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Abstract

FeS$_2$ pyrite can incorporate large amounts of arsenic (up to ca. 10 wt %) and hence has a strong impact on the mobility of this toxic metalloid. Focussing on the lowest arsenic concentrations for which the incorporation occurs in solid solution, the substitution mechanisms involved have been investigated by assuming simple incorporation reactions in both oxidising and reducing conditions. The solution energies were calculated by Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations and we predict that the formation of AsS dianion groups is the most energetically favourable mechanism. The results also suggest that the presence of arsenic will accelerate the dissolution and thus the generation of acid drainage, when the crystal dissolves in oxidising conditions.
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1. Introduction

Iron-bearing sulphide minerals are largely responsible for the generation of acid mine drainage and hence the release of toxic metals such as arsenic into the environment. FeS$_2$ pyrite, the most common iron sulphide mineral, can incorporate large amounts of arsenic (up to ca. 10.0 wt.%, Abraitis et al., 2004) within its structure. In addition, these arsenian pyrites often contain minor and trace elements including valuable metals such as gold (e.g. Abraitis et al., 2004). It is therefore important to understand the crystal setting and speciation of arsenic in the pyrite bulk structure. Despite extensive study of this system, the arsenic incorporation mechanism in arsenian pyrite remains a matter of debate.

FeS$_2$ pyrite crystallizes in the cubic symmetry (space group Pa3) with four formula units per unit cell. Each iron atom is coordinated by six sulphurs in a slightly distorted octahedron and each sulphur atom is bonded to three Fe atoms and its S$_2$ dianion group (Fig. 1). All iron and all sulphur sites are equivalent. The structure is fully defined by two parameters: the unit cell length, $a_0 = 5.416$ Å and the sulphur fractional coordinate, $u = 0.385$ (Brostigen and Kjekshus, 1969; Finklea et al., 1976). As a result of the structural differences between pyrite and arsenopyrite (FeAsS, orthorhombic) or löllingite (FeAs$_2$, orthorhombic) there is no extensive solid solution between the phases. The highest arsenic concentrations in pyrite may represent metastable solid solutions, but in other cases, arsenic is incorporated within the pyrite lattice by atomic substitution. It has generally been proposed that arsenic substitutes for sulphur, forming either AsS or AsAs dianion groups. The X-ray absorption spectroscopic studies (X-ray Absorption Near Edge Structure and Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure) favour the former possibility with arsenic in the same local configuration as in arsenopyrite (Foster et al., 1998; Simon et al., 1999; Savage et al., 2000). As for the oxidation state, these techniques indicate the presence of arsenic as As$^0$ (Foster et al., 1998) or As$^{-1}$.
(Simon et al., 1999; Savage et al., 2000). Tossel et al. (1981) used molecular orbital theory to calculate the electronic structure of arsenopyrite. They proposed that the best description of the dianion is AsS (-II), with an oxidation number of both sulphur and arsenic of -I, in agreement with EXAFS data. However a nominal charge of -3 for the AsS dianion group has also been advanced to explain the fact that arsenian pyrite is a p-type semiconductor in contrast with the n-type semiconducting properties of other pyrite crystals. Alternatively, Chouinard et al. (2005) have recently suggested that arsenic can also be incorporated as a cation, substituting for Fe\(^{2+}\). Their chemical study of auriferous pyrite from a high-sulfidation deposit has shown a concentric and sectoral zonation of arsenic and silver, which would suggest a coupled substitution for iron, i.e. one As\(^{3+}\) and one Ag\(^{+}\) substituting for two Fe\(^{2+}\).

From a computational point of view, Reich and Becker (2006) have investigated the thermodynamic mixing properties of arsenic into pyrite and marcasite using first-principles and Monte Carlo calculations. Assuming that arsenic substitutes for sulphur to form AsS dianion groups, they determined that 6 wt. % is the maximum solubility of arsenic in iron disulfides.

In this paper, we investigate the incorporation of arsenic in FeS\(_2\) pyrite using electronic structure calculations. The different structural configurations of arsenic are discussed by comparing the calculated solution energies of incorporation reactions in two different redox conditions. The structural and electronic properties of the most energetically favourable configuration are then described and the implications for dissolution of pyrite discussed.

