



Absence of MERS-CoV antibodies in feral camels in Australia: Implications for the pathogen's origin and spread



Gary Cramer^a, Peter A. Durr^{a,*}, Jennifer Barr^a, Meng Yu^a, Kerryne Graham^a, Owen J. Williams^b, Ghazi Kayali^c, David Smith^{d,e}, Malik Peiris^{f,g}, John S. Mackenzie^h, Lin-Fa Wang^{a,i,**}

^a CSIRO Australian Animal Health Laboratory, Geelong, Australia

^b Earlville Veterinary Surgery, Cairns, Australia

^c Division of Environmental Research, National Research Centre, Giza, Egypt

^d School of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of WA, Nedlands, Australia

^e PathWest Laboratory Medicine WA, Nedlands, Australia

^f School of Public Health, the University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

^g HKU-Pasteur Pole, the University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

^h Faculty of Health Sciences, Curtin University, Perth, WA, Australia

ⁱ Program in Emerging Infectious Diseases, Duke-NUS Graduate Medical School, Singapore

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 22 July 2015

Received in revised form 23 October 2015

Accepted 26 October 2015

Available online 2 November 2015

Keywords:

Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS)

Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)

Coronavirus (CoV)

Camels

Bats

Serological surveillance

ABSTRACT

Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) infections continue to be a serious emerging disease problem internationally with well over 1000 cases and a major outbreak outside of the Middle East region. While the hypothesis that dromedary camels are the likely major source of MERS-CoV infection in humans is gaining acceptance, conjecture continues over the original natural reservoir host(s) and specifically the role of bats in the emergence of the virus. Dromedary camels were imported to Australia, principally between 1880 and 1907 and have since become a large feral population inhabiting extensive parts of the continent. Here we report that during a focussed surveillance study, no serological evidence was found for the presence of MERS-CoV in the camels in the Australian population. This finding presents various hypotheses about the timing of the emergence and spread of MERS-CoV throughout populations of camels in Africa and Asia, which can be partially resolved by testing sera from camels from the original source region, which we have inferred was mainly north-western Pakistan. In addition, we identify bat species which overlap (or neighbour) the range of the Australian camel population with a higher likelihood of carrying CoVs of the same lineage as MERS-CoV. Both of these proposed follow-on studies are examples of "proactive surveillance", a concept that has particular relevance to a One Health approach to emerging zoonotic diseases with a complex epidemiology and aetiology.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Since the first detection and isolation of the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) in September 2012 from a fatal human case in Saudi Arabia [1], there have been more than 1000 human cases reported with a mortality rate of approximately 40% [2]. Although the primary cases are limited to nations in the Arabian Peninsula, secondary cases have been reported in many countries outside of the region, with the latest outbreak in South Korea already claiming 36 lives with more than 185 confirmed infections [3].

In contrast to the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), which was introduced into the human population through a single or a limited number of spill-over event(s) [4,5], current epidemiological studies suggest that there have been multiple introductions of different MERS-CoV strains into human population from animal reservoir(s) [6]. For SARS-CoV, there is now increasing evidence indicating that it is a bat-borne virus transmitted to humans via intermediate hosts such as palm civets and raccoon dogs [7–11]. For MERS-CoV, the natural reservoir host(s) has not yet been determined, nor how different strains of the virus have been transmitted to human populations on multiple occasions since its first discovery in 2012 [6]. Although there are reports of MERS-like CoVs in different bats around the world, discovery of closely related viruses and virus-neutralising antibodies in dromedary camels has led to the hypothesis that they are likely to be the major reservoir of MERS-CoV and camel-to-human transmission is the main route of spill-over events [6]. However, it is

* Corresponding author.

** Corresponding author at: CSIRO Australian Animal Health Laboratory, Geelong, Australia.

E-mail addresses: peter.durr@csiro.au (P.A. Durr), linfa.wang@duke-nus.edu.sg (L.-F. Wang).

presently not clear whether MERS-CoV was introduced into the camel populations recently or whether it has adapted to camels as a natural reservoir from ancient times. A retrospective search for MERS-CoV antibodies indicated that the virus was circulating among the camel populations in the Middle East and Africa as early as 1992 and 1983, respectively [12–15]. In a recent study, the detection of a MERS-CoV conspecific virus from an African bat suggests that the MERS-CoV may have originated from an African bat, followed by bat-to-camel transmission in Africa, then the introduction of MERS-CoV to the Middle East through camel exportation/importation [6,16].

