

The Evolving Landscape of Electronic Cigarettes: A systematic review of recent evidence

Jack Bozier^{1,2*}, Emily K. Chivers^{3*}, David G Chapman^{1,2}, Alexander N. Larcombe^{3,4}, Nicole Bastian^{5,6}, Masso-Silva JA⁷, Byun MK^{7, 8}, Christine F. McDonald^{9,10,11}, Laura E. Alexander Crotty^{7,12}, Miranda P Ween^{6,13}.

* Denotes equal contribution

¹School of Life Sciences, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

²Woolcock Institute of Medical Research, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

³Telethon Kids Institute, Perth, WA, Australia

⁴School of Public Health, Curtin University, Perth, WA, Australia

⁵School of Paediatrics and Reproductive Health, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA, Australia

⁶School of Medicine, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA, Australia

⁷Department of Medicine, Division of Pulmonary Critical Care & Sleep, University of California San Diego, San Diego, CA, USA

⁸Division of Pulmonology, Department of Internal Medicine, Gangnam Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea

⁹Department of Respiratory and Sleep Medicine, Austin Health, Heidelberg, Victoria, Australia.

¹⁰Institute for Breathing and Sleep, Heidelberg, Victoria, Australia.

¹¹School of Medicine, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

¹²Pulmonary Critical Care Section, Veterans Affairs San Diego Healthcare System, San Diego, CA, USA

¹³Department of Thoracic Medicine, Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, SA, Australia

Corresponding Author: Dr Miranda Ween, miranda.ween@adelaide.edu.au

Abstract

Smoking continues to be a burden to economies and healthcare systems across the world. One proposed solution to the problem has been e-cigarettes; however, as a relatively new product in the market, little is known about their potential health impacts. Furthermore, e-cigarettes continue to evolve at a rapid rate, making it necessary to regularly review and synthesize available studies. Whilst e-cigarettes are marketed as a smoking cessation tool by some manufacturers, the reality is that many non-smokers, including youth, are using them. In this review we focus on two major demographics - smokers and non-smokers, and evaluate the most recent data (2018-2019) regarding the potential health effects of e-cigarettes. We assessed peer-reviewed studies on health impacts of e-cigarettes with particular focus on common questions asked by policy makers, clinicians, and scientists: 1. What are the effects of e-cigarettes compared with air/not-smoking?; 2. Is there any direct evidence of harm or benefit to humans?; 3. Is there a risk from second-hand exposure?; 4. What are the risks and/or benefits of e-cigarettes compared with tobacco cigarette use?; 5. Are there risks or benefits to specific populations – people with COPD or asthma, and pregnant women (and their offspring)?; 6. What are the effects of flavoring chemicals?; 7.

What are the effects of including nicotine in e-liquids?; 8. How often is nicotine-level labelling incorrect? and 9. What are the risks when e-cigarettes explode?

Abbreviations

EV = e-cigarette vapor

EVE = e-cigarette vapor extract

CS = cigarette smoke

Cig = cigarette

E-cig = e-cigarette

N-EV = nicotine containing e-cigarette vapor

N-EVE = nicotine containing e-cigarette vapor extract

NF-EV = nicotine free e-cigarette vapor

NF-EVE = nicotine free e-cigarette vapor extract

HR = heart rate

BP = blood pressure

NRT = nicotine replacement therapy

eCO = exhaled carbon monoxide

eNO = exhaled nitric oxide

NNN = nitrosamine N-nitrosornicotine

NNAL = nicotine-derived nitrosamine ketone (4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butano

EMT = epithelial–mesenchymal transition

CoI = conflict of interest

ROS = reactive oxygen species

iNOS = inducible nitric oxide synthase

EMT = epithelial–mesenchymal transition

Terminology

Vaper – e-cigarette user

Smoker – tobacco cigarette user

Switcher – tobacco cigarette user who has switched to e-cigarettes

Dual user – user of both tobacco cigarettes and e-cigarettes

Vapor – the cloud of aerosol/mist/fog released by an e-cigarette

Introduction

Modern electronic (e)-cigarettes were commercially developed in 2003 as an alternate nicotine delivery device for tobacco smokers with the aim of smoking abstinence¹. Since then, the use of e-cigarettes worldwide has grown exponentially, with prevalence particularly high in North America^{2,3} and England^{3,4}, while monthly use among adolescents in Poland was estimated at 35%⁵(Table 1). It is generally accepted that e-cigarette vapor contains fewer toxicants than tobacco smoke, however, it still contains numerous toxicants due to the presence of nicotine (in the majority of e-liquids), the humectants propylene glycol and glycerin, flavor additives, and the presence of metal contaminants^{6,7}. In the absence of data, tobacco regulatory officials have argued for different positions along a spectrum from promotion of e-cigarettes for harm minimization⁸ to a regulatory approach which prevents expansion of the nicotine market and favors proven smoking cessation techniques⁹. This has led to a vastly heterogeneous regulatory approach to e-cigarettes¹⁰, with greater regulatory restriction corresponding to lower prevalence of e-cigarette use amongst tobacco smokers¹¹.

E-cigarettes are used by non-smokers (including never-smokers), smokers who have switched to e-cigarettes, ex-smokers who have taken up vaping, and those using both conventional

cigarettes and e-cigarettes. In regards to e-cigarette use as a smoking cessation tool, a recent randomized controlled trial reported that smoking cessation was achieved in more participants using e-cigarettes than in those using conventional nicotine replacement but with the caveat that participants in both groups had regular face-to-face meetings with clinicians¹², support that is not provided to the majority of those seeking to quit smoking, and in particular medical support and knowledge is not provided for e-cigarette use. Furthermore, only 18% of participants using e-cigarettes achieved smoking abstinence, suggesting that e-cigarettes are not the magic cure for tobacco smoking. Additionally, 80% of the e-cigarette users who were tobacco abstinent were continuing to use e-cigarettes 12 months later, suggesting that e-cigarettes may promote continued nicotine dependence. Evidence also suggests that many e-cigarette users continue to smoke cigarettes¹³ and the extent of harm minimization, if any, in dual users is unclear. Even more worrisome, use of e-cigarettes may contribute to relapse of smoking in ex-smokers^{14,15} and may encourage initiation of tobacco smoking amongst non-smokers¹⁴. Systematic reviews on the role of e-cigarettes in smoking cessation have been published previously^{16,17}.

Much needed clarity was brought to the debate surrounding e-cigarettes by the 2018 report of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine (NASEM)¹⁸ which summarized and drew conclusions based upon the current understanding of e-cigarettes at the time. However, the e-cigarette landscape continues to evolve rapidly, with constant development of new devices and an exponentially growing body of scientific literature. Here, we provide a comprehensive update of data on the potential health effects of e-cigarettes since the NASEM report. We have provided focused discussion of the scientific literature that will help inform the general public, healthcare practitioners and policy makers of the effects of e-cigarette use on health.

Table 1: Prevalence of current e-cigarette use amongst the general population (tobacco smokers and non-smokers) in different regions/countries

Region/Country	Prevalence of <u>current</u> e-cigarette use among general population	Population (date of data collection)	Reference
United States of America	5.5% of adults (>18 years old) ^a	32,320 adults from Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) study (2013-2014)	Kasza 2017 ²
	5.2% of youth (16-19 years old) ^b	4,045 youth from International Tobacco Control Youth Tobacco and Vaping Survey (2018)	Hammond 2019 ³
England	5.5% of adults (≥ 16 years old) ^c	81,063 adults (2014-2017)	Kock 2019 ⁴
	2.2% of youth (16-19 years old) ^b	3,902 youth from International Tobacco Control Youth Tobacco	Hammond 2019 ³

		and Vaping Survey (2018)	
Canada	2.9% of adults (≥ 15 years old) ^D 3.6% of youth (16-19 years old) ^B	30,291 adults from The Canadian Tobacco, Alcohol and Drugs Survey (2017) 3,853 youth from International Tobacco Control Youth Tobacco and Vaping Survey (2018)	Reid 2019 ¹⁹ Hammond 2019 ³
Central & Eastern Europe	2.9% of adults ^D	14,352 University students in the YoUng People E-Smoking Study (2017-2018)	Brozek 2019 ²⁰
Poland only	35% of adolescents (15-19 years old) ^E	1,978 secondary and technical school students (2015-2016)	Smith 2019 ³
Australia	1.2% of adults (≥ 18 years old) ^F	22,354 adults in the National Drug Strategy Household Survey	Chan 2019 ²¹
New Zealand	2.1% of adults (≥ 15 years old) ^E	3,854 adults in the Health and Lifestyles Survey (2016)	Oakly 2019 ²²
China	1.2% of adolescents (11-17 years old) ^E	155,117 middle school students in the Global Youth Tobacco Survey (GYTS) China Project (2013-2014)	Xiao 2018 ²³
Japan	1.9% of adults (17-71 years old) ^E	4,217 adults (2017)	Tabuchi 2018 ²⁴
Mexico	1% of adolescents (12-17 years old) ^G 1.1% of adults (18-65 years old) ^G	12,436 adolescents and 44,313 adults from the ENCODAT survey (2016)	Zavala-Arciniega 2018 ²⁵

^A current use was assessed as use of e-cigarettes every day or some days

^B current use was assessed as use on ≥ 15 days in the past 30 days

^C current use was assessed as indication of e-cigarette in response to the question “Can I check, are you using any of the following?”

^D current use was assessed as the answers “Yes, I use e-cigarettes” or “Yes, I smoke traditional cigarettes and e-cigarettes”

^E current use was assessed as e-cigarette use (including dual use) within the past 30 days

^F current use was assessed as ‘less than monthly’ or more regularly

^G current use was assessed as e-cigarette use on a “daily or less than daily” basis

Methods

The authors conducted a PubMed search in the title or abstract for “electronic cigarette” OR “e-cigarette” OR “electronic nicotine delivery system” OR “personal vaporiser” OR “personal vaporizer” published between Feb 2017 until end of May 2019 as well as “e-liquid” AND “nicotine content” until end of May 2019 as these were not covered in the NASEM report. This initial series of searches identified 2687 unique results. Items were removed if they were a duplicate, not in English, meeting abstracts, reviews, editorials, or comments on articles. The authors then screened for articles that specifically answered these common questions: 1 What are the effects of e-cigarettes compared with air/not-smoking?; 2. Is there any direct evidence of harm or benefit to humans?; 3. Is there a risk from second-hand exposure?; 4. What are the risks and/or benefits of e-cigarettes compared with tobacco cigarette use?; 5. Are there risks or benefit to specific populations –people with COPD or asthma, and pregnant women (and their offspring)?; 6. What are the effects of flavoring chemicals? 7. What are the effects of including nicotine in e-liquids?, 8. How often is nicotine-concentration labelling incorrect? and 9. What are the risks when e-cigarettes explode? A total of 225 unique results were included in this review. The authors conducted reviews of the literature and categorized the study types as: 0 – Chemical analysis of e-liquid or vapor; 1 – *in vitro* work; 2 – *ex vivo* work from human samples; 3 – animal model; 4 – case study; or 5 – human study. The authors had a specific focus on elucidating study design for reproducibility in future studies, study results, and any limitations to the studies including any stated conflict of interest. Where authors had authored an article to be assessed, an author who did not participate in the study independently reviewed the study.

The harms of vaping to non-smokers

Numerous studies have investigated the health effects of using e-cigarettes compared with breathing air/not-smoking as well the effects of secondhand exposure to people, animals or cells naïve to tobacco smoke (Table 2 and Supplementary Tables 1-2).

Progression to tobacco smoking

When addressing the role of e-cigarettes in harm minimization for smokers consideration must also be given to any effect e-cigarettes may have in promoting the uptake of tobacco cigarettes among non-smokers i.e. the “gateway effect”¹⁴. An early meta-analysis of nine studies containing data from 17389 adolescents and young adults showed that e-cigarette use was associated with an increased risk of subsequent initiation of tobacco smoking (odds ratio = 3.5)²⁶. The evidence from more recent studies is conflicting. Temporal analysis of youth tobacco smoking and e-cigarette use suggested that at a population level, increasing prevalence of e-cigarette use in the US was associated with a faster decline in tobacco smoking²⁷. In contrast, Barrington-Trimis et al²⁸ and Chaffee et al²⁹ reported that among never smokers, adolescents who used e-cigarettes were more likely to transition to tobacco cigarette smoking, whether as a dual user or sole tobacco cigarette smoker. This suggests that the effect of e-cigarettes may be different at the population level and the individual level.

Inflammation and immune response

E-cigarette induced toxicity in a range of cells (including lung cells) was well established in the NASEM report¹⁸ and recent studies have reinforced these findings³⁰⁻³⁴ with many studies suggesting increased oxidative stress as a cause of toxicity^{30,35-37}. Studies have begun to explore beyond toxicity, many with a focus on inflammation. This has proven an interesting area of

research as some *in vitro/ex vivo* studies have shown that e-cigarettes can cause the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines³⁸⁻⁴¹, whilst others have shown that the levels of some cytokines can decrease with certain flavors or exposure times^{33,34,42-44}. Mouse studies also showed conflicting cytokine data^{45,46}. Human studies showed unchanged cytokines in the gingival crevicular fluid⁴⁷ or saliva⁴⁸ of e-cigarette users whilst several cytokines were increased in the sulcular fluid of e-cigarette users^{49,50}.

E-cigarette exposure also impaired *in vitro/ex vivo* viral responses³³ and bacterial clearance by macrophages^{39,51} and neutrophils^{43,52}. Additional studies showed increased adhesion and colonization of bacteria^{52,53}. These findings suggest that use of e-cigarettes may impair the ability to fight infection.

