A solid/fluid substitution scheme constrained by pore-scale numerical simulations
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SUMMARY

Estimating the effects of pore filling material on the elastic moduli or velocities of porous and fractured rocks attracts widespread attention. This effect can be modelled by a recently proposed triple-porosity scheme, which quantifies this effect from parameters of the pressure dependency of the elastic properties of the dry rock. This scheme divides total porosity into three parts: compliant, intermediate and stiff. Each type of pores is assumed to be spheroidal and characterized by a single aspect ratio. However, the implementation of this model requires the asymptotic values of the elastic moduli at much higher pressures where only non-closable pores remain open. Those pressures are beyond the capacity of most rock physics laboratories and can even crush typical sandstone samples. Experimental data at such pressures are usually unavailable. To address this issue, we introduce pore-scale numerical simulations in conjunction with effective medium theories (EMT) to compute the asymptotic values directly from the microtomographic images. This workflow reduces the uncertainty of model predictions on the geometric information of stiff pores and strengthens the predictive power and usefulness of the model without any adjustable parameters. Applying this to a Bentheim sandstone fully filled with liquid and solid octadecane gives a reasonable match between model predictions and laboratory measurements. This success verifies the accuracy and applicability of the model and indicates its potential in further exploitation and characterization of heavy oil reservoirs and other similar reservoirs.
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1 INTRODUCTION

A link between the elastic moduli of rocks and the properties of pore-filling materials is required for many geophysical applications such as reservoir characterization from seismic data or 4-D seismic monitoring. Establishing such a link requires an understanding of the interactions among the rock matrix, pore space, and solid or liquid pore fill. Pore fill can be gas (e.g. air, hydrocarbon gas, CO₂ and steam), liquid (e.g. brine, oil, magma) or solid (e.g. kerogen, bitumen, salt, ice, gas hydrates, etc.) (Saxena et al. 2016). For a fluid pore fill (liquid or gas), the Gassmann (1951) equation gives an exact relationship between the dry and saturated moduli, and has been widely employed to predict the change in saturated elastic moduli upon the change of fluid properties without any detailed geometrics information (Smith et al. 2003). The Gassmann theory also stipulates that the effective shear modulus is unaffected by the pore fluid properties (Berryman 1999). However, the Gassmann theory has an important restriction: it assumes that the pressure in the pore fill is spatially uniform. Thus for rocks filled with solid substances or high-viscosity or non-Newtonian fluids, the Gassmann theory is invalid as the shear compliance of the pore fill impedes the pressure communication between different pores (Makarynska et al. 2010; Saxena & Mavko 2014; Glubokovskikh et al. 2016; Sun et al. 2018).

Ciz & Shapiro (2007, referred to as C&S model) derived an approximate extension of the Gassmann equation to a solid pore fill. However, recent studies (Saxena & Mavko 2014; Glubokovskikh et al. 2016; Sun et al. 2018) show that the C&S model gives a lower bound to the elastic moduli of porous and fractured rocks saturated with a solid or high-viscosity liquid because it also assumes that stress throughout the pore fill is spatially uniform. This assumption should be accurate if all pores are of approximately the same shape,
but can be violated if the pore space contains pores with a range of shapes and compliances.

Laboratory experiments show that the dry moduli increase with increasing effective pressure (which is defined as the difference between the confining pressure and pore pressure). Several authors have ascribed the significant initial change in elastic moduli with increasing effective pressure to the closure of crack-like compliant pores (Walsh 1965; Zimmerman et al. 1986; Shapiro 2003). In particular, Shapiro (2003) developed a model based on a binary pore structure by dividing the total porosity into two parts: stiff or equant porosity, which is the dominant portion of the pore space, and soft or compliant porosity, whose total volume is small, but which controls the pressure dependency of the elastic moduli. Makarynska et al. (2010) showed that the presence of compliant pores increases the effect of the solid pore fill on the effective moduli—an effect similar to a Young’s modulus proportional to the effective aspect ratio of stiff pores. Detailed analysis of this issue gives a plausible range of such aspect ratios, and thus the model predicts a range of the elastic moduli of solid-filled sandstone. Sun et al. (2018) applied this approach to a Bentheim sandstone fully saturated with solid octadecane and found that the experimental data lie well within the predicted range. Yet, the prediction uncertainty (associated with lack of knowledge of the effective aspect ratio of stiff pores) reduces the predictive power and usefulness of the theoretical model.

