Faculty of Engineering and Science Department of Chemical Engineering

Physicochemical Characterisation and Flocculation Analysis of a Novel Cationic Chitosan-Like Bioflocculant from *Citrobacter Youngae* GTC 01314 to Improve Sludge Dewatering

Nur Syahirah Mohamed Hatta

This thesis is presented for the Degree of Master of Philosophy (Chemical Engineering) of Curtin University

February 2021

DECLARATION BY STUDENT

To the best of my knowledge and belief this thesis contains no material previously published by any other person except where due acknowledgement has been made.

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university.

Signature:

Name of Student: Nur Syahirah Mohamed Hatta

Date: 9 February 2021

DECLARATION BY SUPERVISOR

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the Degree of Master of Philosophy (Chemical Engineering).

Signature:

Name of Supervisor: Lau Shiew Wei

Date: 9 February 2021

PUBLICATION

Nur Syahirah Mohamed Hatta, Shiew Wei Lau, Masahiro Takeo, Han Bing Chua, Priyanka Baranwal, Nabisab Mujawar Mubarak, Mohammad Khalid. "Novel cationic chitosan-like bioflocculant from *Citrobacter youngae* GTC 01314 for the treatment of kaolin suspension and activated sludge." *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering* 9 (4): 105297. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2021.105297.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, all praises to Allah for the strengths and blessing I have been received in completing this thesis.

First and foremost, I would like to acknowledge the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia for funding this project under Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS/1/2017/TK02/CURTIN/03/1) and supported my study. I also thank Curtin University Malaysia for providing fee waiver scholarship, facilities and financial support.

Special thanks to my thesis chair Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Stephanie Chan Yen San, main supervisor Dr. Lau Shiew Wei and co-supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Chua Han Bing for their excellent guidance in ensuring successful completion of this project. My deepest gratitude goes to my main supervisor who is also the project leader for her continuous support, advice, time, motivation, patience and immense knowledge provided throughout my study. Further, I would like to thank my associate supervisor from University of Hyogo, Japan, Professor Masahiro Takeo and his research teammate Dr. Priyanka Baranwal for the invaluable technical advice and generous provision of the key research sample for this study.

To all laboratory personnel, Ms. Rassti, Ms. Diana, Ms. Yuanna, Ms. Abigail and other staff who have directly or indirectly assisted me during my experimental stage, my greatest gratitude to them for their kind and professional assistance.

Last but not least, I thank my beloved family for constant prayers and spiritual supports, and my colleagues who have helped me during my research study.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

I have obtained permission from the copyright owners to use any third-party copyright material reproduced in the thesis, or to use any of my own published work in which the copyright is held by another party.

ABSTRACT

Wastewater treatment often generates large amounts of sludge as a by-product, leading to the difficulties in managing and disposing the sludge which is acknowledged as one of the major issues faced globally. High-water content in the sludge is not only cause difficulties in sludge management, but also leads to serious environmental problems and public health risk. This is due to the application of chemicals in conventional wastewater treatment processes. In the past few years, bioflocculants derived from natural sources have emerged as promising substitutes for synthetic flocculants. Bacterial strains from the genus *Citrobacter* are reported to produce chitosan-like bioflocculants with strong flocculation activity, but the understanding of their characteristics and molecular interactions with suspended matter is still lacking. These bacterial strains are proven to be cultivated effectively in acetate medium which could be a cheaper alternative substrate. Furthermore, its existence in a readily soluble form could be advantageous over the natural chitosan derived from marine or fungi sources.

This study aims to investigate the flocculation behaviour of a novel bioflocculant (BF), namely, BF01314 produced by *Citrobacter youngae* in enhancing sludge dewaterability. Selected physicochemical characteristics in terms of sugar, protein and apparent chitosan content, ionic behaviour, functional groups and thermal degradation properties of the BF were studied. The flocculation behaviour of the BF was first examined in kaolin suspension under the effects of flocculant dosage, pH and temperature, and then extended to its application to a real activated sludge sample. The effects of flocculant dosage, pH, temperature, and flocculation speed and time, on sludge dewatering performance were investigated in terms of capillary suction time (CST), specific resistance to filtration (SRF), cake solids content (CSC), zeta potential, and flocculation activity. The performance of the BF was then compared with the ones of chitosan and cationic polymer MF 7861 to evaluate its efficiency. Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectrum and microscopic images of the sludge before and after conditioning were analysed to elucidate the possible flocculation mechanisms.

From the characterisation studies, it is confirmed that BF01314 is a chitosan-like bioflocculant with an identical pattern of infrared spectrum to the one of chitosan. The

presence of hydroxyl, amino, and amide (C=O and C-N stretches) groups were observed at 3265 cm⁻¹, 1532 cm⁻¹, 1632 cm⁻¹ and 1323 cm⁻¹, respectively. The BF solution contained 12.38% apparent chitosan and 5.34% sugar with no trace of protein detected. Upon the addition to kaolin suspension, BF01314 exhibited strong flocculation activity of >95% in a wide range of dosage between 4 and 120 mg-dry weight/L with the optimal dosage recorded at 15 mg-dry weight/L. The BF also revealed reasonably high pH stability (>98% activity) in acidic solution up to the pH below its isoelectric point (pH 8.25). High flocculation activity and thermostability were also observed over the temperature range between 25 to 95°C, which supported the observation of its polysaccharide backbone.

In sludge conditioning and dewatering experiment, BF01314 showed significant improvement of dewatering performance, comparable to the results obtained when using chitosan and MF 7861. The optimal performance was found at the flocculant dosage of 3.0 kg/t dry solids (CST: 8.1 s; SRF: 3.26×10¹⁰ m/kg; CSC: 25.67%; zeta potential: -4.86 mV) within the range tested. Similar to the kaolin flocculation experiment, the BF demonstrated good dewatering results between pH 2 to 8 and at a temperature range between 25 to 80°C. The flocculation kinetics of the BF was best fitted to pseudo-first order reaction in terms of turbidity removal. Electrostatic charge patching was proposed as one of the governing flocculation mechanisms of the BF based on the zeta potential results. Additionally, bridging was also proposed as the most-likely mechanism of the BF, supported with its high molecular weight (327kDa) and the results from the analysis of microscope images and infrared spectrum. In conclusion, it is postulated that BF01314 is a chitosan-like polysaccharide comprising of a glucosamine backbone with amino groups which can be protonated in acidic regime, similar to those of natural chitosan. The effectiveness of BF01314 in kaolin flocculation advocates its potential application in removal of suspended solids in water and wastewater treatment. The potential application of BF01314 in sludge conditioning and dewatering has been confirmed in this study. Nevertheless, future investigations are still necessary and strongly recommended to address the limitations of the present work and expand the scope of this study.

Keywords: bioflocculant, Citrobacter, sludge dewatering, flocculation, chitosan

NOMENCLATURE

Notation	Description
BF	Bioflocculant
WAS	Waste activated sludge
FA	Flocculation activity (%)
SRF	Specific resistance to filtration (m/kg)
CPAM	Cationic polyacrylamide
CST	Capillary suction time (s)
CSC	Cake solids content (%)
DS	Dry solids (g/L)
TS	Total solids (g/L)
TSS	Total suspended solids (g/L)
TDS	Total dissolved solids (g/L)
SVI	Sludge volume index
FTIR	Fourier transform infrared
GPC-MALLS	Gas permeation chromatography-multi
	angle laser light scattering
ESEM	Environmental scanning electron
	microscope
TGA	Thermogravimetric analysis
DTGA	Derivative thermogravimetric analysis

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION BY STUDENT	ii
DECLARATION BY SUPERVISOR	iii
PUBLICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
COPYRIGHT STATEMENT	vi
ABSTRACT	vii
NOMENCLATURE	ix
List of Figures	XV
List of Tables	xix
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1

1.1	Background	. 1
1.2	Problem statement	3
1.3	Research gap	4
1.4	Aim and objectives	. 5
1.5	Scope of the study	6
1.6	Significance of the study	7
1.7	Thesis overview	8

CHA	HAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW		
2.1	Introduction	9	
2.2	Wastewater sludge processing	9	
2.3	Type of sludge and its characteristics	10	
2.4	Sludge characterisation and its dewaterability	12	
	2.4.1 Sludge characterisation parameters	12	

	2.4.2 Sluc	dge dewaterability	12
2.5	Sludge conditioning		
	2.5.1 Тур	be of coagulants/flocculants	15
	2.5.1.1	Inorganic coagulants/flocculants	16
	2.5.1.2	Organic coagulants/flocculants	17
	2.5.1.3	Grafted flocculants	
	2.5.2 Floo	cculation mechanisms and interactions	
	2.5.2.1	Electrical double layer compression	19
	2.5.2.2	Charge neutralisation	
	2.5.2.3	Colloid entrapment, precipitation or sweeping	21
	2.5.2.4	Polymer bridging	21
	2.5.2.5	Electrostatic charge patching	21
2.6	Factors affe	ecting flocculation and dewaterability	
	2.6.1 Floo	cculant dosage and its nature	23
	2.6.1.1	Effect of dosage	23
	2.6.1.2	Effect of molecular weight	23
	2.6.1.3	Effect of ionic nature of flocculant	24
	2.6.2 Effe	ect of pH	25
	2.6.3 Effe	ect of temperature	
	2.6.4 Effe	ect of mixing speed and time	
2.7	Advances of	of microbial bioflocculants in wastewater treatment	
2.8	Summary		39

3.1	Materials		
	3.1.1	Bioflocculant samples (BF01314)	. 41
	3.1.2	Waste activated sludge (WAS)	. 42

	3.1.3 Con	nmercial cationic flocculants	
	3.1.3.1	Natural chitosan	
	3.1.3.2	Synthetic cationic polymer	
3.2	Characteris	sation methods and analytical techniques of BF01314	
	3.2.1 Che	emical analysis	
	3.2.1.1	Phenol Sulphuric Acid Method	45
	3.2.1.2	Bradford Assay	45
	3.2.1.3	Colorimetric Assay of Chitosan	46
	3.2.2 Sur	face charge analysis	47
	3.2.3 Mol	lecular weight determination	47
	3.2.4 Fou	rier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy	
	3.2.5 The	rmogravimetric analysis (TGA)	49
3.3	Flocculatio	on characterisation under various parametric conditions	
	3.3.1 Prep	paration of synthetic kaolin suspension	
	3.3.2 Mea	asurement of flocculation activity	50
	3.3.2.1	Flocculation titre and effect of BF concentration	51
	3.3.2.2	Effect of suspension pH	51
	3.3.2.3	Effect of temperature	
3.4	Sludge con	ditioning and dewatering experiments	52
	3.4.1 Sluc	dge characterisation	
	3.4.1.1	рН	
	3.4.1.2	Total solids (TS)	
	3.4.1.3	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	53
	3.4.1.4	Total Dissolved Solid (TDS)	54
	3.4.1.5	Capillary suction time	54
	3.4.1.6	Specific resistance to filtration and cake solids content	54
	3.4.1.7	Zeta potential measurement	56

	3.4.2 Batch conditioning experiment	56
3.5	Comparison with commercial flocculants	57
3.6	Analysis of flocculation kinetics	58

CHAPTER 4 PHYSICOCHEMICAL CHARACTERISATION AND

FLO	FLOCCULATION ANALYSIS OF BF01314 60		
4.1	Chara	cteristics of BF01314	60
	4.1.1	Total sugar, protein, and chitosan contents in BF01314	60
	4.1.2	Molecular weight and FTIR analysis of BF01314	62
	4.1.3	Surface charge analysis	65
	4.1.4	Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)	67
4.2	Floccu	ulation analysis of BF01314 using kaolin suspension	69
	4.2.1	Effect of BF01314 concentration	69
	4.2.2	Effect of suspension pH	73
	4.2.3	Effect of temperature	75
4.3	Summ	nary	77

CHAPTER 5 SLUDGE FLOCCULATION AND DEWATERING

PER	FORMANCE WITH BF01314	. 79
5.1	Raw sludge characteristics	. 79
5.2	Effect of BF01314 dosage	. 81
5.3	Effect of sludge pH	. 85
5.4	Effect of temperature	. 89
5.5	Comparison of dewatering performance with other flocculants	. 93
5.6	Analysis of flocculation kinetics	. 97
	5.6.1 Effects of flocculation speed and time on flocculation activity	. 97
	5.6.2 Fitting of zero-, pseudo first- and pseudo second-order reactions	. 99

5.7	FTIR analysis, surface morphology and possible mechanisms	106
5.8	Summary	109

CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 111

6.1	Concl	usions
6.2	Recor	nmendations for future work
	6.2.1	Characterisation study of BF01314 and sludge114
	6.2.2	Optimisation study and cost analysis 114
	6.2.3	Application of BF01314 in different type of wastewater or sludge 114
	6.2.4	Adsorption and mechanism of BF01314 flocculation 115
	6.2.5	Pilot-scale experiment of sludge dewatering 115

REFERENCES116		
APPENDIX A RAW DATA OF MOLECULAR WEIGHT DETERMINATION		
BY	GPC-MALLS14	43
A.1	Chromatogram of BF0131414	43
A.2	Summary of the report14	44
API	PENDIX B SAMPLE CALCULATION OF BIOFLOCCULANT	
CO	NCENTRATION AND DOSAGE14	45
B .1	Calculation of BF dosage from dilution of 2 ⁵	45
B.2	Calculation of BF solution required for dosage of 1.0 kg/t DS 14	46
B.3	Calculation of equivalent BF dosage from %BF to unit of kg/t DS14	47
API	PENDIX C LETTER OF COPYRIGHT PERMISSION FOR REUSE 14	48
API	PENDIX D ATTRIBUTION STATEMENT1	51

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Typical flowchart of wastewater sludge treatment processes	9
Figure 2.2: Coagulation-flocculation process	15
Figure 2.3: Type of coagulants/flocculants	16
Figure 2.4: Adsorption of polymer segments (tails and loops) to the surface	19
Figure 2.5: Fundamental theory of double layer compression. (1) stern layer; (2)	
diffuse layer. V_R and V_A represents repulsive and attractive forces respectively	20
Figure 2.6: Schematic interpretation of typical flocculation mechanisms	22
Figure 3.1: Research Methodology Flowchart	40
Figure 3.2: Bioflocculant BF01314 samples	41
Figure 3.3: Miri SSTP overall sludge septic treatment flow diagram	43
Figure 3.4: (a) Sludge collection (b) Sludge samples stored at 4°C	43
Figure 3.5: Formation of isoindole by o-phthalaldehyde and thiol reacted with	
primary amino groups	46
Figure 3.6: Cary 630 FTIR spectrometer Diamond Accessory sample press	48
Figure 3.7: TGA analyser (TGA/DSC 1, Mettler Toledo)	49
Figure 3.8: Preparation of two-fold serial dilution of BF solution	51
Figure 3.9: CST test block for sludge dewaterability analysis	54
Figure 3.10: Experimental set up for SRF test of the sludge	55
Figure 3.11: Set up for effect of temperature experiment	57

Figure 4.1: Standard curve of glucose concentration; (×) BF01314 sample. Error bar
represents ±standard deviation (SD) of triplicates61
Figure 4.2: Protein determination by Bradford assay. The colour of the BF01314
solution remains unchanged after adding the reagent61
Figure 4.3: Standard curve of chitosan concentration; (×) BF01314 sample. Error bar
represents ±SD of triplicates
Figure 4.4: Typical structure of partial deacetylated chitin (chitosan). (A) primary
hydroxyl; (B) secondary hydroxyl; (C) primary amino; (D) secondary amide 63
Figure 4.5: Functional groups of BF01314 in comparison to the chitosan of
equivalent molecular weight63

Figure 4.6: Isoelectric point (IEP) titration graph of BF0131466
Figure 4.7: Reversible reactions of chitosan primary amino group (denoted as Chit-
NH ₂) at low and high pH conditions66
Figure 4.8: TGA/DTG curve of one of chitosan
Figure 4.9: TGA/DTG curve of BF0131468
Figure 4.10: D-glucosamine linked with β -(1,4) glycosidic bonds in chitosan
polymer. 1–6 shows the position of each carbon
Figure 4.11: Measurement of 'flocculation titre (Ft)' of BF01314. Error bar
represents ±SD of triplicates
Figure 4.12: Effect of BF01314 concentration on flocculation activity for the BF (1
month). Error bar represents ±SD of triplicates
Figure 4.13: Effect of BF01314 concentration on zeta potential of the suspension for
the BF (1 month). Error bar represents ±SD of triplicates72
Figure 4.14: Effect of suspension pH on flocculation activity of BF01314. Error bar
represents ±SD of triplicates74
Figure 4.15: Effect of suspension pH on zeta potential. Error bar represents standard
deviation of triplicate determinations74
Figure 4.16: Effect of temperature on flocculation activity of BF01314. Error bar
represents ±SD of triplicates76
Figure 4.17: Effect of temperature on zeta potential of the suspension. Error bar
represents ±SD of triplicates77
Figure 5.1: Effect of BF01314 dosage on CST of treated sludge. Error bar represents
±SD of triplicates
Figure 5.2: Effect of BF01314 dosage on SRF and CSC of treated sludge. Error bar
represents ±SD of triplicates
Figure 5.3: Effect of BF01314 dosage on zeta potential of the supernatant of treated
sludge. Error bar represents ±SD of triplicates
Figure 5.4: Images of sludge before and after treatment with BF01314 (top view).
(RS) Raw sludge; (A) 3.0 kg/t DS; (B) 6.0 kg/t DS
Figure 5.5: Images of sludge before and after treatment with BF01314 (side view).
(RS) Raw sludge; (A) 3.0 kg/t DS; (B) 6.0 kg/t DS
Figure 5.6: Effect of sludge pH on CST of raw and treated sludge. Error bar
represents +SD of triplicates 86

Figure 5.7: Effect of sludge pH on SRF of raw and treated sludge. Error bar
represents ±SD of triplicates
Figure 5.8: Turbidity appearance of sludge supernatant treated by 1.0 kg/t DS of
BF01314 at different pH (from left: pH 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10)
Figure 5.9: Effect of sludge pH on CSC of treated sludge. Error bar represents \pm SD
of triplicates
Figure 5.10: Effect of sludge pH on zeta potential of the supernatant of treated
sludge. Error bar represents ±SD of triplicates
Figure 5.11: Effect of temperature on CST of raw and treated sludge. Error bar
represents ±SD of triplicates
Figure 5.12: Effect of temperature on SRF of raw and treated sludge. Error bar
represents ±SD of triplicates
Figure 5.13: Effect of temperature on CSC of raw and treated sludge. Error bar
represents ±SD of triplicates91
Figure 5.14: Effect of temperature on zeta potential of the supernatant of treated
sludge. Error bar represents ±SD of triplicates
Figure 5.15: Sludge dewatering performance of BF01314 compared to chitosan and
MF 7861 in terms of CST. Error bar represents ±SD of triplicates94
Figure 5.16: Sludge dewatering performance of BF01314 compared to chitosan and
MF 7861 in terms of SRF. Error bar represents ±SD of triplicates
Figure 5.17: Sludge dewatering performance of BF01314 compared to chitosan and
MF 7861 in terms of CSC. Error bar represents ±SD of triplicates95
Figure 5.18: Zeta potential results of BF01314 compared to chitosan and MF 7861.
Error bar represents ±SD of triplicates
Figure 5.19: Effect of flocculation speed and time on flocculation activity of
BF01314. Error bar represents ±SD of triplicates
Figure 5.20: Turbidity reduction at different BF dosages over sedimentation time. 100
Figure 5.21: Zero-order kinetic model fitting of BF01314-induced sludge
flocculation. (N_t represents the turbidity measured at time t. Solid lines symbolise the
model data.)
Figure 5.22: Pseudo first-order kinetic model fitting of BF01314-induced sludge
flocculation
Figure 5.23: Pseudo second-order kinetic model fitting of BF01314-induced sludge
flocculation

Figure 5.24: Residual plots of zero-order (left) and pseudo first-order (right)
reactions at BF dosages of 3%, 5% and 10%104
Figure 5.25: Residual plots for pseudo second-order reaction at BF dosages of 3%,
5% and 10%
Figure 5.26: IR spectrum of the unconditioned sludge and the sludge conditioned by
BF01314 and chitosan100
Figure 5.27: Optical microscope images of (a) raw sludge and (b) flocculated sludge.
SEM images of (c) raw sludge, (d) BF-treated sludge, (e) BF01314 (1000x) and (f)
BF01314 (8000x)

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Type of sludge and its characteristics	11
Table 2.2: Water content in different types of sludge	11
Table 2.3: Sludge characterisation	12
Table 2.4: Sludge dewaterability with respect to typical ranges of SRF and water	
discharge velocity	13
Table 2.5: Comparison of typical conditioning methods	14
Table 2.6: Different molecular weight range of polymers	24
Table 2.7: Advances of microbial bioflocculant in water and wastewater treatment	
for the past ten years (2010 – 2020)	29
Table 3.1: Composition of Modified Acetate Medium (MAM)	42

Table 3.2: Volume of reagents and standard for total sugar determination 4
Table 3.3: Volume of reagent and standard for total protein determination 4
Table 3.4: Range of parameters of flocculation tests 5
Table 3.5: Range of parameters tested for sludge conditioning and dewatering 5
Table 3.6: Range of parameters for the study of effects of flocculation speed and tim

Table 4.1: Summary of characteristic bands of chitosan and BF01314......64

Table 5.1: Characteristics of activated sludge in comparison to previous literature .	. 80
Table 5.2: Characteristic of flocculants used in this study	.93
Table 5.3: Comparison of dewatering enhancement at dosage 3.0 and 6.0 kg/t DS	.97
Table 5.4: Parameters obtained from the model fitting of zero-, pseudo first- and	
pseudo second-order reactions 1	103

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

3

1

2

4 1.1 Background

5 Natural based flocculants or bioflocculants (BFs) have received much attention in 6 recent years due to its nontoxic and biodegradable properties, which offer important 7 eco-friendly benefits. Studies have reported the practical application of natural 8 polysaccharide BFs derived from biomass feedstocks, marine resources, and 9 microorganisms, mainly in water and wastewater treatment. Polysaccharide BFs such 10 as chitosan, starch, and pullulan have shown promising results in treating water and 11 wastewater as an alternative to synthetic flocculants (Lichtfouse et al. 2019; 12 Salehizadeh, Yan and Farnood 2018; Yang et al. 2016). Other authors also reported 13 the potential use of microbial BF and bio-based flocculant (combined/grafted) in 14 wastewater treatment (Guo and Wen 2020; Hassimi et al. 2020; Liu et al. 2020; Xu et 15 al. 2018; Guo and Chen 2017; Kurade et al. 2016).

Wastewater treatment plant often generates large amounts of sewage sludge as a by-16 17 product, especially for urban treatment plants compared to industrial treatment plant 18 (OECD 2015). This is because the primary sludge contains typically 98% of water 19 content which leads to significant difficulties in sludge management and disposal 20 (Evans 2016). Raw sludge commonly undergoes a series of pre-treatment processes, 21 such as thickening, stabilisation, conditioning and dewatering to minimise pathogens, 22 heavy metals, organic contaminants as well as to reduce bad odours and sludge volume 23 (Peirce, Weiner and Vesilind 1998). Poor management and improper disposal of 24 sludge may release these harmful materials into the soil at landfills (Lara et al. 2007; 25 Mihelcic 2018). The constant increase of sludge production in global trend calls for a 26 need to advance the existing technique of sludge dewatering with sustainable and 27 environmental friendly methods (Rorat et al. 2019; Yang, Zhang and Wang 2015).

Sludge dewatering is a key pre-treatment process to increase the efficiency of the subsequent sludge treatment operations before disposal or further treatment for

30 beneficial uses as biosolids. Prior to dewatering, synthetic polymers are commonly 31 applied in chemical conditioning to alter the sludge characteristics in order to facilitate 32 water removal during the mechanical dewatering process. Cationic polyacrylamide 33 (CPAM) has been extensively applied in wastewater and sludge treatment due to its 34 good dewatering performance and cost-effectiveness (Zhou, Liu and Pan 2014). 35 However, degraded polyacrylamide in the environment may release acrylamide 36 monomer which has been reported to be potentially carcinogenic while residues from 37 the application of aluminium-based flocculants have been argued to cause Alzheimer's 38 disease (Polizzi et al. 2002; Rudén 2004; Campbell 2002). The effects of 39 polyacrylamide degradation towards the environment and public health are still being 40 discussed and remain unsolved (Okaiyeto et al. 2016; Huang et al. 2018; Xiong et al. 41 2018).

42 Today, there are growing appeals for application of microbial BFs in wastewater 43 treatment and other industries owing to its high flocculation performance, simple 44 cultivation process and relatively ease to source for (Rebah, Mnif and Siddeeg 2018; 45 Shahadat et al. 2017; Al-Mamun, Hassan and Alam 2017). For example, bacterial 46 strains of Klebsiella pneumoniae, Bacillus pumilus, B. agaradhaerens, Streptomyces 47 platensis, Rhodococcus erythropolis, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa strain have been 48 applied successfully in producing microbial BFs for wastewater treatment (Wang et al. 49 2020; Ngema, Basson and Maliehe 2020; Liu et al. 2019; Agunbiade, Pohl and Ashafa 2018; Guo, Liu, et al. 2018; Pathak et al. 2017). Many efforts have been explored to 50 develop better bacterial strains with high flocculating potential for sustainable 51 52 production of microbial BFs from cheaper substrates.

53 Previous researches have reported that chitin/chitosan-like BFs can be produced from 54 bacterial strains in the genus Citrobacter (Kimura et al. 2013) and Enterobacter (Son, 55 Hong and Lee 2007). Chitosan consists of reactive functional groups such as hydroxyl 56 and amino groups, which are believed to greatly enhance the flocculation process 57 through mechanisms like charge neutralisation and polymer bridging (Lichtfouse et al. 58 2019; Lapointe and Barbeau 2020). Chitosan has been substantially studied in various 59 fields, mainly as effective flocculant/coagulant to remove wastewater pollutants and 60 reduce turbidity in drinking water (Yang et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2020). However, the 61 commercial production of chitosan from marine sources is challenging due to the seasonal distribution and limited supply of crustaceans, in addition to the complex
production processes in its practical production (Salehizadeh, Yan and Farnood 2018).
Therefore, the application of chitin/chitosan-like bioflocculant secreted by microbial
cultures in a soluble form could greatly simplify the production and downstream
processes of chitosan (Takeo et al. 2018).

67 A high flocculated cation independent microbial BF (named BF04) produced from 68 acetate and propionate by Citrobacter sp. TKF04, was first discovered to have a 69 similar structure to chitin/chitosan using infrared spectroscopic analysis (Fujita et al. 70 2000). Acetate could be a cheap alternative substrate, comparable to glucose, when 71 derived from thermal treatment and anaerobic digestion of organic wastes (Fujita et al. 72 2000). Similar findings were reported several years later for BFs produced from 73 Enterobacter sp. BL-2 (Son et al. 2005) and Citrobacter sp. BL-4 (Kim et al. 2006). 74 Subsequently, Kimura et al. (2013) reported that 21 out of 36 Citrobacter strains 75 selected from different microbial culture collection centres showed the presence of 76 flocculation activity in kaolin suspension, and some of them were found to have high 77 activity. However, the physicochemical properties and the flocculation behaviour of 78 their BFs have not been characterised yet. Effective flocculation demonstrated by 79 many BFs is known to be a result of several simultaneous mechanisms influenced by 80 many factors, including the characteristics of the polymer itself (Li et al. 2020). Hence, 81 it is of significant interest to know the governing flocculation mechanisms in relation 82 to the physicochemical characteristics of the BFs.

83

84 **1.2 Problem statement**

85 With rapid population growth and industrialisation leading to a spike in total number 86 of wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), the large amounts of sludge generated 87 annually have become a global environmental issue of concern. Almost all types of 88 sludge are difficult to manage and dispose due to high water content, which require 89 more sustainable and effective sludge dewatering technologies to reduce the sludge 90 volume. Various technologies such as ultrasound, microwave, alkali, and thermal 91 treatment methods have been introduced to improve sludge dewaterability by 92 disrupting the sludge cells to release the bound water trapped inside the cell structure. 93 However, the disruption of sludge cells creates additional surfaces for water binding 94 which then requires further treatment to reduce the binding sites (Wei et al. 2018).
95 Conventional method via chemical conditioning is widely recognised as one of the
96 effective approaches in sludge treatment and has become an indispensable process
97 prior to mechanical dewatering. Synthetic polymers and/or inorganic coagulants are
98 still preferred in chemical conditioning by the industry today because of their cost99 effectiveness even though it has been reported to cause potential environmental issues
100 and health risks.

101 Many attempts have been made to search for potential replacement of synthetic 102 flocculants through the utilisation of natural polymeric flocculants such as chitosan, 103 but the commercial production of these flocculants remains challenging mainly 104 because of the lack in sustainability of resources and efficacy of current processes. The 105 use of microbial BFs could possibly address these bottlenecks since the cultivation 106 processes are simpler than the current industrial processes, while many bacterial strains 107 including those from the genus Citrobacter can be isolated from waste organic 108 materials such as soil, sludge, and water. Besides, there are past and ongoing research 109 efforts in developing better bacterial strains from cheaper substrates and in 110 optimisation of the production of microbial BFs to reduce the production cost. The 111 scaling-up of the process from flask culture to large-scale cultivation is also another 112 area of ongoing research interest within this domain. Citrobacter youngae GTC 01314 113 was reported to be able to be cultivated effectively in a cheaper acetate medium, which 114 brings the attention to the further investigation of this particular strain in addition to 115 the claim by Kimura et al. (2013) on the potential of Citrobacter strains producing 116 chitin/chitosan-like BFs.

117

118 **1.3 Research gap**

In recent years, there are emerging reports on the potential application of chitosan or chitosan-based flocculants for wastewater treatment (Lichtfouse et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2020; Yang et al. 2020) and for sludge dewatering enhancement (Chen et al. 2020; Liu et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2019; Shi et al. 2019; Lichtfouse et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2016; Zemmouri, Mameri and Lounici 2015; Lau et al. 2015), mainly attributed to the unique functional groups of chitosan (hydroxyl, amide and amino groups) and its cationic behaviour when dissolved in acidic solutions. Further studies on the application chitin/chitosan-like BF secreted by microbial cultures in a soluble form
may offer greater production and processing advantages along with sustainable
resources, as stated earlier (Takeo et al. 2018).

129 A number of studies on microbial BFs have been carried out using different types of 130 bacterial strains (Busi et al. 2017; Kurade et al. 2016; Guo et al. 2017; Guo, Yu, et al. 131 2015; Guo and Ma 2015; Guo and Chen 2017; Guo, Chen, et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2014; 132 Yang et al. 2012; Zhang, Xia and Zhang 2010); none of these strains was identified as 133 chitin/chitosan-like BFs or produced from the genus Citrobacter strains. Limited studies have reported that several Citrobacter strains potentially secreted 134 chitin/chitosan-like polysaccharides in acetate medium and few of them showed high 135 136 flocculation ability in kaolin suspension including *Citrobacter youngae* (C. youngae) 137 GTC 01314 (Kimura et al. 2013). However, there is no substantial evidence or study to support the claim of the chitin/chitosan-like structure of the biopolymer produced 138 139 by this particular strain GTC 01314. To the author's knowledge, this biopolymer has 140 not been investigated for its characteristics and flocculation behaviour at different 141 environmental conditions such as pH and temperature. Hence, the present study is 142 useful in knowledge contribution to the understanding and application of a novel 143 cationic BF produced by this newly identified BF-producing bacterial strain C. 144 youngae GTC 01314, which is the novelty of this research. Successful outcomes are 145 expected to address the aforementioned potential environmental issues and health risks associated with the use of synthetic polymer flocculants through the replacement of 146 147 microbial BFs, in general; and to propose a potential BF substitute for chitosan 148 flocculant in order to justify further investigation into the scaling-up and the 149 optimisation of the BF production, in particular.

150

151 **1.4 Aim and objectives**

In this study, a novel soluble cationic chitin/chitosan-like polysaccharide secreted by the strain GTC 01314 from *C. youngae* (named as BF01314) was selected for the physicochemical characterisation and flocculation analysis. The aim of this research is to investigate the effectiveness of BF01314 as a novel sludge dewatering agent, with the following specific objectives:

- To determine the physicochemical characteristics of BF01314 using chemical assays, surface charge analysis, molecular weight determination, functional group analysis, and thermogravimetric analysis.
- 160
 2. To evaluate the flocculation characteristics of BF01314 in kaolin suspension
 161 under the influences of flocculant dosage, pH, and temperature, and to
 162 elucidate its flocculation mechanisms from zeta potential and spectrometric
 163 analysis.
- 164 3. To examine the sludge dewatering performance of BF01314 in activated
 165 sludge suspension under the effects of flocculant dosage, pH, and temperature,
 166 and to compare its feasibility as dewatering agent with commercial chitosan
 167 and industrial cationic polymer.
- 4. To assess sludge flocculation kinetics using BF01314 at different flocculation
 speeds and times, followed by data fittings to simple kinetic models of zero,
 pseudo-first and pseudo-second orders.
- 171

172 **1.5** Scope of the study

173 The scope of the study includes two major parts in investigating the feasibility of174 BF01314 as a novel sludge dewatering agent:

175

Part One: Evaluation of flocculation performance in kaolin suspension

176 The flocculation performance of BF01314 was evaluated in a 10 mL synthetic kaolin 177 suspension with a fixed concentration of 5 g/L. This concentration was assumed to be sufficient for the preliminary study of a novel flocculant before being tested in a real 178 179 sludge suspension, according to most literature related to wastewater treatment. The 180 effects of flocculant dosage, initial pH and temperature on the flocculation activity 181 were assessed by spectrometric analysis. Ranges of bioflocculant dosage, pH and 182 temperature selected for this study are 0.058-478 mg/L, pH 2-11, and 25-95°C, 183 respectively. Zeta potential was also measured to provide an insight to the possible 184 flocculation mechanisms.

• **Part Two: Assessment of dewaterability performance in real sludge**

The sludge conditioning and dewatering experiment was conducted in a batch
experiment similar to jar test, in a 100 mL of sludge. The waste activated sludge (WAS)

188 was sourced from a domestic septic sludge treatment plant and used as received for all 189 experiments in this study. The sample was obtained from the sludge holding tank, 190 located after Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR) and before polymer dosing and 191 dewatering operation. Sludge dewatering performance was evaluated using standard 192 filterability and dewaterability tests at laboratory scale, which are CST, SRF, CSC and 193 zeta potential measurements. These tests are commonly reported in many published 194 research articles on sludge dewatering. Three major environmental factors affecting sludge dewaterability were examined, namely the flocculant dosage (0.3-6.0 kg/t dry 195 solids), pH (2-10), and temperature (10-100°C). The effects of flocculation speed 196 197 (50–200 rpm) and time (1–7 minutes) were assessed for the percentage of flocculation 198 activity to analyse the flocculation kinetics of BF01314. The type and rate of the 199 reaction kinetics were also determined. The comparison of sludge dewatering 200 performance was made between BF01314, chitosan and MF 7861, a synthetic cationic polymer sample from the industry. The sludge treated by BF01314 at optimal 201 202 conditions of dosage, pH and temperature in present work was further examined using 203 FTIR spectrum analysis and microscopic images.

204

205 **1.6 Significance of the study**

The significance of the study can be discussed in two aspects: theoretical contributions and practical implementations. The theoretical contributions can be seen from the addition to the knowledge repository of the current list of microbial BFs in literature as well as the understanding of the molecular interactions and governing flocculation mechanisms in relation to the physicochemical characteristics of the BFs, particularly for the BF produced by *C. youngae* GTC 01314.

212 Meanwhile from the aspect of practical implementations, this study is expected to

213 provide supporting evidence of the potential application of microbial BFs in water

- and wastewater treatment processes, especially for sludge conditioning and
- 215 dewatering. Potential application of the BF-treated sludge as a green energy source
- and biofertiliser after further processes is another practical aspect for extended study.

