. http://doi.org/10.1080/15481603.2018.1446713

- 1 Spatial and temporal variations of spatial population accessibility to public hospitals: A
- 2 case study of rural-urban comparison
- ³ Yongze Song ^{1,*}, Yi Tan ², Yimeng Song ³, Peng Wu ⁴, Jack C.P. Cheng ², Mi
- 4 Jeong Kim⁵ & Xiangyu Wang^{1,*}
- 5 ^{1.} Australasian Joint Research Centre for Building Information Modelling, School of Built
- 6 Environment, Curtin University, Australia
- 7 ^{2.} Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The Hong Kong University of Science
- 8 and Technology, Hong Kong
- 9^{3.} Department of Geography and Resource Management, The Chinese University of Hong
- 10 Kong, Hong Kong
- ^{4.} Department of Construction Management, School of Built Environment, Curtin University,
- 12 Australia
- 13 ^{5.} Department of Housing and Interior Design, Kyung Hee University, Korea
- 14
- 15 * Corresponding author. E-Mail: <u>yongze.song@postgrad.curtin.edu.au</u>
- 16 Author E-mails: ytanai@connect.ust.hk; ytanai@connect.ust.hk; ytanai@connect.ust.hk; ytanai@connect.ust.hk; ytanai@connect.ust.hk; ytanai@connect.ust.hk; ytanai@connect.ust.hk
- 17 peng.wu@curtin.edu.au; cejcheng@ust.hk; mijeongkim@khu.ac.kr;
- 18 <u>Xiangyu.Wang@curtin.edu.au</u>
- 19
- 20
- 21

22 Spatial and temporal variations of spatial population accessibility to public hospitals: A

23 case study of rural-urban comparison

24 Abstract

25 Quantification and assessment of nation-wide population access to health care services is a 26 critical undertaking for improving population health and optimizing the performance of 27 national health systems. Rural-urban unbalance of population access to health care services is 28 widely involved in most of nations. This unbalance is also potentially affected by varied 29 weather and road conditions. This study investigates the rural and urban performances of public 30 health system by quantifying the spatio-temporal variations of accessibility and assessing the 31 impacts of potential factors. Australian health care system is used as a case study for the rural-32 urban comparison of population accessibility. A nation-wide travel time based modified kernel 33 density two-step floating catchment area (MKD2SFCA) model is utilized to compute 34 accessibility of travel time within 30, 60, 120 and 240 minutes to all public hospitals, hospitals 35 that provide emergency care and hospitals that provide surgery service respectively. Results 36 show that accessibility is varied both temporally and spatially, and the rural-urban unbalance 37 is distinct for different types of hospitals. In Australia, from the perspective of spatial 38 distributions of health care resources, spatial accessibility to all public hospitals in remote and 39 very remote areas is not lower (and may even higher) than that in major cities, but the 40 accessibility to hospitals that provide emergency and surgery services is much higher in major 41 cities than other areas. From the angle of temporal variation of accessibility to public hospitals, 42 reduction of traffic speed is 1.00% - 3.57% due to precipitation and heavy rain, but it leads to 43 18% - 23% and 31% - 50% of reduction of accessibility in hot-spot and cold-spot regions 44 respectively, and the impact is severe in NSW, QLD and NT during wet seasons. Spatio-45 temporal analysis for the variations of accessibility can provide quantitative and accurate 46 evidence for geographically local and dynamic strategies of allocation decision making of 47 medical resources and optimizing health care systems both locally and nationally.

Keywords: Accessibility; spatial and temporal variations; public hospitals; emergency and
surgery service; MKD2SFCA model

50

52 **1 Introduction**

53 Nation-wide measurement of population access to health care services and the 54 assessment of its quality and difference can provide accurate and reasonable evidence for the 55 improvement of local population health and the performance of health systems (Barber et al. 56 2017). Universal health coverage (UHC) is an important issue for all nations to achieve 57 equitable and sustainable development of health systems so that all residents and communities 58 have access to quality health care services (UN 2015). Australian health care system is highly 59 valued and considered as a model of transparent and public, easy access, quality and 60 comprehensive health care services. The spending of health care accounts for about 3.7% of annual gross domestic product (GDP) or nearly 2 542 Australian dollars per person (Australian 61 62 Institute of Health and Welfare 2015). Australian health system contains diverse public and 63 private hospitals and their care services including preventive health services, primary and 64 community health services, spatialized services for all residents across the nation (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2011, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare AIHW 2016b). 65 66 While, the internal unbalance of population access to health care services exists in the health 67 systems of all nations, especially in Australia with a vast territory, due to various factors: varied 68 locations of residents, distinct geographical conditions, the spatial variations of road network 69 and traffic conditions, seasonal variation of weather conditions, uneven distributions of 70 population and the allocation of hospital resources such as general practitioners, medical 71 specialists and available beds (Smith et al. 2017, Makanga et al. 2017, Cheng et al. 2016, 72 Arcury et al. 2005, Wang and Luo 2005, Guagliardo 2004). Most of the previous studies 73 concern the geographical access to health care services from the scale of a city or region to 74 learn the performance of local health system (Cheng et al. 2016, Luo and Wang 2003, Shah, 75 Bell, and Wilson 2016), but only a few researches accurately quantify the access to hospitals 76 in a vast-territory nation (Brabyn and Skelly 2002, Sanmartin et al. 2004, Schoen et al. 2004). 77 Access to health care services within a nation is much more sophisticated, potentially 78 unbalance, uncertain and distinct spatially and temporally than city-wide conditions. In 79 addition, compared with the researches in cities, current studies lack the information and 80 assessment about the geographic distribution of health care services especially specialty 81 services in rural regions and remote areas (Guagliardo 2004, Jütting 2004, McGrail and 82 Humphreys 2009, Shah, Milosavljevic, and Bath 2017). Thus, accurately quantifying local 83 access to health care services across a nation is a critical undertaking to have comprehensive 84 understanding of a complex nation-wide health system.

85 Spatial or geographical accessibility refers to the ease and resources with which 86 residents in a region can access facilities and services (Hewko, Smoyer-Tomic, and Hodgson 87 2002). It provides essential quantitative information of the spatial and social inequalities in the 88 access for the decision making of planning, maintenance and optimization of facilities 89 (Apparicio et al. 2008). These inequalities potentially lead to both positive health conditions 90 such as quality health care services and easy access to recreational facilities in some regions 91 and negative ones with waste and pollution related facilities and infrastructures in other areas 92 (Wang and Luo 2005, Witten, Exeter, and Field 2003, Song et al. 2015, Wu et al. 2017, Wu et 93 al. 2016). Spatial accessibility is commonly measured indicator based on the travel distance or 94 time to the facilities from demands (Luo and Wang 2003). In terms of the travel distance or 95 time-based calculation, the measurements of spatial accessibility can be divided into two 96 categories. The first one is calculating distance or time, and series of indicators are utilized 97 such as the distance or time between a demand and its closest facility or a given number of 98 closest facilities, the average distance or time between a demand and all facilities or a given 99 number of facilities, etc. (Apparicio et al. 2008, Apparicio, Cloutier, and Shearmur 2007, Smith 100 et al. 2017). Another category of measurements is to compute the number of facilities or 101 facility-demand ratio within a certain administrative unit, time or distance threshold (Apparicio 102 et al. 2008, Luo and Wang 2003, Jamtsho, Corner, and Dewan 2015, Love and Lindquist 1995). 103 The latter one has improvements to quantify spatial accessibility by incorporating the medical 104 staff or beds to population ratios with the relative geographical relations in capturing the 105 population access to hospitals (Love and Lindquist 1995). For instance, doctor-population ratio 106 (DPR) is applied in analyzing the spatial layout and distributions of high level medical 107 resources in Shenzhen, China (Cheng et al. 2016), and bed-population ratio (BPR) together 108 with distinct critical distances from inhabitants to hospitals is used to study the accessibility of 109 cardiovascular diseases to hospitals in Kentucky, US (Hare and Barcus 2007).