2. Methodology

Our calculations were performed using the density functional theory code CASTEP (Segall et al., 2002), within which the wavefunctions are expanded in plane waves. Only the valence
electrons were considered explicitly through the use of ultrasoft pseudopotentials (Vanderbilt, 1990). Von Oertzen et al. (2005) have shown that the gradient corrected exchange-correlation functionals give the best results for the unit cell as well as the electronic structure of FeS$_2$ pyrite. We adopted this approximation and more specifically, we used the revised Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof functional (Hammer et al., 1999).

Boundary conditions in CASTEP are periodic in all three dimensions and we are interested here in pyrite crystals displaying low arsenic concentrations (below 5 wt. % As). Therefore the calculations were performed on 2x2x2 pyrite supercells (i.e. 96 atoms) containing up to two arsenic atoms. Considering the size of the system, only calculations at the Γ-point of the Brillouin zone with an energy cut-off of 400 eV were affordable. However, these parameters lead to a satisfactory convergence of the total energy of less than 9 meV per atom compared to the use of a higher energy cut-off (600 eV) and a denser k-point grid (2 × 2 × 2 Monkhorst-Pack k-point grid). The incorporation of arsenic atoms into the diamagnetic FeS$_2$ pyrite involves the presence of unpaired electrons and possibly electron transfer. In order to find the ground state, the calculations were set up with a high initial value of the total spin (i.e. number of unpaired electrons in the system assuming several high-spin iron ions around the arsenic for instance), which was optimised during the geometry relaxation.

The comparison of the different geometric configurations of arsenic requires specification of the incorporation reactions for which the solution energies have been determined. Thus the total energies of all the relevant compounds were needed and were calculated following geometry optimisation, with the same level of accuracy as described above and with approximately the same number of atoms as for the periodic systems (i.e. between 80 and 100 atoms). For molecules or single atoms, a box of 20x20x20 Å$^3$ was built and was checked to be sufficient for the convergence of the total energy, which ensured that the energy differences are meaningful and not distorted by different levels of accuracy.
3. Results

3.1. Energetic Study

Arsenic has been successively substituted in iron and sulphur sites in 2x2x2 pyrite supercells. Because of the presence of S₂ dianion groups, we have investigated the following three species for the substitution of sulphur: formation of AsS groups, formation of As₂ groups and substitution of one As atom for one S₂ group.

Relatively simple reactions are used to model two redox conditions. Starting with oxidizing conditions, arsenic is incorporated by reaction of pyrite with arsenolite (As₂O₃).

Each of the four following reactions corresponds to a different substitution type:

One As substituting for one Fe:

\[ \text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{64} + \frac{1}{2} \text{As}_2\text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{Fe}_{31}\text{AsS}_{64} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3, \]  

(1)

One AsS unit substituting for one S₂ unit:

\[ \text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{64} + \frac{1}{2} \text{As}_2\text{O}_3 + \frac{1}{4} \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{63}\text{As} + \text{SO}_2, \]  

(2)

One As substituting for one S₂ unit:

\[ \text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{64} + \frac{1}{2} \text{As}_2\text{O}_3 + \frac{5}{4} \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{62}\text{As} + 2 \text{SO}_2, \]  

(3)

One As₂ unit substituting for one S₂ unit:

\[ \text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{64} + \text{As}_2\text{O}_3 + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{62}\text{As}_2 + 2 \text{SO}_2. \]  

(4)

The calculated total energies of each component are listed in Table 1. As expected, the most stable form of the dioxygen molecule (O₂) was found to be the triplet electronic state and calculated bond lengths for O₂ and SO₂ are within 2.5 % of those measured for the gas phase (CRC Handbook 2002-2003). Several magnetic structures have been probed for hematite (Fe₂O₃). The lowest energy corresponds to the antiferromagnetic structure, as is known from
experimental observations. The relaxed lattice parameters are in satisfactory agreement with the experimental values (Blake et al, 1966) with discrepancies of 0.2 and 1.8 % for the a and c parameters respectively. Arsenolite (As₂O₃) is a molecular crystal, which can be described by As₄O₆ cages held together by van der Waals forces. Its modelling by DFT-methods is therefore problematic. We chose to fix the unit cell to its experimental value, i.e. 11.0734 Å (Ballirano and Maras, 2002) and to relax only the internal coordinates. For substitution reaction 3, two different configurations of Fe₃₂S₆₂As, were considered. In the first, the sulphur vacancy is located in the same dianion unit as the substituting arsenic atom, while in the second, the vacancy is present in a dianion unit adjacent to the arsenic (Table 1). The first configuration, with the arsenic replacing one S₂ unit has, as expected, the lower energy and was then used in reaction 3. The solution energies for reactions 1-4 are given in Table 2. Our results show that arsenic incorporation is energetically more favourable on the sulphur rather than the iron site. Moreover, it seems slightly more favourable to substitute arsenic for a whole S₂ group than for only a sulphur atom although the energy of reactions (2) and (3) are sufficiently close for both processes to be feasible. However the As₂ configuration is clearly less favourable. We checked that use of the fixed unit cell of arsenolite introduces only a small uncertainty into the results of the calculations (i.e. a positive shift smaller than 0.35eV for all reactions) and does not change these conclusions.