217

218 **1.7 Thesis overview**

219 This thesis consists of six main chapters as follows:

- Chapter 1: Summary of research background and problem statement, research gap, research objectives, scope and significance of the study in knowledge addition and practical application in water and wastewater treatment.
- Chapter 2: Literature review on wastewater sludge treatment processes,
 relevant sludge characterisation parameters, major flocculation mechanisms
 and factors affecting sludge conditioning and dewatering, and recent advance
 of application of microbial BFs in water and wastewater industries.
- **Chapter 3**: Research methodology presenting the materials, detailed 228 experimental procedures and analytical methods adopted in this research study.
- Chapter 4: Analysis of flocculation performance and early insights of possible
 mechanisms determined by the characterisation of BF01314 and its
 flocculation behaviour in kaolin suspension under the effects of flocculant
 dosage, pH and temperature.
- Chapter 5: Evaluation of sludge dewaterability performance under the effects
 of flocculant dosage, pH, temperature, and by comparison study with other
 cationic flocculants, along with the analysis of flocculation kinetics, type of
 reaction kinetics, and proposed governing mechanisms of BF01314 in sludge
 conditioning.
- Chapter 6: Conclusion summarising all findings of the study and
 recommendations for future work related to this research area.

240	CHAPTER 2
241	LITERATURE REVIEW
242	
243	2.1 Introduction
244	This chapter provides literature review relevant to the research area. This includes an
245	overview of sludge production and processing in wastewater treatment, sludge
246	characterisation parameters, fundamental theory of coagulation-flocculation and its
247	mechanism, different types of coagulants/flocculants, factors affecting the flocculation
248	and sludge dewaterability, and a summary of the published reports on the application
249	of microbial bioflocculants in the field of wastewater treatment.
250	

251 2.2 Wastewater sludge processing

Wastewater treatment process generates semi-solid by-product known as sludge which requires further treatment before it can be safely disposed. Figure 2.1 shows a flow

254 diagram of basic wastewater treatment processes including sludge treatment.



255

Figure 2.1: Typical flowchart of wastewater sludge treatment processes

257 Source: Figure adapted from Lau (2014, 14).

258

259 In general, raw wastewater undergoes a series of treatments; preliminary, primary and 260 secondary to reduce contaminants and harmful substances. Preliminary treatment 261 filters large floating particles and removes grit by mechanical means before passing 262 through the sedimentation tank in primary treatment. The preliminary step is 263 sometimes categorised under primary treatment, involving preliminary and pre-264 sedimentation steps which are essential to control the flow distribution from one 265 process to another (EPA 2004). Secondary treatment is normally a biological process involving aerobic digestion which helps in removing about 90% of wastewater organic 266 267 matter (EPA 2004). Tertiary treatment is optional and has been employed widely to 268 further purify the wastewater for removal of specific inorganic compounds such as 269 nitrogen and phosphorus (Sydney Water 2010).

270 The sludge produced from primary and secondary treatments are typically mixed and 271 then treated through several processes. Thickening increases sludge concentration by 272 removing free water content using gravitational flotation thickener (Peirce et al. 1998). 273 Sludge stabilisation aims to minimise the pathogens and reduce bad odours either by 274 addition of lime, aerobic or anaerobic digestion (Peirce et al. 1998). The last two steps 275 are crucial to ensure the final products comply to environmental standards before 276 disposal or beneficial use. The conditioning process enhances the performance of 277 mechanical dewatering by altering the sludge characteristics either by chemical, 278 physical or biological methods. Meanwhile, dewatering removes large amounts of 279 water using mechanical equipment such as centrifuge and filter press. The additional 280 step of drying is sometimes employed after dewatering, to further remove the 281 remaining water content.

282

283 **2.3 Type of sludge and its characteristics**

Primary sludge and secondary sludge (the latter is also known as waste activated sludge) are two major types of sludge often reported in the literature. However, depending on the treatment process, other types or specific categories of sludge may be generated, varying in percentage of solids, colours, odours, and dewaterability characteristics as described in Table 2.1. Difficulties in sludge management and disposal is mainly due to the high-water content of the sludge which varies between 80% and 99%, and is typically reduced to 55% at most (Table 2.2)(Sperling and

- 291 Goncalves 2007). By determining the sludge characteristics, appropriate dewatering
- 292 methods and type of conditioning agent can be selected.

Table 2.1: Type of sludge and its characteristics

Type of sludge	Percent. Solids (%)	Other characteristics	
Raw primary	4 - 8	• Grey-brown; unpleasant odour	
		• High concentration of pathogenic organisms	
		• Poor dewaterability on drying beds	
Anaerobic primary	6-10	• Black; musty; produces gas	
digested		Good dewaterability on drying beds	
Waste activated sludge	0.5 - 1.5	• Yellow – brown; fluffy; little odour	
(WAS)		• Difficult to dewater	
		• Highly active biologically	
Mixed digested	2 - 4	• Black – brown; musty; produces gas	
(primary + WAS)		• Difficult to dewater than digested primary	
Aerobic digested	1 – 3	• Yellow – brown	
		• Sometimes difficult to dewater	
		• Biologically active	
Waste alum	0.5 - 1.5	• Grey – yellow; odourless	
		• Very difficult to dewater	

Source: Table reproduced from Sanin et al. (2011, 6).

Table 2.2: Water content in different types of sludge

Type of sludge	Total solids (TS, %)	Water content* (%)
Raw primary	2.0 - 8.0	92 - 98
Digested primary	6.0 - 10.0	90 - 94
Raw activated (WAS)	0.5 - 1.5	pprox 99
Mixed (primary + WAS)	3.0 - 6.0	97 - 94
Digested primary + WAS	2.0 - 12.0	88 - 98

Source: Table adapted from Spinosa and Vesilind (2001, 10).

Note: * values calculated from percentage of TS.

300 **2.4** Sludge characterisation and its dewaterability

301 **2.4.1 Sludge characterisation parameters**

302 Sludge characterisation can be divided according to their physical, chemical and biological properties. Solids content is one of the foremost basic parameters to be 303 304 examined and is commonly quantified in terms of total solids (TS) and can be further 305 divided into suspended solids (SS) and dissolved solids (DS). The fractal nature of 306 sludge contributes to difficulties in the dewatering process. Therefore, the most 307 prevalent characterisation parameters are commonly selected depending on the 308 treatment process (Kopp and Dichtl 2001). In sludge conditioning and dewatering 309 process, polymer dosage, particle size, capillary suction time (CST), water distribution, 310 density, floc strength, and specific resistance to filtration (SRF) are among the 311 parameters concerned. Other possible parameters are listed in Table 2.3.

312

Table 2.3: Sludge characterisation			
Type of properties	Characterisation parameters		
Physical properties	Solids content, sludge index, demand of conditioning agent, particle		
	size and shape, specific gravity, settleability, odour, colour, capillary		
	suction time (CST), water distribution, density, floc strength, resistance		
	to filtration (SRF), rheology/viscosity, shear stability, and heat value.		
Chemical properties	pH/alkalinity, fatty acids, and concentration of carbon, nitrogen, and		
	phosphorus.		
Biological properties	Pathogenic features, biological stability, and bulking.		

313 Source: Table adapted from Spinosa and Vesilind (2001, 20) and Lau (2014, 15).

314

315 2.4.2 Sludge dewaterability

In laboratory scale experiments, filterability tests are commonly measured in terms of 316 SRF and CST. Sludge with low resistance to filtration (normally $<10^{12}$ m/kg) and fast 317 318 water discharge is easy to dewater using mechanical dewatering device. The higher 319 the resistance of the sludge to filtration is, the more difficult it is to dewater. Therefore, 320 the reduction of SRF and CST values obtained after sludge conditioning indicates the 321 improvement in sludge dewaterability. Table 2.4 describes the level of difficulties of 322 the sludge to be dewatered according to the typical ranges of SRF and water discharge 323 velocity (CST/TSS).

Table 2.4: Sludge dewaterability with respect to typical ranges of SRF and water

```
325
```

discharge velocity

Description	Ranges of standards	Reference
Easily dewaterable	SRF $\leq 10^{12.5}$, CST/SS ≤ 30 s/%	(Kopp and Dichtl
Averagely dewaterable Poorly dewaterable	SRF $\approx 10^{12.5} - 10^{14.5}$, CST/SS $\approx 30 - 150$ s/% SRF $\ge 10^{14.5}$, CST/SS ≤ 150 s/%	2001)
Easier-to-dewater Difficult-to-dewater	SRF ranges from $10^{10} - 10^{11}$ SRF ranges from $10^{14} - 10^{15}$	(Sanin, Clarkson and Vesilind 2011)

326 *Note:* CST/TSS represents the water discharge velocity (time measured with respect to solids content).

327 Poor dewaterability by mechanical means often arises from high content of bound 328 water trapped within the sludge structure. The selected dewatering processes vary in 329 each industrial application, depending on the type of sludge, the polymer added and 330 the purpose of dewatered products. Traditionally, sludge dewatering adopts a principle 331 of natural evaporation and percolation with the use of mechanical devices such as filter 332 press, centrifuge, drying beds and filtration to transform the sludge into biosolids with 333 total solids contents of 10-40% (Amuda et al. 2008). Advanced methods include hybrid 334 dewatering process which combines the mechanical dewatering device with other 335 techniques such as thermal, ultrasonic, magnetic, microwave and electrical treatments 336 (Mahmoud et al. 2013). Recent studies also demonstrated that more than one technique 337 can be integrated with mechanical dewatering, for example, by varying the pressure to 338 a desired condition in hydrothermal carbonisation (Gao et al. 2019) and the ultrahigh 339 pressurised electro-dewatering methods (Rao et al. 2020). Dewatering is always 340 preceded with chemical conditioning process to enhance sludge dewaterability which 341 is viewed as one of the most effective methods (Mowla, Tran and Allen 2013).

342

343 2.5 Sludge conditioning

Sludge conditioning methods can be classified into physical, chemical, and biological methods. In cases where the usage of chemicals is of concern, physical conditioning methods such as thermal treatment, freeze-thaw, ultrasonic or microwave treatments are utilised. Heat treatment generates a product with solids content between 30-50% (ASCE 2000) while freeze-thaw can solubilise the organic matters from sludge matrix (Hu et al. 2011). However, the disruption of sludge cells resulted from most physical

13

conditioning treatments could lead to the production of fine particles and extra surfaces
for water binding sites, which may require further treatment (Wei et al. 2018).
Chemical conditioning involves the addition of chemical additives to alter sludge
characteristics, while biological conditioning improves the dewatering ability by
disintegrating the sludge organic matters (Amuda et al. 2008). Table 2.5 summarises
the benefits and drawbacks of typical conditioning methods.

356

Table 2.5: Comparison of typical conditioning methods

Method	Advantages	Dr	awbacks
Inorganic	✓ Solutions can be kept for long-	*	Corrosive - require special
coagulant	term storage		equipment and operational procedure
conditioning		*	Increased production of sludge for
(Chemical)			disposal
Organic	\checkmark Low maintenance and operational	*	Commercial products of liquid
polymer	issues		polymers have short shelf-life
conditioning	✓ No reduction in calorific value –	*	Non-dissolved dry polymer may
(Chemical)	potentially used as fuel source for		cause clogging and scaling
	incineration		
Heat treatment	✓ Eliminates problems associated	*	High capital cost, maintenance, and
(Physical)	with use of chemicals		operational skills requirement
	✓ Reduces sludge bad odours	*	Equipment corrosion
	✓ Reduces amount of heat required	*	May require pre-treatment for
	for incineration		recycled supernatant
		*	Sludge cell disruption creates
			additional surfaces for water binding
Enzyme	\checkmark Reduces odours and chemical	*	Requires specific nutrients such as
conditioning	oxygen demand		humic acids and amino acids for
(Biological)	\checkmark Suitable to treat wastewater from		enzyme culture
	meat industry	*	Excessive fragmentation of sludge
	\checkmark Less or no damage to biological		cells could deteriorate the sludge
	treatment systems		dewaterability performance
	✓ Low dosage requirement		

357 Source: Adopted from Amuda et al. (2008, 88), Andreoli (2007, 83–85) and Wei et al. (2018, 627).

In the past few years, the efficiency of combined conditioning methods or techniques in sludge treatment has become another area of research interest. These includes the treatment combinations of ultrasound and freezing (Carrasco 2013), enzyme treatment and chemical flocculation (Chen et al. 2015), and dual conditioning of biomass ash and polyelectrolyte (Wójcik and Stachowicz 2019). Nevertheless, chemical conditioning remains preferable and is widely used as a pre-treatment prior to sludge dewatering due to its cost-effectiveness (Wei et al. 2018; Zhou et al. 2014). Chemical conditioning is also known as coagulation-flocculation process that involves the destabilisation of colloid particles (coagulation) and collision of these particles to agglomerate into flocs (flocculation) as a two-step process, as shown in Figure 2.2.



368 369

Figure 2.2: Coagulation-flocculation process

370 Adding inorganic coagulants into the colloidal suspension increases the ionic strength 371 of the colloid surface charges leading to double layer compression and destabilisation, 372 but this might not be sufficient to promote the flocculation effect (Gregory 2005). As 373 a result, addition of polymeric flocculant is essential to form large flocs for fast and 374 ease of sedimentation (Zhang et al. 2014). In most cases, conditioning agents can act 375 as both coagulant and flocculant which explains why the term "coagulation" and 376 "flocculation" have been used interchangeably. This process is called "direct 377 flocculation" which involves the simultaneous process of destabilisation of colloidal 378 particles and further adsorption onto the particles by bridging to form larger flocs 379 (Chong 2012). Water-soluble ionic organic polymers can be utilised to accomplish 380 direct flocculation without classical metal-based coagulants (Lichtfouse et al. 2019).

381

382 **2.5.1 Type of coagulants/flocculants**

Inorganic and organic materials are the two major types of coagulants/flocculants. In
 recent years, grafted flocculant or graft copolymer has been synthesised to enhance the

385 flocculation performance and reduce the utilisation of chemical conditioners. Figure





387

388

Figure 2.3: Type of coagulants/flocculants

389 Source: Data obtained from Salehizadeh et al. (2018, 92-119) and Lee et al. (2014, 489–508).

390

391 2.5.1.1 Inorganic coagulants/flocculants

Multivalent metal salts hydrolyse when added to the wastewater sludge and produce
metal cations. Monovalent cation can be directly formed in one step of hydrolysis
when the metal salt dissolves as shown in Equation 2.1.

395
$$\operatorname{KCl}(s) \rightarrow \operatorname{K}^+(\operatorname{aq}) + \operatorname{Cl}^-(\operatorname{aq})$$
 (2.1)

However, trivalent metal undergoes a series of hydrolysis stages as shown in Equations
2.2–2.5 (Gregory 2005). Each hydrolysis stage has different equilibrium constant
which determines the solubility of each metal hydrolysis species in water.

399
$$Fe^{3+} + H_2O \rightleftharpoons Fe(OH)^{2+} + H^+$$
 (2.2)

400
$$\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{OH})^{2+} + \operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O} \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{OH})_2^+ + \operatorname{H}^+$$
 (2.3)

401
$$\operatorname{Fe}(OH)_2^+ + H_2O \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{Fe}(OH)_3 + H^+$$
 (2.4)

402
$$\operatorname{Fe}(OH)_3 + H_2O \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{Fe}(OH)_4^- + H^+$$
 (2.5)

403 Typically, trivalent metal cations such as Fe(III) and Al(III) are preferable and said to 404 effectively destabilise the negatively charged colloids. The uncharged metal salt, 405 Fe(OH)₃ has very low solubility, and thus is likely to form precipitate rather than 406 hydrolyse. The formation of precipitate plays an important role in the action of colloid 407 entrapment, known as sweep-flocculation mechanism (Gregory 2005). A study by Niu 408 et al. (2013) reported that FeCl₃ enhanced the sludge dewaterability more effectively 409 than polyaluminium chloride (PAC) and high performance PAC (HPAC), with respect 410 to flocculant dosage. Nevertheless, the sludge treated by PAC and HPAC resulted in 411 larger flocs as compared to the flocs formed when treated with FeCl₃, which was 412 probably due to the abundance of binding sites in polymeric species (Niu et al. 2013).

413

414 **2.5.1.2** Organic coagulants/flocculants

415 Polymeric flocculants can be classified into non-ionic, anionic, cationic and 416 ampholytic types. In conventional practice, organic polymeric flocculant is often 417 coupled with inorganic metal coagulants to promote aggregation of destabilised 418 colloid particles. Cationic polymer such as cationic polyacrylamide (CPAM) is 419 commonly utilised as it can effectively flocculate the particles without the addition of 420 inorganic coagulant. However, the potential release of monomer acrylamide from 421 polyacrylamide degradation has raised significant concern as monomer acrylamide 422 was reported to be carcinogenic (Rudén 2004).

423 Natural bioflocculants (BFs) derived from plants or animals are biodegradable and 424 non-toxic. In a recent study by Zemmouri et al. (2015), it was reported that the sludge 425 conditioned by chitosan showed comparable dewaterability performance to synthetic 426 cationic polyelectrolyte Sedipur CF802 (Sed CF802). Chitosan is known as one of the 427 most promising BFs, yet it suffers from many problems such as inconsistent level of 428 deacetylation, protein contamination, seasonal limitation supply, laborious processes 429 as well as generation of large amounts of chemical wastes (Salehizadeh et al. 2018). 430 The chitosan production derived from crustacean shells through chemical 431 deacetylation in industrial processing generally requires hot concentrated base or acid 432 solution which could also contribute to high costs (Salehizadeh et al. 2018).
433 Microbial BFs have shown effective flocculation performance and dewaterability in 434 recent studies. For instance, biogenic flocculant produced by Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans was able to reduce the CST and SRF by 74% and 89% respectively in 435 comparison to CPAM (Kurade et al. 2016). Novel BFs, M-C11 and MBF10 produced 436 437 by Klebsiella sp. were able to exhibit high flocculation activity of about 92.4% and 438 86.5% respectively in kaolin suspension (Liu et al. 2014; Yang et al. 2012). 439 Furthermore, previous studies showed that BFs produced by Citrobacter strains were 440 able to be cultured in acetate medium with less production cost (Kimura et al. 2013; 441 Fujita et al. 2000).

442

443 2.5.1.3 Grafted flocculants

444 Grafted flocculants attempt to improve the flocculation efficiency by combining the 445 best properties of two polymers. The result of grafting also helps in minimising the 446 amount of chemicals used. As a result, the level of toxicity is reduced as reported in 447 chitosan-based flocculant (CMC-g-PDMC) (Yang et al. 2014). A water-soluble 448 copolymer, starch-graft-poly(2-methacryloyloxyethyl) trimethyl ammonium chloride (STC-g-PDMC) shows relatively high performance in kaolin flocculation and better 449 450 dewatering capability compared to polyacrylamide (Wang et al. 2013). The most 451 recent literature by Liu et al. (2020) reported the superior performance of novel 452 chitosan-based flocculants (CS-g-PAO) with amphiphilic structure in reducing sludge 453 cake moisture content from 95% to 78%. Besides, a grafted flocculant named TMT⁻¹-454 g-PAM 2, produced from the strain Bacillus pumilus JX860616 and acrylamide was 455 reported to be non-cytotoxic at concentration <200 µg/mL, and demonstrate longer 456 shelf-life as compared to the natural BF without grafting (TMT⁻¹) (Ngema et al. 2020). 457 However, the use of proper air-tight container and addition of stabilising agent are still 458 required for chitosan and its derivatives to preserve the physicochemical properties of 459 the polymer due to chitosan's degradation (Szymańska and Winnicka 2015).

460

461 **2.5.2 Flocculation mechanisms and interactions**

462 The addition of polymer to a colloidal suspension creates molecular interactions that 463 leads to adsorption of many segments of polymer chains to the surface of colloid particles (Figure 2.4), which further promotes the flocculation process (Gregory and
Barany 2011). The interactions involved in adsorption may be hydrogen binding,
hydrophobic interaction, ion binding, and electrostatic attraction (Gregory and Barany
2011).



468

469 Figure 2.4: Adsorption of polymer segments (tails and loops) to the surface

470 *Source:* Figure reproduced from Gregory and Barany (2011, 3).

471 Although investigating the interactions at the molecular level is challenging, a few 472 studies have been reported using various methods including zeta potential 473 measurement, atomic force microscope imaging and spectroscopy (Wang, Zhang, et 474 al. 2015; López-Maldonado, Oropeza-Guzmán and Ochoa-Terán 2014). The detailed 475 interactions were able to be studied with the help of advanced technology such as 476 molecular dynamic simulation (Liu et al. 2015). Results obtained from the above 477 techniques could provide an insight to the molecular interactions and possible 478 flocculation mechanisms involved. Sincero (2003) has listed four fundamental 479 mechanisms for destabilisation of colloidal particles which include:

- 480 electrical double layer (EDL) compression
- 481 charge neutralisation
- 482 entrapment in precipitate
- 483 polymer bridging
- 484

485 **2.5.2.1 Electrical double layer compression**

486 Colloidal particle is surrounded by two layers known as stern layer and diffuse layer 487 which consist of positive and negative ions, as shown in Figure 2.5. In a stable colloidal 488 system, repulsive forces (V_R) dominate over a large distance from the surface of the 489 particles while the attractive forces (V_A) attributed by van der Waals forces exhibit 490 strong interactions initially, but reduce significantly at a shorter range of distance 491 (Sanin et al. 2011). As a result, $V_R > V_A$ leads to a positive resultant energy (repulsion). 492 The theory of electrical double layer (EDL) compression can be explained through a 493 huge reduction of net interaction energy to become positive (attraction) by adding the 494 counterions (coagulants/flocculants) in bulk solution. This phenomenon occurs when 495 the particle surface attracts more counterions and sufficiently compressed the double-496 layer of colloids.



497

498 Figure 2.5: Fundamental theory of double layer compression. (1) stern layer; (2)

499 diffuse layer. V_R and V_A represents repulsive and attractive forces respectively.

500 *Source:* Figure reproduced from Sanin et al. (2011, 252–253).

501

502 2.5.2.2 Charge neutralisation

503 Since many interactions require addition of the opposite charged ions to be 504 accomplished, it is difficult to distinguish a solely charged neutralisation from other 505 mechanisms. Charge neutralisation applies to the coagulant/flocculant with ability to 506 adsorb directly to the particle surface and neutralise the colloid surface charges 507 (Sincero 2003). This is common for cationic polyelectrolytes, in addition to causing a 508 bridging effect.

510 **2.5.2.3** Colloid entrapment, precipitation or sweeping

The entrapment of colloidal particles is a result of precipitation formed due to the characteristics of hydrolysed metal coagulants such as alum and ferric chloride when added to the system (Sincero 2003). Gregory (2005) used the term "sweeping" to better describe this process. Sweeping is thought to be more effective than simple charge neutralisation as it forms stronger and larger flocs because of the production of hydroxide precipitate which often occurs at higher dosages.

517

518 **2.5.2.4 Polymer bridging**

519 Bridging is very common in flocculation compared to coagulation due to the long 520 chain of polymeric flocculants. For polyelectrolytes, charge neutralisation may co-521 exist. Like other mechanisms, agitation is necessary to initiate the collisions between 522 particles. Depending on the characteristics of polymer, colloidal particles are adsorbed 523 to the polymer surface by the following interactions (Gregory and Barany 2011; 524 Gregory 2005).

- *electrostatic interaction*: due to opposite charges, mainly for cationic
 polyelectrolytes
- *hydrogen bonding*: due to presence of active functional groups such as amide
 and hydroxyl
- *hydrophobic interaction*: occurs in hydrophobic or non-polar section of
 polymer chains
- *ion binding*: adsorption on like-sign surfaces, commonly for anionic
 polyelectrolytes
- 533

534 **2.5.2.5** Electrostatic charge patching

Another flocculation mechanism, namely "electrostatic patch effect" is also worth noting. A highly charged cationic polymer adsorbed onto a negative particle surface creates an uneven distribution of charges at the surfaces, forming positive "patch" leading to flocculation by interacting with other patches formed (Demir et al. 2020), as illustrated in Figure 2.6. This mechanism is likely to happen for low molecular weight polymer with high charge density (Sharma, Dhuldhoya and Merchant 2006),and possibly at low polymer dosage under alkaline condition (Lin et al. 2008).

542 Figure 2.6 depicts four types of flocculation mechanisms reported in typical 543 wastewater studies are charge neutralisation, sweeping, bridging, and charge patching 544 (Suopajärvi 2015). However, polymer bridging and charge neutralisation were found 545 in almost all published reports (Yang et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2010; Guo, Yu, et al. 546 2015). To be exact, each fundamental mechanism such as charge neutralisation 547 appears to be correlated with other mechanism. As a result, there will be two or more mechanisms responsible for a single type of coagulant/flocculant, depending on the 548 549 nature of the polymer as well as environmental factors such as pH and temperature. 550 Each mechanism is sometimes led to another mechanism, or more than one mechanism 551 simultaneously occur, depending on the type of polymers added.



554 *Source:* Figure adapted from Suopajärvi (2015, 22).

555 **2.6 Factors affecting flocculation and dewaterability**

556 2.6.1 Flocculant dosage and its nature

557 **2.6.1.1 Effect of dosage**

558 Optimum flocculation can be achieved when there is sufficient interaction between the 559 flocculant and colloidal particles. At a dosage lower than optimum, the number of 560 flocculant molecules adsorbed on colloid particle surfaces is not enough to cause 561 effective flocculation whilst beyond optimum dosage, electrostatic repulsion between 562 colloidal particles increases (Salehizadeh et al. 2018). In both cases, the aggregation 563 of colloidal particles is unstable, resulting in re-stabilisation and charge reversal of the 564 particles which inhibits the floc formation (Yuan et al. 2011; Salehizadeh et al. 2018). A novel BF produced from Klebsiella sp. ZZ-3 exhibited the highest activity (92%) in 565 566 kaolin suspension at dosage of 0.126 mg (2.52 mg/L), but gradually declined as the 567 dosage was further increased (Yin et al. 2014). There was also a significant decrease 568 in the activity at a dosage below the optimum dosage (<0.126 mg) (Yin et al. 2014). 569 Furthermore, the order of dosing also could affect the sludge dewaterability. Wang et 570 al. (2018) reported that better sludge filterability and less sludge cake water content 571 were attained when treated with coagulation-flocculation process in comparison to that 572 in flocculation-coagulation process. In coagulation-flocculation system, the coagulant 573 interacted completely with dispersed floc particles during rapid mixing to disrupt the 574 sludge floc and release bound water content, and the destabilised floc particles were 575 then bridged together upon addition of the flocculant during slow mixing (Wang et al. 576 2018). In contrast, the addition of the flocculant prior to coagulant caused the sludge 577 particles to agglomerate into larger flocs and prevented some part of the inner flocs to 578 function with the coagulant (Wang et al. 2018).

579

580 **2.6.1.2 Effect of molecular weight**

Variation in molecular weight accounts for the number of available binding sites primarily for polymeric flocculants. Several studies and reviews have shown that high flocculation activity were exhibited by polysaccharide BFs with the molecular weight range between $10^4 - 10^7$ Da (Yin et al. 2014; Guo and Chen 2017; Guo, Yu, et al. 2015; Salehizadeh et al. 2018). However, the boundary between the range of low, medium and high molecular weight is rather ambiguous. Table 2.6 shows the proposed rangeof molecular weight of polymers from various sources.

588

Table 2.6: Different molecular weight range of polymers

Reference	Type of	Molecular weight range* (kDa)			
	polymer	Low	Medium	High	Very high
EPA (1987)	Cationic	100 - 200	200 - 1,000	1,000 - 4,000	-
	polymer				
Dentel (2001)	Cationic	10 - 100	100 - 1,000	1,000 - 10,000	>10,000
	polymer				
Sigma-Aldrich	Biopolymer	50 - 190	190 - 310	310 - 375	>375
(2019)	(chitosan)				
Lee, Robinson,	General	1,000 - 3,000	3,000 - 6,000	10,000 - 15,000	>15,000
and Chong (2014)	synthetic				
	polymer				

589 *Note:* * assume 1 g/mole \approx 1 Da.

590 The range of molecular weight may vary according to the different chemical 591 characteristics of polymer mainly on ionic nature, thus it is recommended that this be 592 classified by charge type as well as charge amount (Dentel 2001). Based on Table 2.6, 593 the ranges proposed by EPA (1987) and Dentel (2001) encompass the typical cationic 594 polymers from two main categories which are the 'Mannich' polymers and 595 acrylamide-based copolymers. Molecular weight range recorded in Sigma-Aldrich 596 (2019) was based on cationic chitosan products only. Meanwhile, a much higher range 597 of molecular weights compared to the others for general synthetic polymeric 598 flocculants with any charges (amphoteric/anionic/cationic/non-ionic) was proposed by 599 Lee et al. (2014).

600

601 **2.6.1.3 Effect of ionic nature of flocculant**

602 Zhou et al. (2014) reported that dose requirements decreased with increasing charge 603 density of polymeric flocculant meanwhile the optimum dosage remained the same as 604 the molecular weight decreased. Cationic polymers with high molecular weight are 605 said to be more effective in bridging the particles (Gregory 2005). A review by 606 Okaiyeto et al. (2016) emphasised that the ability of BF to adsorb on colloid surfaces 607 is attributed to the presence of active functional groups which comprises of polar (charged) and non-polar segments that creates hydrophilic/hydrophobic areas. Increase
in hydrophobicity of a flocculant would be significant for the adsorption of organic
pollutants and promote its aggregation (Okaiyeto et al. 2016; Yang et al. 2020).
Charged groups such as carboxyl, hydroxyl, phenolic, phosphoric and sulfhydryl were
also reported to be responsible for bridging and charge neutralisation during
flocculation (Salehizadeh et al. 2018).

614

615 **2.6.2 Effect of pH**

616 Flocculation efficiency as well as sludge dewatering performance have been reported 617 to be strongly dependent of the system pH as reported in literature. The system refers 618 to the colloidal system where the flocculation process takes place such as wastewater 619 and wastewater sludge. The bioflocculant ZZ-3 has shown that the highest flocculation 620 activity (95%) at pH 5 in synthetic kaolin suspension (Yin et al. 2014). Meanwhile, 621 bioflocculant PSB-2 extracted from biological sludge was able to maintain high 622 activity (>96%) in kaolin suspension from pH 4 to 11 (Zhang et al. 2013). The effective 623 flocculation performance of this BF in a wide pH range was believed to be attributed 624 to the high solubility of its main components in the diluted hydrochloric acid (pH 1-625 3) utilised during the fractional precipitation and purification stage of the BF (Zhang 626 et al. 2013).

627 Different pH dependencies of various flocculants were influenced by their properties 628 such as surface charge and solubility (Wei et al. 2018). Electrostatic charge exhibited 629 by the flocculant varies in different pH levels, causing changes towards surface electric 630 property of the suspension which may increase or decrease the electrostatic repulsion 631 between the flocculant particles and colloids (Pan, Shi and Zhang 2009). The literature 632 review by Wei et al. (2018) shows that for water-soluble organic polymers, the 633 flocculation performance of weak ionic flocculants is affected to a greater extent by 634 the system pH in comparison with strong ionic and amphoteric polymers. For example, 635 natural chitosan, a weak cationic polymer was able to dewater sludge under acidic 636 conditions from pH 4-7 whereas cationic polyacrylamide (CPAM) and chitosan 637 grafted with dimethyl diallyl ammonium chloride (chitosan-g-PDMDAAC) showed 638 good dewatering performance at pH 2-9 (Wang et al. 2016). Zhang et al. (2010) reported that the SRF performance was greatly enhanced from 10^6 to 10^5 at pH 7.5 639

after treatment by TJ-F1 produced from *Proteus mirabilis* TJ-1. Therefore, initial pH
adjustment of the suspension is sometimes required. However, polymeric flocculants
often have a broad range of pH stability compared to the conventional inorganic
coagulants, hence no pH adjustment is required (Chong 2012).

644

645 **2.6.3 Effect of temperature**

646 Temperature effects also plays an important role during flocculation and sludge 647 dewaterability. This kind of effect can be viewed from two aspects - effect on the 648 coagulant/flocculant and effect on the suspension. A majority of prior studies 649 suggested that high thermal stability of flocculant was due to its polysaccharide 650 backbone (Salehizadeh et al. 2018). BF produced from the Rhodococcus erythropolis 651 strain was able to maintain high flocculation activity of >80% up to 120°C (Guo and 652 Chen 2017). A similar observation was made for the BF, XMMBF by Bacillus 653 *licheniformis* whereby >80% of activity was recorded across a wide temperature range 654 between 10–85°C (Wang, Shen, et al. 2015). However, high temperatures may cause 655 changes to characteristics of some colloidal systems. In trona suspension, the flocs 656 formed at temperature beyond 45°C was found to be unstable, leading to dispersion 657 and expansion of the sediment solids volume (Lu et al. 2005).

658 Conversely, very high temperatures or tremendously low temperatures might be 659 beneficial on sludge conditioning and dewatering application which explains the 660 feasibility of physical heat treatment and freeze-thaw treatment. It was reported in 661 literature that in the case of activated sludge, the freezing process causes water in 662 sludge cells to expand and may disrupt the cells which leads to release of some organic 663 materials (Örmeci and Aarne Vesilind 2001; Hu et al. 2011). However, alum sludge 664 contains mainly inorganic compounds and less organic materials, thus insignificant 665 change was observed throughout the process (Örmeci and Aarne Vesilind 2001). 666 Similarly, the release of extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) from the sludge 667 matrix at high temperature helps in floc formation by bridging, although it depends on the type of EPS released (Cao et al. 2020; Ye, Liu and Li 2014; Li and Yang 2007). 668

670 **2.6.4 Effect of mixing speed and time**

Mixing speed in coagulation-flocculation process can be divided into two parts which 671 672 are fast and slow mixing. Fast mixing during initial stage is required to ensure a more 673 uniform dispersion of coagulant/flocculant in the colloidal system (Teh et al. 2016). 674 Kopp and Dichtl (2001) suggested that the optimum speed of fast mixing for floc 675 formation was about 300 rpm within 30 seconds. A review by Teh et al. (2016) 676 reported that fast mixing should have shorter time whereas slow mixing requires longer 677 time to promote large floc formation and avoid floc breakage. However, mixing at a 678 relatively longer time has insignificant effects on the stronger but smaller flocs because 679 of low collision efficiency between the small flocs (Teh et al. 2016). Previous work by 680 Aljuboori et al. (2015) found that the optimum speed for fast mixing (150–200 rpm) 681 was achieved in 2 minutes and 5–7 minutes for slow mixing (30–80 rpm).

682

683 2.7 Advances of microbial bioflocculants in wastewater treatment

684 Several reviews have reported on the potential of microbial BF application in water 685 and wastewater treatment (Rebah et al. 2018; Salehizadeh et al. 2018; Al-Mamun et 686 al. 2017; Shahadat et al. 2017; Salehizadeh and Yan 2014). However, the outcome 687 from the recent bibliometric study by Okaiyeto, Ekundayo, and Okoh (2020) reveals 688 that published reports on the BF potentials in wastewater remediation are still lacking. 689 Moreover, most of the studies were still in the stage of optimising the production yield 690 by using different sources of cultivation medium or by varying the operational 691 parameters such as initial pH, incubation temperature, type of cations, and agitation 692 speed. In most studies using wastewater or sludge suspensions, the verification was 693 generally made based on a single dosage without further investigation on the 694 flocculation/dewaterability performance under the influence of major environmental 695 factors such as pH and temperature, which serves as a research gap to be addressed.

Table 2.7 summarises the application of microbial BFs in water and wastewater
treatment, including sludge conditioning and dewatering, for the past ten years. The
most common BF-producing bacterial strains reported were from the genus *Bacillus*(Zhao et al. 2016; More et al. 2015; Giri et al. 2015; Guo, Zhang, et al. 2015; Guo,
Lau, et al. 2015; Tang et al. 2014; Li et al. 2013; Hassimi et al. 2020; Vimala 2019;
Abu Tawila et al. 2019; Liu et al. 2019; Joshi et al. 2019; Guo, Chen, et al. 2018; Busi

et al. 2017; Lin and Harichund 2011), followed by the genus *Klebsiella* (Liu et al. 2014;
Liu et al. 2013; Yang et al. 2012; Nie et al. 2011) as the second most studied. Many
authors reported BFs with high flocculation activity typically around 80-94% except
Tang et al. (2014) and Aljuboori et al. (2015) that were able to achieve highest activity
up to 98.4%. Meanwhile, BFs produced from *Bacillus salmalaya* (Abu Tawila et al.
2019) and *Streptomyces platensis* (Agunbiade et al. 2018) require the addition of metal
cation to enhance its flocculation rate.

