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ATP-binding cassette transporters in progression and clinical outcome of pancreatic cancer: What is the way forward?

Aleksandra Adamska, Marco Falasca

Abstract
Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is one of the most aggressive diseases and is characterized by high chemoresistance, leading to the lack of effective therapeutic approaches and grim prognosis. Despite increasing understanding of the mechanisms of chemoresistance in cancer and the role of ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters in this resistance, the therapeutic potential of their pharmacological inhibition has not been successfully exploited yet. In spite of the discovery of potent pharmacological modulators of ABC transporters, the results obtained in clinical trials have been so far disappointing, with high toxicity levels impairing their successful administration to the patients. Critically, although ABC transporters have been mostly studied for their involvement in development of multidrug resistance (MDR), in recent years the contribution of ABC transporters to cancer initiation and progression has emerged as an important area of research, the understanding of which could significantly influence the development of more specific and efficient therapies. In this review, we explore the role of ABC transporters in the development and progression of malignancies, with focus on PDAC. Their established involvement in development of MDR will be also presented. Moreover, an emerging role for ABC transporters as prognostic tools for patients’ survival will be discussed, demonstrating the therapeutic potential of ABC transporters in cancer therapy.
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Pancreatic cancer is one of the deadliest cancers due to its highly aggressive biology and resistance to broad range of therapeutics. Expression of ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters by cancer cells is one of the main mechanisms responsible for the lowered drug accumulation. However, the attempts made in multidrug resistance reversal by the inhibition of their activity have not provided satisfactory results in clinical trials. Nevertheless, current knowledge on the role played by ABC transporters in carcinogenesis beyond chemoresistance, could create the opportunity for the development of novel, direct targeted therapeutic strategies. Additionally, the association between ABC transporters expression and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma patients’ prognosis and response to applied therapies confirms their pharmacological potential.


INTRODUCTION

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is one of the most fatal diseases in western world. Although not one of the leading causes of death, PDAC is certainly to be considered amid the most unfavourable cancers, ranking at 4th place in terms of death rate, with a 7%-8% chance of 5-year survival in United States[1]. Despite the progress made in understanding the biology and in the treatment of different cancer types, the mortality of PDAC patients still nearly equals its incidence and has not changed remarkably for the last few decades. The dismal prognosis of PDAC is the result of multiple factors including an aggressive nature, chemoresistance and the lack of effective treatments and diagnostic tools. Therefore, when diagnosed, the vast majority of PDAC patients present with metastatic disease, not susceptible for surgery[2]. Only one fifth of the patients have the tumour resected and, unfortunately, most of them eventually relapse. Postoperative chemotherapy and radiotherapy are usually applied in order to delay tumour recurrence; nevertheless, high resistance and the heterogeneous nature of pancreatic tumours impede its treatment[3].

Pancreatic cancer pathology is a multistep process. It arises as an accumulation of abnormalities, both genetic and physiological, progressing through 3 stages of precursor lesions called pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasias (PanINs) before transforming into a fully differentiated tumour[4]. The substantial number of genetic modifications and consequent dysregulation of the wide range of essential signalling pathways accompanying these processes make PDAC highly heterogeneous[5]. Also, the variability of mutations between patients as well as within the same tumour contributes to its high resistance to applied therapy. High heterogeneity of PDAC is expressed also phenotypically. Genetically diverse subclones, possessing different metabolic and functional characteristics, exist within a tumour. Recent evidence shows that one of the populations acquires characteristics similar to stem cells, which enables it to survive during stressful conditions and is partly responsible for cancer relapse after treatment[6]. Furthermore, PDAC cell plasticity, which plays a role in epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT), facilitates metastatic spread and adds to the dismal prognosis[7]. Moreover, one of the main characteristics of PDAC, responsible for therapies’ failure, is the formation of dense desmoplastic reaction, influencing cancer progression and impeding drug delivery to the tumour[8]. The interplay between tumour cells and stromal components (pancreatic stellate cells (PSCs), immune cells, cytokines or extracellular matrix proteins) influences cell metabolism, drug delivery and distribution. In addition, the existence of a rich tumour microenvironment (TME), influencing cancer cell functions and favouring chemoresistance, has been recently claimed to be an essential factor in cancer stem cell initiation and promotion[9].

PDAC RESISTANCE TO THERAPIES

On account of PDAC aggressive nature and its resistance to therapies, no successful treatment has been introduced so far[10]. In fact, until recently the gold standard in PDAC treatment was gemcitabine. Applied as a first line therapy drug since 1997, gemcitabine modestly improved patients’ perspectives, increasing overall survival (OS) for 6 mo compared to previously used fluorouracil (5-FU)[11]. Since that time, attempts have been made to increase the efficacy of PDAC treatment and prolong patient survival; however, only modest or statistically insignificant improvements have been achieved so far. In the last years, two new drug regimens, ABRAXANE and FOLFIRINOX have been introduced[12-13]. However, their application did not increase OS to a meaningful degree when compared to gemcitabine, at the same time escalating the frequency of adverse events. Nevertheless, both treatments have obtained FDA approval and currently ABRAXANE combined with gemcitabine is acknowledged as a standard first-line therapy for pancreatic cancer. Considering the high number of genes altered during PDAC progression, targeted therapies emerged as a potential therapeutic tool. Many small inhibitors have been developed as single agents or applied in combination with gemcitabine or ABRAXANE to enhance their efficiency[14-18]. However, the vast majority of them failed to improve patients’ survival in the clinical settings. Therefore, it remains pivotal to gain better knowledge on the mechanisms of PDAC chemoresistance and to
find novel therapeutic strategies in order to develop more effective treatment regimens.