The aim of this paper is to eliminate the uncertainty of model predictions by determining the effective aspect ratio of stiff pores directly from micromotographic images of the pore samples. This is done by numerically simulating $K_{hm}$ and $\mu_{hm}$ directly from the 3-D image of a rock fragment using finite element method (FEM) (Roberts & Garboczi 2000; Arns et al. 2001, 2002), and inverting these moduli for the aspect ratio using the EMT (assuming that most of the porosity solved in the image is stiff porosity). An alternative would be to use simulated $K_{hm}$ and $\mu_{hm}$ directly in the theoretical model. However, such moduli would likely vary from fragment to fragment due to spatial variation of porosity. Determining the effective aspect ratio of stiff pores is likely to be more robust.

In this work, we first reproduce the theories of the triple-porosity model and modify the workflow by pore-scale numerical simulations based on FEM. We then demonstrate the details of how numerical simulations help constrain the predictions of the triple-porosity model. In the end, we compare the modified model predictions with other solid substitutions schemes against the laboratory measurements.

## 2 TRIPLE-POROSITY MODEL

In order to account for the pressure dependency of the elastic moduli, Sun et al. (2018) proposed a triple-porosity model, in which the pore space is divided into stiff, intermediate and compliant pores. This triple-porosity structure is necessary for adequate characterization of the pore geometry, which in turn is essential for modelling the fluid effects (de Paula et al. 2012; Sun et al. 2018). Total porosity $\phi$ is divided into three parts: compliant $\phi_c$, intermediate $\phi_i$, and stiff $\phi_s$. The three types of pores are modelled as spheroids, each with a different aspect ratio. The main results that are used to compute the ‘unrelaxed’ frame moduli $K_{uf}$ and $\mu_{uf}$, which correspond to the moduli of a hypothetical rock in which all non-stiff pores (including compliant and intermediate pores in this work) are fully saturated with fluid and stiff pores remain empty, are described by the following equations (Sun et al. 2018):

\[
\frac{1}{K_{uf}} = \frac{1}{K_{sm}} + \frac{1}{K_{sp}} + \frac{M_{uf}}{\phi_s}
\]

and

\[
\frac{1}{\mu_{uf}} = \frac{1}{\mu_{sm}} + \frac{4}{15} \left( \frac{1}{K_{sp}} - \frac{1}{K_{d}} \right) + \frac{5}{2} \frac{\mu_{uf}}{\phi_s}
\]

where $K_{sm}$ and $\mu_{sm}$ are the high-pressure dry moduli (in this high-pressure limit all pores except stiff pores are assumed completely saturated with fluid). Therefore, $K_{sm}$ and $\mu_{sm}$ cannot be measured directly. Sun et al. (2018) computed $K_{sm}$ and $\mu_{sm}$ using an effective medium theory (EMT). This approach requires knowledge of the aspect ratio of stiff pores. Detailed analysis of this issue gives a plausible range of such aspect ratios, and thus the model predicts a range of the elastic moduli of solid-filled sandstone. Sun et al. (2018) applied this approach to a Bentheim sandstone fully saturated with solid octadecane and found that the experimental data lie well within the predicted range. Yet, the prediction uncertainty (associated with lack of knowledge of the effective aspect ratio of stiff pores) reduces the predictive power and usefulness of the theoretical model.

The aim of this paper is to eliminate the uncertainty of model predictions by determining the effective aspect ratio of stiff pores directly from micromotographic images of the pore samples. This is done by numerically simulating $K_{hm}$ and $\mu_{hm}$ directly from the 3-D image of a rock fragment using finite element method (FEM) (Roberts & Garboczi 2000; Arns et al. 2001, 2002), and inverting these moduli for the aspect ratio using the EMT (assuming that most of the porosity solved in the image is stiff porosity). An alternative would be to use simulated $K_{hm}$ and $\mu_{hm}$ directly in the theoretical model. However, such moduli would likely vary from fragment to fragment due to spatial variation of porosity. Determining the effective aspect ratio of stiff pores is likely to be more robust.

