A serendipitous discovery of H I-rich galaxy groups with MeerKAT

M. Glowacki[®],¹* L. Albrow,² T. Reynolds[®],³ E. Elson[®],⁴ E. K. Mahony^{®5} and J. R. Allison^{®6}

¹International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research (ICRAR), Curtin University, Bentley, WA 6102, Australia

²University of Canterbury, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch 8020, New Zealand

³International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research (ICRAR), The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Hwy, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia

⁴Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of the Western Cape, Robert Sobukwe Rd, Bellville 7535, South Africa

⁵ATNF, CSIRO Space and Astronomy, PO Box 76, Epping, NSW 1710, Australia

⁶First Light Fusion Ltd, Unit 9/10 Oxford Pioneer Park, Mead Road, Yarnton, Kidlington OX5 1QU, UK

Accepted 2024 March 1. Received 2024 March 1; in original form 2023 September 30

ABSTRACT

We report on the serendipitous discovery of 49 H I-rich galaxies in a 2.3 h Open Time observation with MeerKAT. We present their properties including their H I masses, intensity and velocity maps, and spectra. We determine that at least three H I-rich galaxy groups have been detected, potentially as part of a supergroup. Some members of these galaxy groups show clear interaction with each other in their H I emission. We cross-match the detections with PanSTARRS, *Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer*, and *Galaxy Evolution Explorer*, and obtain stellar masses and star formation rates. One source is found to be a potential OH megamaser, but further follow-up is required to confidently determine this. For six sources with sufficient spatial resolution in H I, we produce rotation curves with BBarolo, generate mass models, and derive a dark matter halo mass. While the number of galaxies detected in this relatively short pointing appears to be at the high end of expectations compared to other MeerKAT observations and group H I mass function studies, this finding highlights the capability of MeerKAT for other serendipitous discoveries, and the potential for many more H I-rich galaxies to be revealed within both existing and upcoming Open Time data sets.

Key words: galaxies: groups: general – galaxies: interactions – galaxies: star formation – radio lines: galaxies.

1 INTRODUCTION

Galaxy groups occupy up to ~50 per cent of the local Universe ($z \sim 0$; Eke et al. 2004; Robotham et al. 2011) and are important parts of the hierarchical structure of the Universe (Springel et al. 2018) where they trace filamentary large-scale structure, as they lie between the low-density environments of the field and high-density clusters. Galaxy systems form through episodic mergers, with groups thought to act as the building blocks for the more massive clusters. Groups number between 3 and 100 members within a dark matter halo of mass between 10^{12} and 10^{14} M_{\odot} (e.g. Catinella et al. 2013). However, the distinction between galaxy groups and clusters is inconsistently defined in literature (see review by Lovisari et al. 2021).

Galaxy groups provide a window into the baryon cycle and the circumgalactic medium (Tumlinson, Peeples & Werk 2017; Nielsen et al. 2020). External and internal feedback mechanisms such as active galactic nuclei (AGN) feedback and tidal interactions dominate (e.g. Ponman, Sanderson & Finoguenov 2003; McCarthy et al. 2010), meaning galaxy groups are not merely 'scaled-down' versions of galaxy clusters. The distinction between galaxy groups and clusters has not been clearly made in previous literature, but galaxy clusters (often numbering 100+ galaxy members) are larger than groups (\sim 3–50 galaxy members). The properties of both are dependent

on the density of the environment, although galaxy clusters are generally denser. Interactions between group members and their surrounding environment can also shape the evolution of galaxies as they transition from star-forming spirals to massive, quenched galaxies dominating in clusters (e.g. Baldry et al. 2004; Driver et al. 2011; Davies et al. 2019). For example, there is evidence that galaxies have undergone 'pre-processing' in group environments before they fall into galaxy clusters (Mahajan 2013; Bianconi et al. 2018; Kleiner et al. 2021; Loubser et al. 2024), which can potentially quench star formation in group galaxies.

https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae684

Neutral hydrogen (H I) emission is a useful tool for studying group environments because it can trace the tidal interactions between group members, and extends well beyond the stellar component of the galaxy (e.g. Broeils & Rhee 1997; Leroy et al. 2008). HI is the fuel for star formation, and its distribution provides key insights into the quenching or triggering of star formation in galaxies. HI is a sensitive dynamical tracer and can be used to observe environmental processes such as tidal interactions or ram-pressure stripping, the latter seen for larger groups (Oosterloo & van Gorkom 2005; Serra et al. 2013; Saponara et al. 2018). By observing H I in group galaxies, much work has been done to understand the evolution of HI within this environment (Hess & Wilcots 2013; Jones et al. 2019; Kleiner et al. 2021). For example, Brown et al. (2017) conducted a statistic study on the HI content as a function of environment density for gas-poor to gas-rich regimes, and found gas starvation alone cannot account for an observed decrease of gas content in group and cluster Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/529/4/3469/7634244 by Curtin University Library user on 07 November 2024

^{*} E-mail: marcin.glowacki@curtin.edu.au

Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Royal Astronomical Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

 Table 1. Details of the MeerKAT observation for proposal ID SCI-20210212-MG-01.

SBID	1617470178
Phase centre	8:44:52.67, -10:00:59.5
Bandpass calibrator	J0408-6545
Gain calibrator	J0730–1141
Channel width	26.123 kHz (rebinned by factor of 4)
Pixel size	2 arcsec
On-source integration time	8340 s (2.32 h)
RMS	0.16 mJy per channel (~1362 MHz)
Beam	$14.0 \times 9.8 \mathrm{arcsec^2}$; PA of $-20^\circ.1$

environments. Stevens et al. (2023) examined the TNG50 simulation suite and reproduced the effects of gas truncation from a Virgo-like cluster environment on the gas content of satellite galaxies.

New radio telescopes serving as pathfinders for the upcoming Square Kilometer Array (SKA) have already demonstrated their ability in detecting new H I-rich galaxy groups, as well as enhancing previous studies of galaxy groups, thanks to improved sensitivity and survey speeds. The APERture Tile In Focus (Apertif; van Cappellen et al. 2022) system uses a phased array feed (PAF) upgrade of the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope. The first year of survey observations with Apertif has covered approximately one thousand square degrees of sky (Adams et al. 2022). The Australian Square Kilometre Array Pathfinder telescope (ASKAP; Deboer et al. 2009; Hotan et al. 2021) also employs PAFs which enables Widefield ASKAP L-band Legacy All-sky Blind surveY (WALLABY; Koribalski et al. 2020). WALLABY has publicly released its phase 1 data set (Westmeier et al. 2022) and already conducted studies of individual galaxy groups (e.g. Lee-Waddell et al. 2019; Reynolds et al. 2019). For et al. (2021) also used WALLABY data to study the Eridanus Supergroup, where a supergroup is defined as a group of groups that may eventually merge to form a cluster.

The third of the SKA pathfinder telescopes is MeerKAT (Jonas & MeerKAT Team 2016). MeerKAT has a lower survey speed than Apertif or ASKAP, but has a greater sensitivity, and hence is able to detect lower H1-mass galaxies than other SKA pathfinders. The Fornax Survey with MeerKAT (Serra et al. 2023) is a targeted survey of the Fornax cluster, with Kleiner et al. (2021) presenting results on the Fornax A group. The MeerKAT International GigaHertz Tiered Extragalactic Exploration survey (MIGHTEE; Jarvis et al. 2017) survey includes an H1 emission component (MIGHTEE-HI; Maddox et al. 2021). Ranchod et al. (2021) reported on the discovery of a galaxy group with 20 members from the MIGHTEE-HI data. In addition, the Looking At the Distant Universe with the MeerKAT Array (LADUMA; Blyth et al. 2016) survey is to be the deepest H1 emission study by targeting a single field for over 3000 h.

These results from major science surveys are not the only avenue for detecting and studying H I-rich galaxies. For example, Healy et al. (2021) presented over 200 galaxies detected in a 15-h observation of a galaxy cluster with MeerKAT Open Time. However, *untargeted* studies of known clusters and galaxy groups through MeerKAT Open Time or ASKAP Guest Science Time can provide yet another avenue for the detection and analysis of H I-rich galaxies, provided such observations are in spectral-line modes. For example, H I absorption searches towards radio-bright quasars with these telescopes can also contain H I emission associated with other unrelated galaxies within the field of view.