Among other factors, the failure of PDAC treatment has been attributed to local recurrence and liver metastasis and importantly, to its high chemoresistance, both intrinsic and acquired. The phenomenon called multi-drug resistance (MDR), which is characterized by resistance to a broad spectrum of structurally diversified compounds, has been confirmed as one of the main reasons for the inefficiency of PDAC therapies, leading to tragic health and economic consequences.

There are multiple factors contributing to the development of MDR in pancreatic cancer, such as decreased drug uptake, accelerated drug metabolism and DNA repair, blocking of apoptotic pathways, metabolic changes and the presence of highly resistant stem-like cells. Also, high heterogeneity of the tumour, dense stroma and hypoxia impairing drug delivery and constitutive activation of several signalling pathways, including K-Ras, PI3K/Akt, Notch or NF-κB, with the latter being additionally enhanced during chemo- and radiotherapy, all confer the modest response of PDAC to applied therapies[19-23]. Moreover, EMT, frequently observed in PDAC tumours, has been implicated in conferring its resistance. Also, acquired mutations in targeted genes and reactivation of parallel pathways add to the therapy failing. However, in most cases the interplay between several of these processes is essential for chemoresistance development[24]. Additionally, high expression of transmembrane proteins belonging to the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter family in tumour specimens is one of the major factors contributing to increased drug efflux and has been connected with MDR, adding to the poor response of PDAC to treatments[25-28]. Apart from drug extrusion, as integral membrane constituents, ABC transporters normally regulate the distribution of a wide variety of molecules, influencing different pathways and biological processes, which suggests their more direct impact on cell physiology and possibly, carcinogenesis. Therefore, the understanding of the role of ABC transporters both in healthy physiology and in cancer is crucial for the development of specific, potent and safe inhibitors that might be used in PDAC therapy.

**ABC TRANSPORTERS AS MULTI-DRUG RESISTANCE MECHANISM**

One of the main obstacles in cancer therapy is the resistance, both constitutive and acquired to administered drugs. As aforementioned, one of the processes responsible for drug resistance is the decreased intracellular accumulation of the drugs caused by their efflux from the cells induced by the expression of membrane drug transporters belonging to the ABC family.

The family of ABC transporters is a highly conserved family of proteins, expressed in all organisms, which implies their relevance in many biological functions. To date, 48 human genes and one pseudogene encoding the members of ABC family have been described and grouped into 7 subfamilies (ABCA-G), based on their sequence and structural similarity[29,30]. ABC transporters are integral transmembrane proteins which, by utilizing energy obtained from ATP hydrolysis, which drives the progressive conformational changes in their domains, shuttle molecules across the plasma and intracellular membranes against their gradient[31,32] (Figure 1). The structure of ABC transporters is highly conserved and consists of two hydrophobic transmembrane domains (TMDs), which form a pore in the membrane creating substrate-binding environment linked to two hydrophilic nucleotide-binding domains (NBDs) localized in the cytosol[33,34]. ABC transporters are reported to export a wide variety of structurally diverse endogenous ligands including amino acids, peptides, vitamins, sugars, hormones, ions, lipids and xenobiotics[35,36-37]. For example, ABCB1 has been reported to be able to transport more than 200 structurally diversified molecules[38-41]. Additionally, ABC transporters are known to excrete toxins form kidneys, gastrointestinal tract and liver, demonstrating a protective role in those tissues[42]. Few ABC transporters, e.g., ABCC7- cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) or ABCC8- the sulphoxyl urea receptor (SUR1), are not directly involved in transport of molecules across the membrane but use the ATP hydrolysis to regulate the activity of CI- and K+ channels respectively[43]. In healthy physiology, ABC transporters are expressed in a wide variety of tissues, mainly associated with biological barriers (Table 1). As an example, ABCC1 is expressed in kidneys, intestine, ovaries, adrenal glands, colon, stomach, testes, lungs and blood-brain barrier and ABCB1 is mostly expressed in gastrointestinal tract, pancreas, kidneys, brain and adrenal glands, where they are involved in diverse physiological functions and in excreting toxins from the cells[40,44,45]. However, their enhanced levels have been found in different cancer types, suggesting the relevance of ABC transporters in cancer and its chemoresistance. So far, 15 of the transporters have been attributed the role of drug pumps, contributing to MDR in vitro[46]. Especially, P glycoprotein (P-gp)/ABCB1, breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP)/ABCG2, multidrug resistance protein 1 (MRP1)/ABCC1 and other members of ABC subfamily (e.g., ABCC2, ABCC3) have been reported to be responsible for PDAC chemoresistance[47].

Up to date, most research has been focused on P-gp, a member of the ABCB subfamily of transporters[48,49]. It exports a wide variety of molecules of “ amphipathic nature” including anthracyclines, HIV-protease inhibitors, calcium channel blockers, steroid hormones, antibiotics, lipids, taxanes and alkaloids[50,51]. P-gp overexpression has been observed in several cancers including ovarian, colon, kidney or adrenocortical cancer, correlating with poor prognosis[52,53]. Additionally,
has been demonstrated to be expressed in several cancers, including breast, lung, ovarian and prostate cancer, showing the correlation between the expression of ABCC1 and poor patients’ outcome\[60\]. It has been suggested that ABCC1 expression may confer resistance to methotrexate, vinca alkaloids, anthracyclines and camptothecins\[47,61,62\], influencing drug resistance in plethora of cancers. Additionally, cyclic nucleotides and their analogues (e.g., gemcitabine) may be transported by ABCC4 and ABCC5\[62-64\], potentially contributing to their ineffectiveness in PDAC therapy.