In this work, we first reproduce the theories of the triple-porosity model and modify the workflow by pore-scale numerical simulations based on FEM. We then demonstrate the details of how numerical simulations help constrain the predictions of the triple-porosity model. In the end, we compare the modified model predictions with other solid substitutions schemes against the laboratory measurements.
closed), $K_{d,y}$ and $\mu_{d,y}$ are the pressure-dependent dry moduli calculated directly from ultrasonic velocities and density, $K_d$ and $\mu_d$ correspond to the dry moduli of a hypothetical rock in which compliant pores are closed, $\phi_c$ and $\phi_s$ are the pressure-dependent compliant and intermediate porosity, respectively, $\mu_f$ is the shear modulus of the pore fill, and $M_f$ and $M_{fa}$ are the modified compression stiffness of a typical fluid- or solid-saturated compliant and intermediate pore respectively as given by Tsi & Lee (1998).

Once $K_{s,f}$ and $\mu_{s,f}$ are obtained, we can compute the undrained moduli by saturating the remaining stiff porosity using the lower embedded bound theory (Mavko & Saxena 2013; Saxena & Mavko 2014; Glubokovskikh et al. 2016). We do not reproduce the derivation details but outline the workflow to obtain all the parameters ($K_{hm}$, $\mu_{hm}$, $\phi_c$, $\phi_m$, $K_d$, $\mu_d$, $M_f$, and $M_{fa}$) in equations (1) and (2) (an extension of the workflow described by Sun et al. (2018)).

(1) Dry moduli $K_{d,y}$ and $\mu_{d,y}$ are computed from the pressure dependency of ultrasonic $P$- and $S$-wave velocities and the measured density.

(2) Parameters of compliant pores ($\phi_c, \theta_c, \alpha_c$) are obtained directly from the deformation equations (3) and (4) based on the dual-porosity model by Shapiro (2003) through the least square fitting of the pressure dependency of the dry moduli calculated in step (1).

$$K_{d,y} = K_{hc} \left[ 1 + \theta_c \left( \frac{1}{K_{hc}} - \frac{1}{K_g} \right) P - \theta_c \phi_c e^{-\frac{\theta_c}{\mu_c} \rho \theta_c} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

and

$$\mu_{d,y} = \mu_{hc} \left[ 1 + \theta_{mu} \left( \frac{1}{\mu_{hc}} - \frac{1}{\mu_g} \right) P - \theta_{mu} \phi_c e^{-\frac{\theta_{mu}}{\mu_{mu}} \rho \theta_c} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $K_{hc}$ and $\mu_{hc}$ represent the dry moduli of a hypothetical rock in which compliant pores are closed and the porosity of stiff pores equal to zero-pressure value, $\phi_c$ is the initial compliant porosity at zero pressure, $K_g$ and $\mu_g$ are the bulk and shear moduli of the mineral matrix, $\theta_c$ and $\theta_{mu}$ are the bulk and shear stress sensitivity coefficients of compliant pores, and $\theta_c$ and $\theta_{mu}$ are the bulk and shear stress sensitivity coefficients of stiff pores.

(3) Similarly to compliant pores, parameters of intermediate pores ($K_{hm}$, $\mu_{hm}$, $\phi_m$, $\theta_m$, $\theta_{mu}$) could be derived directly from the deformation equations

$$K_d = K_{hm} \left[ 1 - \theta_m \phi_m e^{-\frac{\theta_m}{\mu_m} \rho \theta_m} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

