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ABSTRACT
In a power network where more than one generator is used to supply a load, power generators have to be controlled with the lowest power production cost. Network load in a power system is always varying with occasional demand surges. Even small surges create a frequency deviation in the power system and if the frequency deviation is not rapidly eliminated, the power system frequency can become unstable, causing damage to its components. One of the control methods is Automatic Generation Control (AGC). The AGC objective is to provide a power control input to each generating unit. This paper presents the implementation of an AGC strategy in a Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA). For testing, the power generating units and the load were simulated using LabVIEW.

1 INTRODUCTION
Power system operation has to be stable when supplying a varying load demand[1]. The power system has to be controlled to produce power efficiently. One method is known as Automatic Generation Control (AGC) [2]. Study of the AGC has taken place in countries as diverse as South Africa [3] ,Nigeria [4] and the United States[5]. The AGC method has been enhanced continuously. The reason is to increase the power system efficiency significantly.

Figure 1 shows the power system control diagram. It shows that the power system has a load and a control block diagram. The good communication between them is crucial to have a good control. The control block controls several generating units; furthermore, the generating units have to have unit commitment to each other. Thus, the power system power production is sufficient but yet still efficient. In this paper, the number of generating units is limited to 5. All of the generating units are thermal unit and each of them has different characteristics.

On section 2, the paper will discuss the problem of power system load variation. It explains the load variation effect on the power system. Section 2 will discuss the solution and implementation of the power system problem. The last chapter presents conclusions and future work.

Figure 1. Power system control diagram
2 PROBLEM BACKGROUND

The load has two components: a scheduled load with a 24-hour periodic behaviour, and stochastic surges. The surge load is the difference between the actual load and the schedule load. The surge load affects the power production demand and the frequency deviation affects the power system stability. The block diagram on figure 2 shows a model of how the surge load ($\Delta P_{\text{ref}}$) affects the frequency ($\Delta f$).

![Figure 1 Block diagram of a generating unit](image)

In Figure 2:
- $T_g$ is time constant of governor
- $T_f$ is time constant of turbine
- $H$ is constant value of inertia, which is the change of kinetic energy over the machine rating
- $D$ is expressed as percent change in load divided by percent change in frequency
- $R$ is constant of speed regulation

When the load changes, the generating units need to be controlled for cost efficiency. In other words, the function of the controller is to distribute the current load between the generators, in such a way that the cost of fuel is minimised. On high peak load, all the generating units have to be working regardless their efficiency. However on medium and low demands, the most efficient generating units must have higher priority to be active[6]. In this paper 5 units will be considered. Table 1 shows their characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Minimum production (MW)</th>
<th>Maximum production (MW)</th>
<th>Fuel cost ($/MBtu)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit 1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 3</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 Block diagram control of FPGA

FPGAs allow the design of custom integrated circuits. FPGA implementations are faster and more reliable than software execution. Furthermore, the FPGA design can be used for IC development in the future [7]. One advantage of FPGAs is that they fully integrate a scalable design platform that is Silicon and Vendor-independent. This independence is achieved by describing designs in VHDL (Very High Speed Integrated Circuit Hardware Description Language)[8]. FPGAs have been responsible for a major shift in the way digital circuits are designed [9].

LabVIEW is a graphical software environment to customize or design a particular hardware instrument inside a PC [10]. This particular software has ability for simulation, data capture, data analysis, and circuit animation. When a particular application has been built, the LabVIEW program’s output is a virtual instrument (VI). The VI has two main components, which are front panel and block diagram. The front panel of the VI used to test the system presented in this paper is shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3. Front panel of user interface

3 DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

Unit commitment on economic dispatch was chosen as the AGC method. The AGC was implemented as an embedded system using an FPGA by Xilinx. LabVIEW was used to simulate the power system generating unit and the load simulation. Figure 3 shows the main components of the system.

3.1 Unit Commitment for Load Variation

In Figure 3, the power distribution algorithm block is defined based on unit commitment. To achieve this, the cost characteristic for each generating units has to be defined. The equations for the units’ input-output characteristic and fuel cost are:
Unit 1
H₁(MBtu/H) = 515 + 9.3P₁ + 0.00153P₁²
Fuel Cost₁ = 1.1 $/MBtu

\[
\frac{dF_1}{dP_1} = 10.23 + 3.366 \times 10^{-3} P_1 = \lambda
\]

Unit 2
H₂(MBtu/H) = 355 + 7.7P₂ + 0.00187P₂²
Fuel Cost₂ = 0.9 $/MBtu

\[
\frac{dF_2}{dP_2} = 6.93 + 3.366 \times 10^{-3} P_2 = \lambda
\]

Unit 3
H₃(MBtu/H) = 511 + 7.3P₃ + 0.00143P₃²
Fuel Cost₃ = 1.2 $/MBtu

\[
\frac{dF_3}{dP_3} = 8.76 + 3.432 \times 10^{-3} P_3 = \lambda
\]