We present a serendipitous discovery of 49 detections of HI emission in a single pointing with MeerKAT. In Section 2, we describe the MeerKAT observations and ancillary data sets. In Section 3, we present the MeerKAT detections and properties of the galaxies, and determine the detections reside in multiple H₁-rich groups. We briefly discuss the serendipity of the detection in Section 4, and summarize our conclusions in Section 5. Throughout, we adopt optical velocities (*cz*) in the heliocentric reference frame, the AB magnitude convention, and we assume a flat Lambda cold dark matter (Λ CDM) cosmology with $H_0 = 67.7 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ (Planck Collaboration XIII 2016).

2 OBSERVATION AND DATA ANALYSIS

2.1 Observation with MeerKAT

the Observation 1617470178 of target J0944-1000 (NVSS J084452-100103), at 8:44:52.67, -10:00:59.5, was carried out on 2021 April 3 with MeerKAT as part of proposal ID SCI-20210212-MG-01 (see Table 1). The observation was taken in L band (856 MHz bandwidth centred at 1284 MHz) at 32K spectral resolution (26.123-kHz wide channels). The intention of the observation was to confirm a tentative HI absorption feature seen with the Australia Telescope Compact Array near the optical spectroscopic redshift of z = 0.04287 (Glowacki et al. 2017b). The SARAO SDP continuum image quality report gave an root mean square (RMS) noise of 41 μ Jy.

The raw data were transferred to the ilifu supercomputing cloud system and reduced there. Bandpass, flux, and phase calibration, along with self-calibrated continuum imaging, was performed using the PROCESSMEERKAT pipeline,¹ which is written in PYTHON, uses a purpose-built CASA (McMullin et al. 2007) Singularity container, and employs MPICASA (a parallelized form of CASA). Data were at this stage rebinned by a factor of 4 (i.e. to '8K' mode, 104.49-kHz wide channels), and the 1304-1420-MHz segment of the L band was extracted for the results presented in this paper. Model continuum visibility data were subtracted from the corrected visibility data using the CASA task uvsub. A second-order polynomial fit to the continuum was then calculated and subtracted using the CASA task uvcontsub for all channels to remove residual continuum emission from the spectral line data. Finally, spectral line cubes were created using tclean with robust = 0.5 and no cleaning. The RMS per 104.49 kHz channel was consistent between 1304 and 1420 MHz with the per-channel noise of 0.16 mJy beam⁻¹ at the centre (1362 MHz). All channels were convolved to a common synthesized beam of $14.0 \times 9.8 \operatorname{arcsec}^2$ at a position angle of -20° 1.

2.2 Source finding

While the putative H I absorption feature was not confirmed, upon initial visual inspection of the spectral line cube with CARTA (Comrie et al. 2021a), H I emission was noticed in multiple instances, both spatially and spectrally away from the centre of the cube. A more careful investigation followed, with each channel inspected for H I emission visually in CARTA. In addition to manual visual source finding, we employed two other methods. The first was a matchedfilter approach which was separately developed to find galaxy candidates in H I data cubes. The MeerKAT cube was box-smoothed spatially with a kernel of 20×20 arcsec², and spectrally with a kernel of width equal to two channels (approximately 45 km s⁻¹). Thereafter, the average flux within the full 3D smoothing kernel $(20 \times 20 \ {\rm arcsec}^2 \times 45 \ {\rm km s}^{-1})$ was calculated at every (x, y, z)

¹https://idia-pipelines.github.io/docs/processMeerKAT



Figure 1. SIP outputs for IDs 23 and 34. Left to right, top to bottom panels, is the H I moment 0 map overlaid on a DECaLS DR10 image, the moment 0 map isolated, the pixel SNR map, the moment 1 (velocity) map, the SoFiA masked and unmasked spectrum, and the p-v slice diagram. SIP outputs for the remaining galaxies are given in the appendix available online. Contour levels are noted on the individual subplots.

location (i.e. voxel) in the smoothed cube. The distribution of the resulting means was well modelled by a Gaussian of mean zero and standard deviation σ . Any voxels in the smoothed cube that had a filter-averaged flux greater than 6σ were taken to contain potential galaxy emission.

In general, we found the matched-filter source-finding approach to reliably extract all emission of real galaxies, often including extended features such as H I tails. Additionally, the Source Finding Application 2 (SoFiA 2; Serra et al. 2015; Westmeier et al. 2021) was employed to verify detections and create individual subcubes.

The results of the three methods were then combined and individually verified by eye. As part of verification, we considered data outputs from the SoFiA Image Pipeline (SIP; Hess et al. 2022), where optical imaging from either the Dark Energy Camera Legacy Survey (DECaLS; Dey et al. 2019) DR10 release, or the PanSTARRS (Chambers et al. 2016) survey was used for overlaying H I contours, using default settings (where the lowest contour is at minimum 2σ significance). Two examples of SIP outputs are given in Fig. 1. Tentative detections were removed, for example, singlechannel detections or ones difficult to distinguish from noise, and additionally without an optical counterpart. SoFiA identified a couple additional H_I sources not originally identified by the other methods, although it had initially failed to also detect a couple other sources identified through visual source finding and matched filter approaches when run on the entire cube.

H I masses are calculated from SoFiA data products using equation (48) from Meyer et al. (2017).

2.3 Ancillary data sets

To complement our HI data sets, we looked at available ancillary data for stellar mass and star formation rate (SFR) estimates. We used a mixture of optical, mid-infrared, and near-ultraviolet (NUV) data sets. In each case, we follow the methodology described by Reynolds et al. (2022), where we adapted scripts provided on https://github.com/tflowers15/wallaby-analysis-scripts. We summarize the steps involved below.

2.3.1 Optical

For each H I detection, we derive stellar masses from the PanSTARRS g- and r-band images. In summary, we obtain image cutouts at the position of each H I detection through the PanSTARRS cutout server.² Photometry was derived through the PYTHON package PHOTUTILS on the r-band image, segmentation maps via SEGMENTATION for masking other sources, and isophotes fitted via ISOPHOTE. The empirical relation from Taylor et al. (2011) was then used for stellar mass calculation:

$$\log(M_*/M_{\odot}) = -0.840 + 1.654(g - r) + 0.5(D_{\text{mod}} + M_{\text{sol}} - m) - \log(1 + z) - 2\log(h/0.7),$$
(1)

where 0.840 and 1.654 are empirically determined constants (Zibetti, Charlot & Rix 2009), the g - r colour is in the SDSS photometric system, *m* is the *r*-band apparent magnitude in the SDSS photometric system, D_{mod} is the distance modulus (used to convert from apparent to absolute magnitude), *h* is the Hubble Constant, and $M_{\text{sol}} = 4.64$ is the absolute magnitude of the Sun in the *r* band (Willmer 2018). Calculated stellar masses have uncertainties of ~0.16 dex as by Reynolds et al. (2022).

2.3.2 NUV and mid-infrared

We estimate the SFR from two surveys. The first is the *Galaxy Evolution Explorer* (*GALEX*; Martin et al. 2005), where the NUV luminosity traces emission from young stars (Kennicutt 1998; Kennicutt & Evans 2012). Next is the *Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer* (*WISE*; Wright et al. 2010), where the fractions of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) traced by the W3 ($12 \mu m$) band are high in regions of active star formation, thought to be produced in molecular clouds and growing on dust grains. Alternatively, the warm dust continuum at the W4 ($22 \mu m$) band, sensitive to reprocessed radiation from star formation, is another avenue for SFR measurements.

As with PanSTARRS, the *GALEX*, and *WISE* images are masked as described by Reynolds et al. (2022). Image units for photometry are converted to magnitudes, with both NUV and *WISE* magnitudes with SNR < 5 considered to be upper limits. After converting to luminosity L_{NUV} , the SFR from the *GALEX* measurements is defined from Schiminovich et al. (2007) as

$$SFR_{NUV}/M_{\odot} yr^{-1} = 10^{-28.165} L_{NUV}/erg s^{-1} Hz^{-1},$$
 (2)

For the mid-infrared SFR, we consider W4, or W3 if the less sensitive W4 band is not available. After converting to the corresponding luminosity (L_{W4} or L_{W3}), the SFR is calculated as by Jarrett et al. (2013) via:

$$SFR_{W3}/M_{\odot} yr^{-1} = 4.91 \times 10^{-10} (L_{W3} - 0.201 L_{W1}/L_{\odot}),$$
 (3)

and

$$SFR_{W4}/M_{\odot} yr^{-1} = 7.50 \times 10^{-10} (L_{W4} - 0.044 L_{W1}/L_{\odot}),$$
(4)

where a subtraction happens due to contamination from old stellar populations done via subtracting a fraction of the W1 (3.4 μ m) luminosity L_{W1} .