and

$$\mu_d = \mu_{hm} \left[ 1 - \theta_{mu} \phi_m e^{-\frac{\theta_{mu}}{\mu_{mu}} \rho \theta_m} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\theta_m$ and $\theta_{mu}$ are the bulk and shear stress sensitivity coefficients of intermediate pores, $\phi_m$ is the initial intermediate porosity at zero pressure. For large pressures where compliant pores are closed, $K_d = K_{d,y}$ and $\mu_d = \mu_{d,y}$. $K_d$ can be obtained from the sum of $K_{dry}$ and the exponential term $K_{hc} \theta_c \phi_c e^{-\frac{\theta_c}{\mu_c} \rho \theta_c}$, and $\mu_d$ can be obtained in the same way. If the pressure dependencies of the dry moduli are available at relatively high pressures (50–500 MPa), the parameters ($K_{hm}$, $\mu_{hm}$, $\phi_m$, $\theta_m$, $\theta_{mu}$) can be obtained by the least-square fitting directly. However, ultrasonic velocities at these pressures are seldom available. Thus, we choose to compute the elastic moduli $K_{hm}$ and $\mu_{hm}$ by pore-scale numerical simulations using finite element method. At the voxel size of 2–5 $\mu$m these images do not contain compliant or even intermediate pores, and thus the simulated dry moduli can be expected to correspond to the moduli of the rock containing only stiff pores (so called ‘Swiss Cheese’ rock, see Shapiro 2003). This assumption is consistent with the results of Saenger et al. (2016), who simulated elastic properties from digital images obtained under different confining pressures and found that simulated $P$- and $S$-wave velocities are not sensitive to the confining pressure. Then, other parameters ($\phi_m$, $\theta_m$, $\theta_{mu}$) of intermediate pores can be obtained from $K_{hm}$ and $\mu_{hm}$, as well as from the slope of the linear decay of the intermediate porosity versus pressure at relatively small effective pressures. This slope is in turn obtained from the first-order Taylor expansion (7) and (8) of deformation equations (5) and (6).

$$K_d = K_{hm} (1 - \theta_m \phi_m) + \theta_{mu} \phi_m P$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

and

$$\mu_d = \mu_{hm} (1 - \theta_{mu} \phi_m) + \theta_{mu} \theta_m \phi_m \frac{\phi_m}{K_{hm}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

(4) Pore-scale numerical simulations can only be performed on a pore-scale image of a mm-size rock fragment. Since porosity can be spatially varying, the simulation results depend on the choice of the fragment, which in turn creates an uncertainty in $K_{hm}$ and $\mu_{hm}$. To deal with this issue, we choose to invert the effective aspect ratio of stiff pores using the EMT based on the numerical simulation. We then substitute the obtained aspect ratio and measured total porosity of the entire sample into the same EMT to calculate $K_{hm}$ and $\mu_{hm}$.

(5) With all the parameters obtained from previous steps, we then calculate the unrelaxed rock frame moduli using equations (1) and (2).

(6) Finally, we compute the fully saturated moduli through the lower embedded bound theory by saturating the remaining open stiff pores with a fluid or a true solid.

Previous workflow presents the procedure of using the triple-porosity scheme to estimate the effective moduli of porous rocks saturated with fluids or true solids. Within the workflow, pore-scale numerical simulations are key in deriving the parameters of intermediate pores and are described in next section.

3 APPLICATION

3.1 Experimental data of a Bentheim sandstone

In order to illustrate the validity and applicability of the modified model constrained by pore-scale numerical simulation presented in previous sections, we apply the model to the data of Sun et al. (2018). This ultrasonic data is measured on a Bentheim sandstone,
which has a porosity of 23.56 per cent and is very homogeneous, predominantly comprised of 97 per cent quartz with small portions of accessory feldspars, heavy minerals and Fe-(hydr) oxides (Dubelaar & Nijland 2015). As shown in Fig. 1, the pore fill, octadecane, was chosen because it has a melting/freezing point of $T_m = 28^\circ$C, making it easy to perform laboratory experiments with this substance in both liquid and solid states.

Figs 2(a) and (b) demonstrate the laboratory measurements of ultrasonic $P$- and $S$-wave velocities of the sample in a dry state (open circles) and liquid- (open diamonds) and solid-octadecane (solid squares) saturated in the pressure range of 6–30 MPa. In the dry state, both $P$- and $S$-wave velocities increase clearly with the increasing confining pressure. However, under the condition of solid-octadecane saturated, the pressure dependency of velocities is reduced. To model this difference, we will need the physical properties of the dry sample and octadecane in liquid and solid forms. These properties are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Material properties for the mineral (Vernik 1998) and pore fills used for the modeling and simulations. $K_g$ and $\mu_g$ is the bulk and shear moduli of matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$K_g$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$\mu_g$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$K_f$ (fluid) (GPa)</th>
<th>$\mu_f$ (fluid) (GPa)</th>
<th>$\phi$ (per cent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the ultrasonic measurements on dry sample yield the dry bulk and shear moduli of the rock as the dry velocities are not dispersive (Adelinet et al. 2010; Adam & Otheim 2013). Hence, the dry moduli can be obtained directly from those dry velocities and measured density. In the following sections, we will present analysis of velocities and moduli as functions of confining pressure rather than effective pressure, because it is impossible to independently control pore pressure in the solid pore fill.