Clinical analysis of cardio-respiratory changes

E-cigarette users have an increased risk of respiratory symptoms compared to never-smokers, and there is a significantly increased risk in ex-smokers who currently use e-cigarettes compared to ex-smokers who do not⁵⁴. Although lung function, as assessed by spirometry, has been shown to be impaired in tobacco naïve e-cigarette users compared to never-smokers⁵⁵, a prospective study (with a CoI) of tobacco smoking naïve e-cigarette users showed no change in lung function, respiratory symptoms, and inflammation over 3.5 years of use⁵⁶. The airways of e-cigarette users appear more friable and erythematous during bronchoscopy compared to non-users⁵⁷. Short-term e-cigarette use elevated HR in humans^{58,59}, while in mice chronic exposure decreased HR and elevated BP⁴⁰. There are conflicting reports as to whether e-cigarette use is associated with cardiovascular disease in population studies^{60,61}. Although a very small study in humans (n=9) suggested that e-cigarette use does not affect the metabolic activity of aortic wall tissue⁶², another showed that e-cigarette use increased arterial stiffness⁶³. Murine models have shown that e-cigarette exposure leads to increased aorta stiffness and constrictor responses⁶⁴, increased angiogenesis in heart tissue⁶⁵, increased endothelial cell markers⁶³ and decreased vasodilation⁶⁴. In rodents, long-term e-cigarette exposure leads to emphysematous lung destruction, loss of pulmonary capillaries⁶⁶, reduced small airway function and airway hyperresponsiveness⁶⁷. Furthermore, acute exposure in guinea pigs causes transient bronchoconstriction due to activation of vagal bronchopulmonary C-fiber afferents⁶⁸. There has also been a number of case studies where e-cigarette use is thought to be the cause of respiratory disease (Table 2). Recently, there has been an outbreak of lung injuries associated with e-cigarette use in the United States which has been named EVALI (e-cigarette, or vaping, product use associated lung injury) / VAPI (vaping associated pulmonary illness). Almost 2300 cases of EVALI had been reported to CDC by late November 2019 with 47 deaths confirmed^{69,70}. Cases including one death have now been reported from Canada, Britain, Malaysia, Argentina and Malaysia. Many of the respiratory case reports in Table 2, may also have been early cases of EVALI. Whilst the specific chemical/s responsible for these injuries are yet to be determined with proof of causation, these reports highlight the fact that heating and inhalation of the wrong substance into the lungs can cause serious lung damage and even death⁷⁰⁻⁷².

Dental Health

Reports suggest that, compared to never-smokers, e-cigarette users are more likely to have gum disease, bone loss around teeth and broken teeth⁷³⁻⁷⁶. In people with dental implants, e-cigarette use was associated with bone loss around the implant, increased inflammation and higher plaque index and probing depth^{49,50}. However, other studies report no difference in tooth health or oral inflammation^{47,77}. Conflicting results are also reported regarding the effect of e-cigarette use on the oral microbiome with studies showing

higher oral *Candida* carriage rates⁷⁸ and greater *Streptococcus mutans* colonization on the enamel surface⁷⁹, or no difference in the oral microbiome⁸⁰.

Developmental Effects

E-cigarette exposure reduced proliferation and altered morphology in healthy human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells³⁷ as well as reducing placental trophoblast invasion and tube formation⁸¹. In a frog model, flavored e-cigarette exposure with and without nicotine during embryonic development led to craniofacial defects⁸². Similarly, exposure of pregnant mice to e-cigarettes without nicotine led to heavier offspring with more fat mass and body fat percentage suggesting that in utero exposure may lead to metabolic dysfunction in the offspring⁸³. In addition, maternal e-cigarette exposure also increased brain neuropeptide Y and iNOS in offspring⁸⁴, leading to impaired short-term memory and hyperactivity⁸⁵.

Table 2. Studies addressing research question 2: Is there any direct evidence of harm or benefit to humans?

Study types are categorized as: 0 – Chemical analysis of e-liquid or vapor; 1 – *in vitro* work; 2 – *ex vivo* work from human samples; 3 – animal model; 4 – case study; or 5 – human study

REFERENCE	Study type	Text Summary <i>Methodology, findings, conclusions</i>	Limitations	Summative data – No of participants, pooled ODDS RATIOS ETC.
Abafalvi 2019 ⁶⁶	5 General Health	<p>Survey Self-reported health effects of vaping among Hungarian adults.</p> <p>Participants were either current smokers (tobacco cigarettes only), ex-smokers that had switched to exclusive e-cig use, or dual-users of both tobacco and e-cigarettes. Smoking and e-cig use was graded according to frequency of use and nicotine content. Exclusion criteria: < 18 years old, never-smokers, invalid/incomplete responses.</p> <p>Less than a quarter of e-cig users (single + dual) reported adverse events related to use but the majority perceived improvements in several health outcomes, such as breathing, quality of sleep and general physical status. Dual users were more likely to report adverse events than exclusive e-cig users (26.2% vs 11.8%). Over 80% of exclusive e-cig users reported improved breathing and overall physical status. Over 65% of dual users reported improvements in breathing and overall physical status.</p> <p>Self-reported improvements were significantly higher among individuals exclusively using e-cigarettes more than a year and people who were past heavy smokers (smoked ≥ 20 cigarettes per day)</p>	<p>Self-reported effects.</p> <p>Recruited through online e-cig forum websites which may add bias.</p> <p>Confined to 14 specified Acute Events and 10 physiological functions.</p> <p>No data on generation/type of e-cigarette</p> <p>No assessment of health effects in e-cig users who have never smoked.</p>	<p>1042 unique responders. Dual users = 183 E-cig only users = 858</p> <p>E-cig only users had higher odds of reporting benefits in breathing (OR [95% CI] 3.39 [2.15–5.33]), general physical status (2.28 [1.56–3.32]), mood (2.09 [1.48–2.96]) and quality of sleep (1.70 [1.21–2.41]).</p>
Ahmed 2018 ⁸⁷	4 Cardiovascular	<p>Case report A 41-year-old woman with no significant medical history except daily e-cigarette use developed spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD) while breastfeeding after an uncomplicated delivery. Patient recovered after treatment.</p>	<p>No mention of device, e-juice, or nicotine level used.</p> <p>Postpartum hormonal changes are a known risk factor for SCAD and the use of e-cigarettes may only “potentially” increase the risk.</p>	n = 1
Al-Aali 2018 ⁸⁹	5 Oral Health \	<p>Human study Group 1: Current vapers who reported e-cig use for ≥ 1 year. Group 2: Never smokers (no tobacco in any form) Exclusion: current cigarette smokers, waterpipe smokers, smokeless tobacco smokers.</p> <p>Comparison of dental health around dental implants in male E-cig users vs non-users (no tobacco of any form). E-cig users had more bone loss around the implant but had less bleeding on probing.</p>	<p>Participants in vaper group may have previously smoked.</p> <p>Only studied males.</p> <p>Less than half in each group brushed twice/day.</p> <p>No mention of nicotine or flavors.</p>	<p>E-cig users = 47 Non-users = 45</p>

		Inflammation (TNF- α and IL-1B) was considerably higher in sulcular fluid from e-cig users.		
AlQahtani 2018⁹⁰	5 Oral Health	<u>Human study</u> Group 1: Current e-cigarette users. Group 2: Current cigarette users. Group 3: Never-smokers (control). Participants had \geq one dental implant which had been in service for \geq 3 years. Plaque index, probing depth, mesial and distal bone loss were all higher in e-cig users than controls, whilst bleeding on probing was lower than in controls. Bone loss and probing depth were higher in cigarette users than e-cig users. Peri-implant sulcular fluid, TNF α , IL-6, and IL-1 β were increased in e-cig users compared with non-users. IL-6 was higher in cigarette users than e-cig users.	Lack of information on what defined an e-cig user. May have been dual users rather than exclusive e-cig users. Although full-mouth periodontal examination was performed, their recordings are not presented in the study.	n = 40 per group
Ang 2018⁸⁸	5 Poisonings	<u>Analysis of telephone enquiries</u> The UK National Poisons Information Service received 278 enquiries relating to e-cigarette liquid exposure in children between April 2008 and March 2016. There has been a consistent and substantial increase in calls regarding e-liquid exposure in children since 2012, with over 100 calls in 2018. 80% of cases involved children under four. Most cases were minor and asymptomatic and there were no fatalities. In symptomatic cases, the most common symptoms were vomiting and tachycardia.	Complete follow-up data unavailable.	278 enquiries Symptoms present in 63/278 cases
Arter 2019⁸⁹	4 Respiratory	<u>Case report</u> An 18-year-old female presented with fever, cough, difficulty breathing and pleuritic chest pain. She developed hypoxic respiratory failure as was diagnosed with acute eosinophilic pneumonia. Cause was attributed to e-cigarettes use as she had begun using e-cigarette with nicotine (6%) two months prior. She vaped for 30min, 5 times/day.	No clear link between e-cigs and AEP. E-cig use was implicated because there was a lack of other causative irritants.	n = 1
Augustin 2018⁹⁰	4 Respiratory	<u>Case report</u> A 33-year-old male presented with hemoptysis and subacute respiratory failure. He was later diagnosed with diffuse alveolar hemorrhage syndrome, likely induced by aggressive vaping. Blood found in BAL but little inflammation in serum. No microbiological growth. The patient recovered after steroid treatment and has ceased using e-cigarettes. E-juice used in this case was predominantly PG-based, with nicotine (quantity not specified) and flavorings.	Was treated with antibiotics for pneumonia 2 weeks before visit with minimal improvement. Implied that it was caused by “experiment[ing] with new flavors” but no causality. No mention of flavors used or nicotine level.	n = 1
Bardellini 2018⁹¹	5 Oral Health	<u>Human study</u> Group 1: Former smokers (daily/almost daily use, \geq 100 lifetime cigarettes, quit between 6 months and 2 years ago). Group 2: Current e-cigarette users (\geq 6 months of use)	Definition of smokers is only ‘smoked more than 100 cigarettes in lifetime’, not very representative of real smokers, could include people	n = 45 former smokers, 45 e-cig users

		E-cigarette was associated with more oral mucosal lesions than former cigarette use. Significant increases in rates of nicotine stomatitis, hairy tongue, and hyperplastic candidiasis were seen in e-cig users compared to former smokers. One case of squamous cell carcinoma was seen in former smokers, but none in e-cig users. No differences in terms of precancerous OMLs (lichen planus, leukoplakia) were found between the two groups.	who smoked for a short period against heavy e-cig users. No details of use frequency of participants. E-cig users weren't restricted to same device/ E-liquid, which could contribute to variation. E-cig group was almost all men.	
Cant 2017²²	4 Oral Health	<u>Case report</u> A 72-year-old male presented with a severe, necrotic looking oral ulcer attributed to a severe burn caused by electronic cigarette use. He gave a history of a painful area appearing after inhaling strongly on his e-cigarette and suffered extreme discomfort immediately afterwards. The area eventually healed completely.	Patient had smoked 20 conventional cigarettes per day for 30 years.	n = 1
Caponnetto 2017²³	5 Neurological	<u>Human study</u> 34 smokers (using ≥ 15 cigarettes per day for ≥ 10 years) abstained from smoking for ≥ 12 hours before using one of four e-cigarettes or their usual tobacco cigarette. 1 st and 2 nd generation devices tested, 0 or 24mg/mL nicotine, mint or tobacco flavor. An initial 15-minute session was followed by ad libitum use throughout the day. No effect was seen on cognitive performance (attention, executive function and working memory).	COI: Research supported by Happy Liquid Current smokers so no true control session was available due to nicotine withdrawal. .	34 regular tobacco smokers
Carter 2017²⁴	4 Respiratory	<u>Case report</u> A 35-year-old female presented to the emergency department with sudden-onset dyspnea, extensive pattern of suspected chemical injury was noted in her airways, her injuries were likely suffered secondary to use of an ENDS. Reported daily use of ENDS with 25 mg/mL nicotine.	Case report Patient had several chronic conditions associated with obesity.	n = 1
Chatterjee 2019²⁵	3 Vascular 5 Systemic/Blood Marker	<u>Human Study</u> 10 Healthy non-smokers Device name: E-puffer eco-disposable Device power settings: 3.7 V 2.7Ω Puff length in seconds: 2s Puff frequency: Puff volume in ml: No of puffs:16-17 Total exposure time: Nicotine concentration (if any): 0 mg/mL List flavors tested: PG/VG ratio: 70:30 Increased serum CRP and ICAM1 after single vape session (blood from participants)	Cell lines used for ROS experiments, would've been better to measure ROS in participants, although maybe not enough oxidant?	N = 10