To gain further insight into the lowest solution energy that corresponds to the replacement of two sulphur atoms by one arsenic atom, we investigate the formation of a sulphur vacancy in both pure and arsenian pyrite. Keeping the redox conditions identical as in reactions 1 to 4, the creation of a sulphur vacancy can be written as follows:

$$\text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{63}\text{As} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{62}\text{As} + \text{SO}_2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$\text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{64} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{63} + \text{SO}_2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)
The energy cost to remove the sulphur atom from the AsS group is -0.328 eV (reaction 5), while the energy needed to create a sulphur vacancy at an infinite distance from the arsenic atom is the same as in pure pyrite (reaction 6), i.e. -0.204 eV. These energies suggest that in arsenian pyrite, the formation of a sulphur vacancy will preferentially occur next to the arsenic atom rather than far away from it; they also show that the creation of sulphur vacancies is an exothermic process, suggesting that it is thermodynamically favoured for pyrite to dissolve in these oxidizing conditions, in good agreement with what is observed in nature (e.g. Smedley and Kinniburgh, 2002) thereby supporting our model; although we should note that we are not necessarily implying that the dissolution mechanism involves formation of sulphur vacancies. In addition, it is interesting to note that the presence of arsenic will favour the formation of sulphur vacancies, and hence dissolution. This important result has implications for environmental studies of acid rock drainage generation.

It is worth considering in more detail, why there is an energetic preference for one arsenic atom substituting for an S\textsubscript{2} group compared to one arsenic atom substituting for one sulphur atom, which could be explained to a first approximation by the larger radius (by ~ 15 \%) of arsenic compared to sulphur; or it could be due to the dissolution of pyrite in these conditions. We have already shown that it is energetically favourable to create sulphur vacancies in this material under oxidising conditions, so the formation of a single As substituting for one S\textsubscript{2} group can be seen as reflecting our prediction that pyrite dissolves under these conditions.

We now turn to arsenic incorporation under reducing and anoxic conditions that is modelled by reaction of pyrite with realgar (AsS). Reactions 7 to 10 correspond to the four substitution types and are analogous to reactions 1 – 4.

**One As substituting for one Fe:**

\[
\text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{64} + \text{AsS} \rightarrow \text{Fe}_{31}\text{AsS}_{64} + \text{FeS}, \tag{7}
\]

**One AsS unit substituting for one S\textsubscript{2} unit:**
$\text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{64} + \text{AsS} \rightarrow \text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{63}\text{As} + 1/4 \text{S}_8$, \hspace{1cm} (8)

One As substituting for one $S_2$ unit:

$\text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{64} + \text{AsS} \rightarrow \text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{62}\text{As} + 3/8 \text{S}_8$, \hspace{1cm} (9)

One $\text{As}_2$ unit substituting for one $S_2$ unit:

$\text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{64} + 2 \text{AsS} \rightarrow \text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{62}\text{As}_2 + 1/2 \text{S}_8$. \hspace{1cm} (10)

The crystal structure of realgar (AsS), mackinawite (FeS) and sulphur (S$_8$) all include a contribution of van der Waals forces, and as for arsenolite (As$_2$O$_3$), the cells were fixed to the experimental values during the energy calculation (Mullen and Nowacki, 1972 for realgar; Lennie et al., 1995 for mackinawite; Rettig and Trotter, 1987 for sulphur). The solution energies are given in Table 2 and show that substitution will occur at sulphur rather than iron sites, as for the oxidising case. The substitution of one arsenic atom for one $S_2$ group is not energetically favoured, which is explained by the fact that under reducing conditions pyrite precipitates rather than dissolves and no sulphur can be removed. In reactions 7 - 10 above, sulphur is oxidized from -II to 0 in $S_8$, expressing slightly reducing conditions. An alternative set of reactions has also been considered where the arsenic source corresponds to the arsenic hydride AsH$_3$. In these purely reducing conditions, the results are qualitatively the same with the same relative order of the solution energies, as the formation of AsS units favoured.