709 The variation in environmental conditions especially pH and temperature of the 710 flocculation system could influence the flocculation activity of the BF. BFs with more 711 than 20% protein exhibited declining flocculation activity upon heating at a 712 temperature range between 50-100°C. For example, MBF-9 with 24.1% of protein 713 content decreased its flocculation activity from 92.9% to <80% when heated at 714 temperature of 65°C (Zhong et al. 2020). Meanwhile, MNXY1 with 26% of protein 715 content reduced its flocculation activity about 30% after being heated at 100°C for 30-716 60 minutes and continued to decrease by 24% after 2 hours (Nie et al. 2011). 717 Furthermore, optimum flocculation of most BFs were determined near neutral pH 718 between 6.0 to 7.5, except for the BFs reported by Liu et al. (2019), Guo and Chen 719 (2017) and Ugbenyen et al. (2015) where the BFs were found to be effectively 720 flocculated in alkaline pH solutions between 7.0 to 12.0 attributed to their alkali-721 resistant characteristics.

As summarised in Table 2.7, none of these strains was identified as chitin/chitosanlike BFs or produced from the genus *Citrobacter* strains. Therefore, the outcome of this study will be the first report on the effects of pH and temperature on the flocculation performance as well as the practical implementation in sludge conditioning and dewatering using the BF produced from the *C. youngae* GTC 01314 strain.

Table 2.7: Advances of microbial bioflocculant in water and was	stewater treatment for the past ten years $(2010 - 2020)$
---	---

Bioflocculant /	Type of sludge /	Effective parameter range (opt.		Remarks	Reference
bacterial strain	wastewater	refers to optimum)			
TJ-F1 produced	Municipal sludge	Dosage: 0.17% (w/w) aided with	۶	Contained 63% of polysaccharides and 31% of protein.	(Zhang et al.
from Proteus	(93% domestic, 7%	CaCl ₂	۶	BF-treated sludge showed better dewaterability effects than	2010)
mirabilis TJ-1	industrial)	pH: 7 – 7.5 (neutral)		commercial synthetic flocculant in terms of SRF and time to filter	
				(TTF).	
			۶	Combined use with the synthetic flocculant was able to improve the	
				dewaterability.	
Klebsiella	Municipal	<u>Using kaolin</u>	≻	Composed of 66% of total sugar and 26% of protein.	(Nie et al.
pneumoniae strain	wastewater	pH: strongly acidic (<5)	۶	The activity slightly declined about 30% when heated at 100° C for 30-	2011)
NY1		Temperature: <100°C		60 min but rapidly reduced to >50% after 2 h, indicating its low	
				thermal stability due to significant protein content.	
			۶	Able to remove 72% of TSS, 11% of carbonaceous biological oxygen	
				demand (CBOD) and 84% of COD.	
Herbaspirillium sp.	Industrial	-	≻	Removed heavy metals like Cr^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Fe^{2+} and Pb^{2+}	(Lin and
CH7,	wastewater			significantly from Biavin blue dye compared to Whale dye and	Harichund
Paenicibacillus sp.	effluents			chemical effluents.	2011)
CH11, Bacillus sp.			≻	Able to flocculate the bacterial population effectively in chemical	
CH15, and				effluent but less effective in dye effluents.	
Halomonas sp.			۶	Reduced 50-80% of the turbidity for all selected effluent samples.	

Bioflocculant /	Type of sludge /	Effective parameter range (opt.	Remarks	Reference
bacterial strain	wastewater	refers to optimum)		
MBF10 produced	Activated sludge	Dosage: 34 mg/L, 87% FA	➢ Dry solids and SRF were decreased by 34% and 69%.	(Yang et al.
from <i>Klebsiella</i> sp.			➢ Combined use of MBF10 and Al₂(SO₄)₃ improved the dewaterability	2012)
strain N-10			significantly by 84% and 63% of SRF and dry solids, respectively.	
			➤ Has MW about 800 kDa.	
Paenibacillus elgii	Combined urban,	<u>Using kaolin</u>	> Main constituents were inferred to be polysaccharide due to negative	(Li et al.
B69	agricultural and	pH: 3-11, >80% FA	reaction of Bradford assay.	2013)
	industry		▶ Effectively removed COD, turbidity and colour by 68%. 83% and 88%	
	wastewater		respectively.	
			▶ Heavy metals removal rate was higher for Al^{3+} (72%), followed by	
			Pb^{2+} (60%), Cu^{2+} (53%) and Co^{2+} (49%).	
			➤ Has high MW of approximately 3.5 MDa based on standard	
			calibration of dextran.	
			➤ Has great potential use in all kinds of wastewater given its broad range	
			of pH stability.	
Paenibacillus	Industrial	Dosage: 0.5 – 4 mg/L, >94% FA	➢ High percentage removal rates of COD and SS in different types of	(Tang et al.
mucilaginosus	wastewater	(opt. at 2 mg/L)	wastewater - biological product factory (83-92%), paper mill (70-	2014)
GIM1.16		pH: 3 – 9, >97% FA	88%) and garbage incineration plant (60-70%).	
			➤ Has MW ranges from 253 – 1,320 MDa.	

Bioflocculant /	Type of sludge /	Effective parameter range (opt.		Remarks	Reference
bacterial strain	wastewater	refers to optimum)			
M-C11 produced by	Waste activated	Dosage: 3 mL (with CaCl ₂)	≻	Composed of 91.2% sugar, 4.6% protein and 3.9% nucleic acids.	(Liu et al.
Klebsiella	sludge (WAS)	pH: 4 – 8 (opt. at 6)	\triangleright	FTIR confirmed the presence of carboxyl, hydroxyl, methoxyl and	2014; Liu et
pneumoniae		Culture temperature: $20 - 60^{\circ}$ C.		amino groups.	al. 2013)
			\triangleright	Effectively reduced the SRF by 60%, the lowest compared to	
				Al ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ , PAC and CPAM.	
			۶	Optimum dosage was comparable to model prediction.	
Paenibacillus	Potato starch	Using sludge		Composed of 96% of sugar and high MW of 1.16 MDa.	(Guo,
polymyxa	wastewater and	Dose: 1.5 g/L with CaCl ₂	\triangleright	At optimum conditions, dry solids increased about 58% while SRF	Zhang, et al.
	sludge from	pH: 6.5 – 8.5 (opt. at 7.5)		decreased about 65%.	2015; Guo,
	secondary settling		\triangleright	BF dewatered effectively compared to inorganic coagulants and is	Lau, et al.
	tank			comparable to PAC and PAM.	2015)
			≻	COD and turbidity removal from wastewater achieved 52% and 82%	
				respectively at pH 7.5 (dose: 30 mg/L).	
Mixed culture of	River, dairy, and	<u>Using kaolin</u>		High turbidity reduction in all samples, better than alum and PAM in	(Ugbenyen
marine bacteria	brewery	Dosage: 100 mg/L, 87% FA with		river wastewater.	et al. 2015)
(Cobetia sp.	wastewaters	CaCl ₂	۶	Effectively reduced the COD from dairy and river samples.	
OAUIFE, Bacillus		pH: 3 – 12, >75% FA	۶	Addition of multivalent cations resulted in >70% flocculation activity	
sp. MAYA, and		(opt. at pH 12 with 96%)		(FA) but inhibited by K^+ and Fe^{3+} .	
Bacillus sp. Gilbert)			۶	IR spectra revealed the presence of amino, hydroxyl and carboxyl.	

Bioflocculant /	Type of sludge /	Effective parameter range (opt.		Remarks	Reference
bacterial strain	wastewater	refers to optimum)			
Bacillus subtilis F9	Drinking water	Using kaolin	۶	The turbidity and COD of treated tap water were reduced by 91% and	(Giri et al.
		pH: 3 – 8 (opt. at 7)		58% respectively.	2015)
		Temp.: $10 - 100^{\circ}C$ (opt. at $40^{\circ}C$)	۶	Composed of 88.3% sugar and 10.1% protein.	
			۶	Has low MW of about 530 kDa.	
Mixed culture of	River water,	Dosage: 0.8 mg BF/gram kaolin,	۶	Zeta potential of the BF was negative.	(More et al.
Bacillus, Serratia	municipal and	91% FA	۶	Flocculation was greatly enhanced with addition of Ca ²⁺ , able to	2015)
and Yersinia strains	brewery	Temp.: 4 – 60°C		remove COD and turbidity in all samples.	
	wastewater		۶	High removal efficiency of turbidity in river (94%), municipal (92%)	
				and brewery wastewater (82%), comparable to commercial flocculant	
				Magnafloc-155.	
Bioflocculant IH-7	Different types of	<u>Using kaolin</u>		>93% FA was observed for flocculation of activated carbon and soil	(Aljuboori
produced by fungus	suspended solids	Dosage: 0.06 – 25 mg/L (opt. at 1)		solids at 5 mg/L dosage while 95% FA was recorded for yeast	et al. 2015)
Aspergillus flavus		pH: 4 – 8 with 0.5 mg/L		flocculation at 30 mg/L dosage.	
		Temperature: 5 – 45°C	۶	Better flocculation performance than PAC in kaolin suspension and	
		Mixing: 150 rpm (2 min), 80 rpm		determined to be cation-independent flocculant.	
		(5 min)	۶	Has zeta potential value of +59.2 mV at pH 6.2.	

Bioflocculant /	Type of sludge /	Effective parameter range (opt.	Remarks	Reference
bacterial strain	wastewater	refers to optimum)		
Acidithiobacillus	Anaerobically	(Biogenic flocculant treatment)	Rapidly decreased the treatment time compared to normal biological	(Kurade et
ferroxidans	digested (AD)	pH: acidic (<6.79)	method-bioleaching (>48h).	al. 2016,
	sludge and	Treatment time: 1 hour	➢ CST and SRF of treated AD sludge declined by 74% and 89%	2014;
	chemically		respectively, better than synthetic CPAM.	Wong,
	enhanced primary		> After the application of filter press, sludge moisture content decreased	Murugesan,
	treatment (CEPT)		by 30% while 28% of TSS, 14% of TDS, and 29% of COD were	Selvam, et
	sludge		removed.	al. 2016;
			\succ AD sludge dewaterability improved with increasing Fe ³⁺ concentration	Wong,
			➢ For CEPT sludge, the flocculant fraction obtained after filtration	Murugesan,
			reduced the CST and flocculate better than the BF obtained from the	Yu, et al.
			culture without filtering.	2016)
Bacillus fusiformis	Tannery	Dosage: 110 mg/L (added to 50	➢ Able to remove total nitrogen, COD and colour from the wastewater	(Zhao et al.
CZ1003	wastewater	mL of wastewater sample)	although the removal rates were low, below 30%.	2016)

Bioflocculant /	Type of sludge /	Effective parameter range (opt.	Remarks	Reference
bacterial strain	wastewater	refers to optimum)		
Rhodococcus	Swine wastewater	Dosage: 20 mg/L, 94% FA with	▶ Removed about 48%, 44% and 76% of COD, ammonium and turbidity	(Guo and
erythropolis	and sludge from	Ca ²⁺	of swine wastewater at pH 8.	Ma 2015;
	secondary settling	pH: 7 – 9 (opt. at 8)	\blacktriangleright At optimum conditions, dry solids and SRF reached 18-23% and 3.4 –	Guo, Yang
	tank		4.8 (×10 ¹² m/kg), better than FeCl ₃ and Al ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ but poorer than PAC	and Peng
			and PAM.	2014; Guo,
			> BF composited with PAC showed significant improvements compared	Yu, et al.
			to single flocculant treatment.	2015; Guo
			> BF produced using alkaline-thermal (ALT) pre-treated sludge and rice	and Chen
			stover hydrolysate have high MW of 421 kDa and 393 kDa,	2017)
			respectively.	
			Increase in zeta potential of suspension when BF was added,	
			indicating its negative charge.	
Bacillus cereus SK	Wastewater sludge	<u>Using kaolin</u>	➤ Identified as glycoprotein with presence of carboxyl, hydroxyl and	(Busi et al.
		Dosage: 12 mg, 83% FA with	amino groups.	2017)
		CaCl ₂	▶ Non-toxic: up to the tested concentration (800 mg/mL).	
			➢ Good sludge volume index was obtained (31 mL/g).	
BF-ADSW	Biological sludge	(Sludge)	➤ Composed of 96% of sugar and has MW of 421 kDa.	(Guo, Chen,
produced from	from secondary	Dose: 15% dry solids	Zeta potential value was 13.2 mV.	et al. 2018)
Bacillus subtilis	settling tank	pH: 6.5 – 8.5 (opt. at 7.5)	▶ Dry solids and SRF were improved by 64% and 60%.	
			Combined conditioning with PAC slightly enhanced the sludge	
			dewaterability.	

Bioflocculant /	Type of sludge /	Effective parameter range (opt.	Remarks	Reference
bacterial strain	wastewater	refers to optimum)		
Streptomyces	River and meat	Dosage: 200 mg/L	➢ Reduced 63.1% and 46.6% of COD, 84.3% and 75.6% of turbidity,	(Agunbiade
platensis strain	processing	Medium pH: 7	and 60.2% and 72.8% of SS in river and wastewater, respectively.	et al. 2018)
HBUM174787	wastewater		Flocculation was enhanced with the addition of multivalent cations	
			except for Fe ³⁺ .	
			➢ Showed comparable flocculation performance (95% FA) to PAM in	
			kaolin suspension.	
Terrabacter sp.	River, dairy,	Dairy wastewater	➢ Flocculate better in dairy wastewater than river but no flocculation	(Mayowa
strain SFD 11	brewery, sewage,	Dosage: 0.5 mg/mL	detected in other wastewater samples.	Oladele et
	and meat processed		Presence of carboxyl, hydroxyl and amino groups could be responsible	al. 2019)
	wastewater		for flocculation.	
			▶ Higher removal of BOD, COD, turbidity, SS and nitrate content than	
			conventional flocculants (dairy sample).	
			➢ Heavy metals removal was up to 77.7% (dairy sample).	

Bioflocculant /	Type of sludge /	Effective parameter range (opt.		Remarks	Reference
bacterial strain	wastewater	refers to optimum)			
BFBI produced	Different types of	Using kaolin	۶	Cation-independent: showed high FA >84% without CaCl ₂ . Use of	(Joshi et al.
from Bacillus	industrial	Dosage: 100 mg/L (purified), 20		crude BF required low dosage thus could reduce the wastewater	2019)
licheniformis strain	wastewaters and	mg/L (crude)		treatment cost.	
NJ3	sludge from	pH: 4 – 8 (better in acidic and	۶	Increase of the net charge from -13.6 mV to -27.7 mV suggested the	
	alkaline chemical	neutral)		negative charge of BFBI.	
	producing industry	Temperature: 30 – 90°C (opt. at	۶	Percentage removal of turbidity, COD and oil from synthetic	
		60°C)		wastewater were much better than alum.	
			۶	Superior performance was observed in real wastewater, which reduced	
				53% TDS, 62% TSS, 54% turbidity, 26% COD. Ni, Pb and Se were	
				completely removed while As, Cr, Cu and Fe removal was 62-93%.	
			۶	For pharmaceutical wastewater, no change in turbidity and TSS were	
				observed.	
			۶	BF-treated sludge settled three times faster than alum.	
			۶	SVI result of BF-treated sludge (24 mL/g) was slightly poorer than	
				alum (17 mL/g) but better in terms of TSS, TDS and turbidity	
				reduction.	
Bacillus	Mineral processing	Dosage: 9 mg/L added to 30L	≻	Can be produced directly from unsterilised kitchen waste due to its	(Liu et al.
agaradhaerens C9	wastewater	sample (pilot-scale)		alkali-resistant characteristics, which reduces the production cost.	2019)
		pH: >7	⊳	Divalent cations (Ca^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and Mg^{2+}) enhanced the flocculation but	
				the BF alone was able to achieve reasonable flocculating rate of 92%.	
				-	

Bioflocculant /	Type of sludge /	Effective parameter range (opt.	Remarks	Reference
bacterial strain	wastewater	refers to optimum)		
Alcaligenes faecalis	Coal mining	Dosage: 0.2 – 1.0 mg/mL, >78%	➢ 59%, 72% and 75% of removal rates were recorded for parameters	(Tsolanku,
HCB2	wastewater	FA (opt. at 0.8, 86%)	BOD, COD and sulphur, respectively.	Albertus
		pH: 5 – 12, >85% FA	➢ Composed of 88.6% carbohydrates and 9.5% protein.	and
		(opt. at 7, 93%)	➢ IR spectrum revealed the presence of effective functional groups:	Nkosinathi
		Thermal stability: up to 600°C	hydroxyl, amide and amino groups.	2019)
		with 27% weight loss	> BF showed no significant cytotoxic effect.	
			\succ Zeta potential value of the BF was negative. Addition of K ⁺ increased	
			the flocculation efficiency.	
Bioflocculant QZ-7	Industrial	<u>Using kaolin</u>	Composed of polysaccharides, proteins and uronic acid.	(Abu Tawila
produced from	wastewater	Dosage: 2 mg/mL, 94% FA with	➢ IR analysis indicated the presence of hydroxyl, amino and carboxyl	et al. 2019)
Bacillus salmalaya		CaCl ₂	groups.	
139SI		pH: 4 – 7 (opt. at 7)	➢ Has low quantity of sulphur which suggests its potential in heavy	
		Thermal stability: up to 300°C	metal removal such as lead.	
		with <25% weight loss	> Multivalent cations enhanced the flocculation except for Fe^{3+} which	
			inhibited the activity significantly.	
			> Effectively removed heavy metals (As, Zn^{2+} , and Cu^{2+}) from the	
			wastewater at 60 mg/L (pH $7 - 9$).	

Bioflocculant /	Type of sludge /	Effective parameter range (opt.	Remarks	Reference
bacterial strain	wastewater	refers to optimum)		
Bacillus subtilis	Municipal	<u>Using kaolin</u>	➢ Composed of 64% total sugar and 18% protein.	(Vimala
	wastewater	Dosage: 50 mg/L	> Trial experiment using 50 mg/L of the BF (with CaCl ₂) confirmed its	2019)
		pH: 7, 90% FA	feasibility in treating real wastewater, reducing the amount of COD,	
			SS and BOD.	
Bacillus velezensis	Lake water	Dosage: 100 mg/L	> All BFs demonstrated their ability in reducing turbidity and colour	(Hassimi et
			from the lake water.	al. 2020)
			> Among all BFs, BioF-SME produced using sago mill effluent culture	
			medium was the most effective but slightly lower than traditional alum	
			flocculant.	
MBF-9	Pulping wastewater	Dosage: 150 - 750 mg/L (opt. at	Proteoglycan: 74% carbohydrate and 24% protein.	(Zhong et
Diaphorobacter		750 with 93% FA)	Successfully reduced 96% turbidity, 80% COD, 60% lignin and 63%	al. 2020)
nitroreducens R9		pH: 5 – 9 (opt. at 7)	sugar from the wastewater at 831 mg/L.	
		Temperature: 15 – 50°C, >80%	→ Has MW of 1.67 MDa and zeta potential of -58 mV.	
		FA (opt. at 25°C)		

730 **2.8 Summary**

731 This review presents the potential application of microbial BFs in enhancing 732 flocculation activity as well as sludge dewaterability in water and wastewater 733 treatment. Various operational parameters such as the flocculant dosage, pH and 734 temperature strongly affect the flocculation performance and sludge dewaterability, in 735 addition to the varying characteristics of suspension samples. Besides, the mixing 736 speed and flocculation time, as well as the composition and the ionic nature of the 737 flocculant contribute to various extents of flocculation activities. Typically, cationic 738 flocculants with medium to high molecular weight are preferred to promote 739 destabilisation of colloidal particles and bridging to the flocculant surface. In 740 particular, microbial BFs with polysaccharide backbone are found more thermally 741 stable compared to the BFs with protein content of more than 10%. The inherent 742 biodegradable and non-toxic properties of BFs offer safer handling of the treated 743 residues compared to the conventional synthetic polymeric flocculants. While ongoing 744 research focuses in optimising the BF production yield with high flocculation 745 performance at low cost for selected BF-producing strains, simultaneous work should 746 be performed to validate the viability of the remaining potential BFs and to further 747 investigate their optimum operational conditions using real wastewater and sludge 748 before they can be practically applied at industrial scale.

749 BFs produced from *Citrobacter* strains have been studied continuously by the group of researchers from Japan and Korea due to the close resemblance of these BFs to 750 751 chitin/chitosan as discussed earlier (Fujita et al. 2000; Fujita et al. 2001; Kim et al. 752 2006; Kim et al. 2012). Chitin/chitosan-like BFs were reported to be potentially 753 distributed in the genus Citrobacter, exhibiting strong flocculation activity when 754 grown in acetate medium (Kimura et al. 2013). Acetate could be a cheaper alternative 755 substrate to glucose which is commonly used in BF production. The BF-producing 756 strain C. youngae GTC 01314 used in the present work was found to grow effectively 757 in acetate medium cultivation in previous research by Kimura et al. (2013). In addition 758 to the ongoing research on the genetic study and the optimisation of the BF production 759 yield, it is of high interest to investigate the physicochemical characteristics of the BF 760 produced from this particular strain and to verify its practical implementation, in order 761 to supplement the uncharted aspect of the previous work.



771 **3.1 Materials**

772 **3.1.1 Bioflocculant samples (BF01314)**

773 A concentrated solution of BF01314 sample used in this study (Figure 3.2) was 774 obtained from Department of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, University of Hyogo, Japan. The sample was kept in a refrigerator at 4°C and used as 775 776 received in all flocculation and sludge conditioning experiments. A 20 mL aliquot of 777 the sample was freeze-dried for 24 hours at -40°C and 0.133 mbar to obtain the dry 778 weight as calculated according to Equation 3.1. Dried BF was used for the analysis of 779 molecular weight, Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrum, thermal degradation 780 properties, and microscopic image.

781 Dry weight yield $(g/L) = [W_1 - W_0]/Volume of BF solution used (L) (3.1)$

where W_1 and W_0 are the weight of the freeze-dried residue with sample tube and the weight of empty tube in gram (g), respectively.



784 785

Figure 3.2: Bioflocculant BF01314 samples

786 This concentrated BF01314 solution sample was prepared from the cultivation of C. 787 youngae GTC 01314 as follows. The bacterial strain C. youngae GTC 01314 was 788 sourced from the Pathogenic Bacterial Genetic Resource Stock Centre, School of 789 Medicine, Gifu University, Japan, and was grown in a modified acetate medium 790 (MAM). Table 3.1 shows the composition of MAM, prepared in 1 L of distilled water 791 at pH 7.2, which was sterilised by autoclaving at 120°C for 20 minutes prior to use. 792 The strain was pre-cultivated overnight using 10 mL of Luria-Bertani (LB) medium 793 (Sambrook and Russell 2001) on a rotary shaker (120 rpm, 37°C). The culture was 794 then inoculated in 1 L of MAM at the concentration of 1% v/v, followed by 48-h

795 incubation on a rotary shaker (120 rpm, 30°C). The culture supernatant was obtained 796 by removing the cells by centrifugation (10,000 rpm, 30 minutes, 4°C). The culture 797 supernatant was then concentrated 40 times from 1 L to 25 mL using the Spectrum 798 KRIIi tangential flow filtration system equipped with hollow fibre membrane modules 799 (modified polyethersulfone membrane filter module (mPES), 20 cm², 100 kDa MWCO, Spectrum Labs, USA). The concentrated solution was diluted with 1 L of 800 801 distilled water and again concentrated in the same manner to remove low molecular 802 weight contaminants consisting of the medium ingredients (metal ions and unknown 803 low molecular matters from peptone in MAM or yeast extract in LB medium) and cell-804 originated matters (by cell lysis or secreted from cells) which were lower than 100 kDa. 805 The process of removing these contaminants is important to improve the purity of the 806 product yield as the differences in the compositions could affect the flocculation 807 characteristics of the BF (Diao et al. 2019). ProClin300 (Sigma-Aldrich) was added at 808 a ratio of 1:5000 to the resulting concentrated BF01314 solution for preservation. The 809 pH value of the BF solution samples used in all experiments was adjusted to 4 unless 810 stated otherwise.

811

 Table 3.1: Composition of Modified Acetate Medium (MAM)

Component	Weight (g)
Sodium acetate	10.0
$(NH_4)_2SO_4$	1.0
K ₂ HPO ₄	1.0
Bacto peptone	0.3
FeCl ₃	0.3
MgSO ₄	0.3
NaCl	0.05
CaCl ₂	0.05

812

813 **3.1.2 Waste activated sludge (WAS)**

Sludge samples were collected from Miri Septic Sludge Treatment Plant (SSTP) in Sarawak, Malaysia. This local treatment plant treats septic sludge collected within the city and its outskirts by aerobic digestion process (also known as activated sludge process) using Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR). The effluent discharged from SBR is constantly monitored by the site personnel according to the effluent standards 819 namely Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), and 820 Suspended Solids (SS) to meet Malaysia's effluent quality of Standard A for discharge 821 upstream of any raw water intake. The sludge sampling point for this study was located 822 at the sludge holding tank after SBR, and before polymer dosing and dewatering 823 operation, as illustrated in Figure 3.3. Commercial cationic polymer was added to the 824 waste activated sludge (WAS) prior to mechanical dewatering. The dewatered sludge 825 from the treatment plant was then transported to landfills for disposal (Sewerage 826 Services Department Sarawak 2019). The sludge was collected and stored at 4°C 827 (Figure 3.4).





829

Figure 3.3: Miri SSTP overall sludge septic treatment flow diagram

830



831 832

Figure 3.4: (a) Sludge collection (b) Sludge samples stored at 4°C

833

834 3.1.3 Commercial cationic flocculants

835 3.1.3.1 Natural chitosan

High molecular weight chitosan (310-375 kDa, 419419, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO,

837 USA) 419419 derived from chitin from crustacean shells was used as received to

compare its sludge dewatering performance with BF01314. The chitosan solution was freshly prepared according to the study by Zemmouri et al. (2011) with minor modifications. For comparison, the same concentration as BF01314 (4.78 g-dry weight/L) was used to prepare the chitosan solution. 0.478 g of chitosan powder was dissolved in a 100 mL of 1%v/v acetic acid solution with constant mixing on a magnetic plate stirrer (400 rpm, 30°C) for 60 minutes or until no translucent particles were observed (SNF Floerger 2019). The solution was utilised within a week.

845

846 **3.1.3.2 Synthetic cationic polymer**

Synthetic cationic polymer MF 7861 was generously supplied by Miri SSTP and used
as received. The polymer solution was prepared at the same concentration as BF01314
and chitosan by adding 0.478 g of polymer beads to 100 mL distilled water with
constant mixing of 400 rpm at room temperature for 60 minutes or until fully dissolved
(SNF Floerger 2019). The solution was utilised within a week.

852

853 **3.2** Characterisation methods and analytical techniques of BF01314

854 3.2.1 Chemical analysis

Total sugar and protein contents in the prepared BF01314 sample were determined using the phenol sulphuric acid method (Sadasivam and Manickam 1996) and Bradford assay (Bradford 1976; Sigma-Aldrich 2019), respectively. The amount of apparent chitosan content in the sample was quantified according to Larionova et al. (2009) with minor modifications. All results were expressed in percentage of composition (sugar/protein/chitosan) in the BF using Equation 3.2.

861 Composition in the BF sample(%) = $[C_x/Dry weight yield] \times 100$ (3.2)

where C_x represents the contents of sugar, protein, or apparent chitosan (g/L), obtained from the calibration curves of absorbance versus concentration using the standard solutions, namely glucose, bovine serum albumin, and chitosan, respectively.

866 3.2.1.1 Phenol Sulphuric Acid Method

All reagents and 0.1 mg/mL of D-(+)-glucose (G8270, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) working standard solution were prepared prior to the analysis. A series of test tubes consisting of 0.0–0.1 mg/mL of glucose standard solution was prepared according to the volume ratio from Table 3.2. Concentrated sulphuric acid 95-97% was used as received. 5% phenol was prepared by dissolving 5 g of phenol crystals in 100 mL of distilled water.

Table 3.2: Volume of reagents and standard for total sugar determination

Test tube label	0	1	2	3	4	5	BF
Glucose conc. (mg/mL)	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.10	unknown
Vol. of std. solution (mL)	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	0.2
Vol. of distilled water (mL)	1.0	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.8

874 Under a fume hood, 1 mL of phenol reagent was added to Tube 0 followed by 5 mL 875 of sulphuric acid, pipetted directly onto the liquid surface. The solution was then 876 rapidly mixed on a vortex mixer for 20 seconds and allowed to stand for 10 minutes 877 under the fume hood, and another 10 minutes in a water bath at room temperature. The 878 solution was then gently mixed and transferred to a clean quartz cuvette to measure its 879 absorbance at 490 nm using a LAMBDA Bio UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Perkin 880 Elmer Waltham, MA, USA). The same procedure was repeated for Tube 1 to 5 and a 881 tube containing BF01314 sample. The results obtained were used to plot a standard 882 calibration curve to determine the corresponding amount of total sugar in the BF 883 sample. All experiments were performed in triplicates.

884

885 3.2.1.2 Bradford Assay

Total protein in the BF sample was determined using the Bradford method (Bradford 1976), following the 3.1 mL assay protocol by Sigma-Aldrich (2019). A standard solution of 1 mg/mL bovine serum albumin (BSA) was prepared using phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (P4417, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) as 'diluent'. The same buffer solution was used to prepare a series of test tubes containing the BSA standard solution with concentration of 0.0 - 1.0 mg/mL according to the volume ratio from Table 3.3.

	U						
Test tube label	0	1	2	3	4	5	BF
BSA conc. (mg/mL)	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	unknown
Vol. of BSA solution (mL)	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.05
Vol. of PBS (mL)	0.10	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.00	0.05

Table 3.3: Volume of reagent and standard for total protein determination

894 For the test, 3 mL of the reagent solution was added to Tube 0 and the solution was 895 vortexed for 30 seconds. The solution was then allowed to stand for 5 minutes at room temperature before transferring to a clean quartz cuvette to for absorbance 896 897 measurement at 595 nm. The remaining Tube 1 to 5 and the tube containing BF sample was tested in the same manner. All readings were recorded within 60 minutes to 898 899 maintain the stability of protein-dye complex in each solution while the measurements 900 were recorded. A standard calibration curve was plotted using the recorded results to 901 determine the corresponding amount of total protein in the BF sample. All experiments 902 were performed in triplicates.

903

904 3.2.1.3 Colorimetric Assay of Chitosan

This approach was based on the derivation reaction of chitosan primary amino groups with a mixture of o-phthataldehyde and a thiol. The reaction resulted in the formation of isoindoles Figure 3.5 which can be monitored spectrophotometrically against the reference solution at the wavelength of 340 nm (Larionova et al. 2009).



909

910 Figure 3.5: Formation of isoindole by o-phthalaldehyde and thiol reacted with

911 primary amino groups

912 *Source:* Figure reproduced from Larionova et al (2009, 725).

A standard reagent solution was prepared prior to the colorimetric assay by adding
each ethanol solution to the borate buffer with respective volumes: -

915	0.11M o-phthalaldehyde – dissolved in ethanol	$200 \; \mu L$
916	0.071M N-Acetyl-L-Cysteine (NAC) – dissolved in ethanol	$200 \mu L$
917	0.2M Borate buffer (pH 8.9)	4.6 mL

918 A series of standard solutions with the concentration of 0.0-0.1 mg/mL was prepared 919 by dissolving the chitosan powder in 0.01M HCl accordingly. For each test, 500 µL of 920 standard solution was mixed with 500 µL of reagent solution and its absorbance 921 measured at 340 nm after 60 seconds reaction time. A calibration curve was then 922 plotted. To determine the amount of corresponding chitosan in BF01314 from the 923 calibration curve, the test was repeated by replacing the standard solution with the 924 diluted BF sample (1:10 dilution with distilled water). All experiments were performed 925 in triplicates.

926

927 **3.2.2 Surface charge analysis**

928 Ionic characteristic of polymeric flocculant can be characterised based on its surface 929 charges commonly presented by zeta potential values (Guo, Yu, et al. 2015; Picos-930 Corrales et al. 2020). Zeta potential is the potential difference measured between two 931 boundaries expressed in terms of volt or millivolt to characterise the electrical charge 932 of a substance. The Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS equipped with a multi-purpose titrator 933 (MPT-2) connected to a computer, was used to measure the zeta potential of BF01314 934 at different pH levels from 2 to 12 and to further determine its isoelectric point (IEP). 935 The titrants used were 0.5 M HCl, 0.25 M HCl and 0.5 M NaOH. Using the software 936 provided, a measurement file for zeta potential with pH titration was created and all 937 the instructions displayed were followed. The pH probe was calibrated, and all titrants 938 were primed before taking the measurement. 15 mL of BF sample was filled in a 939 sample tube with a small stirrer inside the tube and attached to the titrator. The sample 940 was filled to a folded capillary cell (DTS 1070) placed inside the cell holder via a tube 941 connecting the cell with MPT-2 (by clicking Fill button in the software). The 942 measurement was then recorded and a copy of an isoelectric titration graph was 943 obtained.

944

945 **3.2.3 Molecular weight determination**

Dried BF was sent out for external analysis to determine the molecular weight of
BF01314 following the method of Hamzah, Talip, and Mahmud (2011). The average
molecular weight of purified BF01314 was determined using Gel Permeation

949 Chromatography Multi Angle Laser Light Scattering (GPC-MALLS) system coupled 950 with multiple detectors: an Agilent UV detector (k = 280 nm), an Optilab rEX 951 differential refractive index (dRI) detector (k = 658 nm), and a Dawn Heleos MALLS 952 detector (k = 661 nm) (Wyatt Technology, Santa Barbara, CA). The column was PL 953 aquagel-OH MIXED-H 8 µm (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). The mobile 954 phase contained 0.2 M acetic acid and 0.1 M sodium acetate. Prior to injection, the 955 samples (1.5 mg/mL) were dissolved in the mobile phase and filtered with 0.45-µm 956 nylon syringe filter. The analysis of the sample was performed at room temperature 957 (25°C) at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The raw chromatogram obtained for BF01314 can 958 be found in Appendix A.

959

960 3.2.4 Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy

961 Cary 630 Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, 962 Santa Clara, CA, USA) with attenuated total reflection (ATR) accessory was used to 963 obtain the spectrum of BF01314 and chitosan as control for comparison. The analysis 964 of infrared (IR) spectrum provides the information on the functional groups (Zhao et al. 2016) of BF01314 over a wavelength range of 650 to 4000 cm⁻¹, which was then 965 966 compared with the one of chitosan. This technique uses a diamond crystal as the 967 interface between the sample and the infrared energy. Figure 3.6 shows the main 968 components of the ATR accessory. The sample was analysed with simple three steps 969 prompted by the Agilent Microlab software. The diamond sampling window surface 970 was cleaned with ethanol prior to the background scanning. Background scanning was 971 measured without any sample on the sampling window (as reference). Once the 972 background spectrum was collected, the dried BF sample was placed on the sampling 973 window and turned the knob until the press tip was in contact with the sample.



974

Figure 3.6: Cary 630 FTIR spectrometer Diamond Accessory sample press

976 3.2.5 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

977 Thermal degradation study of BF01314 was carried out by heating 5 mg of the dried 978 sample starting from room temperature (25±1°C) up to 800°C with a heating rate of 979 10°C/min at a N₂ gas flow rate of 20 mL/min using a thermogravimetric analyser 980 TGA/DSC 1 (Mettler Toledo, OH, USA) according to the method of Pathak et al. 981 (2017). These settings were inputted to a computer connected to the analyser using a 982 STAR software (Mettler Toledo STAR Thermal Analysis system). 5 mg of the BF 983 sample was weighed in a crucible and placed on a crucible holder inside the furnace, 984 ready to be analysed (Figure 3.7). The temperature and weight measured during the 985 analysis were displayed on a SmartSens terminal for ease of monitoring. The analysis 986 was completed in approximately one hour and the plotted curve of sample weight 987 against temperature was obtained. Similar procedures were repeated for chitosan and 988 the result was compared with BF01314.



989 990

- Figure 3.7: TGA analyser (TGA/DSC 1, Mettler Toledo)
- 991

992 **3.3** Flocculation characterisation under various parametric conditions

993 **3.3.1 Preparation of synthetic kaolin suspension**

All flocculation tests were tested using kaolin clay suspension with a concentration of
5 g/L according to the method by Kimura et al. (2013). The suspension was freshly
prepared prior to the test by adding 5 g of kaolin clay (K7375, Sigma-Aldrich, St.
Louis, MO, USA) to 1 L of distilled water, and the mixture was rapidly stirred on a
plate stirrer at 500-700 rpm for 30 minutes. The pH and zeta potential of the suspension
were then measured.