		Decreased Nitric Oxide was also found in serum (sign of endothelial dysfunction). Increased ROS in HPMVEC treated with EVE		
Chaumont 2018⁶⁶	5 Cardiovascular Systemic/Blood Marker	Human study 25 occasional smokers used an e-cigarette containing 50:50 PG:VG, 0 or 3 mg/mL nicotine for ~12 minutes. A “last-generation high-power vaping device” was used with the following settings: Power settings: 60 W (0.4 Ω dual coil). Puff number and frequency: 25 x 4 s puffs, at 30 s intervals. Vaping nicotine free PG/VG mix did not alter microcirculatory function, arterial stiffness, or oxidative stress. Using the nicotine-containing e-cig decreased microcirculatory endothelial-dependent function, increased arterial stiffness, increased blood pressure and heart rate, and increased plasma myeloperoxidase (oxidative stress).	All participants were occasional smokers. Blinding not possible. Nicotine level low, not representative of common levels.	25 participants
Cho 2017⁹⁷	5 Oral Health	Survey Responses from the Twelfth Korean Youth Risk Behavior Web-based Survey were analyzed. Responses were divided into never-, former-, past month- and daily E-cig users. E-cig users were further divided into nicotine and nicotine-free groups. Compared to never-users, daily E-cig use was associated with an increased odds of having a cracked or broken tooth in the past 12 months and tongue/inside-cheek pain. Odds were adjusted for potential confounders such as tobacco smoking, economic status, obesity and carbonated drink consumption. ORs were only significant in nicotine e-cig users.	Low numbers of non-nicotine e-cig users gave very wide confidence intervals	65,528 students Daily users = 297 Past month users = 1259 Former users = 3484 <u>Cracked tooth</u> Never Use No = 53605, yes = 6519 Former No = 3202, Yes = 646 OR 1.16 (1.04-1.30) * Past Month No = 1005, Yes = 254 OR 1.26 (1.06-1.51) * Daily user No = 216, Yes = 81 OR 1.65 (1.19-2.27) ** <u>Tongue/inside-cheek pain</u> Never Use No = 53549, yes = 6575 Former No = 3417, Yes = 431 OR 0.98 (0.86±1.11) Past Month No = 1087, Yes = 172 OR 1.26 1.08 (0.88±1.33) Daily user No = 238, Yes = 59 OR 1.54 (1.05±2.26) *
Demir 2018⁹⁸	4 Auditory	Case report A 6-year-old female presented to the emergency clinic after ingesting a bottle of e-liquid (1.2 mg/mL nicotine) 3 – 4 hours previously. The patient was experiencing nausea and vomiting. Her estimated nicotine intake was 8.4 mg. She experienced sudden sensorineural hearing loss (defined as 30+ dB bilateral or unilateral sensorineural hearing loss at 3 consecutive frequencies within 72 hours) 24 hours after consuming the e-liquid. In the absence of any other significant previous history or abnormal test results the authors theorize that the	Case report Only subjective evidence of normal hearing loss prior to exposure. Methylprednisolone was given yet it is unclear as to whether immunological causes were ruled out.	n = 1

		hearing loss is related to the ingestion of e-liquid. The patient's hearing did not recover, and now uses bilateral hearing devices.		
Flower 2017⁹⁹	4 Respiratory	<u>Case report</u> A 33-year-old male experienced respiratory bronchiolitis interstitial lung disease (RB-ILD). The patient was a dual user of tobacco and electronic cigarettes, vaping 10 – 15 times per day in addition to smoking 10 tobacco cigarettes. After 3 months of e-cigarette use the patient had poorly defined pulmonary nodules with fluffy parenchyma opacification along the terminal bronchovascular units on their chest CT. The abnormalities resolved after the patient ceased use of e-cigarettes.	No mention of nicotine. Patient “continued to smoke 10 cigs per day” suggests tobacco smoking did not change with e-cig. Therefore, could be caused by increased exposure. Diagnosis of mixed germ cell cancer may reduce generalizability to all e-cig users.	n = 1
Franzen 2018¹⁰⁰	5 Cardiovascular	<u>Human study</u> Crossover study of 15 smokers using an e-cigarette with nicotine, an e-cigarette without nicotine, and tobacco cigarettes in three separate sessions (48 h washout between). Device name: DIPSE, eGo-T CE4 vaporizer Device power settings: 3.3 V, 1.5 Ω 7.26 W Puff length in seconds: 4 s Puff frequency: 1 puff per 30 s Puff volume in ml: N/A No of puffs: 10 puffs Duration and frequency of exposure: Nicotine concentration (if any): 0 and 24 mg/mL nicotine List flavors tested (if any): tobacco flavor PG/VG ratio: 55/35 Participants were followed up for 2 hours after smoking a cigarette or vaping an electronic cigarette. Nicotine-containing EV had similar effects to cigarettes. N-EV increased systolic BP for 45 minutes after exposure. CS increased SBP for 15 minutes after exposure. NF-EV did not affect SBP. N-EV increased heart rate for 45 minutes after exposure. CS increased SBP for 30 minutes after exposure. NF-EV did not affect SBP. Elevation of pulse wave velocity was independent from mean arterial pressure as well as HR in the N-EV and CS groups.	Small group sizes Only used 10 puffs of e-cigarette	n = 4 – 6 per group
Fuller 2018¹⁰¹	5 Urine marker	<u>Human study</u> 13 non-smoking (≥ 6 months) e-cigarette users (> 24 times per week) 10 non-smoking, non- e-cigarette using controls Analysis of e-cigarette user urine revealed the presence of two carcinogenic compounds, o-toluidine and 2-naphthylamine, at a mean 2.3- and 1.3-fold higher concentration.	Small group sizes Not all participants in e-cig group were long term non-smokers (>12m) No comparison with levels in current smokers.	Non-smoker non-vaper = 10 E-cig user = 13

			Limited information about e-cigarette use.	
Goniewicz 2018 ^{102,514}	5 Systemic/Blood Marker	<u>Human study</u> Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) Study data were used. Exclusive e-cigarette users, tobacco-only users, and dual users were identified and biomarkers of nicotine exposure and other tobacco-related toxicants (PAHs, VOCs, metals etc.) were assessed. Concentrations of nicotine and toxicants were lower among exclusive e-cigarette users compared to tobacco smokers. Dual users exhibited higher concentrations of exposure to almost all the biomarkers compared to exclusive cigarette smokers and exclusive e-cigarette smokers.	No data on generation/type of e-cigarette	The final analytic sample size was 5105 participants. Smokers = 2411 E-cig- only users = 247 Dual users = 792 Never-users = 1655
Govindarajan 2018 ¹⁰³	5 Poisonings	<u>E-cigarette exposure report analysis</u> Reports of liquid nicotine exposure data from the US National Poison Data System for January 2012 through April 2017 were analyzed. 8269 exposures occurred among children < 6 years. The number of exposures has fallen since Jan 2015 which may be related to introduction of child resistant packing (federal law requiring child-resistant packaging was introduced after July 26, 2016). 20.3 % of children experienced a minor effect, 1.67 % a moderate effect and 0.1 % a major effect. 35.1 % were treated and/or evaluated and released; 1.4 % were admitted to hospital. There was one death of a child aged one year.	Calls to poisons centers are voluntary therefore the NPDS likely underestimates the true incidence of exposures to liquid nicotine. Differentiation of liquid nicotine exposures due to e-cigs themselves versus e-liquids was uncertain –thus limiting the ability to assess impact of child resistant packaging laws that only apply to e-cig liquid containers.	
Hughes 2019 ¹⁰⁴	4 Poisonings	<u>Review of case reports</u> 265 calls to the Oregon Poison Centre related to e-cigarettes were assessed. Cases were followed up in 4 hours to re-evaluate symptoms of the affected individual. Of the 265 incidents, 193 involved children and 72 involved adults. 72% of the pediatric cases and 61% of the adult cases involved e-liquid refill containers or liquid. 56% of pediatric exposures involved ingestion of refill liquid. Of these, 32% exhibited symptoms after ingesting e-liquid. Only 2 children who were asymptomatic during the initial call became symptomatic on follow-up. Most symptomatic patients were no longer symptomatic on follow-up. 71 specific products/brands were identified as being involved in the incidents. These products had nicotine concentrations ranging from 0 to 60 mg/mL. A variety of flavors of e-liquid were involved, many of which with names that may be attractive to children.	Not all patients or practitioners were able to identify the brand of e-cigarette solution or the concentration. Poison center reporting is voluntary, their data likely underestimates the total number of e-cigarette exposures and associated adverse clinical effects.	n = 265
Itoh 2018 ¹⁰⁵	4 Respiratory	<u>Case report</u> A 46-year-old healthy male developed respiratory distress, night sweats, fever, and weight loss after 1-month e-cigarette use. He was admitted to hospital after 2 months and diagnosed with acute alveolitis (intra-alveolar fibrosis accompanied with	Single case report, not representative of how all patients would respond, although the author cites two other case studies of acute	n = 1

		exudate containing abundant lipid-laden macrophages, eosinophils, and neutrophils). This was attributed to e-cig induced acute lung injury (ALI) after other testing. Glycerin could be attributed to the abundant lipid-laden macrophages. The patient ceased e-cig use and after pharmacological treatment ALI was resolved.	eosinophilic pneumonia related to e-cig use.	
Khan 2018 ¹⁰⁶	4 Respiratory	Case report 40 -year-old African American female patient who presented with acute hypoxemic respiratory failure and was diagnosed with organizing pneumonia secondary to e-cigarette use after 1 month of symptoms. History: smoked half a pack a day for more than 10 years, 1 month ago, when she switched to e cigarettes to help her quit.	No evidence given as to why e-cigarettes were decided to be the cause beyond coincidental timing of symptom onset and switching from tobacco cigarettes to E-cigs.	
Lappas 2018 ¹⁰⁷	5 Respiratory	Human study Participants (current dual e-cigarette and cigarette users) with and without mild asthma used an e-cigarette. Device name: N/A Device power settings: 1.6 Ω, 3.7V Puff length in seconds: 4 s Puff frequency: 30 s puff interval Puff volume in ml: N/A No of puffs: 10 Duration and frequency of exposure: Nicotine concentration (if any): 12 mg/mL List flavors tested (if any): tobacco PG/VG ratio: 46:34 PG:VG Asthmatics Both 'healthy' and 'mild asthmatic' groups exhibited increased total impedance and resistance immediately after e-cigarette session. 'Mild asthmatic' group had higher baseline values and more prominent effects immediately after e-cigarette session. FeNO decreased significantly in both groups, 'asthmatic' group took additional 15 minutes to return to baseline levels (≥ double 'healthy' group time).	Participants were all dual e-cigarette and cigarette users. Participants were all smokers No smoke group comparison	Single study of 54 smokers
Marasco 2018 ¹⁰⁸	4 Respiratory	Case report A 17-year-old male experienced dyspnea, shortness of breath and painful swallowing and was found to have spontaneous pneumomediastinum (mediastinal emphysema) from e-cigarette use. The patient claimed this was his first and only e-cigarette use. Patient was discharged and referred to general thoracic surgery department outpatient clinic after discharge as 48 hr. chest radiograph showed no progression/complication. At 2 months follow up condition appeared to have resolved and patient had stopped vaping.	Single case report, very rare condition, hasn't been reported before from e-cig use.	
Meo 2019 ¹⁰⁹	5 Respiratory	Human study E-cigarette users defined as using nicotine-containing e-liquid daily for ≥ the past 6 months with current or former cigarette smokers, shisha smokers, and users of other tobacco products excluded.	Only males assessed Only 6 months of E-cig exposure	Non-users = 30 Daily users = 30

		<p>Non-users defined as never tried e-cigarettes, regular cigarettes, or shisha</p> <p>The lung function test parameters that were found to be significantly decreased in e-cigarette users compared to their control group were FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC, FEF25%, FEF50%, FEF75%, FEF25%–75%, FEF75%–85%.</p> <p>No significant difference in FeNO, FVC and PEF between the two groups.</p> <p>The reduced pattern of lung function test parameters exhibits peripheral obstructive airway impairment</p>	<p>Were not able to calculate the dose response of e-cigarettes as there was no set “dose” for use</p>	
Miler 2017¹¹⁰	4 Oral Health/ Infection	<p>Case report</p> <p>A never-smoker who became a vaper experienced a complete resolution of chronic tonsillitis and a marked improvement in tonsil stones after 8 months of e-cigarette use.</p>	<p>Self-reported diagnosis and improvement rather than medical records.</p>	n = 1
Miler 2018¹¹¹	4 Respiratory/Infection	<p>Case report</p> <p>A never-smoker adopted an e-cigarette that his wife was using. After a few weeks of vaping liquids containing vegetable glycerin with low levels of nicotine (3 mg/mL) experienced a complete resolution of chronic nasal Staphylococcus aureus infections. Patient periodically exhaled the vapor through nostrils. No VG in E-liquids.</p>	<p>Single patient with no investigation as to what changed in the nasal passages, and no discussion about what else was ruled out as the cause. Authors admit it could be merely coincidental.</p>	n = 1
Mokeem 2018⁸	5 Oral Health/Infection	<p>Human study</p> <p>Group 1: Cigarette smokers (≥ 100 cigarettes during their lifetime and reported smoking daily)</p> <p>Group 2: Waterpipe smokers (smoked waterpipe daily for ≥ 15 min for 12 months and had never smoked other tobacco products)</p> <p>Group 3: E-cig users (vaping ≥ 6 times daily since 12 months)</p> <p>Group 4: never-smokers (never used any form of tobacco product).</p> <p>Determination of oral <i>Candida</i> species was carried out, followed by identification of yeast species. Microbial colonies were subcultured and then on each sample, PCR was performed without DNA extraction to generate PCR products for 3 different <i>Candida</i> species – <i>C. tropicalis</i>, <i>C. parapsilosis</i>, <i>C. guilliermondii</i>.</p> <p>Overall oral <i>Candida</i> carriage rate was the highest among cigarette smokers, waterpipe smokers and E-cig users compared to never-smokers, with <i>C. albicans</i> being the most commonly isolated oral yeast species from all groups. The percentage of patients colonized by <i>C. albicans</i> was the highest for cigarette smokers, followed by waterpipe smokers, E-cig users and never-smokers. Prevalence of <i>C. albicans</i> was significantly higher in cigarette smokers, waterpipe smokers and E-cig users compared to never-smokers.</p> <p>After stratification for age and among individuals with up to 6 missing teeth, there was no significant differences in oral yeasts carriage between cigarette smokers, waterpipe smokers and E-cig users, but the oral yeasts carriage for these groups was significantly</p>	<p>Only male participants.</p> <p>Narrow range of nicotine concentrations in E-liquids. No comparison with nicotine-free E-liquid.</p> <p>Self-perceived oral symptoms taken into account – may not be accurate.</p> <p>Mean number of missing teeth was >4 across all groups suggesting low dental hygiene in this population. No assessment of oral health/hygiene done by a dental clinician. History of oral health not known.</p>	<p>Smokers = 24 Waterpipe smokers = 33 E-cig users = 30 Never-smokers = 32</p>

