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ABSTRACT

Objective
To investigate the sociodemographic factors associated with cigarette smoking in women before, during and after pregnancy.

Study Design
A 12-month longitudinal study.

Method:
All eligible mothers at two public maternity hospitals in Perth, Australia were asked to participate in a study of infant feeding. While in hospital, participating mothers completed a self-administered baseline questionnaire. Follow up telephone interviews were conducted at 4, 10, 16, 22, 32, 40 and 52 weeks. Data collected included socio-demographic, biomedical, hospital-related and psychosocial factors associated with the initiation and duration of breastfeeding.

Results:
A total of 587 (55%) mothers participated in the study. Thirty nine percent of mothers reported smoking pre-pregnancy. Mothers who smoked were more likely to have a partner who smoked, to have consumed alcohol prior to pregnancy and less likely to attend antenatal classes. They were also less likely to have know how they were going to feed their baby before conception and likely to be more inclined to consider stopping breastfeeding before four months postpartum.

Conclusion:
Having a partner (father of the newborn infant) who smoked and maternal alcohol consumption prenatally were factors associated with pre-pregnancy smoking. In addition,
if a woman decided how she would feed her infant before the pregnancy occurred and intended to breastfeed for longer than four months she was less likely to smoke in the prenatal period.

Having a father (of the newborn infant) who smoked during pregnancy continued to be a factor significantly associated with maternal smoking in the antenatal and postnatal period. Not attending antenatal classes and not intending to breastfeed for longer than four months were also factors associated with maternal smoking. At ten weeks postpartum being of Caucasian origin and having a low Iowa Infant Feeding Attitude Score were factors significantly associated with smoking postnatally.
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INTRODUCTION

Smoking has been shown to negatively effect fecundity and fertility, and evidence shows that cigarette smoking is linked to a variety of adverse pregnancy outcomes including low birth weight, spontaneous abortion, and infant death\(^1\). Upon birth, low birth weight babies have been shown to have difficulty breastfeeding due to an ineffective suck correlated with immaturity, which further compromises their early growth and development\(^2\). They are disadvantaged in adulthood as evidenced by the association between low birth weight and the development of type 2 diabetes, hypertension and coronary heart diseases\(^3\).

Breastfeeding in the postnatal period enables infants to achieve optimal growth and development\(^4, 5\) however cigarette smoking has been shown to be associated with a decreased initiation and duration of breastfeeding\(^6\) which further compromises the health and development of an infant already exposed to cigarette smoke. Further to this maternal smoking in the postnatal period poses an indirect threat to the infant through environmental smoke and a direct threat through the transfer of nicotine in the breastmilk\(^1\).

The physiological mechanism of nicotine in decreasing breastfeeding initiation has been ascribed to nicotine dependent alterations in prolactin and oxytocin production resulting in a subsequent diminished let-down reflex and decreased breastmilk volume\(^1\). However continued research fails to support this theory\(^7\). What is supported is that psychosocial factors play an important role in breastfeeding rates among women who smoke\(^7\).
Current Australian and World Health Organisation (WHO) guidelines recommend exclusive breastfeeding for the first six months of life, with continued breastfeeding until two years of age together with complementary foods\(^{8,9}\). Australian breastfeeding initiation rates from the 2001 National Health Survey (NHS) was 83%,\(^{10}\) however rates as high as 93.8% have been reported in a more recent longitudinal study conducted in Perth, Western Australia\(^{11}\). Regardless of these high initiation rates, national levels for infants fully breastfed at three months or less, and six months or less had fallen to 54%, and to 32% respectively in the 2001 NHS\(^{10}\).

The 2001 NHS identified approximately 27% of women of childbearing age (18-44 years), who are smokers and will potentially smoke during pregnancy and lactation\(^{12}\). The National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) sets an Australian target of 80% of infants being breastfed at the age of six months\(^{9}\). As smoking is a known risk factor for the early cessation of breastfeeding and with more than a quarter of Australian women of childbearing age smoking, it has become a significant barrier to achieving national breastfeeding goals.

The connection between maternal cigarette smoking and breastfeeding duration warrants further investigation into those factors associated with maternal smoking. This paper describes the pre-pregnancy, during pregnancy and postnatal smoking patterns of a sample of women and examines the socio-demographic factors, which may provide
information essential for the development of effective strategies to support continued breastfeeding.

METHODS

The second Perth Infant Feeding Study (PIFSII) was conducted between mid-September 2002 and mid-July 2003 to monitor breastfeeding rates and identify changes in breastfeeding practices and the determinants of breastfeeding. The study was conducted using the same methodology as the first Perth Infant Feeding Study (PIFSI). PIFSII was conducted 10 years previous and results have been reported elsewhere[13].