In this context, it was hypothesized that examining the serological status of Australian camels may help elucidate when MERS-CoV entered the camel population. Dromedary camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) were introduced into Australia from the mid-19th century to assist with exploration and development of the arid centre of the continent [17]. Between 1840 and 1907 many thousands of camels were imported into Australia, into an area ranging from Central Australia to the De Grey River in Western Australia. As rail services extended north to Alice Springs in Central Australia in 1929, and with the subsequent growth of motor transport, many working camels were turned loose and their feral progeny were able to survive and breed in the desert.

Since this time, the feral camel population in central Australia has undergone an exponential increase. In 1966, the population was estimated to be 15,000–20,000, and by the mid-1980s the estimate increased to a minimum population of 43,000 [18]. By 2008, the minimum population was re-estimated to be about 1 million animals [19], and there was increasing concern of the economic, social and environmental damage the uncontrolled population was causing [20]. In response, plans have been adopted for population control, including a large culling operation between 2010 and 2014, when over 150,000 camels were killed [21]. Currently Australia has the largest herd of camels anywhere in the world, and the only population of wild camels. Long-term sustainable control measures to permanently maintain a lower population density are focused on developing a viable commercialisation, particularly based on mustering to process meat for human consumption for the export market.

As a first step toward a risk assessment of potential bat-to-camel transmission of MERS-CoV like pathogens in Australia, we examined the current camel distribution in Australia and its overlap with the habitat of native bat species related to those from which MERS-CoV-like viruses have been detected. Furthermore, we conducted a MERS-CoV sero-prevalence study on more than 300 camel serum samples collected from three different locations at four time points from December 2013 to August 2014.

Materials and methods

Camel serum sampling

Blood or serum samples were collected from two locations, an abattoir that processes wild caught and farmed camels mainly for export, and from an area nearby Alice Springs, Northern Territory during a muster for the Department of Agriculture. Samples were collected on the 16th December 2013, 22nd January 2014, 17th April 2014 and 6th August 2014, respectively (see Table 1 for detail). This study was approved by both the Federal and State Agricultural Departments via the Animal Health Committee and by the Federal Department of Health in Australia.

Table 1
Camel serum samples collected in this study.

Sample#	Provider of samples	Date of collection	Animal originated from
1–31	Abattoir	16-12-2013	Central Australia
32–131	Abattoir	22-01-2014	Central Australia
132–231	Abattoir	17-04-2014	Central Australia
232–307	Camel muster	06-08-2014	Central Australia

Luminex antibody test

A Luminex-based assay was developed using recombinant nucleocapsid (N) proteins of MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV using methodology established in our group for henipaviruses [22]. Recombinant CoV N proteins were produced in *E. coli* and purified directly from SDS-PAGE gels as previously described [23]. For coating onto Luminex beads, a total of 100 µg each of the two N proteins were coupled onto 100 µl of bead set 28 (SARS-CoV) and bead set 34 (MERS-CoV), respectively. Briefly, coupled microsphere sets were vortexed and sonicated prior to dilution in PBS-T containing 2% skim milk and transferred to 96-well plate. The diluent was removed using an automated magnetic vacuum manifold followed by the addition of 100 µl of camel sera diluted 1:100 in PBS-T and incubated, shaking for 30 min at room temperature. Positive control camel sera used in this assay were derived from the natural infection of dromedary camels in Egypt during 2013 as part of a seroepidemiology study [24]. The serum was removed and the plate was washed twice with PBS-T followed by addition of Biotinylated Protein A (Pierce, Rockford, USA) and Protein G (Pierce, Rockford, USA) conjugates and incubated as described above. The conjugate was removed and the beads washed twice with PBS-T followed by addition of Streptavidin–phycoerythrin (Qiagen Pty Ltd, Australia) and a final incubation as described above. Assays were performed on a Bio-Plex Protein Array System integrated with Bio-Plex Manager Software (v 6.0) (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., CA, USA). Results were recorded as median fluorescent intensity (MFI).

Virus neutralisation test (VNT)

VNT was conducted as previously described for SARS-CoV [10,25]. Briefly, each camel serum was tested in duplicate by doubling dilution in EMEM starting at 1:10 out to 1:1280. To 50 µl of sera an equal volume of EMEM containing 200 TCID₅₀ of a Dromedary camel isolate of MERS virus [24] was added and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C. Vero cells were then added to each well and the plates incubated at 37 °C and subsequently read for the presence of cytopathic effect (CPE) after 4 days. Neutralising titres were recorded at the dilution at which at least one duplicate well was negative for CPE. The same positive control camel sera were used in this assay.

Analysis of the distributions of the Australian feral camels and potential MERS-CoV reservoir bat species

MERS-CoV belongs to a distinct lineage (“C”) of the beta-coronavirus genus [26]. This lineage was initially defined from isolates from sampling in Hong Kong where two species of lineage C β-CoV were described: “Ty-Bat CoV HKU4” and “Pi-Bat CoV HKU5” [6].

