

Article



Deformation Mechanisms Dominated by Decomposition of an Interfacial Misfit Dislocation Network in Ni/Ni₃Al Multilayer Structures

Zhiwei Zhang ^{1,2,*}, Xingyi Zhang ^{1,2}, Rong Yang ³, Jun Wang ^{3,*}, and Chunsheng Lu ⁴

- ¹ Department of Mechanics and Engineering Sciences, College of Civil Engineering and Mechanics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China; zhangxingyi@lzu.edu.cn
- ² Key Laboratory of Mechanics on Environment and Disaster in Western China, The Ministry of Education of China, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China
- ³ State Key Laboratory of Nonlinear Mechanics (LNM), Institute of Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; yangr@lnm.imech.ac.cn
- ⁴ School of Civil and Mechanical Engineering, Curtin University, Perth, WA 6845, Australia; c.lu@curtin.edu.au
- * Correspondence: zhangzhiwei@lzu.edu.cn (Z.Z.); wangjun@lnm.imech.ac.cn (J.W.)

Abstract: Ni/Ni₃Al heterogeneous multilayer structures are widely used in aerospace manufacturing because of their unique coherent interfaces and excellent mechanical properties. Revealing the deformation mechanisms of interfacial structures is of great significance for microstructural design and their engineering applications. Thus, this work aims to establish the connection between the evolution of an interfacial misfit dislocation (IMD) network and tensile deformation mechanisms of Ni/Ni₃Al multilayer structures. It is shown that the decomposition of IMD networks dominates the deformation and layer thickness. Specifically, the Ni/Ni₃Al (100) multilayer structure achieves its maximum yield strength of 5.28 GPa at the layer thickness of 3.19 nm. As a comparison, the (110) case has a maximum yield strength of 4.35 GPa as the layer thickness is 3.01 nm. However, the yield strength of the (111) one seems irrelevant to layer thickness, which fluctuates between 10.89 and 11.81 GPa. These findings can provide new insights into a deep understanding of the evolution and deformation of the IMD network of Ni/Ni₃Al multilayer structures.

Keywords: Ni/Ni₃Al multilayer structures; interfacial misfit dislocation network; dislocation evolution; crystalline orientation effect; molecular dynamics

1. Introduction

Ni-based superalloys have been widely utilized to manufacture aircraft engine blades in aerospace industries due to their desirable mechanical properties and resistance to creep, corrosion, and oxidation [1,2]. These excellent thermomechanical properties are mainly attributed to the superlattice structure of Ni₃Al precipitates and the interfacial misfit dislocation (IMD) network between Ni matrix and Ni₃Al precipitates [3–5]. More recently, in addition to studies on the mechanical properties and deformation mechanisms of Ni₃Al precipitates [6,7], there have been growing interests that are focused on the IMD network. This is mainly because, by absorbing and accommodating slip dislocations in the Ni matrix, the IMD network can impede dislocations from approaching or shearing into the Ni₃Al phase. Especially when applied in multilayer structures, it may play a more important role in dominating deformation [8,9].

Over the past few decades, numerous experiments and theoretical analyses have been carried out to ascertain the microstructural evolution and mechanical behaviors in Ni/Ni₃Al heterogeneous multilayer structures [10–14]. For example, Zhang et al. [10] prepared Ni/Ni₃Al nanostructured multilayers by magnetron sputtering and studied the



Citation: Zhang, Z.; Zhang, X.; Yang, R.; Wang, J.; Lu, C. Deformation Mechanisms Dominated by Decomposition of an Interfacial Misfit Dislocation Network in Ni/Ni₃Al Multilayer Structures. *Materials* **2024**, *17*, 4006. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ma17164006

Academic Editors: Zine El Abiddine Fellah and Laszlo S. Toth

Received: 4 July 2024 Revised: 6 August 2024 Accepted: 9 August 2024 Published: 12 August 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). microstructure and hardness of Ni/Ni₃Al multilayers by transmission electron microscopy and nanoindentation. Sun et al. [11] investigated the phase stability of the Ni/Ni₃Al multilayer structure under high-temperature annealing and irradiation. Yu et al. [12] performed molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to analyze the intergranular and transgranular crack propagation behavior at the Ni/Ni₃Al interface. Shang et al. [13] explored the plastic deformation mechanism of the Ni/Ni3Al interface dislocation network with pre-voids under tensile loading and found out that the main plastic deformation is due to the propagation of slip bands emitted from stair-rod dislocation and stacking faults generated from Shockley partials. Liu et al. [14] also applied MD simulations to examine the microstructure and properties of thin Ni/Ni₃Al under uniaxial tension of twist grain boundaries. Hocker et al. [15] studied brittle/ductile interfaces of Ni/NiAl under mechanical loading and their results showed that interfaces have an influence on strain-induced material failure through nucleation of defects. Although relevant experiments have captured the IMD in Ni/Ni₃Al heterogeneous multilayer structures, its evolution process during deformation is rather elusive. However, it is fortunate that such a missing process can be addressed via MD simulations. Here, to the best of our knowledge, there are still no reports on the evolution of the IMD network within Ni/Ni₃Al heterogeneous multilayer structures with various crystallographic orientations under deformation.