The total SFR is then the sum of SFR_{NUV} and SFR_{W4(3)}, as done by Reynolds et al. (2022). The derived SFRs have uncertainties of ≤ 0.1 dex.

²https://ps1images.stsci.edu/cgi-bin/ps1cutouts

3 RESULTS

In total, we obtain 49 detections of 21-cm emission within the MeerKAT data. Henceforth we refer to individual galaxies by their assigned ID number. We present the combined outputs for SIP for two galaxies, IDs 23 and 34, in Fig. 1. In the appendix (available online), we give the remaining SIP images (fig. A1), where the optical image is either a three-colour image from DECaLS DR10 or PanSTARRS, and notes on individual sources. A combined intensity (moment 0) map for the whole field of view overlaid on a PanSTARRS *r*-band image is presented in Fig. 2, where contours are coloured by redshift assuming an H I emission line (rather than e.g. hydroxyl; see Section 3.1.1).

In Table 2, we present the H I redshift and masses, stellar masses, SFRs from the WISE mid-infrared and GALEX photometries where available, and HI gas fractions and specific SFR (sSFR) for each host detected in MeerKAT. Three galaxies (ID3, ID20, and ID25) had optical spectroscopic redshifts available. The HI redshift, as determined using SoFiA, agrees within 3σ with the optical redshift and associated uncertainty (given as 0.00015). For a further seven galaxies, photometric redshifts estimates were available using WISE magnitudes from the literature. All seven photometric redshifts from the literature here agree with HI emission rather than other radio spectral transitions (e.g. the hydroxyl 1665-1667 doublet), with slightly higher (by <0.025) photometric redshifts seen for ID8, ID19, ID23, and ID24, and a lower photometric redshift for ID30. However, for the majority of sources, no redshift information is available in the literature. In Table 3, we give the measured total radio continuum flux density for our sources from the MeerKAT observation, generated with the PROCESSMEERKAT pipeline at 1362 MHz with a 9.1×6.8 arcsec² radio beam. We detect radio continuum for 15 of our emission-line detections (13 unresolved). The remaining emission sources are not detected at a sensitivity of 0.02 mJy.

No H I data for these detections had been found in the literature, with sources typically at too high redshift to be within the HIPASS survey footprint (Barnes et al. 2001), or otherwise had too low an H I mass to be detected (HIPASS' $3\sigma M_{\rm HI}$ sensitivity is stated to be $10^6 d_{\rm Mpc}^2 M_{\odot}$; table 1 of Barnes et al. 2001). Therefore, all H I detections presented here are new.

3.1 Properties of the sample

In Fig. 3, we give the $M_{\rm HI}$ versus M_* relation for the sample, with points coloured by their SFR (where available). Broadly speaking the expected correlation between the two can be seen; we compare with the relation presented by Maddox et al. (2015) in grey for the Arecibo Legacy Fast ALFA Survey (ALFALFA; Haynes et al. 2018). There are only two significant outliers (~1 dex). One is ID43 which has the lowest HI mass of our sample (just below $10^9 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$) and a higher corresponding stellar mass, and is separated below the rest of the sample in this relation by up to ~1 dex (see discussion in Section 3.1.1). The other outlier is ID3, with a higher $M_{\rm HI}$ than other galaxies with similar stellar masses, which we discuss below and in Section 3.2.1. Also as expected, the higher mass galaxies, particularly in stellar mass, tend to have higher SFRs.

In Fig. 4, we give the HI mass versus redshift. Included are the 5σ , 6σ , and 10σ sensitivity limits for our survey, using equation 157 of Meyer et al. (2017) and assuming unresolved HI sources with a velocity width of 200 km s⁻¹ for 104.49-kHz wide channels as presented here. The gap between detections and the line indicates we did not have fully searched to 5σ (e.g. the SoFiA search had a threshold of 10σ to limit false detections, while the matched-



Figure 2. The 49 H I-rich galaxies detected by MeerKAT in a single 2.3 h pointing. Their intensity (moment 0) maps are overlaid as contours coloured by H I redshift on a PanSTARRS *r*-band image. Numbers correspond to the galaxy's ID number, as defined in Table 2. Contour levels are of column density multiples of $(2, 4, 8, 16, \text{ and } 32) \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

filter approached used a minimum of 6σ), and/or that we missed detections, or that these sensitivity curves are too optimistic. Fig. 5 gives the distribution for H_I mass, stellar mass, combined SFR, and H_I gas fraction. In regards to the lower left panel for the SFR distribution for the 42 available measurements, one clear outlier can be seen, corresponding to ID3 with an SFR greater than $7 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (the next highest being ID30 with SFR = $1.95 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$). We discuss this case further in Section 3.2.1.

3.1.1 Masquerading megamasers?

We have limited redshift information available in the literature for this sample. While three optical redshifts agree well with the measured H I redshift from SoFiA, and a further seven photometric redshifts from *WISE* data also indicate that the emission seen by MeerKAT

for those galaxies is HI, which leaves another 39 galaxies without redshift information prior to this study. As such, it is possible that rather than HI emission, a detection could instead be of another radio spectral line, such as hydroxyl (OH), as demonstrated in the detection of an OH megamaser with Apertif (Hess et al. 2021), and in the LADUMA survey (Glowacki et al. 2022), both through the 1665–1667 MHz OH doublet. OH megamasers are relatively rarer with little over 100 detected to date, although the advent of SKA pathfinders such as MeerKAT and their associated spectral-line surveys are poised to improve on this space (Roberts, Darling & Baker 2021).

Short of dedicated spectral-line follow-up to verify redshifts for each source, it is possible to make a prediction of whether a detection is an OH megamaser at a higher redshift, which are typically seen in starburst galaxies, through the *WISE* magnitude and colour

Table 2. Properties of the 49 H I galaxies detected in the 2.3 h pointing centred on J0844–1000. We give the ID of the galaxy, the right ascension and declination based on the H I emission as measured by SoFiA, the redshift (assuming an H I emission line), the optical spectroscopic or photometric redshift where available in the literature, H I mass, stellar mass, H I gas fraction, and where available the SFR based on either the *WISE W4* or *W3* mid-infrared magnitude, SFR from *GALEX* (near-UV data), the total SFR (mid-infrared and NUV values added, using *W4* if it and *W3* are both available), and the specific SFR (sSFR).