Resistance to doxorubicin, mitoxantrone, anthracyclines and topotecan (quinolone topoisomerase inhibitor)\[65\] has been attributed to ABCG2 transporter\[66,67\], which treatment-induced increase in ABCB1 expression has been noted in acute myeloid leukaemia (AML)\[54\], breast and high-grade bladder cancer\[55\]. ABCB1 is known to be responsible for developing drug resistance to neutral and cationic hydrophobic compounds, e.g., to anthracyclines (daunorubicin, doxorubicin), colchicines, taxanes (paclitaxel, docetaxel), vinca alkaloids (e.g., vincristine, vinblastine) and tyrosine kinase inhibitors (imatinib)\[56-58\].

The main role in xenobiotic transport and drug resistance in many cancers has been attributed to the ABCC subfamily of transmembrane transporters\[59\], with 9 out of 12 members being involved in MDR\[47,59\].

The most studied of MDR proteins, ABCC1 (MPR1) has been demonstrated to be expressed in several cancers, including breast, lung, ovarian and prostate cancer, showing the correlation between the expression of ABCC1 and poor patients’ outcome\[60\]. It has been suggested that ABCC1 expression may confer resistance to methotrexate, vinca alkaloids, anthracyclines and camptothecins\[47,61,62\], influencing drug resistance in plethora of cancers. Additionally, cyclic nucleotides and their analogues (e.g., gemcitabine) may be transported by ABCC4 and ABCC5\[62-64\], potentially contributing to their ineffectiveness in PDAC therapy.

Resistance to doxorubicin, mitoxantrone, anthracyclines and topotecan (quinolone topoisomerase inhibitor)\[65\] has been attributed to ABCG2 transporter\[66,67\], which
functions mainly in the ovaries, brain, liver, prostate, placenta and small intestine. Additionally, increased ABCG2 expression has been reported in pluripotent stem cells, suggesting its role in the maintenance and protection of stem cells.

Regardless of the remarkable increase in the knowledge on the ABC transporters structure and MDR induction achieved in the past few decades, the actual function and significance of these proteins is highly underexplored. It is known that in healthy physiology, ABC transporters are involved in drug absorption, distribution and elimination, determining bioavailability of administered drugs. Both apical and basolateral membranes of gastrointestinal tract and biological barriers, in which ABC transporter expression has been demonstrated, need to be penetrated by the drug to reach its target. Therefore, ABC transporters expression may influence pharmacokinetic characteristics of administered chemotherapeutics. Additionally, various other physiological roles have been assigned to ABC transporters such as export of fatty acids, cholesterol, peptides, sterols and xenobiotics. Many ABC transporters are involved in secretion of bioactive molecules and in the transport of signalling lipids, which contribution to cancer progression has been well established. As an example, ABCA1 is involved in reverse cholesterol transport as well as phospholipids transport to plasma membranes. Interestingly, recent studies demonstrated ABCC1 as an active player in progression of ovarian and prostate cancer, by extrusion of lipids (lysophosphatidylinositol, sphingosine 1-phosphate) that have been previously attributed a crucial role in carcinogenesis. The changes in cancer cell proliferation, migration, invasion and resistance to apoptosis mediated by the activity of ABC transporters have been also widely documented.

Considering that information, attention has been brought to the pivotal role played by ABC transporters in carcinogenesis beyond chemoresistance and to the correlation between their expression with cancer progression and aggressiveness. Nevertheless, this area is still overlooked and more studies need to be focused on this aspect of ABC transporters’ activity in order to fully elucidate their role in cancer.

ABC TRANSPORTERS- DRIVERS OF PDAC PROGRESSION?

There have been very limited studies on the role and expression of ABC transporters in pancreatic cancer; however, strong correlation between few of their members and PDAC has been recently suggested. On the basis of mRNA analysis, the expression of ABCB1, ABCB3, ABCB4, ABCB5 and ABCG2 in both pancreatic cancer samples and in healthy pancreas has been demonstrated and was correlated with cell resistance to commonly applied chemotherapeutics. At the same time, ABCB6, ABCB8 and ABCB9 could not be detected in any of the studied pancreatic cancer cell lines. Furthermore, more in depth analysis showed that although ABCG2, ABCB1 and ABCB4 levels did not differ significantly between tumour and healthy tissues, ABCB3 and ABCB5 were found to be remarkably overexpressed in PDAC specimens. Moreover, although expression of none of them could be coupled with cancer stage, the differentiation status and tumour grading were related with increased ABCB3 levels and correlated with poor survival, whereas no such correlation could be found for ABCB5.

ABCB3 transporter is involved in transporting of bile salts and organic ions. It has been also implicated in mediation of drug resistance, e.g., to vincristine, methotrexate or etoposide; compounds used in clinical studies for PDAC treatments, which demonstrated only marginal effects. Moreover, its expression levels have been correlated with survival of patients after resection, suggesting possible predictive aspect of ABCB3 expression in PDAC.

ABCB5 is involved in transport of nucleotide analogues; therefore, it is tempting to speculate its involvement in excessive efflux of nucleotide analogues-based drugs, such as 5-FU or gemcitabine. In fact, although still controversial, it has been shown that ABCB5 is responsible for gemcitabine resistance in pancreatic cancer. Analysis of PDAC specimens demonstrated overexpression of ABCB5 transporter in samples resistant to gemcitabine, suggesting its involvement in the decreased efficiency of the drug. Furthermore, exposure of different PDAC cell lines to gemcitabine, as well as 5-FU/gemcitabine combination significantly increased the expression of ABCB5 demonstrating drug induced mechanism of PDAC cell resistance to the treatment. Therefore, although not directly associated with PDAC progression, the importance of ABCB5 in PDAC chemoresistance, both inherent and acquired, makes it a valuable drug target for the enhancement of the efficacy of applied therapies.