In this paper, to establish the connection between the evolution of the IMD network and tensile deformation mechanisms of Ni/Ni₃Al multilayer structures, the MD simulation is adopted to comprehensively investigate the evolution of the IMD network within Ni/Ni₃Al heterogeneous multilayer structures under tensile deformation along various crystallographic orientations. The length of dislocations and microstructural evolution are extracted to clarify the deformation mechanism of Ni/Ni₃Al heterogeneous multilayer structures. In addition, the effect of layer thickness is elaborated and studied on the yield strength of Ni/Ni₃Al multilayer structures.

2. Numerical Models and Methodology

2.1. Ni/Ni₃Al Heterogeneous Interface Models

As illustrated in Figure 1, the heterogeneous interfacial configurations of Ni/Ni₃Al multilayer structures were constructed with various crystallographic orientations. As is well known, lattice misfit corresponds to the deformation of an invariant lattice. Let us take the Ni/Ni₃Al (100) heterogeneous interfacial configuration as an example. Considering the size coincidence of two heterogeneous lattices on the (100) misfit interphase interface, there are at least 66 Ni₃Al lattices and 67 Ni lattices to relax stress induced by the difference in lattice parameters (3.52 Å for Ni and 3.573 Å for Ni₃Al) [16,17]. The smallest size of the Ni/Ni₃Al (100) heterogeneous interface is obtained as $3.52 \times 67 \approx 3.573 \times 66 \approx 23.6$ nm. Here, the volume of the Ni/Ni₃Al (100) multilayer structure is $23.6 \times 23.6 \times 21.3$ nm³, consisting of more than 0.9 million atoms (see Figure 1a). It is shown that semi-coherent square Ni/Ni₃Al IMD networks form on interphase interfaces to reduce the distortion energy of the system. It is also seen that a Ni/Ni₃Al (100) square IMD network mainly contains four 1/2<110> perfect dislocations.

Similarly, the Ni/Ni₃Al (110) and Ni/Ni₃Al (111) heterogeneous interfacial configurations were constructed, with volumes of $16.7 \times 23.6 \times 25.1 \text{ nm}^3$ and $16.7 \times 28.9 \times 30.7 \text{ nm}^3$, respectively (see Figure 1b,c). After energy minimization, to accommodate the misfit strain due to the lattice difference between the two phases, the regular quadrilateral and equilateral triangular IMD networks form on the (110) and (111) interfaces of Ni/Ni₃Al, as shown in Figure 1b,c, respectively. Among them, the Ni/Ni₃Al (110) semi-coherent IMD network consists of one $1/2[0\bar{1}1]$ perfect dislocation and one 1/3[100] Hirth dislocation at the interface. The latter connects to one $1/6[2\bar{1}1]$ and one $1/6[21\bar{1}]$ Shockley dislocation with two segments of stacking faults in the Ni matrix. The Ni/Ni₃Al (111) IMD network consists of three equilateral triangle regions of stacking faults with three 1/6<112 Shockley dislocation investigate their effects on the mechanical properties of Ni/Ni₃Al multilayer structures.





Figure 1. The heterogeneous interfacial configurations and IMD networks of Ni/Ni₃Al multilayer structures with various crystallographic orientations. (**a**) (100) Ni/Ni₃Al interface and (100) IMD network, (**b**) (110) Ni/Ni₃Al interface and (110) IMD network, and (**c**) (111) Ni/Ni₃Al interface and (111) IMD network, where atoms are colored by dislocation analysis with red representing stacking faults and green, blue, and yellow lines indicating 1/6<112> Shockley, 1/2<110> perfect and 1/3<100> Hirth dislocations, respectively. Inset in (**c**) shows a bottom view of the IMD network structure. FCC structures are removed for clarity.

2.2. Molecular Dynamics Simulation

Atomistic simulations were performed by using the Largescale Atomic/Molecular Massively Parallel Simulator [18]. An embedded-atom potential function for the Ni-Al system developed by Mishin [19] was taken to describe the atomic interaction in Ni₃Al and Ni multilayer structures. In this function, the total energy, *E*, of a system is represented by

$$E = \sum_{\substack{i,j\\i \neq j}} V_{EAM}(r_{ij}) + \sum_{i} F(\overline{\rho_i})$$
(1)

where $V_{\text{EAM}}(r_{ij})$, a pair potential, is a function of the distance r_{ij} between atoms *i* and *j*. Moreover, *F* is the embedding energy of atom *i* and $\overline{\rho_i}$ is the electron density, which is defined as

Ā

$$\overline{i}_i = \sum_{i \neq j} g_j(r_{ij}) \tag{2}$$

where $g_i(r_{ij})$ is the electron density of atom *j*.