		higher than never-smokers. After stratification for daily frequency of tooth brushing and UWSFR, there was no significant differences in oral yeasts carriage between individuals in all groups.		
Morely 2017 ¹¹²	4 Poisonings	<u>Case report</u> A 32-year-old male ingested nicotine-containing e-liquid while under the influence of alcohol. His ingested approximately 1440 mg of nicotine by drinking 20 mL of e-liquid. The patient suffered brain hypoxia caused by prolonged cardiopulmonary resuscitation. He died after 3 days in intensive care.	Single case report.	n = 1
Motooka 2018 ¹¹³	4 Respiratory/General Health	<u>Case report analysis</u> Authors analyzed 7,348,357 cases of Adverse Events (AE) in the Food and Drug Administration Adverse Event Reporting System. 27 cases were identified where e-cigs were designated as the primary source of the AE. Causes of AE included dizziness, dyspnea, nausea, chest pain, cough and wheeze. Other, non- MDRA labels included chills, VIIth nerve paralysis and productive cough (1 each).	May be limited by under-reporting and/or miss-classification in the Reporting System. No adjustment for confounding and/or patient medical history.	Reporting Odds Ratios not calculated for e-cigs.
Noble 2017 ¹¹⁴	4 Poisonings	<u>Case report</u> A 6-year-old girl with severe toxicity who required intubation after ingestion of e-cigarette liquid containing nicotine. It was estimated that the child ingested approximately 703 mg (35 mg/kg) of nicotine. The patient survived.	The e-cigarette liquid was stored in an Ibuprofen bottle and was (unknowingly) given to the girl by her father.	n = 1
Paik 2018 ¹¹⁵	4 Poisonings/Cardiovascular	<u>Case report</u> A male orally ingested a high concentration of liquid bought for e-cigarette use with the intention to commit suicide. The patient presented with bradycardia and hypotension, together with impaired consciousness. He recovered following treatment with atropine and a vasopressor.		n = 1
Park 2018 ¹¹⁶	4 Poisonings/Cardiovascular	<u>Case reports</u> A 27-year-old male who ingested about 23 mg/kg of nicotine and a 17-year-old female who ingested about 30 mg/kg of nicotine. Both patients presented seizure-like movement and cardiac arrest. They had metabolic acidosis and transient cardiomyopathy. They were ultimately discharged with a cerebral performance category of 2 and 4, respectively.		
Polosa 2017 ¹¹⁷	5 Respiratory	<u>Human study</u> Prospective study of vapers (regular daily for greater than or equal to 3 months) (who had previously not smoked cigarettes) to assess a range of health outcomes including respiratory parameters over 3.5 yrs. No sig differences in lung function including eNO and eCO over 3.5 years in vapers and in vapers compared with non-smokers. no worsening in spirometry (i.e. lung function); No development of respiratory symptoms; No changes in markers of lung inflammation in exhaled air; No signs of early lung damage on high resolution computed tomography (HRCT)	COI: RP has previously received funding or fees from Philip Morris and other pro-e-cigarette associations. Only 9 subjects completed study. Young subjects, short term follow-up. Potential for selection bias if those who failed to return for follow-up may have been experiencing adverse effects.	n = 9
Pywell 2018 ¹¹⁸	5	<u>Human study</u>	No info on E-cig used.	Smoker = 7 Non-smoker = 8

	Vascular	<p>Measured hand microcirculation in smokers/non-smokers using a Doppler probe, after using a 0 or 24 mg/mL nicotine e-cig (5-minute session).</p> <p>Quitting smoking attributed to 41% reduction in complications post hand surgery, therefore the group did this study.</p> <p>Nicotine containing e-cig significantly reduces hand microcirculation of smokers, no change in non-smokers, thus should be used as a safe replacement prior to surgery</p>	<p>Smoking protocol was not <i>ad-lib</i>, followed a 10-inhalation protocol over 5 minutes, with no reference to why these times were chosen other than more sessions made some participants feel nauseous.</p> <p>N is low</p>	
Richmond 2018¹⁹	5 Poisonings/Respiratory	<p>Survey</p> <p>220 cases of harm to children and adolescents reported by survey</p> <p>135 cases of adolescents seeking treatment for nausea, vomiting, cough, throat irritation or acute nicotine toxicity after inhalation of e-cigarette vapor</p> <p>85 cases of children presenting to emergency department with nausea, vomiting cough or respiratory irritation after e-liquid ingestion.</p>	<p>Data are self-reported, from survey</p> <p>Conflict of interest reported “AM reports grants from Canadian Institutes of Health Research during the conduct of the study.”</p>	
Samburova 2018²⁰ ;	5 Respiratory/Cancer Markers	<p>Human study</p> <p>Measured exhaled aldehydes in breath and retention of aldehydes in respiratory tract (RT) of 12 e-cig users. All participants used BLU e-cigs, and their own personal devices for comparison (CE4, V2, Siglei, Aspire Cleito).</p> <p>Menthol, Classic, Red tobacco, Bubble-gum, Watermelon, Fruit mix, Butterspot, Vanilla, Snozberry, Vanilla + Fruit flavors used. Puff durations for participants were 3 ± 1 s for each participant.</p> <p>Aldehydes were measured in straight mainstream extracts from e-cig minus exhaled aldehydes to determine RT retention.</p> <p>Results showed increased concentrations of exhaled carbonyls post e-cig use (i.e. Formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, propionaldehyde, benzaldehyde, glyoxal, methyl ethyl ketone).</p> <p>Also showed approx. 97% formaldehyde retention and $91.6 \pm 10\%$ acetaldehyde retention in participants.</p> <p>Highest total aldehyde exposure was ($14.2 \mu\text{g} \cdot \text{puff}^{-1}$), ($53.2 \mu\text{g} \cdot \text{puff}^{-1}$), and ($12.8 \mu\text{g} \cdot \text{puff}^{-1}$).</p> <p>Range of Formaldehyde and Acetaldehyde exposure was $0.33\text{--}24.4 \mu\text{g} \cdot \text{puff}^{-1}$.</p> <p>Acrolein exposure was seen in 12 of 19 samples at a range of 0.01 and $1.4 \mu\text{g} \cdot \text{puff}^{-1}$.</p>	<p>No smoking histories listed, participants were asked to abstain from e-cig for 2 hours prior, no mention of smoking abstinence.</p> <p>Small sample size</p> <p>Method for determining retention is not accurate, topography of all participants would be different so can't assume they all inhale the same amount as a mainstream sample.</p>	n = 12 participants, 19 samples
Sommerfeld 2018²¹	4 Respiratory	<p>Case report</p> <p>An 18-year-old girl with mild intermittent asthma experienced hypersensitivity pneumonia and acute respiratory distress syndrome. She had started to use e-cigarettes over preceding 2 – 3 weeks and had been using them 1 – 2 days prior to onset of symptoms. She was intubated and required vasopressor support but responded rapidly to corticosteroids.</p>	<p>Suggestive but not confirmed diagnosis</p>	
Spindle 2017²⁸	5 Cardiovascular	<p>Human study</p> <p>Experienced e-cigarette users used an e-cigarette (either <i>ad libitum</i> or directed) with or without a “mouthpiece-based computerized</p>		N = 29 experienced e-cigarette users – each with a session with and without mouthpiece addition

		systems” used to measure puff topography. Researchers assessed whether presence of the mouthpiece influenced nicotine delivery and other acute effects of e-cig use (heart rate etc.). E-cig use altered heart rate, plasma nicotine content and had subjective effects. Mouthpiece-based computerized systems had no effect on outcomes.		
Van der Meer 2017¹²²	4 Poisonings	<u>Case report</u> A 42-year-old male was admitted to the intensive care ward due to cardiac arrest. The patient had ingested highly concentrated liquid nicotine, originating from a vial with liquid for e-cigarettes. When the ambulance personnel found the patient, he did not have a pulse; following CPR and administration of adrenaline his pulse returned. Upon admission, the plasma nicotine level was high at 3.0 mg/L (reference values for a smoker are 0.01-0.05 mg/L) and the patient's neurological function was poor. The patient was treated symptomatically, but eventually died of a postanoxic encephalopathy.	Case report	n = 1

The harms and/or benefits of vaping for smokers

E-cigarettes are proposed as a harm reduction tool for tobacco smokers wishing to quit. Systematic reviews suggest a lack of clear efficacy of e-cigarettes in smoking cessation^{16,17}. Although a recent randomized controlled trial demonstrated a higher quit rate with e-cigarettes compared with nicotine replacement in committed quitters, 80% of those in the e-cigarette group were still vaping at 12 months¹²³. Therefore it is vital to determine the potential risks and/or benefits of transitioning to e-cigarettes from tobacco cigarettes (see Supplementary Table 3). Studies have compared the toxicant exposure between e-cigarettes and tobacco cigarettes, assessed by systemic and salivary tobacco-specific nitrosamines, toxicants and metals^{102,125-127}. Levels of NNN, carbon monoxide and NNAL were all lower in e-cigarette users than in smokers, suggesting a reduced risk of harm from toxicant exposure if smokers were to switch to e-cigarettes. Goniewicz *et al.* found that toxicant exposures were highest in dual users, but were reduced in e-cigarette users compared to smokers¹⁰². Smokers and e-cigarette users both had increased toxic metals in urine and blood, but the metals detected in each group were different^{102,126,128}. Data from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) study showed that smokers and dual users, but not e-cigarette only users, were at increased risk of cardiovascular disease compared to non-smokers¹²⁹. Alzahrani *et al.* analyzed data from the 2014 and 2016 National Health Interview Surveys and found that daily e-cigarette use resulted in an increased odds of myocardial infarction, suggesting that switching to e-cigarettes may not alleviate risk of cardiovascular disease¹³⁰. In contrast, studies have suggested that switching from tobacco cigarettes to e-cigarettes may improve oral health¹³¹⁻¹³⁶ as well as improving blood pressure, HR, eCo, eNO and voice shimmer¹³⁷⁻¹⁴⁰. However, it should be noted that in the majority of the studies where healthy controls were compared to e-cigarette users, these markers were higher than non-smoking, highlighting that long term switching to e-cigarettes instead of smoking may not be superior to smoking cessation using NRT (Supplementary Table 3). Furthermore, numerous studies have shown e-cigarettes to be pro-inflammatory *in vitro* and *in vivo* suggesting that lung pathology in smokers related to a dysregulated inflammation would not necessarily be resolved by switching to e-cigarettes. Therefore, studies comparing e-cigarettes with the more traditional NRT should be considered to identify the best methods to begin the healing process that has been shown to occur in smokers who quit entirely as soon as possible and avoid further damage.

The harms and or benefits of e-cigarette use in high-risk populations

Populations that are especially vulnerable to the effects of tobacco smoking include people with COPD and asthma, and pregnant women and their offspring. Therefore we reviewed recent studies on the effects of e-cigarettes in these vulnerable populations (Supplementary Table 4).

People with COPD

People with COPD who are struggling to quit smoking may consider e-cigarette use as an alternative smoking cessation tool, and some patients with COPD may have already transitioned to dual use or e-cigarette only use. The NASEM review concluded that results were unclear regarding whether e-cigarette use in COPD patients would be beneficial, neutral, or harmful. Recent studies have not further elucidated whether switching to e-cigarettes from traditional tobacco cigarettes would reduce lung inflammation or disease progression in these patients. Traditional NRT is currently the safest option for COPD patients as research to date suggests that e-cigarettes dysregulate inflammation and have adverse effects on the airways of users¹²⁴, thus a negative impact on COPD patients cannot be ruled out. Furthermore, COPD patients are at significant risk of cardiovascular comorbidity which may

be worsened by e-cigarette use given its known association with increased cardiovascular events^{129,130}.

People with Asthma

The prevalence of e-cigarette use in adults with asthma has continued to increase¹⁴¹. Currently, some clinicians and researchers advocate that smokers with asthma switch to e-cigarettes to ameliorate the effect of smoking on asthma exacerbations^{142,143}. However, the health outcomes of e-cigarette use in people with asthma are unclear. E-cigarette use is more prevalent in adolescents with asthma than without asthma¹⁴⁴⁻¹⁴⁷. E-cigarette use has been associated with asthma diagnosis and exacerbations^{141,148,149}, even with second-hand exposure¹⁵⁰. A recent study in dual users, non-atopic smokers and smokers with mild, intermittent and well-controlled asthma, showed that even a single session of e-cigarette use (using standardized puffing settings) induced pro-inflammatory markers and impaired respiratory mechanics¹⁰⁷. Importantly, the effects were exaggerated in smokers with asthma¹⁰⁷. These findings suggest that the negative effects of e-cigarettes may be exaggerated in people with asthma¹⁴⁷ and highlight an important area for further research.

Pregnant woman and their offspring

Many women perceive e-cigarettes to be safer than tobacco smoking during pregnancy¹⁵¹. However, there are currently no human experimental or epidemiological studies which assess the potential for maternal e-cigarette use to impact the health of the developing human fetus. Recent research using animal models¹⁵²⁻¹⁵⁵ has shown that maternal exposure to e-cigarette vapor can have significant impacts on offspring health, particularly with respect to neurodevelopment¹⁵³⁻¹⁵⁵. In most animal studies, exposures continue after the offspring are born, so clear conclusions regarding *in utero* effects alone are impossible. Regardless, studies have shown that exposure to e-cigarette vapor during pregnancy can alter behavior and cognition in offspring^{153,154}, and that these changes are often unrelated to the nicotine content of the e-cigarette used.