1000 **3.3.2 Measurement of flocculation activity**

The flocculation tests involved the measurement of flocculation activity under the 1001 1002 effects of different experimental parameters – BF concentration, pH and temperature. 1003 All flocculation tests were conducted using the reported method by Kimura et al. 1004 (2013). 1 mL of the readily BF01314 concentrated sample or its diluted samples were 1005 added to 10 mL of 5 g/L of kaolin suspension using a 100-1000 µL micropipette. The 1006 mixture was then vigorously mixed for 30 seconds at 10-15 Hz and allowed to settle 1007 for 5 minutes. A small amount of the supernatant (upper part of the mixture) was 1008 transferred to a clean cuvette and its absorbance was measured at 550 nm using a 1009 LAMBDA Bio UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer). For the sample with 1010 absorbance reading of more than 1.0, the sample supernatant was diluted, and final 1011 absorbance was multiplied by the dilution factor. The same procedure was repeated 1012 for control experiments by replacing the BF solution with 1 mL of distilled water. The 1013 flocculation activity was calculated using Equation 3.3 based on the average of the 1014 triplicate measurements.

1015 Flocculation Activity (%) =
$$[A_0 - A]/A_0 \times 100$$
 (3.3)

1016 where A is the absorbance of the supernatant of BF-treated kaolin, and A_0 is the 1017 absorbance of the control sample.

Table 3.4 summarises the range of parameters tested which was adopted from aprevious report by Fujita et al. (2000) with minor modifications.

1020

Table 3.4: Range of parameters of flocculation tests

Parameter	Range tested	Constant variable
BF concentration	Dilution of $2^0 - 2^{13} (0.058 -$	- Unadjusted pH (4.7±0.3)
	478 mg/L)	- Room temperature (25±1°C)
pH of suspension	2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11	- BF concentration of 2 ⁵ dilution
		- Room temperature (25±1°C)
Temperature (°C)	Room temperature (25±1), 40,	- BF concentration of 2 ⁵ dilution
	60, 80, 95	- Unadjusted pH (4.7±0.3)

1022 **3.3.2.1** Flocculation titre and effect of BF concentration

1023 The experiment was conducted according to the "flocculation titre (Ft)" method 1024 introduced by Kimura et al. (2013) to detect the presence of flocculation activity with 1025 $Ft \ge 1$, whereby the strains with Ft > 80 were considered as high activity strains. Ft is 1026 defined as the fold dilution of the culture supernatant to reduce the saturated 1027 flocculation activity by 50% (Kimura et al. 2013). Prior to all tests, a two-fold serial dilution of BF solution from zero to thirteenth dilution (2^0 to 2^n , where n = integer of 1028 1 to 13) was prepared by diluting the BF with the same volume of distilled water as 1029 1030 shown in Figure 3.8.



1031 1032

Figure 3.8: Preparation of two-fold serial dilution of BF solution

1033 The result obtained using 1 mL of pure BF solution was taken as the result of dilution 1034 2^{0} . The experiment was then repeated for each BF diluted solution. The results of 1035 flocculation activities were plotted against the dilution factor to determine Ft value at 1036 50% activity from the curve. To analyse the effects of BF concentration, the result was 1037 expressed in mg BF per litre of kaolin suspension using Equation 3.4. Sample 1038 calculation was included in Appendix B. Both pH and zeta potential of the supernatant 1039 were also measured at the end of the experiment. All tests were performed in triplicates.

1040 BF concentration (mg/L) =
$$[W_B/V_S] \times [1/\text{dilution}]$$
 (3.4)

1041 where W_B and V_S are the BF yield presence in 1 mL of purified BF solution (mg) and 1042 volume of kaolin suspension used for each test (0.01 L).

1043

1044 3.3.2.2 Effect of suspension pH

1045 The pH of kaolin suspension was adjusted using 0.5 M hydrochloric acid or 0.5 M 1046 sodium hydroxide to the desired pH in a small beaker prior to each flocculation test. 10 mL of the pH-adjusted kaolin suspension was then transferred to a clean test tube, added with 1 mL of fifth dilution of BF (2⁵) and measured its flocculation activity using the same aforementioned procedure. A control experiment for each desired condition (pH) was repeated in the same manner using 1 mL of distilled water instead of BF. The zeta potential of the supernatant and equilibrium pH (final) were also determined. All tests were performed in triplicates.

1053

1054 3.3.2.3 Effect of temperature

1055 A test tube containing 10 mL of kaolin suspension was heated to the desired 1056 temperature in a water bath set up with a beaker, on top of a plate stirrer. As the desired 1057 temperature was reached, 1 mL of fifth dilution of BF (2⁵) was added to the kaolin 1058 suspension and rapidly mixed on a vortex mixer. The mixture was allowed to stand for 1059 5 minutes and then transferred to a clean cuvette to measure its absorbance. Control 1060 experiment for each desired condition (temperature) was performed in the same 1061 manner using 1 mL of distilled water. Zeta potential of the supernatant was also 1062 determined.

1063

1064 **3.4** Sludge conditioning and dewatering experiments

1065 **3.4.1 Sludge characterisation**

1066 **3.4.1.1 pH**

The pH of the sludge sample was measured with a portable pH meter (HACH sensION+ PH1) according to the standard operating procedure (HACH 2013). A pH probe was calibrated with three different buffer solutions at pH 4.01, 7.00 and 10.01 prior to the measurement. Before taking the first and subsequent measurements, the probe and electrode were rinsed with distilled water. It was ensured that the electrode was fully immersed during each measurement.

1073

1074 **3.4.1.2** Total solids (TS)

1075 Total solids content was determined by Standard Method 2540B (APHA 2012). A
1076 clean evaporating dish was prepared by heating it in the oven at 103-105°C for at least

1077 1 hour until it obtained constant weight. The dish was cooled in a desiccator until 1078 needed. The sample was stirred on a magnetic stirrer at 100-200 rpm for 30 minutes 1079 to ensure a homogenous mixture. While mixing, 10 mL of sludge sample was pipetted 1080 (from a point between the beaker wall and vortex) and transferred to the prepared dish 1081 and weighed. The sample was dried in the oven for approximately 3 hours until a 1082 constant weight was achieved or if it was observed to reach less than 4% changes of 1083 previous weight. The determination was repeated three times. The amount of TS was 1084 presented in g/L and percentage (%) using Equation 3.5 and 3.6, respectively.

1085
$$TS (g/L) = (W - W_0)/Volume of sample(L)$$
(3.5)

1086 TS (%) =
$$[(W - W_0)/(W_w - W_0)] \times 100$$
 (3.6)

1087 where W, W_0 and W_w are the weight of dish with dried residue (g), the weight of 1088 empty prepared dish (g), and the weight of dish with wet sludge (g).

1089

1090 3.4.1.3 Total Suspended Solids (TSS)

1091 Total suspended solids (TSS) content was determined by Standard Method 2540D 1092 (APHA 2012). A glass fibre filter disk was prepared by washing it in three successive 1093 20 ml of reagent-grade water, and before commencing to suction process using a 1094 simple set up of lab filtration apparatus to remove the traces of water. The washing 1095 was discarded whilst the disk was transferred to an aluminium weighing dish and dried 1096 in the oven at 103–105°C. The drying was repeated until a constant weight of disk was 1097 obtained. The disk was cooled in a desiccator until needed. The sample was stirred on 1098 a magnetic stirrer at 100–200 rpm for 30 minutes to ensure a homogenous mixture. 1099 While mixing, 5 mL of sludge sample was pipetted (from a point between the beaker 1100 wall and vortex) and transferred to the prepared disk to begin the filtering process. 1101 After 3 minutes of suction, the disk with solids retained on it was weighed and then 1102 dried in the oven for at least 1 hour until constant weight was achieved or if it achieved 1103 less than 4% changes of previous weight. The filtrate was kept for the determination 1104 of total dissolved solids (TDS). The determination was repeated at least twice. The 1105 amount of TSS was calculated in the same manner as total solids.
1107 **3.4.1.4 Total Dissolved Solid (TDS)**

Total dissolved solids content was determined by Standard Method 2540C (APHA 2012). A clean evaporating dish was prepared by heating it in the oven at 180°C for at least 1 hour until achieving constant weight. The dish was cooled in a desiccator until needed. The filtrate from the determination of TSS was transferred to the prepared dish and weighed using an analytical balance. The determination was repeated at least twice. The amount of TDS was calculated in the same manner as total solids.

1114

1115 **3.4.1.5 Capillary suction time**

1116 Capillary suction time (CST) test for the sludge follows the Standard Method 2710G 1117 (APHA 2012). The digital CST meter was switched on and reset prior to the test. A 1118 piece of chromatography paper was placed between the lower and upper test block 1119 with the rough side up, and the sludge reservoir was inserted into the test block as 1120 shown in Figure 3.9. The sludge sample was poured into the reservoir until it was full. 1121 The timer was automatically started as the liquid drawn into the paper reached the 1122 inner sensors and stopped when it reached the outer sensor. The measurement was 1123 repeated for at least three times.



1124

Figure 3.9: CST test block for sludge dewaterability analysis

1126

1125

1127 **3.4.1.6** Specific resistance to filtration and cake solids content

1128 Specific resistance to filtration (SRF) was determined using an established method

- 1129 introduced by Coackley and Jones (1956), described in Sanin et al. (2011) with minor
- 1130 modifications to the experimental set up as shown in Figure 3.10.



Figure 3.10: Experimental set up for SRF test of the sludge

1133 A complete assembled 25-mL graduated cylinder inside a filtering flask with 50-mL 1134 Buchner funnel and stopper was connected to a vacuum pump via a hose equipped 1135 with a vacuum controller. The vacuum pressure was set to 50 kPa (Lau 2014). A 1136 constant volume of sludge was poured into the funnel (seated with filter paper) and the 1137 vacuum pressure was applied at timeframe of zero. The volume of filtrate was recorded 1138 as a function of time throughout the filtration process. The filtered sludge cake was 1139 then dried overnight in oven at 105°C and the weight of dry cake solids content (CSC) 1140 was calculated using Equation 3.7 (Lau 2014). A graph of inverse flux (time/filtrate 1141 volume, t/V) versus volume of filtrate (V) was constructed and the SRF value was 1142 determined using Equation 3.8.

1143
$$\operatorname{CSC}(\%) = [W_2 - W_0] / [W_1 - W_0]$$
 (3.7)

1144 where W_2 , W_1 and W_0 are the weight of dried cake, the weight of wet filtered cake 1145 with filter paper, and the weight of filter paper, all in grams (g), respectively.

1146 SRF (m/kg) =
$$[2(\Delta P)A^2b] / \mu w$$
 (3.8)

1147 where ΔP is the pressure difference set using the vacuum controller (N/m²), A is the 1148 filtration area (m²), μ is viscosity of filtrate (N.s/m²) (assumed similar to water), w is 1149 the weight of dry cake per volume of filtrate (kg/m³), and b is the straight-line slope 1150 of t/V versus V graph.

1152 **3.4.1.7 Zeta potential measurement**

1153 Zeta potential of the sludge supernatant was measured using the same instrument 1154 Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS mentioned in 3.2.2, without the titrator. All procedure 1155 follows the instructions from the Easier Nano User Manual. To prevent any bubbles 1156 from forming inside the sample cell, the sample was injected into a folded capillary 1157 cell (DTS1070) using a syringe suspended upside-down, until half-filled. The sample 1158 cell was then inverted to an upright position, and the liquid sample was continued to 1159 be injected slowly until the cell electrodes were fully covered or it reached the MAX 1160 line marked at the cell. Both openings of the cell were closed using the caps provided 1161 together with the cell. The cell was then inserted into a cell holder inside the instrument 1162 with Malvern logo faces to the front (ensured not to touch the optical measurement 1163 area) and the sample was then ready for measurement.

1164

1165 **3.4.2 Batch conditioning experiment**

1166 A batch conditioning experiment follows the method of Lau (2014) with minor 1167 modifications. The effects of BF dosage, suspension pH, and temperature, as well as 1168 flocculation speed and time were investigated in this experiment. The sludge was 1169 initially brought to room temperature and a series of 250-mL beaker consisting of 100 1170 mL of well-mixed sludge was prepared. For all experiments, the sludge was slowly 1171 stirred on a plate stirrer at 100 rpm while dosing the flocculant to the sludge within 60 1172 seconds with a 100-1000 µl micropipette. The sludge was rapidly mixed at 400 rpm 1173 for 60 seconds to promote coagulation followed by slow mixing at 100 rpm for 5 1174 minutes to simulate the flocculation. CST test was performed immediately after the 1175 flocculation. After 10 minutes of settlement, sludge supernatant was collected for zeta 1176 potential measurement and flocculated sludge was tested for SRF and CSC.

For the pH effect experiment, the sludge pH was initially adjusted using 1 M hydrochloric acid or 1 M sodium hydroxide. For the effect of temperature study, the sludge temperature was brought from 4°C to the desired temperature and maintained using ice bath (for 10°C and 25°C), and by heating on a hot plate as shown in Figure 3.11 for 40, 60, 80 and 100°C. Table 3.5 summarises the range of parameters used, constant variables, and the measurements recorded to evaluate the sludge dewatering performance. The range tested was selected based on the flocculation behaviour of 1184 BF01314 in kaolin and other related literatures with minor adjustments (Aljuboori et

1185 al. 2015).



1186 1187

- Figure 3.11: Set up for effect of temperature experiment
- 1188

1189

Table 3.5: Range of parameters tested for sludge conditioning and dewatering

Parameter	Range tested	Constant variable	Performance test
BF dosage	0.3, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0,	- Unadjusted pH (7±0.3)	Specific resistance to
	3.0 and 6.0 kg/t dry	- Room temperature (25±1°C)	filtration (SRF),
	solids (DS)		Capillary suction
Sludge pH	2, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 10	- BF dosage of 1.0 kg/t DS	time (CST), Cake
		- Room temperature (25±1°C)	solids content (CSC)
Temperature	10, 25, 40, 60, 80 and 100℃	- BF dosage of 1.0 kg/t DS - Unadjusted pH (7±0.3)	and zeta potential

1190

1191 **3.5** Comparison with commercial flocculants

1192 The sludge dewatering performance of BF01314 was compared to the commercial 1193 high molecular weight chitosan (HMWC) and synthetic cationic flocculant. Similar 1194 procedures of sludge conditioning and dewatering experiment in 3.4 was applied. For 1195 each type of flocculant, the dosage was varied from 0.5, 1, 2 and 3 g/kg dry solids (DS) 1196 (Zemmouri et al. 2015). The dewatering performance was evaluated for CST, SRF, 1197 CSC and zeta potential of the supernatant. Within the range tested, the sludge treated 1198 at optimal dosage of BF01314 was selected for further analysis of FTIR spectrum, and 1199 surface morphology using optical microscope and environmental scanning electron 1200 microscope (ESEM) to elucidate the molecular interactions between the BF and sludge 1201 particles.

1203 **3.6** Analysis of flocculation kinetics

There are two parts involved in this preliminary analysis of flocculation kinetics. In the first part, the kinetic behaviour of BF01314 was interpreted in terms of flocculation activity under the effects of mixing speed and time according to the methods reported by Lau (2014) and Aljuboori et al. (2015). In the second part, the flocculation kinetics was observed in terms of turbidity reduction against the sedimentation time. The type and rate of reaction were then determined based on the method of Bisht and Lal (2019).

- 1210 Similar procedure was adopted according to the sludge conditioning method described 1211 in Section 3.5 with variation in flocculation speed and mixing time. Sludge 1212 conditioning experiment simulates a two-step mixing process- coagulation (first stage) 1213 and flocculation (second stage). In this study, the sludge conditioning process was 1214 studied at low, moderate and high mixing speeds of 50, 100 and 200 rpm, respectively, 1215 for the flocculation stage. At each flocculation speed, the experiment was repeated by 1216 varying the mixing time to 1, 2, 3, 5 and 7 minutes (Table 3.6). 2-mL aliquot of sludge 1217 supernatant was extracted after 5 minutes settling, and its absorbance was measured at 1218 550 nm. A control experiment was conducted at each flocculation speed by replacing 1219 the BF with distilled water for the determination of flocculation activity using Equation 1220 3.3 (see Section 3.3.2).
- 1221 Table 3.6: Range of parameters for the study of effects of flocculation speed and time

Flocculation speed	Mixing time (min)	Constant variable	Performance test	
Low – 50 rpm	1, 2, 3, 5 and 7	- BF dosage of 1.0 kg/t DS	Flocculation	
Moderate - 100	1, 2, 3, 5 and 7	- Unadjusted pH (7±0.3)	activity (using	
rpm		- Room temperature (25±1°C)	Equation 3.3 in	
High – 200 rpm	1, 2, 3, 5 and 7	- Coagulation: 400 rpm, 60 s	Section 3.3.2)	

1222

1223 The second part of the study was carried out to determine the kinetic model and rate of reaction of the sludge treated by BF01314 according to the method conducted by 1224 1225 Bisht and Lal (2019) with modification. 1 L of well-mixed sludge was allowed to settle 1226 for 20 minutes and then the sample supernatant was collected for the flocculation test. 1227 The turbidity of the raw sludge supernatant was initially measured. 10 mL of the supernatant was pipetted into a clean test tube and added with 0.3 mL of BF01314 (3% 1228 1229 BF dosage). The mixture was vortexed for 30 seconds and then transferred to a sample 1230 cell for turbidity measurements at 1-minute intervals, from 1 to 10 minutes. The experiment was then repeated using 0.5 mL (5%) and 1.0 mL (10%) BF dosage. The experimental results were fitted to zero-, pseudo first- and pseudo second-order kinetic models to determine the rate and type of reaction according to Equations 3.9-3.11. Plots of turbidity in terms of N_t, ln(N_t), and 1/N_t, against time t, were constructed accordingly for zero-, pseudo first- and pseudo second-order kinetic models. The rate of reaction was determined from the initial gradient of each plot.

1237 Zero-order:
$$N_t = -kt + N_0$$
 (3.9)

1238 Pseudo first-order:
$$\ln(N_t) = k_1 t + \ln(N_0)$$
 (3.10)

1239 Pseudo second-order:
$$1/N_t = k_2 t + 1/N_0$$
 (3.11)

1240 Where k, k_1 and k_2 represent the zero-, pseudo first- and pseudo second-order rate 1241 constants, respectively. N_0 and N_t represent the initial turbidity and turbidity at time t.

Each plot was evaluated for its coefficient of determination (\mathbb{R}^2), percentage of error, and residual plots (residual versus time), according to Equation 3.12 and 3.13. The fitted kinetic model with high \mathbb{R}^2 value, low percentage of error and good residual plot (randomly scattered around x-axis) was selected as the best-fitted model.

1246 Residual: Actual data of y-axis (y) – Predicted data of y-axis (\hat{y}) (3.12)

1247 Percentage of error (%):
$$|y - \hat{y}| / y \times 100$$
 (3.13)

CHAPTER 4

PHYSICOCHEMICAL CHARACTERISATION AND FLOCCULATION ANALYSIS OF BF01314

1251

1252 In this chapter, the physicochemical characteristics of the bioflocculant BF01314 was 1253 analysed and presented in Section 4.1. Selected characterisation methods include total 1254 sugar, total protein, and total chitosan contents of BF01314, molecular weight, Fourier 1255 surface transform infrared (FTIR) analysis, charge determination and 1256 thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). Subsequently, flocculation performance of 1257 BF01314 in kaolin suspension at different bioflocculant (BF) concentration, pH and 1258 temperature conditions were thoroughly discussed in Section 4.2 in relation to its 1259 characteristics determined in Section 4.1. Significant findings on the flocculation 1260 analysis and charge interaction between the BF and kaolin clay particles were used to 1261 provide an insight to the preliminary interpretation of flocculation mechanisms of 1262 BF01314 in activated sludge sample in the next chapter.

1263

1264 4.1 Characteristics of BF01314

1265 4.1.1 Total sugar, protein, and chitosan contents in BF01314

Figure 4.1 shows a calibration plot for sugar determination with a standard error estimated at 0.023. The total sugar content in BF01314 sample was approximately 255.47 mg/L after being corrected with a dilution factor of four. The equivalent percentage with respect to the BF dry weight (4.78 g/L) was 5.34%.





1273 For the determination of total protein content, no trace of protein was detected in 1274 BF01314, indicated by low absorbance value <0.01 which is out of the range tested. 1275 Figure 4.2 shows the difference in colour of the BSA solutions over the range of 0.0– 1276 1.0 mg/L of reagent addition. The solutions contained with BSA (acted as protein 1277 standard) turned its colour from brown to blue upon addition with the reagents and 1278 darkens as the concentration of protein increased. However, the addition of reagent to 1279 BF01314 sample demonstrates the negative reaction of the sample whereby the colour 1280 of the solution remained unchanged, indicating the absence of protein. Unlike the 1281 BF04 produced from Citrobacter TKF04 as reported by Fujita et al. (2000), no 1282 precipitation was observed during the assay which confirmed the reliability of the 1283 result. This could indicate the absence or limited presence of incompatible substances 1284 such as detergent in the BF sample, which could interfere with the assay through 1285 precipitation and increase the absorbance reading as generally reported in protein 1286 quantitation (Cheng et al. 2016).



1287

Figure 4.2: Protein determination by Bradford assay. The colour of the BF01314
solution remains unchanged after adding the reagent.

Figure 4.3 shows a calibration curve obtained using a standard commercial chitosan with R^2 value and a standard error of estimates of 0.996 and 0.021, respectively. The corrected apparent chitosan concentration in BF01314 sample was 591.93 mg/L, equivalent to 12.38%, which was unexpectedly much higher than the total sugar content in the sample.



Figure 4.3: Standard curve of chitosan concentration; (×) BF01314 sample. Error bar
 represents ±SD of triplicates.

1298 In the present study, the results revealed that chitosan content in BF01314 was higher 1299 compared to sugar content, which suggests the necessity of further characterisation 1300 studies on the elemental and chemical composition of the BF in future work. It is more 1301 likely that the large percentage difference between chitosan and sugar content obtained 1302 from the present work was attributed to the undesirable interference caused by other 1303 impurities present in the BF (Larionova et al. 2009). This could be attributed to the 1304 low molecular weight components in the BF originated from the culture medium as 1305 discussed in Section 3.1.1.

1306

1295

1307 4.1.2 Molecular weight and FTIR analysis of BF01314

1308 The weighted average of molecular weight (M_w) and number average of molecular 1309 weight (M_n) of this novel bioflocculant BF01314 were found to be 327 kDa and 187 1310 kDa respectively, with polydispersity index (PDI) of 1.75. PDI characterises the broadness of the BF's molecular weight distribution, defined statistically by the ratio of M_w to M_n . The notable higher value of M_w indicates the presence of significant amounts of high molecular weight fractions in BF01314 compared to low molecular weight fractions presented by M_n (Lapointe and Barbeau 2020; Meiczinger et al. 2005). The infrared (IR) spectrum of BF01314 was compared to the chitosan of equivalent molecular weight (310-375 kDa, >75% deacetylated) with typical chemical structure of partial deacetylated chitin as shown in Figure 4.4.



1318

1319 Figure 4.4: Typical structure of partial deacetylated chitin (chitosan). (A) primary

1320 hydroxyl; (B) secondary hydroxyl; (C) primary amino; (D) secondary amide.

1321 Figure 4.5 demonstrates the similarity of BF01314 and chitosan spectra with almost

similar region of characteristic bands as summarised in Table 4.1.



1323

1324 Figure 4.5: Functional groups of BF01314 in comparison to the chitosan of

equivalent molecular weight

1326

1325

Table 4.1: Summary of characteristic bands of chitosan and BF01314

Functional	Characteristic band (cm ⁻¹)		Roles description	
group	Chitosan	BF01314	-	
Hydroxyl	3354.60,	3265.15	Due to O-H stretching resulted from the	
and	3287.57		intermolecular and intramolecular hydrogen bonds of	
secondary			the BF particles. This band is most likely overlapped	
amide			with N-H stretching from secondary amide. These	
			groups may provide adsorption sites for bridging via	
			hydrogen bonding.	
Primary	1552.44	1531.94	Associated with N-H bending from primary amino	
amino			group. Amino group can be protonated in aqueous	
			acidic solution which then can attract the negative	
			colloids via electrostatic interaction.	
Amide	1647.48,	1632.57,	Due to the stretching of C=O and C-N which	
	1312.02	1323.20	typically found in N-acetyl group. The oxygen from	
			carbonyl (C=O) can also form hydrogen bond to	
			promote flocculation.	

1330 Strong absorption bands between 3200–3400 cm⁻¹ were attributed to N-H and O-H 1331 stretches resulted from intramolecular hydrogen bonds (Figure 4.4) as well as 1332 intermolecular interactions between the BF particles (Queiroz et al. 2014). The N-H 1333 stretching at around this characteristic band is commonly represents a secondary amide 1334 and sometimes can be overlapped with hydroxyl band (Menkiti et al. 2016), which 1335 most likely occurred in the BF01314 spectrum (Figure 4.5). These vibration bands may 1336 reflect the hydroxyl groups on the glucosamine rings. Primary hydroxyl denoted as A 1337 in Figure 4.4: Typical structure of partial deacetylated chitin (chitosan). (A) primary 1338 hydroxyl; (B) secondary hydroxyl; (C) primary amino; (D) secondary amide. Figure 1339 4.4 is especially predicted to be reactive in providing adsorption sites for bridging via 1340 hydrogen bonding because of the reduced steric hindrance and high electronegativity 1341 of its oxygen (Okaiyeto et al. 2016; Yang et al. 2016). A corresponding N-H bending at 1531.94 cm⁻¹ indicated the presence of primary amino groups (Queiroz et al. 2014; 1342 1343 Anderson 2004). In aqueous acidic solutions, this amino group will be protonated and exhibit positive charge (NH₂ \rightarrow NH₃⁺) (Jagadish et al. 2017), which increases the 1344 1345 chance to adsorb the negative colloidal particles through an electrostatic interaction 1346 (Gregory and Barany 2011).

In the fingerprint region, the characteristic bands at 1647.48 and 1312.02 cm⁻¹ in 1347 chitosan were assigned to C=O stretching and C-N stretching, respectively found in a 1348 typical N-acetyl group as a result of partial deacetylation of chitin to chitosan (Queiroz 1349 et al. 2014). BF01314 spectrum also produced similar characteristic bands of this 1350 typical N-acetyl group at 1632.57 and 1323.20 cm⁻¹. The bands between 2870–2980 1351 1352 cm⁻¹ were most likely attributed to C-H stretches which are typically found in 1353 polysaccharides and are rarely useful (Queiroz et al. 2014; Ning, Ernst and Ning 2011). The remaining peaks between 1000-1400 cm⁻¹ in the chitosan and BF's spectrum could 1354 be attributed to CH₂ bending, CH₃ symmetrical deformations, C-O stretching, and 1355 1356 asymmetric stretching of C-O-C bridge which were commonly determined in a 1357 chitosan structure (Queiroz et al. 2014). All of the above discussions verify that 1358 BF01314 is a chitosan-like BF with the presence of functional groups of hydroxyl, 1359 amide and amino groups. Any shifting or change in intensity of a peak in sludge 1360 spectrum around the respective characteristic bands after conditioning may indicate an 1361 interaction between the sludge and these functional groups of the BF (Feki et al. 2020), 1362 which is further discussed in Section 5.7.

1363

1364 **4.1.3 Surface charge analysis**

Figure 4.6 shows an isoelectric titration plot of BF01314 over pH levels within a range of 2 and 12. The results indicate that the BF exhibited polycationic behaviour, ranging from +36.3 mV to 0 mV under acidic conditions and under a slightly alkaline region below the isoelectric point (IEP) at pH 8.25. This behaviour imitates a wide standard polyelectrolyte nature of chitosan in acidic aqueous solution as a result of the protonation of amino groups (Luo and Wang 2014).



Figure 4.6: Isoelectric point (IEP) titration graph of BF01314

1373 Figure 4.7 demonstrates the reversible reactions of primary amino groups of chitosan at low and high pH conditions. The protonation of amino groups (-NH₂) to $-NH_3^+$ 1374 1375 formation could be induced by the abundance of H⁺ and H₃O⁺ ions in acidic solutions, 1376 leading to an increase of positive charge density (Jagadish et al. 2017; Costa et al. 1377 2015). Studies have shown that the medium with pH 4 or below was able to protonate 1378 more than 90% of amino groups in chitosan (Zemmouri et al. 2011; M. Nomanbhay 1379 and Palanisamy 2005). Meanwhile, this amino group can also undergo deprotonation 1380 at high pH values, which may explain the negative surface charges of BF01314 at pH 1381 >8 (Wadhwa et al. 2009).

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} +H_{3}O^{+} \\ \text{Chit-NH}_{3}^{+} +H_{2}O \\ \text{At low pH (acidic)} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} +H_{3}O^{+} \\ \text{chit-NH}_{2} \\ \begin{array}{c} +OH^{-} \\ \text{chitosan} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Chitosan} \\ \text{At high pH (basic)} \end{array} \end{array}$$

1382

Figure 4.7: Reversible reactions of chitosan primary amino group (denoted as ChitNH₂) at low and high pH conditions.

1385 IEP was defined as a characteristic property in which the zeta potential is zero and 1386 identical to the pH value at the point of zero charge (Alvarez-Silva et al. 2010; Swain 1387 et al. 2009). For a new biopolymer like BF01314, determination of IEP can be very 1388 useful in understanding its ionic behaviour before being considered for practical 1389 applications. It could also indicate the capacity of the biopolymer to adsorb the ions of target pollutants, which was seldom reported in previous studies (Yazdani et al. 2017).
Most recent and previous works revealed that the IEP values of natural chitosan also
ranges between pH 7.5 to 8.6 depending on the electrolytic medium (Picos-Corrales et
al. 2020; Swain et al. 2009). The combined effects of the polycationic behaviour and
the presence of reactive functional groups in BF01314 are believed to promote strong
flocculation activities in negatively charged colloidal systems, as a result of its
chitosan-like properties.

1397

1398 4.1.4 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

1399 Thermal degradation study of chitosan and BF01314 was performed over temperatures 1400 ranging from 25-800°C and the results are plotted in Figure 4.8 and Figure 4.9, 1401 respectively. In the first thermal event, the maximum weight loss of both biopolymers 1402 was observed at about the same temperature indicating the point of highest rate of 1403 change on their respective weight loss curve. Chitosan lost 10% of its weight at the 1404 first derivative peak temperature of 77°C while BF01314 has higher percentage of 1405 weight loss (33%) than chitosan which was observed at a lower temperature of 69°C. 1406 This phenomenon was attributed to the evaporation of water molecules that are 1407 strongly bonded to the polymeric chains of the polymer by the hydroxyl and amino 1408 groups, which generally occurs below the temperature of 100°C (Grząbka-1409 Zasadzińska, Amietszajew and Borysiak 2017; Pereira et al. 2013). In the present study, 1410 BF01314 is a water-soluble polymer and has a very high tendency to absorb moisture 1411 from the air in dried form therefore, high percentage of weight loss was recorded at 1412 this stage. As the temperature increased, chitosan and the BF remained at its constant 1413 weight (Chitosan: 90% of weight; BF01314: 70% of weight) up to the temperature of 1414 265°C and 202°C respectively.





In the second thermal event, the degradation of chitosan polymeric chains occurred within the range of 265–365°C, with the greatest rate of change at 359°C, resulting in 40% weight loss. On the other hand, BF01314 continued to lose much lower percentage of weight (11%) within a relatively lower range of 202–230°C, with the maximum at 226°C. This second stage of thermal decomposition occurred due to the breakdown of glycosidic bonds that linked the D-glucosamine (GlcN)/N-acetyl-Dglucosamine (GlcNAc) rings as shown in Figure 4.10 (Grząbka-Zasadzińska et al.

1426 2017; Pereira et al. 2013). Based on the result in the present work, BF01314 may 1427 contain less glucosamines supported by the low apparent chitosan content of 12.38% 1428 found in the sample from the colorimetric assay in Section 4.1.1. It was reported that 1429 the C–O–C of glycosidic bonds stretched upon entering this decomposition stage and 1430 eventually separated the polyglucosamine chain (Pereira et al. 2013), leading to the 1431 formation of pyrazine compounds through a series of mechanisms from the pyrolysis 1432 of chitosan to the formation of α -amino carbonyls as the precursors of pyrazine 1433 compounds (Zeng et al. 2011). Hence, further analysis to determine the decomposition products of the BF are recommended for future work. 1434



1435

Figure 4.10: D-glucosamine linked with β-(1,4) glycosidic bonds in chitosan
polymer. 1–6 shows the position of each carbon

At the end of the experiment, chitosan and BF01314 showed further weight loss of about 20% and 26% respectively, with the remaining percentage of residual mass of 30%, indicating incomplete decomposition of both biopolymers up to 800°C. The overall analysis reveals that BF01314 is thermally stable as it was able to withstand high temperatures of up to 202°C, slightly lower than that of chitosan (265°C) before it started to decompose.

1444

1445 **4.2** Flocculation analysis of BF01314 using kaolin suspension

1446 4.2.1 Effect of BF01314 concentration

Figure 4.11 shows a graph of flocculation activity of BF01314 measured to determine the 'flocculation titre (Ft)' value, defined as the fold dilution of the culture supernatant to reduce the saturated flocculation activity by 50%, whereby the BF-producing strains with Ft>80 were classified as high activity strains (Kimura et al. 2013). In this study, 1451 the Ft values were determined within the first month of production (1 month) and after 1452 the tenth month (10 months) of storage at temperature of 4°C. The Ft value of BF01314 declined from 4390 ($2^{12.1}$) to 1663 ($2^{10.7}$) after about 10 months of storage at 4°C but 1453 1454 sustained its strong flocculation activity of >95% between dilution 2 to 7. This 1455 indicates that BF01314 was stable up to the month tested (tenth) with the addition of 1456 ProClin300 as preservative, which is comparable to commercial liquid polymers with 1457 common storage periods between 6-12 months (Lara et al. 2007). Szymańska and 1458 Winnicka (2015) also reported the necessity of chitosan-based product to be stored at 1459 temperatures below 5°C for long-term stability.



1460

Figure 4.11: Measurement of 'flocculation titre (Ft)' of BF01314. Error bar
represents ±SD of triplicates.

1463 Figure 4.12 presents the plot of flocculation activity in kaolin suspension against the 1464 BF dosage expressed in terms of concentration instead of dilution for the BF (1 month), 1465 calculated using Equation 3.4 (see Appendix B.1 for sample calculation). The 1466 flocculation activity increased from 48.31% to a maximum of 98.21% as the BF 1467 concentration increased from 0.058 to 15 mg/L (optimum), and then retained its 1468 activity at >90% up to 239 mg/L. The flocculation activity reduced to 77.7% at the 1469 maximum of 478 mg/L within the range of concentration tested. A similar pattern was 1470 reported for BF04 from Citrobacter sp. TKF04 where the flocculation activity of >90% 1471 was reported for the range between 1 and 10 mg/L with a slightly reduced activity at 1472 100 mg/L (Fujita et al. 2000). The increase of flocculation activity with the BF

1473 concentration in the present work could be attributed to the increasing adsorption 1474 capacity due to the increase of biopolymers present in BF01314. At higher BF 1475 concentration, more biopolymers (and hence more adsorption sites) are available for 1476 the complex formation between the BF and sludge particles. Nevertheless, overdosing 1477 the BF resulted in decreasing flocculation activity as shown in Figure 4.12, causing re-1478 stabilisation when the neutralised suspended particles were completely surrounded by 1479 excess BF biopolymers and again recharged causing the destabilised particles to 1480 become stable again. Longer time may also be required for all BF particles to be 1481 completely utilised and reached adsorption equilibrium (Nechita 2017). Furthermore, 1482 high molecular weight polymers appear to have higher maximum adsorption capacity 1483 (Lee and Schlautman 2015), which explains the capability of BF01314 exhibiting 1484 reasonably high flocculation activity of >80% even at low concentration of 1 mg/L.



1485

Figure 4.12: Effect of BF01314 concentration on flocculation activity for the BF (1
month). Error bar represents ±SD of triplicates.

Figure 4.13 shows the effect of BF concentration on the zeta potential of the suspension. The zeta potential values were barely affected by the concentration below 1 mg/L, and remained around -15.7±1.4 mV, compared with the initial zeta potential value (-13.2 mV) of the kaolin suspension. Further increase of BF concentration beyond 1 mg/L however resulted in a charge reversal from -14.3 mV at 0.93 mg/L to +8.3 mV at 1.87 mg/L.



BF01314 concentration (mg/L) Figure 4.13: Effect of BF01314 concentration on zeta potential of the suspension for the BF (1 month). Error bar represents ±SD of triplicates.