On the assumption that lineage C β-CoVs are more likely to be found in Australian bats of the same genus as those from which they have been isolated overseas, we surveyed the peer-reviewed literature and the GenBank sequence repository for lineage C β-CoV - bat genera associations. Consequently, we identified seven Australian microbat species belonging to the families Vespertilionidae and Emballonuridae that satisfied this criterion.

There is little published literature on these seven species, and to determine potential overlap of their distribution with those of camels, we undertook habitat modelling distribution using *Maxent* version 3.3 [27]. As input for the modelling, we used the locational data stored within the Atlas of Living Australia (ALA) online database, which collates data on museum collections and sightings for most bat species of Australia (<http://www.ala.org.au/>). Predictor variables used were all the BioClim bioclimatic variables, Australian Land Use and Management Classification Version 7 and the NVIS Major Vegetation Subgroups (Version 4.1).

All modelling was undertaken at the resolution of 0.008° (30 s) using the WGS84 projection.

Table 2
Luminex and VNT results of selected serum samples*.

Samples	Luminex reading (MFU)		VNT titre for MERS-CoV
	SARS N	MERS N	
No serum control	79	79	ND
Horse control serum	200	593	ND
Horse SARS hyperimmune serum	29,319	5649	ND
Camel control serum	147	191	neg
Camel MERS positive serum	178	8127	1:800
Saudi Arabia 2313016868	ND	ND	1:400
Saudi Arabia 2313016870	ND	ND	1:1600
Saudi Arabia 2313016872	ND	ND	1:800
Saudi Arabia 2313016874	ND	ND	>1:6400
Saudi Arabia 2313016876	ND	ND	1:800
Saudi Arabia 2313016880	ND	ND	1:3200
Saudi Arabia 2313016882	ND	ND	1:800
Saudi Arabia 2313016884	ND	ND	>1:6400
Saudi Arabia 2313016886	ND	ND	1:800
Saudi Arabia 2313016888	ND	ND	1:3200
Australian camel serum 1	153	655	neg
Australian camel serum 2	112	827	neg
Australian camel serum 3	131	480	neg
Australian camel serum 4	95	518	neg
Australian camel serum 5	148	733	neg
Australian camel serum 20	1256	509	neg
Australian camel serum 32	128	205	neg
Australian camel serum 33	121	256	neg
Australian camel serum 34	155	210	neg
Australian camel serum 35	172	170	neg
Australian camel serum 36	122	205	neg
Australian camel serum 54	205	1377	neg
Australian camel serum 132	104	458	neg
Australian camel serum 133	165	353	neg
Australian camel serum 134	124	389	neg
Australian camel serum 135	106	209	neg
Australian camel serum 136	95	189	neg
Australian camel serum 232	433	722	neg
Australian camel serum 233	137	172	neg
Australian camel serum 234	188	204	neg
Australian camel serum 235	161	202	neg
Australian camel serum 236	166	209	neg

* For the complete list of all 307 Australian camel serum samples, please see online Supplementary Table S1. ND, not done; neg, no neutralisation at a dilution of 1:10.

To determine if any of the selected bat species overlap the distribution of feral camels in central Australia, we undertook a similar *Maxent* habitat distribution modelling exercise for the latter. For this we used the “*CamelScan*” online database (<http://www.feralscan.org.au/camelscan/>) in addition to the sightings in the ALA. Modelling results were compared to the consolidated sighting and survey map published by Saalfeld and Edwards [19].

Results

Absence of MERS-CoV antibodies in Australian camels

Two different testing platforms were used to detect MERS-CoV antibodies in Australian camels. A Luminex assay based on the conserved and cross-reactive N proteins of both SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV was used to detect any antibodies cross reactive with either of these two viruses whereas the MERS-CoV specific VNT was employed to confirm the potential presence of MERS-CoV infection in Australian camels as the VNT assay is known to be specific for MERS-CoV, and there is no cross-neutralisation between MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV or bovine-CoV [28].

As the results for all the Australian camels were negative by both Luminex and VNT assays for all 307 camel sera tested in this study, only results from selected serum samples (five individual samples from each batch) are given in Table 2 to allow a clearer presentation of the findings (see the full list in the online Supplementary Table S1). While the positive control camel sera produced neutralisation titres ranging from 1:400 to >1:6400, all of the Australian camel sera had a neutralising titre to MERS-CoV <1:10.

For the Luminex assay, there were only two samples giving a reading above 1000 MFI, the set cut-off for background binding. As shown in Table 2, serum 20 has MFU readings of 1256 and 509 for SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV N proteins, respectively, while serum 54 has 205 and 1377, respectively. However, in comparison to the MFU readings of positive control sera (ranging from 8127 to 29,319), these low range “potentially positive” readings were considered to be non-conclusive. The clear negative VNT results for these two samples confirmed that they did not contain MERS-CoV antibody.