The health effects of flavor additives in e-cigarettes

The “generally recognized as safe” classification of flavorings is based on ingestion into the gastrointestinal system, not heating until vaporization and inhalation into the lungs¹⁵⁶. Fruit, candy/dessert, and tobacco-based flavorings are the most popular amongst e-cigarette users¹⁵⁷. Additional chemical compounds are generated during the vaporization process, and studies suggest adducts may form over time¹⁵⁸, complicating the issue further. Much of the flavoring research to date has focused on toxicity in a range of cells with cinnamon in particular being singled out for its toxic effects^{44,159-163} (Supplementary Table 5). Several *in vitro/ex vivo* studies have shown that flavors could also affect cellular function including phagocytosis^{162,164,165} and cytokine production^{44,162,164,166}.

One of the biggest flaws to date with studies into flavorings is the lack of clarity regarding the components of each e-liquid, making reproducibility an issue. The specific ingredients and quantities are rarely listed on the bottles, and are often not accurate when they are listed (Supplementary Table 6). It is therefore important for future research to include identification of the flavor compounds in the tested e-liquids. Studies that have utilized mass spectrometry have identified up to 28 mg/mL of total flavoring in some e-liquids and found that the total amount of flavoring correlated to toxicity^{167,168}.

The effects of nicotine vs nicotine-free e-cigarettes

Most commercial e-cigarettes/e-liquids include nicotine. The more recent e-cigarettes utilize nicotine salts to deliver high nicotine levels up to 59 mg/mL. Therefore, it is important to understand the contribution of nicotine to the health effects of e-cigarettes (Supplementary Table 7). In a large-scale population-based sample, depressive symptoms were associated with e-cigarette use and nicotine concentration¹⁷⁰. Several human studies have shown that e-cigarettes containing nicotine have greater effects than nicotine-free e-cigarettes. In particular, inhaled vaporized nicotine via an e-cigarette was shown to increase HR, arterial stiffness and flow resistance¹⁷¹ and in another study to decrease microcirculatory endothelial-dependent function, increase arterial stiffness, increase BP, HR, and plasma myeloperoxidase⁶ in occasional smokers. In healthy non-smokers, inhalation of unflavored, nicotine-containing vapor increased heart rate variability, a measure of cardiac sympathetic nerve activity¹⁷². However, in these and other *in vitro*, *ex vivo*, and animal studies showed other effects regardless of whether nicotine was present or not^{152,160,162,164}. In conclusion, future studies should continue to investigate the effects of heated vaporized nicotine as it has been shown to have effects outside of the other ingredients in e-cigarettes.

Incorrect nicotine concentration labeling

Many studies have demonstrated that nicotine concentrations in e-liquids are often considerably different to the concentrations listed on the labels^{169,173-184} (Supplementary Table 6). There is no consistent trend in measured concentrations being higher or lower than on the label, yet variation beyond 10% is commonplace¹⁸⁵. These inaccuracies are unsurprising due to lack of quality control in the e-liquid manufacturing industry, which already suffers from the entrance to the market of poor quality counterfeit versions of major brands. Most alarmingly, in numerous instances, nicotine has been detected in e-liquids that are labeled as “nicotine-free”^{169,173-176,181,182,184}. Nicotine in these e-liquids is often at trace amounts, although levels in excess of 20 mg/mL have been reported^{169,181,184}. This has implications for health, from a legal standpoint, whereby nicotine-containing e-liquids are sold in jurisdictions where the practice is illegal (*eg.* Australia¹⁷⁴) and, from an addiction standpoint, whereby “vapers” may unwittingly be exposing themselves to an addictive substance.

Harm from exploding e-cigarettes

Another concern regarding e-cigarette use is the potential of these devices to spontaneously explode and cause harm to users with one reported death¹⁸⁶. Currently, the frequency of e-cigarette explosions remains unclear although many cases have been reported (Supplementary Table 8). These explosions are largely attributed to the overheating of lithium ion batteries in e-cigarettes¹⁸⁷, which could be due to faulty batteries or user modification of batteries. Furthermore, storing the battery in contact with metal objects could create an external short circuit which could also lead to explosions. Case studies have reported e-cigarette explosions in the mouth during use, resulting in oral and facial injuries including tooth avulsions and fractured facial bones¹⁸⁸⁻¹⁹¹. Numerous case studies have also reported significant burns due to e-cigarette explosions while being held^{192,193} or while in pants or breast pockets^{187,194-199}. In some cases, the severity of burns required surgery or skin grafts to aid wound healing.

Relationship between e-cigarette use and cancer risk

Many questions exist about whether e-cigarettes pose a similar, lesser, or greater cancer risk than cigarette smoking. Known carcinogens, formaldehyde and acrolein have been found in e-cigarette vapor²⁰⁰⁻²⁰² at lower levels than cigarette smoke^{203,204}, but it remains unclear if the levels produced are enough to contribute to cancer development. Decreased levels of carcinogens were observed in e-cigarette users *vs* smokers in two studies, however no healthy controls were

assessed^{127,205}. Schaal *et al.*, found increased EMT markers, increased spheroid formation, increased wound healing, proliferation, and increased Sox2 expression in NSCLC cells²⁰⁶ whilst Tommasi *et al.*, found a similar downregulation of tumor suppressor genes in oral cells of both e-cigarette users and smokers²⁰⁷. Previous animal studies into the effects of nicotine on cancer development found no evidence of tumorigenicity²⁰⁸⁻²¹⁰, however, the delivery methods used did not involve inhalation of heated nicotine, and existing e-cigarette animal studies were too acute for tumorigenicity studies. Dodemane *et al.*, found that nicotine ingestion caused changes which could lead to bladder cancer²¹¹ whilst Fuller *et al.*, found bladder carcinogenic compounds were increased in the urine of e-cigarette users vs healthy controls (many were ex-smokers)¹⁰¹. Canistro *et al.*, showed urine from e-cigarette-exposed rats caused bacterial mutagenicity using the Ames assay²¹². Further studies are clearly needed to determine the effects of inhalation of heated nicotine, glycerine, glycols, and flavors on cancer development.

Conclusions

The findings in this review established via *in vitro*, *ex vivo*, and animal models that e-cigarette exposure/use leads to distinct immunological alterations which may contribute to an increased susceptibility to infection. While the presence of nicotine contributes to the detrimental effects of e-cigarettes, recent research has highlighted the potential toxicity of flavor additives. Furthermore, flavor specific findings highlight the need for human studies to consider whether varied flavor use amongst e-cigarette users may unwittingly conceal outcomes. E-cigarette use in humans has been shown to affect the cardiopulmonary system with evidence for reduced lung function and increased BP, HR, and arterial stiffness in comparison with never-smoker/never-vapers. This review did find evidence suggesting that smokers who switch to e-cigarettes may experience harm reduction particularly in relation to cardiopulmonary health but we were unable to find evidence suggesting that these clinical measures returned to the levels of a non-smoker. Additionally, there remains much we do not know about the effects of e-cigarette use, in particular in the long-term, and there is evidence that smokers do not “quit” with e-cigarettes, but rather “switch” to e-cigarette use. Of great concern are the latest studies which show that dual use of e-cigarettes and tobacco cigarettes may put users at increased cardiovascular disease risk over smoking or e-cigarette use alone. There is currently a lack of evidence as to the effects of e-cigarette use in vulnerable populations, such as people with respiratory disease and pregnant women. However, the evidence to date suggests that e-cigarette use may worsen asthma and that maternal use may negatively affect the development of the child. Additional studies are needed in both humans and animal models to determine what health impacts e-cigarettes may have on the many groups who may use them. Overall, this review adds to the conclusion of the NASEM report which indicated that there is increasing emerging evidence that e-cigarette use is not risk free for non-smokers, and that use in smokers as a cessation aid is not preferential to NRT from a health impact perspective.

References

1. Hon L, Inventor; Best Partners Worldwide Ltd Fontem Holdings 1 BV, assignee. Electronic atomization cigarette. 2003.
2. Kasza KA, Ambrose BK, Conway KP, et al. Tobacco-Product Use by Adults and Youths in the United States in 2013 and 2014. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2017;376(4):342-353.

3. Hammond D, Reid JL, Rynard VL, et al. Prevalence of vaping and smoking among adolescents in Canada, England, and the United States: repeat national cross sectional surveys. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)*. 2019;365:l2219.
4. Kock L, Shahab L, West R, Brown J. E-cigarette use in England 2014-17 as a function of socio-economic profile. *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*. 2019;114(2):294-303.
5. Smith DM, Gawron M, Balwicki L, Sobczak A, Matynia M, Goniewicz ML. Exclusive versus dual use of tobacco and electronic cigarettes among adolescents in Poland, 2010-2016. *Addict Behav.* 2019;90:341-348.
6. Kaur G, Pinkston R, McLemore B, Dorsey WC, Batra S. Immunological and toxicological risk assessment of e-cigarettes. *European respiratory review : an official journal of the European Respiratory Society*. 2018;27(147).
7. Girvalaki C, Tzatzarakis M, Kyriakos CN, et al. Composition and chemical health hazards of the most common electronic cigarette liquids in nine European countries. *Inhal Toxicol.* 2018;30(9-10):361-369.
8. Abrams DB, Glasser AM, Pearson JL, Villanti AC, Collins LK, Niaura RS. Harm Minimization and Tobacco Control: Reframing Societal Views of Nicotine Use to Rapidly Save Lives. *Annual review of public health*. 2018;39:193-213.
9. Glantz SA, Bareham DW. E-Cigarettes: Use, Effects on Smoking, Risks, and Policy Implications. *Annual review of public health*. 2018;39:215-235.
10. Institute for Global Tobacco Control. Country Laws Regulating E-cigarettes: A Policy Scan. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health. https://www.globaltobaccocontrol.org/e-cigarette_policyscan. Accessed 02/09/2019, 2019.
11. Gravely S, Driezen P, Ouimet J, et al. Prevalence of awareness, ever-use and current use of nicotine vaping products (NVPs) among adult current smokers and ex-smokers in 14 countries with differing regulations on sales and marketing of NVPs: cross-sectional findings from the ITC Project. *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*. 2019;114(6):1060-1073.
12. Hajek P, Phillips-Waller A, Przulj D, et al. A randomized trial of e-cigarettes versus nicotine-replacement therapy. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2019;380(7):629-637.
13. Martinez U, Martinez-Loredo V, Simmons VN, et al. How Does Smoking and Nicotine Dependence Change after Onset of Vaping? A Retrospective Analysis of Dual Users. *Nicotine & tobacco research : official journal of the Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco*. 2019.
14. McMillen R, Klein JD, Wilson K, Winickoff JP, Tanski S. E-Cigarette Use and Future Cigarette Initiation Among Never Smokers and Relapse Among Former Smokers in the PATH Study. *Public health reports (Washington, D.C. : 1974)*. 2019;134(5):528-536.
15. Gomajee R, El-Khoury F, Goldberg M, et al. Association Between Electronic Cigarette Use and Smoking Reduction in France. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2019.
16. Patil S, Arakeri G, Patil S, et al. Are electronic nicotine delivery systems (ENDs) helping cigarette smokers quit?-Current evidence. *J Oral Pathol Med*. 2019.
17. El Dib R, Suzumura EA, Akl EA, et al. Electronic nicotine delivery systems and/or electronic non-nicotine delivery systems for tobacco smoking cessation or reduction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7(2):e012680.
18. National Academies of Sciences E, Medicine. *Public Health Consequences of E-Cigarettes*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2018.
19. Reid JL HD, Tariq U, Burkhalter R, Rynard VL, Douglas O. *Tobacco Use in Canada: Patterns and Trends*. Waterloo, ON: Propel Centre for Population Health Impact, University of Waterloo;2019 Edition.

20. Brozek GM, Jankowski M, Lawson JA, et al. The Prevalence of Cigarette and E-cigarette Smoking Among Students in Central and Eastern Europe-Results of the YUPESS Study. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2019;16(13).
21. Chan G, Leung J, Gartner C, Yong HH, Borland R, Hall W. Correlates of electronic cigarette use in the general population and among smokers in Australia - Findings from a nationally representative survey. *Addict Behav*. 2019;95:6-10.
22. Oakly A, Edwards R, Martin G. Prevalence of e-cigarette use from a nationally representative sample in New Zealand. *Addict Behav*. 2019;98:106024.
23. Xiao L, Parascandola M, Wang C, Jiang Y. Perception and Current Use of E-cigarettes Among Youth in China. *Nicotine & tobacco research : official journal of the Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco*. 2018.
24. Tabuchi T, Gallus S, Shinozaki T, Nakaya T, Kunugita N, Colwell B. Heat-not-burn tobacco product use in Japan: its prevalence, predictors and perceived symptoms from exposure to secondhand heat-not-burn tobacco aerosol. *Tob Control*. 2018;27(e1):e25-e33.
25. Zavala-Arciniega L, Reynales-Shigematsu LM, Lozano P, Rodriguez-Andrade MA, Arillo-Santillan E, Thrasher JF. Patterns of awareness and use of electronic cigarettes in Mexico, a middle-income country that bans them: Results from a 2016 national survey. *Preventive medicine*. 2018;116:211-218.
26. Soneji S, Barrington-Trimis JL, Wills TA, et al. Association Between Initial Use of e-Cigarettes and Subsequent Cigarette Smoking Among Adolescents and Young Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2017;171(8):788-797.
27. Levy DT, Warner KE, Cummings KM, et al. Examining the relationship of vaping to smoking initiation among US youth and young adults: a reality check. *Tob Control*. 2019;28(6):629-635.
28. Barrington-Trimis JL, Kong G, Leventhal AM, et al. E-cigarette Use and Subsequent Smoking Frequency Among Adolescents. *Pediatrics*. 2018;142(6).
29. Chaffee BW, Watkins SL, Glantz SA. Electronic Cigarette Use and Progression From Experimentation to Established Smoking. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(4).
30. Scott A, Lugg ST, Aldridge K, et al. Pro-inflammatory effects of e-cigarette vapour condensate on human alveolar macrophages. *Thorax*. 2018.
31. Behar RZ, Luo W, McWhirter KJ, Pankow JF, Talbot P. Analytical and toxicological evaluation of flavor chemicals in electronic cigarette refill fluids. *Sci Rep*. 2018;8(1):8288.
32. Hua M, Omaiye EE, Luo W, McWhirter KJ, Pankow JF, Talbot P. Identification of Cytotoxic Flavor Chemicals in Top-Selling Electronic Cigarette Refill Fluids. *Scientific reports*. 2019;9(1):2782.
33. Higham A, Bostock D, Booth G, Dungwa JV, Singh D. The effect of electronic cigarette and tobacco smoke exposure on COPD bronchial epithelial cell inflammatory responses. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2018;13:989-1000.
34. Vasanthi Bathrinarayanan P, Brown JEP, Marshall LJ, Leslie LJ. An investigation into E-cigarette cytotoxicity in-vitro using a novel 3D differentiated co-culture model of human airways. *Toxicology in vitro : an international journal published in association with BIBRA*. 2018;52:255-264.
35. Zhao J, Zhang Y, Sisler JD, et al. Assessment of reactive oxygen species generated by electronic cigarettes using acellular and cellular approaches. *J Hazard Mater*. 2018;344:549-557.