Mothers were contacted within the first three days following the birth of their infant. Women were considered eligible for the study if they had delivered a live infant free of any serious health conditions requiring transfer to the neonatal intensive care unit at Perth’s major maternity hospital. Mothers whose infants were admitted to the Special Care Nurseries (SCN) of the participating hospitals were eligible for recruitment.

Those women agreeing to participate in the study completed the self-administered baseline questionnaire while in hospital or shortly after discharge. Women declining to participate were asked to provide some basic sociodemographic data in order to determine the representativeness of the sample. All women regardless of their chosen infant feeding method were followed up by telephone interview at 4, 10, 16, 22, 32, 40 and 52 weeks postpartum. The study instruments used were essentially the same as that
used in PIFSI, with only minor improvements and additions being made to the instruments used in the PIFSII.

Mothers were asked if they had smoked before pregnancy and if they had smoked during pregnancy as part of the baseline questionnaire. Women were classified as smokers or non-smokers during pregnancy according to their self-reported smoking status. At each follow up interview mothers’ smoking status was once again confirmed.

Statistical analysis

In addition to descriptive analysis, univariate analysis using cross-tabulation and $X^2$ statistics, and multivariate logistic regression modeling using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences, Version 11.0 (SPSS for Windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) were used to explore variation in factors influencing smoking before, during and after pregnancy.

We used both findings from the literature and univariate analyses (criterion for inclusion: $p \leq 0.15$) to decide which variables should be entered into the final multivariate logistic model. In the final model all variables were entered simultaneously. All variables were kept in the final model, even those not statistically significant, to illustrate their diminished effect of these factors, which are often considered to be correlated with cigarette smoking (e.g. education and income level).

Presented $P$ values are two-sided, and a 5% significance level was used.
**Ethical considerations**

The PIFSII was approved by the human ethics committee of Curtin University and the Research Ethics Committees of the two participating hospitals. Signed informed consent was obtained from participants.

**RESULTS**

In total, 1068 women were eligible to participate in the PIFSII. Of these 870 (68%) were contacted and 587 (55%) completed the baseline questionnaire. There were no significant differences in the age or level of education of participants compared to non-participants[11]. Table 1 outlines the characteristics of the participants by smoking status.

*Insert Table 1 here*

Tables 2 - 4 present the univariate and multivariate results.

*Insert Table 2 here*

Smoking in pre-pregnancy was significantly associated with maternal alcohol intake and a father (partner) who smoked.

Mothers who had decided how to feed their baby during or after pregnancy were more likely to be smokers in pre-pregnancy than women who had decided on their feeding method prior to pregnancy. Similarly women who did not attend antenatal classes were
twice as likely to smoke before pregnancy. Intending to breastfeed for less than four months was significantly associated with pre-pregnancy smoking.

Father’s smoking status remained significantly associated with a mother’s likelihood of smoking during pregnancy. Women who had not attended antenatal classes, and those intending to breastfeed for less than four months were more likely to smoke during pregnancy.

Insert Table 3 here

Postnatally, mothers were more likely to smoke if the father was a smoker. Mother’s country of birth was dichotomised into Caucasian and ‘other’. Caucasian women were predominantly from Australia, New Zealand, the UK, North America and Europe, and ‘other’ women comprised all other nations. Caucasian women were between five and six times more likely to be smokers after the birth of their child. (See Table 4)

A mother’s attitude towards infant feeding was measured by the Iowa Infant Feeding Attitude Scale (IIFAS)[14]. The IIFAS is a valid and reliable 17 item scale which measures attitudes towards both breast and formula feeding with regards to the health and nutritional benefits, and the cost and convenience of each method[15]. Mothers with a low Maternal Iowa were more likely to be smoking postnatally.

Insert Table 4 here
DISCUSSION

In our study 39% of women reported smoking prior to pregnancy. Past studies on pre-pregnancy smoking report prevalence levels ranging from 21.5%-46% [16-21]. In 1998, an Australian study reported a pre-pregnancy smoking level of 45.9%[19].

The variability in reported smoking prevalence may be a consequence of self-reported smoking. A limitation of the current study may be our measure of self-reported smoking in pre-pregnancy was recalled up to one week after delivery and social desirability may lead to a biased recall of smoking in new mothers. Like other studies of self-reported smoking levels in pre-pregnancy, our reports of smoking were not biochemically confirmed[16, 17, 20]. Some studies have claimed reasonably accurate self reports of smoking, even long after pregnancy,[22] however others have found that smoking during pregnancy is underreported or undisclosed[23].

Smoking prevalence decreased during pregnancy (26%) despite this our level was higher than the most recent national Australian figures reported (18%),[24] and lower than 31% recorded from 1996-1998 in a previous Australian study[25].

Postpartum smoking prevalence further decreased to 23%. Previous research reports values of 26%-28% of women smoking postnatally[17].