Unit 4
H₄(MBtu/H) = 313 + 7.85P₄ + 0.00195P₄²
Fuel Cost₄ = 1.0 $/MBtu

\[
\frac{dF_4}{dP_4} = 7.85 + 3.9 \times 10^{-3} P_4 = \lambda
\]

Unit 5
H₅(MBtu/H) = 79.0 + 7.97P₅ + 0.00487P₅²
Fuel Cost₅ = 0.8 $/MBtu

\[
\frac{dF_5}{dP_5} = 6.376 + 7.792 \times 10^{-3} P_5 = \lambda
\]

Where:
F is fuel cost
P is power production

The gradient of fuel cost based on power production (λ) shows the rate of fuel consumption [11]. To have an optimum power production is to find a λ equal for all generating units, taking into account the constraints of minimum and maximum power production. Furthermore, λ also defines which generating unit has better efficiency. Equations (1) to (5) show that the list of generating units ordered by efficiency is 5, 2, 4, 3, and 1. For instance, generating unit number 5 will be operating before unit number 2. This priority method is defined the value of Pi (where i is the number of generating unit).

3.2 Integral Control for Frequency Deviation

The frequency deviation is removed from the system using an integral control[12]. Integral control uses a feedback of the frequency deviation and a load reference to adjust the governor. The block diagram of the integral control can be seen in figure 5.

\[
\Delta\Phi(x) = \frac{s^3(T_s+T_i) + s^2(T_s+T_i)+s^1(2TH_s+T_i)+s^0(D+1/R)+K_i}{s^4(s^3+2TH_s+T_i)+s^2(2TH_s+T_i)+s^1(2TH_s+T_i)+s^0(D+1/R)+K_i}
\]

By applying the Routh stability criterion [13], the range of K₁ that keeps the system stable is obtained. The values of K₁ obtained for the units used in this paper are shown in table 3.

| Table 2: Minimum and maximum of integral gain (K₁) |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Unit number | Min K₁ | Max K₁ |
| Unit 1      | 0     | 10.721 |
| Unit 2      | 6.233 | 2.187 |
| Unit 3      | 2.128 | 3.582 |
| Unit 4      | 0     | 3.582 |
| Unit 5      | 0     | 6.121 |

From all possible values of K₁, the value that produces the fastest settling time has to be chosen. To find these values, the step 0.125 method was used within the allowable range. Result can be seen in the table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Optimal values for K₁</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Look Up Table for Incremental Power

The incremental power is used to correct the power production according to the surge load demand. On this paper, a look up table (LUT) has been created to manage the incremental power. The LUT is defined from the steady state frequency deviation of the power system.

$$\Delta \omega_{ss} = \frac{-\Delta P_i}{D + \frac{1}{R}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

While for multi generating units, on single area, the steady state frequency deviation becomes [6]:

$$\Delta \omega_{ss} = \frac{-\Delta P_i}{D + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{R_i}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Where n is total number of generating units.

Since the total number of generating units in this paper is five, the steady state frequency deviation becomes:

$$\Delta \omega_{ss} = \frac{-\Delta P_i}{D + \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} + \frac{1}{R_3} + \frac{1}{R_4} + \frac{1}{R_5}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The load deviation is assumed within the range of 0.4 to -0.4 with step of 0.01. For each load deviation value, the value of the steady state frequency deviation is determined. The LUT was created in three steps.

The first step is to find the value of steady state frequency deviation and incremental power for each unit. Because it is easier to create the LUT in integer value, thus the second step is scale the steady state frequency deviation by a factor of 10^6 and the incremental power by a factor of 10^7. Furthermore, a hexadecimal number will save more space rather than just decimal number. Thus the last step is to convert the number to hexadecimal. Table 5 shows the three steps as applied to unit 1 in this example.

### Table 5: Look up table creation steps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>$\Delta P_i$</th>
<th>$\Delta \omega$</th>
<th>$\Delta P_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.00913096</td>
<td>0.07189733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>7189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>5B</td>
<td>1C16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The LUT can now be used to determine the incremental power $(\Delta P_i)$ of each generating unit. The final LUT was stored in a file in the PC and downloaded to the FPGA using a serial port.

4 CONCLUSIONS

The unit commitment objective is to minimize the operating cost of the power system. This unit commitment is used to distribute the appropriate power production to each generating unit.

An indicator of a load change in the system is the frequency deviation. While it can be taken as an indicator, it can be forced to zero using the integral control.

The lookup table is a simple method to define the incremental power of generating unit power production.

LabVIEW is a good tool to simulate systems. In this work it has been used to simulate the generating units’ power production and the load. The interface exchange information with the FPGA using a serial communications channel.

FPGA-based AGC could be used to keep a power system stable in a fast and reliable way.
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