1497 At the concentration of 15 mg/L with the highest flocculation activity (98.21%), the 1498 zeta potential was +24.3 mV. The zeta potential value continued to increase to 34.7 1499 mV with increasing BF concentration up to 239 mg/L without any significant negative 1500 overdose effect. Therefore, it can be concluded that charge neutralisation was attained 1501 between 0.93 and 1.87 mg/L with effective flocculation at around 90%. Beyond this 1502 point, BF01314 showed a reasonably broad "flocculation window" of >95% between 1503 4 and 120 mg/L, with the apparent optimal concentration at around 15 mg/L, as shown 1504 in Figure 4.12, while the zeta potential values continued to deviate far from zero, 1505 without re-stabilisation effect.

1506 Yang et al. (2016) and Yang et al. (2011) interpreted simple charge neutralisation as 1507 the predominant mechanism when the zeta potential value increases linearly with the 1508 flocculation rate and reaches zero at optimal flocculant concentration. Meanwhile 1509 charge patching has been described as incomplete neutralisation of unevenly 1510 distributed surface charges (Yang et al. 2016). Hence, charge patching may provide 1511 effective flocculation before the charge neutralisation is complete, resulting in non-1512 zero zeta potential value at an optimal concentration (Hu et al. 2020; Yang et al. 2016; 1513 Liu et al. 2017). Nevertheless, for cationic polyelectrolytes like chitosan, simple 1514 charge neutralisation and electrostatic charge patching are hardly distinguishable as 1515 both mechanisms simultaneously increase the zeta potential of the suspension (Yang 1516 et al. 2016). Therefore, it is believed that both neutralisation mechanisms are dominant at low BF concentration, as evidenced in the present study since the effective
flocculation took place even before the apparent optimal concentration was attained at
the zeta potential value of +24.3 mV.

1520 In addition, the polymer bridging mechanism is speculated to prevail at high BF 1521 concentration due to the high molecular weight of BF01314. Lapointe and Barbeau 1522 (2020) pointed out that the higher chance of available dangling segments (loops and 1523 tails) of a polymer for bridging was translated by its higher molecular weight. The long 1524 chain conformation allows the biopolymers to adsorb onto the surface of the suspended 1525 particles with their loops and tails for effective bridging and flocculation, despite the 1526 net positive charge at the concentration above 1 mg/L, as shown in Figure 4.13. 1527 Lapointe and Barbeau (2020) also reported that chitosan with moderate-to-high 1528 molecular weight can neutralise the negatively charged colloid particles by cationic 1529 amino groups and simultaneously flocculate the particles via interparticle bridging, 1530 leading to an increase of floc size. Overall, these findings seem to be consistent with 1531 the results of the present study indicating that BF01314 is an effective cationic 1532 chitosan-like BF that demonstrates similar flocculation mechanisms in kaolin 1533 flocculation as discussed above.

1534

1535 4.2.2 Effect of suspension pH

1536 Figure 4.14 presents the effect of suspension pH on the flocculation activity in kaolin 1537 flocculation when treated with the BF01314 sample at the optimal concentration of 15 1538 mg/L. The results revealed good BF stability in a wide range of pH (from pH 2 to 8) 1539 with outstanding flocculation activity (>98%). The maximum flocculation activity of 1540 99.75% was observed at pH 6, equivalent to the results of zeta potential at 0.77 mV as 1541 depicted in Figure 4.15. At <pH 6, the zeta potentials of BF-treated kaolin suspension 1542 were positive and high flocculation activities were exhibited. In contrast, the zeta 1543 potential value was reversed at >pH 6, including the IEP of the BF01314 sample (pH 1544 8.25), eventually producing about similar charge with the untreated kaolin suspension.



1546 Figure 4.14: Effect of suspension pH on flocculation activity of BF01314. Error bar

1545

represents \pm SD of triplicates.



1548

1549 Figure 4.15: Effect of suspension pH on zeta potential. Error bar represents standard
1550 deviation of triplicate determinations.

Nevertheless, high flocculation activity was still observed even in some parts of the negative charge region (from pH 6 to 8). At around IEP, BF01314 loses the electrostatic potential to neutralise the negative surface charge of kaolin particles. This may prove the presence of different mechanisms apart from charge neutralisation that can promote effective interparticle bridging among kaolin particles with BF01314. On the other hand, a sharp decrease of flocculation activity was observed during the transition from pH 8 to 9 and above. This could be due to the excessive OH⁻ ions in alkaline medium that competes with the anionic kaolin particles and hinders the complex formation between the BF and kaolin particles (Okaiyeto et al. 2016; Yazdani et al. 2017). Besides, the available bridging sites might be reduced as the amino groups on the BF deprotonate from $-NH_2$ to $-NH^-$ and reverses the BF charges to negative, under very alkaline conditions. Such change increases the electrostatic repulsion between the negatively charged kaolin particles and the BF (Pan et al. 2016; Wadhwa et al. 2009).

1565 Overall, the analysis of flocculation activity along with the zeta potential measurement 1566 suggested that kaolin flocculation by BF01314 was mainly dependent on charge 1567 neutralisation with additional mechanisms such as polymer bridging governing at the 1568 pH values of 2 and 8, in view of the insignificant electrostatic interaction between the 1569 flocculant polymer and kaolin particles under these conditions (Lee et al. 2014; 1570 Eriksson, Alm and Stenius 1993). Both electrostatic interaction and polymer bridging 1571 could be significant at pH 4 whereas simple charge neutralisation seems to be 1572 dominant at pH 6 as shown in Figure 4.15, although there is no direct evidence. The 1573 poor flocculation activity at pH 9 and above may explain the absence of these two 1574 mechanisms.

1575

1576 **4.2.3 Effect of temperature**

1577 Figure 4.16 reveals the effect of temperature on flocculation activity of BF01314 in 1578 kaolin suspension with 15 mg/L of the BF01314 sample. The BF contributed to high 1579 flocculation activities (>98%) from room temperature (25±1°C) to 95°C signifying 1580 strong thermostability of BF01314 which results in effective flocculation even at high 1581 temperatures up to 95°C. This is due to the polysaccharide structure of BF01314 1582 indicated by FTIR and GPC-MALLS analyses, supported by the results of earlier 1583 thermal degradation study of BF01314 showing that the BF can resist higher 1584 temperature up to 202°C. Additional preliminary experiments at 10°C and 100°C 1585 revealed reasonably high flocculation activities of 96-98% when the BF was applied 1586 in kaolin suspension. This also indicates the potential to apply BF01314 in treating 1587 wastewater in cold climate which worth further investigation. Giri et al. (2015) and 1588 Aljuboori et al. (2015) have also reported similar findings for BFs produced from 1589 Bacillus subtilis F9 and Aspergillus flavus with minimum flocculation activities of 89% and 93%, respectively, in temperature range of 5–100°C. On the other hand, a novel BF produced from *Diaphorobacter nitroreducens* R9 exhibited moderately low flocculation activity of <80% at 0–5°C (Zhong et al. 2020), which could be due to cold denaturation of its protein content (Yan et al. 2018).



1594

Figure 4.16: Effect of temperature on flocculation activity of BF01314. Error bar
 represents ±SD of triplicates.

1597 Many BFs have also been reported thermally stable up to 100°C or more owing to their 1598 polysaccharide backbone such as the BFs produced from B. Agaradhaerens, Paenibacillus polymyxa, Enterobacter sp. and Citrobacter sp. TKF04 (Salehizadeh et 1599 1600 al. 2018; Fujita et al. 2000). Meanwhile, BF with protein content such as MC11 1601 produced from Klebsiella sp. showed a decrease in its flocculation activity at 1602 temperatures above 60°C (Liu et al. 2014). This phenomenon was due to the 1603 simultaneous increase in kinetic energy of suspension particles along with protein 1604 denaturation as explained in Yadav et al. (2012).

Figure 4.17 shows the zeta potential of the BF-treated kaolin suspension as compared to the untreated suspension. The zeta potential value gradually decreased from +24.3 to +20.3 mV as the temperature increased from 25 to 60°C and became negative at 80°C and above. The kaolinite characteristic itself might be influenced by the temperature rise which causes the zeta potential value to decline (Rodríguez and Araujo 2006). This could be observed from the parallel trend in zeta potential change 1611 for both untreated and BF-treated kaolin suspensions, decreasing gradually from 25 to





Figure 4.17: Effect of temperature on zeta potential of the suspension. Error bar
 represents ±SD of triplicates.

1616 When the temperature increased from 60 to 80°C, the surface charge of the BF-treated 1617 kaolin suspension reverted to negative sign which coincided with the maximum weight 1618 loss of the BF probably due to the release of water molecules at 69°C as discussed in 1619 Section 4.1.4. This may diminish the protonation of amino groups in BF01314 leading 1620 to a net negatively charged kaolin suspension. Although weakening of adsorptive 1621 forces with increasing temperature has been reported by Pan et al. (2016), such 1622 weakening effect was not observed in the present kaolin flocculation with the BF as 1623 demonstrated by the high flocculation activity in the temperature range studied.

1624

1613

1625 **4.3 Summary**

This chapter summarises all findings on the characterisation studies of the BF of *C*. *youngae* GTC 01314 (named as BF01314) and its flocculation analysis in kaolin suspension. Based on the results, it is confirmed that BF01314 is a polysaccharidebased polymer that resembles the chitosan with possible reactive functional groups of hydroxyl, amide, and amino groups. These characteristics are responsible for the high thermal stability as well as the polycationic behaviour of BF01314 in acidic and weak alkaline aqueous solutions, which are comparable to those of chitosan. When tested in 1633 kaolin suspension, BF01314 exhibited high flocculation activity of more than 95% 1634 within the BF concentration range of 4-120 mg/L with no negative overdose effect. Its flocculation performance remained high either when subjected to heating up to 1635 1636 100°C or under acidic and weak alkaline pH below its IEP. Simple charge 1637 neutralisation, electrostatic charge patching, and polymer bridging are believed to be 1638 involved in promoting effective flocculation below the IEP to different extents. Overall, 1639 the findings suggest that BF01314 is a potential novel cationic BF with excellent 1640 flocculation performance over wide pH and temperature ranges attributed to its 1641 chitosan-like structure. Subsequently, further experimental work was conducted in 1642 order to identify its practicability in wastewater treatment focusing on sludge 1643 conditioning and dewatering application with detailed results and discussion as 1644 presented in Chapter 5.

CHAPTER 5

1646 SLUDGE FLOCCULATION AND DEWATERING 1647 PERFORMANCE WITH BF01314

1648

1649 In this chapter, waste activated sludge (WAS) collected from a local sludge treatment 1650 plant was used to examine its flocculation and dewatering performance when BF01314 1651 was applied as flocculant. Raw sludge characteristics are summarised in Section 5.1 1652 as the control parameters when evaluating the dewatering efficiency. Preliminary 1653 findings on the effects of bioflocculant (BF) dosage, pH and temperature on sludge 1654 dewaterability were presented and compared with the commercial chitosan and 1655 synthetic cationic flocculant in Sections 5.2 to 5.5. Results on the flocculation kinetics 1656 of the BF evaluated from the study of the effects of flocculation speed and time as well 1657 as the determination of rate of reaction were also presented in Section 5.6. 1658 Subsequently, possible flocculation mechanisms were discussed and proposed based 1659 on all preliminary findings and further analysis of infrared spectrum and micrographs 1660 of the sludge before and after conditioning at selected conditions (Section 5.7).

1661

1662 **5.1 Raw sludge characteristics**

1663 Table 5.1 shows the selected physicochemical characteristics of the raw WAS sample 1664 used for sludge conditioning and dewatering experiments in the present study in 1665 comparison with the typical activated sludge characteristics reported in literature. The 1666 capillary suction time (CST) values were found vastly different from each other due 1667 to different sources of the sludge. WAS sample in the study of Guo, Chen, et al. (2018) 1668 was collected from the secondary settling tank which is generally difficult to dewater 1669 because of very high water content of about 99%, hence high CST was recorded 1670 (Spinosa and Vesilind 2001). On the contrary, lower CST reported by Wong, 1671 Murugesan, Selvam, et al. (2016) could be attributed to the high salinity as the sludge 1672 sample was sourced from the sewage treatment plant that received seawater flushed 1673 sewage. Salt in high salinity sludge was reported to behave similarly to a chemical 1674 coagulant (Lo, Lai and Chen 2001). As for the current study, the WAS sample was
1675 collected from a local treatment plant which receives sludge from domestic sources
1676 within the city and its outskirts. The plant utilises a sequencing batch reactor system
1677 (SBR) in the activated sludge process without primary and secondary settling tanks,
1678 such as those found in full scale wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) (EPA 2000).

1679 Although CST has been widely used to determine the optimum flocculant dosage, it is 1680 not suitable for comparison between the sludge sourced from different WWTPs (Gray 1681 2015). In such cases, specific resistance to filtration (SRF) results are more appropriate 1682 to be used for comparing the dewatering performance (Gray 2015). The sludge in this study has a SRF value of $7.4\pm0.9 \times 10^{11}$ m/kg which is categorised as easy-to-dewater 1683 sludge whereas the other sources of sludge in Table 5.1 were classified as medium or 1684 1685 slightly difficult to dewater sludge (Kopp and Dichtl 2001; Sanin et al. 2011; Vu Hien 1686 Phuong et al. 2016). SRF provides information about the resistance of sludge to 1687 withdraw the water through a porous medium either by vacuum or pressure whereby 1688 the higher the resistance is, the more difficult it is to dewater (Vu Hien Phuong et al. 1689 2016).

Characteristic	Present	Zemmouri,	Wong et	Guo et al.
	study	Mameri, and	al. (2016)	(2018)
		Lounici (2015)		
рН	7.0±0.3	8.3	6.7	6.5
Total solid, TS (g/L)	29.1±2.0	NA	NA	14.9
(TS in %)	(2.7 - 3.1)	(NA)	(2.1)	(NA)
Total suspended solid, TSS (g/L)	27.5±2.0	3.3	NA	NA
Total dissolved solid, TDS (g/L)	1.3±0.2	NA	NA	NA
Capillary suction time, CST (s)	22.0±1.0	48.0	12.6	132
Specific resistance to filtration,	7.4±0.9 ×10 ¹¹	6.8×10 ¹²	1.0×10 ¹³	11.3×10 ¹²
SRF (m/kg)				
Cake solids content (CSC), %	15.2±1.5	3.22	NA	12.1
Zeta potential (mV)	-15.5±1.3	NA	NA	-15.4

1690 Table 5.1: Characteristics of activated sludge in comparison to previous literature

1691 Sources: Data from Zemmouri et al. (2015); Wong, Murugesan, Selvam, et al. (2016); Guo, Chen, et1692 al. (2018).

1693 *Note:* NA is not available in the report.

1695 **5.2 Effect of BF01314 dosage**

1696 Figure 5.1 displays the effect of BF01314 dosage on the sludge dewaterability measured by CST at initial pH of 7.0±0.3 and at room temperature (25±1°C). The 1697 1698 results indicated good dewaterability of the sludge treated by BF01314 (BF-treated 1699 sludge) with the reduction of the CST value from 22.0 (raw WAS) to less than 10.5 s 1700 for the range of BF dosages from 0.3 to 6.0 kg/t DS. Again, no overdose effect was 1701 observed. At the dosage above 1.0 kg/t DS, the CST value remained approximately 1702 the same between 7.7–8.7 s with the lowest value observed at 6.0 kg/t DS. According 1703 to Sanin et al. (2011), a CST value of about 8 s confirmed that the sludge were well-1704 flocculated but could not provide useful information on the effectiveness of the 1705 flocculant, which is one of the limitations of CST test. Therefore, the test is often 1706 accompanied with other filterability and dewaterability test such as SRF.

1707 The BF dosage was expressed in terms of kilograms of BF per ton of dry solids (kg/t 1708 DS) which is commonly applied in the industry in predicting the polymer demand (see Appendix B.2 for sample calculation). As shown in Figure 5.1, the BF-treated sludge 1709 1710 demonstrated steady CST performance at the dosage between 0.3 to 6.0 kg/t DS. This 1711 finding agrees with the typical optimum dosage for various organic polymeric 1712 flocculants which are between 0.5–20 mg/g DS (equivalent to kg/t DS)(Wei et al. 1713 2018). Furthermore, polymer dosage mainly for polyelectrolytes are generally not 1714 higher than 15 mg/g DS (Kamizela and Kowalczyk 2019). On the other hand, the 1715 recommended dosage of polymer for industrial sludge conditioning prior to 1716 mechanical dewatering also varies between 3.0-10.0 kg/t DS according to one of the 1717 polyacrylamide-based polymer manufacturers (SNF Floerger 2019).



1719 Figure 5.1: Effect of BF01314 dosage on CST of treated sludge. Error bar represents
 1720 ±SD of triplicates.

1721 Figure 5.2 combines the results of the effect of BF01314 dosage on the SRF and cake solids content (CSC). The SRF value was significantly reduced by one order from 1722 7.42×10^{11} to 9.59×10^{10} m/kg while the CSC increased from 15.22 to 23.23%, at the 1723 1724 dosage as low as 0.5 kg/t DS, indicating the efficacy of BF01314 in dewatering this 1725 type of activated sludge. Further increase of dosage to 6.0 kg/t DS improved the 1726 dewatering performance by reducing the SRF to 1.52×10^{10} m/kg, while increasing the 1727 CSC to 25.41%. The enhanced CSC results obtained in this study were in agreement 1728 with the typical standard values of CSC between 20-28% after polymer treatment for 1729 the sludge generated from a conventional digestion system (Minall, Smyth and Horan 1730 2014; Rebah et al. 2018). However, both SRF and CSC showed insignificant 1731 enhancement after 3.0 kg/t DS within the range tested in the present work.



Figure 5.2: Effect of BF01314 dosage on SRF and CSC of treated sludge. Error bar
represents ±SD of triplicates.

1735 Figure 5.3 depicts the effect of BF01314 dosage on the zeta potential value of the 1736 sludge supernatant, which indicates the net surface charge of the sludge after adding 1737 the BF. The zeta potential value increased from -14.2 mV to -4.9 mV with increasing 1738 dosage from 0.5 to 2.0 kg/t DS. The value remained at -4.8±0.1 mV when the dosage 1739 increased further to 6.0 kg/t DS. The neutralisation effect is believed to take place 1740 between the positive charges of the BF molecules and the negatively charged sludge 1741 particles, as evident from the reduction of the negative zeta potential values 1742 (Ghernaout et al. 2015; Li et al. 2020). Subsequently, the repulsive forces between the 1743 particles may reduce, leading to the aggregation of the colloids and suspended solids 1744 by the attraction of van der Waals forces (Li et al. 2020).



1746Figure 5.3: Effect of BF01314 dosage on zeta potential of the supernatant of treated1747sludge. Error bar represents ±SD of triplicates

1748 Although the dewaterability was enhanced from 2.0 to 6.0 kg/t DS in terms of SRF 1749 and CSC, the measured zeta potential values were slightly far from zero charge when they reached a plateau region without net charge neutralisation or reversal. This 1750 1751 phenomenon could not be explained by a simple charge neutralisation mechanism and 1752 as discussed in Section 4.2.1. The zeta potential close to zero commonly reflects the 1753 best flocculation effect, whilst the occurrence of charge reversal may cause the 1754 particles to be dispersed again (Li et al. 2020). The results obtained in the present work 1755 suggest that the flocs formed by BF01314 were successfully destabilised and 1756 aggregated, and were less likely to disperse again at higher dosages above 2.0 kg/t DS, 1757 within the range tested.

1758 Figure 5.4 and Figure 5.5 compare the images of the top and side views of the sludge 1759 before and after it was conditioned with 3.0 and 6.0 kg/t DS. Through visual 1760 observation, a fluffier floc was detected when the sludge was conditioned with the BF 1761 at the dosage of 6.0 kg/t DS, suggesting the formation of larger fractal floc structures 1762 related to sludge floc properties such as size, strength and porosity, which were not 1763 examined in the present work and in most other dewaterability studies in literature due 1764 to the complexity and uncertainties in the measurement protocols. To date, correlation 1765 between floc size and dewaterability is not well understood and related research is still ongoing, hence limited information is available (Sun et al. 2015; Smoczynski et al.2019; Zheng et al. 2017; Zhou et al. 2020).



Figure 5.4: Images of sludge before and after treatment with BF01314 (top view).
(RS) Raw sludge; (A) 3.0 kg/t DS; (B) 6.0 kg/t DS.



1772

1768

1771

1773 Figure 5.5: Images of sludge before and after treatment with BF01314 (side view).
1774 (RS) Raw sludge; (A) 3.0 kg/t DS; (B) 6.0 kg/t DS.

1775 Based on the overall analysis of the dewatering performance in terms of CST, SRF, 1776 CSC and zeta potential, the feasibility of BF01314 in dewatering the real sludge 1777 sample is confirmed. Within the range of dosage tested, the optimal range of BF01341 1778 dosages was determined to be between 3.0 and 6.0 kg/t DS. Nevertheless, all three 1779 dewatering parameters as well as zeta potential revealed less obvious or no 1780 improvement of sludge dewaterability when the dosage were doubled from 3.0 to 6.0 1781 kg/t DS. Hence, 3.0 kg/t DS was selected as the optimum dosage in the present study. 1782 Comparable findings were reported on the optimum dosage of chitosan (2-3 kg/t DS)1783 and MBF10 from Klebsiella sp. (6 kg/t DS) for sludge dewatering application 1784 (Zemmouri et al. 2015; Yang et al. 2012). The present experimental study ended with 1785 6.0 kg/t DS of BF dosage without any observation of overdose effect.

1786

1787 **5.3 Effect of sludge pH**

1788 As shown earlier in Table 5.1, the pH of the raw sludge was close to neutral, at pH 1789 7.0 ± 0.3 with a CST value of 22.0 s. Figure 5.6 reveals an increasing trend of CST 1790 values of the raw sludge from 12.8 to 35.9 s when pH increased from 2 to 10. As 1791 compared to the untreated raw sludge, the BF-treated sludge using 1.0 kg/t DS of BF 1792 dosage was able to maintain its good dewatering performance with CST values 1793 recorded between 8.3 and 10.5 s as the pH increased from 2 to 7, similar to the CST 1794 value of distilled water (8–11 s) (Sanin et al. 2011; Peng, Ye and Li 2011). At pH 8, 1795 the CST value of the treated sludge increased slightly to 12.0 s and then increased 1796 sharply to 30.2 s at pH 10. The optimum pH condition for BF-treated sludge was 1797 determined to be between pH 6–7 based on the lowest CST values of 8.3–9.1 s.



1798

Figure 5.6: Effect of sludge pH on CST of raw and treated sludge. Error bar
 represents ±SD of triplicates.

1801 Figure 5.7 shows a similar trend of SRF results as compared with CST results for both 1802 untreated and treated sludge samples. The SRF values of the raw sludge increased from 2.42×10^{11} to 7.66×10^{11} m/kg when the pH was increased from pH 2 to 8, followed by 1803 a greater extent of increase by one order $(2.32 \times 10^{12} \text{ m/kg})$ at pH 10. On the contrary, 1804 the SRF of BF-treated sludge remained constant between 1.31×10^{11} and 9.41×10^{10} 1805 1806 m/kg between pH 2 to 8, indicating good dewaterability of the sludge at acidic, neutral, 1807 and weak alkaline conditions. Nevertheless, at a strong alkaline condition of pH 10, the dewatering performance of the BF-treated sludge deteriorated significantly 1808 1809 indicated by the increase of SRF by one order $(2.10 \times 10^{12} \text{ m/kg})$, which was almost 1810 identical to the one without treatment. Figure 5.8 shows that clear supernatant was 1811 observed for the sludge treated at all range of pHs tested except pH 10, in agreement 1812 with the results of SRF and CST.



Figure 5.7: Effect of sludge pH on SRF of raw and treated sludge. Error bar
 represents ±SD of triplicates.



1813

Figure 5.8: Turbidity appearance of sludge supernatant treated by 1.0 kg/t DS of
BF01314 at different pH (from left: pH 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10).

1819 Figure 5.9 reveals the CSC results of untreated and treated sludge obtained in the pH 1820 range of 2 to 10. The CSC of the raw sludge showed approximately the same values 1821 between 15.22 and 19.23% at all range of pHs tested. Meanwhile, the CSC values of 1822 the BF-treated sludge were significantly higher than those of the untreated sludge, 1823 recording 19.26 to 24.07% from pH 2 to 8 with the maximum CSC of 24.07% at pH 1824 7. The CSC decreased to 17.12% when the pH increased to 10 as the dewatering 1825 performance worsened as indicated by the abovementioned SRF and CST results. 1826 Based on the overall SRF, CST and CSC results, it is deduced that BF01314 1827 demonstrated a wide pH stability between 2 to 8 in sludge condition and dewatering 1828 with maximum performance at neutral pH 7.



Figure 5.9: Effect of sludge pH on CSC of treated sludge. Error bar represents ±SD
of triplicates.

1832	Figure 5.10 demonstrates the results of zeta potential measured for the sludge treated
1833	by BF01314 at pH between 2 to 10 as compared to the untreated sludge at similar pH
1834	conditions. The zeta potential values decreased almost linearly as the pH increased
1835	from 2 to 10. Excess positively charged ions (H ⁺) in strong acid solution may lead to
1836	a stronger charge neutralisation effect and reduce the negative zeta potential values to
1837	almost zero charges (-2.3 \pm 0.7 mV) at pH 2 for both untreated and treated sludge
1838	samples (Cao et al. 2010). Under strong alkaline pH, higher concentration of OH ⁻ ions
1839	interfered with the complex formation of the BF and sludge particles which resulted
1840	in poor flocculation and dewaterability (Okaiyeto et al. 2016). Consequently, the
1841	negatively charged sludge particles remained suspended, which increased the negative
1842	charges in the sludge suspension as shown in Figure 5.10.



1844Figure 5.10: Effect of sludge pH on zeta potential of the supernatant of treated1845sludge. Error bar represents ±SD of triplicates.

1846 Nevertheless, the sludge dewaterability as indicated by CST, SRF and CSC was less 1847 affected at pH 8 compared to pH 10, which was most likely attributed to the cationic 1848 behaviour of BF01314 below its IEP (pH 8.25) like natural chitosan (Picos-Corrales 1849 et al. 2020) (see Chapter 4, Section 4.1.3). The sludge with characteristic pH of 8.3 has 1850 also been reported to be dewatered effectively by the natural chitosan (Zemmouri et 1851 al. 2015). However, the close range of zeta potential values between the untreated and 1852 BF-treated sludge despite the reasonably good dewaterability results suggested that 1853 simple charge neutralisation may not be the primary flocculation mechanism in sludge 1854 treatment with BF01314 at the dosage of 1.0 kg/t DS.

1855

1856 **5.4 Effect of temperature**

Figure 5.11 demonstrates the effect of temperature on the CST values of the sludge treated by BF01314 as compared to the unconditioned sludge. The CST of the raw sludge was almost constant $(21\pm1 \text{ s})$ at temperatures between 10 to 40°C but gradually decreased to 14.0 s as the temperature elevated from 60 to 100°C. On the contrary, the CST values of BF-treated sludge remained consistently in good dewaterability range of 6.7–10.6 s in a wide temperature range, from 10 to 100°C. At 10°C, the CST value increased slightly, which may be attributed to the drop of desorptivity (indicating
increase of water retention ability) as the sludge temperature decreased (Sawalha and
Scholz 2012). As the temperature increased above 40°C, thermal energy caused the
sludge network strength to be destructed, which was further enhanced by the release
of some bound water from sludge floc during mixing (Abu-Orf and Örmeci 2005; Yen
et al. 2002; Yeneneh et al. 2016). This may improve the dewaterability (CST reduced)
with enhanced water removal from the sludge at high temperature.



1870

Figure 5.11: Effect of temperature on CST of raw and treated sludge. Error bar
 represents ±SD of triplicates.

1873 On the other hand, the effect of temperature was less significant in terms of SRF. 1874 Figure 5.12 presents the SRF results of the raw and BF-treated sludge under the effect 1875 of temperature. For both cases, the SRF values remained almost constant over a wide temperature range of 10 to 100°C at $1.37\pm0.70 \times 10^{12}$ m/kg (raw sludge) and 1.15 ± 0.80 1876 $\times 10^{11}$ m/kg (BF-treated sludge). Nevertheless, the slight increase in SRF value at 1877 1878 100°C may indicate the onset of sludge disintegration with the release of the negatively 1879 charged EPS at high temperature resulting in deteriorated sludge filterability (Wang et 1880 al. 2017). Similar observations were made for the CSC results of BF-treated sludge at 1881 temperatures between 10°C to 80°C (22.3±1.8%) as shown in Figure 5.13. The CSC 1882 value suddenly reduced to 17.8% at 100°C but all values remained higher than the 1883 CSC of unconditioned sludge over the temperature range tested, which indicated the significance of utilising BF01314 in enhancing the sludge dewaterability with notable 1884 1885 thermal stability.



Figure 5.12: Effect of temperature on SRF of raw and treated sludge. Error bar
 represents ±SD of triplicates.



1886

Figure 5.13: Effect of temperature on CSC of raw and treated sludge. Error bar
 represents ±SD of triplicates.

A temperature increase was reported to enhance the fluidity and dewaterability of sludge due to the release of extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) that helps in floc formation by bridging (Cao et al. 2020; Li and Yang 2007). However, large amounts of EPS were unfavourable as they reduced the CSC possibly due to water entrapment in the floc structure surrounded by the EPS, which may explain the decrease of CSC at 100°C in Figure 5.13. Excess EPS could deteriorate the dewatering performance
depending on the type of EPS released (Ye et al. 2014; Li and Yang 2007). Overall,
the results suggested that BF01314 has high thermal stability owing to its
polysaccharide backbone supported by earlier chemical analysis and thermal
degradation results (Chapter 4, Section 4.1.1 and 4.1.4).

1902 Figure 5.14 depicts a plot of zeta potential results against the change of temperature 1903 between 10 to 100°C. For both raw sludge and BF-treated sludge, the zeta potential 1904 results decreased (increasing negative values) with temperature rising from 25 to 60°C, 1905 but increased (decreasing negative values) from 60 to 100°C. However, the surface 1906 charges of the raw sludge appeared to be less affected by the temperature, with zeta 1907 potential results between -13.7 and -18.4mV. A similar trend of results was found in 1908 the study of the dissolution characteristics of sludge by Penghe et al. (2020), where the 1909 dissolution amount of soluble carbohydrates and soluble proteins in the sludge 1910 increased when heated from 50 to 70°C, but decreased slowly when further heated up 1911 to 90°C. These organic components are typically identified as major components in 1912 sludge EPS that carried negative surface charges (Ramesh, Lee and Hong 2006; Lin et 1913 al. 2020), which may explain the results obtained in Figure 5.14. Nevertheless, a 1914 sudden decrease in zeta potential value of the BF-treated sludge from 25°C to 10°C 1915 was unexplainable. Supplementary analysis is essential to understand the effect of cold 1916 conditions (10°C and below) on the BF-treated sludge behaviour. Overall, BF01314 1917 showed promising results in enhancing sludge dewaterability over a broad temperature 1918 range from 25 to 80°C.



1919

1920Figure 5.14: Effect of temperature on zeta potential of the supernatant of treated1921sludge. Error bar represents ±SD of triplicates.

1923 **5.5** Comparison of dewatering performance with other flocculants

1924 The dewatering performance of BF01314 was compared to chitosan and industrial

1925 cationic polymer MF 7861 with their characteristics summarised in Table 5.2.

1926	
------	--

Table 5.2: Characteristic of flocculants used in this study

Characteristic	BF01314	Chitosan	MF 7861
рН	4.02	3.57	3.17
Zeta potential (mV) at 25°C	+33.0	+74.8	+68.7
Concentration (g/L)	4.78	4.78	4.78

1927

1928 Figure 5.15 compares the results obtained from the CST test of all three cationic 1929 flocculants at dosages ranging from 0.5-3.0 kg/t DS. BF01314 and chitosan 1930 demonstrated essentially similar dewatering performance over the dosage range tested 1931 with the lowest CST values (maximum dewaterability) of 7.8 and 7.6 s recorded at the 1932 same dosage of 2.0 kg/t DS, respectively. The synthetic flocculant, MF 7861 however 1933 showed the lowest CST value (7.3 s) at even lower dosage (1.0 kg/t DS), but the 1934 dewatering performance deteriorated as the dosage gradually increased, indicating the 1935 presence of significant overdose effect.



Figure 5.15: Sludge dewatering performance of BF01314 compared to chitosan and
 MF 7861 in terms of CST. Error bar represents ±SD of triplicates.

As shown in Figure 5.16, the SRF results for all the three flocculants were less distinctly different, considering the overlapping SD error bars. All the three flocculants reduced the SRF by one order upon addition to the sludge suspension over the dosage range studied. A distinct difference was only noted at the dosage of 2.0 kg/t DS where both chitosan and MF 7861 showed greater extent of reduction in SRF as compared with the BF.



1945

Figure 5.16: Sludge dewatering performance of BF01314 compared to chitosan and
 MF 7861 in terms of SRF. Error bar represents ±SD of triplicates.

1948 Figure 5.17 and Figure 5.18 present the results of zeta potential of sludge supernatant 1949 and CSC for the sludge conditioned with BF01314 in comparison to chitosan and MF 1950 7861. Again, the CSC values showed insignificant variation between 22–25% for all 1951 the three flocculants over the specified range of dosage although the change in zeta 1952 potential of the supernatant was noticeable at certain regions. As shown in Figure 5.18, 1953 the zeta potential of the sludge treated by MF 7861 was reversed at its optimum dosage 1954 of 1.0 kg/t DS (+2.7 mV) and remained in positive charge region with increasing 1955 dosage. The sludge treated by chitosan and BF01314 also reduced the negative charges 1956 but did not surpass the zero charge at their respective optimum dosage.



Figure 5.17: Sludge dewatering performance of BF01314 compared to chitosan and
 MF 7861 in terms of CSC. Error bar represents ±SD of triplicates.

1957



Figure 5.18: Zeta potential results of BF01314 compared to chitosan and MF 7861.
Error bar represents ±SD of triplicates.

1963 Within the specified range of dosage, the overdose effect was observed only for the 1964 synthetic polymer MF 7861 while both biopolymers (chitosan and BF01314) enhanced 1965 the dewatering performance without any significant overdose effect. For chitosan, it 1966 was reported that the optimum dosage was between 2.0–3.0 kg/t DS (Zemmouri et al. 1967 2015), which was similar to the results obtained in this study. BF01314 may require a 1968 higher dosage to reduce the negative charges of the sludge particles due to its lower 1969 polysaccharide content (Section 4.1.1) that holds the functional groups responsible for 1970 effective flocculation (Salehizadeh et al. 2018). Lower surface charge of BF01314 1971 which was approximately half of the one of chitosan may also contribute to a higher 1972 demand of polymer dosage (Table 5.2). The presence of sufficient positive charges in 1973 flocculants is very important to neutralise the negatively charged colloids in the sludge 1974 to further bridge the aggregated particles (Lichtfouse et al. 2019).

Additional separate tests were conducted for chitosan and BF01314 to observe any overdose effects by doubling the maximum dosage tested from 3.0 to 6.0 kg/t DS. For the chitosan-treated sludge, it was found that the zeta potential value barely passed the zero charge (+0.04 mV) while the increase in SRF and decrease in CSC indicated a decline in dewatering performance when the dosage doubled as shown in Table 5.3. However, for the BF-treated sludge, the SRF reduced to 1.52×10^{10} m/kg as the dosage doubled whereas the CST and CSC results were approximately the same. Interestingly, the zeta potential value remained constant at about -4 to -5 mV between 3.0 and 6.0
kg/t DS, indicating that the mechanism governing the sludge flocculation by BF01314
was not mainly contributed by simple charge neutralisation, but most likely to be
electrostatic charge patching (Yang et al. 2016), as discussed in Section 4.2.1 for
kaolin flocculation.