Such a potential was built up by fitting to data of both experiments and first principles. It can be applied to depict an accurate lattice, the mechanical properties, and the energetics of point defects and planar faults especially. The latter is essential to study planar fault dominated deformation mechanisms of Ni₃Al [20,21]. In addition, periodic boundary conditions were introduced in three directions and initial configurations were energetically minimized by relaxing all samples for 100 ps at 300 K with the Nosé–Hoover thermostat [17]. Simulations were performed by integrating Newton's equations of motion for all atoms with a time step of 1 fs. To obtain the mechanical properties, a constant strain rate of 5×10^8 s⁻¹ was applied. The comparison between MD simulation results and that

of experiments can be made with the help of a theoretical model involving strain rate and interface dimension given that experimental data are available [22]. Here, we have to concentrate on simulation results due to lack of experimental ones. Generally, crystallographic orientations are too many to list all their effects on the mechanical properties of a crystal. Here, three common orientations, [100], [110] and [111], were chosen to investigate the orientation dependent mechanical properties of Ni/Ni₃Al multilayer structures. The first one involves a uniaxial tensile load along the [100] and [010] directions for the Ni/Ni₃Al (100) heterogeneous interfacial configuration. The second one has two cases along [110] and [110] directions for the Ni/Ni₃Al (110) heterogeneous interfacial configuration. However, the third one only possesses the [111] tensile direction for the Ni/Ni₃Al (111) heterogeneous interfacial configuration. Stress in a stress–strain relationship was calculated by the Virial scheme [23–25], which depicts the average stress σ over a volume Ω around an atom *i* with mass m_i and velocity v_i at position r_i subjected to force f_{ij} from atom *j* as

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\Omega} (-m_i \boldsymbol{v}_i \times \boldsymbol{v}_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j(\neq i)} \boldsymbol{r}_{ij} \times \boldsymbol{f}_{ij}).$$
(3)

During uniaxial loading, deformation and defects of Ni/Ni₃Al heterogeneous interfacial configurations were recognized by common neighbor and dislocation analysis and then visualized with the software OVITO [26].

3. Results

3.1. Ni/Ni₃Al (100) Interface

As shown in Figure 2, the tensile stresses along the [100] and [010] crystalline directions in the Ni/Ni₃Al (100) heterogeneous interfacial configuration linearly increase with strain until the yield strengths. The former produces the yield strength of 4.35 GPa at strain 3.5%, which are smaller than that verified in the latter (the yield strength of 6.34 GPa at strain 4.8%). Subsequently, as strain further increases, the stress–strain curves in both cases firstly drop and then enter a plastic flow stage.



Figure 2. The tensile stress–strain curves of the Ni/Ni₃Al (100) heterogeneous multilayer structure with tensile loading along the [100] and [010] orientations.

Real-time detection on activities of dislocations and stacking faults indicates that the yield strength is closely related to their evolution, as illustrated in Figure 3. In the case of loading along the [100] crystalline orientation, one 1/2 < 110> perfect dislocation segment from an IMD network on one Ni/Ni₃Al (100) heterogeneous interface is decomposed to two 1/6 < 112> Shockley dislocations and one 1/6 < 110> stair-rod dislocation at strain of

1.7%. Each 1/6<110> stair-rod dislocation connects with a 1/6<112> Shockley dislocation by a stacking fault between them in the Ni matrix (see Figure 3a). With the increase in strain, more 1/2<110> perfect dislocations decompose to form 1/6<112> Shockley dislocations, 1/6 < 110 stair-rod dislocations, and stacking faults. The decomposing reaction can be written as $1/2 < 110 > \rightarrow 1/6 < 112 > + 1/6 < 112 > + 1/6 < 110$. It is worth noting, however, that when the Ni/Ni₃Al (100) heterogeneous interfacial configuration reaches the yield stress, stacking faults and dislocations are concentrated in the Ni matrix. The dislocation evolution can be quantified by variation in dislocation lengths with strain (see Figure 4a). As strain increases, the lengths of 1/2 < 110 perfect dislocations gradually decrease. On the contrary, growth is observed on lengths of 1/6<112> Shockley and 1/6<110> stair-rod dislocations. At the yield point, the lengths of 1/2 < 110> perfect dislocations are close to zero, demonstrating that all the 1/2 < 110 > perfect dislocations in the IMD network at the Ni/Ni₃Al (100) heterogeneous interfaces are decomposed into 1/6<112> Shockley and 1/6<110> stair-rod dislocations. After the yield point, 1/6<112> Shockley and 1/6<110> stair-rod dislocations multiply sharply and account for the main dislocation components with increasing the tensile strain.