110 The DPR or BPR oriented spatial accessibility to hospitals is generally analyzed using 111 floating catchment area (FCA) models (Luo and Wang 2003, Jamtsho, Corner, and Dewan 112 2015). Compared with traditional gravity model, FCA models are specialized variants and have 113 improvement since they are intuitively interpretable for the facility-demand relations, and use 114 spatially varied population catchment areas for service centers (McGrail and Humphreys 2014, 115 Delamater 2013, Wan, Zou, and Sternberg 2012). To further describe the spatial competing 116 relationships between population and hospitals that local residents compete for the finite health 117 care resources in the nearby hospitals, and hospitals share the necessities of surrounding

118 residents, a two-step floating catchment area (2SFCA) model is proposed by repeating FCA 119 process twice for both facilities and demands (Radke and Mu 2000). 2SFCA model is a primary 120 measurement of spatial accessibility to health care services due to its incorporation of 121 population demands, hospital resources and the travel cost calculated as geographical distance 122 or travel time (Cheng et al. 2016, Luo and Wang 2003). Two concerns need to be determined 123 to analyze spatial accessibility using 2SFCA model and its revised or improved versions. The 124 first one is to outline the catchment areas of population. Catchment areas are commonly 125 outlined by the concentric circles within a given travel time or distance including Manhattan 126 distance, Euclidean distance and the travel distance in the road network (Apparicio et al. 2008, 127 Luo and Qi 2009, McGrail 2012). The nearest administrative or geographical neighbors within 128 a clustering region also could be utilized to define catchment areas (Jamtsho, Corner, and 129 Dewan 2015). Second, a proper distance decay function should be determined to descript 130 relative distance weights of distance impendence parameters related to residents, resources of 131 hospitals, and DPR or BPR. Since few studies investigate the impact of distance decay function 132 on spatial accessibility, the choice of distance decay function depends on the case and expert 133 experience (Jamtsho, Corner, and Dewan 2015). The commonly used distance decay functions 134 include inverse power, linear, exponential, Gaussian and their revisions (Apparicio et al. 2008, 135 Cheng et al. 2016, Langford, Fry, and Higgs 2012, Bauer et al. 2017, Jamtsho, Corner, and 136 Dewan 2015, Fransen et al. 2015, Pan et al. 2015, Kwan 1998). A proper distance decay 137 function can benefit the determination of critical weighted distance of both demands of residents and resources of hospitals. For instance, an enhanced 2SFCA (E2SFCA) model is 138 139 proposed to apply different constant weights to the accessibility within discrete zones of the 140 catchment areas of residents and hospitals (Luo and Qi 2009), and kernel density 2SFCA 141 (KD2SFCA) utilized a continuous function of decay distance for weighting parameters 142 (McGrail 2012, Polzin, Borges, and Coelho 2014).

143 In recent studies, the 2SFCA series of models are further improved to deal with the overestimation of spatial accessibility. 2SFCA, E2SFCA and KD2SFCA models tend to 144 145 overestimate the accessibility in the catchment areas where hospitals are densely distributed 146 (Chu et al. 2016). The three-step FCA (3SFCA) model is proposed to introduce competition 147 among health care resources of hospitals to minimize variability in spatial accessibility under 148 the assumption that the demands of residents are affected by the availability of health care 149 resources in other neighboring hospitals (Chu et al. 2016, Wan, Zou, and Sternberg 2012, Shah, 150 Milosavljevic, and Bath 2017). All the above FCA models contain an underlying assumption 151 that hospitals are optimally allocated to meet the requirements of the population within the 152 health system, but truly optimal allocations are extremely unlikely in real-world health care 153 systems, leading to an overestimation of spatial accessibility throughout the system (Delamater 154 2013, Jamtsho, Corner, and Dewan 2015). To address this issue, a modified 2SFCA (M2SCFA) 155 model is proposed based on 2SFCA and permits suboptimal allocations of health care resources 156 of hospitals in the health system (Delamater 2013). Due to the integration of the accessibility 157 to hospitals and availability of health care resources, and progressively decreased total 158 opportunities available for the population access to hospitals with the increased distance from 159 residents to hospitals, M2SCFA model makes more sense in the real-world health systems and 160 is much more reliable for measuring spatial accessibility to health care services than previous 161 models (Delamater 2013, Jamtsho, Corner, and Dewan 2015). Especially, it has advantages 162 over quantitative assessment and comparison of large spatial scale health systems in a state or 163 nation, and is accurate in evaluating the overall impacts of local variations in the whole health 164 system (Delamater 2013, Jamtsho, Corner, and Dewan 2015).

165 This paper aims to investigate the spatial and temporal variations of population 166 accessibility to public hospitals in Australia. In this paper, three aspects are involved in 167 accessibility calculation: health care resources in hospitals, demands of health care and the 168 travel time of residents to hospitals. The number of beds is used as a proxy variable of health 169 care resources in all public hospitals across Australia, since medical staff and available beds 170 are two primary indicators of health care resources as mentioned above, but data of medical 171 staff is not available in this study. Spatial accessibility of all public hospitals is studied, and 172 accessibility of the hospitals that provide emergency care and those providing surgery service 173 are also studied respectively. Public hospitals are the objective in this study and private 174 hospitals are not concerned, because public hospitals are mainstream in Australian health care 175 system, which are more concerned by authorities in their decision making, and public and 176 private hospitals provide different services. In 2011-12 fanatical year, public hospitals and 177 available beds are 1.27 times and 2.33 times the numbers of private hospitals, and public 178 hospitals provide most emergency (94%) and outpatient (97%) services, but private hospitals 179 are primarily serving hospitalizations (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare AIHW 180 2014a). Population weighted centroids (PWCs) and the total population within local 181 government areas (LGAs) are computed with high spatial resolution population data to reflect 182 the demands of health care resources. Then the nation-wide travel time based spatial 183 accessibility is measured using a modified kernel density 2SFCA (MKD2SFCA) model by

184 incorporating the M2SCFA model and a continuous kernel density function of decay distance 185 for weighting distance impendence functions. Precipitation is regarded as a primary variable 186 affecting the travel speed in local road segments, influencing the spatial and temporal variations 187 of travel time and population accessibility to public hospitals. Spatially local autocorrelation is performed to explore the spatial and temporal variations of the hot-spot and cold-spot regions 188 189 of accessibility with local indicators of spatial association (LISA) (Anselin 1995), respectively. 190 Variations of accessibility are investigated by the monthly summary of accessibility and its 191 spatial clusters within different remoteness regions, states and the selected cities.

192 **2 Material and Methods**

193 2.1 Public hospitals data

194 Australian health system is an important exemplar for nation-wide accurate study of the 195 performance of health care services due to continuous and relatively complete statistics, diverse 196 hospitals and health care resources, and the complex conditions of access to hospitals across a 197 vast territory. Statistical information of 778 public hospitals, including 204 hospitals that 198 provide emergency care and 246 hospitals providing surgery service, are collected by the 199 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) across Australia in the 2012-13 financial 200 year (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare AIHW 2014c, b). The numbers of beds in three 201 types of hospitals are 58 311, 44 404 and 46 576 respectively. According to the statistical report 202 from AIHW, the spatial distributions of public hospitals and their health care resources are 203 stable and have no great changes, where the total number of public hospitals is slightly 204 decreased, and the bed numbers are increased by an average of 1.0% per year from 2011-2012 205 to 2015-2016 (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare AIHW 2017). Thus, the data of public 206 hospitals in 2012-13 is representative for assessing the spatial and temporal variations of 207 accessibility to public hospitals. Public hospitals of different types are geocoded and mapped 208 in Fig. 1, where Fig. 1 A shows the distributions of hospitals and bed numbers across Australia, 209 and the distributions in eight capital cities in the states or territories are mapped in Fig. 1 B - I. 210 The capital cities are Sydney in New South Wales (NSW), Melbourne in Victoria (VIC), 211 Brisbane in Queensland (QLD), Perth in Western Australia (WA), Adelaide in South Australia 212 (SA), Canberra in Australian Capital Territory (ACT), Hobart in Tasmania (TAS) and Darwin 213 in Northern Territory (NT).

Fig. 1 about here

215 2.2 Variables affecting spatial accessibility

228

216 The demands of residents are characterized by population located at PWCs of LGAs. 217 Population is unlikely distributed homogeneously within a local administrative census unit, 218 particularly in Australia. PWC can therefore more accurately represent the location of 219 population in a LGA than the geometric centroid (Hwang and Rollow 2000). The spatial locations of PWCs are probably distinct and far from the geometric centroids of LGAs 220 221 especially in the suburban, rural and remote regions with large geographical space but dense 222 population distributed in small areas (Luo and Wang 2003). To accurately generate the 223 geographical locations of PWCs of LGAs, grid population in Australia in 2012-13 is calculated 224 by the average of grid population data in 2010 and 2015 with spatial resolution of 1 km, which 225 is sourced from NASA Socioeconomic Data and Applications Centre (SEDAC) (Center for International Earth Science Information Network - CIESIN - Columbia University 2016). The 226 227 location of PWC is as population weighted coordinates within a LGA, which is calculated by:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i} \\ y_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i} \end{cases}$$
(1)

where x_0 and y_0 are coordinates of PWC of a LGA, x_i (i = 1, 2, ..., n) and y_i are coordinates of population grid within the LGA, and ρ_i is the population value at *i*th grid. PWCs and corresponding population of 564 LGAs (2013) in Australia (Australian Bureau of Statitics ABS 2013) are computed and shown in Fig. 1.