36. Ganapathy V, Manyanga J, Brame L, et al. Electronic cigarette aerosols suppress cellular antioxidant defenses and induce significant oxidative DNA damage. *PLoS one*. 2017;12(5):20.
37. Shaito A, Saliba J, Husari A, et al. Electronic Cigarette Smoke Impairs Normal Mesenchymal Stem Cell Differentiation. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2018;93:14-33.(doi):10.1016/j.yrtph.2017.1010.1006. Epub 2017 Oct 1025.
38. Higham A, Rattray NJ, Dewhurst JA, et al. Electronic cigarette exposure triggers neutrophil inflammatory responses. *Respiratory research*. 2016;17(1):56.
39. Scott A, Lugg ST, Aldridge K, et al. Pro-inflammatory effects of e-cigarette vapour condensate on human alveolar macrophages. *Thorax*. 2018;73(12):1161-1169.
40. Crotty Alexander LE, Drummond CA, Hepokoski M, et al. Chronic inhalation of e-cigarette vapor containing nicotine disrupts airway barrier function and induces systemic inflammation and multiorgan fibrosis in mice. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology*. 2018;314(6):R834-R847.
41. Sohal SS, Eapen MS, Naidu VGM, Sharma P. IQOS exposure impairs human airway cell homeostasis: direct comparison with traditional cigarette and e-cigarette. *ERJ Open Res*. 2019;5(1).
42. Ween MP, Whittall JJ, Hamon R, Reynolds PN, Hodge SJ. Phagocytosis and Inflammation: Exploring the effects of the components of E-cigarette vapor on macrophages. *Physiol Rep*. 2017;5(16).
43. Clapp PW, Pawlak EA, Lackey JT, et al. Flavored e-cigarette liquids and cinnamaldehyde impair respiratory innate immune cell function. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol*. 2017;313(2):L278-L292.
44. Muthumalage T, Prinz M, Ansah KO, Gerloff J, Sundar IK, Rahman I. Inflammatory and oxidative responses induced by exposure to commonly used e-cigarette flavoring chemicals and flavored e-liquids without nicotine. *Frontiers in Physiology*. 2018;8:1130.
45. Zelikoff JT, Parmalee NL, Corbett K, Gordon T, Klein CB, Aschner M. Microglia Activation and Gene Expression Alteration of Neurotrophins in the Hippocampus Following Early-Life Exposure to E-Cigarette Aerosols in a Murine Model. *Toxicological sciences : an official journal of the Society of Toxicology*. 2018;162(1):276-286.
46. Chen H, Li G, Chan YL, et al. Maternal E-Cigarette Exposure in Mice Alters DNA Methylation and Lung Cytokine Expression in Offspring. *Subst Use Misuse*. 2018;53(5):734-743. doi: 710.1080/10826084.10822017.11363237. Epub 10822017 Sep 10826029.
47. BinShabaib M, SS AL, Akram Z, et al. Clinical periodontal status and gingival crevicular fluid cytokine profile among cigarette-smokers, electronic-cigarette users and never-smokers. *Arch Oral Biol*. 2019;102:212-217.
48. Mokeem SA, Alasqah MN, Michelogiannakis D, Al-Kheraif AA, Romanos GE, Javed F. Clinical and radiographic periodontal status and whole salivary cotinine, IL-1beta and IL-6 levels in cigarette- and waterpipe-smokers and E-cig users. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2018;61:38-43.
49. Al-Aali KA, Alrabiah M, ArRejaie AS, Abduljabbar T, Vohra F, Akram Z. Peri-implant parameters, tumor necrosis factor-alpha, and interleukin-1 beta levels in vaping individuals. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res*. 2018;20(3):410-415.
50. AlQahtani MA, Alayad AS, Alshihri A, Correa FOB, Akram Z. Clinical peri-implant parameters and inflammatory cytokine profile among smokers of cigarette, e-cigarette, and waterpipe. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res*. 2018;20(6):1016-1021.

51. Soule EK, Maloney SF, Spindle TR, Rudy AK, Hiler MM, Cobb CO. Electronic cigarette use and indoor air quality in a natural setting. *Tobacco Control*. 2017;26(1):109-112.
52. Hwang JH, Lyes M, Sladewski K, et al. Electronic cigarette inhalation alters innate immunity and airway cytokines while increasing the virulence of colonizing bacteria. *J Mol Med (Berl)*. 2016;94(6):667-679.
53. Miyashita L, Suri R, Dearing E, et al. E-cigarette vapour enhances pneumococcal adherence to airway epithelial cells. *The European respiratory journal*. 2018;51(2).
54. Li D, Sundar IK, McIntosh S, et al. Association of smoking and electronic cigarette use with wheezing and related respiratory symptoms in adults: cross-sectional results from the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) study, wave 2. *Tob Control*. 2019.
55. Meo SA, Ansary MA, Barayan FR, et al. Electronic Cigarettes: Impact on Lung Function and Fractional Exhaled Nitric Oxide Among Healthy Adults. *American journal of men's health*. 2019;13(1):1557988318806073.
56. Polosa R, Cibella F, Caponnetto P, et al. Health impact of E-cigarettes: a prospective 3.5-year study of regular daily users who have never smoked. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7(1):13825.
57. Ghosh A, Coakley RC, Mascenik T, et al. Chronic E-Cigarette Exposure Alters the Human Bronchial Epithelial Proteome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2018;198(1):67-76.
58. Spindle TR, Hiler MM, Breland AB, Karaoghlanian NV, Shihadeh AL, Eissenberg T. The influence of a mouthpiece-based topography measurement device on electronic cigarette user's plasma nicotine concentration, heart rate, and subjective effects under directed and ad libitum use conditions. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*. 2017;19(4):469-476.
59. Spindle TR, Talih S, Hiler MM, et al. Effects of electronic cigarette liquid solvents propylene glycol and vegetable glycerin on user nicotine delivery, heart rate, subjective effects, and puff topography. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2018;188:209-215.(doi):10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2018.1003.1045. Epub 2018 May 1015.
60. Alzahrani T, Pena I, Temesgen N, Glantz SA. Association Between Electronic Cigarette Use and Myocardial Infarction. *Am J Prev Med*. 2018;55(4):455-461.
61. Osei AD, Mirbolouk M, Orimoloye OA, et al. Association Between E-Cigarette Use and Cardiovascular Disease Among Never and Current Combustible-Cigarette Smokers. *Am J Med*. 2019.
62. Boas Z, Gupta P, Moheimani RS, et al. Activation of the "Splenocardiac Axis" by electronic and tobacco cigarettes in otherwise healthy young adults. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7(1):11352. doi: 11310.11038/s41598-11017-11872-z.
63. Antoniewicz L, Brynedal A, Hedman L, Lundback M, Bosson JA. Acute Effects of Electronic Cigarette Inhalation on the Vasculature and the Conducting Airways. *Cardiovasc Toxicol*. 2019.
64. Olfert IM, DeVallance E, Hoskinson H, et al. Chronic exposure to electronic cigarettes results in impaired cardiovascular function in mice. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. 2018;124(3):573-582.
65. Shi H, Fan X, Horton A, et al. The Effect of Electronic-Cigarette Vaping on Cardiac Function and Angiogenesis in Mice. *Sci Rep*. 2019;9(1):4085.
66. Reinikovaite V, Rodriguez IE, Karoor V, et al. The effects of electronic cigarette vapour on the lung: direct comparison to tobacco smoke. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2018;51(4):1701661.
67. Larcombe AN, Janka MA, Mullins BJ, Berry LJ, Bredin A, Franklin PJ. The effects of electronic cigarette aerosol exposure on inflammation and lung function in mice. *American journal of physiology. Lung cellular and molecular physiology*. 2017;313(1):L67-L79.

68. Khosravi M, Lin RL, Lee LY. Inhalation of electronic cigarette aerosol induces reflex bronchoconstriction by activation of vagal bronchopulmonary C-fibers. *American journal of physiology. Lung cellular and molecular physiology*. 2018;315(4):L467-1475.
69. Control CFD. EVALI Latest outbreak information. 2019; https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/basic_information/e-cigarettes/severe-lung-disease.html#latest-outbreak-information. Accessed November 13th 2019, 2019.
70. Layden JE, Ghinai I, Pray I, et al. Pulmonary Illness Related to E-Cigarette Use in Illinois and Wisconsin - Preliminary Report. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2019.
71. Mukhopadhyay S, Mehrad M, Dammert P, et al. Lung Biopsy Findings in Severe Pulmonary Illness Associated With E-Cigarette Use (Vaping). *Am J Clin Pathol*. 2019.
72. Butt YM, Smith ML, Tazelaar HD, et al. Pathology of Vaping-Associated Lung Injury. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2019;381(18):1780-1781.
73. Akinkugbe AA. Cigarettes, E-cigarettes, and Adolescents' Oral Health: Findings from the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) Study. *JDR clinical and translational research*. 2019;4(3):276-283.
74. Atuegwu NC, Perez MF, Oncken C, Thacker S, Mead EL, Mortensen EM. Association between Regular Electronic Nicotine Product Use and Self-reported Periodontal Disease Status: Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health Survey. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16(7).
75. Vora MV, Chaffee BW. Tobacco-use patterns and self-reported oral health outcomes: A cross-sectional assessment of the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health study, 2013-2014. *Journal of the American Dental Association (1939)*. 2019;150(5):332-344.e332.
76. Cho JH. The association between electronic-cigarette use and self-reported oral symptoms including cracked or broken teeth and tongue and/or inside-cheek pain among adolescents: A cross-sectional study. *PloS one*. 2017;12(7):18.
77. Mokeem SA, Abduljabbar T, Al-Kheraif AA, et al. Oral Candida carriage among cigarette- and waterpipe-smokers, and electronic-cigarette users. *Oral Dis*. 2018.
78. Mokeem SA, Abduljabbar T, Al-Kheraif AA, et al. Oral Candida carriage among cigarette- and waterpipe-smokers, and electronic cigarette users. *Oral Dis*. 2019;25(1):319-326.
79. Kim SA, Smith S, Beauchamp C, et al. Cariogenic potential of sweet flavors in electronic-cigarette liquids. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(9):e0203717.
80. Stewart CJ, Auchtung TA, Ajami NJ, et al. Effects of tobacco smoke and electronic cigarette vapor exposure on the oral and gut microbiota in humans: a pilot study. *Front Physiol*. 2018;9:426.(doi):10.3389/fphys.2018.00426. eCollection 02018.
81. Raez-Villanueva S, Ma C, Kleiboer S, Holloway AC. The effects of electronic cigarette vapor on placental trophoblast cell function. *Reproductive toxicology (Elmsford, N.Y.)*. 2018;81:115-121.
82. Kennedy AE, Kandalam S, Olivares-Navarrete R, Dickinson AJG. E-cigarette aerosol exposure can cause craniofacial defects in *Xenopus laevis* embryos and mammalian neural crest cells. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(9):e0185729.
83. Chen H, Li G, Chan YL, et al. Modulation of neural regulators of energy homeostasis, and of inflammation, in the pups of mice exposed to e-cigarettes. *Neurosci Lett*. 2018;684:61-66.(doi):10.1016/j.neulet.2018.1007.1001.
84. Chen H, Li G, Chan YL, et al. Modulation of neural regulators of energy homeostasis, and of inflammation, in the pups of mice exposed to e-cigarettes. *Neurosci Lett*. 2018;684:61-66.