ID	RA	Dec.	$z_{\rm HI}$	Zlit	$\log_{10}(M_{\rm HI})$	$\log_{10}(M_{*})$	$\log_{10}(f_{\rm HI})$	SFR _{W3}	SFR _{W4}	SFR _{NUV}	SFR _{tot}	log ₁₀ (sSFR)
					M⊙	M⊙		$M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$	$M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$	$M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$	$M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$	yr ⁻¹
1	08:44:53 72	_09:49:10.16	0.0387		0.06	8 88	1.08	0.01	0.08	0.21	0.28	_0/3
2	08:45:02.01	-09:48:54.00	0.0383		10.12	9.00	0.63	0.01	0.00	0.21	0.20	-9.83
3	08:45:00 28	-09.49.56.91	0.0385	0.038557 ^a	10.12	10.46	0.05	3 24	4 79	2 32	7 11	-9.65
4	08:45:07.14	-09.48.58.61	0.0389	0.050557	9.69	8 10	1 59	0.00	4.79	0.11	0.11	-9.06
5	08:45:03.82	-09:53:55.94	0.0392		9.25	8.10	1.57	0.00		0.11	0.11	2.00
6	08:44:35 89	-09.55.30.16	0.0392		9.36	7 32	2.03		0.00		0.00	_9.72
7	08.44.48 32	-09:55:25.42	0.0424		9.76	9.52	0.25	0.10	0.00	0.19	0.58	-9.76
8	08:45:41 55	-09:56:12 56	0.0424	$\sim 0.054^{b}$	10.40	10.44	-0.04	0.10	0.32	0.12	1 44	-10.28
9	08:45:16 10	-09.54.0820	0.0455	0.054	9 77	8 98	0.04	0.50	0.72	0.72	1.44	10.20
10	08:45:13.10	-10.09.0935	0.0321		10.37	9.92	0.45	0.01	0.09	0.31	0.40	-10.32
11	08:45:26.42	-10.09.3850	0.0422		9.97	9.20	0.77	0.03	0.05	0.35	0.10	-9.50
12	08:45:16 36	-10.05.55.03	0.0416		9.67	9.81	-0.14	0.03	0.15	0.35	0.50	-9.95
12	08:45:08 56	-10:07:32.75	0.0430		9.42	9.52	-0.11	0.03	0.20	0.10	0.03	-11.04
14	08:44:25 25	-10.21.24.08	0.0450		9.97	8 99	0.98	0.05			0.05	11.04
15	08:44:37.08	-10.14.3852	0.0558		9.87	9.10	0.77	0.01	0.15		0.15	-9.94
16	08:43:43 43	-09.45.3357	0.0548		9.97	9.10	0.58	0.01	0.15	0.49	0.15	-9.61
17	08:43:45 98	-09.44.29.32	0.0547		9.95	9.21	0.50	0.05	0.05	0.42	0.34	-9.70
18	08:46:21 42	-10:02:12.45	0.0331		10.22	9.97	0.26	0.29	0.36	0.23	0.60	-10.19
19	08:46:32 23	-10.02.12.43 -10.04.1873	0.0329	$\sim 0.055^b$	10.22	10.02	0.20	0.03	0.30	0.25	0.59	-10.15
20	08:43:38.83	-10:08:23.96	0.0385	0.03886a	9.48	10.62	-1.15	0.08	0.12	0.17	0.08	-11.73
20	08.43.39.64	-10.05.3958	0.0390	0.05000	9.10	7 59	1.15	0.00			0.00	_9 59
21	08:43:41 26	-10.03.23.66	0.0378		9.26	8.83	0.43	0.01	0.04		0.01	-10.19
23	08:42:56 57	-09.24.17.91	0.0386	$\sim 0.048^{b}$	10.27	10.33	-0.06	0.07	0.06		0.06	-11 57
23	08:46:05 74	-10.09.3530	0.0300	$\sim 0.058^{b}$	10.02	10.33	-0.15	0.07	1.12	0.18	1 30	-10.06
25	08:43:26 70	-09:51:22.16	0.0412	0.020	10.02	10.19	0.02	0.10	1.12	0.10	1.30	-10.06
26	08:42:58.09	-09:56:37.80	0.0572	0.010002	10.01	8 94	1.07	0.00	1.55		1.55	10.00
20	08:43:30 57	-09:35:52 50	0.0562		9.45	8 64	0.81	0.00			0.01	-10.64
28	08:44:08 10	-09:57:56.62	0.0546		10.08	9.46	0.62	0.04	0.29		0.29	_9.99
29	08:44:06 75	-10.03.19.94	0.0528		9 70	8.26	1 43	0.00	0.27		0.2	,,,,
30	08:46:51.85	-09:59:56.26	0.0525	$\sim 0.041^{b}$	10.17	10.62	-0.44	0.80	0.60	1 35	1 95	-10.33
31	08:45:46.14	-10.05.40.65	0.0529	01011	9.76	8.95	0.81	0.14	0.16	0.17	0.33	-9.43
32	08:44:50.83	-09.45.00.91	0.0519		9.79	9.62	0.17	0.06	0.27	0.16	0.43	_9.99
33	08:43:33.29	-09:43:02.85	0.0518		9.97	9.57	0.40	0.20	0.30	1.04	1.34	-9.44
34	08:44:32.36	-10:07:55.08	0.0421	$\sim 0.042^{b}$	9.76	10.80	-1.04	0.39	0.87	1101	0.87	-10.86
35	08:46:05.67	-10:30:20.14	0.0412		9.99	9.43	0.56	0.04	0.10	0.45	0.55	-9.70
36	08:42:47.31	-09:51:26.29	0.0406		9.71	9.19	0.52	0.00	0.17		0.17	-9.97
37	08:43:34.37	-10:25:25.55	0.0337	$\sim 0.032^{b}$	9.53	9.98	-0.45	0.70	0.98		0.98	-9.98
38	08:44:12.61	-09:49:03.91	0.0520		9.66	8.53	1.13	0.00		0.11	0.11	-9.49
39	08:44:31.77	-10:01:44.75	0.0437		9.47	8.95	0.52		0.05		0.05	-10.28
40	08:44:17.64	-10:24:45.39	0.0338		9.33	8.71	0.62		0.05		0.05	-10.05
41	08:43:13.45	-10:07:15.54	0.0386		9.37	9.60	-0.23		0.03		0.03	-11.10
42	08:43:41.75	-09:30:47.30	0.0384		9.46	8.41	1.05			0.05	0.05	-9.71
43	08:45:28.11	-09:48:20.58	0.0329		9.29	7.88	1.41			0.05	0.05	-9.18
44	08:44:59.09	-09:46:43.20	0.0383		8.99	9.77	-0.77	0.56	1.93		1.93	-9.48
45	08:42:45.50	-09:23:59.07	0.0335		9.71	9.48	0.23	0.02	0.10		0.10	-10.47
46	08:45:24.13	-09:59:29.32	0.0874		10.21	9.89	0.32	0.32	0.15		0.15	-10.71
47	08:44:38.71	-09:45:40.14	0.0867		9.97	9.07	0.90	0.05			0.05	-10.37
48	08:44:23.13	-10:03:10.17	0.0194		9.18	8.73	0.45	0.00				
49	08:45:29.87	-09:47:54.63	0.0323		9.04	7.36	1.68					

^aJones et al. (2009). ^bBilicki et al. (2014).

information. We give the *WISE* colour–colour distribution in Fig. 6, overlaid on the classifications given in fig. 10 of Wright et al. (2010). Most of our detections fall within the spiral galaxy region of the plot, with a couple falling in the starburst region (including the aforementioned ID3, which was confirmed to be detected in H I from its optical spectroscopic information). One source appears to be QSO-like in its *WISE* colour properties, ID45. ID45 is seen to have

unresolved radio continuum with flux density $S_{1362 \text{ MHz}} = 0.4 \text{ mJy}$, but no other radio continuum or optical spectrum has been reported for this galaxy which could determine whether it hosts an AGN.

Using the machine-learning approach described by Roberts et al. (2021) which uses WISE W1, W2 and W3 magnitudes and the peak frequency of the spectral-line emission with a k-Nearest Neighbours algorithm (private communication), predictions were made for all

ID	S _{1362 MHz} mJy	Unresolved? $(9.1 \times 6.8 \operatorname{arcsec} \operatorname{beam})$			
2	0.5	Yes			
3	7.3	Yes			
8	0.4	Yes			
10	0.1	Yes			
12	0.1	Yes			
18	0.9	No			
24	0.5	Yes			
25	0.3	Yes			
30	0.7	No			
33	0.5	Yes			
34	1.1	Yes			
37	1.5	Yes			
44	1.2	Yes			
45	0.4	Yes			
46	0.1	Yes			

Table 3. Radio continuum flux densities for our HI detections. All other

detections have $S_{1,362\text{GHz}}$ upper limits of 0.02 mJy.



49 galaxies on whether the emission seen corresponds to H I or OH, as performed for the detection by Glowacki et al. (2022). Only one detection, ID44, was identified as a *potential* OH megamaser. The algorithm based upon the *W1* versus W1-W2 information favoured the OH megamaser model (>99 per cent), but was outside any OH confidence interval for the algorithm using W1-W2 versus W2-W3 (6 per cent probability to be an OH megamaser).

Figure 4. The $M_{\rm HI}$ masses versus redshift for our sample. The dashed line gives a 5σ sensitivity, dot–dashed line at 6σ , and dotted line at 10σ , assuming an unresolved galaxy with velocity width of 200 km s⁻¹, using equation (157) of Meyer et al. (2017).



Figure 3. The H I mass versus the stellar mass for the 49 galaxies detected in this study. Where available, the points have been coloured by the combined SFR calculated from the mid-infrared and near-UV data, or as black circles otherwise. We note that galaxy ID3 has an enhanced SFR of more than $7 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. The $M_{\text{HI}}-M_*$ relation from Maddox et al. (2015) for ALFALFA galaxies is overlaid for comparison in grey.