233 In addition to the health care resources in hospitals and demands of residents, the spatial 234 and temporal variations of spatial population accessibility to hospitals are also potentially 235 affected by the geographical locations and the traffic conditions affected by weather conditions. 236 The spatial difference of accessibility is explored from three stages of spatial scales, LGA, state 237 or territory and remoteness area. The geographical remoteness structure is a critical undertaking 238 of government services in Australia, such as census statistics (Australian Bureau of Statitics 239 ABS 2011). The Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) defines five primary levels of 240 remoteness areas across the nation by the Australian Statistical Geographical Classification 241 (ASGS) Remoteness Structure: major cities, inner area, outer area, remote area and very remote 242 area (Australian Bureau of Statitics ABS 2011). Remoteness structure is also an effective indicator to differentiate the varied performance of health care services nationally in Australia 243 244 (McGrail and Humphreys 2014).

245 Weather condition especially the severe weather near road network has negative impact 246 on accessing health care services and it is a barrier for residents to seek specialized hospitals 247 (Blanford et al. 2012, Makanga et al. 2017). Geospatial data of road network, including primary 248 and secondary roads, with 86 989 road segments is collected in Australia (MapCruzin). Since 249 the real monitoring data of traffic speed and the speed limits of all road segments are 250 unavailable, the default speed limit of primary and secondary roads defined by states and 251 territories is used as a proxy variable of traffic speed of road segments. The speed limit in built-252 up regions is 50 km/h except for NT with 60 km/h, and the speed limit outside built-up regions 253 is 100 km/h except for WA and NT with 110 km/h (Wolhuter 2015). In general, precipitation 254 and its duration can affect vehicle speed and thereby have impact on the travel time determined 255 spatial accessibility. In this paper, the spatio-temporal variation of precipitation is characterized 256 using the monthly remote sensing data of precipitation rate (mm/h) with the spatial resolution 257 of 0.25° (~25 km) during July 2012 – June 2013 from the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission 258 (TRMM) 3B43 (version 7) product (Huffman et al. 2007). Monthly precipitation data is 259 resampled and computed to the data with the spatial resolution of 10 km and the unit of 260 mm/week (Song et al. 2016) (Fig. 2). Previous studies show the negative impacts of 261 precipitation on traffic conditions that light rain may cause a 3% - 13% or 1.9 to 12.9 km/h 262 reduction of traffic speed, and heavy rain leads to a 3% - 17% or 4.8 to 16.0 km/h reduction 263 depending on precipitation and time of day (Program 2009, Rahman and Lownes 2012, Akin, 264 Sisiopiku, and Skabardonis 2011). In this paper, by summarizing these studies, the statistical 265 relationship between precipitation and potential impact on traffic speed is defined as:

266
$$v_p = \begin{cases} v_d & p < \tau \\ v_d (1 - \alpha \frac{p}{\delta}) & p \ge \tau \end{cases}$$
(2)

267 where v_d is the default speed limit and v_p is the estimated speed in a road segment, p is precipitation rate (mm/week), τ and δ are critical values between dry month and light rain 268 269 month, and that between light rain and heavy rain months respectively, and α is a precipitation 270 caused speed reduction rate. Based on the above discussion of the associations between traffic 271 speed and precipitation or heavy rain, approximately consistent parameters are set in this paper, 272 where $\tau = 1$ mm/week, $\delta = 42$ mm/week or 0.25 mm/h, and $\alpha = 5\%$. Distributions of two 273 critical values for light and heavy rain are mapped in Fig. 2. For instance, given the default 274 speed limit of a road segment $v_d = 100$ km/h and monthly average precipitation rate p = 20mm/week, the estimated speed is $v_p = 97.6$ km/h, which means that precipitation leads to a 2.4 275 276 km/h or 2.4% reduction of traffic speed in this road segment. If the precipitation is 100

277 mm/week on this road, the estimated speed will be 88.1 km/h, which is decreased 11.9 km/h 278 or 11.9% of traffic speed. These examples demonstrate that the proposed statistical relationship 279 presents a reasonable and conservative estimation of the potential impact of precipitation on 280 the reduction of traffic speed.

Fig. 2 about here

282 2.3 MKD2SFCA-based assessment of spatial accessibility

MKD2SFCA model is applied on the assessment of nation-wide spatial accessibility to public hospitals by incorporating the reliable M2SCFA model and a continuous kernel density function of decay distance for weighting distance impendence parameters. The result of spatial accessibility is a BPR adjusted by the weighted interactions of both hospital side and demand side in each LGA. There are two steps to calculate the spatial population accessibility of LGA at the location of PWC to hospitals. First, BPR is computed for all pairs of hospitals and PWCs within a given threshold of travel time. The computation equation is:

290
$$R_{i,j} = \frac{B_{j}f(t_{i,j})}{\sum_{i \in [t_{i,j} \le t_0]} C_i f(t_{i,j})}$$
(3)

where $R_{i,j}$ is an adjusted ratio of number of beds in *j*th hospital to population in *i*th LGA, *B* is the number of beds, t_0 is a given threshold of travel time for the range of health care services, $t_{i,j}$ is the travel time between *j*th hospital and PWC of *i*th LGA, *C* is the population of a LGA that located within the range of $t_{i,j} \le t_0$, and f(t) is an impedance function describing the preference of residents to the relatively near hospitals with less travel time. In this paper, a Gaussian kernel is used for the density function f(t) due to its slow rate of reduction and avoiding rapid dropping to zero. f(t) is calculated by:

298
$$f(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{t^2}{n}} & t \le t_0 \\ 0 & t > t_0 \end{cases}$$
(4)

299 where *n* is the number of PWCs of LGAs within the range of $t \le t_0$.

300 The second step is to search all hospitals within the given threshold of travel time t_0 for 301 each PWC of LGA. The spatial population accessibility of a PWC to hospitals is a sum of 302 weighted adjusted bed-population ratio:

303
$$A_{i} = \sum_{j \in [t_{i,j} \le t_{0}]} R_{i,j} f(t_{i,j})$$
(5)

where A_i is accessibility of PWC of *i*th LGA. A_i with a higher value reveals a better spatial accessibility to hospitals, which means easier access and more health care resources, and that with a lower value indicates the shortage in this LGA (Cheng et al. 2016). Thus, the spatial accessibility generated by MKD2SFCA model can be summarized as:

308
$$A_{i} = \sum_{j \in [t_{i,j} \le t_{0}]} \frac{B_{j}f(t_{i,j})f(t_{i,j})}{\sum_{i \in [t_{i,j} \le t_{0}]} C_{i}f(t_{i,j})}$$
(6)

In this paper, monthly spatial accessibility is computed across Australia from July 2012 to June 2013. Temporal variation of population accessibility to public hospitals is primarily caused by on-road precipitation especially heavy rain, and it is assessed by transforming the monthly variation of accessibility to the equivalent number of beds reduction. The equivalent beds reduction calculated for each remoteness area using a linear regression:

314
$$A_{k,l} = \beta_k p_{k,l} + \varepsilon_k \tag{7}$$

where β_k is the equivalent beds reduction rate within *k*th remoteness area, $A_{k,l}$ and $p_{k,l}$ are spatial accessibility and mean on-road precipitation in *l*th LGA within *k*th remoteness area, and ε_k is a random error. Further, once β_k is determined, the corresponding percentage of reduced equivalent beds to all beds in Australia is:

319
$$q = \sum_{k} \frac{\beta_k p'_k c_k}{B_k}$$
(8)

where q is the percentage of reduced equivalent beds to all beds, p'_k , C_k and B_k are the range of monthly average on-road precipitation, total population and total number of beds in hospitals in *k*th remoteness area. The molecular is a sum of reduced equivalent number of beds in the *k*th remoteness area.

324 Spatial variation of the monthly spatial accessibility is assessed by identifying its spatial 325 clusters. LISA is utilized to present the geographically local autocorrelations or clusters that 326 are statistically significant spatial outliers in accessibility (Anselin 1995, Ge et al. 2016). LISA is a relative indicator that is only meaningful within a given significance level (McKinley et al. 327 328 2013). The local clusters here are explored with the statistical significance level of 0.05. In the 329 results of LISA analysis, a hot-spot region indicates that an LGA has high accessibility and its 330 surrounding LGAs are of high accessibility simultaneously, and a cold-spot region is an LGA 331 that has low accessibility and low-value neighbors (Ge et al. 2016).