In the case of loading along the [010] crystalline orientation, the same dislocation decomposing reaction (Figure 3b) and trend of length of dislocations are seen with variation in strain (Figure 4b). However, at the yield point, dislocations and stacking faults are mainly accumulated in the Ni₃Al layer. Only a small stacking fault tetrahedron is found in the Ni matrix.



Figure 3. The microstructural evolution and decomposition of the Ni/Ni₃Al (100) IMD network with loading along (**a**) [100] and (**b**) [010] orientations at various strains, where atoms are colored by dislocation analysis with red representing stacking faults. Green, blue, purple, and yellow lines indicating 1/6<112> Shockley, 1/2<110> perfect, 1/6<110> stair-rod and 1/3<100> Hirth dislocations, respectively. FCC structures are removed for clarity.



Figure 4. Lengths of 1/6<112>, 1/6<110>, and 1/2<110> dislocations vary with strain as the Ni/Ni₃Al (100) heterogeneous multilayer structure is stretched along (**a**) [100] and (**b**) [010] orientations.

3.2. Ni/Ni₃Al (110) Interface

Figure 5 shows the tensile stress–strain curves of the Ni/Ni₃Al (110) heterogeneous interfacial configuration with tensile loading along the [110] and [110] crystalline orientations, respectively. As the loading direction is along the [110] crystalline orientation, the stress–strain curve exhibits fluctuation before the maximum stress is achieved. Structural analysis shows that the four stacking fault segments (see Figure 1b) in the Ni/Ni₃Al (110) IMD network expand into the Ni matrix. At the strain of 1.3%, their mutual contact causes dislocation reactions ($1/6<112>+1/6<112> \rightarrow 1/6<110>$) to form two 1/6<110> stair-rod dislocation reactions (see Figure 7a). As stress reaches its local peak of 2.43 GPa, the stacking fault rhombus cylinder decomposes. Each 1/6<110> stair-rod dislocation decomposes into two 1/6<112> Shockley dislocations, which expand toward the edge of the Ni matrix with stacking faults behind them. Subsequently, as strain increases to 7.3%, stress reaches its maximum value of 4.77 GPa. At this point, stacking faults cross the



 Ni/Ni_3Al (110) interface and coexist in Ni and Ni_3Al layers. During the entire deformation process, the 1/2 < 110> perfect dislocations remain motionless.

Figure 5. The tensile stress–strain curves of the Ni/Ni₃Al (110) heterogeneous multilayer structure with tensile loading along [110] and $[1\overline{10}]$ orientations.



Figure 6. The evolution of the Ni/Ni₃Al (110) IMD network with loading along (**a**) [110] and (**b**) [110] orientations at various strains, where atoms are colored by dislocation analysis with red representing stacking faults and green, blue, purple, and yellow lines indicating 1/6<112> Shockley, 1/2<110> perfect, 1/6<110> stair-rod, and 1/3<100> Hirth dislocations, respectively. FCC structures are removed for clarity. Insets in (b) show bottom views of dislocation structures.



Figure 7. Lengths of 1/6<112>, 1/6<110>, 1/3<110>, and 1/2<110> dislocations vary with strain as the Ni/Ni₃Al (110) heterogeneous multilayer structure is stretched along (**a**) [110] and (**b**) [110] orientations.

However, under loading along the [110] crystalline orientation, the tensile stress–strain curve linearly rises until the yield strength (7.65 GPa at the stain of 5.4%) is reached (see Figure 5). Below the yield point, there is no obvious dislocation reaction in the Ni/Ni₃Al (110) IMD network, declaring its elastic deformation (Figure 6b). Beyond the yield point, stress drops sharply and then tends to a stable plastic flow state. Structural analysis reveals that 1/6<112> Shockley dislocations initiated from the Ni/Ni₃Al (110) IMD network expand and multiply along the slip direction of [110] crystalline orientation. Finally, as strain ascends, stacking faults vertically penetrate the entire Ni/Ni₃Al (110) heterogeneous interface configuration. The structural analysis is echoed by the evolution of dislocation lengths with varying strain (see Figure 7b).

3.3. Ni/Ni₃Al (111) Interface

Figure 8a shows the tensile stress–strain relationship of the Ni/Ni₃Al (111) heterogeneous interfacial configuration with loading along the [111] crystalline orientation. After stress reaches its peak value of 11.34 GPa at strain 4.5%, it drops and then tends to the plastic flow stage with a further increase in strain. Dislocation analysis in Figure 8b indicates that lengths of various dislocations remain unchanged before strain 4.5%, demonstrating the elastic deformation of the Ni/Ni₃Al (111) heterogeneous interfacial configuration. However, after the yield point, 1/6<112> Shockley and 1/6<110> stair-rod dislocations increase sharply with the increase in strain. Then, the length of 1/6<110> stair-rod dislocations tends to be stable, while that of 1/6<112> Shockley dislocations is still in the process of proliferation.