3.2 Numerical simulations

3.2.1 Image acquisition

Digital rock images used in this study are acquired by 3-D X-ray microscope VeraXRM-500 ($Z$Radia-Zeiss) at X-ray energy of 60 kV at the voxel size of 2 $\mu$m on the same Bentheim sandstone. For simulation purposes, image segmentation—which is the procedure of attributing different ranges of grey levels to various phases—controls the accuracy of pore-scale numerical simulations. The first step in this procedure is using a 3-D non-local filter to suppress the noise effects. The threshold image segmentation is achieved using combination of Avizo 9.3 software (mainly image processing) and the ImageJ/Fiji software with the 3-D ImageJ Suite plugin (analysis, Ollion et al. 2013). The maximum size of the original data set obtained from the cylindrical core samples is $510 \times 550 \times 893$ voxels. As shown in Figs 3(a)–(d), several subsets of different sizes, are extracted for numerical simulations. All these subsets have the same voxel size of 2 $\mu$m and are segmented to two-phase images: mineral matrix and pore space.

3.2.2 Property prediction

Microstructures defined by the extracted digital images have been already discretized and ready for the numerical computation of elastic moduli. We calculate the elastic moduli of the mixture system with FEM (Roberts & Garboczi 2000; Arn et al. 2001, 2002). The digital image is assumed to have periodic boundary conditions. Elastic moduli of the matrix are set to $K_g = 37.3$ GPa and $\mu_g = 38.3$ GPa, given by Vernik (1998) (average values of the elastic moduli of clean arenites and arenites). Elastic moduli of the pore fill $K_f$ and $\mu_f$ are set to zero in order to simulate the dry moduli. Numerical simulation results based on the four different discretized images (Figs 3a–d) are presented in Table 2.

Table 2 shows that all the simulations overestimate the dry elastic moduli compared with the experimental data at pressure $P_e = 6$ MPa. This is consistent with the previous assumption that numerical simulations from microtomographic X-ray images only corresponds to the effects of stiff pores. We assume that the ‘best’ simulation results are identical to the high-pressure limit moduli $K_{\text{lim}}$ and $\mu_{\text{lim}}$. This cannot be established directly. Indeed, not only laboratory equipment is mostly limited to $P < 50–100$ MPa, but even if we could measure rocks at pressures of 200–2000 MPa, these pressures would likely be outside the elastic regime. However, as suggested by a number of authors (Arn et al. 2007; Andrä et al. 2013; Saenger et al. 2016), we can infer this information indirectly. A typical X-ray microtomographic has a linear size of 1000 voxels and is about 10–50 average linear sand grain sizes. Thus, a typical grain has a length of about 20–100 voxels. The thinnest grain contacts that can be confidently resolved have a thickness of 5 voxels (at least!). Hence the smallest aspect ratio that can be resolved is $a = 0.05$–0.25 (or larger if we need more. These contacts will close at pressures $P = aK$, where $K$ is bulk modulus of the rock matrix. For the Bentheim sandstone, $K \sim$ 10 GPa; this gives $P$ on the order of 500–2500 MPa. However, the simulations presented in Table 2 show that numerical simulations depend on the choice of...
Figure 3. Pore space images of different sizes (a) $510 \times 550 \times 893$, (b) $128 \times 128 \times 128$, (c) $256 \times 256 \times 256$, and (d) $256 \times 256 \times 256$ of subsets extracted from the original cylinder core plugs with the same voxel size of 2 $\mu$m. Images (c) and (d) are the subsets of the same size extracted from different place in the original data set.