While the role of ABC transporters in mediating chemoresistance is well established, little is known about their direct, drug-efflux independent contribution to pancreatic cancer progression. Nevertheless, intensive studies in recent years suggest that beyond their role in drug resistance, the biological functions of ABC transporters are more complex. It has been proposed that tumour-promoting functions of ABC transporters are based on their ability to export active signalling molecules and hormones, which by autocrine or paracrine regulation activate cancer cells as well as tumour environment. Increasing interest in this area has demonstrated the significant impact of these proteins on invasion, migration and differentiation of malignant cells. Also, changes in metabolism as well as redox status, characteristics pivotal in PDAC tumorigenesis, may be induced by ABC transporters-released molecules.

One of the major events in PDAC development
is the metabolic switch, which occurs in response to decreased nutrient and oxygen supply\[85-87\]. Increased glucose dependence and use or aerobic glycolysis for energy production, known as Warburg effect, allows quickly proliferating PDAC cells to survive under harsh conditions and is considered as one of the hallmarks of cancer\[88\]. Additionally, glutamine dependence and increased protein breakdown add to cancer cell high proliferative abilities. However, a small population of cells with stem-like characteristics, which reside the areas of the tumour lacking oxygen and glucose supply, are known to rely on mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation rather than glycolysis, which results in increased ATP production. This phenomenon may add to increased activity of ABC transporters observed in cancer cells. Therefore, low oxygen and nutrient supply may contribute to PDAC resistance by increase of the ABC transporters levels and their ATP-dependent substrate transport, suggesting a possible mechanism of hypoxia-induced chemo resistance, tumour maintenance and cancer progression.

Apart from glucose and glutamine addiction, increased lipid metabolism and demand has been recently demonstrated for PDAC\[89,90\]. Bioactive phospholipids are directly involved in the induction of cancer cell proliferation and thereby, cancer progression\[91\]. Increase in the levels of saturated lipids helps cancer cells to acquire additional resistance to oxidative stress by consolidating the membranes. Both, de novo lipid synthesis and their increased uptake have been reported in PDAC\[92,93\]. Moreover, enzymes involved in lipolysis and lipogenesis are overexpressed in PDAC and are usually correlated with poor prognosis\[94\]. It has been demonstrated by our work that, in prostate and ovarian cancer, ABC1-transported lysophosphatidylinositol activates GPR55 receptor forming an autocrine loop, which activation triggers signalling cascade inducing cell proliferation\[72\]. Phospholipids transport has been also reported for another member of ABC transporter family, ABCG1. Therefore, it is tempting to suspect the existence of a similar mechanism, involving ABC transporter-mediated phospholipid activation of cancer cells in PDAC. An essential factor in PDAC cell survival is also cholesterol availability. As a component of lipid rafts, it influences membrane composition and integrity and interacts with membrane-bound proteins, facilitating activation of phosphorylation cascades\[90\]. The essential role played by cholesterol in PDAC tumorigenesis limits the growth and division of PDAC cells, depending on its availability\[95\]. A recent study by Mohelnikova-Duchonova et al\[96\] showed an upregulation in transcript levels of several ABC transporters in PDAC compared to non-neoplastic tissues. Particularly, upregulation of 2 members of ABCA family, ABCA1 and ABCA7 involved in cholesterol export, together with expression of ABCG1 transporting phosphatidylserine, phosphatidylcholine and sphingomyelin, suggests their involvement in cellular cholesterol imbalance in the disease\[95\]. Another of the characteristics of PDAC is the highly inflammatory environment, which actively promotes cancer cell proliferation and survival, angiogenesis and assists the metastatic spread\[96\]. Chronic inflammation, that aids the tumorigenesis and at the same time is one of the main factors contributing to its initiation, is mediated by prostaglandin-mediated pathways. Therefore, the main inflammatory molecules- prostaglandins and leukotrienes are considered as significant players in PDAC development. The prostaglandin-mediated PDAC progression may involve activation of PI3K-Akt signalling pathway, a major player in PDAC progression, increase in VEGFA expression and stimulation of angiogenesis and support of the inflammatory environment\[97\]. It is now known that several ABC transporters, mainly belonging to the ABC subfamily (ABCC1, ABCC2, ABCC4) are involved in prostaglandins efflux outside of the cells, enabling the activation of the G protein-coupled receptors, triggering cancer progression\[75,98\]. Therefore, the manipulation of ABC transporter activity blocking prostaglandin signalling represents an additional potential therapeutic tool. Additionally, due to the proved contribution of leukotriene C4 (LTC4) to PDAC progression\[99\], its induced pathways have been widely studied as potential drug targets. Regarding the involvement of ABC transporters in leukotriene release, their inhibition presents an additional possibility for LTC4-signalling blockade, influencing cancer development.

Elevated levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS), inducing oxidative stress are also implicated in PDAC initiation and progression\[100\]. One of the molecules responsible for the maintenance of redox status is glutathione (GSH)\[101\], which transport is activated in response to oxidative stress. It is also involved in several signalling processes regulating cell proliferation, apoptosis or immune response. Several members of ABC family (ABCC1, ABCC2, ABCC3, ABCC4, ABCC5, ABCC7) and ABCG2 mediate glutathione transport, suggesting their involvement in cellular response to the oxidative stress. Also, ABCB10 has been implicated in cellular protection from oxidative stress\[102\]. Moreover, oxidative stress induces the activation of NF-κB and Nrf2 signalling, which in turn enhances expression of ABCB1, ABCG2 and ABCC2, additionally contributing to cancer cell resistance\[103,104\]. Therefore, manipulation of the activity of ABC transporters in cancer cells might potentially increase their antioxidant capacity, which has been shown to provide additional anti-tumorigenic protection\[105\].