Figure 8. (a) The stress–strain curve of the Ni/Ni3Al (111) heterogeneous multilayer structure with tensile loading along the [111] orientation and (b) lengths of 1/6<112>, 1/6<110>, 1/3<110>, and 1/2<110> dislocations versus strain.

From the perspective of microstructural evolution, it is also seen that, before the yield point (strain 4.5%), the Ni/Ni₃Al (111) IMD network remains intact with its triangular pattern almost unchanged. However, new 1/6<112> Shockley dislocations originate at the triangle corner as soon as the yield point is reached. The newly generated 1/6<112>

Shockley dislocations expand to the basic interior and drag a section of stacking faults behind them. Then, as newly generated 1/6<112> Shockley dislocations meet, 1/6<112> + 1/6<112> + 1/6<112> \rightarrow 1/6<110> merging reaction occurs, forming a 1/6<110> stair-rod dislocation. After saturation of the merging reaction, the total amount of 1/6<110> stair-rod dislocations remains stable even with the additional multiplication of 1/6<112> Shockley dislocations (see Figure 9).

(111) / [111]



Figure 9. The Ni/Ni₃Al (111) IMD network evolves with strain as tensile loading is along the [111] orientation, where atoms are colored with red representing stacking faults and green, blue, purple, and yellow lines indicating 1/6<112> Shockley, 1/2<110> perfect, 1/6<110> stair-rod, and 1/3<100> Hirth dislocations, respectively. FCC structures are removed for clarity.

3.4. Effects of Layer Thickness for Ni/Ni₃Al Multilayer Structure

A series of MD tensile simulations were performed to better understand the effect of layer thickness on the yield strength and deformation mechanisms of Ni/Ni₃Al multilayer structures with the three common crystalline orientations. Specifically, the Ni/Ni₃Al (100) heterogeneous interfacial configurations involve two loading directions and their stress–strain curves are shown in Figure 10. However, the Ni/Ni₃Al (110) and Ni/Ni₃Al (111) heterogeneous interfacial configurations only include the situation of stretching perpendicular to the (110) and (111) interface and their stress–strain curves are seen in Figure 11. It is shown that the yield strength of Ni/Ni₃Al layer matrix, as summarized in Figure 12. For the Ni/Ni₃Al (100) heterogeneous interfacial configurations interfacial configuration with loading along the [100] orientation, its yield strength rises from 3.29 to 5.28 GPa as the layer thickness further goes up. As loading is along the [010] orientation, the overall level of strength is higher although a similar trend is observed between strength and layer thickness.

The Ni/Ni₃Al (110) heterogeneous interfacial configuration with loading along the [110] orientation also produces a first ascendant in strength from 4.29 to 4.35 GPa as the layer thickness increases from 2.51 to 3.01 nm. Similarly, strength then drops to 2.48 GPa with the growth of layer thickness to 12.54 nm. However, the Ni/Ni₃Al (111) heterogeneous interfacial configuration with loading along the [111] orientation generates a roughly unchanged strength between 10.89 and 11.81 GPa with a layer thickness between 2.46 and 15.36 nm. The variation in the yield strength in the Ni/Ni₃Al (111) heterogeneous interfacial configuration is relatively small compared with the other three cases.

Figure 13a,b shows the structural deformation of the Ni/Ni₃Al (100) heterogeneous interfacial configuration with loading along the [100] and [010] orientation at the yield point with the layer thickness of 3.55 and 5.32 nm, respectively. In the case of 3.55 nm, the decomposition of IMD networks causes the spread of dislocations and stacking faults behind them in both Ni and Ni₃Al layers. However, as the layer thickness is beyond 3.55 nm, only one IMD network from a layer decomposes at the yield point. This leads to

the spread of dislocations and stacking faults within either Ni or Ni₃Al layer. Figure 13c illustrates that the formation of stacking fault rhombus cylinders is within the Ni layers as loading is along the [110] orientation for the Ni/Ni₃Al (110) heterogeneous interfacial configuration. However, propagation of 1/6 < 112 > Shockley dislocations in the (111) IMD networks extends to both sides of the (111) interface as the Ni/Ni₃Al (111) heterogeneous interfacial configuration is stretched along the [111] orientation (see Figure 13d).



Figure 10. Tensile stress–strain curves of Ni/Ni₃Al (100) heterogeneous multilayer structures with various layer thicknesses corresponding to loading along (**a**) [100] and (**b**) [010] orientations, respectively.