Figure 5. Histograms of the H I mass (top left panel), stellar mass (top right panel), combined SFR (bottom left panel), and H I gas fraction (lower right panel) for the 49 galaxies detected in H I in the 2.3 h MeerKAT pointing.



Figure 6. *WISE* colour–colour properties of the galaxies in our sample which were detected in *WISE* bands *W1–W3*. Where available, points are coloured by the combined SFR, or given as black circles otherwise. Points are overlaid on the scheme given in fig. 10 of Wright et al. (2010). Image generated via code by Chao-Wei Tsai.

We note that ID44 had the lowest measured (assumed) H I mass of our sample given the corresponding $z_{\rm HI}$ assumed; if it is actually an OH megamaser than its $M_{\rm OH}$ would be higher due to a higher true distance. ID44 was also the most significant outlier in the $M_{\rm HI}$ versus M_* relation (Fig. 3) – this galaxy being an OH megamaser would explain this outlier result. ID44 also has the third-highest SFR of our sample (1.93 M_{\odot} yr⁻¹), which also matches the picture of OH megamasers typically living in starburst galaxies that have undergone a recent merger or interaction. ID44 has the reddest W2-W3 colour of our sample (4.09 mag), placing it in the ULIRGs (ultraluminous infrared galaxy) and starburst sector of Fig. 6.

Lastly, we note that ID44 is also seen in radio continuum in our MeerKAT observation, with a flux density of $S_{1362 \text{ MHz}}$ = 1.2 mJy, and has near-infrared K-band magnitude measurements of 14.017 \pm 0.123 and 14.254 \pm 0.088 from the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS; Skrutskie et al. 2006). We use the photometric K-band-redshift relation for radio galaxies presented by Willott et al. (2003) (equation 1). Assuming z = 0.0383 given by the assumption the spectral-line emission is H I, the expected K –band magnitude is 10.33 mag. OH emission, corresponding to a host galaxy at redshift z \sim 0.219, gives the expected K-band magnitude of 14.24 mag, within the errors of the measured K-band magnitude for ID44. We repeat this analysis for all other galaxies in our sample with radio continuum (14) and K-band measurements in the literature (9). Two of these (IDs 2 and 12) had photometric redshift estimates that would favour OH rather than H I, although we note no other evidence available supports the hypothesis these are OH, and ID2 is seen to be interacting with



Figure 7. Histograms of redshifts for 46 of the 49 galaxies in our detected sample, excluding the lowest redshift and two highest redshift galaxies (IDs 46–48). We note that the colouring of the bins used does not directly match that used in Fig. 2.

ID3 which has an optical spectroscopic redshift of 0.0385, in line with H I (Section 3.2.1). Two other sources had photometric redshifts from the *K*-band relation that loosely support the H I redshift, with the rest inconclusive, in part owing to the range of *K*-band values found in the literature for these sources (e.g. 11.975-13.008 for ID34).

We also consider the *WISE* information. The *WISE* colours for ID44 sits in the high-energy radio galaxy distribution for the Large Area Radio Galaxy Evolution Spectroscopic Survey (LARGESS; Ching et al. 2017). We applied the photometric redshift relations based upon the LARGESS sample for HERGs using the *WI* and *W2* bands from table 2 of Glowacki et al. (2017a). This method provides a photometric redshift of 0.12 and 0.16 from *WI* and *W2*, respectively, which suggests a higher redshift host than 0.0383 expected from HI. However, these details can only be treated as circumstantial evidence towards it being an OH megamaser at $z \sim 0.219$, in addition to the predictions from methods by Roberts et al. (2021), rather than something definitive. Further follow-up observations, namely spectroscopic optical measurements, will be necessary to properly determine whether we do have an OH megamaser within the data set presented here.

3.2 Spatial distribution of the galaxies

In Fig. 7, we give the redshift distribution for 46 of our 49 detections, omitting IDs 46–48 at $z_{\rm HI}$ of ~0.087 and 0.019, to zoom into the bulk of our detections. We note that the colour scheme of the bins hence does not match that of Fig. 2. Three main groups are seen: one at $z_{\rm HI} \sim 0.033$ (seven galaxies), another larger set at ~0.041 (which includes three sub-groupings of 14, 9, and 3 galaxies), and another at ~0.055 (also with several sub-groups, totalling 13 galaxies). This, combined with the distribution seen in Fig. 2, indicates that multiple galaxy groups have been detected, potentially as part of a supergroup. The distances between members of separate galaxy groups, for example, IDs 19 and 25 at z = 0.0329 and 0.0412 ranges from ~15 to 100 Mpc.

We present Fig. 8 to further examine galaxy groups in our sample. Assuming all detections are in HI for each galaxy (one galaxy focused on per panel, labelled by ID and ordered from lowest to highest redshift), a cumulative histogram is given, indicating the number of galaxies within 10 Mpc. For example, for the galaxies between 0.032 < z < 0.034 (navy-coloured histograms), all 6 other companions are within 5 Mpc. It is evident that astronomers can use MeerKAT for a <3 h on-source observation to trace H I-rich galaxy groups and larger structures.

3.2.1 Interacting galaxies

There are a few examples in our sample of interacting galaxies. Despite the short observing time thus far obtained with MeerKAT on this field, we present the clearest example of galaxy interaction traced by H I in Fig. 9. H I intensity-map contours for galaxy IDs 1–4 (top right, middle top, bottom, and top left, respectively) are overlaid on a DECaLS DR10 three-colour image. Note also that galaxies IDs 5, 6, and 9 are located to the south (Fig. 2), all which have slightly irregular velocity fields that hint at a possible interaction, and ID44 to the north (also reflected in the cumulative distributions of neighbouring H I galaxies in Fig. 8 – assuming ID44 is not a hydroxyl megamaser). We also see a few galaxies with irregular H I morphologies and/or disturbed velocity fields (e.g. IDs 13, 17, and 21).

Galaxy ID3, a face-on spiral galaxy (2MASS J08445897– 0946457) at z = 0.038557, is the most massive in both H I and stellar content of this particular subset (and the highest H I mass of all 49 galaxies presented here), and is directly interacting in H I with both ID1 and ID2. It also has from its *WISE* and *GALEX* photometries the highest SFR of the sample by far, of 7 M_{\odot} yr⁻¹. ID3 is more *M*_{HI}massive compared to other galaxies with similar stellar masses in both this sample and in ALFALFA (Fig. 3 and Maddox et al. 2015). In contrast, both ID1 and ID2 have SFRs of less than 0.5 M_{\odot} yr⁻¹, in the lower half of the measured SFRs of the sample (Fig. 5), while ID4 only has a SFR_{NUV} of 0.11 M_{\odot} yr⁻¹. Our conclusion hence is that ID3 is currently stripping the H I gas from its neighbours to fuel its own star formation activity.

We note that galaxy IDs 16 and 17, as well as 43 and 49 (as noted in Section 3.1.1), are quite near each other, with the latter showing evidence of interaction in their spectral-line emission (see fig. A1 of the appendix available online). This is in addition to the aforementioned ID34 (Fig. 1 bottom set) with an unconfirmed H1 detection of a neighbouring dwarf galaxy. More sensitive radio observations will be able to further highlight the environment around these galaxies and potential pre-processing effects.

3.3 Mass modelling

A few galaxies amongst our detections were sufficiently spatially resolved (spanning at least three radio beams) in HI to attempt dynamical modelling to determine the stellar, the gas and the dark matter mass components of the galaxies.

3.3.1 Rotation curves

We used the HI content to trace the total rotation curve of the galaxy. 3D-Barolo (3D-Based Analysis of Rotating Objects via Line Observations), also known as BBarolo (Di Teodoro & Fraternali 2015), was used for fitting 3D tilted-ring models to the individual SoFiA subcubes for galaxy IDs 2, 3, 8, 10, 18, 19, 24, and 48. However, as ID2 was interacting with ID3, we were unable to properly produce reasonable dark matter mass models for these galaxies. We hence removed both IDs 2 and 3 from the current investigation. Outputs for the model moment maps constructed by



Figure 8. Cumulative histograms as a function of the distance to neighbouring H1 galaxies, for all 49 galaxies detected by MeerKAT, ordered in increasing redshift left to right, top to bottom panels. The colour scheme matches that used in Fig. 7. Several galaxy groups can be identified – for example, all seven galaxies at 0.030 < z < 0.035 (dark blue) are within 5 Mpc of each other.