Fig. 1. The area predicted by Maxent to be potentially occupied by camels in central Australia based on sighting data. For comparison, the dashed line indicates the extent of the camel distribution in 2008 (at their maximum population size) estimated by Saalfeld and Edwards (2010) [19].

Table 3Bat families and genera in which lineage C β -CoV have been identified and the number of species in these identified genera that are native to mainland Australia.

Family	Genus	Country lineage C β -CoV isolated/sequenced	Number of isolates/unique sequences in Genbank	References to the isolates/sequences of the lineage C β -CoV	Number of bat species of the genus native to Australia
Vespertilionidae	<i>Eptesicus</i>	Spain/Italy	2	[40,41]	0
Vespertilionidae	<i>Hypsugo</i>	Spain/Italy	2	[40,42]	0
Vespertilionidae	<i>Neoromicia</i>	South Africa	1	[16]	0
Vespertilionidae	<i>Nyctalus</i>	Italy	1	[42]	0
Vespertilionidae	<i>Pipistrellus</i>	Hong Kong/Italy/Romania /Ukraine/Netherlands	28	[42–46]	2
Vespertilionidae	<i>Tyloonycteris</i>	Hong Kong/China	15	[43,44,47]	0
Vespertilionidae	<i>Vespertilio</i>	China	1	[48]	0
Emballonuridae	<i>Taphozous</i>	Saudi Arabia	1	[36]	5
Nycteridae	<i>Nycteris</i>	Ghana	3	[45]	0
Molossidae	<i>Nyctinomops</i>	Mexico	1	[49]	0
Mormoopidae	<i>Pteronotus</i>	Mexico	1	[50]	0

Overlapping distribution of wild camels and bats in Australia

The total area predicted by the *Maxent* modelling to be potentially suitable for feral camels in Australia is 1,716,196 km² (Fig. 1). This is less than the area identified by Saalfeld and Edwards [19] and may reflect the intensive culling during 2009–10 undertaken to reduce the impact of feral camels on the environment [21]. Note that the Australian camel can be considered one population, as there are no natural geographical boundaries leading to the formation of sub-populations.

In total, we found 14 papers describing 56 unique isolates or sequences of lineage C β -CoV from microbats from Europe, Africa, Central America and East Asia, belonging to 11 genera (Table 3). The majority (89%) of the bat hosts from which the β -CoV C were isolated or sequenced belong to the family Vespertilionidae.

Comparison with the list of native species resident within mainland Australia showed 7 species, with 2 belonging to the genera *Pipistrellus* (Fam: Vespertilionidae) and 5 belonging to *Taphozous* (Fam: Emballonuridae) (Table 4). Of these 7 species, 2 are confined to the east coast of Australia, 4 have distributions bordering (but not overlapping) that of the camels, and only 1 species (*Taphozous hilli*) has a home range with significant overlap (Fig. 2).

Discussions

The feral camels in Australia represent the largest “herd” in the world, and furthermore, is the only free-ranging one. The origin of this population is not known for certain, as no records were kept during importation. However, the considered opinion is that they were mainly imported from British India [29]. This is also consistent with the historical records about the cameleers, who worked with the camels, who originated from the border areas of Pakistan and Afghanistan [30]. Furthermore, genetic studies have shown little genetic diversity in the Australian camel population, indicative of a strong “founder effect” [31], which suggests that the introductions were sourced

from a restricted area. Therefore, pending confirmatory genetic studies of the northwest Pakistani camel populations, a working hypothesis is that the Australian feral camel population is derived from this area.

It is impossible to know for certain if the camels imported over 100 years ago from Pakistan carried MERS-CoV. Even if they did, it is possible that it was extinguished during the sea voyage. Alternatively, if the virus did manage to infect the Australian camel population, it is also plausible that it failed to establish long term sustained transmission due to the low population density of the camels when they were released into central Australia in the 1920s. Nevertheless, we propose that a careful collation of all the virological and ecological evidence will enable a reasoned assessment of the most probable status of the ancestors of the feral camels at the time of importation. Specifically, we suggest that investigations in Pakistan (as well as neighbouring Afghanistan and Iran) will provide an important piece of evidence, as to date there have not been any published reports of the MERS-CoV sero-status of these camel populations. Following on from this, if sampling in these countries show that MERS-CoV is not present in the current population, then the most probable explanation is that the virus was unlikely to have ever been introduced into Australia. By contrast, if the virus is found to be present, genetic studies of the virus may be able to partially resolve when it entered into the population, and thus if the camels exported to Australia might have been infected.