Thus according to the results as a whole, arsenic will be located preferentially in sulphur sites where it is more energetically favourable to form AsS groups than As$_2$ groups in pure FeS$_2$ pyrite under conditions in which the mineral is stable. We will now focus on this most stable configuration. Up to two arsenic atoms have been incorporated in the 2x2x2 pyrite supercell, which allows us to assess approximately the concentration effect on the substitution energy. For this purpose the substitution reaction of the first arsenic atom for one sulphur atom can be written as follows:

$\text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{64} + \text{As}(g) \rightarrow \text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{63}\text{As} + \text{S}(g)$ \hspace{1cm} (11)
The energies of $\text{As}_{(g)}$ and $\text{S}_{(g)}$ have been approximated by the total energies of the neutral atoms in large empty boxes (Table 1). The substitution costs $1.103 \text{ eV}$.

The incorporation of the second arsenic atom into this supercell corresponds to the following reaction:

$$\text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{63}\text{As} + \text{As}_{(g)} \rightarrow \text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{62}\text{As}_2 + \text{S}_{(g)} \quad (12)$$

The substitution energy is now $1.052 \text{ eV}$ when the two arsenic atoms are as distant as possible within the supercell (i.e. $\sim 9 \text{ Å}$) and $1.067 \text{ eV}$ when the arsenic atoms belong to two neighbouring dianions. Even if the energy of arsenic incorporation seems to decrease slightly when the arsenic concentration increases, the energy difference is too small to draw any firm conclusion concerning a possible clustering of arsenic. Such a clustering is suggested by Savage et al. (2002) from EXAFS spectra performed on pyrite with comparable arsenic concentrations (below 5 wt. %).

### 3.2. Structural and Electronic Properties of the most energetically favourable arsenic configuration

The relaxed geometry of the arsenian pyrite with AsS groups is shown in Figure 2. Unit cell parameters and interatomic distances are given in Table 3 and are compared with the calculated and experimental values of pure pyrite. The model used here describes the structure of FeS$_2$ pyrite very well, with a calculated unit cell only $0.2$ % smaller than the experimental one and bond distances also in good agreement. For the arsenian pyrite, the substitution induces an expansion of the unit cell proportional to the arsenic concentration. The supercells $\text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{63}\text{As}$ and $\text{Fe}_{32}\text{S}_{62}\text{As}_2$ correspond to arsenic concentrations of $1.9$ and $3.8$ wt % respectively and display expansions of $0.45$ % and $0.83$ % in volume relative to the calculated values for pure pyrite. This unit cell expansion is actually a consequence of the greater length...
of the bonds involving arsenic: the As-S bonds are about 4.6 % longer than S-S bonds while
As-Fe bonds are about 2.8 % longer than Fe-S bonds. The As-S and As-Fe interatomic
distances are in good agreement with those determined by Savage et al. (2000) from their
EXAFS spectra: As-S and Fe-S bonds were reported to be 2.25 and 2.32 Å respectively, for
pyrites containing between <0.01 and 1.63 wt % of arsenic. For comparison, the
measurements in arsenopyrite give 2.34 and 2.36 Å respectively for the same interatomic
bonds (X-ray Diffraction: Fuess et al., 1987; EXAFS: Foster et al., 1998).

Regarding the electronic properties, all calculations were started with a relatively high
value of the total spin and in all cases the relaxed structure presents the lowest possible spin
state, i.e. one spin up for the substitution of one sulphur by one arsenic atom. However, this
spin is delocalized and although this situation is physically unlikely, it will not affect the
results deduced from the energies. Our results also indicate that the AsS groups are more
negatively charged than S₂ groups and within the AsS unit, sulphur is always more negatively
charged than arsenic.