BBarolo was compared with the SoFiA moment maps, as well as the channel-by-channel maps (see fig. A2 for an example for ID18 in the appendix as online material) to determine the goodness of the 3D tilted-ring fits when generating the total observed rotation curve, and the H I surface density profile for each galaxy. The H I surface density profile was used to determine the mass of H I within each tilted ring, which was multiplied by an assumed factor of 1.4 to account for the contribution of helium (as in e.g. Westmeier, Braun & Koribalski 2011; Reynolds et al. 2019). We next converted the H I surface density profile to a gas rotation curve. The 'Polyex' parametric model for the rotational velocity profile was fitted to the gas and total rotation curves (equation 2 of Giovanelli & Haynes 2002). The Polyex model is an analytic representation of rotation curves based

on the amplitude of the outer rotation curve, the exponential scale length of the inner rotation curve, and the outer rotation curve slope. It has been demonstrated to work well in observation data sets (e.g. Elson 2017) and galaxies from hydrodynamical simulations (e.g. Glowacki, Elson & Davé 2020).

The stellar rotation curve was calculated from the isophotes derived from PanSTARRS *r*-band imaging (see Section 2.3.1). Equation (1) was applied to obtain the stellar mass in each isophote radius. Similarly, the stellar rotation curve was then calculated from the stellar mass profile. In the top panel of Fig. 10, we give the total observed, gas, and stellar rotation curves for ID18. We subtracted the gas and stellar rotation curves in quadrature from the observed rotation curve to obtain a dark matter rotation curve. The rotation



Figure 9. MeerKAT H I contours overlaid on a three-colour DECaLS DR10 image for galaxy IDs 1–4. There is clear interaction between the southern-most galaxy (ID3) and its neighbouring counterparts (ID1 and ID2). Galaxies ID1 and ID2 have been found to have relatively diminished SFRs (0.28 and 0.46 M_{\odot} yr⁻¹) compared to the SFR of ID3 (7.11 M_{\odot} yr⁻¹). Image generated through SIP.

curves for other galaxies are given in fig. A4 within the appendix as online material.

Owing to a poor sampling of the inner rising parts of the rotation curves, which resulted in high uncertainties for the Polyex fits, we tested fitting for additional points. Relative to the data point in the rotation curve at (r_1, v_1) in the innermost part of the galaxy, we added two more data points at $(r_1/2, v_1 \times 0.9)$ and $(r_1/4, v_1 \times$ 0.6). These two points were found to agree well with the original Polyex fit. We then repeated the Polyex fitting, as shown for ID18 in the top panel of Fig. 10. We find that this was not necessary for reasonable rotation curves and corresponding mass model fits for IDs 10 and 18, but did reduce the error in the Polyex and mass model curve fits, with more significant improvements observed for the remaining four galaxies (see figures in appendix). We note that including these two additional points also decreases the ρ_0 values and slightly decreases the radii parameter values found from the mass modelling fits, although parameter values prior to this were consistent with values for other galaxies in the literature (expanded on below).

3.3.2 Dark matter halo

We adopted two models for the dark matter halo. The first is the Λ CDM Navarro–Frenk–White (NFW) cusp-dominated model (Navarro, Frenk & White 1996), with a density profile of

$$\rho_{\rm NFW}(r) = \frac{\rho_{\rm crit}}{(r/R_s)(1+r/R_s)^2},$$
(5)

where R_s is the scale radius, and ρ_{crit} is the critical density of the Universe $(\frac{3H^2}{8\pi G})$. This results in the velocity profile

$$V_{\rm NFW}(r) = V_{200} \sqrt{\frac{ln(1+cx) - cs/(1+cx)}{x[ln(1+c) - c/(1+c)]}},$$
(6)



Figure 10. Top panel: rotation curves for galaxy ID18. Presented is the observed (total) rotation curve from the BBarolo model (grey), the stellar rotation curve derived from PanSTARRS imaging (blue), the gas rotation curve from an H1 surface density profile derived by BBarolo (red), and the dark matter rotation curve (purple) derived by subtracting the gas and stellar curves in quadrature from the observed. We also include two additional points (grey stars) for the BBarolo rotation curve fit to aid the observed rotation and dark matter model curve fits. Second panel: The ISO (orange) and the NFW (green) dark matter halo model fits to the dark matter rotation curve. Bottom two panels: as before, but without the two additional points added to the BBarolo curve. The radius values for both models decreases slightly as a result. For all panels, shaded regions indicate the 25- and 75-percentile error ranges for each fit, which is not displayed for the NFW profile due to visibility issues when displaying these larger errors.

 Table 4.
 Derived dark matter halo quantities for the ISO and NFW models for the eight galaxies attempted.

 We note the best-fitting model in each case, and also give the measured total dynamical mass from BBarolo.

ID	$ISO \\ \rho_0 \\ M_\odot \mathrm{pc}^{-3}$	R _c kpc	NFW c	<i>R</i> ₂₀₀ kpc	$V_{200} \ { m km s^{-1}}$	$M_{200} m M_{\odot}$	$M_{ m tot}$ ${ m M}_{\odot}$	Preferred model
8	0.15	0.7	5.9	72	56	$5.4 imes 10^{10}$	$6.6 imes 10^{10}$	ISO
10	0.35	1.0	9.6	143	120	4.8×10^{11}	2.2×10^{11}	ISO
18	1.5	0.5	17.8	129	111	3.7×10^{11}	1.6×10^{11}	ISO
19	2.9	0.3	22.6	107	87	1.9×10^{11}	1.1×10^{11}	ISO
24	2.0	0.4	25.0	108	89	2.0×10^{11}	1.1×10^{11}	ISO
48	2.3	0.2	26.5	63	51	3.8×10^{10}	1.7×10^{10}	ISO

where R_{200} is the point where the dark matter halo density is greater than the critical density, V_{200} is the velocity at this point, $c = R_{200}/R_s$, and $x = r/R_{200}$.

The second dark matter halo model considered was the spherical pseudo-isothermal ISO core-dominated dark matter halo model, which assumes a constant density within the galaxy core (de Blok et al. 2008). It is described by the density profile

$$\rho_{\rm iso}(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{1 + r/R_c)^2},\tag{7}$$

where ρ_0 is the core density, and R_c is the core radius, both treated as free parameters within physical bounds from the literature. The corresponding rotational velocity is then:

$$V_{\rm iso}(r) = \sqrt{4\pi G \rho_0 R_c^2 \left(1 - \frac{R_c}{r} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{r}{R_c}\right)\right)}.$$
(8)

In the bottom panel of Fig. 10, we show the best fits to the dark matter halo rotation curves from the NFW and ISO models for ID18, with other galaxies in the bottom panels of fig. A4 in the appendix as online material. In Table 4, we give the corresponding ISO and NFW values, indicate which model was preferred (determined via a χ^2 test), and the dark matter halo mass derived from BBarolo. ISO was the preferred model in all six galaxies investigated here, with large errors found for the NFW curve fits (as such, they are not plotted for visibility).

The majority of our galaxies are dark matter dominated, with dark matter halo masses exceeding $1 \times 10^{11} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$, and M_{200} greater still. We note that the inner, rising parts of the rotation curves are not well sampled, particularly in our HI data. As four of our six galaxies had noticeably improved dark matter rotation curves and corresponding mass models from the inclusion of two additional points at inner radii, we conclude that just using the existing data set results in a higher uncertainty on the values derived from the fitted mass models. Deeper and higher resolution HI data will be able to improve on this aspect. The values we obtain for the ISO and NFW model parameters (Table 4) are, however, consistent with those found for other galaxies. In table 3 of de Blok et al. (2008), values ranged for the following parameters in the examined sample of THINGS galaxies: ρ_0 : 0.9–298.7 M_{\odot} pc⁻³; R_c : 0.01–45.63 kpc; c: <0.1-30.9; V_{200} : 35.2->500 km s⁻¹ (note that de Blok et al. 2008 also presents ISO and NFW parameter values from other implementations in further tables). Swaters et al. (2011) found values of ρ_0 : 0.5–570 M_{\odot} pc⁻³ and R_c: 0.5–2.7 kpc for dwarf galaxies, and Oh et al. (2015) found values of ρ_0 : 0.008–2.132 M_{\odot} pc⁻³ and R_c: 0.15-8.4 kpc for LITTLE THINGS galaxies.