Additionally, tumour environment and its engagement in cancer progression and metastatic spread has emerged as key player in carcinogenesis. Considering the significant role of stroma in PDAC progression and in the development of tumour chemo resistance, targeting its components presents a tempting approach in the development of novel therapies. However, the attempts to deplete stroma have not provided satisfactory results so far. The most promising combination of gemcitabine
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and Hedgehog inhibitor IPI-926-03 tested by Olive et al.\cite{106} has failed due to high toxicity and lack of effectiveness in clinical trials\cite{107}. Currently, molecules targeting hyaluronic acid, combined with chemotherapy, are being tested in phase II and III clinical trials\cite{108}. Nevertheless, investigation of new approaches to target stroma in order to increase chemotherapy efficiency, as well as restraining tumour expansion remains essential. Recently, expression of several of ABC transporters in PDAC stroma has been reported. One of the main stromal components—macrophages—have been demonstrated to express several of the drug transporters, inter alia ABCC1 and ABCC3, contributing to both chemoresistance and tumour progression\cite{109}. Therefore, considering the involvement of ABC transporters in chemoresistance and an emerging role in tumorigenesis, therapies targeting ABC transporters might prove to be useful in depleting or reprogramming cancer stroma and reversing cancer resistance to applied drugs. Additionally, expression of few of ABC transporters in non-neoplastic tissues has been recently reported to influence PDAC progression and to be predictive of patients’ overall response.

Finally, the most aggressive tumours are composed of non-differentiated cells possessing highly proliferative abilities\cite{75}, called cancer stem cells (CSCs). In particular, the existence and high importance of CSCs in cancer resistance to chemotherapy and its involvement in disease recurrence has been suggested for PDAC. Interestingly, high expression of ABC transporters has been reported in less differentiated tumour zones, conferring them a more aggressive phenotype\cite{110-112}, also in PDAC\cite{76}. Therefore recently, the interest in CSCs as drivers of resistance and aggressive nature has emerged in PDAC\cite{113,114}. A noticeable characteristic of cancer stem cells is the high expression of members of the ABC transporters family compared to more differentiated cells\cite{115}. Also, it is speculated that their expression profile may be considered as the indicator of stem cell formation and carcinogenic potential of the tissue\cite{116}. Considering the association of cell differentiation levels with its proliferative potential, the overexpression of ABC transporters in cancer stem cells highly supports their contribution to the more aggressive nature of the PDAC. Overexpression of ABC transporters in cancer stem cells may assist in their survival by efflux of xenobiotics, exhibiting protective roles, sustaining their proper performance and maintaining self-renewal characteristics. Additionally, their enhanced expression and activity in cancer cells and especially in CSCs, suggests an additional role in maintaining cancer cells aggressive biology and makes them an attractive therapeutical target.

**ABC TRANSPORTERS EXPRESSION PROFILES AS PROGNOSTIC MARKERS IN PDAC**

Although the investigation on the role of ABC transporters in PDAC is still in its outset, the initial analysis suggests their probable contribution to PDAC development and points at potential beneficial clinical consequences. Database analysis showed that the high importance and the potential of ABC transporters as pharmacological targets in PDAC is reflected in the association of the expression of its individual members with the prognosis of patients’ survival\cite{117}. Notable correlation between observed 5-year survival and expression of a majority of ABC transporters has been observed (Table 1); however, this discovered association is not uniform. Significant reduction in survival probability has been attributed to high expression of e.g., ABCA1, ABCA12, ABCB1, ABCC1, ABCC3 or ABCC7. Expression of few other ABC transporters showed similar trend, nonetheless, their relationship with the OS was not remarkably pronounced. On the other hand, higher expression of a substantial number of ABC transporter genes has been correlated with increased chance of PDAC patients’ survival. Among others, the expression of ABCA2, ABCA7, ABCB6 ABCB8, ABCC5 or AGCG1 in PDAC tissues most markedly correlated with prolonged 5-year survival, suggesting their-mediated release of molecules of anti-tumorigenic characteristics and favourable prognostic potential.

Considering the elevated expression of multiple ABC transporters in a vast majority of cancers and their redundancy in substrate specificity and activity, determination of their expression profiles and their clustering in prognostic groups, rather than analysis of individual members, also raised a lot of interest in the last years. The existence of ABC transporters expression signatures in PDAC and their correlation with clinic-pathological characteristics of the tumours has been studied by Mohelnikova-Duchonova et al\cite{102}, and dysregulation of expression of several members of ABC family has been observed. Upregulation of ABCB4, ABCB11, ABC1, ABC3, ABC5, ABCC10 and ABCG2 has been noted in PDAC, compared to non-neoplastic tissues. Surprisingly however, expression of few ABC transporters in non-neoplastic tissues also could be correlated with tumour progression and survival. Moreover, higher levels of T3 and T4 stages were associated with ABCA1 and ABCB3 upregulation and ABCG1 and ABCG2 downregulation. In contrast, smaller size tumours were connected with the cluster, in which ABCA8, ABCB5, ABCA9, ABCA10 and ABCC9 were upregulated, while downregulation of ABCA12, ABCA13, ABCC3, ABCC7 and ABCC13 has been noted. Similarly, ABCB9 and ABCC4 upregulation correlated with N1 status, while ABCA3, ABCD1 overexpression and ABCA6 and ABCCC10 downregulation corresponded with increased angioinvasion.