Type of	Dosage (kg/t	CST (s)	SRF (m/kg)	CSC (%)	Zeta potential
flocculant	DS)				(mV)
BF01314	3.0	8.1	3.26×10 ¹⁰	25.67	-4.86
	6.0	7.7	1.52×10^{10}	25.41	-4.67
Chitosan	3.0	8.4	3.48×10^{10}	24.02	-5.72
	6.0	8.2	6.09×10^{10}	22.69	+0.04

1987 Table 5.3: Comparison of dewatering enhancement at dosage 3.0 and 6.0 kg/t DS

1988

1989 **5.6 Analysis of flocculation kinetics**

1990 **5.6.1** Effects of flocculation speed and time on flocculation activity

1991 In the present study, sludge conditioning experiments were carried out as a two-step 1992 processes simulated by a rapid mixing stage at 400 rpm (coagulation) followed by a 1993 slow mixing stage at 100 rpm (flocculation). Zhou, Liu, and Jia (2016) describes these 1994 two stages as a mixing stage (coagulation) and a reaction stage (flocculation) in which 1995 the former stage produces a very high speed of particle collision due to strong stirring, 1996 and then form flocs in the latter stage. Uncontrolled mixing conditions may affect the 1997 flocculation efficiency and floc growth due to insufficient or extremely high kinetic 1998 energy during the mixing (Marques and Ferreira Filho 2017). Floc formation is 1999 commonly initiated during the flocculation stage, aggregated into larger flocs and then 2000 removed by sedimentation. Therefore, the present study investigated the effects of 2001 flocculation speed and time on flocculation activity to determine the range of ideal 2002 mixing conditions for the flocculation stage. Figure 5.19 depicts the flocculation 2003 activity of BF01314 obtained using three different flocculation speeds (50, 100 and 2004 200 rpm), each varied with mixing period from 1 to 7 minutes.





After 1-minute flocculation time, the flocculation activity was high (>99%) at all range 2008 2009 of speeds tested. However, at low flocculation speed of 50 rpm, the activity continued 2010 to decline gradually from 99.27% to 96.28% with increasing flocculation time from 1 2011 minute to 7 minutes. This could be due to the lack of particle collisions to induce the 2012 floc growth (Ayoub et al. 2014) and less adsorption efficiency (Zhou et al. 2016) 2013 during slow stirring, hence the flocculation activity declined as reflected in Figure 5.19. 2014 During the transition of rapid mixing speed (coagulation) to slow mixing speed 2015 (flocculation), the kinetic energy was slowing down gradually and started to induce 2016 the formation of flocs which may explain high activity within the first minute of 2017 flocculation time (Ayoub et al. 2014). However, the flocs could not continue to grow 2018 due to insufficient kinetic energy as a result of less collisions at low mixing speed, 2019 which then decreased the flocculation activity. At a moderate speed of 100 rpm, 2020 BF01314 was able to maintain high flocculation activities of >99.24% at all range of 2021 flocculation times tested, with the maximum activity of 99.91% at 2 minutes. A 2022 slightly higher percentage of activity was obtained using the high flocculation speed 2023 of 200 rpm, but required longer time before it became stable at above 3 minutes 2024 (>99.54%).

According to Zhou et al. (2016), flocculation kinetics mechanism can be understood as two processes comprising the (1) collision frequency (motion and approach of floc

2027 particles) and (2) its efficiency (collision and bonding of the particles). As seen from 2028 Figure 5.19, the highest collision efficiency is believed to occur at the high mixing 2029 speed of 200 rpm, indicated by the highest plateau region of flocculation activity as 2030 compared to those obtained at lower speeds. Extremely high collision frequency is 2031 unfavourable as it can cause the breakage of readily formed flocs and create permanent 2032 residual particles that remain in the suspension (Marques and Ferreira Filho 2017), 2033 depending on the mixing duration (Ayoub et al. 2014). While the exact high collision 2034 frequency value which caused the floc breakage was not reported in literature, a 2035 mathematical model derived by Marques and Ferreira Filho (2017) could be useful to 2036 examine the presence of irreversible breakup process through the increased residual 2037 turbidity as the average velocity increased. On the other hand, floc breakage may also 2038 create primary particles which are able to re-flocculate, thus stronger flocs can be 2039 formed (Marques and Ferreira Filho 2017). Hence, a decrease in flocculation activity 2040 at 2 minutes for the high mixing speed of 200 rpm may indicate the breakage of some 2041 flocs, creating the primary particles which were able to re-flocculate upon prolonged 2042 mixing (Marques and Ferreira Filho 2017). Another possible reason could be due to 2043 the rapid dispersion and collisions of particles induced by a higher mixing speed. The 2044 resultant kinetic energy was still high during the transition from coagulation speed to 2045 flocculation speed, thus a relatively longer time was required for the particles to start 2046 forming the flocs (Ayoub et al. 2014).

Overall, the results suggest that the preferred range of flocculation speeds were determined to be between 100 to 200 rpm, with flocculation time ranging from 2 to 3 minutes. Similar results were reported for IH-7 where a maximum flocculation activity was recorded between 1 to 3 minutes, for mixing speeds of 150–200 rpm (Aljuboori et al. 2015). Extending the mixing duration more than 7 minutes or applying high speed above 200 rpm may lead to irreversible flocs breakage, and hence it was not investigated in this present work.

2054

2055 **5.6.2** Fitting of zero-, pseudo first- and pseudo second-order reactions

The results obtained from the previous section (Section 5.6.1) prove that high collision frequency indicated by high mixing speed may result in high flocculation efficiency as described by Zhou et al. (2016). The second part of the analysis on flocculation

2059 kinetics aims to determine the rate of reaction after the first mixing process (i.e., after 2060 the coagulation stage) where the floc formation was slowly initiated. Figure 5.20 2061 reveals the results of turbidity reduction of the sludge before and after it was treated 2062 with BF01314 at different dosages over the sedimentation time. The turbidity 2063 reduction was obvious within the first ten minutes using BF dosage of 3% and 5%, 2064 decreasing from the initial turbidity N_0 of 398 NTU to <150 NU, but the reduction rate was slower at the high dosage of 10%. Hence, the results obtained between 1-10 2065 minutes were used for the fitting of zero-, pseudo first- and pseudo second- order 2066 2067 kinetic models in order to determine the rate of reaction of BF01314 in sludge 2068 flocculation.



2069

2070 Figure 5.20: Turbidity reduction at different BF dosages over sedimentation time.

2071 Figure 5.21 displays three turbidity reduction plots for the three BF dosages of 3%, 5% 2072 and 10%, within the first 1–10 minutes extracted from Figure 5.20 in order to evaluate 2073 the model fitting of the zero-order reaction. At the BF dosages of 3% and 5%, high 2074 turbidity removal of about 200 NTU was recorded. As the dosage doubled to 10%, the 2075 amount of turbidity removed was reduced significantly to <100 NTU, indicating a 2076 potential overdose effect which was not observed in batch conditioning experiments 2077 in Section 5.2. An optimum dosage for organic polymeric flocculants is typically 2078 between 0.5-20 kg/t DS (Wei et al. 2018) and less than 15 kg/t DS (Kamizela and 2079 Kowalczyk 2019). This may explain the overdose effect observed using 10% BF 2080 dosage in this study, which is equivalent to 16.41 kg/t DS (see Appendix B.3 for 2081 sample calculation).



2082

 2083
 Figure 5.21: Zero-order kinetic model fitting of BF01314-induced sludge

 2004
 The second state of the secon

2084flocculation. (Nt represents the turbidity measured at time t. Solid lines symbolise the2085model data.)

2086 Figure 5.22 and Figure 5.23 illustrates the fitting of the experimental results to pseudo

2087 first- and pseudo second-order kinetic reactions, respectively. All parameters obtained

2088 from the fittings of the three models are summarised in Table 5.4.





2090 Figure 5.22: Pseudo first-order kinetic model fitting of BF01314-induced sludge
2091 flocculation.



Table 5.4: Parameters obtained from the model fitting of zero-, pseudo first- and

A 1	00
	1 11 1

nseudo	second_order	reactions
pseudo	second-order	reactions

BF dosage	Zero-order		rder Pseudo-first order		Pseudo-second order	
	R ²	k (NTU.min ⁻¹)	\mathbb{R}^2	k ₁ (min ⁻¹)	R ²	k ₂ (NTU ⁻¹ .min ⁻¹)
3%	0.990	22.685	0.993	0.093	0.976	3.985×10 ⁻⁴
5%	0.985	21.848	0.968	0.095	0.928	4.360×10 ⁻⁴
10%	0.909	6.945	0.898	0.021	0.886	6.266×10 ⁻⁵

2101 Note: k, k1 and k2 represents rate constants (rate of reactions) of zero, pseudo first- and pseudo

2102 second-order reactions obtained from the graphs, respectively.

The coefficient of determination (R^2) values of all kinetic models were close to each 2103 other. Zero-order plot has R² values ranging from 0.985 to 0.990, while pseudo first-2104 and pseudo second-order give R² values between 0.898-0.993 and 0.886-0.976, 2105 respectively. Figure 5.24 compares the residual plots for zero- and pseudo first-order, 2106 2107 whereby the data randomness suggests that the reaction of BF01314 was slightly better 2108 when fitted to pseudo first-order than zero-order at 3% BF dosage. With increasing BF 2109 dosage to 5% and 10%, the residual plots were not randomly distributed and formed a 2110 pattern of a curve (quadratic) which reduces the rate of reactions indicated by the rate 2111 constant values in Table 5.4. This 'curve' pattern was more obvious in the pseudo 2112 second-order residual plots as the dosage increases. At dosage of 10%, the residuals 2113 were all grouped in the negative region, indicating the poor randomness and unfitness 2114 of the data with this pseudo second-order kinetic model (Figure 5.25). The rate 2115 constant values (k, k₁ and k₂) for zero-order were the highest, ranging from 6.945-22.685 NTU.min⁻¹, followed by pseudo-first order (0.021–0.095 min⁻¹) and lastly 2116 pseudo second-order (6.266×10⁻⁵-3.985×10⁻⁴ NTU.min⁻¹). P-values for all rate 2117 constants were highly significant <0.001. However, pseudo first-order reaction has the 2118 2119 smallest average percentage errors of k₁ values for all dosages (0.32–0.79%) compared 2120 to k (6.08–16.51%) and k₂ (3.39–11.99%).



reactions at BF dosages of 3%, 5% and 10%.



Figure 5.25: Residual plots for pseudo second-order reaction at BF dosages of 3%,
5% and 10%.

Based on the overall results, the reaction of BF01314 was best fitted to pseudo firstorder kinetic model. The randomness of data and rate constant value may provide useful information in determining the range of optimum dosage of a polymer (Al-Sameraiy 2017). Within the range tested in the present work, 3% BF dosage (equivalent to 6.54 kg/t DS) was at the optimum with a rate constant of 0.093 min⁻¹, which was in agreement with the results obtained from previous batch conditioning experiment in Section 5.5 (3–6 kg/t DS).

2134 5.7 FTIR analysis, surface morphology and possible mechanisms

2135 A classical mechanism of any coagulant/flocculant is charge neutralisation and for 2136 polymeric flocculants, bridging is definitely involved in addition to charge 2137 neutralisation. Effective flocculation by polysaccharide-based flocculants were 2138 thought to be contributed by their unique functional groups such as carboxyl, hydroxyl, 2139 amino, and phosphate (Salehizadeh et al. 2018). In the present study, the BF contained 2140 hydroxyl, amide and amino groups as determined by FTIR analysis, which has been 2141 discussed in Chapter 4 (Section 4.1). Figure 5.26 reveals the shifted bands observed in 2142 the IR spectrum of the sludge before and after treatment with 3 kg/t DS of BF01314 2143 and 2 kg/t DS of chitosan at their optimal dosages respectively.



2144

2145

2146

Figure 5.26: IR spectrum of the unconditioned sludge and the sludge conditioned by BF01314 and chitosan

A slight shift of the peak towards higher wavenumber from 3261 to 3268 cm⁻¹ for 2147 BF01314, and to 3270 cm⁻¹ for chitosan in Figure 5.26(b)-(c) were observed with 2148 increased intensity of about 1-3% as compared to the unconditioned sludge. An 2149 2150 increase of intensity at this frequency (wavenumber) suggested an increase in the 2151 amount of hydrogen bonds, however the peak was shifted from low to high 2152 wavenumber which was opposite to the results in most published reports (Feki et al. 2020). A shifted band from 1543 to 1541 cm⁻¹ in Figure 5.26(a)–(b) further supports 2153 the presumption of the interaction of amino groups of BF01314 with sludge particles. 2154

2155 The IR spectrum of the sludge treated by the BF and chitosan individually show the interaction of their amide group at the band of around 1339 cm⁻¹ which was associated 2156 with C-N stretching. For chitosan, a shifted band was also observed at around 1625 2157 cm⁻¹ which was attributed to C=O stretching. The band at 2924 cm⁻¹ in both treated 2158 sludge with increased intensity of 1-3% may indicate the adsorption of C-H bonds of 2159 2160 the flocculant on the sludge after treatment (Kowalski et al. 2018). Figure 5.27 reveals 2161 the images of raw sludge, BF-treated sludge and BF01314, obtained from an optical 2162 microscope and an environmental scanning electron microscope (ESEM). The optical 2163 microscopic image of untreated sludge shows more dispersed sludge particles with 2164 smaller sizes (Figure 5.27(a)) compared to the treated sludge with aggregated flocs 2165 (Figure 5.27(b)). As compared to the ESEM image of raw or untreated sludge in Figure 2166 5.27(c), it appears that the network-like sludge particles were adsorbed and bridged to 2167 the BF surfaces and flocculated together in Figure 5.27(d). The enlarged image of the 2168 BF-treated sludge in Figure 5.27(f) confirmed the existence of crystal-like particles 2169 (red circle) originally present in BF01314 as shown in Figure 5.27(e), while the other 2170 sludge particles seemed to be adsorbed to the smooth surfaces of the BF.

2171 Similar SEM image was obtained for kaolin flocculation by IH-7 which indicated the 2172 adsorption of kaolin precipitates on its smooth surfaces (Aljuboori et al. 2015). In 2173 contrast, Shi et al. (2019) reported that the sludge treated by a grafted chitosan-based 2174 flocculant. carboxymethyl chitosan-graft-poly(acrylamide-2175 methacryloxyethyltrimethyl ammonium chloride (CCPAD) showed a smoother 2176 surface as compared to the unconditioned sludge. This was explained by the increase 2177 of particles accumulation which caused denser and smoother structures (Shi et al. 2178 2019). Newly-formed floc generally is less compact and has loose structure, which 2179 was easily broken apart and had low settleability when compared to the flocs formed 2180 after breakage (Nan et al. 2016). In-depth investigations on strength, size and structure 2181 of the flocs formed after treatment with BF01314 are encouraged for future work. 2182 Based on the analysis of both IR spectrum and microscopic images, it is believed that 2183 bridging mechanism are certainly involved in sludge flocculation by BF01314, in 2184 addition to high molecular weight.



Figure 5.27: Optical microscope images of (a) raw sludge and (b) flocculated sludge.
SEM images of (c) raw sludge, (d) BF-treated sludge, (e) BF01314 (1000x) and (f)
BF01314 (8000x).

2189 As observed in the change of zeta potential values of sludge treated by BF01314, 2190 chitosan and synthetic cationic polymer in Section 5.5, it was evident that the charge 2191 neutralisation process took place in sludge flocculation by all three flocculants. 2192 Nevertheless, the sludge surface charges treated by BF01314 reached a plateau before 2193 reaching the zero-charge point, from dosage of 2.0 to 6.0 kg/t DS. Similar observations 2194 were found in the earlier study of kaolin flocculation in Section 4.2.1 and this 2195 phenomenon was viewed as the dominating role of electrostatic patch mechanism 2196 instead of simple charge neutralisation (Ye et al. 2007). Significant surface charge 2197 difference between two oppositely charged ionic species, in this case, the highly 2198 charged cationic BF01314 polymer chains interacting with the lowly charged anionic 2199 sludge particles, may cause the formation of the electrostatic patches of unevenly distributed charges (Salehizadeh et al. 2018). The resulting cationic patches attract the
other negatively charged colloidal particles to be adsorbed to the charged polymer
surfaces (Ye et al. 2007).

2203 At high dosage, the negatively charged sludge particles might be entrapped by the high 2204 amount of positively charged BF polymers, forming a bulky precipitate, aggregated to 2205 form larger flocs and sedimented (Lichtfouse et al. 2019; Wang, Tang and Gregory 2206 2002), which could explain the flocculation of sludge treated using 6.0 kg/t DS of 2207 BF01314 (see Figure 5.5(B)). This mechanism is known as 'sweeping', sometimes 2208 also knows as 'colloid entrapment' or precipitation charge neutralisation (PCN) 2209 (Lichtfouse et al. 2019; Ye et al. 2007; Sincero 2003). Lichtfouse et al. (2019) reported 2210 that chitosan was governed by sweeping mechanism through the formation of a bulky 2211 precipitate trapping the colloidal particles, which then self-sedimented or flocculated 2212 together. In conclusion, the overall results indicated that the flocculation of BF01314 2213 was governed by a charge neutralisation process in the form of 'electrostatic patching' 2214 instead of simple charge neutralisation. The loops and tails of the adsorbed polymer 2215 chains in a patch may attach itself to the other oppositely charged particles from 2216 another patch, which eventually form a bridge (Salehizadeh et al. 2018), supported by 2217 the IR spectrum analysis and microscopic images. At high dosages of above 6.0 kg/t 2218 DS, sweeping may also present due to the formation of bulky precipitate of the 2219 'patches'.

2220

2221 **5.8 Summary**

2222 The dewatering performance of the sludge was evaluated through dewaterability tests 2223 of SRF, CST, CSC and zeta potential measurements before and after conditioned with 2224 BF01314. Based on the results, the optimum dosage of BF01314 was determined at 2225 3.0 kg/t DS, comparable to that of chitosan but slightly higher than industrial cationic 2226 polymer MF 7861. The BF revealed its pH stability in acidic and weak alkaline 2227 solutions at a pH's range similar to the findings from earlier flocculation tests using 2228 kaolin suspension. Meanwhile, good dewatering performance of the BF-treated sludge was attained across a temperature range of 25-80°C. Based on the flocculation kinetic 2229 2230 study, the flocculation activity of the BF remained high when using moderate (100 2231 rpm) to high flocculation speeds (200 rpm) with minimum of 3 minutes mixing time. The reaction of BF01314 with sludge particles was best fitted to pseudo first-order kinetics. Based on the zeta potential results, IR spectrum analysis, optical microscope and ESEM images, the flocculation of BF01314 was believed to be ruled by electrostatic charge patching, leading to the adsorption and bridging of the suspended particles. In addition, sweeping flocculation was predicted to occur at high dosage supported by the visual appearance of loose and fluffier flocs.

CHAPTER 6

2239 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

2240

2241 6.1 Conclusions

In this study, a novel bioflocculant (BF) produced from *Citrobacter youngae* GTC 01314, named BF01314 was utilised to condition the activated sludge, which was sourced from a domestic septic sludge treatment plant. The BF was characterised, evaluated for its flocculation behaviour using kaolin suspension, and further examined for its effectiveness in enhancing sludge dewaterability using a real sludge suspension. The following conclusions are drawn based on the analysis and interpretation of the results acquired from the present work.

2249 The results from the characterisation studies revealed that the BF01314 is a 2250 polysaccharide-based flocculant instead of glycoprotein, although the percentage of 2251 total sugar content was only 5.34% (0.255 g/L) with respect to the BF-dry weight (4.78 2252 g/L). The apparent chitosan content was found to be higher than the total sugar content 2253 with a ratio of approximately 2:1. Nevertheless, the analysis of infrared (IR) spectrum 2254 indicated that BF01314 has a chitosan-like structure with hydroxyl, amide and amino 2255 groups. The analysis of surface charges showed that the BF is cationic under the acidic, 2256 neutral and weak alkaline pHs below its isoelectric point (IEP) at pH 8.25. The 2257 weighted average molecular weight of BF01314 was determined to be 327 kDa, which 2258 was considered as a high molecular weight biopolymer according to the molecular 2259 weight range of natural chitosan used in this study. The thermogravimetric analysis of 2260 BF01314 depicted that the BF was able to withstand high temperatures up to 202°C, 2261 which appeared to be slightly lower than chitosan (265°C) due to less polysaccharide 2262 content. Based on the results, it is confirmed that BF01314 is a cationic chitosan-like 2263 polysaccharide, with effective functional groups for the flocculation of suspended 2264 solids.

The flocculation characteristics or behaviour of BF01314 in kaolin suspension was further investigated under the influences of BF concentration, pH and temperature.

BF01314 demonstrated high flocculation activity of >95% in a broad flocculation 2267 2268 window (4 to 120 mg/L) with optimum dosage at 15 mg/L. The BF also demonstrated 2269 excellent flocculation performance at a wide range of pH (2-8) and temperature (25-2270 95°C) at its optimum dosage, in agreement with the results obtained from the 2271 thermogravimetric analysis and surface charge analysis. The zeta potential results 2272 suggested that electrostatic charge patching is the dominating flocculation mechanism 2273 over simple charge neutralisation, when effective flocculation was observed before the 2274 charge neutralisation is complete. In view of the high molecular weight of BF01314 2275 on the other hand, the polymer bridging mechanism is speculated to prevail when there 2276 is less evidence of electrostatic interaction between the flocculant polymer and 2277 suspended particles, especially with the observation of high flocculation activity where 2278 the zeta potential value departed far from zero.

2279 The sludge dewatering performance was evaluated in terms of capillary suction time 2280 (CST), specific resistance to filtration (SRF), cake solids content (CSC) and zeta 2281 potential measurements. The dewaterability of BF-treated sludge was greatly 2282 enhanced (CST of <10 s, SRF reduced by one order, and CSC of >23%) within the 2283 dosage range tested from as low as 0.5 kg/t DS to 6.0 kg/t DS, without any overdose 2284 effect. BF01314 also revealed good dewatering performance under acidic, neutral and 2285 slightly alkaline conditions (pH 2–8), and at a wide range of temperature (25–80°C), 2286 at the constant BF dosage of 1.0 kg/t DS, which were similar to its flocculation 2287 behaviours when tested in kaolin suspension. At the BF dosages between 2.0 to 6.0 2288 kg/t DS, a plateau region of high flocculation activity was detected with negative zeta 2289 potential values, which further support the presence of electrostatic patching 2290 mechanism. When compared to chitosan and synthetic polymer MF 7861, similar 2291 results of CST, SRF and CSC were achieved by the BF01314-treated sludge but at a 2292 slightly higher demand of dosage (3.0 kg/t DS). The successful outcomes of this study 2293 confirmed the feasibility of BF01314 to improve sludge dewaterability, thus 2294 suggesting its promising results at a large-scale experiment. Nevertheless, the 2295 optimum conditions of dosage, pH, and temperature also depend on the type of sludge, 2296 which is not studied in this research. The optimal range obtained from the present work 2297 can be used as initial prediction or guidelines for optimisation study in future 2298 investigations.

The study of flocculation kinetics was carried out to examine the effects of flocculation speed and time on the flocculation activity, and to determine the type and rate of reaction of BF01314 in sludge suspension. An excellent flocculation performance (>99%) was attained at the flocculation speeds of 100 and 200 rpm, within 3–7 minutes of mixing. The results obtained from the subsequent experiment suggested that the reaction kinetic of BF01314 was best described with a pseudo first-order kinetic model.

2305 The unconditioned sludge and sludge conditioned by BF01314 at dosage of 3.0 kg/t2306 DS were selected for the analysis of infrared (IR) spectrum and surface morphology. 2307 The increase in intensity and shifting of the bands reflected on the IR spectrum indicate 2308 an increase in the amount of hydrogen bonds and the presence of interaction between 2309 the BF functional groups (amino and amide groups) with sludge particles. The images 2310 obtained from the optical microscope and the environmental scanning electron 2311 microscope (ESEM) reveal that the network-like sludge particles were adsorbed 2312 around the BF smooth surfaces and bridged together. Furthermore, BF01314 has high 2313 molecular weight which could provide more active sites for the adsorption and 2314 bridging with sludge particles. Based on the zeta potential results obtained from the 2315 parametric studies, IR spectrum analysis, and sludge micrographs, it is believed that 2316 the flocculation of the cationic chitosan-like BF01314 with high molecular weight was 2317 governed by electrostatic charge patching and bridging.

2318

2319 6.2 Recommendations for future work

2320 The outcome of this research has confirmed the capability of BF01314 in enhancing 2321 the sludge dewaterability for easy-to-dewater activated sludge from domestic sources. 2322 The flocculation characteristics of BF01314 in both model and real suspensions were 2323 revealed with the preferred operational range for effective flocculation and dewatering 2324 performance (dosage, pH, temperature, flocculation speed and time). Comparison of 2325 the dewatering performance of BF01314 with some of the commercial flocculants was 2326 conducted. Lastly, detailed analysis was performed based on the overall findings to 2327 propose the possible flocculation mechanisms of BF01314. Hence, the following 2328 research directions are recommended for future work.

2329

2330 6.2.1 Characterisation study of BF01314 and sludge

2331 Although this research includes the characterisation of BF01314, most of the results 2332 are qualitative. Elemental analysis and determination of chemical compound in 2333 BF01314 are recommended to support the current FTIR results. Other characterisation 2334 methods such as X-ray diffraction (XRD) can be performed to observe the changes 2335 between the untreated and treated sludge. The use of BFs has gained significant interest 2336 among the researchers due to its biodegradability and non-toxic properties. Therefore, 2337 it is highly suggested that the biodegradability test and toxicity assay of BF01314 2338 should be conducted to validate the assumption. Moreover, in-depth characterisation 2339 of sludge before and after conditioning in terms of composition are proposed, to 2340 investigate the effects of other micropollutants (minerals and organics) on the 2341 efficiency of sludge flocculation. The final composition of the dewatered sludge cake 2342 may provide an indication of the applicability of the treated sludge as a green fertiliser.

2343

2344 6.2.2 Optimisation study and cost analysis

2345 Optimum conditions are vital to ensure that maximum performance can be achieved at the lowest operational cost, or in other words, cost-effective. Optimisation study is 2346 2347 proposed mainly on the dosage requirement using the response surface methodology 2348 (RSM) based on the optimal range attained in the present work. Initial investigation 2349 can be done using synthetic suspension to develop an empirical model. Subsequently, 2350 the validation process may be tested using a real suspension. Furthermore, cost 2351 analysis is required to assess its practicability in a large-scale production, compared to 2352 traditional chemical flocculants. In this case, pilot-scale experimental research is 2353 recommended prior to the analysis to ensure high precision results.

2354

2355 6.2.3 Application of BF01314 in different type of wastewater or sludge

With the outstanding flocculation characteristics revealed in this study, the BF01314 has the potential to treat other types of wastewaters. Based on its chitosan-like structure and ionic behaviour, it is possible for the BF to remove various wastewater pollutants, dye, heavy metals and organic matter. Different types of sludge ranging from easy-todewater sludge to difficult-to-dewater sludge can be utilised to further investigate the 2361 efficiency of BF01314. Besides, wastewater or sludge from different industries may

be sourced to further evaluate the flocculation performance of BF01314.

2363

2364 6.2.4 Adsorption and mechanism of BF01314 flocculation

2365 In this study, the rate of reaction was determined along with the kinetic equilibrium 2366 equation. This information allowed the prediction of kinetic reaction of BF01314 in 2367 determining the dosage requirement but could not describe the adsorption capacity. A 2368 specific substrate of an interest may be selected to identify the appropriate adsorption 2369 isotherm based on the range of effective BF concentration obtained from kaolin 2370 flocculation experiment and kinetic test as initial indication. The outcome of the study 2371 may be useful in designing the batch system for even larger scales. For the study of 2372 flocculation mechanism, the analysis on floc strength and size could provide better 2373 evidence on the bridging and adsorption effects.

2374

2375 6.2.5 Pilot-scale experiment of sludge dewatering

2376 Although BF01314 displayed its superior dewatering performance in the current study, 2377 the test has to be repeated in a larger scale experiment for further verification. This is 2378 because the dewatering parameters reported in literature including this research only 2379 suggests the capability of the polymer to condition and dewater the sludge. An 2380 effective dewatering process mostly relies on the efficiency of the dewatering 2381 equipment. Filtration dewatering equipment such as belt-filter press may be favoured 2382 in comparison to centrifugal dewatering, depending on the type of polymer or process 2383 requirements. Hence, further investigation along with close replicate of mechanical 2384 dewatering device used in industry is required for confirmation of the feasibility of 2385 BF01314 and for identification of potential associated operational issues.

REFERENCES

- Abu-Orf, Mohammad M., and Banu Örmeci. 2005. "Measuring Sludge Network Strength Using Rheology and Relation to Dewaterability, Filtration, and Thickening-Laboratory and Full-Scale Experiments." *Journal of Environmental Engineering* 131 (8): 1139-1146. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372(2005)131:8(1139).
- Abu Tawila, Zayed M. M., Salmah Ismail, Salem S. Abu Amr, and Emad K. Abou Elkhair. 2019. "A Novel Efficient Bioflocculant Qz-7 for the Removal of Heavy Metals from Industrial Wastewater." *RSC Advances* 9 (48): 27825-27834. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9RA04683F.
- Agunbiade, Mayowa, Carolina Pohl, and Omotayo Ashafa. 2018. "Bioflocculant Production from Streptomyces Platensis and Its Potential for River and Waste Water Treatment." *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* 49 (4): 731-741. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjm.2017.02.013.
- Al-Mamun, Abdullah, Hamidah Hassan, and Md Zahangir Alam. 2017. "Research Progress in Bioflocculants from Bacteria." *International Food Research Journal* 24: 402-409. http://www.ifrj.upm.edu.my/24%20(07)%202017%20supplementary/(22)%2 0R1.pdf.
- Al-Sameraiy, Mukheled. 2017. "A New Approach Using Coagulation Rate Constant for Evaluation of Turbidity Removal." *Applied Water Science* 7 (3): 1439-1448. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-015-0341-8.
- Aljuboori, Ahmad H. Rajab, Azni Idris, Hamid Hussain Rijab Al-joubory, Yoshimitsu Uemura, and B. S. U. Ibn Abubakar. 2015. "Flocculation Behavior and Mechanism of Bioflocculant Produced by Aspergillus Flavus." *Journal of Environmental Management* 150: 466-471. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.12.035.
- Alvarez-Silva, M., A. Uribe-Salas, M. Mirnezami, and J. A. Finch. 2010. "The Point of Zero Charge of Phyllosilicate Minerals Using the Mular–Roberts Titration

 Technique."
 Minerals
 Engineering
 23
 (5):
 383-389.

 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2009.11.013.

- Amuda, Omotayo S., An Deng, Abass O. Alade, and Yung-Tse Hung. 2008. "Conversion of Sewage Sludge to Biosolids." In *Biosolids Engineering and Management*, Lawrence K. Wang, Nazih K. Shammas and Yung-Tse Hung, eds., 65-119. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-59745-174-1_2.
- Anderson, Rosaleen J. 2004. Organic Spectroscopic Analysis. Edited by David J. Bendell, Paul W. Groundwater and Provider Royal Society of Chemistry Content. Cambridge: Cambridge : Royal Society of Chemistry.
- APHA. 2012. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. American Public Health Association
- ASCE. 2000. "Sludge Sources, Composition, and Characteristics." In Conveyance of Residuals from Water and Wastewater Treatment. 33-49. https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784404386.ch03.
- Ayoub, George M., Sara W. BinAhmed, Mahmoud Al-Hindi, and Fouad Azizi. 2014.
 "Coagulation of Highly Turbid Suspensions Using Magnesium Hydroxide: Effects of Slow Mixing Conditions." *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 21 (17): 10502-10513. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-2857-0.
- Bisht, Varsha, and Banwari Lal. 2019. "Exploration of Performance Kinetics and Mechanism of Action of a Potential Novel Bioflocculant Bf-Vb2 on Clay and Dye Wastewater Flocculation." *Front Microbiol* 10: 1288. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01288.
- Bradford, M. M. 1976. "A Rapid and Sensitive Method for the Quantitation of Microgram Quantities of Protein Utilizing the Principle of Protein-Dye Binding." *Analytical Biochemistry* 72 (1-2): 248-254. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3.
- Busi, S., S. Karuganti, J. Rajkumari, P. Paramanandham, and S. Pattnaik. 2017.
 "Sludge Settling and Algal Flocculating Activity of Extracellular Polymeric Substance (Eps) Derived from Bacillus Cereus Sk." *Water and Environment Journal* 31 (1): 97-104. https://doi.org/10.1111/wej.12215.

- Campbell, A. 2002. "The Potential Role of Aluminium in Alzheimer's Disease." *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 17: 17-20. https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/17.suppl_2.17.
- Cao, Baichuan, BaoYu Gao, Chunhua Xu, Ying Fu, and Xin Liu. 2010. "Effects of Ph on Coagulation Behavior and Floc Properties in Yellow River Water Treatment Using Ferric Based Coagulants." *Chinese Science Bulletin* 55: 1382-1387. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11434-010-0087-5.
- Cao, Xiuqin, Yahong Pan, Kun Jiang, Kaijin Zhu, and Xiaoli Ren. 2020. "Effect of High-Temperature Thermal Hydrolysis on Rheological Properties and Dewaterability of Sludge." *Environmental Technology*: 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2020.1739751.
- Carrasco, Marissa. 2013. "Pretreatment and Conditioning of Municipal Wastewater Secondary Sludge Using Freezing and a Combination of Ultrasound and Freezing." Master, Environmental Engineering Lakehead University. Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada. https://search-proquestcom.dbgw.lis.curtin.edu.au/docview/1411949700?pq-origsite=primo#.
- Chen, Jie, Xiaojun Xu, Rui Nie, Li Feng, Xuhao Li, and Bingzhi Liu. 2020. "Chitosan Modified Cationic Polyacrylamide Initiated by Uv-H2o2 for Sludge Flocculation and New Insight on the Floc Characteristics Study." *Polymers* 12 (11): 2738. https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/12/11/2738.
- Chen, Zhan, Weijun Zhang, Dongsheng Wang, Teng Ma, and Runying Bai. 2015.
 "Enhancement of Activated Sludge Dewatering Performance by Combined Composite Enzymatic Lysis and Chemical Re-Flocculation with Inorganic Coagulants: Kinetics of Enzymatic Reaction and Re-Flocculation Morphology." *Water Research* 83: 367-376. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.06.026.
- Cheng, Yongfeng, Haiming Wei, Rui Sun, Zhigang Tian, and Xiaodong Zheng. 2016. "Rapid Method for Protein Quantitation by Bradford Assay after Elimination of the Interference of Polysorbate 80." *Analytical Biochemistry* 494: 37-39. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2015.10.013.

- Chong, Mei Fong. 2012. "Direct Flocculation Process for Wastewater Treatment." In Advances in Water Treatment and Pollution Prevention, Sanjay K. Sharma and Rashmi Sanghi, eds., 201-230. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-4204-8_8.
- Costa, Cristiane N., Viviane G. Teixeira, Marcia C. Delpech, Josefa Virginia S. Souza, and Marcos A. S. Costa. 2015. "Viscometric Study of Chitosan Solutions in Acetic Acid/Sodium Acetate and Acetic Acid/Sodium Chloride." *Carbohydrate Polymers* 133: 245-250. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2015.06.094.
- Demir, Irem, Alexandre Besson, Pascal Guiraud, and Cécile Formosa-Dague. 2020. "Towards a Better Understanding of Microalgae Natural Flocculation Mechanisms to Enhance Flotation Harvesting Efficiency." *Water Science and Technology*. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2020.177.
- Dentel, S. K. 2001. "Conditioning." In Sludge into Biosolids: Processing, Disposal, Utilization, Ludovico Spinosa and P. Aarne Vesilind, ed., 278-314. London: London: IWA Publishing.
- Diao, Huan, Lvmu Li, Jun Liang, Chen Lu, Xiaoling Ding, and Wenjie Guo. 2019.
 "Optimized Extraction Process and Compositional Analysis of Bioflocculant Produced by Klebsiella M1." 2019 14 (2): 22. https://ojs.cnr.ncsu.edu/index.php/BioRes/article/view/BioRes_14_2_3146_D iao_Optimized_Extraction_Process_Compositional_Analysis.
- EPA. 1987. 'Design Manual: Dewatering Municipal Wastewater Sludges.' edited by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati.
- EPA. 2000. 'Wastewater Technology Fact Sheet.' edited by Office of Water, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 2004. Primer for Municipal Wastewater Treatment Systems. Washington DC. https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/20017KBE.PDF?Dockey=20017KBE.P DF.
- Eriksson, Leif, Barbro Alm, and Per Stenius. 1993. "Formation and Structure of Polystyrene Latex Aggregates Obtained by Flocculation with Cationic Polyelectrolytes: 1. Adsorption and Optimum Flocculation Concentrations."

Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects 70 (1): 47-60. https://doi.org/10.1016/0927-7757(93)80495-Z.