4 SERENDIPITY

We examine whether our serendipitous discovery of 49 HI-rich galaxies is unexpected. While Ranchod et al. (2021) reported on the discovery of an HI group with 23 members in a MIGHTEE pointing, this is to date the first reported discovery in an observation not intending to search for such galaxies in the first place. But is this unusual? This is not straightforward to tell, as larger spectral-line surveys are ongoing, so truly untargeted surveys with the likes of MeerKAT or other SKA pathfinder telescopes are not yet available. For example, while Pre-Pilot and Pilot survey results are now available for WALLABY, these observations were all in pre-selected fields, with full survey observations only recently started. MIGHTEE-HI also targets specific fields with pre-existing ancillary data sets, including '10–15 lower mass clusters and galaxy groups per square degree' (Maddox et al. 2021).

In the work by Jones et al. (2020), four optical galaxy group catalogues were cross-matched with ALFALFA to measure the HI mass function (HIMF) for group galaxies. They concluded there was no single group galaxy HIMF; while two were found to be similar, the other two included either more lower mass, HI-rich galaxies or no low-mass galaxies due to selection. They also concluded that due to the far greater number of field galaxies in ALFALFA than group galaxies, there was no region of their environment parameter space where group galaxies were the dominant population. Cautun et al. (2014) applied a 'NEXUS+' model and found 77 per cent of the total volume fraction of the two high-resolution Millennium simulations was occupied by voids, and 18 percent by walls, and only 6 per cent by filaments (fig. 8). Similarly, Falck & Neyrinck (2015) found agreement for the Planck and WMAP simulations (fig. 3). These results suggest that to discover several groups in a relatively short observation in a single MeerKAT pointing (where the sensitivity of ALFALFA was not reached) is not likely.

In early examination of 5-h tracks undertaken with MeerKAT with the MeerKAT HI Observations of Nearby Galactic Objects -Observing Southern Emitters survey (MHONGOOSE; de Blok et al. 2016), which targets 30 nearby disc and dwarf galaxies, additional H I detections have been discovered with a median of \sim 20 detections per pointing within a 50 MHz chunk, with an upper bound of 50 (private communication). Given this observation has less than half the on-source time of MHONGOOSE pointings, 49 individual detections is more than is typically expected to be detected. However, optical spectroscopic redshift information of the field is required to better ascertain whether this pointing was simply fortunate enough to target a dense area of the sky in terms of large-scale structure. Nonetheless, if one assumes there are several HI-rich galaxies to be found within any one MeerKAT pointing based on this discovery, findings from MIGHTEE-HI, and preliminary MHONGOOSE results, then there are undoubtedly a large number of galaxies awaiting

detections within existing and upcoming MeerKAT Open Time observations.

The sensitivity of MeerKAT in this frequency space is currently unparalleled, which enables the detection of lower HI-mass galaxies than those detected in the HIPASS and ALFALFA all-sky surveys in less observing time. MeerKAT can also achieve greater sensitivity than the ongoing WALLABY all-sky survey with ASKAP, albeit with a far smaller field of view. Observations with MeerKAT hence will be able to detect galaxy group members with lower H I mass than any other survey until the SKA. Below z < 0.1 (above ~1300 MHz) there is no significant radio frequency interference (RFI), owing to the radio-quiet site of the MeerKAT site. This redshift/frequency space is included in L band spectral-line observations with MeerKAT - typically zoom-mode observations with MeerKAT will be focused on HI rather than, for example, OH. We encourage Open Time observers to investigate their data sets for HI-rich galaxies (as well as potentially OH megamasers). Such findings will collectively increase the number of galaxy groups we can study in HI and aid investigations into, for example, the effect of pre-processing on galaxy group members, and more broadly galaxy and galaxy group/cluster evolution, driven by the large science surveys of SKA pathfinder telescopes.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We present the serendipitous discovery of 49 H I-rich galaxies within a single 2.3 h pointing with MeerKAT in Open Time data. With the use of ancillary data from PanSTARRS, *WISE*, 2MASS, and *GALEX*, we obtained stellar masses and SFRs to complement the H I information we present (H I masses, spectra, intensity, and velocity maps).

Within our sample, we find examples of galaxy interactions, including a case of one galaxy stripping and fuelling star formation (to greater than $7 \, M_{\odot} \, yr^{-1}$) from two neighbouring galaxies. Multiple H I-rich galaxy groups are identified, with three major groupings at $z \sim 0.033$, 0.041, and 0.055 – therefore it is possible that we have also detected a supergroup or filament in this MeerKAT pointing. We also find one potential OH megamaser within our sample, although this is not confirmed due to a lack of optical spectroscopic redshifts available. We generate rotation curves and dark matter mass models for six galaxies in our sample that were sufficiently spatially resolved and not found to be significantly interacting with another galaxy.

We considered the probability of detecting 49 HI-rich galaxies in this relatively short MeerKAT pointing. It appears that 49 detections are unlikely, prompted by the study by Jones et al. (2020) which demonstrated that there is no single group galaxy HIMF and a far greater number of field galaxies than group galaxies within ALFALFA. Given the demonstrated ability of MeerKAT in both major science surveys and another Open Time proposal (Healy et al. 2021) to detect new galaxies in HI and OH, which is attributed to an RFI-quiet environment and high sensitivity in this space, we encourage other users of spectral-line Open Time data to investigate their data sets. With sufficient effort, significant data sets can be obtained to complement large science surveys aiming to address outstanding questions in galaxy evolution, including the formation of galaxy groups and their transition into supergroups and clusters.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee for the helpful feedback provided that has improved this paper. We thank Erwin de Blok, Barbara Catinella, Luca Cortese, Nathan Deg, Rory Hackett, Scott Haydon, Julia Healy, Dane Kleiner, Ferry Lanter, Filippo Maccagni, John Forbes, and Andrew Sullivan for useful discussions and feedback on the paper. MG is supported by the Australian Government through the Australian Research Council's Discovery Projects funding scheme (DP210102103).

The MeerKAT telescope is operated by the South African Radio Astronomy Observatory (SARAO; www.sarao.ac.za), which is a facility of the National Research Foundation (NRF), an agency of the Department of Science and Innovation. The MeerKAT data presented in this paper were processed using the ilifu cloud computing facility (www.ilifu.ac.za), which is operated by a consortium that includes the University of Cape Town (UCT), the University of the Western Cape, the University of Stellenbosch, Sol Plaatje University, the Cape Peninsula University of Technology and the South African Radio Astronomy Observatory. The ilifu facility is supported by contributions from the Inter-University Institute for Data Intensive Astronomy (IDIA, which is a partnership between the UCT, the University of Pretoria and the University of the Western Cape), the Computational Biology division at UCT, and the Data Intensive Research Initiative of South Africa (DIRISA). This work was carried out using the data processing pipelines developed at the Inter-University Institute for Data Intensive Astronomy (IDIA) and available at https://idia-pipelines.github.io. IDIA is a partnership of the University of Cape Town, the University of Pretoria and the University of the Western Cape. This work made use of the CARTA (Cube Analysis and Rendering Tool for Astronomy) software (https: //cartavis.github.io), and iDaVIE-v (Immersive Data Visualisation Interactive Explorer for Volumetric Rendering; Jarrett et al. 2021; Comrie et al. 2021b). This research made use of hips2fits,³ a service provided by CDS. This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Part of this research was conducted through the International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research (ICRAR) summer studentship program.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data will be made available upon reasonable request. The Open Time MeerKAT data used in this survey is already publicly available via the SARAO archive (SBID 1617470178).