Table 2. Simulated dry moduli using different fragments of the digital images.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fragment</th>
<th>$K_{dry}$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$\mu_{dry}$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$\phi$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$128 \times 128 \times 128$</td>
<td>19.06</td>
<td>18.49</td>
<td>18.65 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$256 \times 256 \times 256$ (upper)</td>
<td>15.98</td>
<td>14.17</td>
<td>21.73 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$256 \times 256 \times 256$ (lower)</td>
<td>13.76</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>25.84 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$510 \times 550 \times 893$</td>
<td>17.06</td>
<td>16.29</td>
<td>21.34 per cent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$P_e = 6$ MPa 11.67 9.59

To address this issue, we use the simulation results in conjunction with EMT to determine the effective aspect ratio of stiff pores and then substitute the aspect ratio into the same EMT to compute $K_{hm}$ and $\mu_{hm}$.

3.2.3 Computation of the aspect ratio of stiff pores

In order to compute the aspect ratio of stiff pores, in Figs 4(a) and (b), we compare the numerical simulation results (open circles) against the predictions given by the Self-Consistent Approximation (SCA, Berryman 1980; Ogushwitz 1985) method, assuming the pore geometry of spheres (red dashed line), needles (blue dotted line), and spheroids with an aspect ratio of 0.5 (dashed line), 0.23 (solid line) and 0.1 (dotted line). We note that the predictions given by setting the aspect ratio of stiff pores to 0.23 provide a satisfactory fit to our numerical simulation results. Then, in Figs 5(a) and (b), we compare the numerical simulation results against the predictions given by different EMT methods: the SCA, Kuster-Toksoz (referred to as KT) model (Kuster & Toksöz 1974) and differential effective medium theory (referred to as DEM, Cleary et al. 1980; Norris 1985; Zimmerman 1991) using the same aspect ratio of 0.23 for stiff pores. The SCA method gives the predictions closest to our numerical predictions. This suggests that the SCA method is the best option to compute the effective aspect ratio of stiff pores.

We then compute the high-pressure limit moduli $K_{hm} = 14.74$ GPa and $\mu_{hm} = 14.20$ GPa by substituting the aspect ratio of stiff pores $\alpha_s = 0.23$ into the SCA method. Then, following the eqs (7) and (8) in the previous section, we obtain the parameters of intermediate pores ($\theta_m$, $\theta_{\mu m}$, $\phi_m$). To this end, as shown in Table 3, all the parameters required in the eqs (1) and (2) are obtained from the pressure dependency of ultrasonic velocities and density. We then compare the model predictions against the experimental data in next section.
Figure 4. Comparison of the pore-scale numerical simulations to the predictions for the dry bulk (a) and shear (b) moduli using the SCA method assuming different pore shapes.

Figure 5. Comparison of the pore-scale numerical simulations to a range of theories used to predict the dry bulk (a) and shear (b) moduli using the same aspect ratio of 0.23 for stiff pores.

Table 3. The parameters of compliant and intermediate porosity of the Bentheimer sandstone obtained by applying the workflow of Section 3 to ultrasonic measurements in a dry state.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\theta_c$</th>
<th>$\alpha_c$</th>
<th>$\theta_m$</th>
<th>$\alpha_m$</th>
<th>$\phi_{c0}$</th>
<th>$\phi_{m0}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$3.16 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$1.78 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.37 \times 10^2$</td>
<td>$4.2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$9.75 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. Dry bulk (a) and shear (b) moduli of a Bentheim sandstone as a function of pressure up to 50 MPa. The bulk and shear moduli calculated from the ultrasonic velocities are shown by open circles. Variations of the elastic moduli modelled for low pressures and caused by the closure of the compliant and intermediate pores are shown by the solid line and dashed–dotted line, respectively.
Alternatively, aspect ratio could be estimated by inverting the moduli of each fragment (Arns et al. 2003; Liu et al. 2018). Given that the moduli for all fragments closely follow the single aspect ratio trend (Figs 4 and 5), this approach should give similar results.

3.3 Results

Figs 6(a) and (b) show the least square fitting of the theoretical pressure dependency of the dry moduli (solid line) to the measurements (open circles) for pressures up to 50 MPa. We observe that a combination of exponential and linear terms accounts well for the increase of the dry moduli with the increasing effective pressure. Note that the exponential trend attributed to the compliant cracks closure vanishes within 10–20 MPa. On the other hand, the linear term (dashed–dotted line) is ascribed to the gradual closure of stiff pores (including intermediate pores). However, at pressures up to 500 MPa [see Figs 7(a) and (b), a zoom-in plot of Figs 6(a) and (b)], the dry moduli also show an exponential increase resulting from the closure of intermediate pores, similar to the behaviour of compliant pores at low pressures (<20 MPa). This behaviour at higher pressures has been documented by the ultrasonic measurements of several eclogites and country rocks under confining pressure up to 800 MPa by Wang et al. (2005), which exhibit an approximately exponential increase of the dry moduli with the increasing confining pressures.