Figure 11. Tensile stress–strain curves of (**a**) Ni/Ni₃Al (110) and (**b**) Ni/Ni₃Al (111) heterogeneous multilayer structures vary with layer thickness as loading is along [110] and [111] orientations, respectively.



Figure 12. Dependence of yield strength of Ni/Ni₃Al heterogeneous multilayer structures on their layer thicknesses.



Figure 13. Microstructural evolution of Ni/Ni₃Al heterogeneous multilayer structures with different layer thicknesses. The Ni/Ni₃Al (100) multilayer structure is stretched along (**a**) [100] and (**b**) [010] orientations. (**c**) The Ni/Ni₃Al (110) multilayer structure is tensibly loaded along the [110] orientation, while that for (**d**) the Ni/Ni₃Al (111) multilayer structure is along the [111] orientation. Atoms are colored red representing stacking faults. Green, blue, purple, and yellow lines indicate 1/6<112> Shockley, 1/2<110> perfect, 1/6<110>, and 1/3<100> Hirth dislocations, respectively. FCC structures are removed for clarity.

4. Discussion

As mentioned above, structures of the IMD network at three main Ni/Ni₃Al heterogeneous interfaces were obtained through MD simulation. For the Ni/Ni_3Al (100), (110), and (111) interfaces, the IMD networks are square, regular quadrilateral, and equilateral triangular, respectively, which are consistent with the previous findings [27-29]. Tensile simulation further reveals that the yield strength of Ni/Ni₃Al heterogeneous multilayer structures is significantly structural and orientation-dependent. It is shown that, for the same layer thickness of ~ 10 nm, the Ni/Ni₃Al (110) multilayer structure produces the lowest yield strength, while the Ni/Ni₃Al (111) one outputs the maximum value. The medium outcome is achieved by the Ni/Ni_3Al (100) multilayer structure (Figure 12). This can be attributed to the order of difficulty in decomposing an IMD network at the Ni/Ni_3Al interface. Specifically, decompositions for the (110), (100), and (111) cases follow the order of propagation of pre-existing 1/6<112> Shockley dislocations, decomposition of 1/2<110> perfect dislocations, and generation of new 1/6<112> Shockley dislocations. Driving a pre-existing dislocation is the easiest task while generating a new one is the hardest. This order determines the external effort to decompose an IMD network and thus gives the order of yield strength of a Ni/Ni₃Al multilayer structure. This result also echoes that in single-crystal silicon [27] and Ni-based superalloy [28] crystals as the orientation effect shows.

The trend of yield strength varying with layer thickness can be explained by the Hall-Petch effect [29], which describes the inverse relation between strength and grain size. Here, the layer thickness plays the role of grain size. With the reduction in layer thickness, the space for dislocation activities is weakened and this induces the growth of yield strength. However, there is a turning point at a layer thickness of 3.55 nm for the Ni/Ni₃Al (100) multilayer structure (Figure 12). Below the size, yield strength drops as the layer thickness further reduces. The turning point can be elucidated by the switch of dislocation activities. Li et al. [30] have shown that the conventional strengthening mechanism by dislocation pileup and cutting through twin planes switch to the softening mechanism by twin-boundary migration as the grain size is below a certain value. Figure 13a, b shows that the dislocation activity switches from the decomposition of all IMD networks to 1/2 of them as the layer thickness is over 3.55 nm. Since the existence of an IMD network can hinder dislocation activities [31,32], their disappearance is an undoubted softening mechanism and thus leads to a drop in yield strength. The yield strength-layer thickness trend obtained here is qualitatively consistent with the previous experimental results [10]. However, no obvious turning point can be detected for the Ni/Ni_3Al (110) and (111) multilayer structures due to the lack of the switch of dislocation activities.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, a series of MD simulations have been performed to investigate the evolution of the IMD networks and tensile deformation behaviors of Ni/Ni₃Al heterogeneous multilayer structures with various crystallographic directions and layer thicknesses. It is shown that the decomposition of the IMD networks dominates the deformation of Ni/Ni₃Al heterogeneous multilayer structures. The decomposition also depends on the loading direction and layer thickness. The main conclusions can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Whether the Ni/Ni₃Al (100) interfacial configuration is tensibly loaded along the [100] or [010] orientation, it encounters the same $1/2<110> \rightarrow 1/6<112> + 1/6<112> + 1/6<110>$ dislocation decomposition reaction. However, dislocations evolve in the Ni and Ni₃Al layers for the two orientations, respectively;
- As tensile loading is along the [110] orientation, decomposition of the Ni/Ni₃Al (110) IMD network initiates from the propagation of 1/6<112> Shockley dislocations and stacking faults behind them. This causes the yielding of the Ni/Ni₃Al (110) multilayer structure in advance in contrast to the case of loading, which is along the [110] orientation. The 1/2<110> perfect dislocations always remain stable, showing an insensitivity to loading orientations;

- 3. The decomposition of the Ni/Ni₃Al (111) IMD network is derived from the generation of new 1/6<112> Shockley dislocations at its triangular corners. The newly formed 1/6<112> Shockley dislocations extend into both Ni and Ni₃Al layers;
- 4. It is expected that these findings can provide new insights into a deep understanding of the evolution of IMD networks dominated deformation mechanism of Ni/Ni₃Al heterogeneous multilayer structures and benefit their wide applications in the aerospace industry.