This and previous studies demonstrated the correlation of ABC transporter expression in tumour specimens with clinic-pathological features in different cancer types\cite{118}. Nevertheless, the high importance of tumour microenvironment and its proposed involvement in PDAC progression, suggests that ABC transporter
expression in non-neoplastic tissues might have important clinical implications. Following the analysis of 27 non-neoplastic pancreatic tissues and pairing them with 32 PDAC samples, 4 different clusters could be distinguished based on the gene expression profiles in cancer vs normal specimens. PN1 and PN2 clusters were characterized by upregulation of the majority of ABC transporters genes and correlated with significantly shorter patients’ overall survival (OS) than patients grouped into PN3 and PN4 clusters, in which significant downregulation of genes or heterogeneous gene expression has been observed [119]. Especially, ABCA2, ABCA4, ABCA5, ABCC2 and ABCD4 signatures showed significant difference in patients’ survival when comparison between upregulated and downregulated genes was carried out. Additionally, tumour-node-metastasis, age, gender, disease stage, margin status, therapy and survival have been analysed; however, no significant correlation between those features and ABC profiles could be established. Although the study presented few limitations, such as small group size or the distance between collected tumours and control tissue, created expression clusters could be successfully implemented into clinical practice. Moreover, reduction of the analysed genes to the limited group showing most distinct expression, did not have any impact on the statistical significance of observed clinic-pathological correlations, creating more practical and convenient clinical prognostic tools.

### ABC TRANSPORTERS IN CANCER THERAPY

Looking at the key role played by ABC transporters in cancer chemoresistance and the emerging knowledge on their crucial contribution to tumorigenesis, the development of targeted therapies, aiming to block or modulate their activity has become a crucial area in cancer research. Inhibition of transporter activity, arrest of the transcription factors regulating their expression or blockade of the transporter-induced signalling pathways represent the options for impeding ABC transporters activity [120]. So far, 3 generations of ABC transporters inhibitors, directed mainly against ABCB1, have been developed [120, 121] (Table 2). The first generation inhibitors, such as verapamil, quinine or cyclosporine A, compounds previously established for other conditions, in spite of promising in vitro activity [122, 123], showed significant toxicity, unacceptable for further usage [124]. Lack of potency and specificity, combined with pharmacokinetic complications restrained their further investigation [125]. Structural modifications of existing inhibitors, aiming to enhance their efficacy and specificity, at the same time decreasing observed adverse effects, also did not provide satisfactory results. Valspodar (cyclosporine A derivative), a second generation ABCB1 inhibitor, demonstrated enhanced efficiency accompanied by decreased toxicity [126]. However, it showed unsatisfactory results in the majority of clinical trials, in which its co-administration with chemotherapeutics, e.g., carboplatin, paclitaxel or doxorubicin did not exhibit any benefits, and in some cases deteriorated patients’ outcome [127, 128]. Likewise, application of dofequidar or biricodar citrate (VX-710) [129] did not result to be favourable, as their use has been restricted by the potential interactions with anti-cancer therapeutics (vincristine or paclitaxel) [130]. All these limitations led to the development of a third generation of inhibitors which potency, due to the rational QSAR design, has been described as 200-fold higher than the previously developed anti-ABCB1 molecules, greatly enhancing drug accumulation [131]. Additionally, only minimal drug-drug interactions have been reported. Clinical trials have been commenced for zosuquidar (LY335979) [132], elacridar (F12091) [133], mitotane (NSC-38721) [134], annamycin [135] or tarquidar (XR9576) [136]. Nevertheless disappointingly, most of the clinical trials testing their applicability have been

### Table 2 Selected ATP-binding cassette transporters responsible for the development of multi-drug resistance, their experimental inhibitors and drug specificity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABC transporter</th>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>MDR</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABCB1 I generation: Cyclosporine A, Verapamil</td>
<td>Daunorubicin, epirobicin, doxorubicin, colchicines, paclitaxel, docetaxel, vincristine, vinblastine, imatinib</td>
<td>[46,145,146,171]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II generation: Valspodar, zosuquidar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III generation: Tarquidar, OC144-093</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCB1</td>
<td>Anthracyclines, vinca alkaloids, camptothecins, daunorubicin, imatinib, etoposide, vincristine, vinblastine, methotrexate</td>
<td>[46,145,146,172]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCB2</td>
<td>Metothrexate, cyclosporine A, fluorescein, MK571</td>
<td>Doxorubicin, cisplatin, irinotecan, epirobicin, vinblastine</td>
<td>[46,145,146,171,173]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCB3</td>
<td>Indomethacin, sufinpyrazone, probenecid, benzamidoxone</td>
<td>Etoposide, methotrexate, teniposide</td>
<td>[46,145,146,171,173]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCB5</td>
<td>Curcumin, trequensin, sildenafil</td>
<td>Gemcitabine, methotrexate, 6-mercaptopurine</td>
<td>[46,145,146,171,173]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCG2</td>
<td>Funitremorin C, Ko143, GF120918</td>
<td>Daunorubicin, doxorubicin, irinotecan, mitoxantrone, methotrexate, epirobicin, etoposide</td>
<td>[46,145,146,171]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MDR: Multi-drug resistance; ABC: ATP-binding cassette.
discontinued due to lack of significant positive response and off-site effects.