- Evans, T. D. 2016. "Sewage Sludge: Operational and Environmental Issues." In *Reviews of Current Knowledge Rocks*. Foundation for Water Research.
- Feki, Emna, Audrey Battimelli, Sami Sayadi, Abdelhafidh Dhouib, and Sonia Khoufi. 2020. "High-Rate Anaerobic Digestion of Waste Activated Sludge by Integration of Electro-Fenton Process." *Molecules* 25 (3): 626. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25030626.
- Fujita, M., M. Ike, J.-H. Jang, S.-M. Kim, and T. Hirao. 2001. "Bioflocculation Production from Lower-Molecular Fatty Acids as a Novel Strategy for Utilization of Sludge Digestion Liquor." *Water Science and Technology* 44 (10): 237-243. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2001.0630.
- Fujita, Masanori, Michihiko Ike, Shinya Tachibana, Go Kitada, Shin Myoung Kim, and Zensuke Inoue. 2000. "Characterization of a Bioflocculant Produced by Citrobacter Sp. Tkf04 from Acetic and Propionic Acids." *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering* 89 (1): 40-46. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1389-1723(00)88048-2.
- Gao, Ningbo, Zongyang Li, Cui Quan, Norbert Miskolczi, and Attila Egedy. 2019. "A New Method Combining Hydrothermal Carbonization and Mechanical Compression in-Situ for Sewage Sludge Dewatering: Bench-Scale Verification." *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 139: 187-195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2019.02.003.
- Ghernaout, Djamel, Abdulaziz Ibraheem Al-Ghonamy, Mohamed Wahib Naceur, Ahmed Boucherit, Noureddine Ait Messaoudene, Mohamed Aichouni, Ammar Abdallah Mahjoubi, and Noureddine Ali Elboughdiri. 2015. "Controlling Coagulation Process: From Zeta Potential to Streaming Potential." *American Journal of Environmental Protection* 4 (Special Issue: Cleaner and Sustainable Production): 16-27. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajeps.s.2015040501.12.
- Giri, Sib Sankar, M. Harshiny, Shib Sankar Sen, V. Sukumaran, and Se Chang Park.
 2015. "Production and Characterization of a Thermostable Bioflocculant from Bacillus Subtilis F9, Isolated from Wastewater Sludge." *Ecotoxicology and*

 Environmental
 Safety
 121:
 45-50.

 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2015.06.010.
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:
 121:

- Gray, Nicholas. 2015. "Capillary Suction Time (Cst)." In., 659-670. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384746-1.00017-3.
- Gregory, J. 2005. Particles in Water : Properties and Processes. London : Boca Raton, FL: IWA Pub. : Taylor & Francis.
- Gregory, John, and Sandor Barany. 2011. "Adsorption and Flocculation by Polymers and Polymer Mixtures." *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science* 169 (1): 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2011.06.004.
- Grząbka-Zasadzińska, Aleksandra, Tazdin Amietszajew, and Sławomir Borysiak. 2017. "Thermal and Mechanical Properties of Chitosan Nanocomposites with Cellulose Modified in Ionic Liquids." *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry* 130 (1): 143-154. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-017-6295-3.
- Guo, Junyuan, and Cheng Chen. 2017. "Sludge Conditioning Using the Composite of a Bioflocculant and Pac for Enhancement in Dewaterability." *Chemosphere* 185: 277-283. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.06.111.
- Guo, Junyuan, Cheng Chen, Shilin Jiang, and Yuling Zhou. 2018. "Feasibility and Mechanism of Combined Conditioning with Coagulant and Flocculant to Enhance Sludge Dewatering." ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering 6 (8): 10758-10765. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b02086.
- Guo, Junyuan, Jiali Du, Peilan Chen, Xiandong Tan, Xinyi Huang, Pengfei Gan, and Lin Fu. 2017. "Enhanced Efficiencies of Sludge Dewatering and Domestic Wastewater Treatment by Using the Bioflocculant from Rice Stover." *Water and Environment Journal* 31 (1): 120-126. https://doi.org/10.1111/wej.12221.
- Guo, Junyuan, Anthony K. Lau, Yuzhe Zhang, and Jing Zhao. 2015. "Characterization and Flocculation Mechanism of a Bioflocculant from Potato Starch Wastewater." *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 99 (14): 5855-5861. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6589-y.
- Guo, Junyuan, Jianying Liu, Yijin Yang, Yuling Zhou, Shilin Jiang, and Cheng Chen.2018. "Fermentation and Kinetics Characteristics of a Bioflocculant from

Potato Starch Wastewater and Its Application." *Scientific Reports*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-21796-x.

- Guo, Junyuan, and Jing Ma. 2015. "Bioflocculant from Pre-Treated Sludge and Its Applications in Sludge Dewatering and Swine Wastewater Pretreatment." *Bioresource Technology* 196: 736-740. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.07.113.
- Guo, Junyuan, and Xiaoying Wen. 2020. "Performances and Mechanisms of Sludge Dewatering by a Biopolymer from Piggery Wastewater and Application of the Dewatered Sludge in Remediation of Cr(Vi)-Contaminated Soil." *Journal of Environmental Management* 259: 109678. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109678.
- Guo, Junyuan, Chunping Yang, and Lanyan Peng. 2014. "Preparation and Characteristics of Bacterial Polymer Using Pre-Treated Sludge from Swine Wastewater Treatment Plant." *Bioresource Technology* 152: 490-498. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.11.037.
- Guo, Junyuan, Jing Yu, Xin Xin, Changwu Zou, Qingfeng Cheng, Huaijin Yang, and Lichao Nengzi. 2015. "Characterization and Flocculation Mechanism of a Bioflocculant from Hydrolyzate of Rice Stover." *Bioresource Technology* 177: 393-397. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.11.066.
- Guo, Junyuan, Yuzhe Zhang, Jing Zhao, Yu Zhang, Xiao Xiao, Bin Wang, and Bi Shu.
 2015. "Characterization of a Bioflocculant from Potato Starch Wastewater and Its Application in Sludge Dewatering." *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 99 (13): 5429-5437. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6567-4.
- HACH. 2013. Sension+ Portable Meter User Manual.
- Hamzah, Mohd Yusof, Norhashidah Talip, and Maznah Mahmud. 2011. "Weight Measurement Chitosan Molecule Using Gpc-Malls." In NTC 2011: Nuclear Technical Convention 2011, Malaysia, 2011. http://inis.iaea.org/search/search.aspx?orig_q=RN:44128715.
- Hassimi, Abu Hasan, Razali Ezril Hafiz, Mohd Hafizuddin Muhamad, and Siti Rozaimah Sheikh Abdullah. 2020. "Bioflocculant Production Using Palm Oil

Mill and Sago Mill Effluent as a Fermentation Feedstock: Characterization and Mechanism of Flocculation." *Journal of Environmental Management* 260: 110046. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.110046.

- Hu, Kai, Jun-Qiu Jiang, Qing-Liang Zhao, Duu-Jong Lee, Kun Wang, and Wei Qiu.
 2011. "Conditioning of Wastewater Sludge Using Freezing and Thawing: Role of Curing." *Water Research* 45 (18): 5969-5976. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.08.064.
- Hu, Pan, Zhonghua Xi, Yan Li, Aimin Li, and Hu Yang. 2020. "Evaluation of the Structural Factors for the Flocculation Performance of a Co-Graft Cationic Starch-Based Flocculant." *Chemosphere* 240: 124866. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124866.
- Huang, Mengmeng, Jingjing Jiao, Jun Wang, Xinyu Chen, and Yu Zhang. 2018.
 "Associations of Hemoglobin Biomarker Levels of Acrylamide and All-Cause and Cardiovascular Disease Mortality among U.S. Adults: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2003–2006." *Environmental Pollution* 238: 852-858. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.03.109.
- Jagadish, C. Roy, Salaün Fabien, Giraud Stéphane, and Ferri Ada. 2017. Solubility of Chitin: Solvents, Solution Behaviors and Their Related Mechanisms. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.71385.
- Joshi, Nidhi, Manali Rathod, Dhruva Vyas, Raghawendra Kumar, and Kalpana Mody.
 2019. "Multiple Pollutants Removal from Industrial Wastewaters Using a Novel Bioflocculant Produced by Bacillus Licheniformis Nj3." *Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy* 38 (s1): S306-S314. https://doi.org/10.1002/ep.13027.
- Kamizela, Tomasz, and Mariusz Kowalczyk. 2019. "Sludge Dewatering: Processes for Enhanced Performance." In *Industrial and Municipal Sludge*, Majeti Narasimha Vara Prasad, Paulo Jorge de Campos Favas, Meththika Vithanage and S. Venkata Mohan, eds., 399-423. Butterworth-Heinemann. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-815907-1.00018-0.
- Kim, Lin-Su, Soo-Jung Hong, Mi-Kyung Son, and Yong-Hyun Lee. 2006. "Polymeric and Compositional Properties of Novel Extracellular Microbial

Polyglucosamine Biopolymer from New Strain of Citrobacter Sp. Bl-4." *Biotechnology Letters* 28 (4): 241-245. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-005-5525-0.

- Kim, Young-Man, Sung-Eun Lee, Byeoung-Soo Park, Mi-Kyung Son, Young-Mi Jung, Seung-Ok Yang, Hyung-Kyoon Choi, Sung-Ho Hur, and Jong Hwa Yum. 2012. "Proteomic Analysis on Acetate Metabolism in Citrobacter Sp. Bl-4." *International Journal of Biological Sciences* 8 (1): 66-78. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3248649/.
- Kimura, Kazuyuki, Takuya Inoue, Dai-ichiro Kato, Seiji Negoro, Michihiko Ike, and Masahiro Takeo. 2013. "Distribution of Chitin/Chitosan-Like Bioflocculant-Producing Potential in the Genus Citrobacter." *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 97 (21): 9569-9577. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4668x.
- Kopp, J., and N. Dichtl. 2001. "Characterisation." In *Sludge into Biosolids: Processing, Disposal, Utilization*, Ludovico Spinosa and P. Aarne Vesilind, ed., 19-39. London: London: IWA Publishing.
- Kowalski, Michał, Katarzyna Kowalska, Jarosław Wiszniowski, and Jolanta Turek-Szytow. 2018. "Qualitative Analysis of Activated Sludge Using Ft-Ir Technique." *Chemicke zvesti* 72 (11): 2699-2706. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11696-018-0514-7.
- Kurade, Mayur B., Kumarasamy Murugesan, Ammaiyappan Selvam, Shuk-Man Yu, and Jonathan W. C. Wong. 2014. "Ferric Biogenic Flocculant Produced by Acidithiobacillus Ferrooxidans Enable Rapid Dewaterability of Municipal Sewage Sludge: A Comparison with Commercial Cationic Polymer." *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation* 96 (C): 105-111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2014.09.001.
- Kurade, Mayur B., Kumarasamy Murugesan, Ammaiyappan Selvam, Shuk-Man Yu, and Jonathan W. C. Wong. 2016. "Sludge Conditioning Using Biogenic Flocculant Produced by Acidithiobacillus Ferrooxidans for Enhancement in Dewaterability." *Bioresource Technology* 217: 179-185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.02.113.

- Lapointe, Mathieu, and Benoit Barbeau. 2020. "Understanding the Roles and Characterizing the Intrinsic Properties of Synthetic Vs. Natural Polymers to Improve Clarification through Interparticle Bridging: A Review." Separation and Purification Technology 231: 115893. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2019.115893.
- Lara, Aderlene Ines de, Cleverson Vitorio Andreoli, Deize Dias Lopes, Dione Mari Morita, Eduardo Sabino Pegorini, Fernando Fernandes, Hilton Felicio dos Santos et al. 2007. Sludge Treatment and Disposal, Biological Wastewater Treatment: London : IWA Publishing.
- Larionova, N. I., D. K. Zubaerova, D. T. Guranda, M. A. Pechyonkin, and N. G. Balabushevich. 2009. "Colorimetric Assay of Chitosan in Presence of Proteins and Polyelectrolytes by Using O-Phthalaldehyde." *Carbohydrate Polymers* 75 (4): 724-727. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2008.10.009.
- Lau, Shiew Wei. 2014. "Conditioning and Dewatering of Anaerobically Digested Sludge from Municipal Wastewater Treatment Processes." PhD, Curtin University.
- Lau, Shiew Wei, S. D. Tang, Ming Ang, Tushar Sen, and Han Bing Chua. 2015. "Dual-Conditioning of Sludge Using Chitosan and Metal Cations." https://doi.org/10.2166/wpt.2015.047.
- Lee, Byung Joon, and Mark A. Schlautman. 2015. "Effects of Polymer Molecular Weight on Adsorption and Flocculation in Aqueous Kaolinite Suspensions Dosed with Nonionic Polyacrylamides." *Water* 7 (11): 5896-5909. https://doi.org/10.3390/w7115896.
- Lee, Chai Siah, John Robinson, and Mei Fong Chong. 2014. "A Review on Application of Flocculants in Wastewater Treatment." *Process Safety and Environmental Protection* 92 (6): 489-508. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2014.04.010.
- Li, Huiru, Shaohua Wu, Cheng Du, Yuanyuan Zhong, and Chunping Yang. 2020. "Preparation, Performances, and Mechanisms of Microbial Flocculants for Wastewater Treatment." *International journal of environmental research and public health* 17 (4). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17041360.
- Li, Ou, Cui Lu, Ao Liu, Liang Zhu, Pin-Mei Wang, Chao-Dong Qian, Xin-Hang Jiang, and Xue-Chang Wu. 2013. "Optimization and Characterization of Polysaccharide-Based Bioflocculant Produced by Paenibacillus Elgii B69 and Its Application in Wastewater Treatment." *Bioresource Technology* 134: 87-93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.02.013.
- Li, X. Y., and S. F. Yang. 2007. "Influence of Loosely Bound Extracellular Polymeric Substances (Eps) on the Flocculation, Sedimentation and Dewaterability of Activated Sludge." *Water Research* 41 (5): 1022-1030. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2006.06.037.
- Lichtfouse, Eric, Nadia Morin-Crini, Marc Fourmentin, Hassiba Zemmouri, Inara Oliveira do Carmo Nascimento, Luciano Matos Queiroz, Mohd Yuhyi Mohd Tadza et al. 2019. "Chitosan for Direct Bioflocculation of Wastewater." *Environmental Chemistry Letters* 17 (4): 1603-1621. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-019-00900-1.
- Lin, Feng, Jigeng Li, Mengru Liu, Peiran Yu, Zhanbo Zhang, and Xiaolin Zhu. 2020.
 "New Insights into the Effect of Extracellular Polymeric Substance on the Sludge Dewaterability Based on Interaction Energy and Viscoelastic Acoustic Response Analysis." *Chemosphere* 261: 127929. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.127929.
- Lin, J., and C. Harichund. 2011. "Industrial Effluent Treatments Using Heavy-Metal Removing Bacterial Bioflocculants." *Water S.A.* 37 (2): 265-270. https://doi.org/10.4314/wsa.v37i2.65873.
- Lin, Jr-Lin, Ching-Ju M. Chin, Chihpin Huang, Jill R. Pan, and Dongsheng Wang. 2008. "Coagulation Behavior of Al13 Aggregates." *Water Research* 42 (16): 4281-4290. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.07.028.
- Liu, Jiewei, Junwei Ma, Yanzhong Liu, and Ya Yang. 2013. "Enhanced Sludge Dewatering Performance by a Novel Bioflocculant Conditioning." *Applied Mechanics and Materials* 448-453: 659-664. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.448-453.659.
- Liu, Jiewei, Junwei Ma, Yanzhong Liu, Ya Yang, Dongbei Yue, and Hongtao Wang. 2014. "Optimized Production of a Novel Bioflocculant M-C11 by Klebsiella

Sp. And Its Application in Sludge Dewatering." *Journal of Environmental Sciences* 26 (10): 2076-2083. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2014.08.007.

- Liu, Jun, Peng Li, Hang Xiao, Yayun Zhang, Xiaoyang Shi, Xiaomeng Lü, and Xi Chen. 2015. "Understanding Flocculation Mechanism of Graphene Oxide for Organic Dyes from Water: Experimental and Molecular Dynamics Simulation." *AIP Advances* 5 (11): 117151. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4936846.
- Liu, Weijie, Zhen Dong, Di Sun, Ying Chen, Shiwei Wang, Jingrong Zhu, and Cong Liu. 2019. "Bioconversion of Kitchen Wastes into Bioflocculant and Its Pilot-Scale Application in Treating Iron Mineral Processing Wastewater." *Bioresource Technology* 288: 121505. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.121505.
- Liu, Yongzhi, Huaili Zheng, Yongjun Sun, Jie Ren, Xinyu Zheng, Qiang Sun, Shaojie Jiang, and Wei Ding. 2020. "Synthesis of Novel Chitosan-Based Flocculants with Amphiphilic Structure and Its Application in Sludge Dewatering: Role of Hydrophobic Groups." *Journal of Cleaner Production* 249: 119350. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119350.
- Liu, Zhouzhou, Hua Wei, Aimin Li, and Hu Yang. 2017. "Evaluation of Structural Effects on the Flocculation Performance of a Co-Graft Starch-Based Flocculant." *Water Research* 118: 160-166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.04.032.
- Lo, Irene M. C., Keith C. K. Lai, and G. H. Chen. 2001. "Salinity Effect on Mechanical Dewatering of Sludge with and without Chemical Conditioning." *Environmental Science & Technology* 35 (23): 4691-4696. https://doi.org/10.1021/es010834x.
- López-Maldonado, Eduardo Alberto, Mercedes Teresita Oropeza-Guzmán, and Adrián Ochoa-Terán. 2014. "Improving the Efficiency of a Coagulation-Flocculation Wastewater Treatment of the Semiconductor Industry through Zeta Potential Measurements." *Journal of Chemistry* 2014: 969720. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/969720.
- Lu, Wen-Yu, Tong Zhang, Dong-Yan Zhang, Cai-Hong Li, Jian-Ping Wen, and Lian-Xiang Du. 2005. "A Novel Bioflocculant Produced by Enterobacter Aerogenes

and Its Use in Defecating the Trona Suspension." *Biochemical Engineering Journal* 27 (1): 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2005.04.026.

- Luo, Yangchao, and Qin Wang. 2014. "Recent Development of Chitosan-Based Polyelectrolyte Complexes with Natural Polysaccharides for Drug Delivery." *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules* 64: 353-367. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2013.12.017.
- M. Nomanbhay, Saifuddin, and Kumaran Palanisamy. 2005. "Removal of Heavy Metal from Industrial Wastewater Using Chitosan Coated Oil Palm Shell Charcoal." *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology* 8: 43-53. https://doi.org/10.2225/vol8-issue1-fulltext-7.
- Mahmoud, Akrama, Jérémy Olivier, Jean Vaxelaire, and Andrew Hoadley. 2013. "Advances in Mechanical Dewatering of Wastewater Sludge Treatment." In., pp 253-303. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-4942-9_9.
- Marques, Rodrigo de Oliveira, and Sidney Seckler Ferreira Filho. 2017. "Flocculation Kinetics of Low-Turbidity Raw Water and the Irreversible Floc Breakup Process." *Environmental Technology* 38 (7): 901-910. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2016.1236149.
- Mayowa Oladele, Agunbiade, Carolina Pohl, Heerden Esta Van, Oluwaseun Oyekola, and Anofi Ashafa. 2019. "Evaluation of Fresh Water Actinomycete Bioflocculant and Its Biotechnological Applications in Wastewaters Treatment and Removal of Heavy Metals." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 16 (18). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16183337.
- Meiczinger, Monika, Judit Dencs, Gyula Marton, and Bela Dencs. 2005.
 "Investigation of Reactions Occurring at Starch Phosphorylation." *Industrial* & Engineering Chemistry Research 44 (25): 9581-9585. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie050270w.
- Menkiti, M. C., I. G. Ezemagu, C. I. Nwoye, and M. I. Ejimofor. 2016. "Post-Treatment Sludge Analyses and Purification of Paint Effluent by Coag-Flocculation Method." *International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering* 7 (1): 69-83. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40095-015-0192-y.

- Mihelcic, J.R. 2018. "Sludge Management: Biosolids and Fecal Sludge." In Global Water Pathogen Project. http://www.waterpathogens.org/book/sludgemanagement.
- Minall, Rowland, M Smyth, and N Horan. 2014. "Practical Techniques to Assess the Dewaterability of Sludge to Optimise Current and Future Performance." In 19th European Biosolids & Organic Resources Conference & Exhibition, Manchester, England, 2014. https://www.aquaenviro.co.uk/wpcontent/uploads/2015/06/Practical-techniques-to-assess-the-dewaterabilityof-sludge-and-optimise-performance-and-polymer-dosing-Minall-R..pdf.
- More, Tanaji T., Song Yan, Rajeshwar Dayal Tyagi, and Rao Y. Surampalli. 2015.
 "Biopolymers Production by Mixed Culture and Their Applications in Water and Wastewater Treatment." *Water Environment Research* 87 (6): 533-546. https://doi.org/10.2175/106143015x14212658614676.
- Mowla, D., H. N. Tran, and D. Grant Allen. 2013. "A Review of the Properties of Biosludge and Its Relevance to Enhanced Dewatering Processes." *Biomass and Bioenergy* 58: 365-378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2013.09.002.
- Nan, Jun, Meng Yao, Ting Chen, Shengnan Li, Zhenbei Wang, and Gao Feng. 2016.
 "Breakage and Regrowth of Flocs Formed by Sweep Coagulation Using Additional Coagulant of Poly Aluminium Chloride and Non-Ionic Polyacrylamide." *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International* 23 (16): 16336-16348. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-6805-z.
- Nechita, Petronela. 2017. "Applications of Chitosan in Wastewater Treatment." In Biological Activities and Application of Marine Polysaccharides, Emad A. Shalaby, ed.: IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/65289.
- Ngema, S. S., A. K. Basson, and T. S. Maliehe. 2020. "Synthesis, Characterization and Application of Polyacrylamide Grafted Bioflocculant." *Physics and Chemistry* of the Earth, Parts A/B/C 115: 102821. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2019.102821.
- Nie, M., X. Yin, J. Jia, Y. Wang, S. Liu, Q. Shen, P. Li, and Z. Wang. 2011. "Production of a Novel Bioflocculant Mnxy1 by Klebsiella Pneumoniae Strain

Ny1 and Application in Precipitation of Cyanobacteria and Municipal Wastewater Treatment." *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 111 (3): 547-558. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.05080.x.

- Ning, Yong-Cheng, Richard R. Ernst, and Yong-Cheng Ning. 2011. Interpretation of Organic Spectra. Hoboken, SINGAPORE: John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated. http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/curtin/detail.action?docID=711821.
- Niu, Meiqing, Weijun Zhang, Dongsheng Wang, Yu Chen, and Ronglai Chen. 2013.
 "Correlation of Physicochemical Properties and Sludge Dewaterability under Chemical Conditioning Using Inorganic Coagulants." *Bioresource Technology* 144 (C): 337-343. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.06.126.
- OECD. 2015. Sludge Generated by Urban and Industrial Wastewater Treatment Plants, 2000-12. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264227385-graph34-en.
- Okaiyeto, Kunle, Temitope Ekundayo, and Anthony Okoh. 2020. "Global Research Trends on Bioflocculant Potentials in Wastewater Remediation from 1990 – 2019 Using a Bibliometric Approach." *Letters in Applied Microbiology* n/a (n/a). https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.13361.
- Okaiyeto, Kunle, Uchechukwu U. Nwodo, Stanley A. Okoli, Leonard V. Mabinya, and Anthony I. Okoh. 2016. "Implications for Public Health Demands Alternatives to Inorganic and Synthetic Flocculants: Bioflocculants as Important Candidates." *Microbiologyopen* 5: 177-211. https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.334.
- Örmeci, Banu, and P. Aarne Vesilind. 2001. "Effect of Dissolved Organic Material and Cations on Freeze-Thaw Conditioning of Activated and Alum Sludges." *Water Research* 35 (18): 4299-4306. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(01)00174-9.
- Pan, Yong, Zhi Li, Zhe Zhang, Xiong-Shi Tong, Hai Li, Chong-Zhi Jia, Bei Liu et al. 2016. "Adsorptive Removal of Phenol from Aqueous Solution with Zeolitic Imidazolate Framework-67." *Journal of Environmental Management* 169: 167-173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.12.030.

- Pan, Yongzhang, Bo Shi, and Yu Zhang. 2009. "Research on Flocculation Property of Bioflocculant Pg.A21 Ca." *Modern Applied Science* 3 (6). https://doi.org/10.5539/mas.v3n6p106.
- Pathak, M., H. K. Sarma, K. G. Bhattacharyya, S. Subudhi, V. Bisht, B. Lal, and A. Devi. 2017. "Characterization of a Novel Polymeric Bioflocculant Produced from Bacterial Utilization of N-Hexadecane and Its Application in Removal of Heavy Metals." *Frontiers in Microbiology* 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00170.
- Peirce, J. Jeffrey, Ruth F. Weiner, and P. Aarne Vesilind. 1998. "Chapter 9 Sludge Treatment, Utilization, and Disposal." In *Environmental Pollution and Control* (*Fourth Edition*), J. Jeffrey Peirce, Ruth F. Weiner and P. Aarne Vesilind, eds., 125-135. Woburn: Butterworth-Heinemann. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-075069899-3/50010-9.
- Peng, Ge, Fenxia Ye, and Ying Li. 2011. "Comparative Investigation of Parameters for Determining the Dewaterability of Activated Sludge." *Water Environment Research* 83 (7): 667-671. https://doi.org/10.2175/106143010x12851009156646.
- Penghe, Zhao, Liu Yuling, Dou Chuanchuan, and Wan Pengliang. 2020. "Study on Dissolution Characteristics of Excess Sludge by Low-Temperature Thermal Hydrolysis and Acid Production by Fermentation." ACS Omega 5 (40): 26101-26109. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.0c03606.
- Pereira, Fernanda Stuani, Deuber Lincon da Silva Agostini, Aldo Eloizo Job, and Eduardo René Pérez González. 2013. "Thermal Studies of Chitin–Chitosan Derivatives." *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry* 114 (1): 321-327. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-012-2835-z.
- Picos-Corrales, Lorenzo A., Juan I. Sarmiento-Sánchez, Jose P. Ruelas-Leyva, Grégorio Crini, Eduardo Hermosillo-Ochoa, and J. Ariel Gutierrez-Montes. 2020. "Environment-Friendly Approach toward the Treatment of Raw Agricultural Wastewater and River Water Via Flocculation Using Chitosan and Bean Straw Flour as Bioflocculants." ACS Omega 5 (8): 3943-3951. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.9b03419.

- Polizzi, Salvatore, Enrico Pira, Mauro Ferrara, Massimiliano Bugiani, Andrea Papaleo, Roberto Albera, and Silvana Palmi. 2002. "Neurotoxic Effects of Aluminium among Foundry Workers and Alzheimer's Disease." *Neurotoxicology* 23 (6): 761. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0161-813X(02)00097-9.
- Queiroz, Moacir, Karoline Melo, Diego Sabry, Guilherme Sassaki, and Hugo Rocha. 2014. "Does the Use of Chitosan Contribute to Oxalate Kidney Stone Formation?" *Marine drugs* 13: 141-158. https://doi.org/10.3390/md13010141.
- Ramesh, A., Duu-jong Lee, and S. G. Hong. 2006. "Soluble Microbial Products (Smp) and Soluble Extracellular Polymeric Substances (Eps) from Wastewater Sludge." *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 73 (1): 219-25. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-006-0446-y.
- Rao, Binqi, Hongru Pang, Feibiao Fan, Jicheng Zhang, Peng Xu, Shuxia Qiu, Xu Wu et al. 2020. "Pore-Scale Model and Dewatering Performance of Municipal Sludge by Ultrahigh Pressurized Electro-Dewatering with Constant Voltage Gradient." *Water Research*: 116611. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116611.
- Rebah, F. B., W. Mnif, and S. M. Siddeeg. 2018. "Microbial Flocculants as an Alternative to Synthetic Polymers for Wastewater Treatment: A Review." *Symmetry* 10 (11). https://doi.org/10.3390/sym10110556.
- Rodríguez, Karina, and Mariela Araujo. 2006. "Temperature and Pressure Effects on Zeta Potential Values of Reservoir Minerals." *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* 300 (2): 788-794. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2006.04.030.
- Rorat, Agnieszka, Pauline Courtois, Franck Vandenbulcke, and Sébastien Lemiere. 2019. "Sanitary and Environmental Aspects of Sewage Sludge Management." *Industrial and Municipal Sludge*: 155-180. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-815907-1.00008-8.
- Rudén, C. 2004. "Acrylamide and Cancer Risk—Expert Risk Assessments and the Public Debate." *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 42 (3): 335-349. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2003.10.017.
- Sadasivam, S., and A. Manickam. 1996. "Phenol Sulphuric Acid Method for Total Carbohydrate." In *Biochemical Methods*. 8-9.

- Salehizadeh, Hossein, and Ning Yan. 2014. "Recent Advances in Extracellular Biopolymer Flocculants." *Biotechnology Advances* 32 (8): 1506-1522. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2014.10.004.
- Salehizadeh, Hossein, Ning Yan, and Ramin Farnood. 2018. "Recent Advances in Polysaccharide Bio-Based Flocculants." *Biotechnology Advances* 36 (1): 92-119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2017.10.002.
- Sambrook, Joseph;, and David William; Russell. 2001. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. 3rd ed. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Sanin, F. Dilek, William W. Clarkson, and P. Aarne Vesilind. 2011. *Sludge Engineering*: DEStech Publications, Inc.
- Sawalha, Ola, and Miklas Scholz. 2012. "Impact of Temperature on Sludge Dewatering Properties Assessed by the Capillary Suction Time." *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* 51 (6): 2782-2788. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie202381r.
- Sewerage Services Department Sarawak. 2019. "Operation of Miri Septic Sludge Treatment Plant." 2019. https://ssd.sarawak.gov.my/page-0-154-73-Miri-Septic-Sludge-Treatment-Plant.html.
- Shahadat, Mohammad, Tjoon Tow Teng, Mohd Rafatullah, Z. A. Shaikh, T. R. Sreekrishnan, and S. Wazed Ali. 2017. "Bacterial Bioflocculants: A Review of Recent Advances and Perspectives." *Chemical Engineering Journal* 328: 1139-1152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.07.105.
- Sharma, B. R., N. C. Dhuldhoya, and U. C. Merchant. 2006. "Flocculants—an Ecofriendly Approach." *Journal of Polymers and the Environment* 14 (2): 195-202. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-006-0011-x.
- Shi, Chunhong, Wenquan Sun, Yongjun Sun, Lei Chen, Yanhua Xu, and Mengdan Tang. 2019. "Synthesis, Characterization, and Sludge Dewaterability Evaluation of the Chitosan-Based Flocculant Ccpad." *Polymers (Basel)* 11 (1): 95. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym11010095.

Sigma-Aldrich. 2019. Product Information: Bradford Reagent. Vol. 2019.

- Sincero, Arcadio P. 2003. Physical-Chemical Treatment of Water and Wastewater. Edited by Gregoria A. Sincero and Arcadio P. Sincero. London : Boca Raton, Fla.: IWA Pub. : CRC Press.
- Smoczynski, Lech, Slawomir Kalinowski, Marta Kosobucka, Harsha Ratnaweera, Michal Smoczynski, Mihaela Trifescu, and Igor Cretescu. 2019. "Study of Sludge Particles Formed During Coagulation of Synthetic and Municipal Wastewater for Increasing the Sludge Dewatering Efficiency." *Water* 11 (1). https://doi.org/10.3390/w11010101.
- SNF Floerger. 2019. Sludge Dewatering. Edited by SNF Floerger. France.
- Son, M. K., H. D. Shin, T. L. Huh, J. H. Jang, and Y. H. E. mail leeyh knu ac kr Lee.
 2005. "Novel Cationic Microbial Polyglucosamine Biopolymer from New Enterobacter Sp. Bl-2 and Its Bioflocculation Efficacy." *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* (3): 626-632. http://www.koreascience.or.kr/article/JAKO200504704045047.page.
- Son, Mi-Kyung, Soo-Jung Hong, and Yong-Hyun Lee. 2007. "Acetate-Mediated Ph-Stat Fed-Batch Cultivation of Transconjugant Enterobacter Sp. BI-2s over-Expressing Glms Gene for Excretive Production of Microbial Polyglucosamine Pgb-1." *Journal of Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology* 34 (12): 799-805. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-007-0258-9.
- Sperling, M. von, and R. F. Goncalves. 2007. "Sludge Characteristics and Production." In *Sludge Treatment and Disposal*, Cleverson Vitorio Andreoli, Marcos von Sperling and Fernando Fernandes, eds., 4 - 30. London & New York: IWA Publishing.
- Spinosa, L., and P. Aarne Vesilind. 2001. *Sludge into Biosolids : Processing, Disposal, Utilization*. London: IWA Publishing.
- Sun, Yongjun, Wei Fan, Huaili Zheng, Yuxin Zhang, Fengting Li, and Wei Chen. 2015. "Evaluation of Dewatering Performance and Fractal Characteristics of Alum Sludge." *PLoS One* 10 (6). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0130683.
- Suopajärvi, Terhi. 2015. "Functionalized Nanocelluloses in Wastewater Treatment Applications." University of Oulu. Finland. http://jultika.oulu.fi/files/isbn9789526207797.pdf.

- Swain, Sarat, Rinku Dey, Dr Mahamudur Islam, Rajkishore Patel, Usha Jha, Tanushree Patnaik, and Claudio Airoldi. 2009. "Removal of Fluoride from Aqueous Solution Using Aluminum-Impregnated Chitosan Biopolymer." Separation Science and Technology 44: 2096-2116. https://doi.org/10.1080/01496390902881212.
- Sydney Water. 2010. "Wastewater Treatment Plant Virtual Tour: Tertiary Treatment." https://www.sydneywater.com.au/Education/Tours/virtualtour/html/tertiarytreatment.html.
- Szymańska, Emilia, and Katarzyna Winnicka. 2015. "Stability of Chitosan-a Challenge for Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Applications." *Marine drugs* 13 (4): 1819-1846. https://doi.org/10.3390/md13041819.
- Takeo, M., K. Kimura, S. Mayilraj, T. Inoue, S. Tada, K. Miyamoto, M. Kashiwa et al. 2018. "Biosynthetic Pathway and Genes of Chitin/Chitosan-Like Bioflocculant in the Genus Citrobacter." *Polymers* 10 (3). https://doi.org/10.3390/polym10030237.
- Tang, Jiayi, Suijian Qi, Zhigang Li, Qun An, Mingquan Xie, Bo Yang, and Yonghua Wang. 2014. "Production, Purification and Application of Polysaccharide-Based Bioflocculant by Paenibacillus Mucilaginosus." *Carbohydrate Polymers* 113: 463-470. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.07.045.
- Teh, Cy, Pm Budiman, Kpy Shak, and Ty Wu. 2016. "Recent Advancement of Coagulation-Flocculation and Its Application in Wastewater Treatment." *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 55: 4363-4389. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.5b04703.
- Tsolanku, Sidney Maliehe, Kotze Basson Albertus, and Goodman Dlamini Nkosinathi. 2019. "Removal of Pollutants in Mine Wastewater by a Non-Cytotoxic Polymeric Bioflocculant from Alcaligenes Faecalis Hcb2." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 16 (20). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16204001.
- Ugbenyen, A. M., N. Vine, J. J. Simonis, A. K. Basson, and A. I. Okoh. 2015."Characterization of a Bioflocculant Produced from the Consortium of Three Marine Bacteria of the Genera Cobetia and Bacillus and Its Application for

Wastewater Treatment." Journal of Water, Sanitation and Hygiene for Development 5 (1): 81-88. https://doi.org/10.2166/washdev.2014.181.