If as suggested, sero-surveys of camels are undertaken in western Asia and they are found to be negative, the results will also have wider implications than answering the question of whether MERS-CoV was ever present in Australia. To date the geographical origin of MERS-CoV is controversial, as although the disease is largely confined to the Arabian Peninsula, examination of stored serum collected in Sudan and Somalia from 1983 to 1984 has showed very high seropositivity for MERS-CoV [32]. As MERS-CoV has now been shown to be widespread throughout camel populations in northern, western and eastern Africa [33], there exists a plausible bat reservoir for a MERS-CoV ancestor [16], and there is a large export of camels from eastern Africa into the Arabian Peninsula [34], then a current working hypothesis is that

Table 4Australian bat species belonging to the genera shown to harbour lineage C β -CoVs (Table 3) and the extent to which their estimated home range overlaps that of the Australian feral camel population.

Species	Family	Common name	Extent of home range estimated by <i>Maxent</i> modelling from sightings recorded in the ALA (km ²)	Estimated home range overlap between bat species and camels (%)
<i>Pipistrellus adamsi</i>	Vespertilionidae	Forest pipistrelle	85,676	0%
<i>Pipistrellus westralis</i>	Vespertilionidae	Northern pipistrelle,	99,161	0%
<i>Taphozous georgianus</i>	Emballonuridae	Common sheath-tailed bat	350,588	0%
<i>Taphozous hilli</i>	Emballonuridae	Hill's sheath-tailed bat	172,119	84.16%
<i>Taphozous kapalgensis</i>	Emballonuridae	Arnhem sheath-tailed bat	113,442	0%
<i>Taphozous australis</i>	Emballonuridae	Coastal sheath-tailed bat	Insufficient data points	Assumed to be 0% as confined to the east coast of Australia
<i>Taphozous troughtoni</i>	Emballonuridae	Troughton's sheath-tailed bat	No location data held within ALA	Assumed to be 0% as confined to the east coast of Australia




Fig. 2. The home range estimated by Maxent modelling of the 5 selected species in Table 2 which overlap of are relatively closely the distribution of the central Australian feral camels; (a) *P. adamsi*, (b) *P. westralis*; (c) *T. georgianus*; (d) *T. hilli*; and (e) *T. kapalgensis*.

Africa is the original source of the virus within camel populations. However, as other regional sources of the virus have not been investigated, the true geographical spread of the virus is not determined with certainty. Thus, a finding of an absence of MERS-CoV in the populations of camels in Iran, Pakistan, Afghanistan and Australia would support the hypothesis that camels are not the original reservoir host of the MERS-CoV virus, and that a spillover event from a bat species into the camel population happened in relatively recent time.

Our assumption that Australian native bats are more likely to harbor lineage C β -CoVs if they belong to a genera from which these viruses have been isolated overseas requires some justification. The basis for it is the evidence for considerable co-evolution of α -CoV and β -CoV with their chiropteran hosts at the genus level [35]. Note that this does not apply at the family level, as both alpha and beta viruses occur in many chiropteran families [35].

The bat species (*T. hilli*) with a home that overlaps the central Australian camel distribution occupies a comparatively large area and occurs in an area of high camel density. As it is related to the species (*Taphozous perforatus*) from which a sequence almost identical to that of the index case of MERS-CoV was isolated in Saudi Arabia in 2012 [36], *T. hilli* would seem to be the priority for virological sampling. However, the sequence obtained from *T. perforatus* was a single, short sequence (203 nucleotides), has not been independently confirmed and no actual isolate has subsequently been obtained [6]. By contrast, a virus from a species which occurs throughout east and southern Africa (*Neoromicia capensis*) has yielded a full genome sequence, which despite having less identity with MERS-CoV and not occurring in the Arabian Peninsula, is considered a more plausible natural reservoir [6]. As this species occurs within the family Vespertilionidae, from which the majority of the lineage C β -CoV isolates have been obtained (Table 3),

it is recommended that virological sampling of the Australian Vestertilionidae species which occur to the north of the current camel distribution (Fig. 2) also be undertaken. With the recent advance of multiple serological profiling tools using a synthetic virome epitope library [37], it is theoretically feasible to examine total infection profiles of both bats and camels in the overlapped geographical locations. Such inter-species serological comparison will undoubtedly shed new light on the real risk and occurrence of spill-over events happening between these two groups of wildlife animals.