332 3 Results

333 **3.1 Impact of precipitation on traffic speed**

334 Monthly variation of traffic speed at road segment level is computed using the proposed 335 statistical equation between precipitation and traffic speed. Fig. 2 illustrates the monthly traffic speed distributions affected by precipitation, where the speed variation is the monthly speed 336 337 minus the annual mean speed. Fig. 3 shows the distributions of the estimated annual mean 338 speed of road segments in Australia. On-road precipitations are distinct spatially and 339 temporally. In July 2012 to June 2013, the estimated monthly average on-road precipitation 340 ranges from the minimum of 8.42 mm/week in October 2012 to the maximum of 30.03 341 mm/week in February 2013. In this paper, month precipitation of 1 mm/week and 42 mm/week 342 are defined as critical values between dry month and light rain month, and that between light 343 rain and heavy rain months respectively. The on-road precipitations on more than 66.37% the 344 number of road segments are higher than 1 mm/week in every month in a year. On-road 345 precipitations higher than 42 mm/week appear on more than 39.53% of road segments at least 346 in one month, and on more than 16.38% the number of road segments over three months. In 347 January, February, March and June 2013, 29.06%, 36.15%, 12.29% and 14.21% the number 348 of road segments suffered from heavy rain respectively, but less than 1% of road segments 349 encounter heavy rain in other months.

350 Fig. 3 about here

351 Fig. 4 summarizes the monthly average precipitation rate, average traffic speed and the percentage of speed reduction compared with the default speed limit in each LGA for eight 352 353 states or territories respectively in Australia. Traffic speed is associated with the seasonal 354 variation of on-road precipitation. The monthly average reduction rate of speed ranges from 355 1.00% to 3.57%. More than 1% of average speed reduction caused by precipitation appears in 356 more than ten months in ACT and TAS, more than eight months in NSW, QLD and WA, and 357 more than five months in SA and NT. Continuous rainfall especially heavy rain leads to more 358 than 5% of traffic speed reduction in NSW in January and June, in QLD from January to 359 February, and in NT in March, 2013.

Fig. 4 about here

361 **3.2** Spatial accessibility to public hospitals

362 Cumulative population coverage of hospitals is a direct method to describe and compare the performance of health care services in different regions. In this paper, cumulative 363 364 population coverage is computed as a function of travel time to the nearest hospital from each 365 PWC of LGA. Fig. 5 presents the cumulative population coverage in Australia, in each state or 366 territory, and in each remoteness area. Table 1 summarizes the average travel time from PWCs 367 of LGAs to the nearest hospitals in different remoteness areas and population coverage by 368 travel time of 30, 60, 120 and 240 minutes. In Australia, more than 50% of population at PWCs 369 have access to their nearest hospitals within 5 minutes, over 90% of population can reach 370 hospitals within 15 minutes, and more than 99% of residents live within 34-minute range of 371 hospitals. It is estimate that about 39 191 (0.17%) of residents live in the regions over two hours 372 from the nearest public hospitals, and all population are within four-hour coverage of hospitals. 373 Further, the population coverage of hospitals varies in different locations. For instance, 80% 374 of population can be covered by hospitals with 8-minute range in SA, 14-minute range in WA, 375 21-minute range in TAS and 37-minute range in NT. In average, 80% of residents in major 376 cities have access to hospitals within 10 minutes. Residents in inner area, outer area and remote 377 area may spend 15 - 16 minutes, but those live in very remote area need 58 minutes. In addition, 378 all residents in outer area are covered by 60-minute range of hospitals. Residents in major cities, 379 inner area and remote area live within 120-minute travel to hospitals, and those in very remote 380 are covered by 240-minute range. Within a 30-minute range of public hospitals, percentages of 381 residents live in major cities, inner area, outer area, remote area and very remote area are 382 69.08%, 20.15%, 9.13%, 0.87% and 0.76% respectively.

383 Fig. 5 about here

Table 1 about here

385 The monthly accessibility to hospitals is visualized in a map with two statistical 386 indicators: annual mean accessibility and the coefficient of variance (CV) of monthly 387 accessibility in each LGA. CV is a percentage ranging from 0 to 1, computed as the ratio of 388 standard deviation to the mean, showing the extent of accessibility variability in different 389 months in relation to the mean accessibility. Further, CV also indicates the potential impact of 390 precipitation on the variation of spatial accessibility. Fig. 6 shows the spatial distributions of annual mean population accessibility from PWCs to all public hospitals, hospitals that provide 391 392 emergency care and hospitals that provide surgery service, respectively. To simplify the display

393 of results and highlight the spatial difference and variations, only the distributions of 394 accessibility within 30-minute and 240-minute travel time are presented. Since 67.06% of 395 population are gathered in eight capital cities, where 20.74%, 19.24% and 8.56% of national 396 population respectively are distributed in Sydney, Melbourne and Perth (Australian Bureau of 397 Statitics 2017b), but other regions with large areas are sparsely populated with a few residents, 398 distributions of spatial accessibility in Perth, Sydney and Melbourne are enlarged in the maps. 399 In general, for all three types of hospitals, accessibility is increased and the range of high 400 accessibility is enlarged with the increase of threshold of travel time from 30 minutes to 240 401 minutes. In addition, LGAs with high accessibility to all public hospitals are distributed in both 402 major cities and other areas, but those with high accessibility to hospitals that provide 403 emergency and surgery services are primarily distributed in major cities, and sparsely 404 distributed in other areas. There are 142 LGAs where there are no public health services (BPR 405 = 0) and 118 LGAs with the spatial accessibility of travelling within 240 minutes smaller than 406 0.001 beds per 1000 persons, which means residents within at least 24 LGAs without beds in 407 hospitals can access hospitals in the neighbour LGAs. Similarly, residents within at least 15 408 LGAs (402 LGAs with BPR = 0 and 387 LGAs with accessibility = 0) and 27 LGAs (361 409 LGAs with BPR = 0 and 334 LGAs with accessibility = 0) can access public hospitals that 410 provide emergency and surgery services in the nearby LGAs respectively, even when there are 411 no beds in hospitals within their local LGAs.

412 Fig. 6 about here

413 **3.3** Spatial and temporal variation of accessibility

414 Fig. 7 shows the equivalent beds reduction of temporal variation of spatial accessibility 415 caused by monthly variation of precipitation to public hospitals, the corresponding percentages 416 of reduced equivalent beds to all beds, and their relationships with the thresholds of travel time 417 to hospitals in each remoteness area and in Australia. The maximum reductions of equivalent 418 beds due to monthly variation of precipitation appear in major cities for all public hospitals and 419 hospitals supporting emergency and surgery services. With the increase of 1 mm/week of 420 monthly precipitation, the reductions of spatial accessibility to three types of hospitals is equivalent to respective 9 - 22 beds, 16 - 17 beds and 11 - 16 beds in major cities. With the 421 422 expand of travel time threshold from 30 to 240 minutes, the percentages of reduced equivalent 423 beds are generally decreased, and they are close to zero when travel time is 240 minutes. 424 Compared with the minimum monthly average on-road precipitation, the maximum monthly

425 precipitation leads to 1.13%, 1.38% and 1.19% of reductions of national equivalent beds of 426 accessibility to all public hospitals within 30-minute travel time, to hospitals that provide 427 emergency care within 60-minute travel time, and to hospitals that provide surgery service 428 within 30-minute travel time.

429 Fig. 7 about here

430 Fig. 8 illustrates the spatial variation of accessibility by the state-wide statistical 431 summaries. For the health care services in all public hospitals, the accessibility in inner area is 432 lower than that in major cities even when its BPR is not significantly low, but the accessibility 433 in outer area, remote area and very remote area is not lower (and may even be higher) than that 434 in major cities. Especially, accessibility in outer and remote areas of QLD is much higher than 435 other states or territories. For health care in hospitals that have emergency and surgery services, 436 accessibility in major cities is higher than other remoteness areas, except for the outer area in 437 QLD where mean spatial accessibility is higher than that in major cities. In addition, the results 438 also demonstrate that BPR is higher than most of accessibility across nation. This means that 439 BPR is an overestimated indicator of health care resources that residents can share, but the true 440 accessibility to health care services is affected by various variables such beds and population 441 in the neighbour LGAs, traffic conditions of road network, etc.

442 Fig. 8 about here

443 Temporally varied spatially local clusters of accessibility are analysed by the LISA 444 statistic. Spatial clusters of accessibility are computed monthly for the accessibility of traveling 445 within 30, 60, 120 and 240 minutes to all public hospitals, hospitals with emergency care, and 446 those providing surgery service respectively. Since the spatial clusters of accessibility is 447 gradually varied from 30-minute to 240-minute travel time, to simplify the presentation of 448 results and highlight the difference and changes of spatial clusters, spatial clusters of 449 accessibility within 30 and 240 minutes and corresponding assessment to three types of 450 hospitals are presented in Fig. 9, Fig. 10 and Fig. 11, respectively. Table 2 lists their statistical 451 summary with the cumulative number of months, percentage of monthly mean population to 452 all national population, and minimum, maximum and mean accessibility in hot-spot and cold-453 spot regions respectively, where cumulative number of months presents the cumulative months 454 of LGAs located in clusters.