- Vimala, R. T. V. 2019. "Production and Flocculating Performance of Bioflocculant by Bacterial Strain and Its Application for Municipal Wastewater Treatment." *Journal of pure & applied microbiology : an international research journal of microbiology* 13 (3): 1673-1681. https://doi.org/10.22207/JPAM.13.3.41.
- Vu Hien Phuong, To, Tien Vinh Nguyen, Saravanamuth Vigneswaran, and Ngo Huu Hao. 2016. "A Review on Sludge Dewatering Indices." *Water Science and Technology* 74 (1): 1-16. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2016.102.
- Wadhwa, Sheetu, Rishi Paliwal, Shivani Paliwal, and Suresh Vyas. 2009. "Chitosan and Its Role in Ocular Therapeutics." *Mini reviews in medicinal chemistry* 9: 1639-47. https://doi.org/10.2174/138955709791012292.
- Wang, Danfeng, Tianqi Zhao, Liuqing Yan, Zhiming Mi, Qiang Gu, and Yumin Zhang. 2016. "Synthesis, Characterization and Evaluation of Dewatering Properties of Chitosan-Grafting Dmdaac Flocculants." *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules* 92: 761-768. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2016.07.087.
- Wang, Dongsheng, Hongxiao Tang, and John Gregory. 2002. "Relative Importance of Charge Neutralization and Precipitation on Coagulation of Kaolin with Pacl: Effect of Sulfate Ion." *Environmental Science & Technology* 36 (8): 1815-1820. https://doi.org/10.1021/es001936a.
- Wang, Hou-Feng, Hao Hu, Hua-Jie Wang, and Raymond Jianxiong Zeng. 2018.
 "Impact of Dosing Order of the Coagulant and Flocculant on Sludge Dewatering Performance During the Conditioning Process." *Science of the Total Environment* 643: 1065-1073. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.161.
- Wang, Jian-Ping, Shi-Jie Yuan, Yi Wang, and Han-Qing Yu. 2013. "Synthesis, Characterization and Application of a Novel Starch-Based Flocculant with High Flocculation and Dewatering Properties." *Water research* 47 (8): 2643. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.01.050.
- Wang, Long-Fei, Chen Qian, Jian-Kai Jiang, Xiao-Dong Ye, and Han-Qing Yu. 2017."Response of Extracellular Polymeric Substances to Thermal Treatment in

Sludge Dewatering Process." *Environmental Pollution* 231: 1388-1392. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.08.119.

- Wang, Shanshan, Ling Zhang, Bin Yan, Haolan Xu, Qingxia Liu, and Hongbo Zeng.
 2015. "Molecular and Surface Interactions between Polymer Flocculant Chitosan-G-Polyacrylamide and Kaolinite Particles: Impact of Salinity." *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 119 (13): 7327-7339. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b00739.
- Wang, Tao, Xiaomin Tang, Shixin Zhang, Jie Zheng, Huaili Zheng, and Ling Fang. 2020. "Roles of Functional Microbial Flocculant in Dyeing Wastewater Treatment: Bridging and Adsorption." *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 384: 121506. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121506.
- Wang, Zhi, Liang Shen, Xiaoling Zhuang, Jiangshui Shi, Yuanpeng Wang, Ning He, and You-Im Chang. 2015. "Flocculation Characterization of a Bioflocculant from Bacillus Licheniformis." *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* 54 (11): 2894-2901. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie5050204.
- Wei, Hua, Boqiang Gao, Jie Ren, Aimin Li, and Hu Yang. 2018. "Coagulation/Flocculation in Dewatering of Sludge: A Review." Water Research 143: 608-631. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.07.029.
- Wójcik, Marta, and Feliks Stachowicz. 2019. "Influence of Physical, Chemical and Dual Sewage Sludge Conditioning Methods on the Dewatering Efficiency." *Powder Technology* 344: 96-102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2018.12.001.
- Wong, Jonathan, Kumarasamy Murugesan, Shuk Yu, Mayur Kurade, and Ammaiyappan Selvam. 2016. "Improved Dewatering of Cept Sludge by Biogenic Flocculant from Acidithiobacillus Ferrooxidans." *Water Science and Technology* 73 (4): 843-848. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2015.557.
- Wong, Jonathan W. C., Kumarasamy Murugesan, Ammaiyappan Selvam, Balasubramanian Ravindran, Mayur B. Kurade, and Shuk-Man Yu. 2016.
 "Dewatering of Saline Sewage Sludge Using Iron-Oxidizing Bacteria: Effect of Substrate Concentration." *Bioresource Technology* 213: 31-38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.03.118.

- Xiong, Boya, Rebeca Dettam Loss, Derrick Shields, Taylor Pawlik, Richard Hochreiter, Andrew L. Zydney, and Manish Kumar. 2018. "Polyacrylamide Degradation and Its Implications in Environmental Systems." *npj Clean Water* 1 (1): 17. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41545-018-0016-8.
- Xu, Qiongying, Qiandi Wang, Weijun Zhang, Peng Yang, Youjing Du, and Dongsheng Wang. 2018. "Highly Effective Enhancement of Waste Activated Sludge Dewaterability by Altering Proteins Properties Using Methanol Solution Coupled with Inorganic Coagulants." *Water Research* 138: 181-191. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.03.038.
- Yadav, Krishna, Amit Mandal, Ipsita Sen, Soumyananda Chakraborti, Syed Islam, and Ranadhir Chakraborty. 2012. "Flocculating Property of Extracellular Polymeric Substances Produced by a Biofilm-Forming Bacterium Acinetobacter Junii Bb1a." *Part A: Enzyme Engineering and Biotechnology* 168 (6): 1621-1634. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-012-9883-5.
- Yan, Robert, Paolo DeLos Rios, Annalisa Pastore, and Piero Andrea Temussi. 2018.
 "The Cold Denaturation of Iscu Highlights Structure–Function Dualism in Marginally Stable Proteins." *Communications Chemistry* 1 (1): 13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42004-018-0015-1.
- Yang, Guang, Guangming Zhang, and Hongchen Wang. 2015. "Current State of Sludge Production, Management, Treatment and Disposal in China." Water Research 78: 60-73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.04.002.
- Yang, Qi, Kun Luo, De-Xiang Liao, Xiao-Ming Li, Dong-Bo Wang, Xian Liu, Guang-Ming Zeng, and Xu Li. 2012. "A Novel Bioflocculant Produced by K Lebsiella Sp. And Its Application to Sludge Dewatering." *Water and Environment Journal* 26 (4): 560-566. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-6593.2012.00319.x.
- Yang, Ran, Haijiang Li, Mu Huang, Hu Yang, and Aimin Li. 2016. "A Review on Chitosan-Based Flocculants and Their Applications in Water Treatment." *Water Research* 95: 59-89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.02.068.
- Yang, Zhen, Tianyang Hou, Jiangya Ma, Bo Yuan, Ziqi Tian, Weiben Yang, and NigelJ. D. Graham. 2020. "Role of Moderately Hydrophobic Chitosan Flocculants in the Removal of Trace Antibiotics from Water and Membrane Fouling

 Control."
 Water
 Research
 177:
 115775.

 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.115775.
 115775.
 115775.

- Yang, Zhen, Haijiang Li, Han Yan, Hu Wu, Hu Yang, Qian Wu, Haibo Li, Aimin Li, and Rongshi Cheng. 2014. "Evaluation of a Novel Chitosan-Based Flocculant with High Flocculation Performance, Low Toxicity and Good Floc Properties." *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 276 (C): 480-488. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.05.061.
- Yang, Zhen, Yabo Shang, Yaobo Lu, Yichun Chen, Xin Huang, Aimin Chen, Yuxiang Jiang et al. 2011. "Flocculation Properties of Biodegradable Amphoteric Chitosan-Based Flocculants." *Chemical Engineering Journal* 172 (1): 287-295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2011.05.106.
- Yazdani, Maryam Roza, Elina Virolainen, Kevin Conley, and Riku Vahala. 2017. "Chitosan⁻Zinc(Ii) Complexes as a Bio-Sorbent for the Adsorptive Abatement of Phosphate: Mechanism of Complexation and Assessment of Adsorption Performance." *Polymers* 10 (1). https://doi.org/10.3390/polym10010025.
- Ye, Changqing, Dongsheng Wang, Baoyou Shi, Jianfeng Yu, Jiuhui Qu, Marc Edwards, and Hongxiao Tang. 2007. "Alkalinity Effect of Coagulation with Polyaluminum Chlorides: Role of Electrostatic Patch." *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects* 294 (1): 163-173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2006.08.005.
- Ye, Fenxia, Xinwen Liu, and Ying Li. 2014. "Extracellular Polymeric Substances and Dewaterability of Waste Activated Sludge During Anaerobic Digestion." *Water Science and Technology* 70 (9): 1555-1560. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2014.401.
- Yen, Pei-Shan, L. C. Chen, C. Y. Chien, Rome-Ming Wu, and D. J. Lee. 2002. "Network Strength and Dewaterability of Flocculated Activated Sludge." *Water Research* 36 (3): 539-550. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(01)00260-3.
- Yeneneh, Anteneh Mesfin, Eugene Hong, Tushar Kanti Sen, Ahmet Kayaalp, and Ha Ming Ang. 2016. "Effects of Temperature, Polymer Dose, and Solid Concentration on the Rheological Characteristics and Dewaterability of

Digested Sludge of Wastewater Treatment Plant (Wwtp)." *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution* 227 (4): 119. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-016-2820-4.

- Yin, Ya-Jie, Zun-Ming Tian, Wei Tang, Lei Li, Li-Yan Song, and Shawn P. McElmurry. 2014. "Production and Characterization of High Efficiency Bioflocculant Isolated from Klebsiella Sp. Zz-3." *Bioresource Technology* 171: 336-342. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.08.094.
- Yuan, S. J., M. Sun, G. P. Sheng, Y. Li, Ww Li, Rs Yao, and H. Q. Yu. 2011. "Identification of Key Constituents and Structure of the Extracellular Polymeric Substances Excreted by Bacillus Megaterium Tf10 for Their Flocculation Capacity." *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45 (3): 1152-1157. https://doi.org/10.1021/es1030905.
- Zemmouri, H., N. Mameri, and H. Lounici. 2015. "Chitosan Use in Chemical Conditioning for Dewatering Municipal-Activated Sludge." Water science and technology : a journal of the International Association on Water Pollution Research 71 (6): 810. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2014.532.
- Zemmouri, Hassiba, Slimane Kadouche, Hakim Lounici, Madjid Hadioui, and Nabil Mameri. 2011. "Use of Chitosan in Coagulation Flocculation of Raw Water of Keddara and Beni Amrane Dams." *Water Science & Technology: Water Supply* 11 (2): 202-210. https://doi.org/10.2166/ws.2011.038.
- Zeng, Lintao, Caiqin Qin, Liansheng Wang, and Wei Li. 2011. "Volatile Compounds Formed from the Pyrolysis of Chitosan." *Carbohydrate Polymers* 83 (4): 1553-1557. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.10.007.
- Zhang, Jin, Qing Hu, Jie Lu, and Shuang Lin. 2019. "Study on the Effect of Chitosan Conditioning on Sludge Dewatering." *Water Science and Technology* 79 (3): 501-509. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2019.073.
- Zhang, Weijun, Ping Xiao, Yuanyuan Liu, Shiwei Xu, Feng Xiao, Dongsheng Wang, and Christopher W. K. Chow. 2014. "Understanding the Impact of Chemical Conditioning with Inorganic Polymer Flocculants on Soluble Extracellular Polymeric Substances in Relation to the Sludge Dewaterability." *Separation and Purification Technology* 132 (C): 430-437. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2014.05.034.

- Zhang, Xiuhong, Jie Sun, Xiuxiu Liu, and Jiti Zhou. 2013. "Production and Flocculating Performance of Sludge Bioflocculant from Biological Sludge." *Bioresource Technology* 146: 51-56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.07.036.
- Zhang, Z. Q., S. Q. Xia, and J. A. Zhang. 2010. "Enhanced Dewatering of Waste Sludge with Microbial Flocculant Tj-F1 as a Novel Conditioner." *Water Research* 44 (10): 3087-3092. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.02.033.
- Zhao, Changqing, Xingxiu Zhao, Haibin Gu, Jing Zhang, Wei Zou, Jun Liu, and Qinhuan Yang. 2016. "Qualitative Analysis of Components of Bioflocculant Prepared with Bacillus Fusiformis for the Treatment of Tannery Wastewater." *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy* 18 (3): 973-978. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-015-1085-8.
- Zheng, Huaili, Li Feng, Baoyu Gao, Yuhao Zhou, Shixin Zhang, and Bingchen Xu. 2017. "Effect of the Cationic Block Structure on the Characteristics of Sludge Flocs Formed by Charge Neutralization and Patching." *Materials (Basel, Switzerland)* 10 (5). https://doi.org/10.3390/ma10050487.
- Zhong, Chunying, Su Sun, Dajie Zhang, Liu Liu, Shen Zhou, and Jiangang Zhou. 2020.
 "Production of a Bioflocculant from Ramie Biodegumming Wastewater Using a Biomass-Degrading Strain and Its Application in the Treatment of Pulping Wastewater." *Chemosphere* 253: 126727.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126727.
- Zhou, Jun, Fenwu Liu, and Chengyi Pan. 2014. "Effects of Cationic Polyacrylamide Characteristics on Sewage Sludge Dewatering and Moisture Evaporation." *PLoS One* 9 (5): e98159. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0098159.
- Zhou, Xu, Wenbiao Jin, Lan Wang, Wanqing Ding, Chuan Chen, Xijun Xu, Renjie Tu, Song-Fang Han, Xiaochi Feng, and Duu-Jong Lee. 2020. "Improving Primary Sludge Dewaterability by Oxidative Conditioning Process with Ferrous Ion-Activated Peroxymonosulfate." *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering* 37 (9): 1498-1506. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11814-020-0517-2.
- Zhou, Zhimin, Sha Liu, and Linan Jia. 2016. "Flocculation Kinetics Mechanism and Floc Formation Prepared by Poly Aluminum Chloride Coupled with

Polyacrylamide for Ship Ballast Water." *Water science and technology : a journal of the International Association on Water Pollution Research* 74 (1): 57-64. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2016.166.

Every reasonable effort has been made to acknowledge the owners of copyright materials. I would be pleased to hear from any copyright owner who has been omitted or incorrectly acknowledged.

APPENDIX A

RAW DATA OF MOLECULAR WEIGHT DETERMINATION BY

GPC-MALLS

A.1 Chromatogram of BF01314



A.2 Summary of the report

CONFIGURATION

Viscometer: n/a Dilution factor: n/a Light scattering instrument: DAWN HELEOS Cell type: K5 Laser wavelength: 658.0 nm Calibration constant: 6.6311e-5 1/(V cm) RI Instrument: Optilab rEX UV Instrument: Generic UV instrument Solvent: 0.2M Acetic Acid/0.2M NaAc Refractive index: 1.331 Flow rate: 0.800 mL/min

PROCESSING

Processing time: Monday October 14, 2019 12:47 PM Malay Peninsula Standard Time Collection time: Friday October 04, 2019 01:46 PM Malay Peninsula Standard Time Detectors used: 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 18 Concentration detector: RI Mass results fitting: none (fit degree: n/a) Radius results fitting: none (fit degree: n/a)

		Peak 1
Peak limits (min)		11.366 - 19.869
dn/dc (mL/g)		0.180
A ₂ (mol mL/g ²)		0.000
UV ext. (mL/(g cm)))	0.000
Model		Zimm
Fit degree		1
Eta Model		Huggins
Huggins Constant		0.0000
Kraemer Constant		0.0000
Injected mass (g)		0.0000
Calc. mass (g)		3.0822e-4
Mass Recovery		n/a
Mass Fraction		100.0000 %
Polydispersity		
Mw/Mn	1.746(13%)	
Mz/Mn	2.075(17%)	
Molar mass moments (g/mol)		
Mn	1.874e+5(129	%)
Mp	2.652e+5(4%)
Mv	n/a	
Mw	3.271e+5(5%)
Mz	3.888e+5(119	%)
rms radius moments (nm)		
Rn	80.8(9%)	
Rw	112.0(2%)	
Rz	112.8(2%)	

APPENDIX B

SAMPLE CALCULATION OF BIOFLOCCULANT CONCENTRATION AND DOSAGE

B.1 Calculation of BF dosage from dilution of 2⁵

From Equation 3.4,

BF dosage (mg/L) = $(W_B/V_S) \times (1/dilution)$

 $W_B = BF$ -dry weight yield presence in 1 mL of purified BF solution (mg) = 4.78 mg V_S = volume of kaolin suspension used for each test (L) = 0.01 L Dilution = 2⁵

Hence,

BF dosage = $(4.78/0.01) \times (1/2^5) = 14.94 mg/L$

B.2 Calculation of BF solution required for dosage of 1.0 kg/t DS

Total solids of raw sludge = 29.13 g/L

Total solids in 100 mL of sludge = 2.913 g dried solids (DS)

BF-dry weight in 1 mL = 4.78 mg

Step 1:

BF required in mg = BF dosage (mg/g DS) \times total solids in 100 mL sludge (g DS)

= 1.0 mg/g DS × 2.913 g DS = 2.913 mg

Step 2:

BF required in mL = BF required in mg / total BF-dry weight in 1 mL (mg)

= 2.913 mg / 4.78 mg

= 0.6094 mL

B.3 Calculation of equivalent BF dosage from %BF to unit of kg/t DS

Total solids of raw sludge = 29.13 g/L

Total solids in 10 mL of sludge = 0.2913 g DS

BF-dry weight in 1 mL = 4.78 mg

Step 1 (example for 3% or 1.0 mL of BF dosage):

BF required in mg = BF required in mL \times total BF-dry weight (mg/mL)

 $= 0.3 \ mL \times 4.78 \ mg/mL$

= 1.434 mg

Step 2:

BF dosage (mg/g DS) = BF required in mg / total solids in 100 mL sludge (g DS)

= 1.434 mg / 0.2913 g DS

= 6.54 mg/g DS (equivalent to kg/t DS)

APPENDIX C

LETTER OF COPYRIGHT PERMISSION FOR REUSE

June 10, 2021

Dr. Lau Shiew Wei Senior Lecturer Curtin University Malaysia CDT 250 98009 Miri, Sarawak

Dear Dr. Lau,

It is my understanding that you/your organisation are the copyright holder for the following material:

PhD thesis titled Conditioning and Dewatering of Anaerobically Digested Sludge from Municipal Wastewater Treatment Processes, November 2015.

I would like to reproduce an extract of this work in a Master's thesis which I am currently undertaking at Curtin University in Miri, Sarawak, Malaysia. The subject of my research is Physicochemical Characterisation and Flocculation Analysis of a Novel Cationic Chitosan-Like Bioflocculant from *Citrobacter Youngae* GTC 01314 to Improve Sludge Dewatering. I am carrying out this research in my own right and have no association with any commercial organisation or sponsor.

The specific material / extract that I would like to use for the purposes of the thesis is Figure 2.1 Wastewater and sludge processing flow diagram (page 14 of the thesis).

Once completed, the thesis will be made available in online form via Curtin University's Institutional Repository espace (<u>http://espace.curtin.edu.au</u>). The material will be provided strictly for educational purposes and on a non-commercial basis.

I would be most grateful for your consent to the copying and communication of the work as proposed. If you are willing to grant this consent, please complete and sign the attached approval slip and return it to me at the address shown. Full acknowledgement of the ownership of the copyright and the source of the material will be provided with the material.

If you are not the copyright owner of the material in question, I would be grateful for any information you can provide as to who is likely to hold the copyright.

I look forward to hearing from you and thank you in advance for your consideration of my request.

Yours sincerely

(Nur Syahirah Mohamed Hatta)

(Page 1 of 2)

PERMISSION TO USE COPYRIGHT MATERIAL AS SPECIFIED BELOW:

Figure 2.1 Wastewater and sludge processing flow diagram (page 14 of the thesis)

I hereby give permission for **Nur Syahirah Mohamed Hatta** to include the abovementioned material in her higher degree thesis for Curtin University, and to communicate this material via the espace institutional repository. This permission is granted on a non-exclusive basis and for an indefinite period.

I confirm that I am the copyright owner of the specified material.

Signed:

Name: Lau Shiew Wei

Position: Senior Lecturer, Curtin University Malaysia

Date: 15 June 2021

Please return signed form to Nur Syahirah Mohamed Hatta via email at syahirah@postgrad.curtin.edu.my.

(Page 2 of 2)



Re: [Request ID :##RE-1289731##] : the copyright licence, Nur Syahirah Mohamed Hatta

2 messages

acta.toimitus@oulu.fi <acta.toimitus@oulu.fi> To: syahirah@postgrad.curtin.edu.my, Terhi.Suopajarvi@oulu.fi Cc: acta.toimitus@oulu.fi, kirsti.nurkkala@oulu.fi Mon, Jun 21, 2021 at 6:04 PM

Dear Nur Syahirah Mohamed Hatta,

We will be pleased to grant permission to reproduce the Figure 2 by Terhi Suopajärvi, taken from the doctoral thesis "Functionalized Nanocelluloses in Wastewater Treatment Applications" published in the series Acta Universitatis Ouluensis (Medica D526, 2015), on the condition that the author includes references to the original sources of the figure.

Ystävällisin terveisin – Kind regards Kirsti Nurkkala

Julkaisutoimittaja | Publications editor Oulun yliopisto | University of Oulu Tiedekirjasto Pegasus | Pegasus Library Tel. +358 294 48 4098 PO Box 7500, FI-90014 OULUN YLIOPISTO www.oulu.fi

June 11, 2021 Dr. Terhi Suopajärvi Faculty of Technology PO Box 4300 90014 University of Oulu Finland

Dear Dr. Terhi Suopajärvi,

It is my understanding that you/your organisation are the copyright holder for the following material: Doctoral thesis titled "Functionalized Nanocelluloses in Wastewater Treatment Applications", 2015. I would like to reproduce an extract of this work in a Master's thesis which I am currently undertaking at Curtin University (Malaysia campus) in Miri, Sarawak, Malaysia. The subject of my research is Physicochemical Characterisation and Flocculation Analysis of a Novel Cationic Chitosan-Like Bioflocculant from *Citrobacter Youngae* GTC 01314 to Improve Sludge Dewatering. I am carrying out this research in my own right and have no association with any commercial organisation or sponsor.

The specific material / extract that I would like to use for the purposes of the thesis is **Fig. 2. Mechanisms of** coagulation and flocculation (page 22 in the thesis). Once completed, the thesis will be made available in online form via Curtin University's Institutional Repository espace

Once completed, the thesis will be made available in online form via Curtin University's Institutional Repository espace (http://espace.curtin.edu.au). The material will be provided strictly for educational purposes and on a non-commercial basis.

I would be most grateful for your consent to the copying and communication of the work as proposed. If you are willing to grant this consent, please complete and sign the attached approval slip and return it to me at the address shown. Full acknowledgement of the ownership of the copyright and the source of the material will be provided with the material.

If you are not the copyright owner of the material in question, I would be grateful for any information you can provide as to who is likely to hold the copyright.

I look forward to hearing from you and thank you in advance for your consideration of my request. Yours sincerely

(Nur Syahirah Mohamed Hatta)

Kirjaston Acta

Oulun yliopiston Service-Desk https://helpdesk.oulu.fi

Requester: Terhi Suopajärvi Requester Email: Terhi.Suopajarvi@oulu.fi Request ID: ##1289731## Category : Kirjasto

NUR SYAHIRAH MOHAMED HATTA <syahirah@postgrad.curtin.edu.my> To: acta.toimitus@oulu.fi Cc: terhi.suopajarvi@oulu.fi, kirsti.nurkkala@oulu.fi Mon, Jun 21, 2021 at 6:34 PM

Dear all,

Thank you very much for your kind permission.

Best regards, Syahirah [Quoted text hidden]

APPENDIX D

ATTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Curtin University

Authorship Agreement Form

The Curtin University <u>Authorship</u>, <u>Peer Review and Publication of Research Findings Policy and</u> <u>Procedures</u>¹ which references the <u>Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research</u>², provides clear guidelines regarding attribution of authorship. This form is to assist researchers in capturing discussions around intended publications arising from joint work. It does not replace copyright or certification forms required by publishers.

1. Research

Project Title:	Spectrometric analysis of flocculation	activity a	nd molecular interactions of chitosan-like
	bioflocculant of Citrobacter strains to	improve s	sludge dewatering
	(Master's thesis title: Physicochemical	Characte	risation and Flocculation Analysis of a Novel
	Cationic Chitosan-Like Bioflocculant fr	om Citrol	pacter Youngae GTC 01314 to Improve Sludge
	Dewatering)		
Project identifier (if applicable)	Fundamental Research Grant Scheme	(FRGS/1/	2017/TK02/CURTIN/03/1)
Principal Investigator	Lau Shiew Wei		
Other named investigator/s [#]	Masahiro Takeo	Role	Co-investigator (conception and design of the
			project, drafting of work and critical review,
L			contribution of knowledge)
	Han Bing Chua		Co-investigator (conception and design of the
	_		project, drafting of work and critical review,
			contribution of knowledge)
	Tushar Kanti Sen		Co-investigator (Contribution of knowledge,
			critical review)
	Ha Ming Ang		Co-investigator (Contribution of knowledge,
			critical review)
Other researcher/s [#]	Nur Syahirah Mohamed Hatta	Role	Master student (Acquisition of research data,
			analysis and interpretation of research data,
			contribution of knowledge, critical review)
	Priyanka Baranwal		Acquisition of research data, analysis and
	,		interpretation of research data, contribution
			of knowledge
	Nabisab Mujawar Mubarak		Analysis and interpretation of research data,
			contribution of knowledge, critical review
	Mohammad Khalid		Acquisition of research data, analysis and
			interpretation of research data
	Danial Aminin Zairin		Acquisition of research data, analysis and
			interpretation of research data

Insert additional rows if required

2. Publications/Outputs - the intended outputs from the above research are identified below

Pub [#]	Description (e.g. method paper)	Publication Type (Conference, journal article etc)
1	Novel cationic chitosan-like bioflocculant from <i>Citrobacter</i> <i>youngae</i> GTC 01314 for the treatment of kaolin suspension and activated sludge	Journal article
2	Enhanced sludge dewaterability by a novel chitosan-like bioflocculant BF01314, its mechanism and flocculation kinetics	Journal article

Insert additional rows if required

3. Proposed order of authors

(add rows as required for additional authors and/or if authorship will differ between multiple outputs)

Pub*	Author**	Corresponding? Y/N
All	1. Nur Syahirah Mohamed Hatta	N
All	2. Shiew Wei Lau	Y
All	3. Masahiro Takeo	N
All	4. Han Bing Chua	N

1	5. Priyanka Baranwal	N
2	6. Tushar Kanti Sen	N
2	7. Ha Ming Ang	N
All	8. Nabisab Mujawar Mubarak	N
All	9. Mohammad Khalid	N
2	10. Danial Aminin Zairin	N
All	Named Acknowledgement: Ministry of Higher Education (FRGS/1/2017/TK02/CURTIN/03/1)	N

* If multiple publications are intended under table 2, and author inclusion and order is the same, then insert "All"

** Attribution of authorship, and role of corresponding author, may have some discipline differences, however, in all cases, inclusion must be based upon substantive intellectual contribution as defined under the Policy. Acceptance should also be sought where intending to name an individual in the acknowledgements.

4. Confirmation of agreement

(add rows as required)

Author Name and Affiliation (if other than Curtin)	Author Signature	Date
1. Nur Syahirah Mohamed Hatta		11/11/2020
2. Shiew Wei Lau		22/11/2020
3. Masahiro Takeo (University of		
Hyogo)		24/11/2020
4. Han Bing Chua		23/11/2020
5. Priyanka Baranwal		24/11/2020
6. Tushar Kanti Sen		4/12/2020
	<u> </u>	7/40/0000
7. Ha Ming Ang		7/12/2020
8. Nabisab Mujawar Mubarak		23/11/2020
9. Mohammad Khalid		23/11/2020
10. Danial Aminin Zairin		23/11/2020

All authors should maintain a copy of this completed form for their reference.

Publication 'in-press' (Chapter 4 and part of subchapter 5.2):

	100 Million (100 M
	Contents lists available at ScienceDirect
and the second	Iournal of Environmental Chemical Engineering
E.C.A.	bournar of Environmental chemical Engineering
ELSEVIER	journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jece
Novel cationic o	hitosan-like bioflocculant from Citrobacter youngae GTC
01314 for the tr	eatment of kaolin suspension and activated sludge
	calification of Rabini Suspension and activated studge
	caulient of kaonin suspension and activated studge
Nur Syahirah Moha	med Hatta ^a , Shiew Wei Lau ^{a,*} , Masahiro Takeo ^b , Han Bing Chua ^a ,
Nur Syahirah Moha Priyanka Baranwal ^b	med Hatta ^a , Shiew Wei Lau ^a , [*] , Masahiro Takeo ^b , Han Bing Chua ^a , , Nabisab Mujawar Mubarak ^a , Mohammad Khalid ^c
Nur Syahirah Moha Priyanka Baranwal ^b ^a Department of Chemical Engineeri ^b Department of Analied Chemistry.	med Hatta ^a , Shiew Wei Lau ^a , ^c , Masahiro Takeo ^b , Han Bing Chua ^a , , Nabisab Mujawar Mubarak ^a , Mohammad Khalid ^c rg. Curin University Malaysia, CDT 250, Miri, Sarawak 98009, Malaysia Graduare School of Emineration of Monos 2167 Statute, Himai Hones 671-2280, Januare
Nur Syahirah Moha Priyanka Baranwal ^b Department of Chemical Engineeri Department of Applied Chemistry, Graphene and Advanced 2D Mate	med Hatta ^a , Shiew Wei Lau ^a , [*] , Masahiro Takeo ^b , Han Bing Chua ^a , , Nabisab Mujawar Mubarak ^a , Mohammad Khalid ^c ng. Curtin University Malaysia, CDT 250, Miri, Sarawak 98009, Malaysia Graduate School of Engineerius, University of Hyopo, 2167 Shoaha, Himgi, Hyogo 671-2280, Japan rials Research Group (GAMRG), School of Science and Technology, Sanway University, No. 5, Jalan Universiti, Bandar Sunway,
Nur Syahirah Moha Priyanka Baranwal ^b ^D Pepartment of Chemical Engineeri Department of Applied Chemistry. ^C Graphene and Advanced 2D Mate Subang Jaya, Selangor 47500, Mal	med Hatta ^a , Shiew Wei Lau ^{a, *} , Masahiro Takeo ^b , Han Bing Chua ^a , , Nabisab Mujawar Mubarak ^a , Mohammad Khalid ^C ng. Curtin University Malaysia, CDT 250, Miri, Sarawak 98009, Malaysia Graduate School of Engineering, University of Hyogo, 2167 Shoaha, Himdji, Hyogo 671-2280, Japan rials Research Group (GAMRG), School of Science and Technology, Sunway University, No. 5, Jalan Universiti, Bandar Sunway, aysia
Nur Syahirah Moha Priyanka Baranwal ^b ¹ Department of Chemical Engineeri Department of Applied Chemistry. Craphene and Advanced 2D Mate Subang Jaya, Selangor 47500, Mal	med Hatta ^a , Shiew Wei Lau ^a , [*] , Masahiro Takeo ^b , Han Bing Chua ^a , , Nabisab Mujawar Mubarak ^a , Mohammad Khalid ^C ng. Curtin University Malaysia, CDT 250, Miri, Sarawak 98009, Malaysia Graduate School of Engineering, University of Hyogo, 2167 Shouha, Himeji, Hyogo 671-2280, Japan rials Research Group (GAMRG), School of Science and Technology, Samway University, No. 5, Jalan Universiti, Bandar Sumway, aysia
Nur Syahirah Moha Priyanka Baranwal ^b ^a Department of Chemical Engineeri Department of Applied Chemistry. Corphene and Advanced 2D Mate Subang Jaya, Selangor 47500, Mate	med Hatta ^a , Shiew Wei Lau ^a , [*] , Masahiro Takeo ^b , Han Bing Chua ^a , , Nabisab Mujawar Mubarak ^a , Mohammad Khalid ^C ng. Curtin University Malaysia, CDT 250, Miri, Sarawak 98009, Malaysia Graduate School of Engineering, University of Hyogo, 2167 Shosha, Himeji, Hyogo 671-2280, Japan rials Research Group (GAMRG), School of Science and Technology, Sunway University, No. 5, Jalan Universiti, Bandar Sunway, aysia
Nur Syahirah Moha Priyanka Baranwal ^b ^a Department of Chemical Engineeri ^b Department of Applied Chemistry. ^c Graphene and Advanced 2D Mate Subang Jaya, Selangor 47500, Mal	med Hatta ^a , Shiew Wei Lau ^a , [*] , Masahiro Takeo ^b , Han Bing Chua ^a , , Nabisab Mujawar Mubarak ^a , Mohammad Khalid ^C ng. Curtin University Malaysia, CDT 250, Miri, Sarawak 98009, Malaysia Graduate School of Engineering, University of Hyogo, 2167 Shosha, Himeji, Hyogo 671-2280, Japan rials Research Group (GAMRG), School of Science and Technology, Sunway University, No. 5, Jalan Universiti, Bandar Sunway, aysia A B S T R A C T In this study, a novel soluble chitosan-like bioflocculant (BF), named BF01314, was prepared from the culture supermatant of Cirobacter vournee GTC 01314 for notential apolication in the treatment of Kaolin suspension and
Nur Syahirah Moha Priyanka Baranwal ^b ^a Department of Chemical Engineeri ^b Oraphene and Advanced 2D Mate Subarg Jaya, Selangor 47500, Mal A R T I C L E I N F O Editor: Vitor J.P. Vilar Keywords:	med Hatta ^a , Shiew Wei Lau ^a , [*] , Masahiro Takeo ^b , Han Bing Chua ^a , , Nabisab Mujawar Mubarak ^a , Mohammad Khalid ^C ng. Curtin University Molaysia, CDT 250, Miri, Sarawak 98009, Malaysia Graduate School of Engineering, University of Hyogo, 2167 Shosha, Himeji, Hyogo 671-2280, Japan riads Research Group (GAMRG), School of Science and Technology, Sunway University, No. 5, Jalan Universiti, Bandar Sunway, aysia A B S T R A C T In this study, a novel soluble chitosan-like bioflocculant (BF), named BF01314, was prepared from the culture aupernatant of Citrobacter youngae GTC 01314 for potential application in the treatment of kaolin suspension and activated sludge. The physicochemical characteristics of the BF and its flocculation behavior under the effects of
Nur Syahirah Moha Priyanka Baranwal ^b ^b Pepartment of Chemical Engineeri ^c Graphene and Advanced 2D Mate Subarg Jaya, Selangor 47500, Mal A R T I C L E I N F O Editor: Vitor J.P. Vilar Keywords: Cirobacter Novel bioflocculant	med Hatta ^a , Shiew Wei Lau ^a , [*] , Masahiro Takeo ^b , Han Bing Chua ^a , , Nabisab Mujawar Mubarak ^a , Mohammad Khalid ^C ng, Curtin University Malaysia, CDT 250, Miri, Sarawak 98009, Malaysia Graduate School of Engineering, University of Hyogo, 2167 Shosha, Himeji, Hyogo 671-2280, Japan rials Research Group (GAMRG), School of Science and Technology, Sunway University, No. 5, Jalan Universiti, Bandar Sunway, aysia A B S T R A C T In this study, a novel soluble chitosan-like bioflocculant (BF), named BF01314, was prepared from the culture supernatant of Citrobacter youngae GTC 01314 for potential application in the treatment of kaolin suspension and activated sludge. The physicochemical characteristics of the BF and its flocculation behavior under the effects of BF concentration, pH and temperature in kaolin suspension were investigated. Results reveal that BF01314
Nur Syahirah Moha Priyanka Baranwal ^b Pepartment of Chemical Engineeri ^c Department of Applied Chemistry, Graphene and Advanced 2D Mate Subang Jaya, Selangor 47500, Mal A R T I C L E I N F O Editor: Vitor J.P. Vilar Keywords: Citrobacter Novel bioflocculant Flocculation activity	med Hatta ^a , Shiew Wei Lau ^a , [*] , Masahiro Takeo ^b , Han Bing Chua ^a , , Nabisab Mujawar Mubarak ^a , Mohammad Khalid ^c ng. Curtin University Malaysia, CDT 250, Miri, Sarawak 98009, Malaysia Graduate School of Engineering, University of Hyogo, 2167 Shotha, Himeji, Hyogo 671-2280, Japan rials Research Group (GAMRG), School of Science and Technology, Sunway University, No. 5, Jalan Universiti, Bandar Sunway, aysia A B S T R A C T In this study, a novel soluble chitosan-like bioflocculant (BF), named BF01314, was prepared from the culture supernatant of Citrobacter youngae GTC 01314 for potential application in the treatment of kaolin suspension and activated sludge. The physicochemical characteristics of the BF and its flocculation behavior under the effects of BF concentration, pH and temperature in kaolin suspension were investigated. Results reveal that BF01314 exhibited a chitosan-like infrared spectrum with the presence of the characteristic hydroxyl, amide, and amino grouns. The BF demonstrated stronge flocuble floor floored the presence of the orderacteristic hydroxyl, amide, and amino grouns. The BF demonstrated stronge flocuble floored floored floored the presence of the characteristic hydroxyl, amide, and amino grouns. The BF demonstrated stronge flocuble floored floored floored presence of the characteristic hydroxyl, amide, and amino grouns. The BF demonstrated stronge floored floored floored floored floored presence of the physicoline stronge floored floo