The concept of “active surveillance”, whereby surveys are undertaken to detect and measure the extent of a disease or pathogen is well established in public and animal health. While such active surveillance has a role in emerging infectious diseases (EIDs), it has obvious limitations in that it can only occur after the disease has emerged. Furthermore, there are challenges with diagnostics and the difficulty and expense of surveying for diseases of low prevalence, which is the situation for many EIDs in their initial stages. An approach which is gaining increasing recognition in the EID sciences is the use of “proactive surveillance” whereby potential “hotspots” for emergence and/or “reservoirs” are selected for surveys. We have provided an example of this “new” type of surveillance whereby we were able to recommend specific bat species to proactively target for sampling, and propose that this is particularly appropriate within the “One Health” approach to emerging infectious diseases.

Conclusion

At a first glance, it might seem that assessing the sero-status of feral camels, and the presence of CoVs in bats with potential contact with these camels has little relevance to understanding the epidemiology and evolution of MERS-CoV in the Arabian Peninsula. However, such are the complexities of the virus and the disease that many key discoveries have been made by examining animals at the margin of the problem areas. The initial discovery that camels might be the principal reservoir of MERS-CoV arose following exploratory testing of camel serum collected from the Canary Islands, which then led onto a more systematic survey of camels from the Arabian Peninsula [38]. Similarly, the discovery of a plausible ancestor virus to MERS-CoV arose from the sampling of a bat species in South Africa, even though the species does not occur within the Arabian Peninsula [16,39]. The important lesson from these examples is that when dealing with an emerging infectious disease with a complex epidemiology, conventional outbreak investigations may not resolve key questions, and thus there is a need for studies which might appear tangential. Some of these, as in the examples cited, might result in important discoveries, but it needs to be accepted that most will not. However, we argue that within the context of “proactive surveillance”, then negative survey results can be immensely important in directing attention to where effort is needed, which in our example, is our recommendation for a follow-on sero-survey of camels in western Asia.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.onehlt.2015.10.003>.

Acknowledgements

We thank Professor Ron Fouchier for facilitating the collaboration, Bart Haagmanns and Chantal Reusken for the provision of materials, Rod Bowman from PathWest Laboratory Medicine WA for his assistance in facilitating the collection of samples from Alice Springs, Prasad Paradkar and Kim Blasdel for their critical reading of the manuscript. The study is funded in part by CSIRO and the CD-PHRG grant (to L-FW, CDPHRG/0006/2014).