Maternal age has been shown to be a strong independent indicator of smoking before,[16-20] and during pregnancy[21]. We failed to find a lack association between young age and
maternal smoking which may simply be a reflection of current national Australian smoking trends. Nationally female smoking rates peak in the 20-29 and 30-39 age group at 22.9% and 21.8%, respectively[26].

Unlike previous studies we were unable to find a significant relationship between smoking before, during and after pregnancy and education level after adjusting for covariates[16-18, 20, 21]. This may be due to other factors exerting a stronger influence over maternal smoking throughout this emotionally and physically demanding time, particularly after the birth.

Our finding that pre-pregnant smokers were almost three times as likely to drink alcohol as non-smokers is supported by the literature[17, 18]. Alcohol has also been shown to be a factor strongly associated with relapse in women who quit smoking prior to pregnancy[18].

The lack of association between alcohol and smoking during pregnancy is most likely attributable to the public health awareness of reducing alcohol intake during pregnancy that exists today. Postnatally women may find they no longer desire the taste of alcohol due to their abstinence during pregnancy or they may find a lack of social occasions to drink alcohol in their new mothering role.

A strong relationship was confirmed between smoking pre-pregnancy, during pregnancy and postnatally, and the father’s smoking status. This effect has been documented prior to pregnancy,[17, 18] during pregnancy,[18, 21] and postnatally,[17-19] in previous research.
In our study, we assumed the father of the child to be the mother’s partner as approximately 90% of smoking mother’s responded that the only (other) smoker in the household was the father. Given this information we found that if the father smoked the mother was between five and seven times more likely to smoke herself, prior to falling pregnant, during pregnancy and after the birth. Research has shown this relationship extends beyond the partner, in that women who cohabit with a smoker are less likely to quit smoking during pregnancy and more likely to relapse if they have quit\cite{17,18}.

Infants exposed to Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) are at increased risk of respiratory illness, and a continuation of both parents smoking poses a health risk for the newborn infant\cite{1}. Having a father who smokes makes it difficult for the mother not to smoke as the presence of another smoker within the household automatically provides for the availability of cigarettes and therefore the opportunity to smoke as well as the temptation to smoke.

Women who decided how they were going to feed their baby before becoming pregnant were less likely to be smokers prior to pregnancy. When adjusted for, this association only existed with smoking prior to pregnancy and to date no other study has investigated this variable as a factor in maternal smoking.

Intending to breastfeed for less than four months was significantly associated with smoking prior to pregnancy and during pregnancy. This is akin to O’Campo and Faden\cite{16}.
who found that women intending to breastfeed were less likely to smoke prior to pregnancy and more likely to quit during pregnancy than women intending to formula feed.

Antenatal classes aim to prepare expectant parents for childbirth and their new family life. Our finding that mothers not attending antenatal classes was significantly associated with smoking before and during pregnancy agrees with the literature\cite{27}. Women who are smoking and not attending antenatal classes may not be receiving information related to exposure of their infant to nicotine and ETS further amplifying the hazards of smoking and may not be provided with opportunities for education on smoking cessation.

It is possible that together the attendance at antenatal classes, intended duration of breastfeeding, timing of both the pregnancy and the decision of how to feed the baby may signify the preparedness of the mother for the oncoming pregnancy. A lack of readiness for this major life event may be enacted through a continuation of smoking whereas those women enthusiastically anticipating this event have had time to contemplate and quit smoking before conception.

Unlike previous studies\cite{17, 19, 24, 25} we failed to find a significant relationship between smoking and income level or social group. This discrepancy between our study and those before us may be due to power differences between their investigations and the current one. In addition, education and age are considered to be highly correlated with income.
Women who are older are often more educated and more aware of the dangers of
smoking before, during and after pregnancy and therefore less likely to smoke.

Kahn, Certain and Whittaker\textsuperscript{[17]} found that Caucasian race was a significant predictor of
smoking in the 12 months before pregnancy. Likewise in our study Caucasian women
were four times more likely to smoke before pregnancy than ‘other’ women. The most
recent national Australian data,\textsuperscript{[24]} and Australian study,\textsuperscript{[25]} also found that women from
English speaking countries or predominantly Caucasian women had a higher smoking
level during pregnancy than ‘other’ women.

A low IIFAS is indicative of negative maternal breastfeeding attitudes and previous
studies have indicated that positive maternal breastfeeding attitudes are strongly
correlated with maternal age, level of education, income, and marital status\textsuperscript{[14]}. In our
study a low IIFAS score was significantly associated with smoking postnatally. As a high
score indicates willingness to breastfeed, a low IIFAS score may also be a proxy for the
lack of anticipation of the approaching birth.