We then substitute the parameters from Table 3 into our model and give the model predictions, as shown in Figs 8(a) and (b). First, we see that the C&S model (solid diamonds) gives much lower prediction of the solid-octadecane-saturated moduli in comparison with the experimental data (solid squares). The dual-porosity model (solid triangles) performs much better, but still has a clear discrepancy compared against the experimental data. Compared with the C&S model and the dual-porosity model, the present model (open triangles) gives more accurate estimates, which agree well with the laboratory measurements of the elastic moduli of the sandstone saturated with solid octadecane. Moreover, in the case of liquid octadecane in the pore space (open diamonds), the present model (solid circles) gives predictions that are consistent with the Gassmann theory.

4 DISCUSSION

One important feature of our scheme is that we assume the rock contains three types of pores, compliant, intermediate and stiff. Each type of pores is described to be spheroidal and has an aspect ratio. While this is a crude simplification in regard to the realistic pore shapes, such treatment seems to provide a good fit to the pressure...
dependency of the dry moduli. In this sense, this approximation is reasonable and effective.

Different from the recognition of a binary pore structure, we add a newly defined pore type, intermediate, to explain the pressure effects on the dry moduli at much higher pressures. As defined, intermediate porosity decreases in an exponential law at intermediate pressures, say 200–1000 MPa. We do not claim that this behavior of the pores at such high pressures is realistic. Indeed, those pressures are beyond the capacity of most rock physics laboratories and can even crush typical sandstone samples (Zhang et al. 1990; Wong et al. 1997; Fortin et al. 2007), though not mantle rocks (Wang et al. 2005). Sandstones at such pressures probably lie outside of the elastic regime. Yet the assumption of the behavior of velocities at such pressures is helpful for understanding of pore space geometry.

Application of our model requires the asymptotic values of the dry moduli in high-pressure limit that are usually unknown or hard to obtain directly from ultrasonic measurements due to the restriction of narrow measurement range of pressures. This work introduced pore-scale numerical simulations based on VEM in conjunction with the SCA to determine the effective aspect ratio of stiff pores and then compute the values by substituting the aspect ratio into the SCA. Such treatment implies that the numerical simulation results only correspond to the effects of stiff pores, while soft (compliant and intermediate) pores in the digital rock images are not resolved. While this assumption is reasonable for digital rock images with the voxel size of about 2–5 μm, the smallest voxel size currently available, future developments might provide more direct ways to characterize the pore shapes.

Another potential limitation of our approach is that the model is only suitable for pure sandstone. For shaley sandstone or other complex composite rocks, the pressure effects on the dry moduli are more complicated, and not only controlled by the closure of cracks. Moreover, the pore shapes information extracted from digital rock images is different from those of pure sandstone. Such influence might be studied in future work.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We present a simple scheme for fluid or solid substitution constrained by pore-scale numerical simulation based on finite element method. This scheme relates the change of the effective elastic moduli of porous and fractured rocks to the moduli of pore fill, including fluids, high-viscosity fluids and elastic solids. Pore-scale numerical simulations based on VEM are used to successfully determine the aspect ratio of stiff pores directly from microtomographic images in conjunction with the self-consistent medium theory. Then, the asymptotic values of dry moduli in high-pressure limit where only non-closable pores remain open are obtained using the SCA and then substituted into the scheme to estimate the effective elastic moduli of porous and fractured rocks saturated with various pore fills. The workflow avoids the need to assume the specific geometry of stiff pores and reduces the uncertainty of model predictions without any adjustable parameters. This strengthens the predictive power and usefulness of the model in predicting the effective elastic moduli of rocks resulting from the change in the moduli of pore fill. Successful application to a liquid- and solid-octadecane-saturated Bentheim sandstone has verified the accuracy and applicability of this scheme and indicated its potential in further exploitation and characterization of heavy oil and similar reservoirs.
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