References

- [1] A.M. Zaki, S. van Boheemen, T.M. Bestebroer, A.D. Osterhaus, R.A. Fouchier, Isolation of a novel coronavirus from a man with pneumonia in Saudi Arabia, *N. Engl. J. Med.* 367 (2012) 1814–1820.
- [2] ECDC, Rapid Risk Assessment: Severe Respiratory Disease Associated with Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV). 16th update, 05 June 2015, ECDC, Stockholm, 2015. <http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/healthtopics/coronavirus-infections/Pages/publications.aspx>.
- [3] WHO, Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV) – MERS-CoV in Republic of Korea at a Glance as of 29 July 2015. http://www.wpro.who.int/outbreaks_emergencies/wpro_coronavirus/en/.
- [4] L.F. Wang, B.T. Eaton, Bats, civets and the emergence of SARS, *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* 315 (2007) 325–344.
- [5] J.S. Peiris, Y. Guan, K.Y. Yuen, Severe acute respiratory syndrome, *Nat. Med.* 10 (2004) S88–S97.
- [6] J.F. Chan, S.K. Lau, K.K. To, V.C. Cheng, P.C. Woo, K.Y. Yuen, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus: another zoonotic betacoronavirus causing SARS-like disease, *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 28 (2015) 465–522.
- [7] L.-F. Wang, Eaton B. Bats, Civets and the emergence of SARS, *Wildlife and Emerging Zoonotic Diseases: The Biology, Circumstances and Consequences of Cross-Species Transmission*. Springer, 2007 325–344.
- [8] X.Y. Ge, J.L. Li, X.L. Yang, A.A. Chmura, G. Zhu, J.H. Epstein, et al., Isolation and characterization of a bat SARS-like coronavirus that uses the ACE2 receptor, *Nature* 503 (2013) 535–538.
- [9] Y. Guan, B.J. Zheng, Y.Q. He, X.L. Liu, Z.X. Zhuang, C.L. Cheung, et al., Isolation and characterization of viruses related to the SARS coronavirus from animals in southern China, *Science* 302 (2003) 276–278.
- [10] W. Li, Z. Shi, M. Yu, W. Ren, C. Smith, J.H. Epstein, et al., Bats are natural reservoirs of SARS-like coronaviruses, *Science* 310 (2005) 676–679.
- [11] S.K. Lau, P.C. Woo, K.S. Li, Y. Huang, H.W. Tsoi, B.H. Wong, et al., Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-like virus in Chinese horseshoe bats, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102 (2005) 14040–14045.
- [12] A.N. Alagaili, T. Briese, N. Mishra, V. Kapoor, S.C. Sameroff, P.D. Burbelo, et al., Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection in dromedary camels in Saudi Arabia, *mBio*. 5 (2014) e00884-14.
- [13] S. Alexandersen, G.P. Kobinger, G. Soule, U. Wernery, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus antibody reactors among camels in Dubai, United Arab Emirates, in 2005, *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* 61 (2014) 105–108.
- [14] B. Meyer, M.A. Muller, V.M. Corman, C.B. Reusken, D. Ritz, G.J. Godeke, et al., Antibodies against MERS coronavirus in dromedary camels, United Arab Emirates, 2003 and 2013, *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 20 (2014) 552–559.
- [15] R.A. Perera, P. Wang, M.R. Goma, R. El-Shesheny, A. Kandeil, O. Bagato, et al., Seroepidemiology for MERS coronavirus using microneutralisation and pseudoparticle virus neutralisation assays reveal a high prevalence of antibody in dromedary camels in Egypt, June 2013, *Euro Surveill.* 18 (2013) pii=20574.
- [16] V.M. Corman, N.L. Ithete, L.R. Richards, M.C. Schoeman, W. Preiser, C. Drosten, et al., Rooting the phylogenetic tree of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus by characterization of a conspecific virus from an African bat, *J. Virol.* 88 (2014) 11297–11303.
- [17] G.A. Letts, Feral animals in the Northern Territory, *Aust. Vet. J.* 40 (1964) 84–88.
- [18] J. Short, G. Caughley, D. Grice, B. Brown, The distribution and relative abundance of camels in Australia, *J. Arid Environ.* 15 (1988) 91–97.
- [19] W. Saalfield, G. Edwards, Distribution and abundance of the feral camel (*Camelus dromedarius*) in Australia, *Rangel. J.* 32 (2010) 1–9.
- [20] G.P. Edwards, Evaluation of the impacts of feral camels, *Rangel. J.* 32 (2010) 43–54.
- [21] Q. Hart, G. Edwards, Managing the impacts of feral camels in Australia, *Aliens* 33 (2013) 12–17.
- [22] K.N. Bossart, J.A. McEachern, A.C. Hickey, V. Choudhry, D.S. Dimitrov, B.T. Eaton, et al., Neutralization assays for differential henipavirus serology using Bio-Plex Protein Array Systems, *J. Virol. Methods* 142 (2007) 29–40.
- [23] M. Yu, V. Stevens, J.D. Berry, G. Crameri, J. McEachern, C. Tu, et al., Determination and application of immunodominant regions of SARS coronavirus spike and nucleocapsid proteins recognized by sera from different animal species, *J. Immunol. Methods* 331 (2008) 1–12.
- [24] M.G. Hemida, D.K. Chu, L.L. Poon, R.A. Perera, M.A. Alhammadi, H.Y. Ng, et al., MERS coronavirus in dromedary camel herd, Saudi Arabia, *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 20 (2014) 1231–1234.
- [25] C. Tu, G. Crameri, X. Kong, J. Chen, Y. Sun, M. Yu, et al., Antibodies to SARS coronavirus in civets, *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 10 (2004) 2244–2248.
- [26] S. van Boheemen, M. de Graaf, C. Lauber, T.M. Bestebroer, V.S. Raj, A.M. Zaki, et al., Genomic characterization of a newly discovered coronavirus associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome in humans, *mBio*. 3 (2012) <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00473-12>.
- [27] S.J. Phillips, R.P. Anderson, R.E. Schapire, Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions, *Ecol. Model.* 190 (2006) 231–259.
- [28] M. Hemida, R. Perera, R. Al Jassim, G. Kayali, L. Situ, P. Wang, et al., Seroepidemiology of Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) coronavirus in Saudi Arabia (1993) and Australia (2014) and characterisation of assay specificity, *Euro Surveill.* 19 (2014) pii: 20828.
- [29] T.L. McKnight, *The Camel in Australia*, Melbourne University Press, Carlton, Victoria, 1969.
- [30] P. Sriver, Mosques, Ghantowns and Cameleers in the settlement history of Colonial Australia, *Fabrications*. 13 (2004) 19–41.
- [31] P.B.S. Spencer, A.P. Woolnough, Assessment and genetic characterisation of Australian camels using microsatellite polymorphisms, *Livest. Sci.* 129 (2010) 241–245.
- [32] M.A. Muller, V.M. Corman, J. Jores, B. Meyer, M. Younan, A. Liljander, et al., MERS coronavirus neutralizing antibodies in camels, Eastern Africa, 1983–1997, *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 20 (2014) 2093–2095.