This study is the first Australian study to assess the relationship between smoking before,
during and after pregnancy with sociodemographic factors predictive of smoking, but it
needs to be replicated to verify our results and to investigate further other factors that
may play a role in predicting maternal smoking habits. In addition several limitations of
this study exist.
All smoking behaviours were self-reported and cigarette smoking may have been underreported particularly during the antenatal period when there is increased stigma associated with smoking, as opposed to smoking before and after pregnancy. However self-reported smoking status is considered to be reasonably accurate. Future studies should consider the inclusion of alternative measures of cigarette smoking.

The relatively small sample size, and the fact that all women came from government hospitals is a limitation of this study. Thus, the results may not be generalisable to the rest of Australia or to other cultures. Future studies in other countries that use larger, more representative samples and that investigate sociodemographic factors indicative of maternal smoking should be conducted to confirm our findings.

In summary this study further substantiates a number of factors independently associated with smoking prior to pregnancy, during pregnancy and postnatally. Foremost is the impact partner’s (father’s) smoking status has on all stages of pregnancy. This potentially modifiable risk factor is paramount in promoting positive breastfeeding outcomes and optimum health of the baby.

Alcohol intake is a health risk behaviour known to cluster with cigarette smoking and in this study maternal alcohol intake was associated with smoking prior to pregnancy. Being emotionally prepared for the pregnancy and making important choices for the care of the baby (e.g., feeding method) is possibly another factor in the conundrum of maternal smoking and an important area for education.
Smoking cessation interventions targeted at women of child bearing age need to consider the likelihood of women conceiving a baby and the harmful effect of smoking on the unborn foetus and newborn baby. Factors predictive of pre-pregnancy, antenatal and postnatal smoking highlighted in this and previous research are essential in tailoring client interventions. Most importantly since a smoker’s partner often smokes as well, the anti-smoking efforts in antenatal care must be complementary to the general preventive work in the community and inclusive of the partner.
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Table 1. Characteristics of the participants prior to, and during pregnancy (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-Pregnancy</th>
<th>Pregnancy</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-smoker</td>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>Non-smoker</td>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=358)</td>
<td>(n=228)</td>
<td>(n=427)</td>
<td>(n=153)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age (yr)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 24</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 29</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 35</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35+</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal education level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>did not complete</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>secondary school</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>completed secondary</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>school/trade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bachelor degree or higher</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$25 000</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;$25 000</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Relationship between pre-pregnancy smoking and explanatory variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal alcohol intake</th>
<th>Smoker before pregnancy % (n= 228)</th>
<th>Multivariate Odds Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>drank alcohol pre-pregnancy</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>2.9 (1.3-6.5)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>did not drink alcohol pre-pregnancy</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father’s smoking status pre-pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoker</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>7.0 (3.7-13.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-smoker</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When first decided how to feed baby during/after pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>before pregnancy</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>3.1 (1.6-6.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attend antenatal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No, never</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>2.1 (1.1-4.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, this and/or previous</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intended duration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;4months</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>2.2 (1.0-4.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 months+</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variables in full models included maternal age (<25 years, >25 years), maternal education level (did not complete secondary education, completed secondary
school/trade, bachelor degree or higher), timing of pregnancy (actively trying, mistimed, unplanned), income (<$25 000, >$25 000), Mother’s country of birth (Caucasian, other), Maternal Iowa Score(IIFAS) (low score, high score).
Table 3. Relationship between smoking during pregnancy and explanatory variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Smoking in pregnancy (%) (n=153)</th>
<th>Multivariate Odds Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Father’s smoking status during pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoker</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>5.7 (2.9-11.3)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-smoker</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attend antenatal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No, never</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>3.2 (1.6-6.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, this and/or previous</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intended duration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;4months</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>2.7 (1.2-5.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 months+</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All significant results are shown in bold

Variables in full models included maternal education level (did not complete secondary education, completed secondary school/trade, bachelor degree or higher), when first decided how to feed baby (during/after pregnancy, before pregnancy), timing of pregnancy (actively trying, mistimed, unplanned), income (<$25 000, >$25 000), Mother’s country of birth (Caucasian, other), Maternal Iowa Score (IIFAS), (low score, high score).
Table 4. Relationship between postnatal smoking (week 10) and explanatory variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Smoking postnatal (%) (n=123)</th>
<th>Multivariate Odds Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Father’s smoking status during pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoker</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>6.7 (3.0-15.2)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-smoker</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother’s country of birth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>5.2 (1.0-25.7)</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Iowa Score(IIFAS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low score</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>2.9 (1.3-6.6)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high score</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All significant results are shown in bold

Variables in full models included maternal education level (did not complete secondary education, completed secondary school/trade, bachelor degree or higher), maternal age (<25 years, > 25 years), when first decided how to feed baby (during/after pregnancy, before pregnancy), timing of pregnancy (actively trying, mistimed, unplanned), attend antenatal classes (No, never, Yes, this and/or previous pregnancy), Intended duration of breastfeeding (>4 months, >4 months).