85. Nguyen T, Gerard E, Li, Hui Chen, Charles G. Cranfield, Kristine C. McGrath, Gorrie CA. Maternal E-Cigarette Exposure Results in Cognitive and Epigenetic Alterations in Offspring in a Mouse Model. *Chem Res Toxicol*. 2018;31(7):601-611.
86. Abafalvi L, Penzes M, Urban R, et al. Perceived health effects of vaping among Hungarian adult e-cigarette-only and dual users: a cross-sectional internet survey. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19(1):302.
87. Ahmed N, Kalininskiy A, Gandhi H, Shin JJ. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection in a postpartum e-cigarette smoker. *BMJ case reports*. 2018;2018.
88. Ang E, Tuthill D, Thompson J. E-cigarette liquid ingestion: a fast growing accidental issue in children. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*. 2018;103(11):1091-1091.
89. Arter ZL, Wiggins A, Hudspath C, Kisling A, Hostler DC, Hostler JM. Acute eosinophilic pneumonia following electronic cigarette use. *Respiratory Medicine Case Reports*. 2019;27:100825.
90. Agustin M, Yamamoto M, Cabrera F, Eusebio R. Diffuse Alveolar Hemorrhage Induced by Vaping. *Case reports in pulmonology*. 2018;2018:9724530.
91. Bardellini E, Amadori F, Conti G, Majorana A. Oral mucosal lesions in electronic cigarettes consumers versus former smokers. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*. 2018;76(3):226-228.
92. Cant A, Collard B, Cunliffe D. Electronic cigarettes: necrotic ulcer. *British Dental Journal*. 2017;222(4):226.
93. Caponnetto P, Maglia M, Cannella MC, et al. Impact of different e-cigarette generation and models on cognitive performances, craving and gesture: A randomized cross-over trial (CogEcig). *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2017;8:127.
94. Carter T, Tucker D, Kilic A, Papadimos TJ, Barlow A, Berry E. Life-threatening vesicular bronchial injury requiring veno-venous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation rescue in an electronic nicotine delivery system user. *Clinical Practice and Cases in Emergency Medicine*. 2017;1(3):212.
95. Chatterjee S, Tao JQ, Johncola A, et al. Acute exposure to e-cigarettes causes inflammation and endothelial oxidative stress in non-smoking healthy young subjects. *American journal of physiology. Lung cellular and molecular physiology*. 2019.
96. Chaumont M, De Becker B, Zaher W, et al. Differential effects of e-cigarette on microvascular endothelial function, arterial stiffness and oxidative stress: a randomized crossover trial. *Scientific Reports*. 2018;8(1):10378.
97. Cho JH. The association between electronic-cigarette use and self-reported oral symptoms including cracked or broken teeth and tongue and/or inside-cheek pain among adolescents: A cross-sectional study. *PloS One*. 2017;12(7):e0180506.
98. Demir E, Topal S. Sudden sensorineural hearing loss associated with electronic cigarette liquid: The first case in the literature. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*. 2018;114:26-28.
99. Flower M, Nandakumar L, Singh M, Wyld D, Windsor M, Fielding D. Respiratory bronchiolitis-associated interstitial lung disease secondary to electronic nicotine delivery system use confirmed with open lung biopsy. *Respirology Case Reports*. 2017;5(3):e00230.
100. Franzen KF, Willig J, Cayo Talavera S, et al. E-cigarettes and cigarettes worsen peripheral and central hemodynamics as well as arterial stiffness: A randomized, double-blinded pilot study. *Vascular Medicine*. 2018;23(5):419-425.
101. Fuller TW, Acharya AP, Meyyappan T, et al. Comparison of bladder carcinogens in the urine of e-cigarette users versus non e-cigarette using controls. *Scientific Reports*. 2018;8(1):507.

102. Goniewicz ML, Smith DM, Edwards KC, et al. Comparison of nicotine and toxicant exposure in users of electronic cigarettes and combustible cigarettes. *JAMA Network Open*. 2018;1(8):e185937-e185937.
103. Govindarajan P, Spiller HA, Casavant MJ, Chounthirath T, Smith GA. E-cigarette and liquid nicotine exposures among young children. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(5):e20173361.
104. Hughes A, Hendrickson RG. An epidemiologic and clinical description of e-cigarette toxicity. *Clinical Toxicology*. 2019;57(4):287-293.
105. Itoh M, Aoshiba K, Herai Y, Nakamura H, Takemura T. Lung injury associated with electronic cigarettes inhalation diagnosed by transbronchial lung biopsy. *Respirology Case Reports*. 2018;6(1):e00282.
106. Khan MS, Khateeb F, Akhtar J, et al. Organizing pneumonia related to electronic cigarette use: A case report and review of literature. *The Clinical Respiratory Journal*. 2018;12(3):1295-1299.
107. Lappas AS, Tzortzi AS, Konstantinidi EM, et al. Short-term respiratory effects of e-cigarettes in healthy individuals and smokers with asthma. *Respirology*. 2018;23(3):291-297.
108. Marasco RD, Loizzi D, Ardo NP, Fatone FN, Sollitto F. Spontaneous Pneumomediastinum After Electronic Cigarette Use. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2018;314(6):R834-R847. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.00270.02017. Epub 2018 Jan 00231.
109. Meo SA, Ansary MA, Barayan FR, et al. Electronic Cigarettes: Impact on lung function and fractional exhaled nitric oxide among healthy adults. *American Journal of Men's Health*. 2019;13(1):1557988318806073.
110. Miler JA, Hajek P. Resolution of recurrent tonsillitis in a non-smoker who became a vaper. A case study and new hypothesis. *Medical Hypotheses*. 2017;109:17-18.
111. Miler JA, Hajek P. Resolution of chronic nasal Staphylococcus aureus infection in a non-smoker who started to use glycerine based e-cigarettes: Antibacterial effects of vaping? *Medical Hypotheses*. 2018;118:42-43.
112. Morley S, Slaughter J, Smith PR. Death from ingestion of e-liquid. *The Journal of Emergency Medicine*. 2017;53(6):862-864.
113. Motooka Y, Matsui T, Slaton RM, et al. Adverse events of smoking cessation treatments (nicotine replacement therapy and non-nicotine prescription medication) and electronic cigarettes in the Food and Drug Administration Adverse Event Reporting System, 2004– 2016. *SAGE Open Medicine*. 2018;6.
114. Noble MJ, Longstreet B, Hendrickson RG, Gerona R. Unintentional pediatric ingestion of electronic cigarette nicotine refill liquid necessitating intubation. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*. 2017;69(1):94-97.
115. Paik JH, Kang S, Durey A, Kim JH, Kim AJ. Symptomatic bradycardia due to nicotine intoxication. *Revista Brasileira de Terapia Intensiva*. 2018;30(1):121-126.
116. Park EJ, Min Y-G. The emerging method of suicide by electronic cigarette liquid: a case report. *Journal of Korean Medical Science*. 2018;33(11):e52.
117. Polosa R, Cibella F, Caponnetto P, et al. Health impact of E-cigarettes: a prospective 3.5-year study of regular daily users who have never smoked. *Scientific Reports*. 2017;7(1):13825.
118. Pywell MJ, Wordsworth M, Kwasnicki RM, Chadha P, Hettiaratchy S, Halsey T. The effect of electronic cigarettes on hand microcirculation. *The Journal of Hand Surgery*. 2018;43(5):432-438.
119. Richmond SA, Pike I, Maguire JL, Macpherson A. E-cigarettes: a new hazard for children and adolescents. *Paediatrics & Child Health*. 2018;23(4):255-259.

120. Samburova V, Bhattarai C, Strickland M, et al. Aldehydes in exhaled breath during e-cigarette vaping: pilot study results. *Toxics*. 2018;6(3):46.
121. Sommerfeld CG, Weiner DJ, Nowalk A, Larkin A. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis and acute respiratory distress syndrome from e-cigarette use. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(6):e20163927.
122. van der Meer D, Pranger A, Jansen I, Wilms E, Kieft H, Maring J. Fatal intoxication with nicotine for e-cigarette. *Nederlands Tijdschrift Voor Geneeskunde*. 2017;161:D1591-D1591.
123. Hajek P, Phillips-Waller A, Przulj D, et al. A Randomized Trial of E-Cigarettes versus Nicotine-Replacement Therapy. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2019;380(7):629-637.
124. Ghosh A, Coakley RC, Mascenik T, et al. Chronic e-cigarette exposure alters the human bronchial epithelial proteome. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 2018;198(1):67-76.
125. Bustamante G, Ma B, Yakovlev G, et al. Presence of the carcinogen N'-Nitrosornicotine in saliva of e-cigarette users. *Chemical Research in Toxicology*. 2018;31(8):731-738.
126. Badea M, Luzardo OP, González-Antuña A, et al. Body burden of toxic metals and rare earth elements in non-smokers, cigarette smokers and electronic cigarette users. *Environmental Research*. 2018;166:269-275.
127. Carroll DM, Wagener TL, Peck JD, et al. Biomarkers of exposure in ENDS users, smokers, and dual users of American Indian descent. *Tobacco Regulatory Science*. 2018;4(2):3-15.
128. Jain RB. Concentrations of selected metals in blood, serum, and urine among US adult exclusive users of cigarettes, cigars, and electronic cigarettes. *Toxicological & Environmental Chemistry*. 2018;100(1):134-142.
129. Osei AD, Mirbolouk M, Orimoloye OA, et al. Association between e-cigarette use and cardiovascular disease among never and current combustible-cigarette smokers. *The American Journal of Medicine*. 2019.
130. Alzahrani T, Pena I, Temesgen N, Glantz SA. Association between electronic cigarette use and myocardial infarction. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2018;55(4):455-461.
131. Alanazi H, Semlali A, Chmielewski W, Rouabhia M. E-cigarettes increase *Candida albicans* growth and modulate its interaction with gingival epithelial cells. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2019;16(2):294.
132. Alanazi H, Park HJ, Chakir J, Semlali A, Rouabhia M. Comparative study of the effects of cigarette smoke and electronic cigarettes on human gingival fibroblast proliferation, migration and apoptosis. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*. 2018;118:390-398.
133. BinShabaib M, ALHarthi SS, Akram Z, et al. Clinical periodontal status and gingival crevicular fluid cytokine profile among cigarette-smokers, electronic-cigarette users and never-smokers. *Archives of Oral Biology*. 2019;102:212-217.
134. Ganapathy V, Manyanga J, Brame L, et al. Electronic cigarette aerosols suppress cellular antioxidant defenses and induce significant oxidative DNA damage. *PloS One*. 2017;12(5):e0177780.
135. Mokeem SA, Abduljabbar T, Al-Kheraif AA, et al. Oral *Candida* carriage among cigarette-and waterpipe-smokers, and electronic cigarette users. *Oral Diseases*. 2019;25(1):319-326.
136. Mokeem SA, Alasqah MN, Michelogiannakis D, Al-Kheraif AA, Romanos GE, Javed F. Clinical and radiographic periodontal status and whole salivary cotinine, IL-1 β and IL-6 levels in cigarette-and waterpipe-smokers and E-cig users. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*. 2018;61:38-43.

137. D'Ruiz CD, O'Connell G, Graff DW, Yan XS. Measurement of cardiovascular and pulmonary function endpoints and other physiological effects following partial or complete substitution of cigarettes with electronic cigarettes in adult smokers. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*. 2017;87:36-53.
138. Rohsenow DJ, Tidey JW, Martin RA, Colby SM, Eissenberg T. Effects of six weeks of electronic cigarette use on smoking rate, CO, cigarette dependence, and motivation to quit smoking: A pilot study. *Addictive Behaviors*. 2018;80:65-70.
139. Tuhanioglu B, Erkan SO, Özdaş T, Derici Ç, Tüzün K, Şenkal ÖA. The effect of electronic cigarettes on voice quality. *Journal of Voice*. 2018.
140. Veldheer S, Yingst J, Midya V, et al. Pulmonary and other health effects of electronic cigarette use among adult smokers participating in a randomized controlled smoking reduction trial. *Addictive Behaviors*. 2019;91:95-101.
141. Deshpande M, Bromann S, Arnoldi J. Electronic cigarette use among adults with asthma: 2014-2017 National Health Interview Survey. *Res Social Adm Pharm*. 2019.
142. Polosa R, Morjaria J, Caponnetto P, et al. Effect of smoking abstinence and reduction in asthmatic smokers switching to electronic cigarettes: evidence for harm reversal. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2014;11(5):4965-4977.
143. Polosa R, Morjaria JB, Caponnetto P, et al. Persisting long term benefits of smoking abstinence and reduction in asthmatic smokers who have switched to electronic cigarettes. *Discov Med*. 2016;21(114):99-108.
144. Fedele DA, Barnett TE, Dekevich D, Gibson-Young LM, Martinasek M, Jagger MA. Prevalence of and beliefs about electronic cigarettes and hookah among high school students with asthma. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2016;26(12):865-869.
145. Choi K, Bernat D. E-Cigarette Use Among Florida Youth With and Without Asthma. *Am J Prev Med*. 2016;51(4):446-453.
146. Larsen K, Faulkner GEJ, Boak A, et al. Looking beyond cigarettes: Are Ontario adolescents with asthma less likely to smoke e-cigarettes, marijuana, waterpipes or tobacco cigarettes? *Respir Med*. 2016;120:10-15.
147. Clapp PW, Jaspers I. Electronic Cigarettes: Their Constituents and Potential Links to Asthma. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep*. 2017;17(11):79.
148. Cho JH, Paik SY. Association between Electronic Cigarette Use and Asthma among High School Students in South Korea. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(3):e0151022.
149. Schweitzer RJ, Wills TA, Tam E, Pagano I, Choi K. E-cigarette use and asthma in a multiethnic sample of adolescents. *Preventive medicine*. 2017;105:226-231.
150. Bayly JE, Bernat D, Porter L, Choi K. Secondhand Exposure to Aerosols From Electronic Nicotine Delivery Systems and Asthma Exacerbations Among Youth With Asthma. *Chest*. 2019;155(1):88-93.
151. Breland A, McCubbin A, Ashford K. Electronic nicotine delivery systems and pregnancy: Recent research on perceptions, cessation, and toxicant delivery. *Birth Defects Res*. 2019;111(17):1284-1293.
152. Chen H, Li G, Chan YL, et al. Maternal e-cigarette exposure in mice alters DNA methylation and lung cytokine expression in offspring. *American Journal of Respiratory Cell and Molecular Biology*. 2018;58(3):366-377.
153. Lauterstein D, Tijerina P, Corbett K, et al. Frontal cortex transcriptome analysis of mice exposed to electronic cigarettes during early life stages. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2016;13(4):417.
154. Nguyen T, Li GE, Chen H, Cranfield CG, McGrath KC, Gorrie CA. Maternal e-cigarette exposure results in cognitive and epigenetic alterations in offspring in a mouse model. *Chemical Research in Toxicology*. 2018;31(7):601-611.