- [33] C.B. Reusken, L. Messadi, A. Feyisa, H. Ularamu, G.J. Godeke, A. Danmarwa, et al., Geographic distribution of MERS coronavirus among dromedary camels, Africa, *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 20 (2014) 1370–1374.
- [34] C. Gossner, N. Danielson, A. Gervelmeyer, F. Berthe, B. Faye, K. Kaasik Aaslav, et al., Human-dromedary camel interactions and the risk of acquiring zoonotic Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection, *Zoonoses Public Health* (2014) <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/zph.12171>.
- [35] J.F. Drexler, V.M. Corman, C. Drosten, Ecology, evolution and classification of bat coronaviruses in the aftermath of SARS, *Antivir. Res.* 101 (2014) 45–56.
- [36] Z.A. Memish, N. Mishra, K.J. Olival, S.F. Fagbo, V. Kapoor, J.H. Epstein, et al., Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus in bats, Saudi Arabia, *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 19 (2013) 1819–1823.
- [37] G.J. Xu, T. Kula, Q. Xu, M.Z. Li, S.D. Vernon, T. Ndung'u, et al., Comprehensive serological profiling of human populations using a synthetic human virome, *Science* 348 (2015) aaa0698.
- [38] C.B. Reusken, B.L. Haagmans, M.A. Muller, C. Gutierrez, G.J. Godeke, B. Meyer, et al., Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus neutralising serum antibodies in dromedary camels: a comparative serological study, *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 13 (2013) 859–866.
- [39] N.L. Ithete, S. Stoffberg, V.M. Corman, V.M. Cottontail, L.R. Richards, M.C. Schoeman, et al., Close relative of human Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus in bat, South Africa, *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 19 (2013) 1697–1699.
- [40] A. Falcon, S. Vazquez-Moron, I. Casas, C. Aznar, G. Ruiz, F. Pozo, et al., Detection of alpha and betacoronaviruses in multiple Iberian bat species, *Arch. Virol.* 156 (2011) 1883–1890.
- [41] P. De Benedictis, S. Marciano, D. Scaravelli, P. Priori, B. Zecchin, I. Capua, et al., Alpha and lineage C betaCoV infections in Italian bats, *Virus Genes* 48 (2014) 366–371.
- [42] D. Lelli, A. Papetti, C. Sabelli, E. Rosti, A. Moreno, M.B. Boniotti, Detection of coronaviruses in bats of various species in Italy, *Viruses* 5 (2013) 2679–2689.
- [43] P.C. Woo, M. Wang, S.K. Lau, H. Xu, R.W. Poon, R. Guo, et al., Comparative analysis of twelve genomes of three novel group 2c and group 2d coronaviruses reveals unique group and subgroup features, *J. Virol.* 81 (2007) 1574–1585.
- [44] S.K. Lau, K.S. Li, A.K. Tsang, C.S. Lam, S. Ahmed, H. Chen, et al., Genetic characterization of Betacoronavirus lineage C viruses in bats reveals marked sequence divergence in the spike protein of pipistrellus bat coronavirus HKU5 in Japanese pipistrelle: implications for the origin of the novel Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus, *J. Virol.* 87 (2013) 8638–8650.
- [45] A. Annan, H.J. Baldwin, V.M. Corman, S.M. Klose, M. Owusu, E.E. Nkrumah, et al., Human betacoronavirus 2c EMC/2012-related viruses in bats, Ghana and Europe, *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 19 (2013) 456–459.
- [46] C.B. Reusken, P.H. Lina, A. Pielaat, A. de Vries, C. Dam-Deisz, J. Adema, et al., Circulation of group 2 coronaviruses in a bat species common to urban areas in Western Europe, *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 10 (2010) 785–791.
- [47] X.C. Tang, J.X. Zhang, S.Y. Zhang, P. Wang, X.H. Fan, L.F. Li, et al., Prevalence and genetic diversity of coronaviruses in bats from China, *J. Virol.* 80 (2006) 7481–7490.
- [48] L. Yang, Z. Wu, X. Ren, F. Yang, J. Zhang, G. He, et al., MERS-related betacoronavirus in *Vespertilio superans* bats, China, *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 20 (2014) 1260–1262.
- [49] S.J. Anthony, R. Ojeda-Flores, O. Rico-Chavez, I. Navarrete-Macias, C.M. Zambrana-Torrel, M.K. Rostal, et al., Coronaviruses in bats from Mexico, *J. Gen. Virol.* 94 (2013) 1028–1038.
- [50] L.G. Goes, S.G. Ruvalcaba, A.A. Campos, L.H. Queiroz, C. de Carvalho, J.A. Jerez, et al., Novel bat coronaviruses, Brazil and Mexico, *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 19 (2013) 1711–1713.