REFERENCES

- Adams E. A. K. et al., 2022, A&A, 667, A38
- Baldry I. K., Glazebrook K., Brinkmann J., Ivezić Ž., Lupton R. H., Nichol R. C., Szalay A. S., 2004, ApJ, 600, 681
- Barnes D. G. et al., 2001, MNRAS, 322, 486
- Bianconi M., Smith G. P., Haines C. P., McGee S. L., Finoguenov A., Egami E., 2018, MNRAS, 473, L79
- Bilicki M., Jarrett T. H., Peacock J. A., Cluver M. E., Steward L., 2014, ApJS, 210, 9
- Blyth S. et al., 2016, MeerKAT Science: On the Pathway to the SKA, Online at https://pos.sissa.it/cgi-bin/reader/conf.cgi?confid=277, Proceedings of Science, p. 4
- Broeils A. H., Rhee M. H., 1997, A&AP, 324, 877
- Brown T. et al., 2017, MNRAS, 466, 1275
- Catinella B. et al., 2013, MNRAS, 436, 34
- Cautun M., van de Weygaert R., Jones B. J. T., Frenk C. S., 2014, MNRAS, 441, 2923

³https://alasky.cds.unistra.fr/hips-image-services/hips2fits

Analysis Software and Systems XVI. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco. p. 127

- Maddox N., Hess K. M., Obreschkow D., Jarvis M. J., Blyth S. L., 2015, MNRAS, 447, 1610
- Maddox N. et al., 2021, A&AP, 646, A35
- Mahajan S., 2013, MNRAS, 431, L117
- Martin D. C. et al., 2005, ApJ, 619, L1
- Meyer M., Robotham A., Obreschkow D., Westmeier T., Duffy A. R., Staveley-Smith L., 2017, Publ. Astron. Soc. Aust., 34, 52
- Navarro J. F., Frenk C. S., White S. D. M., 1996, ApJ, 462, 563
- Nielsen N. M., Kacprzak G. G., Pointon S. K., Murphy M. T., Churchill C. W., Davé R., 2020, ApJ, 904, 164
- Oh S.-H. et al., 2015, AJ, 149, 180
- Oosterloo T., van Gorkom J., 2005, A&AP, 437, L19
- Planck Collaboration XIII, 2016, A&AP, 594, A13
- Ponman T. J., Sanderson A. J. R., Finoguenov A., 2003, MNRAS, 343, 331
- Ranchod S. et al., 2021, MNRAS, 506, 2753
- Reynolds T. N. et al., 2019, MNRAS, 482, 3591
- Reynolds T. N. et al., 2022, MNRAS, 510, 1716
- Roberts H., Darling J., Baker A. J., 2021, ApJ, 911, 38
- Robotham A. S. G. et al., 2011, MNRAS, 416, 2640
- Saponara J., Koribalski B. S., Benaglia P., Fernández López M., 2018, MNRAS, 473, 3358
- Schiminovich D. et al., 2007, ApJS, 173, 315
- Serra P. et al., 2013, MNRAS, 428, 370
- Serra P. et al., 2015, MNRAS, 448, 1922
- Serra P. et al., 2023, A&A, 673, A146
- Skrutskie M. F. et al., 2006, AJ, 131, 1163
- Springel V. et al., 2018, MNRAS, 475, 676
- Stevens A. R. H. et al., 2023, ApJ, 957, L19
- Swaters R. A., Sancisi R., van Albada T. S., van der Hulst J. M., 2011, ApJ, 729, 118
- Taylor E. N. et al., 2011, MNRAS, 418, 1587
- Tumlinson J., Peeples M. S., Werk J. K., 2017, ARAA, 55, 389
- van Cappellen W. A. et al., 2022, A&A, 658, A146
- Westmeier T., Braun R., Koribalski B. S., 2011, MNRAS, 410, 2217
- Westmeier T. et al., 2021, MNRAS, 506, 3962
- Westmeier T. et al., 2022, Publ. Astron. Soc. Aust., 39, e058
- Willmer C. N. A., 2018, ApJS, 236, 47
- Willott C. J., Rawlings S., Jarvis M. J., Blundell K. M., 2003, MNRAS, 339, 173
- Wright E. L. et al., 2010, AJ, 140, 1868
- Zibetti S., Charlot S., Rix H.-W., 2009, MNRAS, 400, 1181

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Supplementary data are available at MNRAS online.

Appendix A. Images and Notes on Individual Sources.

Please note: Oxford University Press is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.

- Chambers K. C. et al., 2016, preprint (arXiv:1612.05560)
- Ching J. H. Y. et al., 2017, MNRAS, 464, 1306
- Comrie A. et al., 2021a, CARTA: The Cube Analysis and Rendering Tool for Astronomy. Zenodo, see https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4905459)
- Comrie A., Sivitilli A., Vitello F., Jarrett T., Marchetti L., 2021b, iDaVIEv: Immersive Data Visualisation Interactive Explorer for Volumetric Rendering. Zenodo
- Davies J. I. et al., 2019, A&AP, 626, A63
- de Blok W. J. G., Walter F., Brinks E., Trachternach C., Oh S. H., Kennicutt R. C., Jr, 2008, AJ, 136, 2648
- de Blok W. J. G. et al., 2016, MeerKAT Science: On the Pathway to the SKA. Proceedings of Science, Stellenbosch, South Africa, p. 7
- Deboer B. D. R. et al., 2009, Proc. IEEE, 97, 1507
- Dey A. et al., 2019, AJ, 157, 168
- Di Teodoro E. M., Fraternali F., 2015, MNRAS, 451, 3021
- Driver S. P. et al., 2011, MNRAS, 413, 971
- Eke V. R. et al., 2004, MNRAS, 355, 769
- Elson E. C., 2017, MNRAS, 472, 4551
- Falck B., Neyrinck M. C., 2015, MNRAS, 450, 3239
- For B. Q. et al., 2021, MNRAS, 507, 2300
- Giovanelli R., Haynes M. P., 2002, ApJ, 571, L107
- Glowacki M., Allison J. R., Sadler E. M., Moss V. A., Jarrett T. H., 2017a, preprint (arXiv:1709.08634)
- Glowacki M. et al., 2017b, MNRAS, 467, 2766
- Glowacki M., Elson E., Davé R., 2020, MNRAS, 498, 3687
- Glowacki M. et al., 2022, ApJ, 931, L7
- Haynes M. P. et al., 2018, ApJ, 861, 49
- Healy J., Deb T., Verheijen M. A. W., Blyth S. L., Serra P., Ramatsoku M., Vulcani B., 2021, A&AP, 654, A173
- Hess K. M., Wilcots E. M., 2013, AJ, 146, 124
- Hess K. M. et al., 2021, A&A, 647, A193
- Hess K. M., Serra P., Boschman L., Shen A., Healy J., 2022, kmhess/SoFiAimage-pipeline: SoFiA Image Pipeline v1.2.0. Zenodo
- Hotan A. W. et al., 2021, Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac., 38, e009
- Jarrett T. H. et al., 2013, AJ, 145, 6
- Jarrett T. H. et al., 2021, Astron. Comput., 37, 100502
- Jarvis M. J. et al., 2016, The MeerKAT International GHz Tiered Extragalactic Exploration (MIGHTEE) Survey, MeerKAT Science: On the Pathway to the SKA preprint(arXiv:1709.01901) Proceedings of Science, Stellenbosch, South Africa, p. 6
- Jonas J., MeerKAT Team, 2016, MeerKAT Science: On the Pathway to the SKA. Proceedings of Science, Stellenbosch, South Africa, p. 1
- Jones D. H. et al., 2009, MNRAS, 399, 683
- Jones M. G. et al., 2019, A&AP, 632, A78
- Jones M. G., Hess K. M., Adams E. A. K., Verdes-Montenegro L., 2020, MNRAS, 494, 2090
- Kennicutt R. C. Jr, 1998, ARA&A, 36, 189
- Kennicutt R. C., Evans N. J., 2012, ARA&A, 50, 531
- Kleiner D. et al., 2021, A&A, 648, A32
- Koribalski B. S. et al., 2020, Ap&SS, 365, 118
- Lee-Waddell K. et al., 2019, MNRAS, 487, 5248
- Leroy A. K., Walter F., Brinks E., Bigiel F., de Blok W. J. G., Madore B., Thornley M. D., 2008, AJ, 136, 2782
- Loubser S. I. et al., 2024, MNRAS, 527, 7158
- Lovisari L., Ettori S., Gaspari M., Giles P. A., 2021, Universe, 7, 139
- McCarthy I. G. et al., 2010, MNRAS, 406, 822
- McMullin J. P., Waters B., Schiebel D., Young W., Golap K., 2007, in Shaw R. A., Hill F., Bell D. J., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 376, Astronomical Data

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Royal Astronomical Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.