455 Fig. 9 about here

456 Fig. 10 about here

457 Fig. 11 about here

458 Table 2 about here

459 Fig. 9 A, D, G and J show the respective sum number of months of hot-spot (H-H) and 460 cold-spot (L-L) regions of accessibility with the travel time threshold of 30, 60, 120 and 240 461 minutes explored by LISA statistic with the base map of corresponding annual mean 462 accessibility. Locations of spatial clusters are varied with the increase of travel time thresholds. 463 For instance, clusters in SA are primarily gathered in Adelaide, the capital city of SA, for the 464 accessibility with 30-minute travel time, but they are gradually moved to the outer and remote 465 areas, even very remote areas in SA, with the increase of travel time threshold. Further, hot-466 spot and cold-spot clusters are also monthly varied in different remoteness areas and across 467 nation (Fig. 9). The annual mean population in hot-spot and cold spot regions account for 4.7‰ 468 -10.1%, and 29.6% - 53.7% of all national population respectively, where the ratios vary by 469 trave time. Hot-spot regions are not just located in major cities, but also include some of the 470 remote and very remote areas. The percentage of cumulative number of months in major cities 471 of hot-spot regions is 74% for accessing to hospitals within 30 minutes and 27% for accessing 472 to hospitals in 240 minutes, where the percentage of cumulative number of months presents 473 the cumulative months of LGAs located in clusters divided by all months of LGAs. Most of 474 population in cold-spot regions live in major cities, inner and outer areas, instead of remote and 475 very remote areas. Only 1% - 5% of population live in 6% - 22% of LGAs in very remote areas 476 of cold-spot regions which varies in different thresholds of travel time. Meanwhile, monthly 477 mean accessibility of hot-spot regions clustered in Perth is higher than the national average 478 accessibility. In addition, Table 2 also shows that with the increase of travel time of accessing 479 to all public hospitals, hot-spot clusters will cover fewer population in major cities and cold-480 spot regions will cover more population in very remote areas.

Fig. 10 and Fig. 11 show that the hot-spot regions of accessing to hospitals that provide emergency and surgery services are primarily clustered in major cities of Perth, Adelaide, Sydney and Melbourne, but few of them are located in rural and remote areas in Australia. Monthly mean accessibility and population in the hot-spot clusters also vary temporally due to the impacts of precipitation on the road network. Annual mean population in major cities of hot-spot and very remote areas in cold spot regions of accessing to hospitals that provide emergency care account for 24.5% - 40.9% and 0.04% - 11.5% of national population respectively, and the respective ratios of accessing to hospitals supporting surgery service are 20.3% - 23.0% and 0.26% - 27.1%. Also, with the increased travel time to access these hospitals, cold-spot regions will cover fewer very remote areas.

491 **4 Discussion**

492 Nation-wide travel time based MKD2SFCA model is employed in computing spatial 493 population accessibility to public hospitals in Australia, which reveals that the accessibility is 494 significantly varied temporally and across space. MKD2SFCA model provides a reliable 495 measure of spatial accessibility and makes sense in the real-world health systems, especially 496 for the large spatial scale health system in a nation and the accurate evaluation of its overall 497 performance when considering local variations. Multi-source data with high spatial resolution 498 is utilized to characterize the potential factors associated with the spatial and temporal 499 variations of accessibility to hospitals, where grid population estimation data is used to 500 compute PWCs of LGAs and TRMM remote sensing product is applied on calculating on-road 501 precipitation and its impact on traffic speed. Thus, nation-wide spatio-temporal accessibility is 502 calculated as the monthly accessibility with travel time of 30, 60, 120 and 240 minutes in 564 503 LGAs to all public hospitals and hospitals that provide emergency and surgery services 504 respectively. Spatial autocorrelation is performed to explore local hot-spot and cold-spot 505 clusters of accessibility.

506 Both spatial and temporal variations of accessibility are evaluated from multiple 507 perspectives to investigate the performance of the national public health system in Australia. 508 From the angle of spatial variation, accessibility to hospitals and its local clusters are analyzed 509 within different states or territories and remoteness areas. Results show that accessibility in 510 outer, remote and very remote areas is not lower (and may even be higher) than that in major 511 cities, and the hot-spot clusters of LGAs with high accessibility distribute in both major cities, 512 remote and very remote areas. This result indicates that Australian authorities of public health 513 have spent efforts on improving the performance of health system in rural and remote regions 514 to achieve more even distributions of health care services. However, accessibility to hospitals 515 that provide emergency and surgery services is much higher in major cities than that in other 516 remoteness areas, except for the accessibility in outer area of QLD which is higher than other 517 that in major cities. Meanwhile, hot-spot regions with high accessibility to hospitals supporting 518 emergency and surgery services are primarily clustered in major cities and cold-spot clusters 519 are primarily located in remote and very remote regions, especially for the accessibility of 520 traveling within 30 and 60 minutes. In contrast with the relative shortage of emergency and 521 surgery services in remote and very remote areas, the rate for emergency hospital admissions 522 involving surgery is highest for residents living in very remote areas with 22 per 1000 persons 523 and reduced from very remote areas to major cities (12 per 1000 persons) in 2013-14 financial 524 year in Australia (Australian Bureau of Statitics 2017a, Australian Institute of Health and 525 Welfare AIHW 2016a). In addition, people living in remote and very remote areas have more 526 requirements on emergency and surgery services since they have higher rates of chronic disease, 527 mortality, traffic accidents and overweight or obese than those live in major cities (Australian 528 Bureau of Statitics 2015a, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2014, Australian Bureau 529 of Statitics 2015b, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2010). Therefore, health care 530 resources of specialized services such as emergency and surgery should be gradually improved 531 in remote and very remote areas in the future development of health care system.

532 Temporal variation of spatial accessibility is associated with the monthly varied local 533 traffic speed, which is seasonally affected by precipitation especially heavy rain (Makanga et 534 al. 2017). Temporal variation is assessed from three stages. First, traffic speed is affected by 535 precipitation. In average, monthly precipitation causes 1.00 % to 3.57% of speed reduction, 536 which varies in different months and across space. In addition, monthly variation of 537 accessibility caused by precipitation is transformed as an equivalent beds reduction. For a given 538 amount of health care resources, which are represented by the number of beds in hospitals here, 539 the losses of accessibility affected by precipitation and heavy rain to all public hospitals, 540 hospitals providing emergency and surgery service equal to 1.13%, 1.38% and 1.19% of the 541 national health care resources. Third, accessibility and its related population within spatial hot-542 spot and cold-spot clusters are investigated temporally. Nationally, the reductions in the 543 minimum monthly mean accessibility of 30, 60, 120 and 240-minite travel to all public 544 hospitals are 1.21%, 1.00%, 0.77% and 1.04% of the maximum one. However, in hot-spot regions, the minimum monthly mean accessibility to all public hospitals is reduced by 18% -545 546 23%, varying by the threshold of travel time, compared with the maximum one, and the 547 reduction ratio reaches 31% to 50% in the cold-spot clusters. Thus, temporal variation of 548 accessibility caused by precipitation and heavy rain is slightly fluctuated seen from the nation-549 wide average values of accessibility, but it varies significantly in the spatially local clusters. In 550 addition, the improvement of temporal variations of accessibility to public hospitals can have 551 positive influence on reducing seasonal diseases. For instance, the average incidence of 552 influenza during July to September is 7.81‰, which is 9.6 times the incidence of influenza in

other months (0.81‰), and the incidence also varies in different states (Australian Government
Department of Health 2018). Thus, during high incidence periods of seasonal diseases,
improving accessibility is helpful for reducing incidence.