155. Zelikoff JT, Parmalee NL, Corbett K, Gordon T, Klein CB, Aschner M. Microglia activation and gene expression alteration of neurotrophins in the hippocampus following early-life exposure to e-cigarette aerosols in a murine model. *Toxicological Sciences*. 2017;162(1):276-286.
156. FEMA. The safety assessment and regulatory authority to use flavors—Focus on e-cigarettes. 2018; https://www.femaflavor.org/sites/default/files/2019-02/Safety%20Assessment%20-%20Focus%20on%20ENDS%20and%20Flavored%20Tobacco%20Products_09_2018.pdf. Accessed 02/09/2019.
157. Schneller LM, Bansal-Travers M, Goniewicz ML, McIntosh S, Ossip D, O'Connor RJ. Use of Flavored E-Cigarettes and the Type of E-Cigarette Devices Used among Adults and Youth in the US-Results from Wave 3 of the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health Study (2015-2016). *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2019;16(16).
158. Erythropel HC, Jabba SV, DeWinter TM, et al. Formation of flavorant–propylene glycol adducts with novel toxicological properties in chemically unstable e-cigarette liquids. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*. 2018;21(9):1248–1258.
159. Bengalli R, Ferri E, Labra M, Mantecca P. Lung toxicity of condensed aerosol from E-CIG liquids: influence of the flavor and the in vitro model used. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2017;14(10):1254.
160. Otero CE, Noeker JA, Brown MM, et al. Electronic cigarette liquid exposure induces flavor-dependent osteotoxicity and increases expression of a key bone marker, collagen type I. *Journal of Applied Toxicology*. 2019;39(6):888-898.
161. Rowell TR, Reeber SL, Lee SL, et al. Flavored e-cigarette liquids reduce proliferation and viability in the CALU3 airway epithelial cell line. *American Journal of Physiology-Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology*. 2017;313(1):L52-L66.
162. Clapp PW, Pawlak EA, Lackey JT, et al. Flavored e-cigarette liquids and cinnamaldehyde impair respiratory innate immune cell function. *American Journal of Physiology-Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology*. 2017;313(2):L278-L292.
163. Behar RZ, Luo W, Lin SC, et al. Distribution, quantification and toxicity of cinnamaldehyde in electronic cigarette refill fluids and aerosols. *Tob Control*. 2016;25(Suppl 2):ii94-ii102.
164. Ween MP, Whittall JJ, Hamon R, Reynolds PN, Hodge SJ. Phagocytosis and Inflammation: Exploring the effects of the components of E-cigarette vapor on macrophages. *Physiological Reports*. 2017;5(16):e13370.
165. Hickman E, Herrera CA, Jaspers I. Common e-cigarette flavoring chemicals impair neutrophil phagocytosis and oxidative burst. *Chemical Research in Toxicology*. 2019;32(6):982-985.
166. Gerloff J, Sundar IK, Freter R, et al. Inflammatory response and barrier dysfunction by different e-cigarette flavoring chemicals identified by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry in e-liquids and e-vapors on human lung epithelial cells and fibroblasts. *Applied in vitro Toxicology*. 2017;3(1):28-40.
167. Hua M, Omaiye EE, Luo W, McWhirter KJ, Pankow JF, Talbot P. na. *Scientific Reports*. 2019;9(1):2782.
168. Omaiye EE, McWhirter KJ, Luo W, Pankow JF, Talbot P. High-nicotine electronic cigarette products: Toxicity of JUUL fluids and aerosols correlates strongly with nicotine and some flavor chemical concentrations. *Chemical Research in Toxicology*. 2019;32(6):1058-1069.
169. Omaiye EE, Cordova I, Davis B, Talbot P. Counterfeit electronic cigarette products with mislabeled nicotine concentrations. *Tobacco Regulatory Science*. 2017;3(3):347-357.

170. Wiernik E, Airagnes G, Lequy E, et al. Electronic cigarette use is associated with depressive symptoms among smokers and former smokers: Cross-sectional and longitudinal findings from the Constances cohort. *Addict Behav.* 2019;90:85-91.
171. Antoniewicz L, Brynedal A, Hedman L, Lundbäck M, Bosson JA. Acute effects of electronic cigarette inhalation on the vasculature and the conducting airways. *Cardiovascular Toxicology.* 2019:1-10.
172. Moheimani RS, Bhetraratana M, Peters KM, et al. Sympathomimetic effects of acute e-cigarette use: role of nicotine and non-nicotine constituents. *Journal of the American Heart Association.* 2017;6(9):e006579.
173. Buettner-Schmidt K, Miller DR, Balasubramanian N. Electronic cigarette refill liquids: child-resistant packaging, nicotine content, and sales to minors. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing.* 2016;31(4):373-379.
174. Chivers E, Janka M, Franklin P, Mullins B, Larcombe A. Nicotine and other potentially harmful compounds in “nicotine-free” e-cigarette liquids in Australia. *The Medical Journal of Australia.* 2019;210(3):127-128.
175. Czoli CD, Goniewicz ML, Palumbo M, White CM, Hammond D. E-cigarette nicotine content and labelling practices in a restricted market: Findings from Ontario, Canada. *International Journal of Drug Policy.* 2018;58:9-12.
176. Goniewicz ML, Gupta R, Lee YH, et al. Nicotine levels in electronic cigarette refill solutions: A comparative analysis of products from the US, Korea, and Poland. *International Journal of Drug Policy.* 2015;26(6):583-588.
177. Goniewicz ML, Kuma T, Gawron M, Knysak J, Kosmider L. Nicotine levels in electronic cigarettes. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research.* 2013;15(1):158-166.
178. Harris AC, Muelken P, Smethells JR, et al. Effects of nicotine-containing and “nicotine-free” e-cigarette refill liquids on intracranial self-stimulation in rats. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence.* 2018;185:1-9.
179. Kim S, Goniewicz M, Yu S, Kim B, Gupta R. Variations in label information and nicotine levels in electronic cigarette refill liquids in South Korea: regulation challenges. *International journal of environmental research and public health.* 2015;12(5):4859-4868.
180. Lisko JG, Tran H, Stanfill SB, Blount BC, Watson CH. Chemical composition and evaluation of nicotine, tobacco alkaloids, pH, and selected flavors in e-cigarette cartridges and refill solutions. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research.* 2015;17(10):1270-1278.
181. Raymond BH, Collette-Merrill K, Harrison RG, Jarvis S, Rasmussen RJ. The nicotine content of a sample of e-cigarette liquid manufactured in the United States. *Journal of Addiction Medicine.* 2018;12(2):127-131.
182. Singh J, Luquet E, Smith D, Potgieter HJ, Ragazzon P. Toxicological and analytical assessment of e-cigarette refill components on airway epithelia. *Science Progress.* 2016;99(4):351-398.
183. St. Helen G, Dempsey DA, Havel CM, Jacob III P, Benowitz NL. Impact of e-liquid flavors on nicotine intake and pharmacology of e-cigarettes. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence.* 2017;178:391-398.
184. Trehy ML, Ye W, Hadwiger ME, et al. Analysis of electronic cigarette cartridges, refill solutions, and smoke for nicotine and nicotine related impurities. *Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies.* 2011;34(14):1442-1458.
185. AEMSA. E-liquid manufacturing standards. 2017; <https://www.aemsa.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/AEMSA-Standards-v2.3.2.pdf>
186. BBC. Exploding e-cigarette kills 24-year-old Texas man. *BBC online*2019.

187. Corey CG, Chang JT, Rostron BL. Electronic nicotine delivery system (ENDS) battery-related burns presenting to US emergency departments, 2016. *Injury Epidemiology*. 2018;5(1):4.
188. Anderson H, Richie C, Bernard A. A surprisingly volatile smoking alternative: explosion and burns as risks of e-cigarette use. *Journal of Burn Care & Research*. 2017;38(5):e884-e884.
189. Andresen NS, Lee DJ, Kowalski CE, Bayon R. Fall with e-cigarette in mouth resulting in pharyngeal and esophageal burns. *JAMA Otolaryngology–Head & Neck Surgery*. 2018;144(4):385-386.
190. Brooks JK, Kleinman JW, Brooks JB, Reynolds MA. Electronic cigarette explosion associated with extensive intraoral injuries. *Dental Traumatology*. 2017;33(2):149-152.
191. Chi AC, Neville BW, Ravenel M. Electronic cigarette explosion: Case report of an emerging cause of orofacial trauma. *Trauma*. 2018;20(1):62-67.
192. Ackley E, Williams JTB, Kunrath C, Monson M, Ignatiuk A, Gaensbauer J. Too hot to handle? When vaporizers explode. *The Journal of Pediatrics*. 2018;196:320-320.e321.
193. Satteson ES, Walker NJ, Tuohy CJ, Molnar JA. Extensive hand thermal and blast injury from electronic cigarette explosion: a case report. *Hand*. 2018;13(3):NP1-NP5.
194. Hickey S, Goverman J, Friedstat J, Sheridan R, Schulz J. Thermal injuries from exploding electronic cigarettes. *Burns*. 2018;44(5):1294-1301.
195. Maraqa T, Mohamed MA, Salib M, Morris S, Mercer L, Sachwani-Daswani GR. Too hot for your pocket! Burns from e-cigarette lithium battery explosions: a case series. *Journal of Burn Care & Research*. 2017;39(6):1043-1047.
196. Saxena S, Kong L, Pecht MG. Exploding e-cigarettes: A battery safety issue. *IEEE Access*. 2018;6:21442-21466.
197. Serror K, Chaouat M, De Runz A, Mimoun M, Boccara D. Thigh deep burns caused by electronic vaping devices (e-cigarettes): a new mechanism. *Burns: Journal of the International Society for Burn Injuries*. 2017;43(5):1133-1135.
198. Toy J, Dong F, Lee C, et al. Alarming increase in electronic nicotine delivery systems-related burn injuries: A serious unregulated public health issue. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*. 2017;35(11):1781-1782.
199. Treitl D, Solomon R, Davare DL, Sanchez R, Kiffin C. Full and partial thickness burns from spontaneous combustion of e-cigarette lithium-ion batteries with review of literature. *The Journal of Emergency Medicine*. 2017;53(1):121-125.
200. Qu Y, Kim KH, Szulejko JE. The effect of flavor content in e-liquids on e-cigarette emissions of carbonyl compounds. *Environ Res*. 2018;166:324-333.
201. Salamanca JC, Meehan-Atrash J, Vreeke S, Escobedo JO, Peyton DH, Strongin RM. E-cigarettes can emit formaldehyde at high levels under conditions that have been reported to be non-averse to users. *Sci Rep*. 2018;8(1):7559.
202. Lee MH, Szulejko JE, Kim KH. Determination of carbonyl compounds in electronic cigarette refill solutions and aerosols through liquid-phase dinitrophenyl hydrazine derivatization. *Asia Pac J Public Health*. 2018;30(4):321-327. doi: 310.1177/1010539518762855. Epub 1010539518762018 Mar 1010539518762859.
203. Gillman IG, Kistler KA, Stewart EW, Paolantonio AR. Effect of variable power levels on the yield of total aerosol mass and formation of aldehydes in e-cigarette aerosols. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2016;75:58-65.
204. Kosmider L, Sobczak A, Fik M, et al. Carbonyl compounds in electronic cigarette vapors: effects of nicotine solvent and battery output voltage. *Nicotine & tobacco research : official journal of the Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco*. 2014;16(10):1319-1326.

205. Shahab L, Goniewicz ML, Blount BC, et al. Nicotine, carcinogen, and toxin exposure in long-term e-cigarette and nicotine replacement therapy users: a cross-sectional study. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2017;166(6):390-400.
206. Schaal CM, Bora-Singhal N, Kumar DM, Chellappan SP. Regulation of Sox2 and stemness by nicotine and electronic-cigarettes in non-small cell lung cancer. *Molecular Cancer*. 2018;17(1):149.
207. Tommasi S, Caliri AW, Caceres A, et al. Deregulation of biologically significant genes and associated molecular pathways in the oral epithelium of electronic cigarette users. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 2019;20(3):738.
208. Waldum HL, Nilsen OG, Nilsen T, et al. Long-term effects of inhaled nicotine. *Life Sci*. 1996;58(16):1339-1346.
209. Murphy SE, von Weymarn LB, Schutten MM, Kassie F, Modiano JF. Chronic nicotine consumption does not influence 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone-induced lung tumorigenesis. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)*. 2011;4(11):1752-1760.
210. Toth B. Effects of long term administration of nicotine hydrochloride and nicotinic acid in mice. *Anticancer Res*. 1982;2(1-2):71-73.
211. Dodmane PR, Arnold LL, Pennington KL, Cohen SM. Orally administered nicotine induces urothelial hyperplasia in rats and mice. *Toxicology*. 2014;315:49-54.
212. Canistro D, Vivarelli F, Cirillo S, et al. E-cigarettes induce toxicological effects that can raise the cancer risk. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7(1):2028.