The electronic structure of the pure pyrite, which was studied in more details in Blanchard et
al. (2005), is in good agreement with experimental data. Here with the use of a GGA
functional associated with ultrasoft pseudopotentials, we also obtain a better description of the
band gap, supporting the observations of von Oertzen et al. (2005). The calculated value of
1.0 eV compares well with the experimental value of 0.9 eV (see, e.g. Cervantes et al., 2002).
The supercell with one AsS group also shows semiconducting properties (Fig. 3a) with a band
gap of about the same size as in pure pyrite. In figure 3a, the partial densities of states (PDOS)
lines slightly cross the Fermi level only because of the smearing width used for the
integration. This semiconducting characteristic and the fact that the AsS group acts as a
defect, which traps electrons agree well with experimental observations that describe arsenian
pyrites as a p-type semiconductor (Abraitis et al., 2004). The partial densities of states also
highlight the presence of a strong As-Fe hybridization, which corresponds to the highest valence band. This strong As-Fe interaction is clearly visible on total electron density maps (Fig. 4) where a covalent bond is made between the two atoms. On the other hand, when the arsenic concentration increases, our calculations suggest that the electronic structure changes and becomes metallic with the As-Fe band now located above the Fermi level (Fig 3b).

4. Discussion

In natural environments, processes involving pyrite (precipitation, dissolution) are complex and various. Water is always present and reactions are often bacterially assisted. It is therefore difficult to model accurately, at this level, all components of the system. The reactions considered here have been kept as simple as possible while still incorporating the essential features of the chemistry. By doing so, all energy components can be calculated and no additional experimental data are needed that can introduce extra uncertainties to the calculated solution energies and indeed in many cases such data are not available and therefore must be calculated. Our results provide general insights into arsenic dissolution in pyrite. In conditions where pyrite is stable, arsenic will substitute for sulphur forming AsS dianion groups.

Regarding the oxidation state of arsenic, our population analysis would suggest the presence of As\(^{1}\) rather than As\(^{0}\) where the AsS group seems to have a charge more negative than II-. This local configuration is the one put forward by X-ray absorption spectroscopic studies for pyrites extracted from gold mineralizations (Foster et al., 1998; Simon et al., 1999; Savage et al., 2000). However, it has also been shown that pyrite from high-sulfidation deposits, implying highly acidic and oxidizing conditions, incorporates arsenic as cation, i.e. in iron site (Chouinard et al., 2005). Our results rule out this substitution mechanism for the arsenic incorporation in pure FeS\(_2\) pyrite but we do not exclude the possibility that this
substitution could happen in very specific conditions. In the same study, Chouinard et al. (2005) proposed a coupled substitution of arsenic and silver for iron (one \( \text{As}^{3+} \) and one \( \text{Ag}^+ \) substituting for two \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \)). These pyrite crystals also contain other trace elements like Au, Cu, Se, Te. Thus complex defect reactions involving couples substitutions or other defects, not considered here, could significantly change the behaviour of arsenic.

Finally the conclusions drawn from this work complement and validate the theoretical work made by Reich and Becker (2006). Based on the assumption that arsenic substitutes for sulphur they studied by first-principles and Monte Carlo methods the solubility of arsenic in pyrite and marcasite. They found that up to 6 wt % of arsenic can be incorporated in solid solution in pyrite while beyond this concentration, arsenic segregates into arsenopyrite domains.

The magnitude of the solution energies obtained in oxidising conditions suggest appreciable solubility of arsenic in pyrite; while the higher values calculated for reducing conditions indicate lower solubility in the bulk. Calculations of adsorption energies on the surface of the material would clearly be of interest.

5. Conclusion

Arsenic incorporation as a solid solution into pyrite has been investigated in oxidising and reducing conditions. In both redox conditions, it is more energetically favourable to substitute arsenic for sulphur rather than for iron. Moreover the formation of \( \text{AsS} \) groups is favoured compared to \( \text{As}_2 \) group. The very local configuration is then very close to the one in arsenopyrite. During the dissolution of pyrite, the formation of sulphur vacancies will preferentially occur in the neighbouring of arsenic. The presence of this metalloid could hence have an accelerating effect on pyrite dissolution with the environmental consequences that
implies. Further work would be required in order to determine the actual oxidation state of arsenic.
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### Tables