556 Findings from this research indicate spatial and temporal variations of accessibility with 557 multiple potential variables including population centroids, on-road precipitation and estimated 558 traffic speed on each road segment. There are still limitations in this study. First, in addition to 559 the geographical relations between hospitals and population and the health care resources of 560 hospitals, the utilization of health care services is also linked with potential social factors such 561 as income, education, insurance status and individual preference (Love and Lindquist 1995). 562 Individual difference is also related to the health care services utilization that old people, 563 children and pregnant women require more hospital accessibility than other age groups. Next, 564 private hospitals are also important in the whole health care system even their number and 565 available beds are fewer than those in public hospitals. Third, this study presents a monthly 566 varied traffic speed estimation approach based on the precipitation and speed association 567 function, which is useful for temporally traffic speed estimation on road networks at a large 568 spatial scale. However, the real monitoring data of monthly varied traffic speed is unavailable 569 in most of the current public traffic data released by transportation authorities. Finally, this 570 study has explored and discussed the associations between the temporal variations of traffic 571 speed across space and precipitation or heavy rain using a relationship function, but doesn't 572 involve other potential weather conditions data, such as fog and wind, since few evidence 573 provided by research is available for determining their relationships by proper functions. 574 Therefore, the individual potential factors and conditions of private hospitals might be 575 considered, and temporally varied traffic speed data on the road network can be monitored and 576 utilized in the future work to have a more comprehensive understanding of the performance of 577 national health systems.

578 **5 Conclusion**

579 This paper estimates a reliable nation-wide distribution of population accessibility to 580 public hospitals, quantifies the spatial and temporal variations of accessibility, and investigates 581 the performance of public health systems in Australia. The quantitative outcomes of spatial and 582 temporal variations of accessibility can benefit a wise decision-making process for health care 583 authorities to allocate medical resources and optimize of health care systems. From the 584 perspective of spatial distributions of health care resources, spatial accessibility to all public 585 hospitals in remote and very remote areas is not lower (and may even be higher) than that in 586 major cities, but the accessibility to hospitals that provide emergency and surgery services is 587 much higher in major cities than other areas. This means the allocation of health care resources 588 should be optimized to enhance emergency and surgery services in outer, remote and very 589 remote areas. From the angle of temporal variation of accessibility to public hospitals, 590 reduction of traffic speed is 1.00% - 3.57% due to precipitation and heavy rain, but it leads to 18% - 23% and 31% - 50% of reduction of accessibility in hot-spot and cold-spot regions 591 592 respectively, and the impact is severe in NSW, QLD and NT during wet seasons. Spatio-593 temporal analysis for the variations of accessibility can provide quantitative and accurate 594 evidence for geographically local and dynamic strategies of allocation decision making of 595 medical resources and optimizing health care systems both locally and nationally.

596 Abbreviations

597 2SCFA: two-step floating catchment area; 3SFCA: three-step floating catchment area; ABS: 598 Australian Bureau of Statistics; ACT: Australian Capital Territory; AIHW: Australian Institute 599 of Health and Welfare; ASGS: Australian Statistical Geographical Classification ; BPR: bed-600 population ratio; CV: coefficient of variance; DPR: doctor-population ratio; E2SFCA: 601 enhanced two-step floating catchment area; FCA: floating catchment area; GDP: gross 602 domestic product; KD2SFCA: kernel density two-step floating catchment area; LGA: local 603 government area; LISA: local indicators of spatial association; M2SFCA: modified two-step 604 floating catchment area; MKD2SFCA: modified kernel density two-step floating catchment 605 area; NSW: New South Wales; NT: Northern Territory; PWC: population weighted centroid; 606 QLD: Queensland; SA: Southern Australia; SEDAC: Socioeconomic Data and Applications 607 Centre ; TAS: Tasmania; TRMM: Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission ; UHC: universal 608 health care; VIC: Victoria; WA: Western Australia.

609 Authors' contributions

YZS conceived the study and performed statistical analysis. XYW supervised the study. All
authors jointly drafted and critically revised the paper. All authors read and approved the final
manuscript.

613 Author details

^{1.} Australasian Joint Research Centre for Building Information Modelling, School of Built
 Environment, Curtin University, Australia. ^{2.} Department of Civil and Environmental
 Engineering, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong. ^{3.}
 Department of Geography and Resource Management, The Chinese University of Hong Kong,
 Hong Kong. ^{4.} Department of Construction Management, School of Built Environment, Curtin
 University, Australia. ^{5.} Department of Housing and Interior Design, Kyung Hee University,

620 Korea.

621 Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the Australia Research Council Discovery Early Career Researcher Award (Project No. DE170101502) by the Australian Government. We acknowledge the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) for their roles in making available the Australian hospital statistics 2012-13 data set. Support of this data set is provided by the AIHW, Australian Government. The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their careful reading of our manuscript and their many insightful comments and suggestions.

629 **Competing Interests**

630 The authors have declared that they have no completing interests.

631 **Reference**

- Akin, Darcin, Virginia P Sisiopiku, and Alexander Skabardonis. 2011. "Impacts of weather on traffic flow characteristics of urban freeways in Istanbul." *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* 16:89-99.
- Anselin, Luc. 1995. "Local indicators of spatial association—LISA." *Geographical analysis* 27 (2):93-115.
- Apparicio, Philippe, Mohamed Abdelmajid, Mylène Riva, and Richard Shearmur. 2008.
 "Comparing alternative approaches to measuring the geographical accessibility of urban health services: Distance types and aggregation-error issues." *International journal of health geographics* 7 (1):7.
- Apparicio, Philippe, Marie-Soleil Cloutier, and Richard Shearmur. 2007. "The case of
 Montreal's missing food deserts: evaluation of accessibility to food supermarkets."
 International journal of health geographics 6 (1):4.

- Arcury, Thomas A, Wilbert M Gesler, John S Preisser, Jill Sherman, John Spencer, and Jamie
 Perin. 2005. "The effects of geography and spatial behavior on health care utilization among the residents of a rural region." *Health services research* 40 (1):135-156.
- Australian Bureau of Statitics, ABS. 2015a. National Health Survey: First Results, 2014–15.
 ABS cat. no. 4364.0.55.001. Canberra: ABS.
- Australian Bureau of Statitics, ABS. 2015b. National Health Survey: First Results, 2014–15.
 ABS cat. no. 4364.0.55.001. Canberra: ABS.
- Australian Bureau of Statitics, ABS. 2017a. Health service usage and health related actions,
 Australia 2014–15. ABS cat. no. 4364.0.55.002. Canberra: ABS.
- Australian Bureau of Statitics, ABS. 2017b. "Regional Population Growth, Australia, 2016."
 <u>http://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/Lookup/3218.0Main+Features12016?0</u>
 <u>penDocument.</u>
- Australian Bureau of Statitics ABS. 2011. Australian Statistical Geography Standard (ASGS)
 volume 5-remoteness structure. Cat no. 1270.0.55.005. Canberra: ABS.
- 658 Australian Bureau of Statitics ABS. 2013. "Australian Statistical Geography Standard (ASGS): 659 ". Volume 3 Non ABS Structures. July 2013 ABS. http://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/Lookup/1270.0.55.003Main+Features1 660 661 July 2013?OpenDocument.
- Australian Government Department of Health. 2018. "Notification Rate for Influenza (laboratory confirmed), Australia, in the period of 1991 to 2017 and year-to-date notifications for 2018, National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System."
 <u>http://www9.health.gov.au/cda/source/rpt_3.cfm.</u>
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, AIHW. 2010. A snapshot of men's health in regional
 and remote Australia. Rural health series no. 11. Cat. no. PHE 120. . Canberra: AIHW.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, AIHW. 2011. Access to health services for
 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, Cat. no. IHW 46. Canberra: AIHW.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, AIHW. 2014. Mortality inequalities in Australia
 2009–2011. AIHW bulletin no. 124. Cat. no. AUS 184. . Canberra: AIHW.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, AIHW. 2015. Health expenditure Australia 2013–
 14. Health and welfare expenditure series no. 54. Cat. no. HWE 63. Canberra: AIHW.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare AIHW. 2014a. Australia's health 2014.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare AIHW. 2014b. "Australian hospital statistics 2012 13." AIHW. <u>https://data.gov.au/dataset/australian-hospital-statistics-2012-13</u>.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare AIHW. 2014c. Australian hospital statistics, 201213, Health Services Series Number 54, Cat. no. HSE 145. Canberra: AIHW.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare AIHW. 2016a. Australia's health 2016. Australia's health no. 15. Cat. no. AUS 199. Canberra: AIHW.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare AIHW. 2016b. Australia's hospitals 2014-15, at a
 glance, Health services series no. 70. Canberra: AIHW.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare AIHW. 2017. Australia's hospitals at a glance 2015–
 16.
- Barber, Ryan M, Nancy Fullman, Reed JD Sorensen, Thomas Bollyky, Martin McKee, Ellen
 Nolte, Amanuel Alemu Abajobir, Kalkidan Hassen Abate, Cristiana Abbafati, and Kaja
 M Abbas. 2017. "Healthcare Access and Quality Index based on mortality from causes
 amenable to personal health care in 195 countries and territories, 1990–2015: a novel
 analysis from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015." *Lancet*.
- Bauer, Jan, Ruth Müller, Dörthe Brüggmann, and David A Groneberg. 2017. "Spatial
 Accessibility of Primary Care in England: A Cross Sectional Study Using a Floating
 Catchment Area Method." *Health Services Research*.