There are several reasons for the lack of success of the ABC transporters inhibition. Increased toxicity caused by off-target action in healthy tissues, as well as their high doses were the main reasons for the discontinuation of the trials for first and second generation inhibitors[42]. Increasing evidence of substrate similarities between ABC transporters and CYP450, enzyme involved in drug metabolism, suggests interactions of tested compounds with the enzyme, which influences pharmacokinetic properties of co-administrated chemotherapeutics, changing their activity, lowering the efficacy and, as a consequence, increasing the toxicity[137]. Therefore single-agent application of ABC transporters inhibitors should be considered in future research. Another reason for high toxicity of these modulators has been attributed to decreased clearance of anticancer agents and natural xenobiotics caused by unspecific blockade of the transporters. As an example, ABCB1 inhibition, apart from cancer cells may also result in its blockade in canalicular membrane in healthy liver or kidney, reducing the clearance of chemotherapeutics[42,138]. The involvement of some of the ABC transporters (mostly ABCB and ABCC subfamilies) in the immune system is another obstacle, as disruption of its proper functioning may result in undesirable deterioration in anti-cancer immune responses[139]. The ineffectiveness of targeted therapies may also lay in the functional redundancy of several ABC transporters, highly impairing full efficiency of the blockade of individual protein. Another limitation in the presented approach has been the fact that the vast majority of studies have been focused on ABCB1. Nevertheless, with increasing evidence of the role of other ABC transporters in cancer; the inhibitors of ABCC1 (e.g., probencid, sulindac, bircocar, BAY-u9773 or MK571)[129,140-142], ABCG2 (Ko143, fumitremorgin C, genistein, biochanin A)[143,144] or ABCC3 (indomethacin or sulfipyrazone)[145,146] have been considered (Table 2). However, some of them similarly to ABCB1 blockers, exhibited unfavourable toxicity levels when combined with chemotherapy. Additionally, similarly several non-selective ABCB1 inhibitors have been tested for their activity towards other ABC transporters[146]. Nonetheless, as the interest in ABC transporters increased only recently, the efficacy of the abovementioned therapeutic approach still needs to be evaluated. Also, the majority of the studies conducted so far have been focused on the reversal of chemoresistance rather than influencing cancer progression. However, current knowledge on the additional, or maybe principal role of ABC transporters in tumorigenesis might shed more light on the basis of current inhibitors toxicity as well as could allow for exploration of novel more specific molecules, aiming at slowing down cancer progression, rather than reversing MDR.

Considering the marginal effectiveness of ABC transporters inhibitors achieved so far, alternative concepts for ABC transporters targeting are being tested (Figure 2). RNA interference, use of monoclonal antibodies, antisense oligonucleotides or the use of transcription regulators is currently under consideration[147-150]. miRNA use has been also claimed as a possible way for ABC transporter regulation and reversal of chemoresistance[151,152]. As crucial players in carcinogenesis, also confirmed in PDAC, miRNA regulation has been proposed as an interesting therapeutic tool[153]. To date, several miRNAs have been reported to inhibit the expression of different ABC transporters, having chemotherapeutic effects[154-156]. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that tyrosine kinase inhibitors may block ABC transporters by binding to their transmembrane domain at substrate-binding sites[157]. Imatinib, nilotinib, sunitinib or lapatinib, drugs tested for the PDAC therapy independently of their ABC-inhibiting properties, have been demonstrated to block ABCB1, ABCC2 or ABCC10[158-161]. However, this approach also needs further evaluation.

Currently, the use of nanoparticles for the delivery of therapeutics to the target cells has emerged as a growing area of interest[162,163]. Their small size, together with increased surface area, enhances the stability and solubility of the administered drugs, improving their bioavailability[164]. Additionally, controlled, prolonged release and protection form degradation present further advantages of that therapeutic approach. Co-delivery of the inhibitors of ABC transporters and chemotherapeutics with the use of nanoparticles is also applied to minimize observed side effects occurring as a result of drug-drug interactions. Nevertheless, the emerging field of the manipulation of ABC transporter activity for therapeutic purposes is still in its outset and more studies are needed to fully assess their pharmacological potential.

**DISCUSSION**

In the last years, ABC transporters have attracted remarkable attention of researchers from different scientific areas. The role of ABC transporters in different physiological and pathological conditions, including cancer, has been widely reported, increasing the interest in the development of their specific inhibitors. Especially, the well-known involvement of ABC transporters in the development of multi-drug resistance (MDR) led to the investigation of the potential of its reversal by blocking ABC transporter activity. Clinical relevance of several ABC transporters in multi-drug resistance reversal has been primarily attributed to P-gp, ABCG2, ABCB4 and 4 members of ABC subfamily- ABCC1, ABCC2, ABCC3 and ABCC4[165]. Therefore, the main focus so far has been placed on these proteins in terms of their pharmacological potential. However, in spite of the initial enthusiasm regarding ABCB1 inhibitors, their efficacy in clinical settings has failed to provide any improvements, leading to the early closure of the trials[156,167]. Considerably high toxicity caused by lack of specificity and changes in pharmacokinetic of co-applied chemotherapeutics, decreasing their efficacy were
some of the reasons for the disappointing results\[168\]. The successful implementation of developed inhibitors was strongly impeded by the complexity of ABC transporters functioning. The correlation between cancer chemoresistance and ABC transporters expression is two-sided and forms a specific loop, which may increase cancer resistance to applied therapies. On one hand, their expression contributes to enhanced drug efflux from the cells, diminishing their efficacy, on the other hand, many studies have reported increased expression of the transporters, induced by drugs application, complementing formed loop. Therefore, in spite of the enhancement of drug accumulation and reversal of induced chemoresistance demonstrated in vitro, little success has been reported during clinical trials. Also, increased toxicity and insufficient potency observed during clinical trials restrained the majority of tested compounds from the clinical use. Additionally, the majority of carried clinical trials were performed on patients previously treated with several anticancer therapeutics. Therefore, the assessment of the protein levels might have been misevaluated due to drug-induced enhancement of expression of ABC transporters. Moreover, several of the studies were designed without proper patient stratification for ABC transporters expression. As an example, little success rate in ovarian cancer patients, might be explained by low expression rate of P-gp in this tumour type\[127\]. Although reversal of the drug resistance was the principal goal of ABC-targeted therapies, considering the increasing awareness of the pivotal role of ABC transporters beyond chemoresistance, their specific inhibition might not only aid to increase the activity of other therapeutics, but directly balk tumour development and progression\[56\], encouraging their further exploration. Therefore, the repertoire of ABC transporters against which inhibitors are being developed should be expanded for those playing an active role, not only in MDR, but in the expulsion of bioactive molecules. Looking at the wide variety of substrates transported by ABC transporters, together with their increased expression in cancer cells and especially cancer stem cells, the role of these proteins in the transport of signalling molecules, which activity promotes cancer progression, has become an area of interest. High impact of bioactive lipids, including phospholipids, sphingolipids or cholesterol on PDAC tumorigenesis and an emerging role of ABC transporters in their release presents a novel opportunity for targeting the disease. It has been previously demonstrated that one of the hallmarks of PDAC is lipid-dependence and that the decrease of the lipids levels may reduce cancer progression. Accordingly, aiming to block specific ABC transporters responsible for their extrusion, mainly members of ABCA and ABCC subfamilies, and depriving cancer cells of the necessary fuel may highly contribute to slowing down PDAC development. In fact, it has been demonstrated in several cancers that targeting of ABC transporters involved in lipid transport (e.g., ABCC1 in prostate or ovarian cancer or ABCC4 in neuroblastoma) showed significant improvement in in vitro and in vivo models\[75\], slowing down cancer progression. Therefore, single-agent therapies based on ABC transporter inhibition should be considered to target cancer progression. Moreover, patients’ treatment with ABC transporters single inhibitors would eliminate the risk of drug-drug interactions, reducing the risk of adverse events.