#### Table 1

Calculated total energies of all components involved in reactions 1-12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Total energy (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe$<em>{32}$S$</em>{64}$</td>
<td>Pyrite supercell</td>
<td>-45570.1940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$<em>{32}$S$</em>{63}$</td>
<td>Sulphur vacancy</td>
<td>-45289.0758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$<em>{31}$AsS$</em>{64}$</td>
<td>Pyrite supercell with:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$<em>{32}$S$</em>{63}$As</td>
<td>1 As substituting for 1 Fe</td>
<td>-44873.4064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$<em>{32}$S$</em>{62}$As</td>
<td>1 AsS unit substituting for 1 S$_2$ unit</td>
<td>-45463.4710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$<em>{32}$S$</em>{62}$As</td>
<td>1 As substituting for 1S$_2$ unit</td>
<td>-45182.4767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$<em>{32}$S$</em>{62}$As</td>
<td>1 AsS unit substituting for 1 S$_2$ unit + 1 S vacancy</td>
<td>-45182.3574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$<em>{32}$S$</em>{62}$As$_2$</td>
<td>2 AsS units substituting for 2 S$_2$ units</td>
<td>-45356.7948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$<em>{32}$S$</em>{62}$As$_2$</td>
<td>1 As$_2$ unit substituting for 1 S$_2$ unit</td>
<td>-45356.2408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As$<em>{32}$O$</em>{48}$</td>
<td>Arsenolite unit cell</td>
<td>-26498.6810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$<em>{40}$O$</em>{60}$</td>
<td>Hematite supercell</td>
<td>-60871.5020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As$<em>{48}$S$</em>{48}$</td>
<td>Realgar supercell</td>
<td>-21642.0688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$<em>{48}$S$</em>{48}$</td>
<td>Mackinawite supercell</td>
<td>-54982.6650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S$_{96}$</td>
<td>Sulphur supercell</td>
<td>-26711.2056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_2$</td>
<td>Oxygen molecule</td>
<td>-870.3752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_2$</td>
<td>Sulphur dioxide molecule</td>
<td>-1151.6978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Sulphur atom</td>
<td>-275.4882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>Arsenic atom</td>
<td>-169.8644</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 2

Calculated solution energies for reactions under oxidising (1-4) and reducing (7-10) conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactions (see text)</th>
<th>Solution energies (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.739</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3

Calculated unit cell parameter ($a_0$) and interatomic distances for pure FeS$_2$ pyrite and the arsenian pyrite containing AsS groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Obs.</th>
<th>Fe$<em>{32}$S$</em>{64}$</th>
<th>Fe$<em>{32}$S$</em>{63}$As with 1AsS group</th>
<th>Fe$<em>{32}$S$</em>{62}$As$_2$ with 2 AsS groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a_0$</td>
<td>5.416</td>
<td>5.406</td>
<td>5.414</td>
<td>5.421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-S</td>
<td>2.158</td>
<td>2.188</td>
<td>2.186 - 2.210</td>
<td>2.187 - 2.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As-S</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>2.288</td>
<td>2.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-S</td>
<td>2.264</td>
<td>2.256</td>
<td>2.220 - 2.270</td>
<td>2.225 - 2.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-As</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>2.320</td>
<td>2.324</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observed data are from Brostigen and Kjekshus (1969).
Figure captions

**Fig. 1** Unit cell of FeS$_2$ pyrite. Iron and sulphur atoms are in black and white respectively.

**Fig. 2** Relaxed structure of the Fe$_{32}$S$_{63}$As supercell containing the AsS group. The Fe-S bonds have been omitted for clarity sake. Arsenic atom (medium grey) is labelled.

**Fig. 3** Partial densities of state of the arsenian pyrite supercells containing one and two AsS groups (a and b respectively). Iron DOS have been normalised to one atom.

**Fig. 4** Total electron density map of the Fe$_{32}$S$_{63}$As supercell containing the AsS group. The (110) plane passing through Fe-(S,As) and S-(S,As) bonds is represented here. The scale is given in electrons/Å$^3$. 
Fig. 1 Unit cell of FeS$_2$ pyrite. Iron and sulphur atoms are in black and white respectively.

Fig. 2 Relaxed structure of the Fe$_{32}$S$_{63}$As supercell containing the AsS group. The Fe-S bonds have been omitted for clarity sake. Arsenic atom (medium grey) is labelled.
Fig. 3 Partial densities of state of the arsenian pyrite supercells containing one and two AsS groups (a and b respectively). Iron DOS have been normalised to one atom.
Fig. 4 Total electron density map of the Fe$_{32}$S$_{63}$As supercell containing the AsS group. The (110) plane passing through Fe-(S,As) and S-(S,As) bonds is represented here. The scale is given in electrons/Å$^3$. 