Author Contributions: Z.Z.: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Data curation, Writing—original draft, and Funding acquisition. X.Z.: Writing—review and editing and Funding acquisition. R.Y.: Funding acquisition, Writing—review and editing. J.W.: Conceptualization, Supervision, and Writing—review and editing. C.L.: Writing—review and editing, validation. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12302241 and 12325205), the Natural Science Foundation of Gansu Province of China (Grant Nos. 23JRRA1118 and 23ZDKA0009), and the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Project No. XDB0620101). The simulations were performed on resources provided by the Supercomputing Center of Lanzhou University and the Pawsey Supercomputing Center with funding from the Australian Government and the Government of Western Australia.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- 1. Xia, W.S.; Zhao, X.B.; Yue, L.; Zhang, Z. Microstructural evolution and creep mechanisms in Ni-based single crystal superalloys: A review. J. Alloys Compd. 2020, 819, 152954. [CrossRef]
- 2. Pineau, A.; Antolovich, S.D. High temperature fatigue of nickel-base superalloys—A review with special emphasis on deformation modes and oxidation. *Eng. Failure Anal.* 2009, *16*, 2668–2697. [CrossRef]
- Long, H.B.; Mao, S.C.; Liu, Y.N.; Zhang, Z.; Han, X.D. Microstructural and compositional design of Ni-based single crystalline superalloys—A review. J. Alloys Compd. 2018, 743, 203–220. [CrossRef]
- 4. Shuang, F.; Dai, Z.H.; Aifantis, K.E. Strengthening in metal/graphene composites: Capturing the transition from interface to precipitate hardening. ACS Appl. *Mater. Interfaces* **2021**, *12*, 26610–26620. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Wan, K.W.; He, J.X.; Shi, X.H. Construction of High Accuracy Machine Learning Interatomic Potential for Surface/Interface of Nanomaterials—A Review. *Adv. Mater.* **2023**, *36*, 2305758. [CrossRef]
- Li, X.W.; Liang, J.S.; Shi, T.; Yang, D.N.; Chen, X.C.; Zhang, C.W.; Liu, Z.H.; Liu, D.Z.; Zhang, Q.X. Tribological behaviors of vacuum hot-pressed ceramic composites with enhanced cyclic oxidation and corrosion resistance. *Ceram. Int.* 2020, 46, 12911–12920. [CrossRef]
- Tian, S.G.; Zhou, H.H.; Zhang, J.H.; Yang, H.C.; Xu, Y.B.; Hu, Z.Q. Formation and role of dislocation networks during high temperature creep of a single crystal nickel base superalloy. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 2000, 279, 160–165.
- Tang, Y.J.; Fu, Z.; Raos, G.D.; Ma, F.; Zhao, P.; Hou, Y.J. Molecular dynamics simulation of adhesion at the asphalt-aggregate interface: A review. *Surf. Interfaces* 2024, 44, 103706. [CrossRef]
- 9. Du, Y.Z.; Chen, Y.H.; Yuan, X.Y.; Liu, P.; Long, M.J.; Chen, D.F. Potential mechanisms of interstitial atomic enhancement and interface failure behavior in Ni₃Co/Cu systems. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2023, *629*, 157388. [CrossRef]
- 10. Zhang, C.; Feng, K.; Li, Z.G.; Lu, F.G.; Huang, J.; Wu, Y.X. Microstructure and mechanical properties of sputter deposited Ni/Ni₃Al multilayer films at elevated temperature. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2016**, *378*, 408–417. [CrossRef]
- Sun, C.; Maloy, S.A.; Baldwin, K.; Wang, Y.Q.; Valdez, J.A. Phase Stability of Ni/Ni3Al Multilayers Under Thermal Annealing and Irradiation. JOM 2020, 72, 3995–4001. [CrossRef]
- 12. Yu, J.G.; Zhang, Q.X.; Liu, R.; Yue, Z.F.; Tang, M.K.; Li, X.W. Molecular dynamics simulation of crack propagation behaviors at the Ni/Ni₃Al grain boundary. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 32749–32754. [CrossRef]
- 13. Shang, J.; Yang, F.; Li, C.; Wei, N.; Tan, X. Size effect on the plastic deformation of pre-void Ni/Ni₃Al interface under uniaxial tension: A molecular dynamics simulation. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **2018**, *148*, 200–206. [CrossRef]