- Blanford, Justine I, Supriya Kumar, Wei Luo, and Alan M MacEachren. 2012. "It'sa long, long
 walk: accessibility to hospitals, maternity and integrated health centers in Niger."
 International journal of health geographics 11 (1):24.
- Brabyn, Lars, and Chris Skelly. 2002. "Modeling population access to New Zealand public
 hospitals." *International Journal of Health Geographics* 1 (1):3.
- Center for International Earth Science Information Network CIESIN Columbia University.
 2016. Gridded Population of the World, Version 4 (GPWv4): Population Count
 Adjusted to Match 2015 Revision of UN WPP Country Totals. Palisades, NY: NASA
 Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center (SEDAC).
- Cheng, Gang, Xiankai Zeng, Lian Duan, Xiaoping Lu, Huichao Sun, Tao Jiang, and Yuli Li.
 2016. "Spatial difference analysis for accessibility to high level hospitals based on travel time in Shenzhen, China." *Habitat International* 53:485-494.
- Chu, Hone-Jay, Bo-Cheng Lin, Ming-Run Yu, and Ta-Chien Chan. 2016. "Minimizing Spatial
 Variability of Healthcare Spatial Accessibility—The Case of a Dengue Fever
 Outbreak." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 13
 (12):1235.
- Delamater, Paul L. 2013. "Spatial accessibility in suboptimally configured health care systems:
 A modified two-step floating catchment area (M2SFCA) metric." *Health & place*24:30-43.
- Fransen, Koos, Tijs Neutens, Philippe De Maeyer, and Greet Deruyter. 2015. "A commuterbased two-step floating catchment area method for measuring spatial accessibility of
 daycare centers." *Health & place* 32:65-73.
- Ge, Yong, Yongze Song, Jinfeng Wang, Wei Liu, Zhoupeng Ren, Junhuan Peng, and Binbin
 Lu. 2016. "Geographically weighted regression based determinants of malaria
 incidences in northern China." *Transactions in GIS*.
- Guagliardo, Mark F. 2004. "Spatial accessibility of primary care: concepts, methods and
 challenges." *International journal of health geographics* 3 (1):3.
- Hare, Timothy S, and Holly R Barcus. 2007. "Geographical accessibility and Kentucky's heart related hospital services." *Applied Geography* 27 (3):181-205.
- Hewko, Jared, Karen E Smoyer-Tomic, and M John Hodgson. 2002. "Measuring
 neighbourhood spatial accessibility to urban amenities: does aggregation error matter?"
 Environment and Planning A 34 (7):1185-1206.
- Huffman, George J, David T Bolvin, Eric J Nelkin, David B Wolff, Robert F Adler, Guojun
 Gu, Yang Hong, Kenneth P Bowman, and Erich F Stocker. 2007. "The TRMM
 multisatellite precipitation analysis (TMPA): Quasi-global, multiyear, combinedsensor precipitation estimates at fine scales." *Journal of hydrometeorology* 8 (1):3855.
- Hwang, H-L, and Jane Rollow. 2000. Data processing procedures and methodology for
 estimating trip distances for the 1995 American Travel Survey (ATS). Oak Ridge
 National Lab., TN (US).
- Jamtsho, Sonam, Robert Corner, and Ashraf Dewan. 2015. "Spatio-temporal analysis of spatial
 accessibility to primary health care in Bhutan." *ISPRS International Journal of Geo- Information* 4 (3):1584-1604.
- Jütting, Johannes P. 2004. "Do community-based health insurance schemes improve poor
 people's access to health care? Evidence from rural Senegal." *World development* 32 (2):273-288.
- Kwan, Mei Po. 1998. "Space time and integral measures of individual accessibility: a
 comparative analysis using a point based framework." *Geographical analysis* 30 (3):191-216.

- Langford, Mitchel, Richard Fry, and Gary Higgs. 2012. "Measuring transit system accessibility
 using a modified two-step floating catchment technique." *International Journal of Geographical Information Science* 26 (2):193-214.
- Love, Douglas, and Peter Lindquist. 1995. "The geographical accessibility of hospitals to the aged: a geographic information systems analysis within Illinois." *Health services research* 29 (6):629.
- Luo, Wei, and Yi Qi. 2009. "An enhanced two-step floating catchment area (E2SFCA) method
 for measuring spatial accessibility to primary care physicians." *Health & place* 15
 (4):1100-1107.
- Luo, Wei, and Fahui Wang. 2003. "Measures of spatial accessibility to health care in a GIS
 environment: synthesis and a case study in the Chicago region." *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design* 30 (6):865-884.
- Makanga, Prestige Tatenda, Nadine Schuurman, Charfudin Sacoor, Helena Edith Boene,
 Faustino Vilanculo, Marianne Vidler, Laura Magee, Peter Dadelszen, Esperança
 Sevene, and Khátia Munguambe. 2017. "Seasonal variation in geographical access to
 maternal health services in regions of southern Mozambique." *International journal of health geographics* 16 (1):1.
- MapCruzin. "Australia Oceania Continent Roads." <u>http://www.mapcruzin.com/free-australia-oceania-arcgis-maps-shapefiles.htm</u>.
- McGrail, Matthew R. 2012. "Spatial accessibility of primary health care utilising the two step
 floating catchment area method: an assessment of recent improvements." *International journal of health geographics* 11 (1):50.
- McGrail, Matthew R, and John S Humphreys. 2009. "The index of rural access: an innovative
 integrated approach for measuring primary care access." *BMC Health Services Research* 9 (1):124.
- McGrail, Matthew R, and John S Humphreys. 2014. "Measuring spatial accessibility to primary
 health care services: Utilising dynamic catchment sizes." *Applied Geography* 54:182 188.
- McKinley, Jennifer M, Ulrich Ofterdinger, Michael Young, Amy Barsby, and Anna Gavin.
 2013. "Investigating local relationships between trace elements in soils and cancer
 data." *Spatial statistics* 5:25-41.
- Pan, Jay, Huiran Liu, Xiuli Wang, Hongmei Xie, and Paul L Delamater. 2015. "Assessing the
 spatial accessibility of hospital care in Sichuan Province, China." *Geospatial health* 10
 (2).
- Polzin, Pierre, José Borges, and António Coelho. 2014. "An extended kernel density two-step
 floating catchment area method to analyze access to health care." *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design* 41 (4):717-735.
- Program, FHWA Road Weather Management. 2009. "How Do Weather Events Impact Roads?". <u>https://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/Weather/q1_roadimpact.htm</u>.
- Radke, John, and Lan Mu. 2000. "Spatial decompositions, modeling and mapping service
 regions to predict access to social programs." *Geographic Information Sciences* 6 (2):105-112.
- Rahman, Ashrafur, and Nicholas E Lownes. 2012. "Analysis of rainfall impacts on platooned
 vehicle spacing and speed." *Transportation research part F: traffic psychology and behaviour* 15 (4):395-403.
- Sanmartin, Claudia, François Gendron, Jean-Marie Berthelot, and Kellie Murphy. 2004.
 "Access to health care services in Canada, 2003." *Ottawa: Statistics Canada.*
- Schoen, Cathy, Robin Osborn, Phuong Trang Huynh, and Michelle Doty. 2004. "Primary care
 and health system performance: adults' experiences in five countries." *Health Affairs* 23:W4.