Importantly, the expression of ABC transporters may not only be explored in terms of their pro-tumorigenic activity but may also serve as prediction of therapy efficiency and patients’ outcome. Database analysis demonstrated strong influence of the expression of the transporters e.g., ABCC3 or ABCC1 on reported 5-year survival. However, positive association of other transporters (e.g., ABCC5 or ABCA7) with the increased survival demonstrates the complexity of the role of ABC transporters in PDAC therapy.

![Figure 2 Pharmacological approaches towards inhibition of ATP-binding cassette transporters. MDR: Multi-drug resistance; PDAC: Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; ABC: ATP-binding cassette; TK: Tyrosine kinase.](image-url)
transports in PDAC tumorigenesis. It also shows the necessity for enhanced research in this area to fully understand and explore the therapeutic potential of these transmembrane proteins in PDAC therapy. The enhancement of chemotherapy efficacy, e.g., by ABCG5 blocking, has been demonstrated for the gemcitabine-based therapies. However, considering the favourable association of this transporter with PDAC patients’ survival, it is tempting to speculate that its inhibition might interfere with some of the protective functions that ABCG5 might exhibit and, as a consequence, deteriorate patients’ outcome. Also, despite being overexpressed in a majority of cancer types, the role of ABC transporters is not uniform. Negative or positive correlation of the protein expression and survival observed in PDAC patients, is not invariably reflected in other cancer types. As an example ABCA7, expressed at a similar level in pancreatic and lung cancer, although positively correlated with 5-year survival in the PDAC (38% high expression vs 0% low expression), has no statistically significant effect in the latter case (48% vs 43%)[117]. Therefore, studying the context accompanying ABC transporters expression and functioning is of high importance in order to stratify their individual members in context of their pharmacological potential in diverse cancers. Additionally, the focus of research should not be placed only on the potential of the inhibition of ABC transporters that have undermining roles in carcinogenesis. Hence, the investigation of the characteristics of the ABC transporters that favour the survival of PDAC patients should be also explored to study the mechanisms and molecules responsible for their protective function.

Finally, ABC transporters profiling in cancer has proven to provide a potent tool in estimation of patients’ response to applied therapies. As an example, analysis of 21 breast cancer specimens before and after neoadjuvant treatment showed different expression of several ABC transporters[169]. Similarly, 6 ABC transporters genes in AML samples allowed for their organization in two expression groups, correlated with resistance and patients’ prognosis[170]. Correspondingly, generation of ABC transporter expression profiles in PDAC has allowed for creation of clusters, characterized by differentiated expression of their individual members. Correlation of each cluster with a variety of disease parameters (e.g., number of metastases or drug response) and more importantly, with patients’ survival suggested the gene profiling for ABC transporters expression as a clinically relevant prognostic tool.

CONCLUSION

Although a lot of advancement has been achieved in the identification of new druggable targets involved in PDAC progression and chemoresistance, no significant improvement in transferring that knowledge into clinical practice has been accomplished, leaving PDAC patients with grim prognosis. As critical players in PDAC chemoresistance and disease development, ABC transporters seem a promising target for the development of novel targeted therapies. However, despite their remarkable pharmacological potential demonstrated in vitro, acquired knowledge has not been successfully implemented in the clinic yet. Nevertheless, the knowledge learnt from previous mistakes and the potential reasons for the failed implementation of the inhibitors should be considered in the development of new studies and treatments. In the light of recent data, the potential of few ABC transporters beyond MDR reversal should be further explored to fully scrutinize the applicability of ABC transporter inhibition for clinical practice. More emphasis on the ABC transporters involvement in PDAC progression should be placed in prospective studies, leading to the determination of the proteins with the most pharmacological potential followed by design of single-agent treatment. The knowledge on the involvement of ABC transporters in cancer metabolic swift, their role in tumour-microenvironment cross-talk should be additionally expanded. Animal models of pancreatic cancer should be implemented in the development of new potential inhibitors to investigate their impact on abovementioned processes. In conclusion, proper study design and patients stratification regarding ABC transporters expression leading to tailored therapies should be elucidated in order to add to the efficiency of administered drugs.
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