- 14. Liu, C.Y.; Wang, F.; Yuan, P.F.; Guo, Z.X.; Yu, J.G.; Jia, Y. Atomistic view of thin Ni/Ni₃Al (001) under uniaxial tension of twist grain boundaries. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 4552–4557. [CrossRef]
- 15. Hocker, S.; Schmauder, S.; Kumar, P. Molecular dynamics simulations of Ni/NiAl interfaces. *Eur. Phys. J. B* 2011, *82*, 133–141. [CrossRef]
- 16. Voter, A.F.; Chen, S.P. Accurate interatomic potentials for Ni, Al and Ni₃Al. MRS Proc. 1986, 82, 175. [CrossRef]
- 17. Zhang, Z.W.; Fu, Q.; Wang, J.; Xiao, P.; Ke, F.J.; Lu, C. Interactions between butterfly-like prismatic dislocation loop pairs and planar defects in Ni₃Al. Phys. *Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2021**, *23*, 10377–10383. [CrossRef]
- 18. Plimpton, S. Fast parallel algorithms for short-range molecular dynamics. J. Comput. Phys. 1995, 117, 1–19. [CrossRef]
- 19. Mishin, Y. Atomistic modeling of the γ and γ' -phases of the Ni–Al system. Acta Mater. 2004, 52, 1451–1467. [CrossRef]
- 20. Du, Y.Z.; Hu, H.; Yuan, X.Y.; Long, M.J.; Chen, D.F. Novel insights into interstitial atoms synergistically augmenting the mechanical characteristics of NiCo coating and interfacial strength with Cu substrate. *J. Alloys Comp.* **2024**, *983*, 173728. [CrossRef]
- Arora, K.; Kishida, K.; Tanaka, K.; Inui, H. Effects of lattice misfit on plastic deformation behavior of single-crystalline micropillars of Ni-based superalloys. *Acta Mater.* 2017, 138, 119–130. [CrossRef]
- 22. Zhang, Z.W.; Fu, Q.; Wang, J.; Xiao, P.; Ke, F.J.; Lu, C. Theoretical model for yield strength of monocrystalline Ni3Al by simultaneously considering size and strain rate. *T. Norferr. Metal. Soc.* **2024**, *33*, 816–823. [CrossRef]
- 23. Wang, Y.D.; Dai, K.Q.; Lu, W.J.; Chen, S.H.; Li, J.J. Remarkable strengthening of nanolayered metallic composites by nanoscale crystalline interfacial layers. *Mater. Today Commun.* **2024**, *39*, 108809. [CrossRef]
- 24. Dai, K.Q.; Zhang, C.; Li, J.J. Shear banding suppression in Cu/TiZrNb nanolayered composites through dual crystalline/amorphous interfaces. *Mater. Today Commun.* **2024**, *38*, 108481. [CrossRef]
- 25. Shuang, F.; Aifantis, K.E. A first molecular dynamics study for modeling the microstructure and mechanical behavior of Si nanopillars during lithiation. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2021**, *13*, 21310–21319. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Stukowski, A. Visualization and analysis of atomistic simulation data with OVITO—The Open Visualization Tool. *Model. Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 2009, 18, 015012. [CrossRef]
- 27. Zhao, B.; Zhao, P.Y.; Liu, H.; Pan, J.S.; Wu, J.W. Investigation on surface generation mechanism of single-crystal silicon in grinding: Surface crystal orientation effect. *Mater. Today Commun.* **2023**, *34*, 105125. [CrossRef]
- Li, L.; Zeng, Y.; Li, J.; Zhao, Y.C.; Yuan, T.Y.; Yue, Z.F. Effect of crystal orientation on elastic stresses and vibration characteristics of nickel-based single crystal turbine blade. *Mater. Today Commun.* 2023, 35, 106135. [CrossRef]
- 29. Shuang, F.; Aifantis, K.E. Relating the strength of graphene/metal composites to the graphene orientation and position. *Scr. Mater.* **2020**, *181*, 70–75. [CrossRef]
- Li, X.Y.; Wei, Y.J.; Lu, L.; Lu, K.; Gao, H.J. Dislocation nucleation governed softening and maximum strength in nano-twinned metals. *Nature* 2010, 464, 877–880. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Z.W.; Fu, Q.; Wang, J.; Xiao, P.; Ke, F.J.; Lu, C. Interaction between the edge dislocation dipole pair and interfacial misfit dislocation network in Ni-Based single crystal superalloys. *Int. J. Solids Struct.* 2021, 228, 111128. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Z.W.; Fu, Q.; Wang, J.; Xiao, P.; Ke, F.J.; Lu, C. Atomistic modeling for the extremely low and high temperature-dependent yield strength in a Ni-based single crystal superalloy. *Mater. Today Commun.* 2021, 27, 102451. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.