- Shah, Tayyab Ikram, Scott Bell, and Kathi Wilson. 2016. "Spatial Accessibility to Health Care
 Services: Identifying under-Serviced Neighbourhoods in Canadian Urban Areas." *PloS one* 11 (12):e0168208.
- Shah, Tayyab Ikram, Stephan Milosavljevic, and Brenna Bath. 2017. "Measuring geographical accessibility to rural and remote health care services: Challenges and considerations."
 Spatial and Spatio-temporal Epidemiology 21:87-96.
- Smith, Catherine M, Hannah Fry, Charlotte Anderson, Helen Maguire, and Andrew C Hayward.
 2017. "Optimising spatial accessibility to inform rationalisation of specialist health services." *International journal of health geographics* 16 (1):15.
- Song, Yong-Ze, Hong-Lei Yang, Jun-Huan Peng, Yi-Rong Song, Qian Sun, and Yuan Li. 2015.
 "Estimating PM2. 5 Concentrations in Xi'an City Using a Generalized Additive Model with Multi-Source Monitoring Data." *PloS one* 10 (11):e0142149.
- Song, Yongze, Yong Ge, Jinfeng Wang, Zhoupeng Ren, Yilan Liao, and Junhuan Peng. 2016.
 "Spatial distribution estimation of malaria in northern China and its scenarios in 2020, 2030, 2040 and 2050." *Malaria journal* 15 (1):345.
- 807 UN, General Assembly. 2015. Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable
 808 development. A/RES/70/1, 21 October.
- Wan, Neng, Bin Zou, and Troy Sternberg. 2012. "A three-step floating catchment area method
 for analyzing spatial access to health services." *International Journal of Geographical Information Science* 26 (6):1073-1089.
- Wang, Fahui, and Wei Luo. 2005. "Assessing spatial and nonspatial factors for healthcare
 access: towards an integrated approach to defining health professional shortage areas." *Health & place* 11 (2):131-146.
- Witten, Karen, Daniel Exeter, and Adrian Field. 2003. "The quality of urban environments:
 mapping variation in access to community resources." *Urban studies* 40 (1):161-177.
- 817 Wolhuter, Keith M. 2015. *Geometric design of roads handbook*: CRC Press.
- Wu, Peng, Chao Mao, Jun Wang, Yongze Song, and Xiangyu Wang. 2016. "A decade review
 of the credits obtained by LEED v2. 2 certified green building projects." *Building and Environment* 102:167-178.
- Wu, Peng, Yongze Song, Wenchi Shou, Hunglin Chi, Heap-Yih Chong, and Monty Sutrisna.
 2017. "A comprehensive analysis of the credits obtained by LEED 2009 certified green
 buildings." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 68:370-379.
- 824

826 **Captions of Figures**

- Fig. 1. Distributions of hospitals, population weighted centroids (PWCs) of local government
- 828 areas (LGAs) and their populations in Australia (A) and the capital cities of states or
- 829 territories: (B) Sydney, (C) Melbourne, (D) Brisbane, (E) Perth, (F) Adelaide, (G) Canberra,
- 830 (H) Hobart and (I) Darwin.
- Fig. 2. Monthly precipitation and its impact on the spatio-temporal variations of traffic speedfrom July 2012 to June 2013 in Australia.
- Fig. 3. Spatial distribution of estimated annual mean speed in each road segment acrossAustralia.
- Fig. 4. State-wide statistical summary of monthly precipitation and average vehicle speed inAustralia.
- Fig. 5. Cumulative distributions of population within states or territories and remoteness areas
- to the nearest hospitals: all hospitals (A), hospitals that provide emergency care (B), and hospitals that provide surgery service (C).
- Fig. 6. Distributions of spatial accessibility of traveling within 30 and 240 minutes to all
- 841 public hospitals (A and B), accessibility to hospitals that provide emergency care (C and D),
- and accessibility to hospitals that provide surgery service (E and F), respectively.
- Fig. 7. Equivalent beds reduction of precipitation caused temporal variation of spatial
- accessibility to all public hospitals (A), hospitals that provide emergency care (B), hospitals
 that provide surgery service (C), and corresponding percentage of reduced equivalent beds to
- 846 all beds in Australia and in each remoteness area (D, E and F).
- Fig. 8. State-wide statistical summary of bed-population ratio (BPR) and spatial accessibility
 separated by remoteness for all hospitals (A), hospitals that provide emergency care (B) and
 hospitals that provide surgery service (C).
- Fig. 9. Maps of annual mean spatial accessibility to hospitals and the summary of spatial
- 851 local autocorrelations, the corresponding time series of mean spatial accessibility in high-high
- 852 (H-H) clusters and those in low-low (L-L) clusters for the traveling to hospitals within 30
- 853 minutes (A, B and C) and 240 minutes (D, E and F).
- Fig. 10. Maps of annual mean spatial accessibility to hospitals that provide emergency care
- and the summary of spatial local autocorrelations, the corresponding time series of mean
- spatial accessibility in high-high (H-H) clusters and those in low-low (L-L) clusters for the
- traveling to hospitals serving for emergency within 30 minutes (A, B and C) and 240 minutes
- 858 (D, E and F).
- Fig. 11. Maps of annual mean spatial accessibility to hospitals that provide surgery service
- and the summary of spatial local autocorrelations, the corresponding time series of mean
- 861 spatial accessibility in high-high (H-H) clusters and those in low-low (L-L) clusters for the
- traveling to hospitals serving for surgery within 30 minutes (A, B and C) and 240 minutes (D,
- 863 E and F).

864 **Captions of Tables**

- Table 1 Average travel time from PWCs of LGAs to the nearest hospitals and population coverage by travel time of 30, 60, 120 and 240 minutes
- 867 Table 2 Statistical summary of spatially local cluster analysis for population accessibility with
- the travel time of 30, 60, 120 and 240 minutes to all public hospitals and hospitals that provide
- 869 emergency and surgery services.
- 870

Hospital type	Average travel time (minute)						Population coverage by travel time				
	Remoteness areas										
	Australia	Major cities	Inner area	Outer area	Remote area	Very remote area	30 min	60 min	120 min	240 min	
All	13.1	12.4	23.5	27.2	19.2	41.7	81.7%	92.6%	98.5%	99.0%	
Providing emergency	19.0	10.3	19.9	21.8	16.3	31.7	83.8%	95.2%	98.6%	99.0%	

20.4

19.2

41.7

84.8%

93.5%

98.9%

99.0%

20.7

12.1

Table 1 Average travel time from PWCs of LGAs to the nearest hospitals and population

874

care Providing surgery service

23.4

Hospital type	Travel time	Cumulative number of months		Percentage of mean population (‰)		Accessibility (beds per 1000 persons)							
						National	Hot-spot			Cold-spot			
	(minute)	Hot-spot	Cold-spot	Hot-spot	Cold-spot	mean	min	mean	max	min	mean	max	
All	30	121 (74%) ^a	428 (6%) ^b	10.06 (98%) ^c	29.61 (1%) ^d	1.84	7.58	8.22	9.45	0.06	0.08	0.10	
	60	117 (79%)	581 (10%)	9.35 (99%)	34.39 (3%)	2.04	7.30	8.08	9.46	0.06	0.09	0.12	
	120	163 (44%)	559 (15%)	6.13 (91%)	43.82 (3%)	2.29	6.84	7.38	8.39	0.12	0.15	0.18	
	240	176 (27%)	592 (22%)	4.68 (78%)	53.70 (5%)	2.56	6.18	6.99	7.56	0.22	0.28	0.32	
Providing emergency care	30	100 (100%)	2 (100%)	24.48 (100%)	0.04 (100%)	0.60	7.76	8.64	9.70	/	/	/	
	60	181 (100%)	25 (64%)	40.67 (100%)	1.90 (15%)	0.66	4.41	5.05	5.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	120	187 (100%)	66 (55%)	39.26 (100%)	4.34 (19%)	0.70	4.41	4.92	6.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	240	196 (100%)	181 (37%)	40.94 (100%)	11.47 (8%)	0.82	4.34	4.72	5.15	0.00	0.02	0.06	
Providing surgery service	30	125 (100%)	13 (100%)	20.30 (100%)	0.26 (100%)	0.74	6.88	7.49	8.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	60	138 (100%)	69 (71%)	20.88 (100%)	0.97 (76%)	0.83	6.44	6.86	7.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	120	163 (100%)	335 (32%)	22.38 (100%)	14.03 (11%)	0.94	5.35	5.82	6.60	0.00	0.01	0.01	
	240	164 (100%)	640 (30%)	23.02 (100%)	27.14 (7%)	1.06	5.32	5.74	6.33	0.01	0.02	0.03	

Table 2 Statistical summary of spatially local cluster analysis for population accessibility with the travel time of 30, 60, 120 and 240 minutes to
all public hospitals and hospitals that provide emergency and surgery services.

^{a.} Percentage of cumulative number of months in major cities of hot-spot regions to that in all hot-spot regions.

^{b.} Percentage of cumulative number of months in very remote area of cold-spot regions to that in all cold-spot regions.

^{c.} Percentage of population in major cities of hot-spot regions to that in all hot-spot regions.

^{d.} Percentage of population in very remote area of cold-spot regions to that in all cold-spot regions.

878

Spatial and temporal variations of spatial population accessibility to public hospitals: A case study of rural-urban comparison

Highlights

- 1) MKD2SFCA model provides a reliable measure of spatial accessibility and makes sense in real-world nation-wide health systems.
- 2) MKD2SFCA-based performance investigation reveals that the accessibility is spatially and temporally varied in Australian public health system.
- Accessibility to all hospitals in remote areas is not lower (and even higher) than that in major cities, but the accessibility to hospitals that provide emergency and surgery services is higher in major cities.
- Precipitation have significantly negative impact on accessibility in hot-spot and coldspot regions.















Fig 6









